5. MONOMIAL ORDERS

Definition S.1

A monomic order > on $K[x_1,...,x_n]$ is a relation > on \mathbb{N}^n satisfying

(i) > is a total order:

> is transitive, and

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, exactly one of $\alpha > \beta$, $\alpha = \beta$, $\alpha \in \beta$ holds

(ii) for any $\alpha, \beta, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ $\alpha > \beta \Rightarrow \alpha + \beta > \beta + \gamma$ (iii) > is a well order:

every non-empty subset $A \in \mathbb{N}^n$ has a minimal element $\alpha \in A$ (B) α for all $B \in A \setminus \{\alpha\}$)

We will use $\alpha > 13$ and $x^{\alpha} > x^{13}$ interchangeably We also denote $\alpha \ge 13$ for $(\alpha > 13)$ or $\alpha = 13)$

Example 5.2 In K[t], there is a cononical monomial order: the standard order > on N, so $t^5 > t^4 > t > 1$ etc. Lemma 5.3

A total order > on \mathbb{N}^n is a well order

if and only if there is no infinite strictly decreasing sequence $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 > \cdots$

 $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 > ...$ Proof

Let $\alpha_1 \ge \alpha_2 \ge \alpha_3 \ge ...$ and consider $A = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \ldots\}$ Well order $\Rightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \alpha_n = mn A$

⇒ $\alpha_1 \ge \alpha_2 \ge ... \ge \alpha_n = \alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_{n+2} = ...$ So no infinite strictly decreasing sequence exuts.

E" suppose > is not a well order, so JACN"
without a minimal element.
Pick any α, GA . It is not minimal, so $\exists \alpha z \in A$, $\alpha z < \alpha$.

az u not minimal, so Jaz, az cazca.

By induction we obtain an infinite sequence $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 > \dots$

Definition 5.4 Let $\alpha = (\alpha, -, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\beta = (\beta_1, -, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ multi-indico. 1) lexicographic order (lex) a.k.a. dictionary order Or lex 13 if the left-must nonzero entry of a-B is positive. (0,3,0) < (1,1,3) < (2,0,1)2) degree lexicographic order (deglex) a > deglex B if . |a| > |B| or · |a| = |B| and a >lex B (0,3,0) < (7,0,1) < (1,1,3)3) degree reverse lexiographic order (degrevlex) ar>degrevex B if • |a| > 1B| or · | al = | B| and the right-most nonzero entry of α -D is negative (2,0,1) < (0,3,0) < (1,1,3)4) w eighted degree reverse lexicographic order (wdegreviex) Fix weights $W = (W_1, ..., W_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n} = \{1, 2, 3, ..., 3^n \}$ · | a| v > | B| w , where | a| w = \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widelightarrow \otag{\text{or}} \) · lal = IBl and the right-most nonzero entry of α -D is negative $W=(10,7,1) \Rightarrow (1,1,3) < (2,0,1) < (0,3,0)$

Proposition 5.5

lex, deglex, degrevlex, when we monomial orders.

Proof

(i) total order: All of the above consider &-B & Z^n

to break ties. If & \$B\$, then &-B has either

a First/last positive/hegative term, so &>B oracB.

For transitivity, suppose &>B and B>8.

Then &>B follows From &-B = (&-B) + (B-B)

(ii) additivity: Let $\alpha > \beta$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Since $|\alpha + \beta| = |\alpha| + |\beta|$ and $|\alpha + \beta|_W = |\alpha|_W + |\beta|_W$, and $(\alpha + \beta) - (\beta + \beta) = \alpha - \beta$, it follows that $\alpha > \beta \implies \alpha + \beta > \beta + \beta$. (iii) well order:

For degler, degrevier, udegrevier, if Bla, then

Bi = | B| = | a| | == ...

B = {0,1,..., | a|}

That is, for a = N, there are only finitely many Bla

=> every $A \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ has a minimum.

For lex, let $A \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ and define $A = A_0 > A_1 > ... > A_n$ $A_{i+1} = \{ \alpha \in A_i : \alpha_i = \min \{ B_i : B \in A_i \} \}$

Then $\alpha \in A_n$ is the minimal elevent of A: by induction $A_c \ni \alpha \leq B \in A \setminus A$:

Definition 5.6

Let > be a monomial order on Klannan]

and $p = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \times^{\alpha} \in K[x_1, -x_n].$ The multidegree of p is

multides (p) = max, {x \in N^: ax \neq 0}

• The leading coefficient of p is $LC(p) = O_{multipag(p)}$

- The leading monomial of P is $LM(p) = x^{multideg(p)}$

. The leading term of p is

 $LT(p) = L((p) \cdot LM(p)$

Convention: if a monomial order > is fixed
we write polynomials with terms in decrearing order

Example 57

Using ler order in Q[x,y,z] $P = \frac{1}{2}x^{2}z - 3xyz^{3} + \frac{2}{7}y^{3}$ (2,0,1) > (1,1,3) > (0,3,0)

multidag p = (2,0,1), $LC(p) = \frac{1}{2}$

 $LM(p) = x^2 Z,$ $LT(p) = \frac{1}{7}x^2 Z$

Lemma 5.8 Let p,gek[x,...xn] and > monomial order. (i) multideg (pg) = multideg (p) + multideg (q) (ii) multideg (p+g) < max (multideg (p), multideg (q)) Proof Let $p = \sum a_{x} x^{\alpha}$ and $q = \sum b_{B} x^{B}$ (1) Since pg = \(\sigma_{a} \begin{array}{c} b_{B} \times^{a+B} \end{array} \) we have multideg (pg) = max { x+B: Qx =0, bx =0 } Let $\overline{a} = multides(p)$ and $\overline{D} = multides(g)$ so that ax #0 => a ≤ a and bB #0 => B ≤ B Then by additinty of a monomial order andp =0 => a+B = a+B = a+B so multideg $(pq) = \vec{x} + \vec{b}$. (ii) p+q= [(ax+bx) x , so multideg (prg) = max {a: ax+bx +0} =: 8 Since any +by +0 either any +0 or by +0 (or both). IF agto, then 8's multides P if by +0, then Y= multidey q > 8 = max (mutides p, nultides q) D

Theorem 5.9 (multiveriate polynomial division) Let > be a monomial order on K[xi-xn] and $P = (P_1 - P_s)$ an ordered tuple, Piek[xi-th] Then YFEK[x,->th] Jan-gs, rek[x,-th] s.t. f= 91P1 + - + 95Ps +0 where multideg f = multideg (qipi) for i=1,-,s and either 120, or none of the monomids of r are divisible by LT(p,), ..., LT(ps). Example 5.10 Consider lex order on R[xy,t] Let $f = x^2 - 2x - y$ $p_1 = x - t - 1$ $p_2 = y - t^2 + 1$ be the polynomials from Example 4.5. $LT(F) = x^2$ is divisible by $LT(P_1) = x$ If q =x then qp = x2-xt-x ⇒ f = q,p, + xt-x-y LT(xt-x-y)=xt is still divuble by LT(P)=x IF q = x+t then q.p, = x2-x-t2-t => f= q,p,-x-y+2+t $LT(-x-y+t^2+t)=-x$ still divisible by $LT(p_i)=x$ IF $q_1 = x + t - 1$ then $f = q_1 p_1 - y + t^2 - 1 = q_1 p_1 - p_2$ 60 for q=x+t-1, qz=-1 we have r=0.

LTLr) multideg r 9, 92 χZ (2,0,0) 0 x2-2x-y 0 xt-x-y (1,0,1) × 0 Xt X+t -x-y++2++ 0 ~X (10,0,1) -y+t2+1 0 (0,1,0) X+E-1 -9 0 -1 x+E-1 LT(r) is decreasing Key feature: Proof of Theorem 5.9 Consider the following algorithm modifying 9, 9, 94, 1: Start with $q_1 = --= q_5 = 0$, r = 0, and g = f. While g ≠0: (remainder) If $LT(pi) \nmid LT(g)$, replace Step r := r + LT(g)g := g - LT(g)| divosa | Othermue, let i be the first index Step / such that LT(Pi) | LT(9). Replace $q_i := q_i + LT(g)/LT(P_i)$ 9 = 9 - Pi LT(g)/LT(Pi)We clam that this algorithm stops after Finitely many steps and the realting que 4s, r setusisthe change

In the computation we had

First, we claim that f=q,p,+--+q,p+9+0 holds throughout the algorithm: · in a remainder step g+r is unchanged: (g-LTG))+(r+LTG))=g+r · in a division step gift +9 is unchanged: $\left(q_i + \frac{LTG}{LT(P_i)}\right)P_i + \left(g - P_i \frac{LTG}{LT(P_i)}\right) = q_iP_i + g$ Second, we claim that multideg (g) is decreasing ! · in a remainder step, either y-LT(g)=0 or multideg (g-LTG)) < multideg g · in a division step, observe that (see Lemma 5.8) $LT(p_i \cdot LT(g)/LT(p_i)) = LT(g),$ so agam multideg(g-pilt(g)/2T(g)) < multideg (g) By Lemna 5.3, after finitely many steps we must reach g=0 and the algorithm stops. Then F=917+ -+938+1 By construction none of the terms added to r are divisible by any LT(Pi). Finally, every term of qi is of the form LTG/LT(A) Using Lemma 5.8 we obtain multideg (q: Pi) < multideg (g) < multideg (F) ...