Exercise 2.10

Part (a)

- Given that X can only take three different values (0,1,2) there are $2^3=8$ non-randomized decision rules (d_1,d_2,\ldots,d_8) (as in the notes) that can exists.
- The convex hull is the smallest convex set containing the risk points

$$\begin{pmatrix} R(\theta_1, d_i) \\ R(\theta_2, d_i) \end{pmatrix}$$

for i = 1, 2, ..., 8 and this is illustrated in the graph on page 5.

• To illustrate the calculations of the (x, y) coordinates:

$$R(\theta_1, d_1) = L(\theta_1, a_1) \cdot 0.81 + L(\theta_1, a_1) \cdot 0.18 + L(\theta_1, a_1) \cdot 0.01$$

= 0 \cdot 0.81 + 0 \cdot 0.18 + 0 \cdot 0.01
= 0

$$R(\theta_2, d_1) = L(\theta_2, a_1) \cdot 0.25 + L(\theta_2, a_1) \cdot 0.50 + L(\theta_2, a_1) \cdot 0.25$$
$$= 3 \cdot 0.25 + 3 \cdot 0.5 + 3 \cdot 0.25$$
$$= 3$$

The risk point that corresponds to d_1 is with coordinates (0,3). We can do the same for d_2 :

$$R(\theta_1, d_2) = L(\theta_1, a_1) \cdot 0.81 + L(\theta_1, a_1) \cdot 0.18 + L(\theta_1, a_2) \cdot 0.01$$
$$= 0 + 0 + 1 \cdot 0.01$$
$$= 0.01$$

$$R(\theta_2, d_1) = L(\theta_2, a_1) \cdot 0.25 + L(\theta_2, a_1) \cdot 0.50 + L(\theta_2, a_2) \cdot 0.25$$
$$= 3 \cdot 0.25 + 3 \cdot 0.5 + 0 \cdot 0.25$$
$$= 2.25$$

Make sure that you can work out the remaining risk points and can reproduce the following table:

Rule	d_1	d_2	d_3	d_4	d_5	d_6	d_7	d_8
$R(\theta_1, d_i)$	0	0.01	0.18	0.19	0.81	0.82	0.99	1
$R(\theta_2, d_i)$	3	2.25	1.5	0.75	2.25	1.5	0.75	0

• For a prior $(p_1, p_2) = (p_1, 1 - p_1)$ on (θ_1, θ_2) , the risk points that have the same value b of their Bayes risk are on the line with equation

$$p_1x + p_2y = b$$
 or equivalently $p_1x + (1 - p_1)y = b$

Note that: if x = y we get x = y = b and hence the x (and equivalently y) coordinate of the intersection of the line $p_1x + p_2y = b$ with the line x = y also represents the value of this risk.

Part (b)

• The minimax rule in the set \mathcal{D} of randomize decision rules is obtained by examining the intersection of the line y=x with the "most south-west" part of the convex risk set. Therefore, we need to solve the system

$$\begin{cases} y = x \\ y - 0 = \frac{0.75 - 0}{0.19 - 1}(x - 1) \end{cases}$$

which leads to

$$x = -\frac{75}{81}(x-1)$$

and gives the solution $x = y = \frac{25}{52}$.

- Therefore the point (25/52, 25/52) is the risk point that corresponds to the minimax decision rule δ^* in the set of all randomized decision rules \mathcal{D} that are generated by the set $D = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_8\}$ of the non-randomized decision rules.
- The value of the minimax risk is given by

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} R(\theta, \delta^*) = \sup \left\{ \frac{25}{52}, \frac{25}{52} \right\} = \frac{25}{52}$$

• Important: if we were only looking for the minimax decision rule in D (not \mathcal{D}) then the answer will be different. It is given as follows: Now the minimum of these

Rule	d_1	d_2	d_3	d_4	d_5	d_6	d_7	d_8
$R(\theta_1, d_i)$	0	0.01	0.18	0.19	0.81	0.82	0.99	1
$R(\theta_2, d_i)$	3	2.25	1.5	0.75	2.25	1.5	0.75	0
Max	3	2.25	1.5	0.75	2.25	1.5	0.99	1

maxima is 0.75. Hence, d_4 is the minimax decision rule with minimax risk of 0.75. This risk is naturally greater than the risk of the minimax decision rule in \mathcal{D} of 25/52.

• To represent the minimax rule δ^* in the set \mathcal{D} as a randomization of the rules d_4 and d_8 , we need to find $\alpha \in [0,1]$ to say that δ^* choose d_4 with probability α and d_8 with probability $(1-\alpha)$. Therefore,

$$\alpha \cdot 0.19 + (1 - \alpha) \cdot 1 = \frac{25}{52}$$

must hold. Solving this gives $\alpha = 0.641$ and we can claim that

$$\delta^* = \begin{cases} \text{choose } d_4 \text{ with probability } 0.641\\ \text{choose } d_8 \text{ with probability } 0.359 \end{cases}$$

2

Part (c)

- For the least favourable prior, we need to maximize the Bayes risk when we start manipulating the priors (p, 1-p).
- Since for any such prior the value of the Bayes risk will be geometrically represented as an x (or equivalently y) coordinate on the line that connects (0,0) with (25/52, 25/52), we maximize when we end up with (25/52, 25/52). That is, the minimax solution.
- We are looking for a prior in the form (p, 1-p) for which (25/52, 25/52) would be the Bayes solution. This implies that (p, 1-p) should be perpendicular (\bot) to the line $\overline{d_4d_8}$. This requirement is the same as specifying the slope of the line $\overline{d_4d_8}$:

$$\frac{0.75 - 0}{0.19 - 1} = -\frac{25}{27}$$

to be equivalent to

$$-\frac{p}{1-p}$$

since the line $px + (1-p)y = c_1$ (where c_1 is a constant) has slope -p/(1-p), in fact, $y = -(p/(1-p))x + c_2$ (where c_2 is another constant).

 \bullet Hence we need to find p such that

$$-\frac{p}{1-p} = -\frac{25}{27}$$

which leads to p = 25/52 and the least favourable prior is (25/52, 27/52).

Part (d)

• The line

$$\frac{1}{3}x + \frac{2}{3}y = c_3$$

where c_3 is a constant, represents points (x, y) in the risk set with equivalent values of their Bayes risk. The slope of the lines is

$$\frac{-1/3}{2/3} = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

- Hence, to find the Bayes rule with respect to this prior, we need to move lines with a slope (-1/2) "most south-west" while still maintaining an intersection with the risk set.
- By doing so, you will see geometrically that you will end up with the rule d_8 . Therefore, d_8 (1,0) represents the risk point that corresponds to the Bayesian decision rule with respect to the prior (1/3,2/3) on (θ_1,θ_2) . That is, d_8 is the Bayesian decision rule with respect to the prior (1/3,2/3).
- Its Bayes risk is equal to

$$\frac{1}{3}R(\theta_1, d_8) + \frac{2}{3}R(\theta_2, d_8) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1 + \frac{2}{3} \cdot 0 = \frac{1}{3}.$$

