Exercise 2.3-6

Jack Maney

August 27, 2013

The code integrating binary search within insertion sort can be found in the class InsertionSortWithBinarySearch.

Let's look at a worst-case running time. Note that the method

```
int getInsertionIndex(AbstractList<T> list,T key,int begin,int end)
```

returns the index–between begin and end–of list at which key should be inserted (or -1 if no such index exists). By an argument identical to that given in Exercise 2.3-5, the worst running time of getInsertionIndex is $\Theta(lg(n))$.

Here is the code for the *sort* method within *InsertionSortWithBinarySearch*:

```
public static <T extends Comparable<T>> void sort(AbstractList<T> list){
            for(int j = 1; j < list.size(); j++){</pre>
3
                    T key = list.get(j);
                    int insertionIndex = getInsertionIndex(list,key,0,j-1);
                      * insertionIndex == -1 precisely when we need to keep
10
                     * the jth element right where it is (ie it should already be
11
                      * at the end of the first j+1 elements).
12
                      */
                    if(insertionIndex >= 0){
14
                             for(int i = j-1; i >= insertionIndex; i--){
16
                                     list.set(i+1,list.get(i));
                             }
18
19
                             list.set(insertionIndex, key);
20
                    }
21
            }
22
   }
23
```

cost	times
c_3	n
c_5	n-1
lg(n)	n-1
c_{14}	n-1
c_{16}	$1+2+\cdots+n-1$
c_{17}	n-1
c_{20}	n-1

However, since

$$1 + 2 + \dots + n - 1 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \Theta(n^2),$$

we see that this algorithm still has a running time of $\Theta(n^2)$. While the binary search helped find indices at which to insert, it had no effect on moving elements over prior to insertion.

As evidence, here are two benchmark results of worst-case sorting, the first with a list of 10,000 randomly chosen integers in reverse-sorted order:

= Benchmark =======		====				=====			==		==	:=====
-	un	it	sum	min	max	avg	- 1	stddev		conf95		runs
InsertionSortWithBinarySearchBenchmark												
binarySearchBenchmark												
Summary for InsertionSortWithBinarySearchBenchmark											i	
Ī						70.0	03	11.92	Ī	[65.76-77.67]	Τ	100.00
		====	== Summar	y for th	ne whole	benchma	ark		==			:=====
1	ms	. 1	7003.18	63.87	166.63	70.0	03	11.92		[65.76-77.67]		100.00
		====		=== Exce	eptions =	=====	-===		==			:======
		====				=====			==			:======

And here are the benchmarks for 100 worst case iterations of lists of length 20,000:

= Benchmark ====================================	uni	t	sum	min		max	8	avg		stddev	1	conf95	-	runs
	=====	===	=======	=====	TimeM	leter =	====	=====		======		.=========		======
. InsertionSortWithBina	rySea	rch	Benchmark											
binarySearchBenchmark														
_ Summary for Insertion									•		•	2011111111111111111	•	
i bummary for important								337 20		40.06		[317.61-397.23]	- - -	100 00
-	I IIIS	'	33120.31	1 313.	40	313.42	1 4	331.20	1	40.30	1	[317.01-397.23]	1	100.00
1	ms	- 1	33720.37	315.	45	573.42	3	337.20		40.96		[317.61-397.23]		100.00
	=====				Excep	tions :			===		-==		==:	
================	=====	===	=======	=====	=====	======	===:	======	===	======		.==========	==:	======