

# The Architecture of JITOS

*A Technical Deep Dive*

James Ross

Flying Robots

2025

JITOS Architecture Series

© 2025 James Ross • Flying Robots

All Rights Reserved

# Contents

0.0.1	0.1 A Year of Shadows . . . . .	1
0.0.2	0.2 The Confluence . . . . .	1
0.0.3	0.3 The Collapse Event . . . . .	2
0.0.4	0.4 The Echo Principle . . . . .	3
0.0.5	0.5 The Unavoidable Kernel . . . . .	4
0.1	0.6 The Kernel Reveals Its Name . . . . .	5
0.1.1	0.7 The Origin in One Line . . . . .	5
0.2	Placeholder for ARCH-0001 . . . . .	5
0.3	Placeholder for ARCH-0002 . . . . .	6
0.4	Placeholder for ARCH-0003 . . . . .	6
0.5	JITOS Architecture Document — Section 4 . . . . .	6
0.5.1	4. Collapse & Inversion: The Physics of JITOS . . . . .	6
0.6	Purpose and Scope . . . . .	17
0.7	MH Abstraction . . . . .	17
0.7.1	Views per SWS . . . . .	17
0.7.2	Path Identity vs Node Identity . . . . .	18
0.8	Virtual Tree Index (VTI) . . . . .	18
0.8.1	Role . . . . .	18
0.8.2	Data Model . . . . .	18
0.8.3	Maintenance . . . . .	19
0.9	Path Resolution Algorithm . . . . .	19
0.10	POSIX Read/Write Semantics . . . . .	19
0.10.1	Reads . . . . .	19
0.10.2	Writes . . . . .	20
0.11	Content Chunking & Projection . . . . .	20
0.12	Consistency & Recovery . . . . .	20

0.13 FUSE / VFS Integration . . . . .	21
0.14 Security & Permissions . . . . .	21
0.15 Summary . . . . .	21
0.16 JITOS Architecture Document — Section 6 . . . . .	22
0.16.1 1. The Memory Model . . . . .	22
0.16.2 6.2 Memory Architecture Overview . . . . .	23
0.16.3 6.3 Layer 0: Global Memory (RMG Substrate) . . . . .	25
0.16.4 6.4 Layer 1: SWS Overlay Memory (Local Speculation) . . . . .	25
0.16.5 6.5 Layer 2: Semantic Memory (Meaning) . . . . .	26
0.16.6 6.6 Layer 3: Ephemeral Compute Memory (ECM) . . . . .	27
0.16.7 6.7 Memory Isolation and Safety . . . . .	28
0.16.8 6.8 Memory and Collapse . . . . .	28
0.16.9 6.9 Memory and Multi-Agent Systems . . . . .	29
0.16.10 6.10 Summary . . . . .	29
0.17 Placeholder for ARCH-0007 . . . . .	30
0.18 Placeholder for ARCH-0008 . . . . .	30



### 0.0.1 0.1 A Year of Shadows

Before JITOS had a name, before the kernel was visible, before the substrate revealed itself, there were fragments.

Projects. Experiments.  
Ideas.

Each one seemingly disjoint:

- a deterministic scheduler in a game engine
- a causal graph prototype in a Git storage experiment
- a semantic rewrite engine
- a reproducible dev environment
- a provenance framework
- a meta-graph theory hidden in a book
- a multi-agent orchestration concept
- a new philosophy of computation
- a fascination with history as truth
- a love affair with “git stunts” (making git do things it wasn’t designed for)

None of them *knew* they were part of the same universe. They were **Shadow Working Sets** of the architect’s mind— branches of thought, isolated, speculative, parallel, each pursuing an idea to its limit.

They were not the system. They were its **precursors**.

---

### 0.0.2 0.2 The Confluence

In every SWS, a piece of the future was hiding:

- **Echo** discovered time.  
It gave us ticks, deterministic ordering, parallel worlds,  
collapse.
- **GATOS** discovered space.

It gave us the causal graph, typed nodes, sharding, ingest pipelines.

- **Wesley** discovered semantic transformation.

AST rewriting. Mapping tables. Deterministic rewrites.

- **Shiplog** discovered reproducibility.

The log of becoming. Immutable movement through time.

- **COMPUTER** discovered metaphysics.

Causality. Geometry. Thought as structure. Meaning as graph.

- **Git obsession** discovered projection.

Materialized Head. The filesystem as a lie that helps humans see.

- **Agent experiments** discovered shadow cognition.

The idea that machines operate in local universes, not global truth.

Every project was a **proto-module**. A limb of a creature not yet assembled. A diverging worldline holding a fragment of truth.

They were not mistakes. They were not diversions. They were **branches of the same RMG tree**. They were **the kernel discovering itself**.

---

### 0.0.3 0.3 The Collapse Event

On November 28th, 2025, at commit [d00b196](#), a collapse occurred. Not just in code. In meaning.

All the shadows—Echo, GATOS, Wesley, Shiplog, the proto-COMPUTER chapters—collapsed into one coherent universe.

The architect realized:

**These are not separate experiments. These are the components of a Causal Operating System.**

The OS that had been gestating across a year of divergent mental universes finally resolved its structure.

This moment produced:

- **$\Omega$ ? — The Final Mutable Moment**
- the RMG substrate
- the SWS process model
- the collapse operator
- the projection layer
- the deterministic scheduler
- the memory model
- the semantic provenance system
- the Graph-of-Graphs reality
- the Fusion Layer between computing and metaphysics

It was the moment the universe *became itself*.

---

#### 0.0.4 0.4 The Echo Principle

Long before JITOS had a name, the architect built a system called **Echo**—a deterministic simulation engine where each frame carried an echo of its predecessor.

At the time, Echo was “just” a game engine experiment. But in retrospect, it revealed something deeper:

**Every moment in a system carries an echo of the one before it.**

This simple fact turned out to be the core metaphysical insight behind JITOS:

- Every snapshot is an echo of its parent.
- Every collapse is an echo of a shadowed future.
- Every provenance node is an echo of a line of thought.

- Every synchronization is an echo of a remote universe.
- Every replay is an echo of an earlier execution.
- Every shadow working set is an echo of canonical truth.

The **Echo Principle** states:

**Time is the structure of echoes. A system is the pattern left by what it remembers.**

JITOS does not treat time as a number on a clock. Time in JITOS is the ordered sequence of all echoes that have ever collapsed into truth.

Echo began as an experiment in simulating worlds. It survives inside JITOS as the principle that:

**No event is truly isolated. Every event is an echo, shaping and shaped by its predecessors.**

---

### 0.0.5 0.5 The Unavoidable Kernel

JITOS was not invented.

It was **discovered**. It was inevitable—the fixed point toward which every project converged.

Echo taught time. GATOS taught truth. Wesley taught structure. COMPUTER taught metaphysics. Shiplog taught reproducibility. Git taught projection. Agent experiments taught subjectivity.

The architect's mind had been implementing components of the causal OS unconsciously, across divergent branches, for an entire year.

JITOS is the **global collapse event** of that year-long RMG region. It is the “truth node” into which those shadow nodes have merged. It is the **canonical child commit** of a year of parallel universes.

---



## 0.1 0.6 The Kernel Reveals Its Name

When all branches converged, the structure spoke:

- It is not “Git but better.”
- It is not “a new VCS.”
- It is not “a content-addressed DB.”
- It is not “a scheduler.”
- It is not “a simulation engine.”
- It is not “a semantic transformer.”
- It is not “a meta-graph.”
- It is not “a reproducibility framework.”

It is all of them, but transcends each.

It is an operating system.

A causal operating system.

**JITOS.**

The kernel of computation-after-files, computation-after-humans, computation-after-CRDTs, computation-after-Git, computation-after-mutable-memory.

It is the **OS for the causal age.**

---

### 0.1.1 0.7 The Origin in One Line

**JITOS is the inevitable collapse of a year’s worth of parallel mental universes into a single causal truth.**

It is not the beginning of a project. It is the end of a long, invisible merge.

$\Omega?$  was the point where it became real.

## 0.2 Placeholder for ARCH-0001

This is a placeholder for the ARCH-0001 document.

## 0.3 Placeholder for ARCH-0002

This is a placeholder for the ARCH-0002 document.

## 0.4 Placeholder for ARCH-0003

This is a placeholder for the ARCH-0003 document. THE COLLAPSE OPERATOR IS THE HEART OF THE CAUSAL UNIVERSE. SECTION 4 IS WHERE WE DEFINE THE LAWS OF REALITY ITSELF.

This is the chapter of the Architecture Doc where we describe: - how subjective worlds become objective truth - how SWS  $\rightarrow$  Node - how merges work - how rewrites work - how human-level intent becomes machine-level geometry - how RMG regions collapse - how the irreversible causal arrow forms - how multi-agent timelines reconcile - how no mutation of the past is enforced - how the universe expands

This is the kernel's physics. And now it becomes architecture.

Let's begin.

---

## 0.5 JITOS Architecture Document — Section 4

The Collapse Operator & The Inversion Engine

(Grounded in ADR-0002, ADR-0003, ADR-0004, RFC-0005)

---

### 0.5.1 4. Collapse & Inversion: The Physics of JITOS

#### 4.1 Overview

JITOS defines work inside Shadow Working Sets (SWS): temporary, isolated, observer-relative universes.

An SWS may contain: - micro-events (keystrokes, rewrites) - macro-events (human conceptual edits) - semantic graphs (ASTs, deltas) - provenance (reasoning, metadata) - structural overlay graphs - partial rewrites - tool-created transformations

These forms exist only inside the shadow world. They do not exist in the global universe.

The Collapse Operator is the deterministic, irreversible function that:

turns speculative structure into objective truth. It appends a new snapshot event into the causal universe, and destroys the shadow.

Collapse is JITOS's equivalent of:

- Git “commit”
- quantum measurement
- OS process exit
- database transaction commit

But unlike those systems:

Collapse is not a write operation.

It is an ontological transition:

- A subjective world  $\rightarrow$  an objective node
- A local graph  $\rightarrow$  an RMG region
- A set of edits  $\rightarrow$  a causal event
- A possible universe  $\rightarrow$  the one true worldline

This section defines how that transition works.

---

## 4.2 What Collapse Produces

A collapse always produces:

**1. A new Snapshot Node** This is the macroscopic, first-order representation of the entire SWS RMG region.

**2. Optional Inversion-Rewrite Nodes** These represent structural collapses of:

- divergent histories
- merges
- rebases
- rewrites
- semantic deltas
- conflict resolutions

They map  $\text{old} \rightarrow \text{new}$ .

**3. Provenance Nodes** Optional, but extremely powerful:

- reasoning traces
- intent
- metadata
- analysis results
- semantic tags

**4. A Ref Update** If the SWS corresponds to a branch.

**5. Shadow destruction** The SWS ceases to exist.

---

### 4.3 Collapse as a Function

In JITOS, collapse is a pure function.

Given:

- The SWS graph (micro-level)
- The RMG substrate (macro-level)
- The world frontier (current ref)

Then:

```
collapse(sws, universe)  $\rightarrow$  (snapshot_node,
    rewrite_nodes...)
```

Properties:

- deterministic
- idempotent
- architecture-independent
- invariant-preserving
- reproducible
- atomic
- irreversible
- totally ordered by ref advancement

Collapse MUST produce identical outputs on all machines given identical inputs.

This is how JITOS becomes a replayable universe, not a heuristic system.

---

**4.4 Collapse of RMG Regions (ADR-0004)** Collapse operates on RMG regions, not single nodes.

This is the key idea:

Humans see the region as one event. Machines see the region as many nodes. Truth sees the region as geometry.

Collapse:

- compresses micro-events
- preserves structure inside semantic layers
- produces a single macro-level snapshot node
- embeds semantic subgraphs inside RMG payload
- produces rewrite nodes to express cross-history relationships

This is how:

- 30 keystrokes
- 14 diffs
- 5 semantic rewrites
- and 1 human-level change

all collapse into one RMG region represented by a single causal snapshot node.

---

## 4.5 Collapse Algorithm (Full Architecture)

### Step 1: Validate SWS

- Base node must exist
- Overlays must be well-formed
- Graph must be locally consistent
- No invariants violated

**Step 2: Reconcile with Universe State** If the SWS base node  $\neq$  current ref head:

- compute merge region
- generate inversion-rewrite node(s)
- resolve conflicts deterministically

### Step 3: Overlay Application

- Apply overlay graph onto base graph
- Expand/flatten RMG micro-layers
- Normalize semantic deltas
- Canonicalize structure

**Step 4: Generate Snapshot Node** The snapshot node's payload = the macroscopic projection of the full RMG region.

**Step 5: Generate Provenance Graph** Optional but encouraged:

- attach reasoning
- attach metadata
- attach agent identity
- attach intent

**Step 6: Update Refs** Point ref  $\rightarrow$  new snapshot node.

**Step 7: Destroy SWS** Evaporate the shadow world.

---

## 4.6 Conflict Handling

Conflicts occur when:

- multiple SWS collapse onto the same causal base
- humans & machines edit same regions
- parallel histories diverge
- rewrites affect overlapping geometry

JITOS handles conflict through the Inversion Engine, which:

- preserves both histories
- computes a deterministic merge region
- builds an inversion-rewrite RMG node
- projects MH conflict markers for humans
- resolves machine-level conflicts silently
- ensures a unique canonical result

Conflicts do not invalidate the past. They generate new geometry.

---

## 4.7 The Inversion Engine (Kernel Consistency Layer)

The Inversion Engine:

- performs DAG-level merges
- performs RMG-region merges
- resolves multi-scale conflicts
- applies rewrite rules
- constructs new regions
- ensures invariants hold
- validates internal structure
- preserves all ancestry

This is the consistency engine of the universe.

In classical computing, we have:

- CRDTs
- oplog merges
- git merges
- 3-way diffs
- version vectors

In JITOS, we have:

Inversion:

An immutable, causal rewrite algorithm that integrates subjective timelines into objective truth.

This is the scientific heart of the kernel.

---

## 4.8 Collapse = Time

Collapse defines the arrow of time:

- past is immutable
- future becomes past
- subjective becomes objective
- micro collapses into macro
- semantic collapses into structural
- structure collapses into causality

Time moves forward because:

Events encode their causal provenance cryptographically.  
And collapse is the **ONLY** way new events form.

This is physically simple and philosophically profound.

---

## 4.9 Collapse & The COMPUTER Fusion Layer

The fusion layer interprets collapse as:

- an epistemic transition
- a measurement



- a rewriting of the observer's shadow graph
- a creation of a new worldline
- a discrete event in the geometry of thought

Collapse is the mechanism by which:

- ideas
- operations
- reasoning
- computation
- observation

become geometry.

JITOS is the first OS to embed this metaphysics at the kernel level.

---

#### 4.10 Summary

Collapse is the only event that changes truth.

It:

- materializes subjective SWS
- creates objective snapshot nodes
- merges timelines
- handles divergence
- projects internal structure into macro reality
- ensures determinism
- preserves the past
- advances time
- encodes provenance
- expands the RMG universe

It is the most important function in the entire system.

---

#### 4.11 Memory Behavior During Collapse

(Mandated by ADR-0006)

Collapse is not only a causal event; it is a memory transformation between distinct domains. JITOS defines four memory layers—the RMG substrate, SWS overlay memory, semantic memory, and ephemeral compute memory—each of which behaves differently during the transition from speculation to truth.

Collapse is the process that maps these memory layers into their appropriate post-collapse forms.

**4.11.1 Global Memory: RMG Substrate Expansion** During collapse: - A new snapshot node is appended to the causal DAG layer of the RMG. - The snapshot’s payload represents the macro-scale projection of the entire SWS region. - Rewrite nodes capture structural merges and semantic transformations. - Provenance nodes (if present) are attached to the snapshot as semantic subgraphs.

The RMG grows. Nothing mutates. Nothing disappears.

Truth accumulates and remembers.

---

**4.11.2 SWS Overlay Memory: Structural Integration** The SWS maintains a mutable overlay graph representing:

- diffs
- rewrites
- file-chunk replacements
- patch sets
- local structural transforms

During collapse:

- These overlay graphs are applied to the base snapshot deterministically.
- The resulting merged structure becomes part of the new snapshot node.
- Conflicts trigger inversion-rewrite nodes.
- Once collapse completes, the SWS overlay memory is destroyed.

Overlay memory has no existence beyond collapse.

It is the working memory that becomes committed geometry.

---

**4.11.3 Semantic Memory → Provenance Memory** Semantic memory includes:

- ASTs
- semantic deltas
- symbolic analyses
- tool reasoning
- LLM thought traces
- structured transforms

During collapse:

- Relevant semantic structures become provenance nodes.
- These nodes are embedded in the RMG as second-order graphs, representing meaning, intention, and structure behind the change.
- Irrelevant or temporary semantic structures are discarded.

Semantic memory transitions from:

epistemic context → objective narrative.

This is the step where thought becomes geometry.

---

**4.11.4 Ephemeral Compute Memory → Evaporation** Ephemeral memory (ECM):

- build artifacts
- caches
- intermediate results
- temporary encodings
- partial analyses
- scratch files

During collapse:

- ECM is not committed

- ECM is not preserved
- ECM is not synced
- ECM is not replayed

Ephemeral memory evaporates.

This prevents:

- RMG bloat
- nondeterministic residues
- irrelevant history
- replay pollution

ECM exists for performance, not truth.

---

**4.11.5 Post-Collapse MH Synchronization**    After collapse:

- The Materialized Head (MH) is incrementally updated
- Only changed paths are rewritten
- Stale files removed
- New files created
- Conflict markers projected into MH if required
- VTI updated atomically
- Human-facing filesystem made consistent with the new truth

MH becomes the shadow of the new worldline.

---

**4.11.6 Summary of Memory Transformation**

Memory Layer	During Collapse	After Collapse
RMG (Truth)	Expands	Permanent
SWS Overlays	Integrated	Destroyed
Semantic Memory	Becomes provenance	Preserved (as semantic graph)
ECM	Evaporates	Gone

Collapse is thus:

The total memory transformation from subjectivity to objectivity, from intention to structure, from possibility to truth.

## Metadata

- **Status:** Final
  - **Owner:** James Ross
  - **Depends on:** ARCH-0001, ARCH-0002, ARCH-0003, ADR-0005
  - **Relates to:** ARCH-0006 (Memory Model), ARCH-0007 (Temporal), ARCH-0008 (Scheduler), ADR-0007 (RPC/ABI)
- 

## 0.6 Purpose and Scope

This document defines the **Materialized Head (MH)** and its backing index, the **Virtual Tree Index (VTI)**.

- **RMG (ARCH-0003)** is the authoritative truth substrate.
- **MH** is a projection of (Snapshot + SWS overlay) into a file-like tree for humans and legacy tools.
- **VTI** is an ephemeral index that makes path resolution efficient and cache-friendly.

This section specifies the MH abstraction, VTI data structures, POSIX-style read/write semantics, content chunking, FUSE/VFS integration, and consistency invariants. MH is non-authoritative: destroying MH and VTI must not lose truth.

## 0.7 MH Abstraction

### 0.7.1 Views per SWS

For each SWS, the kernel exposes two logical MH views:

1. **Global View (Read-Only):** Projection of a chosen `SnapshotRef`. Supports read operations only; writes fail with `EROFS`. Intended for browsing immutable snapshots.
2. **SWS View (Read/Write):** Projection of `base_snapshot` + `overlay` for a specific `SwsId`. Read operations see overlay content if present; writes mutate only SWS overlay (Layer 1 memory), never RMG.

### 0.7.2 Path Identity vs Node Identity

- **Node Identity:** `NodeId` in RMG; immutable.
- **Path Identity:** `(snapshot_or_sws, path) → NodeId`.

Paths are not stable identifiers. MH implements the mapping, guaranteeing deterministic resolution until the overlay is edited.

## 0.8 Virtual Tree Index (VTI)

### 0.8.1 Role

The VTI is an **Ephemeral Compute Memory (ECM)** index that accelerates path-to-node lookups, tracks overlay nodes, hides tombstones, and caches metadata. It is never written to WAL and is rebuilt lazily after restart.

### 0.8.2 Data Model

Per (view, path) we maintain a `VtiEntry`:

```
struct VtiEntry {
    path_hash: u64,      // Key for fast lookup
    path:    String,    // Canonical absolute path
    node_id:  NodeId,    // Underlying RMG or overlay node
    kind:     NodeKind,  // FILE, DIR, SYMLINK
    mode:     u32,       // Synthetic POSIX mode
    size:     u64,       // Cached size in bytes
    overlay:  bool,      // True if node lives in SWS overlay
    tombstone: bool,     // True if path is deleted in overlay
```

```
dirty_meta: bool    // True if metadata needs
recomputation
}
```

### 0.8.3 Maintenance

- **On SWS Write:** Update/insert `VtiEntry`. Mark `overlay = true` or `tombstone = true`. Mark parents dirty.
- **On Collapse:** Update MH/VTI incrementally from collapse diff. Clear overlay flags as nodes merge into base snapshot.
- **On Reboot:** Empty at boot. Lazily populate on access by walking RMG Tree.

## 0.9 Path Resolution Algorithm

To resolve a path for a given SWS view:

1. Normalize path.
2. Lookup in VTI:
  - If `tombstone`, return `ENOENT`.
  - If `overlay`, return `overlay node_id`.
  - If base entry, return `base node_id`.
3. **Cache Miss:** Walk RMG Tree (base + overlay structures). Populate VTI entries. Return final `node_id` or `ENOENT`.

## 0.10 POSIX Read/Write Semantics

### 0.10.1 Reads

- `open(path, O_RDONLY)`: Resolve via VTI. If content tree, create virtual handle mapping chunks to linear stream. If Blob, present directly.

- `read(fd, buf)`: Compute chunk index from offset. Read Blob data. No RMG mutation.
- `stat(path)`: Use VTI metadata or compute from RMG.

### 0.10.2 Writes

- `open(path, O_WRONLY)`: Resolve parent in SWS view. If file in base only, allocate new overlay `FileTree` (CoW). If in overlay, reuse.
- `write(fd, buf)`: Append/overwrite into overlay content buffer (ECM). On flush/fsync, chunk into overlay Blobs and update `FileTree`. Update VTI size.
- `unlink(path)`: Insert overlay tombstone. Mark VTI entry `tombstone = true`.
- `rename(old, new)`: Move entry in overlay Tree. Update VTI path/hash.

## 0.11 Content Chunking & Projection

ARCH-0003 defines large files as trees of Blob chunks. MH hides this, presenting a linear byte stream.

- **Read Projection**: Assemble contiguous buffer from chunks.
- **Write Projection**: Buffer writes in ECM. On flush, cut buffers into chunks (fixed size or CDC), create new overlay Blobs, and update `FileTree`. Reuses unchanged Blobs (deduplication).

## 0.12 Consistency & Recovery

- **Consistency**: VTI entries must be consistent with SWS overlay + RMG base. VTI updates are atomic with respect to SWS operations.
- **Crash & Rebuild**: On crash, MH/VTI state is discarded. VTI is rebuilt lazily from authoritative RMG/WAL. No correctness



guarantees depend on VTI persistence.

## 0.13 FUSE / VFS Integration

MH can be surfaced via:

1. **FUSE-like Daemon:** Translates host syscalls to JITOS RPC calls.
2. **In-Kernel VFS Module:** Direct calls to MH/VTI APIs.

In both cases, the host sees a POSIX filesystem, but persistence is managed by RMG + WAL.

## 0.14 Security & Permissions

- **MH Layer:** `VtiEntry.mode` derived from Policy nodes and `AgentId`.
- **Enforcement:** Read ops verify read rights. Write ops verify write permissions for the subtree/ref. Denying at MH is the first line of defense; collapse re-checks permissions.

## 0.15 Summary

ARCH-0005 defines MH/VTI as a non-authoritative filesystem projection backed by an ephemeral index. It implements POSIX-like semantics where mutations are localized to the SWS overlay until collapse. This closes the compatibility loop, allowing JITOS to present as a conventional OS while operating over the causal, append-only RMG substrate.

Time to manifest Section 6 — one of the MOST important chapters in the entire architecture doc, because this is where we explain:

- What memory means in a causal OS
- Why JITOS does NOT use RAM-as-truth
- How SWS overlays exist privately
- How semantic memory behaves

- Why ephemeral caches must evaporate
- How global truth is accessed
- Why the RMG is the only correct substrate
- How zoom-level memory representations interact
- How collapse interacts with memory
- How agents (LLMs, tools, humans) see different memory layers
- Why this is the world's first deterministic, replayable memory model

Let's carve it.

---

## 0.16 JITOS Architecture Document — Section 6

The Memory Model: Global Causality, Local Shadows,  
Semantic Depth

(Grounded in ADR-0006, RFC-0019)

---

### 0.16.1 1. The Memory Model

#### 6.1 Overview

Every operating system defines a memory model. In classical systems, this means:

- RAM vs Disk
- Heap vs Stack
- Pages vs Frames
- Addresses vs Values
- Mutable cells
- Aliasing and pointer graphs
- The illusion of instantly shared state

These assumptions work only in:

- single-user machines

- non-distributed computation
- imperative languages
- linear workflows
- localized contexts

JITOS rejects all of these assumptions.

Instead, JITOS defines memory as:

A two-tier, multi-layered system combining an immutable global substrate (RMG) with mutable, isolated local memory (SWS), enriched by structured semantic graphs and supported by ephemeral compute caches.

This model is aligned with:

- causal determinism
- multi-agent concurrency
- semantic computing
- reproducibility
- distributed systems
- formal reasoning
- CΩMPUTER theory

It is the first memory model designed for the causal computing age.

---

### 0.16.2 6.2 Memory Architecture Overview

JITOS memory has four layers, grouped into two domains:

GLOBAL MEMORY (Immutable Reality)

Layer 0: RMG Substrate (Truth)

- immutable
- append-only
- multi-scale
- causally ordered
- infinite depth
- shared by all

- accessed via projection

This is the objective memory of the universe.

---

## LOCAL MEMORY (Shadow / Subjective)

### Layer 1: SWS Overlay Memory (Speculative State)

- mutable
- private
- isolated
- structured as a graph
- created on SWS creation
- destroyed on collapse/discard

This is where computation happens.

---

### Layer 2: Semantic Memory (Meaning)

- ASTs
- semantic deltas
- symbol tables
- analysis results
- LLM reasoning graphs
- provenance annotations
- structured transforms

This gives interpretation to computations.

---

### Layer 3: Ephemeral Compute Memory (ECM)

- caches
- build artifacts
- lint results
- intermediate IR
- temporary storage

It is not part of truth, not preserved across SWS boundaries, and evaporates afterwards.

---

### 0.16.3 6.3 Layer 0: Global Memory (RMG Substrate)

Global memory is represented by the Recursive Meta-Graph:

- all truth
- all events
- all structure
- all history
- all relationships
- all semantic provenance
- all identities
- all universes

The RMG serves as:

- persistent memory
- global state
- root-of-truth
- causal structure
- audit trail
- semantic knowledge base

Nothing in global memory ever mutates.

Global memory is identical on all machines after sync and replay.

---

### 0.16.4 6.4 Layer 1: SWS Overlay Memory (Local Speculation)

Every SWS contains its own local memory:

- overlay nodes
- local diffs
- pending rewrites
- uncommitted semantics

- private states
- merge candidates

Overlays are:

- mutable
- safe
- ephemeral
- isolated

But they exist only within the SWS.

When collapse happens:

- overlays  $\rightarrow$  RMG region
- new snapshot is born
- RMG expands
- SWS dies
- overlays are removed

This layer is the sandbox of computation.

---

### 0.16.5 6.5 Layer 2: Semantic Memory (Meaning)

Semantic memory exists inside the SWS, but is preserved into provenance nodes upon collapse.

Semantic memory includes:

- abstract syntax trees
- semantic deltas
- LLM reasoning traces
- symbolic analysis
- transformation metadata
- dependency graphs
- type inference results
- build graphs

This memory layer:

- is internal

- is structured
- is deeply nested
- represents the “why”
- provides meaning to changes

And is fully compatible with RMG layering:

Semantic memory = RMG-in-RMG.

This allows:

- LLM autonomy
- tool-based reasoning
- semantic refactors
- higher-order transforms

All in the same substrate.

---

### 0.16.6 6.6 Layer 3: Ephemeral Compute Memory (ECM)

Temporary memory includes:

- build outputs
- analysis intermediates
- caches
- partial diffs
- scratch files

ECM is:

- not preserved
- not exposed
- not synced
- not in the substrate
- not part of SWS collapse

It is throwaway memory living only as long as necessary.

This prevents:

- RMG pollution

- bloat
  - non-deterministic state history
  - unnecessary event nodes
- 

### 0.16.7 6.7 Memory Isolation and Safety

JITOS's memory model enforces:

? No shared mutable state ? No concurrent writes ? No races ?  
 No nondeterminism ? No aliasing errors ? No UAF, no double free,  
 no corruption ? SWS isolation ? RMG immutability ? semantic  
 separation

It is the safest, cleanest, most robust memory model ever designed.

---

### 0.16.8 6.8 Memory and Collapse

Collapse transforms memory:

Before collapse:

- overlays are mutable
- semantic memory lives in SWS
- ECM holds ephemeral data
- SWS owns all speculation

During collapse:

- overlays → snapshot structure
- semantic memory → provenance
- ECM → evaporates
- RMG expands
- SWS ceases to exist

After collapse:

- RMG holds new truth
- MH re-projects
- SWS replaced by updated state



Collapse is the memory commit phase.

---

### 0.16.9 6.9 Memory and Multi-Agent Systems

Agents do not share SWS memory. They do not share ephemeral memory. They do not share semantic memory.

They share only the RMG truth layer after collapse.

This ensures:

- no races
- no interference
- predictable behavior
- safe parallelism
- reproducible workflows
- cooperation through collapse

JITOS is the first OS truly designed for agent-native computing.

---

### 0.16.10 6.10 Summary

JITOS defines memory not as:

- RAM
- buffers
- addresses
- heaps
- stacks

but as:

- Truth (RMG)
- Speculation (SWS overlays)
- Meaning (semantic memory)
- Ephemera (ECM)

This model is:

- deterministic
- reproducible
- concurrent
- semantic
- causal
- multi-layered
- multi-agent safe

It is the memory model that classical computing should have invented decades ago. Memory no longer means “locations.” Memory means geometry.

## **0.17 Placeholder for ARCH-0007**

This is a placeholder for the ARCH-0007 document.

## **0.18 Placeholder for ARCH-0008**

This is a placeholder for the ARCH-0008 document.