

Data & AI Hackathon

Feb 17-20, 2026

Challenge #1: Job Description Clustering & Standardization

Section	Description
Title	Problem 1 – Job Description Clustering & Standardization
Business context	<p>Methanex has ~2,000 job description profiles, close to a 1:1 ratio with employees. This makes it difficult to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Standardize positions, titles, and career paths • Align HR processes (recruitment, compensation, performance management) • Identify job families and overlapping roles across functions and locations <p>We need a data-driven view of how similar or different current job descriptions are to move toward a standardized set of roles.</p>
Problem statement	<p>Given ~2,000 job descriptions (plus optional metadata), the goal is to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cluster similar job descriptions into groups (“job families”) • Understand how close job descriptions are to each other • Identify what makes clusters different (responsibilities, skills, departments, etc.) <p>Key questions: How many natural job families exist? Which roles are very similar and could be standardized? Which roles are truly unique?</p>

Expected solution – modeling	<p>Teams are expected to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Represent job descriptions: Use NLP techniques (e.g., TF-IDF, word/sentence embeddings) to convert text into numerical vectors. 2. Cluster job descriptions: Apply clustering algorithms (e.g., k-means, hierarchical, DBSCAN, etc.). Explore different numbers of clusters/parameters and justify the choice. 3. Interpret and label clusters • Identify what makes each cluster coherent (shared responsibilities, skills, departments). 4. Compare clusters • Highlight what makes clusters different from each other (keywords, skill sets, typical job levels).
Minimum Deliverables	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cluster visualization – 2D plot (e.g., PCA/t-SNE/UMAP) showing each job description as a point, colored by cluster. 2. Cluster overview – For each cluster: a short label (e.g., “Maintenance”, “Finance”), 3–5 key keywords, and 2–3 example job titles. 3. Standardization insights – be able to provide answers to questions such as: “Which clusters could share a common job profile?” and “Where do we see truly unique roles (outliers)?, and more

Challenge #2: Safety Incident & Near-Miss Pattern Mining

Section	Description
Title	Problem 2 – Safety Incident & Near-Miss Pattern Mining
Business context	<p>Methanex records incidents, near misses, and lessons learned (e.g., root cause analyses, hazard categories, and corrective actions). This information is valuable, but it's often reviewed case by case.</p> <p>There is an opportunity to use data science to spot recurring patterns, understand drivers of higher-severity events, and inform prevention strategies (training focus, procedures, safeguards).</p>
Problem statement	<p>Given historical safety-related records (incidents, near misses, or RC cases), the goal is to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify patterns and clusters of similar events (e.g., by activity, equipment, cause). • Understand which factors are most associated with higher severity or high potential events. • Provide simple, data-driven recommendations on where to focus prevention efforts.
Expected solution – analysis & modeling	<p>Teams are expected to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explore the dataset: Show basic statistics: counts over time, by site, by event type, by severity. • Find patterns and clusters: Use structured fields and/or text (via keywords/embeddings) to group similar events. • Identify typical “scenarios” (e.g., maintenance-related, loading/unloading, office-based). • Analyze severity drivers: Explore which conditions or categories are more often linked to higher severity/potential. • Optionally build a simple model to predict high vs. low severity based on event characteristics.

Minimum Deliverables	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pattern & trend visuals – 1–3 clear charts (e.g., bar charts or time trends) showing key patterns (by activity, site, hazard category, etc.). • Event clusters or scenarios – A simple summary of 3–6 “typical event groups” (e.g., “maintenance valve work”, “forklift operations”) with a few keywords/phrases for each. • Prevention insights – Which types of events or situations deserve the most attention for prevention, based on the data?
----------------------	---

Challenge #3: Early Detection of Process Excursions from Sensor Data

Section	Description
Title	Problem 3 – Early Detection of Process Excursions from Sensor Data
Business context	<p>Methanex operates large continuous process plants with many critical assets (e.g., reformers, compressors, distillation columns). These assets generate thousands of time-series tags (sensor signals) stored in a historian. When something drifts out of its normal operating range, it can lead to unplanned downtime, quality issues, or safety risks if not caught early. Today, many deviations are detected reactively (alarms, operator experience). Methanex would like to explore data-driven methods to detect early signs of abnormal behavior so operators and engineers can act before a major excursion or trip occurs.</p>
Problem statement	<p>Given historical time-series data from one or more critical process tags (and possibly related tags), the goal is to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Learn what “normal” behavior looks like for a signal (or small set of signals).• Detect and flag anomalies or excursions where the behavior deviates from normal.• Highlight when issues start and how far in advance they could have been detected.
Expected solution – modeling	<p>Teams are expected to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Explore & clean the data: Handle missing values, obvious outliers, and resampling if needed.• Model normal behavior • Use time-series / anomaly detection techniques (e.g., moving statistics, isolation forest, autoencoder, forecasting plus residuals) to learn “normal” patterns.• Detect anomalies / excursions • Produce an anomaly score or classification over time. • Compare detected anomalies with any known events (if provided).

Minimum Deliverables	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anomaly timeline visualization – Time-series plot(s) showing the main tag(s) over time with anomalies clearly marked (e.g., highlighted or flagged). • Simple detection logic / model – A short description of the method (e.g., “we trained a forecasting model and flagged points where the error exceeded X”, or “we used isolation forest on sliding windows”). • Operational insights – In which periods do we see abnormal behavior? or Could some events have been detected earlier than they actually occurred?
----------------------	---