

ORFE 526: Probability Theory

Homework 7

Zachary Hervieux-Moore

Tuesday 22nd November, 2016

Exercise 1: An inhomogeneous Poisson process with intensity function $\lambda(t) > 0$ is a non-decreasing, integer-valued process with initial value $N(0) = 0$ whose increments are independent and satisfy

$$P(N_T - N_t = n) = \frac{1}{n!} \left(\int_t^T \lambda(s) ds \right)^n e^{-\int_t^T \lambda(s) ds}$$

The intensity $\lambda(t)$ is a non-negative function on time only. Consider the filtration \mathcal{F}_t defined by the process N_t .

- a) Find $\mathbb{E}[N_{t+s} - N_t | \mathcal{F}_t]$
- b) Prove that $M_t = N_t - \int_0^t \lambda(s) ds$ is an \mathcal{F}_t -martingale.

Answer:

- a) Calculating the conditional expectation,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[N_{t+s} - N_t | \mathcal{F}_t] &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n P(N_{t+s} - N_t = n | \mathcal{F}_t) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n!} \left(\int_t^{t+s} \lambda(s) ds \right)^n e^{-\int_t^{t+s} \lambda(s) ds} \\ &= \left(\int_t^{t+s} \lambda(s) ds \right) e^{-\int_t^{t+s} \lambda(s) ds} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left(\int_t^{t+s} \lambda(s) ds \right)^{n-1} \\ &= \left(\int_t^{t+s} \lambda(s) ds \right) e^{-\int_t^{t+s} \lambda(s) ds} e^{\int_t^{t+s} \lambda(s) ds} \\ &= \int_t^{t+s} \lambda(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

Where I used the fact that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} = e^x$ and the fact that the finite integral is well defined.

b) First we show M_t is integrable.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[|M_t|] &= \mathbb{E}[|N_t - \int_0^t \lambda(s)ds|] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}[|N_t|] + \mathbb{E}[|\int_0^t \lambda(s)ds|] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[|N_t - N_0| | \mathcal{F}_0] + |\int_0^t \lambda(s)ds| \\
&= |\int_0^t \lambda(s)ds| + |\int_0^t \lambda(s)ds| \\
&= 2|\int_0^t \lambda(s)ds| < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Since it is a finite integral.

Also, M_t is continuous as it is a continuous function of N_t and $\int_0^t \lambda(s)ds$ which are both continuous.

Now, we check the last property of martingales,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[M_{t+r} - M_t | \mathcal{F}_t] &= \mathbb{E}[N_{t+r} - N_t - \int_0^{t+r} \lambda(s)ds + \int_0^t \lambda(s)ds | \mathcal{F}_t] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[N_{t+r} - N_t | \mathcal{F}_t] - \int_0^{t+r} \lambda(s)ds + \int_0^t \lambda(s)ds \\
&= \int_t^{t+r} \lambda(s)ds - \int_t^{t+1} \lambda(s)ds = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathbb{E}[M_{t+r} - M_t | \mathcal{F}_t] = 0$ implies that $\mathbb{E}[M_{t+r} | \mathcal{F}_t] = M_t$. Thus, M_t is and \mathcal{F}_t -martingale.

Exercise 2: Denote by T_k the time of the k^{th} jump of a Poisson process N_t with rate $\lambda > 0$. Let $\tau_1 = T_1, \tau_k = T_k - T_{k-1}$, for $k \geq 1$, be the interarrival times (the time elapsed between two consecutive jumps).

- a) Show that the random variable τ_k are independent.
- b) Prove that the random variables τ_k are exponentially distributed.
- c) Show that $\mathbb{E}[\tau_k] = 1/\lambda$.
- d) Verify that the probability density of T_k is a gamma distribution. What is its mean and variance.

Answer:

- a) We have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\tau_k = k, \tau_l = l) &= P(T_k - T_{k-1} = k, T_l - T_{l-1} = l) \\ &= P(N_{T_k} - N_{T_{k-1}} = 0, N_{T_l} - N_{T_{l-1}} = 0) \\ &= P(N_{T_k} - N_{T_{k-1}} = 0)P(N_{T_l} - N_{T_{l-1}} = 0) \end{aligned}$$

Where the last equality holds because increments are independent in Poisson processes.

$$= P(\tau_k = k)P(\tau_l = l)$$

Thus, the τ_k 's are independent.

- b) We have that,

$$P(T_1 > t) = P(N_t = 0) = e^{-\lambda t}$$

Thus, T_1 is exponential with rate λ . Now, for $s < t$,

$$P(T_2 > t | T_1 = s) = P(N_{T_2} > t - s) = e^{-\lambda(t-s)}$$

Which gives us

$$\begin{aligned} &P(T_2 > t) \\ &= P(T_2 > t | T_1 = s < t)P(T_1 = s) + P(T_2 > t | T_1 = s \geq t)P(T_1 = s) \\ &= e^{-\lambda(t-s)}e^{-\lambda s} + 0 = e^{-\lambda t} \end{aligned}$$

By induction, all $P(T_k)$ are distributed exponentially with rate λ and independent. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\tau_k > t) &= P(T_k - T_{k-1} > t) \\ &= P(T_k > t - s | T_{k-1} = s) P(T_{k-1} = s) \\ &= e^{-\lambda(t-s)} e^{-\lambda s} = e^{-\lambda t} \end{aligned}$$

c) We know that τ_k is distributed exponentially with rate λ . So,

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau_k] = \int_0^\infty t \lambda e^{-\lambda t} dt$$

Which is readily integrated by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} &= [-te^{-\lambda t}]_0^\infty + \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} dt \\ &= 0 - \left[\frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda} \right]_0^\infty \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

d) First note that $T_k = \tau_k + T_{k-1} = \dots = \tau_k + \tau_{k+1} + \dots + \tau_1$. Thus T_k is distributed as a sum of i.i.d. exponentials. We will show that this is a gamma distribution by using MGF's.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[e^{t\tau_k}] &= \mathbb{E}[e^{t(\sum T_n)}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\prod e^{tT_n}] \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^k \mathbb{E}[e^{tT_n}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e^{tT_1}]^k \end{aligned}$$

Since the T_k 's are independent, we can break up the expectation by pieces. The last part is due to the fact that they are identically dis-

tributed. The inside term is the MGF of the exponential distribution.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[e^{tT_1}] &= \int_0^\infty e^{tx} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{(t-\lambda)x} dx \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}\end{aligned}$$

Where $t < \lambda$. Thus, the MGF of the sum is $(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-t})^k$ which is precisely the MGF for the gamma distribution with rate λ and shape k .

For the expectation of T_k , we use linearity of expectation to get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[T_k] &= \mathbb{E}[\sum_{n=1}^k \tau_n] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^k \mathbb{E}[\tau_n] = \frac{k}{\lambda}\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, since they are independent, the variance of T_k is the sum of all the variances of τ_n 's. Since they are exponential, the variance of τ_k is $\frac{1}{\lambda^2}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}Var(T_k) &= Var(\sum_{n=1}^k \tau_n) = \sum_{n=1}^k Var(\tau_n) \\ &= \frac{k}{\lambda^2}\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 3: Let $(M_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a process such that:

- i) M_n is \mathcal{F}_n -martingale
- ii) M_n is \mathcal{F}_n -predictable
- a) Show that M_n is constant, $M_n = M_0$ a.s.
- b) What happens if i) is replaced by the condition “ M_n is \mathcal{F}_n submartingale”

Answer:

- a) Since we have that M_n is a martingale, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n$$

However, we also have that M_n is predictable,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_{n+1}$$

Thus, $X_{n+1} = X_n$. And in particular, $X_1 = X_0$. By induction, $X_n = X_0$ for all n .

- b) If we replace the first condition with submartingale,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] \geq X_n$$

So, $X_{n+1} \geq X_n$. Thus, X_n is non-decreasing.

Exercise 4: Let X be an integrable random variable. Show that

$$E[|X|] = \int_0^\infty P(|X| > y) dy$$

Answer: Starting with the right hand side,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty P(|X| > y) dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty 1_{\{|x| > y\}} P(x) dx dy \end{aligned}$$

Since X is integrable, the above integral is finite and so we can exchange the integrals due to Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\infty 1_{\{|x| > y\}} P(x) dy dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty P(x) \int_0^\infty 1_{\{|x| > y\}} dy dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty P(x) \int_0^{|x|} dy dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty P(x) |x| dx \\ &= \mathbb{E}[|X|] \end{aligned}$$