9. THE ALGEBRA-GEOMETRY DICTIONARY

Goal: study the correspondence

Varieties

W

I(W)

(p:p(a)=0 VacW}

Sa: pa) Ype J3

The correspondence is not a byection: (1) For $I = \langle x \rangle$, $J = \langle x^i \rangle$ in K[x] $V(I) = \{O\} = V(J)$ More generally for any $pek[x_i, -x_n]$ and meN

 $V(p) = V(p^m)$ but $\langle p^m \rangle \subsetneq \langle p \rangle$ (2) Non-algebraically closed fields are more problematic: In R[x] for $T = \langle 1 + x^2 \rangle$, $J = \langle 1 + x^2 + x^4 \rangle$ $T \subsetneq J$, $J \subsetneq I$, $V(I) = V(J) = \emptyset$

Smilarly in R[x,y] $V(1+x^2+y^2) = V(1+x^2+y^4) = V(1+x^2y^2) = \emptyset$ $(1+x^2+y^2) \neq (1+x^2+y^4) \neq (1+x^2y^2)$

(3) Suppose ICK[x] with VCI)=0. Univariate ideals are principal, so $T = \langle p \rangle$, $p \in K[x] \Rightarrow V(p) = V(T) = \emptyset$ IF K algebraically closed, then $V(p) = \emptyset \Rightarrow p$ nonzero constant ラ I=</>
ント K[x] Theorem 9.2 (Weak Nullstellensatz) Let K be algebraically closed and Ick(x, xn) an ideal. Then $V(I) = \emptyset \iff I = k[x_1 - y_n]$ Proof "=" is immediate. The nontrivial dain is I & K[x, -xn] => V(I) = Ø. This follow by induction on $n \ge 1$. The case N=1 is Example 9.1(3). For the induction step we will prove B I SK[x,-,xn] → Back st. Ixn=a SK[x,-,xn-] where $I_{x_n=a} = \{ p(x_1, x_{n-1}, a) \in K[x_1, x_{n+1}] : p \in T \}.$ The proof of & splits into two cases.

Case 1: In Ktx1 = 503. Let O ≠ p ∈ Ink[xn]. Since K is algebraically closed, Fc, an anek st. $p = C \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x_n - a_i)$ Note: m=1 succ otherwise) = EPGI Claim: A holds for some a=aj j=1,-,m. Mart: Suppose not. Then for each jel, -, m $I_{x_n=a_j}=K[x_1-x_{n+1}] \Rightarrow |EI_{x_n=a_j}$ => JqieI such that go(x, -, xn1, qi)=1 Then $q_i = 1 + (x_n - a_i) \cdot h_i$ for some high [x_-, x_n]: IF $q = \sum_{x} c_{x} x^{\alpha} x_{n}^{\alpha n}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_{1} - \alpha_{1} + \alpha_{1}) = (\overline{\alpha}, \alpha_{1})$ then $q_i(x_i - x_{n-1}, a_j + x_n - a_j) = \sum_{n} c_n x_n^{n} (a_j + (x_n - a_j))^{\alpha_n}$ $=\sum_{x}C_{x}\left(\overline{x}^{x}a_{j}^{x}+(x_{n}-a_{j})(---)\right)$ $1 = \prod_{i=1}^{m} (q_i - (x_n - q_i) \cdot h_i) \in \prod_{i=1}^{m} (I + (x_n - q_i) \cdot h_i)$ $= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n - a) h_j + I$

Hence & must hold for some j=1, ... m

Case 2: Ink[x_]=0 Let G= {g, -, ge} Gretner beso of I in lex.

Decompose the leading monomials as

LM(gi) = x x x mi, x monomel in x = x -1

and collect all terms with a xxi factor

(xn) x + ... terms < x -where O≠GEK[xn]

Let ack be such that Ci(a) =0 \fi=1,-, t

(Note: This exists since an algebraically closed field is infinite) Since G is a basy of I,

gi= gi(x,-,x,-,a) & K(x,-,x,-], c=1,-+

is a basis of Ix=a.

Clain! G= [9, -, ge3 is a Grother base of Ixn=a, Prove: We will use the Len-representation generalization

of Buchberger's unterior. For 1sijst, let x = lan(x di, x di) and ansider

 $S = C_i(x_n) \cdot \frac{x^n}{x^n} g_i - C_i(x_n) \frac{x^n}{x^n} g_j$

By Ex LT(5) < x8.

By polynomial division we get a standard representation $S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}g_{i}$ LT(q191) \leq LT(S) Evaluating at $x_n = q$, we get where $\overline{q}_{L} = q_{L}(x, -, x_{n-1}, a) \in K[x, -, x_{n-1}]$ Here he have • $\overline{S} = C_1(6)C_2(6) \cdot S(\overline{g_1}, \overline{g_1})$ · LT(quge) & LT(quge) & LT(S) < x8 • $x^{8} = \text{Len}(x^{\alpha i}, x^{\alpha s}) = \text{Len}(LM(\overline{g_{i}}), LM(\overline{g_{j}}))$ So each 5-polynomial S(gi,gi) EKEt, - that hus a lon-representation >> G Grather basis. To conclude the proof, observe that LT(g) = C(c) x xi is not a constant since otherwise LN(gi) = xxi xnmi = xnmi => gicklim] and then Interno => 9:=0.

Here LT(gi) X 1 for all i=1, -++
so 1 \notin I x_n=a. \D

PEC(t) & le(p) => 3 whiten to p=0 Week Nullstellensatz: P. - , Pm & C(x1 - , 5n) & 1841-, PM> => F solution to P = -= Pn=0 Theorem 9.3 (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz) Let K be an algebraically closed field and $I = \langle P_1, - P_2 \rangle \subset K[x_1, - x_n]$. Then feI(V(I)) (=> fmeI from meN "E" IF FMEI, then fMa) = O YCEVCI) so also Fla)=0 VaeV(I). "=>" Rabinomitsch's trick! Consider the ideal J := < P1,-2 P5, 1-yf> < K[x1. , xn,y] Claim: V(J) = Ø Prof: Let Ca, b) & K^xK. Either a & V(I) or a & V(I). IF GEV(I) then by assumption F(G)=0, so $(1-y+)(a,b) = 1-b \cdot f(a) = 1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow (a,b) \notin V(J)$ IF adV(I) then Polable Polable Polable For some i=1-,5 so again (G, DE V(J).

tendencetal Theorem of algebra:

Apply the weak Nullstelle reatz to obtain l∈J, so 1 = £ 91Pi + 9(1-yF) For some 9, -, 9n, ge K[t, -, tn, y] Formally substituting y= 1/f(x,-,xn) we get a rational expression in x -, xn 1= 2 9il x - x , +) Pil x - x) Clearing denominators, we obtain a polynomial identity F = Equ Pi => F EI. D I(v) is a special type of ideal: f"GI(V) = fEI(V) Vefinition 9,4 11) An ideal I is radical if froI => foI. (2) The radical of an ideal I is the set

JI = {f: fmeI for some MEN}

Example 9,5 Let $I = \langle x^2, y^3 \rangle \subset K[x,y]$, so xeJI and yeJI Then also xy GSI snce (xy)2=x2.y2 and by the binonial formula xty EJI since $(x+y)^{4} = x^{4} + 4x^{3}y + 6x^{2}y^{2} + 4xy^{3} + y^{4} \in I$ $multiples of x^{2} \qquad multiples of y^{3}$ Lemma 9.6 If ICKE, is a deel, then JI is a redical ideal with ICJI, Proof ICJI is immediate (take m=1) That JI is an ideal follows by the agument of Example 9,5: peJI >> pmeI >> (pq)meI for all q PACTI => pmcI and glcI => (p+q)m+l-1 = [m+l-1] piqm+l-1-i eI since each summend has either izm or

since each summed has either izm or

i=m-1 => m+L-1-i = L.

Finally, fm=SI => fm=SI sosI is reduced if

Theorem 9.7 (Strong Nullstellensetz)

Let K be algebraically closed

and $I \subset K[X; -X; J]$ an ideal. Then $I(V(I)) = \sqrt{I}$

Proof
Hilbert's Nullstellensate => $I(V(T)) \subset I$.

For the converse, let Fe/I, so $f^m \in I$.

Then $f^m(a) = 0$ $\forall a \in V(I)$ so also f(a) = 0 $\forall a \in V(I)$ and $f \in I(V(I))$. \square

Convention: If we don't specify atterwise, then "Nullstellensate" = Strong Nullstellensate

Lemma 9,8

IF ICKER, In I reduce ideal, then JI = I.

Proof

Lenna 9.6 ⇒ ICJI.

Conversely, if pEJI, then pMEI for some I.

Since I is reduced, we get P&I. D