Chapter 2

Paul Evans

The second order of business is to discuss Winroth's findings and explain that you will concentrate on what he proposed was the first recension. I think the explanation for this focus is that the discovery of the first recension opens up questions about how the second recension developed. The conjecture that the first recension had one author—as W surmises—and that the second might have had several is natural. You want to explore the question of whether the first Gratian was in fact one person. Having done that, one could then move on to study the nature of W's Gratian 2.

The purpose of the following short introduction, addressed primarily to non-medievalist (DH) readers, is to indicate the importance of Gratian's *Decretum*, and to contextualize the composition of the *Decretum* within the broader twelfth-century revolution in legal science.

The *Decretum* is *not* the kind of document, like the Fournier Register, the record of an episcopal inquisition into Albigensian heretics surviving in a single manuscript, that many non-specialists, with a journalistic eye for the exotic and the extreme, take to be the central concern of medieval studies.¹ The *Decretum* was, instead, both a normal and

(cc) BY-NC-ND

¹ The manuscript is Vat. Lat. 4030. Jean Duvernoy, ed., *Le Registre d'inquisition de Jacques Fournier, Évêque de Pamiers (1318-1325)*, 3 vols., Bibliothèque Méridonale. 2. Ser, t. 41 (Toulouse: É. Privat, 1965). See also

a normative text in its own time, and for centuries thereafter. The *Decretum* survives in an unusually large number of manuscripts – around 150-200 from the twelfth century and around 600 for the medieval period overall.² The *Decretum* was the fundamental textbook for first-year university instruction in canon law through the seventeenth century. As the first volume of the Corpus iuris canonici, the Decretum remained valid law in the Roman Catholic Church right up until 1917, and exercised enormous influence over the 1917 and 1983 codifications of canon law that replaced the old *Corpus*. The relevance of the *Decretum* extends to everyday contemporary life. It is quite common for twenty-first century American Roman Catholics to have had some experience with diocesan marriage tribunals and the marriage annulment process (for example, my wife once had to submit written testimony to a diocesan marriage tribunal in support of her sister's petition to have her first marriage annulled). When they come into contact with the marriage annulment process, contemporaries become participants

Inquisition Records of Jacques Fournier ("An on-going English translation of the Inquisition Records of Jacques Fournier, Bishop of Pamiers, France, 1318-1325") by Nancy P. Stork (SJSU).

² These numbers get thrown around a lot, but where do they come from? "My listing of more than 600 manuscripts containing the *Decretum* will appear in Kenneth Pennington and Wilfried Hartmann, eds., *History of Medieval Canon Law*, 11." Anders Winroth, *The Making of Gratian's Decretum* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000) 122, fn.2. This list has never made it into print as far as I know. For a reasonably complete and current list, see the entry for Gratian in Kenneth Pennington's Bio-Bibliographic Guide to Medieval and Early Modern Jurists hosted by the Ames Foundation at Harvard University.



in a legal system that, at least in its conceptual outlines, was first systematized by Gratian in cases 27-36 in Part II of the *Decretum*, the so-called marriage *causae*.

Presentation

Having considered how Gratian sourced and *collected* his materials – the question of what canons are and where Gratian got them – we now turn to the question of how Gratian *presented* and *organized* the canons he had collected. Here, it is important to distinguish between *presentation*, the small-grain or micro structure of the *Decretum*, and *organization*, the large-grain or macro structure of the *Decretum*. Taking a bottom-up approach, we will first turn to the presentation or small-grain structure.

It is necessary to be aware of the fact that when we discuss canons in the specific context of Gratian's *Decretum*, we are using a subtly different definition of the term than we used when discussing the textual building blocks of the canonical tradition. The organization of the Decretum is very regular (so structurally regular, in fact, that an electronic version of the text can be cleanly taken apart using a recursive descent parser), and should be thought of as a sequence of hierarchically nested containers. The canon is the atomic container from which the immediately higher-order containers



(distinctions and questions) in the Decretum are organized. [We will unpack the canon container into rubric, inscription, one or more texts, and *dictum post*.]

A canon is usually, though not always, introduced by a rubric, which is a very short summary of what the canon is about. In 398 cases (wrong number), the rubric just says "de eodem", which means "about the same thing" (as the canon before). Most canons have an inscription, which identifies the authority that is the source for the canon (usually a papal decretal, a canon from an ecumenical council or a provincial synod, or a patristic text). [Eichbauer, p. 115, blur between rubric and inscription. "Gratian rarely took his rubrics from earlier collections. Rather he created his own and often melded the rubrics with the dicta." p.107] Because Gratian was getting his texts from previous collections, the inscription was frequently incorrect, especially if the text in question was one that had been included in the Pseudo-Isidorian forgeries. This raises the need to distinguish between Gratian's material sources -- the original letter of Pope Gregory I, for example -- and his formal sources -- the collection Gratian was actually looking at when copying his text. Finally, there are the *dicta* (literally, "things having been said"), which are statements Gratian is making on his own authority as a jurist. It is the dicta that carry the thread of Gratian's argument. [Here I am only talking about dicta post canones. Dicta ante canones are not part of the canon container but instead part of the



distinction or question container (always d.a.c.1 or equivalent). There are three kinds of dicta: d.init (first leaf node of case or *causa* container), d.a.c. (first leaf node of distinction or question container), and d.p.c. (optional last leaf of canon container). Dicta are text that Gratian (whoever we think he is) actually wrote and are therefore subject to function word analysis for authorship attribution.]

"The *dicta* in Gratian's *Decretum* bring the reader closer to its author than any other part of the text." (Winroth, *The Making of Gratian's Decretum*, 187)

The hypothetical case statements or *themata* that introduce each of the thirty-six *causae* (cases) that constitute Part II of the *Decretum* reflect at least in places an outlook and a set of concerns that university-educated urban professionals of the present day would not find it difficult to identify with. At a time when considerable attention is being paid in the realm of popular discourse (if not yet public policy) to the issue of "opportunity hoarding" on behalf of upper- and upper-middle class children by their university-educated, urban professional parents, the intense concern of "a certain man" in the first case statement to secure a good ecclesiastical career for his son (and his willingness on more than one occasion to resort to bribery in order to realize that objective) has a



distinctly contemporary feel to it.³ (Although Gratian does not say so explicitly, I think that we can reasonably infer that the father is an urban merchant or professional, rather than a member of the landed aristocracy, since his wealth, the source of several bribes, is so readily convertible into cash.)

Organization

The *Decretum* in the form that circulated after around 1150 (the Köln Dombibliothek manuscripts 127 and 128 are good early examples) has three parts. The first part consists of 101 distinctions. Groups of distinctions form treatises within the work: distinctions 1-20, for example, form a treatise on laws (*tractatus de legibus*) that explores the sources of law. Other topics covered include ecclesiastical hierarchy and clerical discipline. The second part consists of 36 cases. A treatise on penance (*tractatus de penitentia*) is attached to the third question of case 33. The third part of the *Decretum* is a treatise on consecration (*tractatus de consecratione*).⁴

_

⁴ Anders Winroth, *The Making of Gratian's* Decretum, 5.



³ "Quidam habens filium ..." C.1, d.init., edF. 1.357.

The cases are hypotheticals like those used in modern law schools, and some of them are quite far-fetched. In case 28, for example, a married infidel converts to Christianity, as a result of which his wife separates from him out of hatred for his new faith. (By "infidel," Gratian means a Muslim.) The convert then takes a new Christian wife, and after she dies, he is made a priest. Finally, "on account of the merit of his life and learning," he is elected bishop. Gratian extracts questions from this fantastical fact-pattern, however, that are of considerable theoretical (if not practical) interest: whether there can be marriage between infidels, whether there is ever a situation in which a man can take another wife if his previous wife is still alive; and whether someone who had one wife before he was baptized and another wife after he was baptized should be considered a bigamist.

Correctores Romani edition (1582)

The *Decretum* never received the kind of formal ecclesiastical (papal or conciliar) recognition that was conferred on decretal collections like the *Liber Extra*, *Liber Sextus*, and *Clementinae*. Nevertheless, it remained foundational both to the *Corpus Iuris Canonici*, the body of canon law that governed the Roman Catholic Church right up until 1917, as well as to the academic study of canon law throughout the medieval and



well into the early modern periods. As a result, the text of the *Decretum* came in for close attention as part of the reform program initiated at the Council of Trent (1545-1563). A commission led by the Spanish archbishop Antonio Agustín (1517-1586), the *Correctores Romani*, was charged with producing an approved version of the text of the *Decretum* (along with the rest of the *Corpus Iuris Canonici*) for the age of the printing press.

The *Correctores* proceeded from the Renaissance Humanist assumption that their job was to identify and include the most textually correct version of the material source for each of Gratian's authorities. In this sense the *Correctores* were less concerned with getting at the text of the collection Gratian actually compiled, and more concerned with getting at the text that they thought Gratian should have compiled, if his concerns had been those of a Renaissance philological scholar.⁵

_

⁵ The idea that the *Correctores* were trying to reproduce the text of the *Decretum* as they though Gratian should have written it, as opposed to the text as he actually wrote it, goes back at least as far as Friedberg: "Vides non id in animo habuisse correctores Romanos, ut restitueretur decretum, quale a Gratiano compositum esset, sed quale a Gratiano componi debuisset." ("You see it in the mind that the *Correctores Romani* had, as the *Decretum* was restored, not how it was composed by Gratian, but how it ought to have been composed by Gratian." trans. PLE) (edF 1.lxxviii, see also Anders Winroth, "Emil Friedberg's Edition of Gratian's Decretum: An Evaluation".)



The 1582 printed edition of the *Decretum* includes the ordinary gloss, the standardized commentary by Johannes Teutonicus (c.1170–1245) in 1216, which was revised and updated by Bartholomeus Brixiensis (fl.1234–1258) around 1240-1245. UCLA's online version of the Corpus Iuris Canonici (1582) is a convenient way for modern scholars to consult the ordinary gloss, which is an important tool for understanding the reception of Gratian's text by medieval jurists.

Bibliography

Appelt, Heinrich, ed. *Die Urkunden der deutschen Könige und Kaiser*. Vol. X,2. Monumenta Germaniae historica. Diplomata regum et imperatorum Germaniae. Berlin: Weidmannsche Verlagsbuchhandlung, 1979. (UCSD DD3.M8 .D7 v.10 no.2)

Chodorow, Stanley. *Christian Political Theory and Church Politics in the Mid-Twelfth*Century; the Ecclesiology of Gratian's Decretum. Publications of the Center for Medieval and Renaissance Studies, U.C.L.A., 5. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1972.

Christensen, Katherine. "Introduction." In *The Treatise on Laws: (Decretum DD. 1-20)*, ix–xxvii. Studies in Medieval and Early Modern Canon Law, v. 2. Washington, D.C:
Catholic University of America Press, 1993.



Dufour, Jean, Gérard Giordanegno, and André Gouron. "L'attrait Des 'Leges': Note Sur La Lettre d'un Moine Victorin (Vers 1124/1127)." Studia et Documenta Historiae et Iuris 45 (1979): 504–29.

Gundula Grebner, 'Lay Patronate in Bologna in the first half of the 12th Century: Regular Canons, Notaries, and the Decretum', Europa und seine Regionen: 2000 Jahre Rechtsgeschichte, ed. Andreas Bauer and Karl H. L. Welker (Vienna 2007) 107-122.

Hartmann, Wilfried, and Kenneth Pennington, eds. *The History of Medieval Canon Law in the Classical Period*, 1140-1234: From Gratian to the Decretals of Pope Gregory IX. History of Medieval Canon Law. Washington, D.C: Catholic University of America Press, 2008.

Jansen, Katherine Ludwig, Joanna H Drell, and Frances Andrews, eds. "Roman Law and Legal Studies: Three Texts (ca. 1124-66)." In *Medieval Italy: Texts in Translation*, 167–72. The Middle Ages Series. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2009. (UCSD DG501 .M535 2009)

Manaresi, Cesare, ed. *I placiti del "Regnum Italiae."* 3 vols. Fonti per la storia d'Italia, pubblicate dall' Istituto storico italiano per il Medio Evo [no. 92] 96-97. Roma: Tip. del Senato, 1955.



Martyn, John R. C., trans. *The Letters of Gregory the Great*. Mediaeval Sources in Translation 40. Toronto: Pontifical Institute of Mediaeval Studies, 2004.

Müller, Wolfgang P. "The Recovery of Justinian's Digest in the Middle Ages." *Bulletin of Medieval Canon Law* 20 (1990): 1–29.

Paolo Nardi, "Fonti canoniche in una sentenza senese del 1150", in *Life, Law, and Letters:*Historical Studies in Honour of Antonio García y García, ed. Peter Linehan, Studia Gratiana

29 (Rome: Libr. Ateneo Salesiano, 1998), 661-70. (CUA BV 103 .G77)

http://catalog.wrlc.org/cgi-bin/Pwebrecon.cgi?BBID=1024288

Noonan, John T. "Gratian Slept Here: The Changing Identity of the Father of the Systematic Study of Canon Law." *Traditio* 35 (January 1, 1979): 145–72.

Norberg, Dag Ludvig, ed. *S. Gregorii Magni Registrum Epistularum, Libri VIII-XIV, Appendix*. Corpus Christianorum. Series Latina, 140 A. Turnholti: Brepols, 1982.

Pennington, Kenneth. "The Biography of Gratian, the Father of Canon Law." *Villanova Law Review* 59 (2014): 679–706.

Radding, Charles. *The Origins of Medieval Jurisprudence: Pavia and Bologna, 850-1150.*New Haven: Yale University Press, 1988.



Radding, Charles, and Antonio Ciaralli. *The Corpus Iuris Civilis in the Middle Ages:*Manuscripts and Transmission from the Sixth Century to the Juristic Revival. Brill's Studies in Intellectual History, v. 147. Leiden®; Boston: Brill, 2007.

Somerville, Robert, and Bruce Clark Brasington, eds. *Prefaces to Canon Law Books in Latin Christianity: Selected Translations*, 500-1245. New Haven, Conn: Yale University Press, 1998.

Sommar, Mary E. *The Correctores Romani: Gratian's Decretum and the Counter-Reformation Humanists*. Pluralisierung & Autorität, Bd. 19. Berlin®: New Brunswick: Lit®; distributed by Transaction Publishers, 2009.

Thompson, Augustine, and James Gordley, trans. *The Treatise on Laws: (Decretum DD. 1-20)*. Studies in Medieval and Early Modern Canon Law, v. 2. Washington, D.C: Catholic University of America Press, 1993.

Wei, John C. *Gratian the Theologian*. Studies in Medieval and Early Modern Canon Law, Volume 13. Washington, D.C: Catholic University of America Press, 2016.

http://www.jstor.org.proxycu.wrlc.org/stable/j.ctt19rmcjf (CUA)

https://muse.jhu.edu/book/44736



http://roger.ucsd.edu/record=b9134766~S9

http://catalog.wrlc.org/cgi-bin/Pwebrecon.cgi?BBID=16183186

https://scholarworks.iu.edu/journals/index.php/tmr/article/view/23720/29424

Weigand, Rudolf. "Frühe Kanonisten und ihre Karriere in der Kirsche." Zeitschrift der Savigny-Stiftung für Rechtsgeschichte, Kanonistische Abteilung 76 (1990): 135–55. (CUA BV 102 .Z48 K16)

Winroth, Anders. *The Making of Gratian's Decretum*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000. (UCSD K 3282 .C2 G7339 2000)

Winroth, Anders. "Law Schools in the Twelfth Century." In *Mélanges en l'honneur* d'Anne Lefebvre-Teillard, edited by Bernard d'Alteroche, Florence Demoulin-Auzary, Olivier Deschamps, and Franck Roumy, 1057–64. Paris: Éd. Panthéon-Assas, 2009.

http://www.dmgh.de/de/fs1/object/goToPage/bsb00000457.html?pageNo=36

There is a convention in scholarly writing where the researcher presents their results, but carefully removes the scaffolding and effaces the process by which those results were actually arrived at. [My intention is to show rather than hide the process by which



I arrived at the results that I am presenting.] I did not start out my dissertation project thinking of the authorship of the case statements or *themata* introducing each of the 36 *causae* that make up Part II of the *Decretum* as a research problem. I assumed that, by definition, the author of the case statements was identical with the author of at least the first-recension *dicta*. [Tie this in with Winroth's two Gratians chapter: to the extent that there's some one person we can point to as mapping to our idea of Gratian, it's the author of the first-recension dicta.]

Notes from 10 August 2017 (depositio Gratiani) meeting with Stan Chodorow:

- 1120: "Holy shit, we're independent!"
- Formation: pay attention to *what's* being formed systematic canonical jurisprudence or, less precisely, canon law.
- Transition: Where do Gratian and the *Decretum* lie along the (ground track or trajectory of) transition of the church from Leo IX († 1054) to Innocent III († 1216)?

Notes 15 September 2017

"Considering that the first [recension] may be a record of the first 'university course' in canon law ..." Winroth, *The Making of Gratian's Decretum*, 194



Yes, but not in the way Anders is envisioning here. Rather, the first university course in canon law consisted of the Master reading from a pile of books, the formal sources, guided by his original handwritten list of hypothetical case statements (*themata*). The first recension represents a reconstruction of that course by some of the original Master's students.

"Gratian 1 and the Lombard were in effect forced to create their own authoritative texts (their authority deriving from the fact that they consisted mainly of quotations) to be able to effectively teach their subjects." (Winroth, *The Making of Gratian's Decretum*, 191.)

Gratian

The starting point for all modern scholarship on Gratian himself is John T. Noonan Jr.'s classic 1979 *Traditio* article "Gratian slept here: The changing identity of the father of the systematic study of canon law". Noonan's approach is apophatic, demonstrating that there is no sound historical basis for most of the affirmative statements, for example that Gratian was a Camaldolese monk at SS. Felix and Nabor in Bologna, that many mid-twentieth century handbooks and reference works presented as commonplace.



Very little is known about the historical Gratian, and almost everything that previous generations thought was known about Gratian has proved, on closer examination, to be myth. On the evidence found in the *Decretum*, Gratian seems to have been less interested in contemporary ecclesiastical or secular politics in general, and in the Investiture Controversy in particular, than some modern scholars think he should have been. Gratian similarly seems, based on the relatively limited use he makes in the *Decretum* of material from the *Codex* and *Digest* of Justinian, to have been either less interested in, or less aware of, the revival of the study of Roman law in the early twelfth century than we think he should have been. On the other hand, he seems to have been more aware of, and more interested in, the emergence of scholastic theology in northern France than we can easily explain.

_

⁶ John T. Noonan, Jr. "Gratian slept here: The changing identity of the father of the systematic study of canon law", *Traditio*, 1979

