

Database Management System: Assignment 4

Total Marks : 20

June 20, 2022

Question 1

Consider a relational schema `OnlineClass(classID, classLink, Subject, Mentor, Duration)`. Which of the following set of functional dependencies should be chosen so that `OnlineClass` can be in 2NF but not in 3NF? *Marks: 2 MCQ*

- a) $\text{classID} \rightarrow \{\text{classLink}, \text{Subject}\}$
 $\text{classLink} \rightarrow \text{Duration}$
 $\text{Mentor} \rightarrow \text{Subject}$
- b) $\{\text{classID}, \text{classLink}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Subject}, \text{Mentor}, \text{Duration}\}$
 $\{\text{Mentor}, \text{Subject}\} \rightarrow \{\text{classLink}, \text{Duration}, \text{classID}\}$
- c) $\{\text{classID}, \text{Mentor}\} \rightarrow \{\text{classLink}, \text{Subject}\}$
 $\text{classLink} \rightarrow \text{Duration}$
- d) $\{\text{classID}, \text{classLink}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Subject}, \text{Mentor}, \text{Duration}\}$
 $\text{Mentor} \rightarrow \text{classLink}$

Answer: c)

Explanation: For option (b), the candidate keys are $\{\text{classID}, \text{classLink}\}$, $\{\text{Mentor}, \text{Subject}\}$ which are present on the L.H.S of both the functional dependencies. Thus, it makes `OnlineClass` to stay in BCNF.

For option (a), the candidate key is $\{\text{classID}, \text{Mentor}\}$. Since partial dependency is present in the FD set, it allows `OnlineClass` to be in 1NF.

For option (d), the candidate keys are $\{\text{classID}, \text{classLink}\}$, $\{\text{classID}, \text{Mentor}\}$. Hence, there is neither any partial dependency nor any transitive dependency as the R.H.S of the second FD contains a key attribute. Hence, it is in 3NF.

In option (c), the candidate key is $\{\text{classID}, \text{Mentor}\}$. There is no partial dependency and there is a transitive dependency. Thus, option (c) is correct.

Question 2

The following dependencies hold in a relation Placement (JobID ,Company, Position, Salary):

$\text{JobID} \rightarrow \text{Company}$

$\{\text{Position}, \text{Company}\} \rightarrow \text{Salary}$

According to which of the following rules, $\{\text{JobID}, \text{Position}\} \rightarrow \text{Salary}$ holds?

Marks: 2 MCQ

- a) Decomposition
- b) Augmentation
- c) Pseudo-transitivity
- d) Transitivity

Answer: c)

Explanation: According to Pseudo-transitivity rule, if X determines Y and YZ determines W, then XZ determines W. Hence, option (c) is correct.

Question 3

Consider the following instance of **Market** relation:

Market		
MarketName	Product	Stock
SpendWise	Shampoo	12
SpendWise	Spicemix	6
SpendWise	Cookies	6
ShopLuck	Shampoo	20
MarkIt	Cakemix	60
MarkIt	Chocolate	12

Which of the following functional dependencies hold on **Market**?

Marks: 2 MCQ

- a) $\text{MarketName} \rightarrow \{\text{Product}, \text{Stock}\}$
- b) $\{\text{Stock}, \text{MarketName}\} \rightarrow \text{Product}$
- c) $\{\text{Product}\} \rightarrow \text{MarketName}$
- d) $\{\text{MarketName}, \text{Product}\} \rightarrow \text{Stock}$

Answer: d)

Explanation: Only for option (d), the attributes on the L.H.S can uniquely identify the attributes on the R.H.S. Hence, option (d) is correct.

Question 4

Consider the following relation:

Measurement (sensor, device, dataform, uprange, lowrange, delay, status)

with the following functional dependency set (F):

FD1: {sensor, device, status} \rightarrow {dataform, uprange, lowrange}

FD2: sensor \rightarrow {delay, status}

FD3: {status, delay, dataform} \rightarrow {sensor, device}

Measurement has 3 candidate keys. Keys K1, K2, and K3 have 2, 2, and 3 attributes respectively. The database admin issues the following order:

X needs to be appended to the R.H.S of FD_i so that only K3 and (K1 \cap K2) become the candidate keys of Measurement. Find X and i.

Marks: 2 MCQ

a) X=device, i=1

b) X=delay, i=1

c) X=dataform, i=2

d) X=status, i=2

Answer: c)

Explanation: The 3 candidate keys of Measurement are

K1={device, sensor}

K2={dataform, sensor}

K3={dataform, delay, status}

K1 \cap K2 =sensor

For sensor to be the candidate key, either device or dataform needs to be appended to the R.H.S of FD2. Hence, option (c) is correct.

Question 5

Consider the following relation:

Measurement(sensor, device, dataform, uprange, lowrange, delay, status)

with the following functional dependency set (F):

$\{\text{sensor, device, status}\} \rightarrow \{\text{dataform, uprange, lowrange}\}$

$\text{sensor} \rightarrow \{\text{delay, status}\}$

$\{\text{status, delay, dataform}\} \rightarrow \{\text{sensor, device}\}$

Which of the following functional dependencies will not be present in the Canonical Cover of F after the application of Union Rule on the final functional dependencies?

Marks: 2 MCQ

a) $\{\text{sensor, device, status}\} \rightarrow \{\text{dataform, uprange, lowrange}\}$

b) $\text{sensor} \rightarrow \{\text{delay, status}\}$

c) $\{\text{status, delay, dataform}\} \rightarrow \{\text{sensor, device}\}$

d) $\{\text{sensor, device}\} \rightarrow \{\text{dataform, uprange, lowrange}\}$

Answer: a)

Explanation: We can see that the closure of {sensor, device} still includes status. Thus, status is extraneous in the first FD (L.H.S). No other attribute can be removed from any other FD after removing status. Thus, {sensor, device, status} \rightarrow {dataform, uprange, lowrange} will not be present in the Canonical cover of F. Hence, option (a) is correct.

Question 6

In a relation Weather(Country, City, DayNo, TimeHr, ProbRain, ProbWind, ProbTemp) {Country, City, DayNo} uniquely identifies the {ProbRain, ProbWind, ProbTemp}. TimeHr is identified by DayNo. Country is determined by {ProbRain, ProbTemp}. What are the non-prime attributes of Weather? *Marks: 2 MCQ*

- a) Both City & DayNo
- b) Both Country & ProbTemp
- c) Both ProbWind & TimeHr
- d) Both ProbRain & ProbWind

Answer: c)

Explanation: According to the problem, the following FDs hold
 $\{Country, City, DayNo\} \rightarrow \{ProbRain, ProbWind, ProbTemp\}$
 $DayNo \rightarrow TimeHr$
 $\{ProbRain, ProbTemp\} \rightarrow Country$

The candidate keys are {City, DayNo, Country} and {City, DayNo, ProbRain, ProbTemp}. Hence, option (c) is correct.

Question 7

In a relation `Weather(Country, City, DayNo, TimeHr, ProbRain, ProbWind, ProbTemp)` `{Country, City, DayNo}` uniquely identifies the `{ProbRain, ProbWind, ProbTemp}`. `TimeHr` is identified by `DayNo`. `Country` is determined by `{ProbRain, ProbTemp}`. If `Weather` has to be normalized to 2NF, which of the attributes will be present in both the decompositions of `Weather`? *Marks: 2 MCQ*

- a) `Country`
- b) `TimeHr`
- c) `ProbRain`
- d) `DayNo`

Answer: d)

Explanation: The FD that causes the violation of 2NF is $\text{DayNo} \rightarrow \text{TimeHr}$. Hence, one of the decompositions should be `Weather1(DayNo, TimeHr)`. For the decompositions to be lossless, the common attribute should be a superkey in atleast one of the decompositions. In `Weather1(DayNo, TimeHr)`, the FD $\text{DayNo} \rightarrow \text{TimeHr}$ holds and `DayNo` is the candidate key. Hence, the other decomposed relation should be `Weather2(Country, City, DayNo, ProbRain, ProbWind, ProbTemp)`. Thus, option (d) is correct.

Question 8

Consider the following relation `VehService(Vehicle, Color, Capacity, Wheels, Owner)` with the following functional dependency sets:

`S1={`

`FD1: {Vehicle, Color} → Capacity`

`FD2: Wheels → {Vehicle, Capacity, Owner}`

`}`

`S2={`

`FD1: Vehicle → {Color, Capacity}`

`FD2: Wheels → {Vehicle, Owner}`

`}`

Which of the following statements is true?

Marks: 2 MCQ

- a) Neither `S1` covers `S2` nor `S2` covers `S1`
- b) `S2` covers `S1` but `S1` does not cover `S2`
- c) `S1` covers `S2` but `S2` does not cover `S1`
- d) Both `S1` covers `S2` and `S2` covers `S1`

Answer: b)

Explanation: The FD `Vehicle → {Color, Capacity}` of `S2` cannot be derived from `S1` as $(\text{Vehicle})^+$ does not contain `{Color, Capacity}` in `S1`. All FDs of `S1` can be derived from `S2`. Hence, option (b) is correct.

Question 9

Consider the relation `PollutionControl` (`PolType`, `Cause`, `Severity`, `Effect`, `Measure`, `TargetZone`) with the following functional dependencies:

FD1: `PolType` \rightarrow `Cause`

FD2: `Severity` \rightarrow `Effect`

FD3: `{PolType, Measure}` \rightarrow `TargetZone`

FD4: `{Cause, Effect}` \rightarrow `{Measure, Severity}`

Which of the following options is true?

Marks: 2 MCQ

- a) `PollutionControl` has 1 candidate key and is in 1NF.
- b) `PollutionControl` has 2 candidate keys and is in 1NF.
- c) `PollutionControl` has 1 candidate key and is in 2NF.
- d) `PollutionControl` has 2 candidate keys and is in 2NF.

Answer: b)

Explanation: The candidate keys of `PollutionControl` are `{PolType, Severity}` & `{PolType, Effect}`. Since partial dependency occurs in FD1, it is in 1NF. Hence, option (b) is correct.

Question 10

PollutionControl (PolType, Cause, Severity, Effect, Measure, TargetZone) with the following functional dependencies:

FD1: PolType \rightarrow Cause

FD2: Severity \rightarrow Effect

FD3: {PolType, Measure} \rightarrow TargetZone

FD4: {Cause, Effect} \rightarrow {Measure, Severity}

The relation is decomposed into the following:

PollutionControl1 (PolType, Cause, Severity, Effect)

PollutionControl2 (PolType, Severity, Measure, TargetZone)

Which of the following is true about the decomposition?

Marks: 2 MCQ

- a) Both lossless and dependency preserving.
- b) Neither lossless nor dependency preserving.
- c) Lossless but not dependency preserving.
- d) Lossy but dependency preserving.

Answer: c)

Explanation: The union of attributes of PollutionControl1 and PollutionControl2 encompass all the attributes of PollutionControl. The common attribute between the two decomposed relations are PolType, Severity which is the key in PollutionControl1. Hence, the decomposition is lossless.

From PollutionControl1, FD1 and FD2 can be derived. FD3 can be derived from PollutionControl2. However, FD4 cannot be derived from these decompositions, thus making them violate the dependency preserving criteria. Hence, option (c) is correct.