

# **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## **Global forest thickening**

Marqués et al.

### Contents

<b>S1 Data</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>S2 Self-thinning trends</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>S3 Environmental drivers</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>S4 Global C sink</b>	<b>15</b>

### **S1 Data**

To be added as text (and equations) here:


- How QMD derived if not explicitly provided in obtained dataset.

Dataset	N	Description	Filter	Reference
nfi_spain	27642	Spanish National Forest Inventory	No management intervention observed during monitoring	Restricted data (not publicly available)
nfi_norway	25156	Norwegian National Forest Inventory	No management intervention observed during monitoring	Restricted data (not publicly available)
nfi_sweeden	15954	Swedish National Forest Inventory	No management intervention observed during monitoring	Restricted data (not publicly available)
bnp	9423	Berchtesgaden National Park	Forest reserves	Restricted data (not publicly available)
fia_us	7022	Forest Inventory and Analysis, US	Forest reserves	Doser JW, Stanke H, Finley AO (2025). rFIA: Estimation of Forest Variables using the FIA Database. R package version 1.1.0, <a href="https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rFIA">https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rFIA</a>
aus_plots	6259	Sustainable Timber Tasmania, Forestry Corporation of NSW, Queensland, Victoria and Australia's Terrestrial Ecosystem Research Network	No management intervention observed during monitoring	Restricted data (not publicly available)
luquillo	1993	Luquillo	No management intervention observed during monitoring	<a href="https://forestgeo.si.edu">https://forestgeo.si.edu</a>
nfi_switzerland	1972	Swiss National Forest Inventory	No management intervention observed during the last 70 years	Restricted data (not publicly available)
scbi	1572	Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute	No management intervention observed during monitoring	<a href="https://forestgeo.si.edu">https://forestgeo.si.edu</a>
wuls	1416	Białowieża National Park	Forest reserves	Restricted data (not publicly available)
wytham	1200	Wytham Woods	No management intervention observed during monitoring	<a href="https://forestgeo.si.edu">https://forestgeo.si.edu</a>
serc	1026	Smithsonian Environmental Research Center	No management intervention observed during monitoring	<a href="https://forestgeo.si.edu">https://forestgeo.si.edu</a>
pasoh	1007	Pasoh	No management intervention observed during monitoring	<a href="https://forestgeo.si.edu">https://forestgeo.si.edu</a>
df_rainfor	988	Amazon Forest Inventory Network (RAINFOR)	No management intervention occurred	Esquivel-Muelbert, A., Banbury Morgan, R., Brienen, R. et al. Increasing tree size across Amazonia. Nat. Plants 11, 2016–2025 (2025). <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-025-02097-4">https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-025-02097-4</a>
nfr_swi	729	Swiss Natural Forest Reserves	Forest reserves	Restricted data (not publicly available)
forst	537	Forest Research Institute Baden-Württemberg	Forest reserves	Restricted data (not publicly available)
palanam	484	No management intervention observed during monitoring	<a href="https://forestgeo.si.edu">https://forestgeo.si.edu</a>	
unito	311	University of Turin	Forest reserves	Restricted data (not publicly available)
uholka	200	Uholka-Shyrokyi Luh	Forest reserves	Restricted data (not publicly available)
df_forestplots	149	Forest Inventory Network	No management intervention occurred	Restricted data (not publicly available)
mudumalai	126	Mudumalai	No management intervention observed during monitoring	Restricted data (not publicly available)
lwf_tree	114	Bavarian Institute of Forestry	Forest reserves	Restricted data (not publicly available)
nwfva_tree	84	Northwest German Forest Research Institute (NW-FVA)	Forest reserves	Restricted data (not publicly available)


**Table S1:** Constituent forest dataset sizes and descriptions.

Dataset	N	Description	Filter	Reference
incds	75	National Institute for Research-Development in Forestry "Marin Drăcea" Department of Forest	Forest reserves	Restricted data (not publicly available)
tuzvo_tree	63	Technical University in Zvolen	Forest reserves	Restricted data (not publicly available)
iberbas	57	Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences	Forest reserves	Restricted data (not publicly available)
efm_swi	51	Experimental Forest Management plots	No management intervention observed during monitoring	Restricted data (not publicly available)
france	47	French plots	No management intervention observed during monitoring	Restricted data (not publicly available)
greece_stand	40	Greek plots	No management intervention observed during monitoring	Restricted data (not publicly available)
czu	24	Czech University of Life Sciences Prague	Forest reserves	Restricted data (not publicly available)
ul_tree	23	University of Ljubljana, Slovenia	Forest reserves	Restricted data (not publicly available)
urk	12	Roztocze National Park, Poland	Forest reserves	Restricted data (not publicly available)
nbw	7	NPV-BW	Forest reserves	Restricted data (not publicly available)


**Table S2:** Constituent forest dataset sizes and descriptions.




**Figure S1:** Distribution of forest plots (red circles) and forest biomes.



**Figure S2:** Distribution of the total length of the time series per forest plot, separated by biomes. The total length corresponds to the difference in the observation year of the first and last available forest inventory for each plot.




**Figure S3:** Distribution of forest census data over time, grouped by biome (a-f). Dataset names are explained in Tab. S1.



**Figure S4:** Self-thinning relation across biomes with example long-term forest monitoring plots highlighted.


## S2 Self-thinning trends




**Figure S5:** Distributions of model slope estimates (logQMD) across biomes.

Biome	Mean	SE
Boreal Forests/Taiga	0.30	0.06
Mediterranean Forests	2.35	0.06
Temperate Broadleaf & Mixed Forests	0.91	0.03
Temperate Conifer Forests	1.18	0.06
Tropical & Subtropical Moist Broadleaf Forests	0.16	0.07
Tropical Dry Broadleaf Forests	-0.38	0.46


**Table S3:** Mean estimate and standard error (SE) of percentage change (%/yr) of forest stand density (number of trees per ha) by biome, determined from quantile regressions on bootstrapped data samples.




**Figure S6:** Effect size of 'year' within bins of quadratic mean diameter for individual biomes (a: Tropical and Subtropical Moist Broadleaf Forests, b: Tropical and Subtropical Dry Broadleaf Forests, c: Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests, d: Temperate Conifer Forests Forest, e: Boreal Forests/Taiga, f: Mediterranean Forests). Grey points represent the same derived from data before the filtering of disturbance-affected plots were removed. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals for the coefficient.



**Figure S7:** Forest self-thinning relationship and its temporal change by biome, considering temporal changes in the self-thinning slope (interaction between 'year' and 'logQMD'). Panels a-f show stand density ( $N$ , trees  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ , log-scale) as a function of quadratic mean diameter ( $\text{QMD}$ , cm, log-scale) and calendar year over the study period, across six forest biomes. Grey points represent data from selected unmanaged and undisturbed forest plots. Coloured lines represent the fitted relationship between year and stand density at the 0.9 quantile. The number of observations for each biome ( $n$ ) and the significance level ( $p$ -value, asymptotic Wald-type tests) for the predictor year ( $p(\text{yr})$ ) are given as subtitle annotations within each panel.



**Figure S8:** Distribution of percentage change (%/yr) in stand density (number of trees per ha) by biome.



**Figure S9:** Trends in the fraction of disturbed forest plots, by biome. Fraction values are logit-transformed. The corresponding un-transformed values are indicated by the right y-axis in each plot. No regression fit is shown for tropical dry broadleaf forests (b) as only two points are available with non-zero values for the disturbed fraction.

### S3 Environmental drivers


Table S4: Regression Results

	Complete	No PBR	No PBR, ORGC	No PBR, C:N
scale(logQMD)	-0.861*** [-0.865, -0.856]	-0.862*** [-0.867, -0.858]	-0.862*** [-0.867, -0.857]	-0.864*** [-0.869, -0.859]
scale(year)	0.129*** [0.126, 0.132]	0.130*** [0.127, 0.133]	0.130*** [0.128, 0.133]	0.132*** [0.129, 0.135]
scale(tavg)	-0.033* [-0.062, -0.003]	-0.026+ [-0.055, 0.002]	-0.007 [-0.034, 0.020]	-0.018 [-0.046, 0.011]
scale(ai)	0.086*** [0.066, 0.105]	0.095*** [0.077, 0.114]	0.097*** [0.079, 0.115]	0.087*** [0.070, 0.105]
scale(ndep)	0.153*** [0.133, 0.174]	0.140*** [0.120, 0.159]	0.146*** [0.127, 0.166]	0.131*** [0.112, 0.151]
scale(ORGc)	-0.039** [-0.064, -0.014]	-0.048*** [-0.073, -0.024]		-0.001 [-0.019, 0.017]
scale(PBR)	0.004 [-0.012, 0.021]			
scale(CNrt)	0.057*** [0.035, 0.079]	0.060*** [0.039, 0.081]	0.031*** [0.015, 0.047]	
scale(year) × scale(tavg)	0.006** [0.002, 0.011]	0.009*** [0.005, 0.013]	0.013*** [0.009, 0.017]	0.006** [0.002, 0.010]
scale(year) × scale(ai)	-0.022*** [-0.025, -0.019]	-0.018*** [-0.021, -0.015]	-0.018*** [-0.020, -0.015]	-0.017*** [-0.019, -0.014]
scale(year) × scale(ndep)	-0.016*** [-0.019, -0.013]	-0.015*** [-0.018, -0.012]	-0.015*** [-0.018, -0.012]	-0.011*** [-0.013, -0.008]
scale(year) × scale(ORGc)	-0.012*** [-0.017, -0.008]	-0.011*** [-0.015, -0.007]		-0.028*** [-0.032, -0.025]
scale(year) × scale(PBR)	0.006*** [0.002, 0.009]			
scale(year) × scale(CNrt)	-0.021*** [-0.025, -0.017]	-0.023*** [-0.026, -0.019]	-0.028*** [-0.031, -0.025]	
SD (Observations)	0.176	0.178	0.178	0.178
Num.Obs.	36133	37652	37652	37652
R2 Marg.	0.521	0.530	0.531	0.527
R2 Cond.	0.980	0.980	0.980	0.980
AIC	17693.1	19142.8	19162.9	19315.9
BIC	17846.0	19279.3	19282.4	19435.4
ICC	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
RMSE	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15

Table S5: Regression Results

	Complete interactions
scale(logQMD)	-0.830*** [-0.835, -0.826]
scale(year)	0.120*** [0.117, 0.123]
scale(tavg)	-0.014 [-0.043, 0.016]
scale(ai)	0.101*** [0.082, 0.120]
scale(ndep)	0.129*** [0.109, 0.150]
scale(ORG C)	-0.024+ [-0.048, 0.001]
scale(PBR)	-0.005 [-0.021, 0.011]
scale(CNrt)	0.062*** [0.040, 0.084]
scale(year) × scale(tavg)	-0.006* [-0.010, -0.001]
scale(year) × scale(ai)	-0.033*** [-0.036, -0.030]
scale(year) × scale(ndep)	-0.012*** [-0.015, -0.009]
scale(year) × scale(ORG C)	-0.011*** [-0.015, -0.006]
scale(year) × scale(PBR)	-0.001 [-0.005, 0.002]
scale(year) × scale(CNrt)	-0.029*** [-0.033, -0.026]
scale(logQMD) × scale(tavg)	0.020*** [0.012, 0.027]
scale(logQMD) × scale(ai)	0.102*** [0.097, 0.108]
scale(logQMD) × scale(ndep)	-0.021*** [-0.026, -0.016]
scale(logQMD) × scale(ORG C)	-0.009* [-0.017, -0.000]
scale(logQMD) × scale(PBR)	0.017*** [0.012, 0.022]
scale(logQMD) × scale(CNrt)	0.047*** [0.040, 0.053]
SD (Observations)	0.171
Num.Obs.	36133
R2 Marg.	0.515
R2 Cond.	0.980
AIC	15842.4
BIC	16046.3
ICC	1.0
RMSE	0.14

## S4 Global C sink



**Figure S10:** (a) C sink in aboveground biomass due to temporal changes in the self-thinning relationship. (b) Standard deviation of estimates across bootstraps. Values are expressed per unit forest area ( $\text{gC m}^{-2}\text{yr}^{-1}$ ).

## References

- Aranjuelo, I., Ebbets, A. L., Evans, R. D., Tissue, D. T., Nogues, S., van Gestel, N. C., Payton, P., Ebbert, V., Adams, W. W., Nowak, R. S., and Smith, S. D.: Maintenance of C Sinks Sustains Enhanced C Assimilation during Long-Term Exposure to Elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] in Mojave Desert Shrubs, *Oecologia*, pp. 339–354, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-011-1996-y>, 2011.
- Bernacchi, C. J., Calfapietra, C., Davey, P. A., Wittig, V. E., Scarascia-Mugnozza, G. E., Raines, C. A., and Long, S. P.: Photosynthesis and Stomatal Conductance Responses of Poplars to Free-Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment (PopFACE) during the First Growth Cycle and Immediately Following Coppice, *The New Phytologist*, 159, 609–621, <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00850.x>, 2003.
- Bernacchi, C. J., Morgan, P. B., Ort, D. R., and Long, S. P.: The Growth of Soybean under Free Air [CO<sub>2</sub>] Enrichment (FACE) Stimulates Photosynthesis While Decreasing in Vivo Rubisco Capacity, *Planta*, 220, 434–446, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-004-1320-8>, 2005.
- Boesgaard, K. S. and Ro-Poulsen, H.: Long-Term Ecophysiological Responses to Climate Change, Technical University of Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby, 2013.
- Borenstein, M.: Effect sizes for continuous data, in: The handbook of research synthesis and meta-analysis, 2nd ed, pp. 221–235, Russell Sage Foundation, New York, NY, US, 2009.
- Calfapietra, C., Wiberley, A. E., Falbel, T. G., Linskey, A. R., Mugnozza, G. S., Karnosky, D. F., Loreto, F., and Sharkey, T. D.: Isoprene Synthase Expression and Protein Levels Are Reduced under Elevated O<sub>3</sub> but Not under Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (FACE) in Field-Grown Aspen Trees, *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 30, 654–661, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01646.x>, 2007.
- Calfapietra, C., Scarascia Mugnozza, G., Karnosky, D. F., Loreto, F., and Sharkey, T. D.: Isoprene Emission Rates under Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> in Two Field-Grown Aspen Clones Differing in Their Sensitivity to O<sub>3</sub>, *The New Phytologist*, 179, 55–61, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02493.x>, 2008.
- Crous, K. Y., Reich, P. B., Hunter, M. D., and Ellsworth, D. S.: Maintenance of Leaf N Controls the Photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> Response of Grassland Species Exposed to 9 Years of Free-air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment, *Global Change Biology*, 16, 2076–2088, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02058.x>, 2010.
- Cseke, L. J., Tsai, C.-J., Rogers, A., Nelsen, M. P., White, H. L., Karnosky, D. F., and Podila, G. K.: Transcriptomic Comparison in the Leaves of Two Aspen Genotypes Having Similar Carbon Assimilation Rates but Different Partitioning Patterns under Elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>], *New Phytologist*, 182, 891–911, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02812.x>, 2009.
- Darbah, J. N., Kubiske, M. E., Nelson, N., Kets, K., Riikonen, J., Sober, A., Rouse, L., and Karnosky, D. F.: Will Photosynthetic Capacity of Aspen Trees Acclimate after Long-Term Exposure to Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>?, *Environmental Pollution*, 158, 983–991, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2009.10.022>, 2010.

- Dawes, M. A., Hagedorn, F., Handa, I. T., Streit, K., Ekblad, A., Rixen, C., Körner, C., and Hättenschwiler, S.: An Alpine Treeline in a Carbon Dioxide-Rich World: Synthesis of a Nine-Year Free-Air Carbon Dioxide Enrichment Study, *Oecologia*, 171, 623–637, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-012-2576-5>, 2013.
- Dermody, O., Long, S. P., and DeLucia, E. H.: How Does Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> or Ozone Affect the Leaf-Area Index of Soybean When Applied Independently?, *The New Phytologist*, 169, 145–155, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01565.x>, 2006.
- Friedlingstein, P., Jones, M. W., O'Sullivan, M., Andrew, R. M., Bakker, D. C. E., Hauck, J., Le Quéré, C., Peters, G. P., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Sitch, S., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Alin, S. R., Anthoni, P., Bates, N. R., Becker, M., Bellouin, N., Bopp, L., Chau, T. T. T., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Cronin, M., Currie, K. I., Decharme, B., Djeutchouang, L. M., Dou, X., Evans, W., Feely, R. A., Feng, L., Gasser, T., Gilfillan, D., Gkritzalis, T., Grassi, G., Gregor, L., Gruber, N., Gürses, O., Harris, I., Houghton, R. A., Hurt, G. C., Iida, Y., Ilyina, T., Luijkx, I. T., Jain, A., Jones, S. D., Kato, E., Kennedy, D., Klein Goldewijk, K., Knauer, J., Korsbakken, J. I., Kötzinger, A., Landschützer, P., Lauvset, S. K., Lefèvre, N., Lienert, S., Liu, J., Marland, G., McGuire, P. C., Melton, J. R., Munro, D. R., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Nakaoka, S.-I., Niwa, Y., Ono, T., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Rehder, G., Resplandy, L., Robertson, E., Rödenbeck, C., Rosan, T. M., Schwinger, J., Schwingshakel, C., Séférian, R., Sutton, A. J., Sweeney, C., Tanhua, T., Tans, P. P., Tian, H., Tilbrook, B., Tubiello, F., van der Werf, G. R., Vuichard, N., Wada, C., Wanninkhof, R., Watson, A. J., Willis, D., Wiltshire, A. J., Yuan, W., Yue, C., Yue, X., Zaehle, S., and Zeng, J.: Global Carbon Budget 2021, *Earth System Science Data*, 14, 1917–2005, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-1917-2022>, URL <https://essd.copernicus.org/articles/14/1917/2022/>, 2022.
- Gunderson, C. A., Sholtis, J. D., Wullschleger, S. D., Tissue, D. T., Hanson, P. J., and Norby, R. J.: Environmental and Stomatal Control of Photosynthetic Enhancement in the Canopy of a Sweetgum (*Liquidambar Styraciflua* L.) Plantation during 3 Years of CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment, *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 25, 379–393, <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0016-8025.2001.00816.x>, 2002.
- Guo, J., Trotter, C. M., and Newton, P. C. D.: Initial Observations of Increased Requirements for Light-Energy Dissipation in Ryegrass (*Lolium Perenne*) When Source / Sink Ratios Become High at a Naturally Grazed Free Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment (FACE) Site, *Functional plant biology: FPB*, 33, 1045–1053, <https://doi.org/10.1071/FP06168>, 2006.
- Hamerlynck, E., Huxman, T., Nowak, R., Redar, S. P., Loik, M., Jordan, D. N., Zitzer, S., Coleman, J., Seemann, J., and Smith, S. D.: Photosynthetic Responses of *Larrea Tridentata* to a Step-Increase in Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> at the Nevada Desert FACE Facility, *Journal of Arid Environments*, <https://doi.org/10.1006/jare.1999.0615>, 2000.
- Handa, I. T., Körner, C., and Hättenschwiler, S.: A Test of the Tree-Line Carbon Limitation Hypothesis by in Situ CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment and Defoliation, *Ecology*, 86, Nr. 5, 1288–1300, <https://doi.org/10.1890/04-0711>, 2005.

- Hättenschwiler, S., Handa, I. T., Egli, L., Asshoff, R., Ammann, W., and Körner, C.: Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment of Alpine Treeline Conifers, *New Phytologist*, 156, 363–375, <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00537.x>, 2002.
- Hovenden, M. J.: Photosynthesis of Coppicing Poplar Clones in a Free-Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment (FACE) Experiment in a Short-Rotation Forest, *Functional plant biology: FPB*, 30, 391–400, <https://doi.org/10.1071/FP02233>, 2003.
- Hoyt, A. C. D. R. . W. T.: MAd: Meta-Analysis with Mean Differences, URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MAd>, 2014.
- Huxman, T. E. and Smith, S. D.: Photosynthesis in an Invasive Grass and Native Forb at Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> during an El Niño Year in the Mojave Desert, *Oecologia*, 128, 193–201, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420100658>, 2001.
- Karnosky, D. F., Zak, D. R., Pregitzer, K. S., Awmack, C. S., Bockheim, J. G., Dickson, R. E., Hendrey, G. R., Host, G. E., King, J. S., Kopper, B. J., Kruger, E. L., Kubiske, M. E., Lindroth, R. L., Mattson, W. J., McDonald, E. P., Noormets, A., Oksanen, E., Parsons, W. F. J., Percy, K. E., Podila, G. K., Riemenschneider, D. E., Sharma, P., Thakur, R., Sôber, A., Sôber, J., Jones, W. S., Anttonen, S., Vapaavuori, E., Mankovska, B., Heilman, W., and Isebrands, J. G.: Tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> Moderates Responses of Temperate Hardwood Forests to Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: A Synthesis of Molecular to Ecosystem Results from the Aspen FACE Project, *Functional Ecology*, 17, 289–304, <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.2003.00733.x>, 2003.
- Kets, K., Darbah, J. N., Sober, A., Riikonen, J., Sober, J., and Karnosky, D. F.: Diurnal Changes in Photosynthetic Parameters of *Populus tremuloides*, Modulated by Elevated Concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> and/or O<sub>3</sub> and Daily Climatic Variation, *Environmental Pollution*, 158, 1000–1007, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2009.09.001>, 2010.
- Lee, T. D., Tjoelker, M. G., Ellsworth, D. S., and Reich, P. B.: Leaf Gas Exchange Responses of 13 Prairie Grassland Species to Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and Increased Nitrogen Supply, *New Phytologist*, 150, 405–418, <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2001.00095.x>, 2001.
- Lee, T. D., Barrott, S. H., and Reich, P. B.: Photosynthetic Responses of 13 Grassland Species across 11 Years of Free-Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment Is Modest, Consistent and Independent of N Supply, *Global Change Biology*, 17, 2893–2904, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02435.x>, 2011.
- Liu, J., Zhou, G., Xu, Z., Duan, H., Li, Y., and Zhang, D.: Photosynthesis Acclimation, Leaf Nitrogen Concentration, and Growth of Four Tree Species over 3 Years in Response to Elevated Carbon Dioxide and Nitrogen Treatment in Subtropical China, *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 11, 1155–1164, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-011-0398-4>, 2011.
- Monson, R. K., Trahan, N., Rosenstiel, T. N., Veres, P., Moore, D., Wilkinson, M., Norby, R. J., Volder, A., Tjoelker, M. G., Briske, D. D., Karnosky, D. F., and Fall, R.: Isoprene Emission from Terrestrial Ecosystems in Response to Global Change: Minding the Gap between Models and Observations, *Philosophical Transactions. Series A, Mathematical, Physical, and Engineering Sciences*, 365, 1677–1695, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2007.2038>, 2007.

- Noormets, A., McDonald, E. P., Dickson, R. E., Kruger, E. L., Sôber, A., Isebrands, J., and Karnosky, D. F.: The Effect of Elevated Carbon Dioxide and Ozone on Leaf- and Branch-Level Photosynthesis and Potential Plant-Level Carbon Gain in Aspen, *Trees*, 15, 262–270, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004680100102>, 2001.
- Noormets, A., Kull, O., Sôber, A., Kubiske, M. E., and Karnosky, D. F.: Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> Response of Photosynthesis Depends on Ozone Concentration in Aspen, *Environmental Pollution* (Barking, Essex: 1987), 158, 992–999, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2009.10.009>, 2010.
- Riikonen, J., Kets, K., Darbah, J., Oksanen, E., Sober, A., Vapaavuori, E., Kubiske, M. E., Nelson, N., and Karnosky, D. F.: Carbon Gain and Bud Physiology in *Populus tremuloides* and *Betula papyrifera* Grown under Long-Term Exposure to Elevated Concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>, *Tree Physiology*, 28, 243–254, <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/28.2.243>, 2008.
- Sholtis, J. D., Gunderson, C. A., Norby, R. J., and Tissue, D. T.: Persistent Stimulation of Photosynthesis by Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in a Sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*) Forest Stand, *New Phytologist*, 162, 343–354, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01028.x>, 2004.
- Sitch, S., O'Sullivan, M., Robertson, E., Friedlingstein, P., Albergel, C., Anthoni, P., Arneth, A., Arora, V. K., Bastos, A., Bastrikov, V., Bellouin, N., Canadell, J. G., Chini, L., Ciais, P., Falk, S., Harris, I., Hurt, G., Ito, A., Jain, A. K., Jones, M. W., Joos, F., Kato, E., Kennedy, D., Klein Goldewijk, K., Kluzek, E., Knauer, J., Lawrence, P. J., Lombardozzi, D., Melton, J. R., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Pan, N., Peylin, P., Pongratz, J., Poulter, B., Rosan, T. M., Sun, Q., Tian, H., Walker, A. P., Weber, U., Yuan, W., Yue, X., and Zaehle, S.: Trends and Drivers of Terrestrial Sources and Sinks of Carbon Dioxide: An Overview of the TRENDY Project, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 38, e2024GB008102, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2024GB008102>, URL <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2024GB008102>, \_eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2024GB008102>, 2024.
- Strengbom, J. and Reich, P. B.: Elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and Increased N Supply Reduce Leaf Disease and Related Photosynthetic Impacts on *Solidago rigida*, *Oecologia*, 149, 519–525, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-006-0458-4>, 2006.
- Takeuchi, Y., Kubiske, M. E., Isebrands, J. G., Pregitzer, K., Hendrey, G., and Karnosky, D. F.: Photosynthesis, Light and Nitrogen Relationships in a Young Deciduous Forest Canopy under Open-air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment, *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 24, 1257–1268, <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0016-8025.2001.00787.x>, 2001.
- Tjoelker, M. G., Craine, J. M., Wedin, D., Reich, P. B., and Tilman, D.: Linking Leaf and Root Trait Syndromes among 39 Grassland and Savannah Species, *New Phytologist*, 167, 493–508, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01428.x>, 2005.
- Tricker, P., Trewin, H., Kull, O., Clarkson, G., Eensalu, E., Tallis, M., Colella, A., Doncaster, C., Sabatti, M., and Taylor, G.: Stomatal Conductance and Not Stomatal Density Determines the Long-Term Reduction in Leaf Transpiration of Poplar in Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, *Oecologia*, 143, 652–660, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-005-0025-4>, 2005.

- Van Sundert, K., Leuzinger, S., Bader, M. K., Chang, S. X., De Kauwe, M. G., Dukes, J. S., Langley, J. A., Ma, Z., Mariën, B., Reynaert, S., Ru, J., Song, J., Stocker, B., Terrer, C., Thoresen, J., Vanuytrecht, E., Wan, S., Yue, K., and Vicca, S.: When things get MESI: The Manipulation Experiments Synthesis Initiative—A coordinated effort to synthesize terrestrial global change experiments, *Global Change Biology*, 29, 1922–1938, <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16585>, URL <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/gcb.16585>, 2023.
- Viechtbauer, W.: Conducting Meta-Analyses in R with the metafor Package, *Journal of Statistical Software*, 36, 1–48, <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v036.i03>, URL <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v036.i03>, 2010.
- Von Caemmerer, S., Ghannoum, O., Conroy, J. P., Clark, H., and Newton, P. C. D.: Photosynthetic Responses of Temperate Species to Free Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment (FACE) in a Grazed New Zealand Pasture, *Functional Plant Biology*, 28, 439, <https://doi.org/10.1071/PP01009>, 2001.
- Warren, J. M., Jensen, A. M., Medlyn, B. E., Norby, R. J., and Tissue, D. T.: Carbon Dioxide Stimulation of Photosynthesis in Liquidambar Styraciflua Is Not Sustained during a 12-Year Field Experiment, *AoB PLANTS*, 7, plu074, <https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plu074>, 2015.