

Group Assignment 1

Introduction to Data Science H23

Elise Hammarström Theodora Moldovan Ella Schmidtbreick
Georgios Tsouderos Finn Vaughankraska

January 3, 2024

All group members attempted the proofs/problems individually before meeting. After discussing, we finalized the problems and each of us chose a problem to write up in \LaTeX . Lemma 1.14 and the “tower property” were written by Ella, Lemma 2.8 was written by Theodora, Finn and Georgios did property 4 of Lemma 2.18, and Exercise 2.59 was written by Elise.

1 Proof Lemma 1.14

We want to prove the following Lemma:

Lemma 1. *Given a probability triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ then for $A \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mathbb{P}(A) \neq 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(\cdot \mid A) : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

is a probability measure as in Definition 1.10 over (Ω, \mathcal{F}) .

Proof. Let $B \in \mathcal{F}$ be an arbitrary event. By definition 1.13. the following is holds:

$$\mathbb{P}(B \mid A) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(A)}$$

Show axiom 1 ”Something happens”:

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega \mid A) \stackrel{1.13}{=} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\Omega \cap A)}{\mathbb{P}(A)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A)}{\mathbb{P}(A)} = 1$$

Show axiom 2 ”Addition rule”: Let $B, C \in \mathcal{F}$ be arbitrary sets with $B \cap C = \emptyset$. Then, the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(B \cup C \mid A) &\stackrel{1.13}{=} \frac{\mathbb{P}([B \cup C] \cap A)}{\mathbb{P}(A)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}([A \cap B] \cup [A \cap C])}{\mathbb{P}(A)} \stackrel{1.10}{=} \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) + \mathbb{P}(A \cap C)}{\mathbb{P}(A)} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(A)} + \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap C)}{\mathbb{P}(A)} \stackrel{1.13}{=} \mathbb{P}(B \mid A) + \mathbb{P}(C \mid A) \end{aligned}$$

Remark that from $B \cap C = \emptyset$ it directly follows, that $[A \cap B] \cap [A \cap C] = \emptyset$. □

2 Proof Lemma 2.8

We want to prove the following Lemma:

Lemma 2. *Given a probability triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and an event $A \in \mathcal{F}$, the following properties hold:*

1. $\mathbb{1}_A = 1 - \mathbb{1}_{A^c}$ (complementation behaves like the probability) (1)
2. $\mathbb{1}_{A \cap B} = \mathbb{1}_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_B$ (intersection becomes product) (2)
3. $\mathbb{1}_{A \cup B} = \mathbb{1}_A + \mathbb{1}_B - \mathbb{1}_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_B$ (union becomes addition - intersection) (3)

Proof. To prove that each property holds, we evaluate all cases for each property.

1. Complementation behaves like the probability: $\mathbb{1}_A = 1 - \mathbb{1}_{A^c}$

Case 1: If $\omega \in A$, then $\mathbb{1}_{A(\omega)} = 1$ and $\mathbb{1}_{A^c(\omega)} = 0$. Thus, $\mathbb{1}_{A(\omega)} = 1 - \mathbb{1}_{A^c(\omega)} = 1 - 0 = 1$.

Case 2: If $\omega \notin A$, then $\mathbb{1}_{A(\omega)} = 0$ and $\mathbb{1}_{A^c(\omega)} = 1$. Thus, $\mathbb{1}_{A(\omega)} = 1 - \mathbb{1}_{A^c(\omega)} = 1 - 1 = 0$.

2. Intersection becomes product: $\mathbb{1}_{A \cap B} = \mathbb{1}_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_B$

Case 1: If $\omega \in A \cap B$, then $\mathbb{1}_{A(\omega)} = 1$ and $\mathbb{1}_{B(\omega)} = 1$. Thus, $\mathbb{1}_{A(\omega)} * \mathbb{1}_{B(\omega)} = 1 * 1 = 1 = \mathbb{1}_{A \cap B}$

Case 2a: If $\omega \notin A \cap B$, then $\mathbb{1}_{A(\omega)} = 0$ and $\mathbb{1}_{B(\omega)} = 0$. Thus, $\mathbb{1}_{A(\omega)} * \mathbb{1}_{B(\omega)} = 0 * 0 = 0 = \mathbb{1}_{A \cap B}$

Case 2b: If $\omega \notin A \cap B$, then $\mathbb{1}_{A(\omega)} = 1$ and $\mathbb{1}_{B(\omega)} = 0$. Thus, $\mathbb{1}_{A(\omega)} * \mathbb{1}_{B(\omega)} = 1 * 0 = 0 = \mathbb{1}_{A \cap B}$

Case 2c: If $\omega \notin A \cap B$, then $\mathbb{1}_{A(\omega)} = 0$ and $\mathbb{1}_{B(\omega)} = 1$. Thus, $\mathbb{1}_{A(\omega)} * \mathbb{1}_{B(\omega)} = 0 * 1 = 0 = \mathbb{1}_{A \cap B}$

3. Union becomes addition - intersection: $\mathbb{1}_{A \cup B} = \mathbb{1}_A + \mathbb{1}_B - \mathbb{1}_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_B$

Case 1a: If $\omega \in A \cup B$ and $\omega \notin A \cap B$, then $\mathbb{1}_{A(\omega)} = 1$ or $\mathbb{1}_{B(\omega)} = 1$ and $\mathbb{1}_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_B = 0$, since one of the factors is 0. Thus, $\mathbb{1}_A + \mathbb{1}_B - \mathbb{1}_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_B = 1 + 0 - 0 = 1 = \mathbb{1}_{A \cup B(\omega)}$

Case 1b: If $\omega \in A \cup B$ and $\omega \in A \cap B$, then $\mathbb{1}_{A(\omega)} = 1$ and $\mathbb{1}_{B(\omega)} = 1$ as well as $\mathbb{1}_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_B = 1$. Thus, $\mathbb{1}_A + \mathbb{1}_B - \mathbb{1}_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_B = 1 + 1 - 1 = 1 = \mathbb{1}_{A \cup B(\omega)}$

Case 2: If $\omega \notin A \cup B$, then $\mathbb{1}_{A(\omega)} = 0$ and $\mathbb{1}_{B(\omega)} = 0$. Thus, $\mathbb{1}_A + \mathbb{1}_B - \mathbb{1}_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_B = 0 + 0 - 0 = 0 = \mathbb{1}_{A \cup B(\omega)}$.

□

3 Proof property 4 of Theorem 2.18

Theorem 2.18.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability triple, and let X be an \mathbb{R} -valued continuous random variable. Then the following holds:

Property 4:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 1$$

Proof. To prove the equation above, we use property 3 of Theorem 2.18. The following holds:

$$\int_a^b f(u) du = F(b) - F(a)$$

Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} F(X = b) - \lim_{a \rightarrow -\infty} F(X = a)$$

Then by continuity, $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F(x) = 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = 1$. Let's consider two number sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ such that $\{x_n\}$ is decreasing and $x_n \rightarrow -\infty$, while $\{y_n\}$ is increasing and $y_n \rightarrow \infty$. Take $A_n = \{\xi < x_n\}$ and $B_n = \{\xi < y_n\}$. Since x_n tends monotonically to $-\infty$, the sequence of sets A_n decreases monotonically to $\bigcap A_n = \emptyset$.

For any sequence of events A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots that is decreasing (i.e., $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq A_3 \supseteq \dots$) and their intersection is the empty set (i.e., $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset$), the probability of these events also behaves in a continuous manner, i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n) = 0$.

Then, by continuity, $P(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which is the same as $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n) = 0$. This and the monotonicity of $F(x)$ imply that $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F(x) = 0$. Since the sequence $\{y_n\}$ tends monotonically to ∞ , the sequence of sets B_n increases to $\bigcup B_n = \Omega$. Thus, the sequence of sets increases to the entire sample space. As a result, $P(B_n) \rightarrow 1$. This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(y_n) = 1$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = 1$. Thus,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} F(X = b) - \lim_{a \rightarrow -\infty} F(X = a) = 1 - 0 = 1$$

□

4 Solution Exercise 2.59

X and Y are two independent fair coin tosses (with a two-sided coin), i.e. $X, Y \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1/2)$.

$$\text{A coin toss} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{Heads} \\ 0 & \text{Tails} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{We let } Z = X + Y \quad (5)$$

We want to find the PMF of $Z = X + Y$ given X . As we have two independent coin tosses, X and Y , the probability for each outcome of Heads/Tails is $1/2$ respectively:

$$\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 1/2$$

$$\mathbb{P}(x = 1) = 1/2$$

$$\mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 1/2$$

$$\mathbb{P}(y = 1) = 1/2$$

When X is known, we have two cases:

Case 1: $X = 0$ (tails)

If $X = 0$, there are two possibilities of Y , and therefore also two possibilities of Z .

$$\text{If } X = 0 \text{ and } Y = 0 \Rightarrow Z = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\text{If } X = 0 \text{ and } Y = 1 \Rightarrow Z = 1 \quad (7)$$

With the probabilities as follows:

$$\mathbb{P}(Z = 0|X = 0) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y = 0, X = 0)}{\mathbb{P}(X = 0)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = 0) \cdot \mathbb{P}(Y = 0)}{\mathbb{P}(X = 0)} = \mathbb{P}(Y = 0) = 1/2 \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Z = 1|X = 0) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y = 1, X = 0)}{\mathbb{P}(X = 0)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = 0) \cdot \mathbb{P}(Y = 1)}{\mathbb{P}(X = 0)} = \mathbb{P}(Y = 1) = 1/2 \quad (9)$$

Case 2: $X = 1$ (heads)

If $X = 1$, there are two possibilities of Y , and therefore also two possibilities of Z .

$$\text{If } X = 1 \text{ and } Y = 0 \Rightarrow Z = 1 \quad (10)$$

$$\text{If } X = 1 \text{ and } Y = 1 \Rightarrow Z = 2 \quad (11)$$

With the probabilities as follows:

$$\mathbb{P}(Z = 1|X = 1) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y = 0, X = 1)}{\mathbb{P}(X = 1)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = 1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(Y = 0)}{\mathbb{P}(X = 1)} = \mathbb{P}(Y = 0) = 1/2 \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Z = 2|X = 1) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y = 1, X = 1)}{\mathbb{P}(X = 1)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = 1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(Y = 1)}{\mathbb{P}(X = 1)} = \mathbb{P}(Y = 1) = 1/2 \quad (13)$$

The PMF of Z given X :

$$PMF_{z|x} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}(Z = 0|X = 0) = 1/2 \\ \mathbb{P}(Z = 1|X = 0) = 1/2 \\ \mathbb{P}(Z = 1|X = 1) = 1/2 \\ \mathbb{P}(Z = 2|X = 1) = 1/2 \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

And the joint PMF of (Z, X) :

$$PMF_{(z,x)} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}(Z = 0, X = 0) = 1/4 \\ \mathbb{P}(Z = 1, X = 0) = 1/4 \\ \mathbb{P}(Z = 1, X = 1) = 1/4 \\ \mathbb{P}(Z = 2, X = 1) = 1/4 \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

5 Proof “tower property” (Theorem 2.60) for a discrete random variable taking a finite number of values

Theorem 1 (The tower property). *Let (X, Y) be a \mathbb{R}^2 valued RV where $\mathbb{E}[X]$ is well defined. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X | Y]] = \mathbb{E}[X]$$

Proof. We want to proof the tower property for discrete RV's. We denote $g(y) = \mathbb{E}[X | Y = y]$ and then define

$$\mathbb{E}[X | Y] := g(Y)$$

Lets begin with writing down the LHS:

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X | Y]] \stackrel{g(Y)}{=} \mathbb{E}[g(Y)] \tag{16}$$

$$\stackrel{2.53}{=} \sum_y g(y) f_Y(y) \tag{17}$$

$$\stackrel{g(y)}{=} \sum_y \mathbb{E}[X | Y = y] f_Y(y) \tag{18}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_y \left(\sum_x x f_{X|Y}(x | y) \right) f_Y(y) \tag{19}$$

$$= \sum_y \sum_x x f_{X|Y}(x | y) f_Y(y) \tag{20}$$

$$\stackrel{2.58}{=} \sum_y \sum_x x f_{X,Y}(x, y) \tag{21}$$

$$= \sum_x \sum_y x f_{X,Y}(x, y) \tag{22}$$

$$= \sum_x x \left(\sum_y f_{X,Y}(x, y) \right) \tag{23}$$

$$= \sum_x x f_X(x) \stackrel{2.30}{=} \mathbb{E}[X] \tag{24}$$

In step 24 the definition of the marginal probability mass function is used. □