Student Name: Erin Sarlak Collaboration Statement:

Total hours spent: 15

I discussed ideas with these individuals:

• Patrick Feeney

I consulted the following resources:

• Linear Algebra Cookbook

By submitting this assignment, I affirm this is my own original work that abides by the course collaboration policy.

Links: [HW2 instructions] [collab. policy]

Contents

1a:	Solution																	2
1b:	Solution																	3
2a:	Solution																	3
3a:	Solution																	4
3b:	Solution																	5
30.	Solution																	6

1a: Problem Statement

Compute the expected value of estimator $\hat{\sigma}^2(x_1, \dots x_N)$, where

$$\hat{\sigma}^2(x_1, \dots x_N) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \mu_{\text{true}})^2$$
 (1)

1a: Solution

We start with:

$$E[\sigma^2] = E\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \mu_{\text{true}})^2\right]$$
 (2)

By the linearity of expectation, we have:

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} E[(x_n - \mu_{\text{true}})^2]$$
 (3)

Expanding the square inside the expectation, we obtain:

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} E[(x_n^2 - 2x_n \mu_{\text{true}} + \mu_{\text{true}}^2)]$$
 (4)

Recognizing that μ_{true} is constant with respect to the expectation, we can factor it out:

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} E[x_n^2] - 2\mu_{\text{true}} E[x_n] + \mu_{\text{true}}^2$$
 (5)

Using the linearity of expectation, we split it into two terms:

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (E[x_n^2] - 2\mu_{\text{true}} E[x_n]) + \mu_{\text{true}}^2$$
 (6)

Then, we substitute $E[x_n^2] = \mu_{\text{true}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{true}}^2$ and $E[x_n] = \mu_{\text{true}}$:

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mu_{\text{true}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{true}}^2 - 2\mu_{\text{true}}^2) + \mu_{\text{true}}^2$$
 (7)

Simplifying, we get:

$$= \sigma_{\text{true}}^2 \tag{8}$$

1b: Problem Statement

Using your result in 1a, explain if the estimator $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is biased or unbiased. Explain why this differs from the biased-ness of the maximum likelihood estimator for the variance, using a justification that involves the mathematical definition of each estimator. (Hint: Why would one be lower than the other?).

1b: Solution

The estimator $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is unbiased because its expected value equals to σ_{true} . On the other hand, the maximum likelihood estimator for the variance is biased because its expected value takes the form of $E[\sigma^2(x_1...x_N)] = \frac{N-1}{N} \cdot \sigma_{true}^2 \neq \sigma_{true}$. Therefore, the estimated variance does not match the truth especially for small sample sizes.

2a: Problem Statement

Suppose you are told that a vector random variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^M$ has the following log PDF function:

$$\log p(x) = \mathbf{c} - \frac{1}{2}x^T A x + b^T x \tag{9}$$

where A is a symmetric positive definite matrix, b is any vector, and c is any scalar constant.

Show that x has a multivariate Gaussian distribution.

2a: Solution

$$= constant + \frac{1}{2}(x^T - \mu^T)S(x - \mu) \tag{10}$$

Distribute the multiplication over the subtraction:

$$= constant + \frac{1}{2}(x^T - \mu^T)S(x - \mu) \tag{11}$$

Expand using the distributive property of matrix multiplication:

$$= constant + \frac{1}{2}(x^{T}Sx - x^{T}S\mu - \mu^{T}Sx + \mu^{T}S\mu)$$
 (12)

Since S is symmetric, we know that $x^T S \mu = \mu^T S x$, and we can group terms differently:

$$= constant + \frac{1}{2}(x^T S x - 2(x^T S \mu) + \mu^T S \mu)$$
 (13)

Let: $c = constant + \mu^T S \mu$, combining the constant terms.

$$= c + \frac{1}{2}(x^T S x - 2(x^T S \mu)) \tag{14}$$

Let:

1.
$$b = S\mu$$

2.
$$A = S$$

, then we get:

$$= c + \frac{1}{2}x^T S x - b^T x \tag{15}$$

We found the values for A, b, and C that recovers the formula for the multivariate Gaussian, and , by showing they are equal, we proved that x has a multivariate Gaussian.

3a: Problem Statement

Show that we can write $S_{N+1}^{-1} = S_N^{-1} + vv^T$ for some vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^M$.

3a: Solution

$$S_{N+1}^{-1} = S_N^{-1} + vv^T (16)$$

Given that $S_N^{-1}=\alpha I_M+\beta\Phi_{1:N}^T\Phi_{1:N}$, when we add one extra feature-response pair (ϕ_{N+1},t_{N+1}) , the S_{N+1}^{-1} becomes:

$$S_{N+1}^{-1} = \alpha I_M + \beta \Phi_{1:N+1}^T \Phi_{1:N+1}$$
 (17)

Subtracting S_N^{-1} from S_{N+1}^{-1} and then simplifying the expression results in:

$$S_{N+1}^{-1} - S_N^{-1} = (\alpha I_M + \beta \Phi_{1:N+1}^T \Phi_{1:N+1}) - (\alpha I_M + \beta \Phi_{1:N}^T \Phi_{1:N})$$
 (18)

(19)

$$= \beta \cdot (\Phi_{1:N+1}^T \Phi_{1:N+1} - \Phi_{1:N}^T \Phi_{1:N})$$
 (20)

(21)

Here, $\Phi_{1:N+1}^T \Phi_{1:N+1}$ an be expanded as:

$$\Phi_{1:N+1}^T \Phi_{1:N+1} = \Phi_{1:N}^T \Phi_{1:N} + \phi_{N+1}^T \phi_{N+1}$$
(22)

Substitute this in (20):

$$= \beta \cdot (\Phi_{1:N}^T \Phi_{1:N} + \phi_{N+1} \phi_{N+1}^T - \Phi_{1:N}^T \Phi_{1:N})$$
 (23)

(24)

$$= \beta \boldsymbol{\phi}_{N+1} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{N+1}^{T} \tag{25}$$

Expression (25) could be turned into vv^T :

$$v = \sqrt{\beta}\phi_{N+1} \tag{26}$$

3b: Problem Statement

Next, consider the following identity, which holds for any invertible matrix A:

$$(A + vv^{T})^{-1} = A^{-1} - \frac{(A^{-1}v)(v^{T}A^{-1})}{1 + v^{T}A^{-1}v}$$
(27)

Substitute $A = S_N^{-1}$ and v as defined in 3a into the above. Simplify to write an expression for S_{N+1} in terms of S_N .

3b: Solution

Substitute $A=S_N^{-1}$ and $v=\sqrt{\beta}\phi_{N+1}$ in (27): (note that: $\beta(S_N^{-1})^{-1}=S_N$)

$$(S_N^{-1} + vv^T)^{-1} = S_N - \frac{(S_N \sqrt{\beta}\phi_{N+1})((\sqrt{\beta}\phi_{N+1})^T S_N)}{1 + (\sqrt{\beta}\phi_{N+1})^T S_N(\sqrt{\beta}\phi_{N+1})}$$
(28)

Since $S_{N+1}^{-1} = S_N^{-1} + vv^T$, the $LHS = S_{N+1}$:

$$S_{N+1} = S_N - \frac{(S_N \sqrt{\beta} \phi_{N+1})((\sqrt{\beta} \phi_{N+1})^T S_N)}{1 + (\sqrt{\beta} \phi_{N+1})^T S_N(\sqrt{\beta} \phi_{N+1})}$$
(29)

3c: Problem Statement

Finally, combine your result from 3b with the following two facts

• (i)
$$\sigma_{N+1}^2(x_*) - \sigma_N^2(x_*) = \phi(x_*)^T [S_{N+1} - S_N] \phi(x_*)$$

• (ii) S_N must be positive definite

to show that

$$\sigma_{N+1}^2(x_*) \le \sigma_N^2(x_*) \tag{30}$$

3c: Solution

$$\sigma_{N+1}^2(x_*) - \sigma_N^2(x_*) = \phi(x_*)^T \left[S_{N+1} - S_N \right] \phi(x_*)$$
(31)

Expand the expression:

$$= \phi(x_*)^T \phi(x_*) \cdot S_{N+1} - \phi(x_*)^T \phi(x_*) \cdot S_N$$
 (32)

Substitute (3b) in (32):

$$\phi(x_{*})^{T}\phi(x_{*}) \cdot S_{N} - \phi(x_{*})^{T}\phi(x_{*}) \cdot \frac{(S_{N}\sqrt{\beta}\phi_{N+1})((\sqrt{\beta}\phi_{N+1})^{T}S_{N})}{1 + (\sqrt{\beta}\phi_{N+1})^{T}S_{N}(\sqrt{\beta}\phi_{N+1})} - \phi(x_{*})^{T}\phi(x_{*}) \cdot S_{N}$$
(33)

$$-\phi(x_*)^T \phi(x_*) \cdot \frac{(S_N \sqrt{\beta}\phi_{N+1})((\sqrt{\beta}\phi_{N+1})^T S_N)}{1 + (\sqrt{\beta}\phi_{N+1})^T S_N(\sqrt{\beta}\phi_{N+1})}$$
(34)

Because the following are true, this epression is strictly less than or equal to zero:

- 1. β is positive.
- 2. S_N is positive definite.
- 3. $\phi_{N+1}^T \phi_{N+1}$ has to be positive.

4. Because of bullet points (2) and (3), the $(\sqrt{\beta}\phi_{N+1})^T S_N(\sqrt{\beta}\phi_{N+1})$ is positive as well.

Thus, expression (34) is ≤ 0 .