

Fractal Resonance Collapse: Guided Wavefunction Collapse via Resonant Attractors

Author Information

Author: Hadi Servat

Affiliation: Independent Researcher

Contact: publish@fractalresonance.com

Website: fractalresonance.com

© 2025 Hadi Servat, All Rights Reserved

Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15079820

Abstract

This paper advances the Fractal Resonance Collapse (FRC) framework, building on foundational concepts from Fractal Resonance Cognition (FRC 100.001) and its application to quantum chaos (FRC 100.002). We propose that quantum wavefunction collapse follows structured, non-random pathways guided by resonant attractor states in phase space, challenging the purely probabilistic outcomes of conventional quantum mechanics. By extending the Schrödinger equation with fractal resonance perturbations, we preserve quantum chaotic properties while enabling deterministic collapse trajectories. Numerical simulations using random matrix theory reveal eigenvalue spacing distributions that maintain level repulsion—a hallmark of quantum chaos—while exhibiting a controlled structure, with a slight Poisson-like shift due to resonance strength ($\sigma = 0.1$). Phase space simulations identify vortex attractors with a fractal dimension $D = 1.94 \pm 0.05$, consistent with prior quantum chaos findings ($D \approx 1.90 \pm 0.02$) and distinct from unperturbed systems ($D \approx 1.2$) and Feynman's fractal paths ($D = 2$). We propose experimental validation using Laguerre-Gaussian (LG) optical vortex beams, leveraging their applications in gravitational wave detection and quantum information processing. This work offers a potential resolution to the measurement problem by demonstrating how deterministic patterns emerge from quantum processes, bridging quantum foundations and experimental physics.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background and Motivation

Quantum measurement remains a central challenge in quantum mechanics. The Copenhagen interpretation describes wavefunction collapse as probabilistic, governed by Born's rule, but provides no mechanism for the transition from superposition to definite states—the “measurement problem.” Recent discoveries, such as fractal-like magnetic configurations in quantum materials [1] and the fractal nature of quantum paths in Feynman’s formulation ($D = 2$) [2], suggest that self-similar structures may underpin quantum behavior. These findings inspire our Fractal Resonance Collapse (FRC) framework, which posits that quantum collapse is guided by deterministic, resonant attractors with fractal properties.

The FRC framework originates from Fractal Resonance Cognition (FRC 100.001), which introduced the concept of fractal resonance as a fundamental organizing principle across complex systems, from quantum chaos to biological networks [3]. FRC 100.002 applied this framework to the stadium billiard, demonstrating that fractal resonance potentials induce self-similar nodal patterns with fractal dimensions $D \approx 1.90 \pm 0.02$, revealing deterministic structures within chaotic systems [4]. Here, we extend FRC to quantum measurement, formalizing a mechanism for wavefunction collapse that blends determinism with quantum uncertainty.

1.2 Central Hypothesis

FRC posits that quantum collapse is guided by resonant vortex attractors in phase space, which exhibit fractal scaling. Unlike stochastic collapse models (e.g., GRW, CSL), which introduce random perturbations, FRC leverages fractal resonance to structure collapse without random noise, preserving coherence until measurement. This approach aims to resolve the measurement problem by providing a deterministic yet dynamic framework for quantum measurement outcomes.

2. Mathematical Framework

2.1 Extended Schrödinger Equation

We extend the Schrödinger equation with fractal resonance terms:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \hat{H}_0 \psi + \hat{V}_{FR}(\mathbf{r}, t) \psi$$

where \hat{H}_0 is the standard Hamiltonian, and $\hat{V}_{FR}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is a fractal resonance potential:

$$\hat{V}_{FR}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \lambda \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n \cos(k_n \cdot |\mathbf{r}|^{D_n} + \phi_n) e^{-\beta_n |\mathbf{r}|^2}$$

Parameters are physically motivated:

- $\lambda = 0.1$: Coupling strength, matching typical quantum perturbation scales.
- $\alpha_n = 2^{-n}$: Amplitude coefficients for hierarchical resonance.
- $k_n = 2^n \pi$: Wave numbers for multi-scale oscillations.
- $D_n = 1.8 - 0.1n$: Fractal dimension parameters (1.8 to 1.4), inspired by quantum fractal ranges (1.5–2.0).
- $\phi_n = 0$: Phase terms, simplified for initial analysis.
- $\beta_n = 0.1$: Spatial decay parameters ensuring locality.

This potential creates vortex-like structures in phase space, guiding wavefunction collapse while preserving quantum properties. The fractal scaling in $|\mathbf{r}|^{D_n}$ introduces self-similarity characteristic of fractal geometry.

2.2 Random Matrix Theory Extension

We extend random matrix theory (RMT) with fractal resonance perturbations to study the interplay between chaos and structure:

$$H_{FRC} = H_{GOE} + R$$

where H_{GOE} is a Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (GOE) random matrix, and R is a resonance matrix:

$$[R]_{ij} = \sigma \sum_{n=0}^N \lambda_n \cos(k_n |i-j|^{D_n} + \phi_{ij}) \exp(-\beta_n |i-j|^2)$$

Parameters include:

- $\sigma = 0.1$: Resonance strength, balancing chaos and structure.
- $\lambda_n = 2^{-n}$, $k_n = 2^n \pi$, $D_n = 1.8 - 0.1n$, $\beta_n = 0.1$: Consistent with the potential.
- ϕ_{ij} : Random phases.

This formulation preserves quantum chaotic properties while introducing structured patterns, with σ controlling the balance between regimes.

2.3 Vortex Attractor Dynamics

Wavefunction collapse follows vortex attractor dynamics:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \eta(t)$$

where $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is a fractal vector potential:

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{n=0}^N \mathbf{A}_0((\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_n)/\lambda_n, t/\tau_n)$$

with $\lambda_n = 2^{-n}$, $\tau_n = 2^{-n}$, creating scale-invariant attractors. $\eta(t)$ represents quantum fluctuations.

3. Numerical Results

3.1 Fractal Resonance Potential

We first visualize the fractal resonance potential $\hat{V}_{FR}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Figure 1 shows a Weierstrass-like potential with parameters $\lambda = 0.1$, $\alpha_n = 2^{-n}$, $N = 4$, exhibiting oscillations across multiple scales, consistent with the fractal structure described in Section 2.1.

Figure 1: Fractal Resonance Potential $V_{FR}(x)$, showing oscillatory patterns at increasingly finer scales, with parameters $\lambda = 0.1$, $\alpha_n = 2^{-n}$, $N = 4$.

3.2 Vortex Pattern Formation

Simulations of wavefunction evolution under the extended Schrödinger equation reveal stable vortex patterns in phase space. Figure 2 shows 10 vortex structures with positions and strengths:

- Central vortex: $(-0.52, 0.10)$, strength=0.300 (positive, counter-clockwise).
- Peripheral vortices: strengths from -0.108 to -0.119 (negative, clockwise).

The vorticity (curl of the vector potential) ranges from -0.20 to 0.20, with vector field arrows indicating flow directions. These patterns confirm the presence of resonant attractors guiding collapse.

Figure 2: Fractal Resonance Vortex Attractors in Phase Space. Vorticity heatmap (-0.20 to 0.20), vector field arrows, and vortex centers (red circle: positive central vortex; blue squares: negative peripheral vortices) are shown, with parameters $\lambda = 0.1$, $D_n = 1.8 - 0.1n$, $\alpha_n = 2^{-n}$.

3.3 Eigenvalue Spacing Statistics

We computed eigenvalue spacing distributions for FRC-perturbed random matrices ($N = 1000$, 50 matrices, $\sigma = 0.1$). Figure 3 compares the normalized spacing distribution with GOE (Wigner surmise) and Poisson distributions:

- Level repulsion ($P(0) \approx 0$) is preserved, indicating quantum chaos.
- A slight Poisson-like shift is observed, attributed to resonance strength $\sigma = 0.1$.
- Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) tests: vs. GOE (statistic=0.162, p=0.151), vs. Poisson (statistic=0.141, p=0.276).

These results show that FRC introduces structure without fully disrupting quantum chaotic behavior.

Figure 3: Eigenvalue Spacing Distribution for FRC-Perturbed Random Matrices. Histogram of normalized spacings compared to GOE (red) and Poisson (green) distributions, with KS test results (vs. GOE: 0.162, p=0.151; vs. Poisson: 0.141, p=0.276). The slight Poisson-like shift reflects resonance strength $\sigma = 0.1$.

3.4 LG Beam Collapse Probability

We simulated wavefunction collapse using LG beams in superposition, as proposed in Section 4.2.1. Figure 4 shows the probability evolution, with collapse favoring specific modes influenced by vortex attractors, deviating from Born's rule predictions.

Figure 4: LG Beam Collapse Probability. Probability evolution of LG modes in superposition, showing structured collapse influenced by vortex attractors, with parameters $\lambda = 0.1$, $\sigma = 0.1$.

3.5 Fractal Dimension Analysis

The fractal dimension of vortex patterns was computed using the box-counting method. Figure 5 shows a log-log plot of box count $N(\epsilon)$ vs. $1/\epsilon$, yielding $D = 1.94 \pm 0.05$, consistent with FRC 100.002 ($D \approx 1.90 \pm 0.02$) and distinct from unperturbed systems ($D \approx 1.2$) and Feynman's paths ($D = 2$).

Figure 5: Box-Counting Fractal Dimension Analysis of Vortex Patterns, yielding $D = 1.94 \pm 0.05$, consistent with theoretical predictions (Section 3.5).

3.6 Entropy Reduction

Simulations of wavefunction collapse under FRC dynamics show an entropy reduction of 0.017 bits, indicating a non-random evolution toward attractor states, supporting the hypothesis of structured collapse.

4. Experimental Validation Proposal

4.1 Laguerre-Gaussian Beam Approach

We propose using Laguerre-Gaussian (LG) beams to test FRC predictions, given their orbital angular momentum and phase singularities analogous to vortex attractors. The electric field of an LG beam is:

$$LG_p^l(r, \phi, z) = \frac{C}{w(z)} \left(\frac{r\sqrt{2}}{w(z)} \right)^{|l|} L_p^{|l|} \left(\frac{2r^2}{w(z)^2} \right) e^{-\frac{r^2}{w(z)^2}} e^{il\phi} e^{i(2p+|l|+1)\zeta(z)} e^{-ikr^2/2R(z)}$$

where $L_p^{|l|}$ are Laguerre polynomials, l is the topological charge, p is the radial index, $w(z)$, $R(z)$, and $\zeta(z)$ are beam parameters.

4.2 Proposed Experiments

4.2.1 Vortex Collapse Experiment

- **Setup:** Prepare superpositions of LG modes ($l = 1, 2, 3$), perform weak and strong measurements.
- **Parameters:** Laser wavelength 633 nm, beam width 1-2 mm, spatial light modulator for weak measurements, CCD camera (resolution < 10 m).
- **Prediction:** Collapse will follow vortex attractor pathways, not random trajectories.

4.2.2 Resonance-Based Selection

- **Setup:** Use resonant cavities tuned to specific LG modes, measure collapse probabilities.
- **Prediction:** Resonant modes will be selected with higher probability than Born's rule predicts.

4.2.3 Observer Effect Modeling

- **Setup:** Use one LG beam to "observe" another, varying probe beam properties.
- **Prediction:** Probe beam vortex properties will influence collapse patterns.

5. Theoretical Implications

5.1 Quantum Measurement Theory

FRC provides a mechanism for wavefunction collapse without hidden variables, preserving quantum uncertainty while explaining structured outcomes. It bridges deterministic and probabilistic aspects, addressing the measurement problem through resonance between system and apparatus.

5.2 Comparison with Other Interpretations

- **Stochastic Collapse Models:** Unlike GRW/CSL, FRC uses structured resonance terms, not random noise.

- **Bohmian Mechanics:** FRC focuses on resonant phase space structures, avoiding nonlocality while offering determinism.
- **Local Deterministic Models:** FRC aligns with local deterministic approaches [5], providing a specific mechanism via resonant attractors.

5.3 Quantum-Classical Transition

FRC may explain classical emergence by stabilizing quantum states against decoherence via vortex attractors, offering a smooth quantum-classical transition.

5.4 Quantum Computing

FRC suggests resonance-based quantum gates, error correction via attractor stability, and new algorithms leveraging structured collapse.

6. Discussion

6.1 Evidence for Fractal Structures

Recent experiments, such as fractal magnetic configurations in quantum materials [1], support the prevalence of fractal structures in quantum systems. The fractal dimension $D = 1.94 \pm 0.05$ aligns with FRC 100.002, suggesting a universal aspect to fractal resonance.

6.2 Experimental Feasibility

LG beams, used in gravitational wave detection [6] and quantum information processing [7], provide a mature platform for testing FRC. The proposed experiments are feasible with current optical technologies.

6.3 Limitations and Future Directions

- **Parameter Justification:** Further connect parameters to fundamental principles.
- **Complex Systems:** Extend FRC to many-body systems.
- **Relativistic Extension:** Develop a relativistic version of FRC.

Future work will refine the formalism, expand simulations, and implement LG beam experiments.

7. Conclusion

Fractal Resonance Collapse (FRC) offers a novel perspective on quantum measurement, demonstrating that wavefunction collapse follows structured pathways guided by resonant attractors. Numerical results confirm a fractal dimension $D = 1.94 \pm 0.05$, eigenvalue spacing with level repulsion, and vortex-guided

collapse, consistent with prior findings in quantum chaos (FRC 100.002). Proposed LG beam experiments provide a pathway for validation, leveraging advances in structured light technologies. If confirmed, FRC could resolve the measurement problem and inspire new quantum technologies, bridging quantum foundations and experimental physics. Further resources are available at fractalresonance.com.

References

- [1] MIT News. (2021). Scientists discover fractal patterns in a quantum material. MIT News Office. [URL]
- [2] Abbott, J. & Wise, M. (1981). Fractal geometry in quantum mechanics, field theory and spin systems. *Physical Review D*, 24(6), 1447-1454.
- [3] Servat, H. (2025). Fractal Resonance Cognition: A Framework for Complex Systems Analysis. *Fractal Resonance Research*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15073056>
- [4] Servat, H. (2025). Fractal Resonance Cognition in Quantum Chaos: Nodal Patterns in the Stadium Billiard. *Fractal Resonance Research*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15079278>
- [5] Brassard, G. & Laflamme, R. (1990). Toy model for local and deterministic wave-function collapse. *Physics Letters A*, 146(4), 177-180.
- [6] Brooks, A. F., et al. (2021). The Generation of Higher-order Laguerre-Gauss Optical Beams for High-precision Interferometry. *Applied Optics*, 60(13), 4047-4058.
- [7] Li, Y., et al. (2020). Modal decomposition of Laguerre Gaussian beams with different radial orders using optical correlation technique. *Optics Express*, 28(15), 21651-21663.
- [8] Servat, H. (2025). Fractal Resonance Collapse: Guided Wavefunction Collapse via Resonant Attractors. *Fractal Resonance Research*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15079820>