

# CISC 458

## Tutorial 4

Phase II

---

Revised by Ahmed Harby from Previous Versions

Thursday, February 9, 2023

# Tracing the Parser

---

## New ssltrace Command

```
ssltrace "ptc -o2 -t2 -L lib/pt test.pt"  
lib/pt/parser.def -e
```

- -t2 trace the output of the parser
- -o2 execute only parser and scanner
- -L is the direct path to your library
- lib/pt/parser.def is where ssltrace should look for the parser tokens
- -e gives the trace of emitted tokens.

# Compiling the Parser

- Compile with “make” in the ptsrc/parser/ directory and not “make scanner” or “make” in the ptsrc/ directory
  - make scanner in the ptsrc/ directory **will disable** the parser!
  - make in the ptsrc/ directory **will require** a complete Quby compiler!
- **Note:** Don't run make parser in the ptsrc/ directory; the MakeFile in that directory does not recognize it.

## Updating the Tokens

---

- Most of the changes will be in `parser.ssl`
  - Contains rules to transform parser tokens ('p' prefix - e.g. `pExternal`) to semantic tokens ('s' prefix - e.g. `sBegin`)
- File Dependencies
  1. Update parser tokens (`pToken`) in `parser.ssl` with those in `scan.ssl` (or `stdIdentifiers`)
  2. Update semantic tokens (`sToken`) in `parser.pt` with those in `parser.def`
- **Note:** Order of tokens in the `.ssl` files must match! This is a common source of errors.

# Updating Files with Dependencies

1. Updating parser tokens: update `parser.ssl` when `scan.ssl` (or `stdIdentifiers`) changes
  - For `parser.ssl`, parser tokens are in the Input section
  - For `scan.ssl`, parser tokens in the Output section
  - Be careful when copying and pasting!
2. Updating semantic tokens: update `parser.pt` when `parser.def` changes
  - Compiling `parser.ssl` is very similar to how `scan.ssl` was compiled in Phase 1
  - Refer to slides 3-4 in Tutorial 2 (and later slides which use `make scanner` instead of `ssl`)

## Updating `parser.pt` with `parser.def`

- After compiling `parser.ssl`, definitions generated in `parser.def` need to be consistent with those in `parser.pt`
- Replace contents between the following paraphrased comments with the contents of your new `parser.def`

```
{ === Pasted contents of parser.def, ... }  
... content ...  
{ === End of contents of parser.def }
```



# Final Reminders

- Remember to recompile (using `make`) after making changes to files mentioned earlier!
- Do not change `parser.def` or `parser.sst`! They are generated by the `ssl` command which is executed when recompiling!
- **Important:** Values of tokens in `parser.def`, `scan.def`, and `parser.pt` must match!
  - Look for sections with following comments in `parser.pt`

```
{ == Pasted contents ... }  
... content ...  
{ == End of contents of ... }
```

## **Updating the Rules - Programs, Modules, and Routines**

---

# Overview

- Most of the changes will be in `parser.ssl`.
- Change the rules in `parser.ssl` to handle the changes in language structure.
  - From PT Pascal to Quby
- The Assignment 2 handout discusses the changes in quite a lot of details and provides a step-by-step guide.
  - These slides are heavily influenced by that handout, so in the case of disagreement between slides and handout, handouts act as the standard.
- The changes are more numerous and more complicated than Phase 1. However, there is far less of a learning curve to work through this time.

- Observe output tokens from PT Pascal
- Preserve token streams as much as possible to avoid future changes in the semantic phase
  - Do more work now to do less work later
- Example:
  - In PT Pascal, there is a need for “begin ... end” keywords, which output sBegin and sEnd tokens
  - In Quby, there is no need for “begin ... end”
  - However, output should still have sBegin and sEnd tokens!

# Token Definitions

- Parser Tokens (e.g. `pElse`)
  - Remove those taken out in Phase 1
  - Add the new ones from Phase 1
  - Order of tokens **MUST** match between dependent files
- Semantic Tokens (e.g. `sBegin`)
  - Add new semantic tokens (e.g. `sModule`)
  - Remove unused ones (e.g. `sRepeat`)

- Unlike PT Pascal, Quby makes no distinction between declaration and statements.
  - Modify The Block rule to accept a sequence of any number of declaration **or** statements **in any order**
- In order to make the differences in Quby less visible to the semantic phase (Phase 3), always output a sequence of statements and declarations as if it were a PT Pascal begin statement
  - sBegin before the statements and sEnd after them
- **Hint:** Merge the alternatives of the Statement and Block rule.

# Program Declarations - Constants

- Modify the parsing of constant declarations to meet the Quby language spec. The output token streams for these declarations should be the same as for the equivalent PT declarations, in order to minimize the changes we'll have to make to the semantic phase.
  - For example, the Quby declarations:

```
val c = 27
```

- Should yield the parser output token stream:

```
sConst  
  sIdentifier <identifier index for 'c'>  
  sInteger 27
```

# Program Declarations - Variable

- Quby uses an variable to specify a variable's type. e.g,

```
var v : string
```

- Should yield the parser output token stream:

```
sVar  
sIdentifier <identifier index for 'v'>  
sIdentifier <identifier index for 'string'>
```



## Program Declarations - Variable Cont'd

- To assist the semantic phase, if there is more than one identifier declared in a single Quby var declaration, then each one should be output with an sVar token,
  - For example: var a, b, c : integer
  - Should yield the parser output token stream:

```
sVar  
sIdentifier <identifier index for 'a'>  
sVar  
sIdentifier <identifier index for 'b'>  
sVar  
sIdentifier <identifier index for 'c'>  
sIdentifier <identifier index for 'integer'>
```

# Program Declarations - Type

- Quby uses an initial value to specify a variable's type. e.g,

```
type t : integer
```

- Should yield the parser output token stream:

```
sType  
sIdentifier <identifier index for 't'>  
sIdentifier <identifier index for 'integer'>
```

- PT's TypeDefinition, TypeBody, and SimpleType are not needed anymore
- Create a new rule ValueOrQuby and call it instead of TypeBody
  - Accepts either a constant value or a quby clause

# Routines (Procedures/Functions)

- **Main Goal:** The output token stream for the function should be the same as for the equivalent PT procedure, in order to minimize the changes we'll have to make to the semantic phase.
  - Statements are surrounded by `sBegin` and `sEnd` tokens
  - **Hint:** The `Block` rule comes in handy!

```
def P ()  
    DeclarationsAndStatements  
end
```

- Should yield the parser output token stream:

```
sProcedure  
sIdentifier <identifier index for 'P'>  
sParmEnd  
sBegin  
DeclarationsAndStatements
```

# Modules

- Similar to an entire Quby program without the `using` clause
- **Main Goal:** Keep the output of the Quby parser similar to the output of the PT Pascal parser
  - Statements are surrounded by `sBegin` and `sEnd` tokens
  - **Hint:** The Block rule comes in handy!

```
module M is
    DeclarationsAndStatements
end;
```

```
sModule
  sIdentifier <identifier index for 'M'>
  sBegin
    DeclarationsAndStatements
  sEnd
```

## Updating the Rules - Statements and Clauses

---

# Statement Sequences

- In Quby, any sequence of declarations and statements can appear in each alternative, not just one statement. So how do we keep the output token stream for case alternatives the same as it was in PT?
  - **Hint:** re-use your Block rule, which will once again emit an sBegin semantic token at the beginning of an alternative, and an sEnd at the end.
- The Quby else clause on case statements is new, and we must handle it specially. But what we will do is simple - just check for an else following the alternatives in the case statement, and output sElse followed by the statements of the else clause (enclosing the body of the else clause with sBegin .. sEnd as usual) before outputting the sCaseEnd semantic token

# Statements

- **Main Goal:** Keep the output of the Quby parser similar to the output of the PT Pascal parser

**What?** Meet the Quby language specifications for the following Quby statements

- `if`
- `unless`
- `case`
- `do`

**How?** Modify the parsing (rule mechanisms) of the following statements

- `if`
- `case`
- `while`
- `repeat`
- `begin`

- Two ways to implement elseif:
  - Create a new `sElseIf` token to represent elseif and let the semantic phase deal with it;

### OR

- Output PT Pascal nested `if` statements so that the semantic phase can understand it
- Either change now, or leave until the semantic phase, up to you (and your group). Just make sure you document your choice.
- You will be using your choice in this phase when implementing the semantic phase!



## elseif Options

First option (Handle at semantic phase):

```
if Expression1 then{  
    DeclarationsAndStatements1  
} elseif Expression2 then {  
    DeclarationsAndStatements2  
} else {  
    DeclarationsAndStatements3  
}
```

Second option (Handle at parser phase):

```
if Expression1 then{  
    DeclarationsAndStatements1  
} else {  
    if Expression2 then{  
        DeclarationsAndStatements2  
    } else {  
        DeclarationsAndStatements3  
    }  
}
```

- Should produce the same output stream as PT Pascal's case statements, along with the Quby's default alternative if present
- Quby allows for any sequence of declarations and statements in each alternative, while PT Pascal allows only one.
  - **Hint:** The Block rule comes in handy (again)!
- Quby allows for an optional default alternative else
  - First process all the alternatives and emit the `sCaseEnd` token
  - Then Check for `else` and, if found, emit an `sCaseElse` token followed by its *DeclarationsAndStatements*

## Case Statements Example

```
Input: case i
      when 42 then
        DeclarationsAndStatements1
      else
        DeclarationsAndStatements2
      end
```

```
Output: sCaseStmt
       sIdentifier <identifier index for i>
       sExpnEnd
       sInteger 42
       sBegin
       DeclarationsAndStatements1
       sEnd
       sElse
       sBegin
       DeclarationsAndStatements2
       sEnd
       sCaseEnd
```

## Do Statements

- Modify handling of PT Pascal's repeat statements to use the new Quby syntax
  - The Quby Do statement is similar to PT's while statement
  - The Quby Do statement is similar to PT's repeat statement
- **Note:** In Quby, we can't pull the same trick of making the Semantic phase think we still have PT for the do statement - there is no PT while or repeat statement equivalent to a Quby do loop, so we'll just have to leave it until the Semantic phase.
  - **Hint:** simply emit sNot following the conditional expression!

- Watch out for other minor syntactic differences between PT Pascal and Quby - for example, the assignment operator is `:=` in PT but `=` in Quby, the equality operator is `=` in PT but `==` in Quby, the inequality operator is `<>` in PT but `!=` in Quby, and the not operator is `not` in PT but `!` in Quby.

# String Type

- Remove handling for PT Pascal's char data type and char literals
- Add handling for the string data type and string literals
- Add handling for the new string operators
  - The precedence of the operator \$ (including the .. portion) should be higher (more tightly binding) than \*, div and mod and lower than not, the precedence of the ? operator is the same as that of \*, div and mod, and the precedence of is the same as the precedence of not.
  - **Hint:** Adding ? to be the same precedence as \*, div and mod, and to be the same precedence as not, is straight forward. Adding \$ involves introducing a new precedence level.
- All new operators should be postfix in their output stream
  - Semantic token for the operator should follow those of the operands

# Substring Example

- Input Stream: "Hello" \$ 1 : 2
- Output Token Stream:

```
sLiteral "Hello"  
sInteger 1  
sInteger 2  
sSubstring
```

## Final Notes

---



# Suggestions and Reminders

- Start small. Changing the parser and semantic tokens are a good example.
- Build minimal programs around each requirement to guide and test your changes.
- Preserve the PT Pascal output as much as possible to save your group trouble in the next phase.
- Make sure all of the files have tokens in the correct order!

# Suggestions and Reminders

- Do one feature at a time. Not all features need to be implemented in order to test.
  - If a test program doesn't have a loop, then loops don't have to be implemented yet to run tests.
- Work order is semilinear. Try not to split the phase up and have each member work on a separate section.
  - Highly recommend meeting up to at least work on common changes.
  - e.g. Accepting programs / updating tokens

- Phase 2 Submission Details