

ORFE 526: Probability Theory

Homework 6

Zachary Hervieux-Moore

Tuesday 15th November, 2016

Exercise 1:

- a) Consider the normal random variable $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, with $\mu \neq 0$. Prove that there is a unique $\theta \neq 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}[e^{\theta X}] = 1$
- b) Let $(X_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a sequence of independent random variables identically distributed as $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, with $\mu \neq 0$. Consider the sum $S_n = \sum_{j=0}^n X_j$. Show that $Z_n = e^{\theta S_n}$ is a martingale, with θ defined in part a).

Answer:

- a) We write out $\mathbb{E}[e^{\theta X}]$

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\theta X}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sigma^2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} e^{\theta x} dx$$

Do a change of variable with $z = \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= e^{\mu\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2} e^{z\sigma\theta} dz \\ &= e^{\mu\theta} e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\theta^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z-\theta)^2} e^{z\sigma\theta} dz \\ &= e^{\mu\theta} e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\theta^2} \end{aligned}$$

Since the inside of the integral is the pdf of a normal distribution $N(\theta, 0)$, it integrates to 1. Setting this result equal to 1 and solving for θ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\theta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\theta^2 &= 0 \\ \theta &= -\frac{2\mu}{\sigma^2} \text{ (or 0)} \end{aligned}$$

We ignore the case $\theta = 0$ by assumption. Thus, $\theta = -\frac{2\mu}{\sigma^2}$ is a unique solution.

- b) We must show three things. First, that Z_t is integrable. Since $Z_t \geq 0$ we do not need absolute values.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Z_t] &= \mathbb{E}[e^{\theta S_t}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{\theta(X_1 + \dots + X_t)}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e^{\theta X_1}] \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbb{E}[e^{\theta X_t}] = 1 \cdot \dots \cdot 1 = 1 < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Where we can break up the expectation as they are independent. By choice of θ , all the expectations are 1.

Clearly, Z_t is \mathcal{F}_t measurable as it is a continuous function of X_1, \dots, X_t .

Now for the last property.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[Z_t|\mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}[e^{\theta S_t}|\mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[e^{\theta(X_1+\dots+X_t)}|\mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= e^{\theta(X_1+\dots+X_s)}\mathbb{E}[e^{\theta(X_{s+1}+\dots+X_t)}|\mathcal{F}_s]\end{aligned}$$

Since we can pull out all the terms that are \mathcal{F}_s -measurable.

$$\begin{aligned}&= e^{\theta S_s}\mathbb{E}[e^{\theta X_{s+1}}] \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbb{E}[e^{\theta X_t}] \\ &= Z_s 1 \cdot \dots \cdot 1 = Z_s\end{aligned}$$

Where we can break up the conditional expectation because the X_t 's are independent and because for $t > s$ are independent of \mathcal{F}_s .

Exercise 2: Two processes X_t and Y_t are called conditionally uncorrelated, given \mathcal{F}_t , is

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_t - X_s)(Y_t - Y_s)|\mathcal{F}_s] = 0 \text{ a.s. } \forall 0 \leq s < t < \infty$$

Let X_t and Y_t be martingales with respect to filtration \mathcal{F}_t . Show that the process $Z_t = X_t Y_t$ is an \mathcal{F}_t -martingale if and only if X_t and Y_t are conditionally uncorrelated. Assume that X_t , Y_t , and Z_t are integrable.

Answer: By assumption, Z_t is integrable so we have the first property of martingales. Second, $Z_t = X_t Y_t$ so it is clearly \mathcal{F}_t -measurable since it is a product of \mathcal{F}_t -measurable processes. Thus, we only have to show the last property.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[(X_t - X_s)(Y_t - Y_s)|\mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_t Y_t - X_t Y_s - X_s Y_t + X_s Y_s | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_t Y_t | \mathcal{F}_s] - \mathbb{E}[X_t Y_s | \mathcal{F}_s] - \mathbb{E}[X_s Y_t | \mathcal{F}_s] + \mathbb{E}[X_s Y_s | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_t Y_t | \mathcal{F}_s] - Y_s \mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] - X_s \mathbb{E}[Y_t | \mathcal{F}_s] + X_s Y_s \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_t Y_t | \mathcal{F}_s] - Y_s X_s - X_s Y_s + X_s Y_s \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_t Y_t | \mathcal{F}_s] - X_s Y_s \end{aligned}$$

Where the steps taken were expanding the product, then linearity of expectation, then removing \mathcal{F}_s -measurable functions from the conditional expectations, then using the fact that X_t and Y_t are martingales. Thus, we have that X_t and Y_t are conditionally uncorrelated iff $\mathbb{E}[X_t Y_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = X_s Y_s$ which is equivalent to Z_t being a martingale. Thus, they are conditionally uncorrelated iff Z_t is an \mathcal{F}_t -martingale.

Exercise 3: Let W_t and \widetilde{W}_t be two independent Wiener processes and ρ be a constant with $|\rho| \leq 1$.

- a) Show that the process $X_t = \rho W_t + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \cdot \widetilde{W}_t$ is continuous and has the distribution $N(0, t)$.
- b) Is X_t a Wiener process?

Answer:

- a) Notice that we can write $X_t = \rho(W_t - W_0) + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \cdot (\widetilde{W}_t - \widetilde{W}_0)$. Since W_t and \widetilde{W}_t are martingales, then

$$\begin{aligned} W_t - W_0 &\sim N(0, t) \\ \widetilde{W}_t - \widetilde{W}_0 &\sim N(0, t) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\rho(W_t - W_0) \sim N(0, \rho^2 t)$ and $\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}(\widetilde{W}_t - \widetilde{W}_0) \sim N(0, (1 - \rho^2)t)$. Now, adding the two together yields another normal distribution with their means added and their variances added. So,

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= \rho(W_t - W_0) + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \cdot (\widetilde{W}_t - \widetilde{W}_0) \\ &\sim N(0 + 0, \rho^2 t + (1 - \rho^2)t) = N(0, t) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since X_t is a linear combination of continuous processes, it is itself continuous.

- b) X_t is indeed a Wiener process. $X_0 = \rho W_0 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \cdot \widetilde{W}_0 = 0$. We showed continuity in the previous part. Also using the previous part, $X_t - X_s \sim N(0, t - s)$. Finally, the increments are independent as X_t is a combination of W_t and \widetilde{W}_t which have independent increments and are independent of each other.

Exercise 4:

- a) Find $\mathbb{E}[W_t^2|\mathcal{F}_s]$ for $0 < s < t$.
- b) Show $\mathbb{E}[W_t^3|\mathcal{F}_s] = 3(t-s)W_s + W_s^3$, for $0 < s < t$.
- c) Show that $\mathbb{E}[\int_s^t W_u du|\mathcal{F}_s] = (t-s)W_s$.
- d) Show that the process

$$X_t = W_t^3 - 3 \int_0^t W_s ds$$

is a martingale with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{W_s : s \leq t\}$

Answer:

- a) We will find the equivalent $\mathbb{E}[W_{t+s}^2|\mathcal{F}_t]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[W_{t+s}^2|\mathcal{F}_t] &= \mathbb{E}[(W_{t+s} - W_t + W_t)^2|\mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(W_{t+s} - W_t)^2 - 2W_t(W_{t+s} - W_t) + W_t^2|\mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(W_{t+s} - W_t)^2|\mathcal{F}_t] - 2\mathbb{E}[W_t(W_{t+s} - W_t)|\mathcal{F}_t] + \mathbb{E}[W_t^2|\mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= s - 2W_t\mathbb{E}[W_{t+s} - W_t|\mathcal{F}_t] + W_t^2 \\ &= s - 2 \cdot 0 + W_t^2 = W_t^2 + s \end{aligned}$$

Where $\mathbb{E}[(W_{t+s} - W_t)^2|\mathcal{F}_t] = s$ and $\mathbb{E}[W_{t+s} - W_t|\mathcal{F}_t] = 0$ since $W_{t+s} - W_t \sim N(0, s)$. Thus we conclude that $\mathbb{E}[W_t^2|\mathcal{F}_s] = W_s^2 + t - s$

- b) We again work with $\mathbb{E}[W_{t+s}^3|\mathcal{F}_t]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[W_{t+s}^3|\mathcal{F}_t] &= \mathbb{E}[(W_{t+s} - W_t + W_t)^3|\mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(W_{t+s} - W_t)^3 + 3W_t(W_{t+s} - W_t)^2 + 3W_t^2(W_{t+s} - W_t) + W_t^3|\mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(W_{t+s} - W_t)^3|\mathcal{F}_t] + \mathbb{E}[3W_t(W_{t+s} - W_t)^2|\mathcal{F}_t] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}[3W_t^2(W_{t+s} - W_t)|\mathcal{F}_t] + \mathbb{E}[W_t^3|\mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= 0 + 3W_t s + 0 + W_t^3 = 3sW_t + W_t^3 \end{aligned}$$

Where we again use the facts $\mathbb{E}[(W_{t+s} - W_t)^2|\mathcal{F}_t] = s$ and $\mathbb{E}[W_{t+s} - W_t|\mathcal{F}_t] = 0$ since $W_{t+s} - W_t \sim N(0, s)$. Also, $\mathbb{E}[(W_{t+s} - W_t)^3|\mathcal{F}_t] = 0$ since the third moment of a normal distribution is $\mu^3 + 3\mu\sigma^2$ which is 0 since μ is 0. We conclude with $\mathbb{E}[W_t^3|\mathcal{F}_s] = W_s^3 + 3(t-s)W_s$

- c) Since the inside integral is finite, we can swap the integral and expectation due to Fubini's theorem. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^t W_u du | \mathcal{F}_s\right] &= \int_s^t \mathbb{E}[W_u | \mathcal{F}_s] du \\ &= \int_s^t W_s du = W_s \int_s^t du = W_s(t-s)\end{aligned}$$

- d) We show integrability,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[|X_t|] &\leq \mathbb{E}[|W_t^3|] + 3\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_0^t W_s ds\right|\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[|W_t^3|] + 3\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t |W_s| ds\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(W_t - W_0)^3] + 3\int_0^t \mathbb{E}[|W_s - W_0|] ds\end{aligned}$$

Where we use the fact that the integral is finite to swap with expectation due to Fubini's theorem. We then note that $W_t - W_0 \sim N(0, t)$ and thus all these expectations are finite as the normal distribution has finite absolute moments. Thus, $\mathbb{E}[|X_t|] < \infty$.

Clearly, X_t is a function of W_s , where $0 \leq s \leq t$ and those W_s are measurable with respect to \mathcal{F}_t . Thus, X_t is measurable with respect to \mathcal{F}_t .

Now for the last property of martingales,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}\left[W_t^3 - 3\int_0^t W_s ds | \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[W_t^3 | \mathcal{F}_s] - 3\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t W_s ds | \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\ &= 3(t-s)W_s + W_s^3 - 3\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^s W_{s'} ds' + \int_s^t W_{s'} ds' | \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\ &= 3(t-s)W_s + W_s^3 - 3\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^s W_{s'} ds' | \mathcal{F}_s\right] - 3\mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^t W_{s'} ds' | \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\ &= 3(t-s)W_s + W_s^3 - 3\int_0^s W_{s'} ds' - 3(t-s)W_s \\ &= W_s^3 - 3\int_0^s W_{s'} ds' = X_s\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 5: The process $X_t = W_t - tW_1$ is called the Brownian bridge pinned at both 0 and 1 (because $X_0 = X_1 = 0$).

- a) Write X_t as a convex combination of W_t and $W_t - W_1$
- b) Show that $X_t \sim N(0, t(t-1))$

Answer:

- a) It is easy to verify that

$$X_t = W_t - tW_1 = (1-t)W_t + t(W_t - W_1)$$

- b) Since $W_t = (W_t - W_0) \sim N(0, t)$ and $(W_t - W_1) \sim N(0, 1-t)$, we have that

$$(1-t)W_t \sim N(0, (1-t)^2t) \text{ and } t(W_t - W_1) \sim N(0, t^2(1-t))$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= (1-t)W_t + t(W_t - W_1) \sim N(0, (1-t)^2t + t^2(1-t)) \\ &= N(0, (1-t)t(1-t+t)) = N(0, (1-t)t) \end{aligned}$$