## Exercise 6.26

By the Neyman-Pearson lemma for testing  $H_0: \theta = 0$  versus  $H_1: \theta = 1$ , the best  $\alpha$ -test is the one with rejection region of the form:

$$\left\{ \frac{L(X,1)}{L(X,0)} \ge k \right\}$$

Here,

$$L(X, \theta) = \frac{e^{(x-\theta)}}{(1 + e^{(x-\theta)})^2}$$

and thus

$$\frac{L(X,1)}{L(X,0)} = \frac{e^{(x-1)}}{(1+e^{(x-1)})^2} \cdot \frac{(1+e^{(x-0)})^2}{e^{(x-0)}} = \frac{(e^x+1)^2}{e(e^{x-1}+1)^2} \ge k$$

Now by ignoring the constant  $\frac{1}{e}$  and square operation we have that (using the quotient rule):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \frac{e^x + 1}{e^{x-1} + 1} = \frac{e^x - e^{x-1}}{(1 + e^{x-1})^2}$$

and since  $e^x > e^{x-1}$  or  $e^x - e^{x-1} > 0$ , this ratio is increasing in x and the inequality  $\frac{L(X,1)}{L(X,0)} \ge k$  must be the same as rejection when  $X \ge k'$ . Therefore, to make this an  $\alpha$ -test we need to "exhaust the level":

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \varphi^* = \alpha = 0.1 = P(X \ge k' | \theta = 0)$$

The CDF here is

$$F(x,\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{e^{(x-\theta)}}{(1+e^{(x-\theta)})^2} dx = \dots = \frac{e^{x-\theta}}{1+e^{x-\theta}}$$

and thus

$$0.10 = P(X > k') = 1 - F(k', 0) = 1 - \frac{e^{k'}}{1 + e^{k'}} = \frac{1}{e^{k'} + 1}$$

which leads to

$$k' = \log(\frac{1}{0.1} - 1) = \log(9) = 2.19722$$

The best 0.10-test of  $H_0: \theta = 0$  vs  $H_1: \theta = 1$  is

$$\varphi^*(X) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} & X \ge 2.19722\\ 0 & \text{if} & X < 2.19722 \end{cases}$$

## Example 6.51

Assume, a sample of one observation (n = 1) from an exponential family with density

$$f(x,\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\theta}\right), \quad x > 0$$

is available. The parameter  $\theta > 0$  is to be tested. Construct an UMP unbiased  $\alpha$ -test of:

(i) 
$$H_0: \theta \in [1,2]$$
 versus  $H_1: \theta \notin [1,2]$ .

This case is covered by Theorem 6.24 and here we have

$$\varphi^*(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} & T < C_1 \text{ or } T > C_2 \\ 0 & \text{if} & C_1 \le T \le C_2 \end{cases}$$

where T(x) = x in this case (one-parameter exponential family with d(x) = x, n = 1). We only need to find  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  in order to uniquely specify the above test.

Since  $X \sim \text{Exp}(\theta)$  we have  $F(x) = 1 - e^{-x/\theta}$  and therefore

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}(\varphi^*) = P(T < C_1 \text{ or } T > C_2)$$

$$= P(T < C_1) + P(T > C_2)$$

$$= 1 - e^{-C_1/\theta} + (1 - (1 - e^{-C_2/\theta}))$$

$$= 1 - e^{-C_1/\theta} + e^{-C_2/\theta}$$

Therefore to determine  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  we need to satisfy the two equations

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}_{\theta=1}(\varphi^*) = 1 - e^{-C_1} + e^{-C_2} = \alpha \\ \mathbb{E}_{\theta=2}(\varphi^*) = 1 - e^{-C_1/2} + e^{-C_2/2} = \alpha \end{cases}$$

This system can be solved by substitution. Set  $k_1 = e^{-C_1/2}$  and  $k_2 = e^{-C_2/2}$  then we have

$$\begin{cases} k_1^2 - k_2^2 = 1 - \alpha \\ k_1 - k_2 = 1 - \alpha \end{cases}$$

which the first equation gives

$$(k_1 + k_2)\underbrace{(k_1 - k_2)}_{1 - \alpha} = 1 - \alpha$$

and hence  $k_1 + k_2 = 1$ . This implies

$$\begin{cases} k_1 + k_2 = 1 \\ k_1 - k_2 = 1 - \alpha \end{cases}$$

Then adding these two equations yields

$$2k_1 = 2 - \alpha$$
 or  $k_1 = 1 - \alpha/2$ .

and then substituting this back into the first equation gives

$$k_1 + k_2 = 1 \implies k_2 = 1 - k_1 = 1 - (1 - \alpha/2) = \alpha/2$$

Hence,

$$C_1 = -2\log(1 - \alpha/2)$$
 and  $C_2 = -2\log(\alpha/2)$ .

since

$$k_1 = e^{-C_1/2}$$
 and  $k_2 = e^{-C_2/2}$ 

Therefore, the test has structure

$$\varphi^*(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} & T < -2\log(1 - \alpha/2) \text{ or } T > -2\log(\alpha/2) \\ 0 & \text{if} & -2\log(1 - \alpha/2) \le T \le -2\log(\alpha/2) \end{cases}$$

with power function

Power(
$$\theta$$
) =  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}(\varphi^*)$  = 1 -  $e^{-C_2/\theta}$  +  $e^{-C_2/\theta}$  = 1 -  $(1 - \alpha/2)^{2/\theta}$  +  $(\alpha/2)^{2/\theta}$ 

(ii) 
$$H_0: \theta = 1 \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1: \theta \neq 1.$$

According to the Theorem 6.25, the UMPU  $\alpha$ -test  $\varphi^*$  for (ii) has the same structure as part (i):

$$\varphi^*(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} & T < C_1 \text{ or } T > C_2 \\ 0 & \text{if} & C_1 \le T \le C_2 \end{cases}$$

where T(x) = x in this case (one-parameter exponential family with d(x) = x, n = 1). We only need to find  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  in order to uniquely specify the above test.

Note that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta}(\varphi^*) = P_{\theta}(X \notin (C_1, C_2)) = 1 - \exp(-C_1/\theta) + \exp(-C_2/\theta)$$

since the cdf is  $F(x,\theta) = 1 - \exp(-x/\theta), x > 0$ . The two conditions on  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}(\varphi^*)$  are:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta}(\varphi^*)\Big|_{\theta=1} = \alpha = 1 - \exp(-C_1) + \exp(-C_2)$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{\theta}(\varphi^*) \Big|_{\theta=1} &= -\frac{C_1}{\theta^2} \exp(-\frac{C_1}{\theta}) + \frac{C_2}{\theta^2} \exp(-\frac{C_2}{\theta}) \Big|_{\theta=1} \\ &= -C_1 \exp(-C_1) + C_2 \exp(-C_2) = 0 \end{split}$$

We get a system of two equations with respect to  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . It can be solved numerically (iteratively) given the level  $\alpha$  and hence the UMPU  $\alpha$ -test will be completely specified. We will skip over these details but the key is a solution can be found from here. We need to find  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  that satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = 1 - e^{-C_1} + e^{-C_2} \\ 0 = -C_1 e^{-C_1} + C_2 e^{-C_2} \end{cases}.$$

## Exercise 6.33

Suppose  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  are i.i.d. Cauchy $(\theta, 1)$  and we are testing

$$H_0: \theta \le 0 \text{ vs } H_1: \theta > 0$$

The likelihood is:

$$L(X,\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1 + (x_i - \theta)^2} = \frac{1}{\pi^n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 + (x_i - \theta)^2}$$

By taking the logarithm we arrive at the log-likelihood:

$$\log L(X, \theta) = -n \log \pi - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 + (x_i - \theta)^2)$$

which gives the score:

$$V(X,\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(X,\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{-2(x_i - \theta)}{1 + (x_i - \theta)^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2(x_i - \theta)}{1 + (x_i - \theta)^2}$$

Then

$$V(X,\theta)\Big|_{\theta=0} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2x_i}{1+x_i^2}$$

which leads to the LMP  $\alpha$ -test

$$\varphi^*(X) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} & T = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2X_i}{1 + X_i^2} > k \\ 0 & \text{if} & T = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2X_i}{1 + X_i^2} < k \end{cases}$$

Now we need to find k (but we can only do this asymptotically). Let

$$U = \frac{2X}{1 + X^2}$$

where  $X \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, \theta)$  then

$$\mathbb{E}(U) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2x}{(1+x^2)} \cdot \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2x}{(1+x^2)^2} dx = 0$$

since the integral of an odd function g(x) = -g(-x) is zero i.e.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{0} g(x) dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x) dx$$
$$= -\int_{-\infty}^{0} g(-x) dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x) dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x) dx - \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x) dx$$
$$= 0$$

Furthermore,

$$Var(U) = \mathbb{E}(U^2) = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^2}{(1+x^2)^3} dx = \dots = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$U_i = \frac{2X_i}{1 + X_i^2}$$

has zero mean and variance  $1/2 < \infty$ . Now by applying the CLT we obtain

$$\frac{T-0}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}T \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$$

Hence,

$$\alpha = P(T > k | \theta = 0)$$

$$= P\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}T > \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}k | \theta = 0\right)$$

$$= P(Z > \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}k\right)$$

which implies that

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}k = z_{\alpha}$$
 or  $k = z_{\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}$ 

and now the test is completely determined.