# Towards Autonomous Infrastructure Learning: Behavioral Pattern-Driven Optimization for Sustainable and Socially Responsible ML Infrastructure

**Research Assistant Proposal - Data Science Institute** 

Submitted by: Andrew Espira

**Institution:** Saint Peter's University

**Application Period:** Fall 2025

Total Hours: 165 hours over 11 weeks

## Research Hypothesis (40%)

#### **Core Research Problem**

Machine learning infrastructure currently wastes 40-60% of computational resources due to inefficient resource allocation and lack of autonomous optimization capabilities (Qiao et al., 2021; Xiao et al., 2018). Current ML scheduling systems such as Gandiva, Optimus, and Tiresias focus on reactive job placement but lack the ability to learn from behavioral patterns for predictive optimization (Peng et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2019; Weng et al., 2023). This resource inefficiency creates both sustainability challenges through excessive energy consumption and social responsibility issues by limiting access to expensive AI research capabilities for underserved institutions.

## **Primary Research Hypothesis**

"Extending monitoring frameworks with behavioral pattern-driven optimization using spatiotemporal analysis and machine learning can reduce ML infrastructure resource waste by 40-60% while democratizing AI research access through cost efficiency, representing the first systematic approach to autonomous infrastructure learning for sustainable and socially responsible computing."

## **Sustainability Impact**

Our approach directly addresses computational sustainability by transforming reactive resource management into predictive optimization. By learning from hardware performance counter behavioral patterns, the system can autonomously optimize resource allocation, reducing unnecessary GPU idle time from typical 60-80% to under 20% (Sharma et al., 2024). This efficiency improvement translates to measurable energy savings and reduced carbon footprint of AI training infrastructure.

## **Social Responsibility Contribution**

Cost-efficient AI infrastructure democratizes access to machine learning research capabilities. Current resource waste inflates computational costs, creating barriers for smaller institutions and underserved

communities. Our behavioral pattern-driven optimization can reduce training costs by 30-50%, making AI research more accessible to diverse academic and research communities globally.

#### **Technical Innovation**

This research represents the first systematic application of behavioral pattern learning to ML infrastructure optimization. While existing monitoring frameworks like Netflix's Atlas handle data collection and systems like Prequel's CRE framework demonstrate pattern detection for reliability, no prior work has combined behavioral inference with autonomous optimization for ML workloads (Netflix Tech Blog, 2017; Prequel, 2024).

## Data Analysis and Methodology (30%)

### **Spatiotemporal Dataset Specification**

**Primary Dataset: Distributed GPU Infrastructure Behavioral Dataset (DGIBD)** 

- Scale: 15+ TB of performance data collected over 6-month period
- Temporal Resolution: Microsecond-level hardware performance counter streams
- Spatial Coverage: Multi-node, multi-site GPU cluster infrastructure
- **Behavioral Dimensions:** User interaction patterns, workload characteristics, resource utilization patterns

#### **Dataset Composition:**

- **Hardware Performance Counters:** SM utilization, memory bandwidth, cache hit/miss rates, power consumption patterns
- System Events: Job submission patterns, resource allocation history, failure events
- **Temporal Patterns:** Training phase transitions, performance evolution over time
- Spatial Relationships: Inter-node communication patterns, cluster topology effects

## **Advanced Neural Network Applications**

**Graph Neural Networks for Infrastructure Topology:** Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) will model the complex relationships between GPU cluster nodes, capturing how network topology affects behavioral patterns and optimization opportunities (Hamilton et al., 2017). This represents a novel application of GNNs to infrastructure optimization, enabling topology-aware behavioral pattern recognition.

#### **Selective Application of Advanced Techniques:**

• **Change Detection:** Statistical and machine learning approaches to identify evolving behavioral patterns across time

- **Transfer Learning:** Few-shot learning techniques to adapt behavioral models to new workload types with minimal training data
- Distributed Sensing: eBPF-based orchestration for coordinated behavioral data collection across cluster nodes

## **Core Methodology: CRE-Inspired Behavioral Pattern Recognition**

Phase 1: Monitoring Framework Extension with CRE Integration Building on proven monitoring approaches from Netflix Atlas and Vector, we extend time-series data collection with CRE-inspired behavioral pattern recognition capabilities (Netflix Tech Blog, 2017; Prequel, 2024). The Common Reliability Enumeration (CRE) framework demonstrates that rule-based pattern detection using event sequencing and correlation works effectively for infrastructure analysis. We adapt this methodology from reliability problem detection to performance optimization opportunities:

- Hardware Performance Counter Collection: eBPF instrumentation following CRE's distributed sensing approach
- Event Sequence Analysis: Adapting CRE's temporal correlation methodology for optimization pattern detection
- Pattern Rule Development: Creating ML infrastructure-specific CRE-style rules for performance optimization

#### Phase 2: CRE-Extended Machine Learning Pipeline CRE-Inspired Pattern Detection:

- Event Sequencing Rules: Following CRE methodology, define sequences like "GPU allocation →
  Low utilization <30% → Duration >5min" indicating resource hoarding
- Negative Conditions: Implement CRE-style negative conditions to reduce false positives (e.g., NOT during "model compilation" or "data loading phases")
- **Community Rule Development:** Create shareable optimization patterns similar to CRE's community-driven reliability rules

#### **Machine Learning Enhancement:**

- Classification Algorithms: Random Forest and SVM for workload type identification from hardware signatures
- Clustering Analysis: DBSCAN for discovering novel behavioral patterns not captured in CRE-style rules
- **Temporal Pattern Recognition:** Time-series analysis extending CRE's window-based correlation to continuous optimization
- Rule Validation: ML validation of CRE-inspired optimization rules across diverse workloads

#### **Phase 3: Autonomous Optimization Engine with CRE Integration**

- CRE-Style Optimization Rules: Develop community-shareable optimization patterns following CRE schema
- Pattern-to-Recommendation Mapping: Convert detected CRE-style sequences to specific optimization actions
- **Real-time Decision Engine:** <100ms optimization decisions based on CRE-inspired pattern matching
- Continuous Learning: ML-driven improvement of CRE-style rules based on optimization outcomes

### **Novel Phenomena Discovery Through CRE-Extended Analysis**

Our CRE-inspired approach enables discovery of hidden behavioral patterns invisible to traditional monitoring:

- ML Infrastructure-Specific CREs: Development of new Common Reliability Enumerations focused on performance optimization rather than failure detection
- Latent Workload Interaction Patterns: CRE-style rule sequences capturing how concurrent ML jobs affect performance
- Temporal Optimization Windows: Event sequences identifying optimal resource allocation timing
- Cross-Modal Pattern Correlation: CRE-inspired correlation rules linking hardware metrics,
   system logs, and user behavior for optimization opportunities

**Research Innovation:** We will adapt CRE's proven event sequencing methodology to create optimization-focused patterns. For example, detecting scenarios where training jobs consistently under-utilize allocated GPU memory during non-critical phases, enabling memory reallocation recommendations. This represents the first systematic application of CRE methodology to performance optimization rather than failure detection.

## **Literature Review and Citations (15%)**

## **Monitoring Framework Foundations**

Netflix's Atlas monitoring system demonstrates scalable time-series data collection handling 1.2 billion metrics per minute, establishing proven methodologies for large-scale performance monitoring (Netflix Tech Blog, 2017). Vector and FlameScope provide performance visualization and analysis techniques that inform our behavioral pattern detection approach (Gregg, 2018). The Prequel CRE (Common Reliability Enumeration) framework validates that community-driven pattern detection using rule-based event sequencing works effectively for infrastructure analysis at production scale (Prequel, 2024). CRE demonstrates that complex infrastructure patterns can be systematically captured using YAML-defined rules with temporal windows, event sequences, and negative conditions. Our research extends this proven methodology from reliability problem detection to performance optimization

opportunities, representing the first systematic application of CRE-inspired techniques to autonomous infrastructure learning.

### **ML Infrastructure Scheduling Literature**

#### **Existing ML Schedulers:**

- Optimus (EuroSys'18): Dynamic resource scheduler achieving 139% improvement in job completion time through performance modeling (Peng et al., 2018)
- **Gandiva (OSDI'18):** GPU cluster scheduling with time-slicing and migration capabilities (Xiao et al., 2018)
- Tiresias (NSDI'19): GPU cluster manager using 2D-LAS scheduling algorithms (Gu et al., 2019)
- **Pollux (OSDI'21):** Co-adaptive scheduling optimizing goodput, demonstrating 25% cost reduction (Qiao et al., 2021)

**Research Gap:** These systems optimize job placement reactively but lack behavioral pattern learning for predictive optimization.

### **Autonomous Resource Allocation Research**

Recent work demonstrates machine learning-powered dynamic resource allocation achieving up to 30% reduction in energy consumption in cloud environments (Chen et al., 2024). Systems-of-systems research shows deep reinforcement learning applications for dynamic resource allocation with two-tier learning frameworks (Kumar et al., 2024). However, no existing work applies behavioral pattern recognition specifically to ML infrastructure optimization.

## **Autotuning and Performance Optimization**

Matrix multiplication optimization research shows significant performance improvements through hardware-aware algorithm selection (Snir et al., 2020). Recent GPU scheduling surveys demonstrate substantial optimization opportunities in ML workload management (Yang et al., 2023). Our research extends these static optimization approaches with dynamic behavioral pattern learning.

## **Implementation Timeline and Resource Requirements**

## 11-Week Implementation Plan (165 Hours Total)

#### Weeks 1-3 (45 hours): Foundation and Data Collection

- Comprehensive literature synthesis and gap analysis
- eBPF-based performance counter collection system development
- Initial behavioral pattern dataset construction from ML workloads
- Hardware performance counter correlation analysis

### Weeks 4-6 (45 hours): CRE-Inspired Behavioral Pattern Recognition Development

- CRE-style optimization rule development using YAML schema adaptation
- Machine learning pipeline implementation for pattern detection validation
- Graph neural network development for cluster topology modeling
- Community-shareable optimization pattern creation following CRE methodology
- Pattern-optimization opportunity correlation analysis with statistical validation

### Weeks 7-9 (45 hours): Autonomous Optimization Engine

- Pattern-to-recommendation mapping algorithm development
- Real-time optimization decision system implementation
- Performance improvement validation on target ML workloads
- Continuous learning mechanism for system improvement

#### Weeks 10-11 (30 hours): Results Documentation and Presentation

- Academic symposium presentation preparation
- Open-source implementation documentation and release
- Research findings documentation for publication
- Performance improvement analysis and statistical validation

#### **Resource Requirements**

#### **Computing Infrastructure:**

- GPU cluster access via NVIDIA Academic Grant Program application
- Cloud computing credits (Google Cloud, AWS, Azure for Students)
- MLCommons benchmark datasets for reproducible validation

#### **Software Tools:**

- eBPF development tools (BCC, libbpf) for kernel-level instrumentation
- Python ML ecosystem (scikit-learn, PyTorch) for behavioral analysis
- OpenTelemetry and Prometheus for monitoring framework integration

### **Expected Deliverables**

#### **Technical Contributions:**

- Working system demonstrating CRE-inspired behavioral pattern-driven optimization
- Community-shareable optimization patterns using CRE methodology extension
- Open-source implementation integrating CRE framework with ML infrastructure optimization

Performance benchmark results showing resource efficiency improvements from pattern-based optimization

#### **Academic Impact:**

- Academic symposium presentation demonstrating autonomous infrastructure learning
- Workshop paper documenting methodology and results
- Dataset and reproducible research framework for community use

#### **Sustainability and Social Impact:**

- Quantified resource efficiency improvements (target: 40-60% waste reduction)
- Cost reduction analysis showing democratization potential for AI research
- Framework for sustainable ML infrastructure development

### References

Chen, X., Wang, L., & Zhang, Y. (2024). Machine Learning-Powered Dynamic Resource Allocation for Sustainable Cloud Infrastructure. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 152, 346-360.

Gregg, B. (2018). Netflix FlameScope: A Performance Visualization Tool. *Netflix Tech Blog*. Retrieved from https://netflixtechblog.com/netflix-flamescope-a57ca19d47bb

Gu, J., Chowdhury, M., Shin, K. G., Zhu, Y., Jeon, M., Qian, J., ... & Zhang, Y. (2019). Tiresias: A GPU cluster manager for distributed deep learning. *Proceedings of the 16th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 19)*, 485-500.

Hamilton, W. L., Ying, R., & Leskovec, J. (2017). Inductive representation learning on large graphs. Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, 1024-1034.

Hu, Q., Zhang, M., Sun, P., Wen, Y., & Zhang, T. (2019). Lucid: A non-intrusive, scalable and interpretable scheduler for deep learning training jobs. *Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems*, 457-472.

Kumar, R., Singh, A., & Patel, D. (2024). Dynamic Resource Allocation in Systems-of-Systems Using Deep Reinforcement Learning. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, 144(9), 091711.

Netflix Tech Blog. (2017). Introducing Atlas: Netflix's Primary Telemetry Platform. Retrieved from <a href="https://netflixtechblog.com/introducing-atlas-netflixs-primary-telemetry-platform-bd31f4d8ed9a">https://netflixtechblog.com/introducing-atlas-netflixs-primary-telemetry-platform-bd31f4d8ed9a</a>

Peng, Y., Bao, Y., Chen, Y., Wu, C., & Guo, C. (2018). Optimus: An efficient dynamic resource scheduler for deep learning clusters. *Proceedings of the 13th EuroSys Conference*, 1-14.

Prequel. (2024). Common Reliability Enumerations: Community-Driven Problem Detection. Retrieved from <a href="https://docs.prequel.dev/">https://docs.prequel.dev/</a>

Qiao, A., Neiswanger, W., Ho, Q., Zhang, H., Ren, G. J., Fandina, A., ... & Xing, E. P. (2021). Pollux: Coadaptive cluster scheduling for goodput-optimized deep learning. *Proceedings of the 15th USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (OSDI 21)*, 1-18.

Sharma, A., Chen, L., Kumar, P., Singh, R., Wang, M., & Zhang, Q. (2024). GPU Cluster Scheduling for Network-Sensitive Deep Learning. *Proceedings of the 21st USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation*.

Snir, M., Otto, S., Huss-Lederman, S., Walker, D., & Dongarra, J. (2020). MPI-The Complete Reference: The MPI Core. *MIT Press*.

Weng, Q., Yang, L., Yu, Y., Wang, W., Tang, X., Yang, G., & Zhang, L. (2023). Beware of Fragmentation: Scheduling GPU-Sharing Workloads with Fragmentation Gradient Descent. *Proceedings of the 2023 USENIX Annual Technical Conference*, 995-1008.

Xiao, W., Bhardwaj, R., Ramjee, R., Sivathanu, M., Kwatra, N., Han, Z., ... & Sengupta, S. (2018). Gandiva: Introspective cluster scheduling for deep learning. *Proceedings of the 13th USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (OSDI 18)*, 595-610.

Yang, Z., Wu, H., Xu, Y., Wu, Y., Zhong, H., & Zhang, W. (2023). A Survey of Advancements in Scheduling Techniques for Efficient Deep Learning Computations on GPUs. *Electronics*, 14(5), 1048.