Recurrence Relations Prem Nair

Binary Search

```
Algorithm binSearch(A, x, lower, upper)
   Input: Already sorted array A of size n, value x to be
         searched for in array section A[lower]..A[upper]
   Output: true or false
 if lower > upper then return false
 mid \leftarrow (upper + lower)/2
 if x = A[mid] then return true
if x < A[mid] then</pre>
     return binSearch(A, x, lower, mid -1)
else
     return binSearch(A, x, mid + 1, upper)
```

For the worst case (x is above all elements of A and n a power of 2), running time is given by the Recurrence Relation: (In this case, right half is always half the size of the original.)

$$T(1) = d; T(n) = c + T(n/2)$$

Binary Search

$$T(1) = d$$
, $T(n) = T(n/2) + c$ $(n > 1)$
 $a = 1$, $b = 2$, $k = 0$. $a = b^0$

Apply Master Theorem $n^k \log_b n = \log_2 n$.

Hence by Master Theorem, we have $\Theta(\log_2 n)$

Binary Search

Visualization

There is a depth of $\Theta(\log n)$.

Width = $\Theta(1)$.

Hence time complexity is $\Theta(\log n)$.

FindMax(A, lower, upper)

```
Algorithm FindMax(A, lower, upper)

Input: An unsorted array A[lower, ..., upper]

Output: max value

if lower = upper then return A[lower]

mid ← (upper + lower)/2

left ← FindMax(A, lower, mid)

right ← FindMax(A, mid + 1, upper)

return max(left, right)
```

For the worst case (x is above all elements of A and n a power of 2), running time is given by the *Recurrence Relation:* (In this case, right half is always half the size of the original.)

$$T(1) = d$$
; $T(n) = c + 2T(n/2)$

T(1) = d, T(n) = 2T(n/2) + c (n >1)
a = 2, b = 2, k = 0. a >
$$b^0$$

Apply Master Theorem

 $log_b a = log_2 2 = 1.$

Hence by Master Theorem, we have $\Theta(n)$

FindMax(A, lower, upper)

Visualization

There is a depth of $\Theta(\log n)$.

Width is not a constant. It is 1, 2, ..., n/2.

$$1 + 2 + ... + n/2 = n - 1$$

Hence the time complexity is $\Theta(n)$.

FindMax2(A, lower, upper)

```
Algorithm FindMax2(A, lower, upper)

Input: An unsorted array A[lower, ..., upper]

Output: max value

if lower = upper then return A[lower]

left ← A[lower]

right ← FindMax2(A, lower + 1, upper)

return max(left, right)
```

For the worst case (x is above all elements of A and n a power of 2), running time is given by the *Recurrence Relation*

$$T(1) = d$$
; $T(n) = c + T(n-1)$

FindMax2

You cannot apply master theorem.

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + c = T(n-2) + 2c = ... = T(1) + (n-1)c = d + (n-1)c$$
 is $\Theta(n)$.

Visualization

There is a depth of $\Theta(n)$.

Width is 1.

Hence time complexity is $\Theta(n)$

Merge Sort

```
Algorithm MergeSort(A, lower, upper)

Input: An unsorted array A[lower, ..., upper]

Output: Sorted array

if lower = upper then return A[lower]

mid ← (upper + lower)/2

L ← MergeSort(A, lower, mid)

R ← MergeSort (A, mid + 1, upper)

return merge(L, R)
```

For the worst case (x is above all elements of A and n a power of 2), running time is given by the *Recurrence Relation:* (In this case, right half is always half the size of the original.)

$$T(1) = d$$
; $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn$ // n for merge

$$T(1) = d$$
, $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn$ (n >1)
 $a = 2$, $b = 2$, $k = 1$. $a = b^1$

Apply Master Theorem

k = 1

Hence by Master Theorem, we have $\Theta(n^k \log n)$. That is, $\Theta(n \log n)$.

Visualization

There is a depth of $\Theta(\log n)$

Width = $\Theta(n)$.

Hence time complexity is $\Theta(n \log n)$.

The Master Theorem

For recurrences that arise from Divide-And-Conquer algorithms (like Binary Search), there is a general formula that can be used.

Theorem. Suppose T(n) satisfies

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } n = 1\\ aT(\lceil \frac{n}{b} \rceil) + cn^k & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where k is a nonnegative integer and a, b, c, d are constants with $a > 0, b > 1, c > 0, d \ge 0$. Then

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(n^k) & \text{if } a < b^k \\ \Theta(n^k \log n) & \text{if } a = b^k \\ \Theta(n^{\log_b a}) & \text{if } a > b^k \end{cases}$$