

Development of a Corpus for Evidence Based Medicine Summarisation

Diego Mollá María Elena Santiago-Martínez

Centre for Language Technology,
Macquarie University

ALTA, 2 Dec 2011



Contents

Evidence Based Medicine

Our Corpus for Summarisation

Structure

How we Created the Corpus

Statistics

Simple Statistics

ROUGE-L Values

Contents

Evidence Based Medicine

Our Corpus for Summarisation

Structure

How we Created the Corpus

Statistics

Simple Statistics

ROUGE-L Values


Evidence Based Medicine



<http://laikaspoetnik.wordpress.com/2009/04/04/evidence-based-medicine-the-facebook-of-medicine/>


EBM and Natural Language Processing

http://hlwiki.slais.ubc.ca/index.php?title=Five_steps_of_EBM



EBM and Natural Language Processing

http://hlwiki.slais.ubc.ca/index.php?title=Five_steps_of_EBM




NLP tasks

- Question analysis and classification

EBM and Natural Language Processing

http://hlwiki.slais.ubc.ca/index.php?title=Five_steps_of_EBM




NLP tasks

- ▶ Question analysis and classification
- ▶ Information Retrieval

EBM and Natural Language Processing

http://hlwiki.slais.ubc.ca/index.php?title=Five_steps_of_EBM




NLP tasks

- ▶ Question analysis and classification
- ▶ Information Retrieval
- ▶ Classification and re-ranking

EBM and Natural Language Processing

http://hlwiki.slais.ubc.ca/index.php?title=Five_steps_of_EBM




NLP tasks

- ▶ Question analysis and classification
- ▶ Information Retrieval
- ▶ Classification and re-ranking
- ▶ Information extraction

EBM and Natural Language Processing

http://hlwiki.slais.ubc.ca/index.php?title=Five_steps_of_EBM




NLP tasks

- ▶ Question analysis and classification
- ▶ Information Retrieval
- ▶ Classification and re-ranking
- ▶ Information extraction
- ▶ Question answering

EBM and Natural Language Processing

http://hlwiki.slais.ubc.ca/index.php?title=Five_steps_of_EBM



NLP tasks

- ▶ Question analysis and classification
- ▶ Information Retrieval
- ▶ Classification and re-ranking
- ▶ Information extraction
- ▶ Question answering
- ▶ Summarisation

Contents

Evidence Based Medicine

Our Corpus for Summarisation

Structure

How we Created the Corpus

Statistics

Simple Statistics

ROUGE-L Values

Contents

Evidence Based Medicine

Our Corpus for Summarisation

Structure

How we Created the Corpus

Statistics

Simple Statistics

ROUGE-L Values

Journal of Family Practice's "Clinical Inquiries"

Which treatments work best for hemorrhoids?

Evidence-based answer

Excision is the most effective treatment for thrombosed external hemorrhoids (strength of recommendation [SOR]: B, retrospective studies). For prolapsed internal hemorrhoids, the best definitive treatment

is traditional hemorrhoidectomy (SOR: A, systematic review). Of nonoperative techniques, rubber band ligation produces the lowest rate of recurrence (SOR: A, systematic review).

Evidence summary

External hemorrhoids originate below the dentate line and become acutely painful with thrombosis. They can cause perianal pruritus and excoriation because of interference with perianal hygiene. Internal hemorrhoids become symptomatic when they bleed or prolapse (TABLE).

For thrombosed external hemorrhoids, surgery works best

Few studies have evaluated the best treatment for thrombosed external hemorrhoids. A retrospective study of 231 patients treated conservatively or surgically found that the 48.5% of patients treated surgically had a lower recurrence rate than the conservative group (number needed to treat [NNT]=2 for recurrence at mean follow-up of 7.6 months) and earlier resolution of symptoms (average 3.9 days compared with 24 days for conservative treatment).¹

Another retrospective analysis of 340 patients who underwent outpatient excision of thrombosed external hemorrhoids under local anesthesia re-

ported a low recurrence rate of 6.5% at a mean follow-up of 17.3 months.²

A prospective, randomized controlled trial (RCT) of 98 patients treated nonsurgically found improved pain relief with a combination of topical nifedipine 0.3% and lidocaine 1.5% compared with lidocaine alone. The NNT for complete pain relief at 7 days was 3.³

Conventional hemorrhoidectomy beats stapling

Many studies have evaluated the best treatment for prolapsed hemorrhoids. A Cochrane systematic review of 12 RCTs that compared conventional hemorrhoidectomy with stapled hemorrhoidectomy in patients with grades I to III hemorrhoids found a lower rate of recurrence (follow-up ranged from 6 to 39 months) in patients who had conventional hemorrhoidectomy (NNT=14).⁴ Conventional hemorrhoidectomy showed a nonsignificant trend in decreased bleeding and decreased incontinence.

A second systematic review of 25 studies, including some that were of

lower quality, showed a higher recurrence rate at 1 year with stapled hemorrhoidectomy than with conventional surgery.¹

Nonoperative techniques?

Consider rubber band ligation

A systematic review of 3 poor-quality trials comparing rubber band ligation with excisional hemorrhoidectomy in patients with grade III hemorrhoids found that excisional hemorrhoidectomy produced better long-term symptom control but more immediate postoperative complications of anal stenosis and hemorrhage.⁵ Rubber band ligation had the lowest recurrence rate at 12 months compared with the other nonoperative techniques of sclerotherapy and infrared coagulation.⁶

Fiber supplements help relieve symptoms

A Cochrane systematic review of 7 RCTs enrolling a total of 378 patients with grade I to III hemorrhoids evaluated the effect of fiber supplements on pain, itching, and bleeding. Persistent hemorrhoid symptoms decreased by 53% in the group receiving fiber.⁷

When surgical hemorrhoidectomy is recommended

The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons recommends adequate fluid and fiber intake for all patients with symptomatic hemorrhoids. For grade I to III hemorrhoids, the society states that banding is usually most effective. When office treatments fail, the society recommends surgical hemorrhoidectomy (SOR: B).

The society recommends excision of thrombosed hemorrhoids less than 72 hours old and expectant treatment with

Classification of symptomatic internal hemorrhoid	
GRADE	DESCRIPTION
I	Hemorrhoids do not protrude
II	Hemorrhoids protrude but reduce spontaneously
III	Hemorrhoids protrude and require manual reduction
IV	Hemorrhoids are permanent

Source: Macfadyen RD, et al. *Gastroenterology* 2004.¹⁰

hemorrhoids that present early. Surgical hemorrhoidectomy should be reserved for when conservative treatment fails and for patients with symptomatic grade III and IV hemorrhoids.^{8,9}

References

- Greenstein J, Williams SB, Young HA, et al. Thrombosed external hemorrhoids: outcomes after conservative or surgical management. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2004;47:1493-1498.
- Jongen J, Bach S, Stalder SH, et al. Excision of thrombosed external hemorrhoids under local anesthesia: a retrospective evaluation of 340 patients. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2003;46:1228-1231.
- Perotti P, Aetropoli C, Molino D, et al. Conservative treatment of acute thrombosed external hemorrhoids with topical nifedipine. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2001;44:402-405.
- Jayaraman S, Colquhoun PH, Mathan RA. Stapled versus conventional surgery for hemorrhoids. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2004;(4):CD003393.
- Tandra JJ, Chan MK. Systematic review on the procedure for prolapse and hemorrhoids (stapled hemorrhoidopexy). *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2007;50:878-880.
- Sharmugan V, Thatha MA, Rajendranath KS, et al. Systematic review of randomized trials comparing rubber band ligation with excisional hemorrhoidectomy. *Br J Surg*. 2005;92:1481-1487.
- Johanson JF, Rimm A. Optimal nonoperative treatment of hemorrhoids: a comparative analysis of infrared coagulation, rubber band ligation, and injection sclerotherapy. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 1992;87:1600-1606.
- Alonso-Coello P, Guyatt G, Wells-Anderson D, et al. Laxatives for the treatment of hemorrhoids. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2005;(4):CD004848.

The XML Contents I

```

<record id="7843">
<url>http://www.jfponline.com/Pages.asp?AID=7843&issue=September_2009&UID=</url>
<question>Which treatments work best for hemorrhoids?</question>
<answer>
  <snip id="1">
    <sniptext>Excision is the most effective treatment for thrombosed
external hemorrhoids.</sniptext>
    <sor type="B">retrospective studies</sor>
    <long id="1.1">
      <longtext>A retrospective study of 231 patients treated
conservatively or surgically found that the 48.5% of patients
treated surgically had a lower recurrence rate than the
conservative group (number needed to treat [NNT]=2 for
recurrence at mean follow-up of 7.6 months) and earlier
resolution of symptoms (average 3.9 days compared with 24 days
for conservative treatment).</longtext>
      <ref id="15486746" abstract="Abstracts/15486746.xml">Greenspon
J, Williams SB, Young HA ,et al. Thrombosed external
hemorrhoids: outcome after conservative or surgical
management. Dis Colon Rectum. 2004; 47: 1493–1498.</ref>
    </long>
    <long id="1.2">
      <longtext>A retrospective analysis of 340 patients who underwent
outpatient excision of thrombosed external hemorrhoids under
local anesthesia reported a low recurrence rate of 6.5% at a
mean follow-up of 17.3 months.</longtext>
    </long>
  </snip>
</answer>
</record>

```

The XML Contents II

```

<ref id="12972967" abstract="Abstracts/12972967.xml">Jongen J,
Bach S, Stubinger SH ,et al. Excision of thrombosed external
hemorrhoids under local anesthesia: a retrospective evaluation
of 340 patients. Dis Colon Rectum. 2003; 46: 1226–1231.</ref>
</long>
<long id="1.3">
<longtext>A prospective , randomized controlled trial (RCT) of 98
patients treated nonsurgically found improved pain relief with a
combination of topical nifedipine 0.3% and lidocaine 1.5% compared
with lidocaine alone. The NNT for complete pain relief at 7 days was
3.</longtext>
<ref id="11289288" abstract="Abstracts/11289288.xml">Perrotti P,
Antropoli C, Molino D ,et al. Conservative treatment of acute
thrombosed external hemorrhoids with topical nifedipine. Dis
Colon Rectum. 2001; 44: 405–409.</ref>
</long>
</snip>
</answer>
</record>

```


Components of the Corpus

Question direct extract from the source

Answer split from the source and manually checked

Evidence extracted from the source

Additional text manually extracted from the source and massaged

References PMID looked up in PubMed (automatic and manual procedure)

Contents

Evidence Based Medicine

Our Corpus for Summarisation

Structure

How we Created the Corpus

Statistics

Simple Statistics

ROUGE-L Values

Annotation of Text Justifications

Goal

- ▶ Identify the text justifications
- ▶ Assign the text justifications to the answer parts

Method

- ▶ Three annotators (members of the research group)
- ▶ Annotation tool contains pre-zoned text
 - ▶ answer summary
 - ▶ body text
 - ▶ recommendations
 - ▶ references
- ▶ Annotators need to copy and paste (and massage) the text

Annotation Tool

JFP Corpus Annotation Tool

Page ID 1080

URL http://www.jfponline.com/Pages.asp?AID=1080&issue=January_2002&UID=

Title What is the most effective treatment for tinea pedis athlete's foot?

Authors Tsveti Markova, MD

[Help - How to Annotate](#)

ANSWERS

SNIP ID	SNIP TEXT	SOR TYPE	SOR BASES	REFERENCES
1	Topical therapy is effective for tinea pedis. Topical terbinafine has a 70% cure rate, is available over the counter OTC, and requires only 1 to 2 weeks of therapy. Two other OTC topical, tolnaftate and miconazole, require 2 to 4 weeks to achieve slightly lower cure rates, but are considerably less expensive.	A	None	None
1.1				
*Long				
2	The most effective treatment for tinea pedis is oral terbinafine 250 mg twice a day for 2 weeks 94% clinical cure rate. However, oral terbinafine is expensive and not approved for this indication. Oral therapy may be required for patients with hyperkeratotic soles, severe disease, topical therapy failure, chronic infection or	B	based on small randomized	None
2.1				
*Long				
*Snip *Snip				

SUMMARY

The Cochrane Database of Systemic Reviews, reported 72 placebo-controlled trials of topical agents that yielded the following cure rates: undecylenic acid, 72%; allylamines terbinafine, naftifine, butenafine, 70%; tolnaftate, 64%; azoles miconazole, clotrimazole, ketoconazole, econazole, oxiconazole, 47%. A meta-analysis of 11 RCTs suggests that allylamines are slightly more effective than azoles. (REF-1,2).

Orally administered antifungal agents are expensive and can have systemic side effects. Griseofulvin and ketoconazole are approved for oral therapy, but product labels clearly state that they should be used only after topical agents have failed. Griseofulvin has been used for more than 30 years, is well tolerated, and efficacious in treating dermatomycoses in the range of 60%. Ketoconazole's cure rate is similar, but its use in cutaneous infections is limited by multiple drug interactions and serious side effects. Three placebo-controlled RCTs of itraconazole of varying doses and duration of treatment suggested favorable clinical cure of moccasin-type tinea pedis 51%-65%. The most effective itraconazole regimen was 200 mg twice daily for 1 week. In a large double-blind multicenter study of all forms of tinea pedis, De Keyser et al compared 2 weeks of terbinafine at 250 mg/day to 2 weeks of itraconazole at 150 mg/day. After 8 weeks they found terbinafine superior to itraconazole for clinical cure 94.1% vs 72.4%. In a single multicenter open study the cure rate for fluconazole 150 mg was 77% when used once weekly for 3 weeks. (REF-3,4).

RECOMMENDATIONS

American Academy of Dermatology Guidelines recommend topical therapy for initial treatment of tinea pedis. Oral therapy may be required to treat patients with hyperkeratotic soles, disabling or extensive disease, topical therapy failure, chronic infection, or immunosuppression. Surgical therapy is not indicated. (REF-5).

REFERENCES

ID	PUBMED	CORRECT	PUBMED	SOR TYPE	PUB TYPE	CITATION
1	19040832					Crawford F, Hart R, Bell-Syer S, Torgerson D, Young P, Russell I. Cochrane Review. In: The Cochrane Library, Issue 3, 2001. Oxford: Update Software.
2	20685791					Hart R, Selly E, Bell-Syer S, Crawford F, Torgerson D, Young P, Russell I. BMJ 1999; 319: 79-82.
3	20967420					Pierard G, Arrese J, Pierard-Franchimont C. J Drugs 1996; 52: 209.
4	None					De Keyser P, De Backer M, Massart DL, Westelnick JO. Br J Dermatol 1994; 130: 22-5.
5	20947203					Drake LA, Dinehart SM, Farmer ER, et al. J Am Acad Dermatol 1996; 34: 282-6.

Annotating Answer Justifications

Conventions for text massaging

1. Remove/edit connecting phrases
2. Remove irrelevant introductory text
3. If a paragraph has several references, attempt to split the paragraph
 - ▶ May need to massage the text of resulting splits
4. If a paragraph has no references, attempt to merge with previous or next paragraph

Finding PubMed IDs

Method

1. Split the reference text into sentences
2. Remove author and pagination text
 - ▶ Use simple regexps
3. Perform a sequence of searches with all combinations of sentences

Example I

Collins NC . Is ice right? Does cryotherapy improve outcome for acute soft tissue injury? Emerg Med J. 2008; 25: 65-68.

- ▶ Collins NC .
- ▶ Is ice right?
- ▶ Does cryotherapy improve outcome for acute soft tissue injury
- ▶ Emerg Med J. 2008; 25: 65-68.

Example II

list	search	ID	title	match %
1, 2, 3	Is ice right? Does cryotherapy improve outcome for acute soft tissue injury? Emerg Med J	18212134	Is ice right? Does cryotherapy improve outcome for acute soft tissue injury?	92
1, 2	Is ice right? Does cryotherapy improve outcome for acute soft tissue injury?	18212134	Is ice right? Does cryotherapy improve outcome for acute soft tissue injury?	100
1, 3	Is ice right? Emerg Med J	18212134	Is ice right? Does cryotherapy improve outcome for acute soft tissue injury?	39
2, 3	Does cryotherapy improve outcome for acute soft tissue injury? Emerg Med J	18212134	Is ice right? Does cryotherapy improve outcome for acute soft tissue injury?	82
1	Is ice right?	None	None	0
2	Does cryotherapy improve outcome for acute soft tissue injury?	15496998	Does Cryotherapy Improve Outcomes With Soft Tissue Injury?	78
3	Emerg Med J	None	None	0

Using Amazon Mechanical Turk I

Mechanics

- ▶ AMT was used to find the correct IDs
- ▶ An AMT hit had 10 references
 - ▶ 2 known references for checking quality of annotation
- ▶ Each hit was assigned to 5 Turkers
- ▶ There was a preliminary training session

Using Amazon Mechanical Turk II

Approving and rejecting hits

Reject hit if there are two or more “bad” IDs, i.e. one of:

- ▶ A known ID is wrong
- ▶ The ID is invalid
 - ▶ Not found in PubMed
 - ▶ No title is returned
- ▶ The title of the ID does not match the title of our reference
 - ▶ threshold: 50% match
- ▶ The ID does not agree with majority

Using Amazon Mechanical Turk III

Checking validity for final annotation

- ▶ Majority wins automatically except when:
 - ▶ majority is a “bad” ID
 - ▶ majority is the “nf” ID
 - ▶ the other two are agreeing (“full house”)
- ▶ Manual check is done in all other cases

Contents

Evidence Based Medicine

Our Corpus for Summarisation

Structure

How we Created the Corpus

Statistics

Simple Statistics

ROUGE-L Values

Contents

Evidence Based Medicine

Our Corpus for Summarisation

Structure

How we Created the Corpus

Statistics

Simple Statistics


ROUGE-L Values

Corpus Statistics

Size


- ▶ 456 questions (“records”)
- ▶ 1,396 answers (“snips”)
- ▶ 3,036 text explanations (“longs”)
- ▶ 3,705 references
 - ▶ 2,908 unique references
 - ▶ 2,657 XML abstracts from PubMed

Answers per Question




Avg=3.06

Answer justifications per answer




Avg=2.17

References per answer justification




Avg=1.22

References per question




Avg=6.57

Evidence Grade



References



Contents

Evidence Based Medicine

Our Corpus for Summarisation

Structure

How we Created the Corpus

Statistics

Simple Statistics

ROUGE-L Values

ROUGE-L with Stemming for Some Baselines


System	F	Conf Interval	
baseline empty	0.193	[0.190–0.196]	—○—
baseline keywords	0.195	[0.192–0.198]	—○—
baseline umls	0.194	[0.190–0.197]	—○—
structure empty	0.196	[0.193–0.199]	—○—
structure keywords	0.193	[0.190–0.197]	—○—
structure umls	0.192	[0.189–0.195]	—○—

ROUGE-L with Stemming for All 3-Sentence Subsets I

1. Compute the ROUGE-L of all 3-sentence subsets in each abstract
2. Find the decile boundaries in each abstract
3. Find the distribution of decile boundaries

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Mean	0.094	0.136	0.153	0.164	0.176	0.188
Std Dev	0.060	0.062	0.065	0.067	0.070	0.073
	6	7	8	9	10	
Mean	0.200	0.213	0.229	0.249	0.299	
Std Dev	0.076	0.081	0.087	0.094	0.112	

ROUGE-L with Stemming for All 3-Sentence Subsets II



That's All

Evidence Based Medicine

Our Corpus for Summarisation

Structure

How we Created the Corpus

Statistics

Simple Statistics

ROUGE-L Values

Questions?