

# Math 1580: Cryptography *Lecture Notes*

E. Larson

Spring 2022

These are lecture notes for Math 1580: Cryptography taught at BROWN UNIVERSITY by Eric Larson in the Spring of 2022.

## Contents

<b>0</b>	<b>January 26, 2022</b>	<b>3</b>
0.1	Course Logistics . . . . .	3
0.2	Introduction . . . . .	3
0.3	Simple Substitution Ciphers . . . . .	3
0.4	Divisibility . . . . .	3
<b>1</b>	<b>January 28, 2022</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Greatest Common Divisors . . . . .	3
1.2	Euclidean Algorithm . . . . .	3
1.3	Linear Combinations . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>January 31, 2022</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Linear Combinations <i>continued</i> . . . . .	3
2.2	Modular Arithmetic . . . . .	5
<b>3</b>	<b>February 2, 2022</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1	Inverses mod $m$ . . . . .	7
3.2	Modular Arithmetic <i>continued</i> . . . . .	8
3.3	Fastish Powering . . . . .	9
<b>4</b>	<b>February 4, 2022</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1	Fast Powering <i>continued</i> . . . . .	10
4.2	Fun Integers . . . . .	12
<b>5</b>	<b>February 7, 2022</b>	<b>14</b>
5.1	Orders mod $p$ . . . . .	14
5.2	Discrete Logarithm Problem . . . . .	15
5.3	Cryptographic Systems . . . . .	16
5.3.1	Symmetric Cryptography . . . . .	16
<b>6</b>	<b>February 9, 2022</b>	<b>17</b>
6.1	Asymmetric/Public Key Cryptography . . . . .	17

6.2	Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange . . . . .	18
6.3	Elgamal Public Key Cryptography . . . . .	19
6.3.1	Implementation . . . . .	19

## §0 January 26, 2022

### §0.1 Course Logistics

### §0.2 Introduction

### §0.3 Simple Substitution Ciphers

### §0.4 Divisibility

## §1 January 28, 2022

### §1.1 Greatest Common Divisors

### §1.2 Euclidean Algorithm

### §1.3 Linear Combinations

## §2 January 31, 2022

### §2.1 Linear Combinations *continued*

Recall from last time that we proposed that

greatest common divisor  $\leq$  least linear combination.

#### Example 2.1

$\gcd(2024, 748) = 44$  because we have

$$2024 = 748 \cdot 2 + 528$$

$$748 = 528 \cdot 1 + 220$$

$$528 = 220 \cdot 2 + 88$$

$$220 = 88 \cdot 2 + \boxed{44} \leftarrow \gcd(2024, 748)$$

$$88 = 44 \cdot 2 + 0$$

We determine which linear combinations of 2024 and 748 we can create:

$$\begin{aligned}
 2024 &= 1 \cdot 2024 + 0 \cdot 748 \\
 748 &= 0 \cdot 2024 + 1 \cdot 748 \\
 528 &= 1 \cdot 2024 + (-2) \cdot 748 \\
 220 &= 748 - 1 \cdot 528 \\
 &= 748 - 1 \cdot (1 \cdot 2024 + (-2) \cdot 748) \\
 &= -1 \cdot 2024 + 3 \cdot 748 \\
 88 &= 528 - 2 \cdot 220 \\
 &= \underbrace{[1 \cdot 2024 + (-2) \cdot 748]}_{528} - 2 \cdot \underbrace{[-1 \cdot 2024 + 3 \cdot 748]}_{220} \\
 &= 3 \cdot 2024 - 8 \cdot 748 \\
 44 &= 220 - 2 \cdot 88 \\
 &= [-1 \cdot 2024 + 3 \cdot 748] - 2 \cdot [3 \cdot 2024 - 8 \cdot 748] \\
 &= -7 \cdot 2024 + 19 \cdot 748
 \end{aligned}$$

Following this example, we have shown that every common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  can be written as a linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$ , and since the greatest common divisor has to be less than the least linear combination (as shown last time), the greatest common divisor *is* the least linear combination<sup>1</sup>.

We realize that there is a *recurrence* happening here. If we call every set of coefficients  $x, y$  and  $z, w$  for  $a$  and  $b$  respectively, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 a &= x \cdot a_0 + y \cdot b_0 \\
 b &= z \cdot a_0 + w \cdot b_0
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_0$  and  $b_0$  are the original numbers, we can use a sliding window approach<sup>2</sup> again to determine the next set of  $x, y, z, w, a, b$ .

Recall from last time we had

$$\begin{aligned}
 a' &= b \\
 b' &= a \mod b
 \end{aligned}$$

We can extend this algorithm for our new coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x' &= z \\
 y' &= w \\
 z' &= w - \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor \cdot z \\
 w' &= y - \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor \cdot w
 \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup>Assume for contradiction that the gcd were any less, then that would also be a linear combination.  $\nexists$

<sup>2</sup>Updating our iterators on every loop by sliding our window of coefficients down.

where  $\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \rfloor$  are the quotients from our Euclidean Algorithm. Note that initially, we have

$$a = 1 \cdot a_0 + 0 \cdot b_0$$

$$b = 0 \cdot a_0 + 1 \cdot b_0$$

so we have initial values of  $x = 1, y = 0, z = 0, w = 0$ .

so our code for the *extended Euclidean Algorithm* is now

### Algorithm 2.2 (Extended Euclidean Algorithm) —

```
def ext_gcd(a, b):
    x, y, z, w = 1, 0, 0, 1
    while b != 0:
        x, y, z, w = z, w, w - (a // b) * z, y - (a // b) * w
        a, b = b, a % b
    return (x, y)
```

## §2.2 Modular Arithmetic

*Recall:* We used a substitution/shift cipher to encrypt text:

Y	E	S
↓	↓	↓
D	J	X

by incrementing 5 letters for each letter.

$a = 0, b = 1, \dots, z = 25$ .

We had this notion of

$$\text{ciphertext} = \text{plaintext} + 5$$

$$d = y + 5$$

$$3 = 24 + 5 = 29$$

### Definition 2.3

We say  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  if  $m \mid a - b$ .

We say “ $a$  is congruent<sup>a</sup> to  $b$  modulo  $m$ ”.

<sup>a</sup>Congruence is a “behave like” equality.

**Example 2.4**

$$24 + 5 \equiv 3 \pmod{26}$$

$$22 + 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$$

The first example is from our shift cipher, the second example is equivalent to “two hours after 11:00, it is 1:00”.

**Proposition 2.5**

If we have

$$a_1 \equiv a_2 \pmod{m}$$

$$b_1 \equiv b_2 \pmod{m}$$

Then we have the following:

$$a_1 + b_1 \equiv a_2 + b_2 \pmod{m} \tag{1}$$

$$a_1 - b_1 \equiv a_2 - b_2 \pmod{m} \tag{2}$$

$$a_1 \cdot b_1 \equiv a_2 \cdot b_2 \pmod{m} \tag{3}$$

*Proof.* For [eq. \(1\)](#), realize that we have

$$(a_1 + b_1) - (a_2 + b_2) = (a_1 - a_2) + (b_1 - b_2)$$

and the two terms on the right are each divisible by  $m$  by our premise. We can also write out

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 + b_1 &= (a_2 + \alpha m) + (b_2 + \beta m) \\ &= (a_2 + b_2) + (\alpha + \beta) \cdot m. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for [eq. \(2\)](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 - b_1 &= a_2 + \alpha m - (b_2 + \beta m) \\ &= a_2 - b_2 + (\alpha - \beta) \cdot m. \end{aligned}$$

and for [eq. \(3\)](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \cdot b_1 &= (a_2 + \alpha m) \cdot (b_2 + \beta m) \\ &= a_2 \cdot b_2 + \alpha m b_2 + \beta m a_2 + \alpha \beta m^2 \\ &= a_2 \cdot b_2 + (\alpha b_2 + \beta a_2 + \alpha \beta m) \cdot m. \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proofs of the premod rules. □

**Proposition 2.6**

There exists  $b$  with

$$a \cdot b \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

if and only if  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ .

*Proof.* We can write linear combination equation

$$a \cdot b + m \cdot k = 1$$

and we have that the following are equivalent (we cascade down the list and can easily prove the iff relations):

- i. such a  $b$  exists,
- ii. there is a solution  $b, k$  to this equation,
- iii. 1 is a linear combination of  $a$  and  $m$ ,
- iv. 1 is the *least* linear combination of  $a$  and  $m$ ,
- v.  $1 = \gcd(a, m)$ .

so we have that  $1 = \gcd(a, m)$  if and only if  $a$ 's inverse  $b$  exists. □

## §3 February 2, 2022

### §3.1 Inverses mod $m$

*Recall:* Last time, we showed in [proposition 2.6](#) that there exists an integer  $b$  with  $a \cdot b \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  iff  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ .

**Claim 3.1** — We further claim that if such a  $b$  exists, then it is unique mod  $m$ .

That is, if we have

$$a \cdot b_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

$$a \cdot b_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

then we have that  $b_1 \equiv b_2 \pmod{m}$ .

*Proof.* We consider  $b_1 a b_2$ . We have

$$b_2 \equiv (b_1 a) b_2 = b_2 (a b_1) \equiv b_2$$

all taking mod  $m$ . □

How, then, could we compute this inverse  $b$  efficiently?

Recall that last class, we used the extended Euclidean algorithm to compute the linear combination of  $a$  and  $m$  efficiently,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= a \cdot u + m \cdot v \\ &\equiv a \cdot \boxed{u} \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

where  $u$  is  $b$ .

### §3.2 Modular Arithmetic *continued*

#### Definition 3.2 (Ring of Integers mod $m$ )

$\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$  with operations  $+, -, \times \pmod{m}$ .

#### Example 3.3

$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . We have the following operation tables for  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ :

$+$	0	1	2	3	$\times$	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	2	3	0	1	0	1	2	3
2	2	3	0	1	2	0	2	0	2
3	3	0	1	2	3	0	3	2	1

#### Definition 3.4 (Group of Units mod $m$ )

We have the set of units in  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times &= \{a \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \mid \exists b \text{ s.t. } a \cdot b \equiv 1\} \\ &= \{a \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \mid \gcd(a, m) = 1\} \end{aligned}$$

#### Example 3.5

$$(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{1, 3\}.$$

#### Definition 3.6 (Euler Totient Function)

We have

$$\varphi(m) = \#(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$$

which counts the number of units modulo  $m$ .



**Example 3.7**

$$\varphi(4) = 2.$$

Let's investigate the properties of units. Let's say  $a_1, a_2$  are units. Which of the following have to be units?

	Does this have to be a unit?
$a_1 \cdot a_2$	<u>Yes!</u> Since $\gcd(a_1, m) = 1$ and $\gcd(a_2, m) = 2$ so we have $\gcd(a_1 a_2, m) = 1$ . We also have $a_1 b_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ and $a_2 b_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ , we have $(a_1 a_2)(b_2 b_1) \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .
$a_1 + a_2$	<u>No.</u> We have counterexample $m = 4$ : $1 + 1$ is not a unit.
$a_1 - a_2$	<u>Also no.</u> For any $a$ , $a - a = 0$ which is never a unit.

**Definition 3.8 (Prime Number)**

An integer  $n \geq 2$  is prime if its only (positive) divisors are 1 and  $n$ .

**Example 3.9**

Numbers like 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 12, ...

What if  $m$  is a prime number? Then we have

$$(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$$

so we can divide by elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ , just like in  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ . We can divide by any nonzero element of  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ . We call these fields!

**§3.3 Fastish Powering**

**Problem.** How might we compute  $g^a \pmod{m}$ ?

A naïve solution might be

```

1 def pow_mod(g, a, m):
2     return g ** a % m

```

What if we tried to compute `pow_mod(239418762304, 12349876234, 12394876123482783641)` or something of the like? Something like this...



We could do something a bit more clever, like taking a mod every time we multiply:

---

```

1 def pow_mod(g, a, m):
2     p = 1
3     for i in range(a):
4         p = (p * g) % m
5     return p

```

---

Yet we *still* couldn't do `pow_mod(239418762304, 12349876234, 12394876123482783641)` since that takes the amount of time proportional to  $a^3$ .

### Example 3.10

Let's try to compute  $3^{37}$  by hand.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 3^1 & \equiv 3 \pmod{100} \\
 3^2 & \equiv 9 \pmod{100} \\
 3^4 = (3^2)^2 & \equiv 81 \pmod{100} \\
 3^8 = (3^4)^2 = 81^2 = 6561 & \equiv 61 \pmod{100} \\
 3^{16} = (3^8)^2 \equiv 61^2 = 3721 & \equiv 21 \pmod{100} \\
 3^{32} = (3^{16})^2 \equiv 21^2 = 441 & \equiv 41 \pmod{100}
 \end{array}$$

Since  $37 = 32 + 4 + 1$ , we can simply do

$$3^{37} = 3^{32} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 3^1 = 41 \cdot 81 \cdot 3 = 1863 \equiv 63 \pmod{100}$$

## §4 February 4, 2022

### §4.1 Fast Powering *continued*

---

<sup>3</sup>Which can become big...

**Example 4.1**

Recall: we wanted to compute  $3^{37} \bmod 100$

$$3^1 \equiv 3 \pmod{100}$$

$$3^2 \equiv 9$$

$$3^4 \equiv 81$$

$$3^8 \equiv 61$$

$$3^{16} \equiv 21$$

$$3^{32} \equiv 41$$

so we have

$$37 = 1 + 4 + 32 \quad 3^{37} = 3^1 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 3^{32} \equiv 3 \cdot 81 \cdot 41 \equiv 63$$

How might we do this as an algorithm? We want to keep track of a few things, such as  $g$  (the current power),  $p$  (the multiple we are building),  $a$  (the remaining powers). This is akin to *deconstructing the power in binary and composing our product*.

**Algorithm 4.2 (Fast Powering Algorithm) —**

```
def pow_mod(g, a, m):
    p = 1
    while a != 0:
        if a % 2 == 1:
            p = (p * g) % m
        a = a // 2
        g = g**2 % m
    return p
```

**Example 4.3**

$37 = 100101_2$ , so we peel off last digits and multiply  $g$  into  $p$ .

Thinking about iterations, we have

$g$	$p$	$a$	$a_2$
3	1	37	10010 <u>1</u>
9	3	18	10010 <u>0</u>
81	3	9	100 <u>1</u>
61	43	4	10 <u>0</u>
21	43	2	1 <u>0</u>
41	43	1	<u>1</u>
<span style="border: 1px solid black;">63</span>	0	<u>0</u>	

This algorithm takes approximately  $\log_2(a)$  time to run, since it does as many steps for each digit

in the binary representation of  $a$ .

## §4.2 Fun Integers

*Recall:* An integer  $p$  is prime if  $p \geq 2$  and

$$a \mid p \Rightarrow a = \pm 1, \pm p$$

### Proposition 4.4

Let  $p$  be prime. Then  $p \mid ab \Rightarrow p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ .

### Example 4.5

$p$  is not prime, this doesn't work.  $p = 6$ .  $p \mid 4 \cdot 9 = 36$  but  $6 \nmid 4$  and  $6 \nmid 9$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g = \gcd(p, a)$ .  $g$  is either 1 or  $p$ .

If  $g = p$ , then we have that  $p = g \mid a$ .

If  $p = 1$ , we can write this as

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = g &= p \cdot u + a \cdot v \\ b &= p \cdot ub + ab \cdot v \end{aligned}$$

since  $p$  is a multiple of  $p$  and  $ab$  is a multiple of  $p$ , we have that  $p \mid b$ . □

### Theorem 4.6 (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Any integer  $a \geq 1$  can be factored into product of primes

$$a = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_n^{e_n}$$

and this product of primes is *unique* up to rearrangement.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>This is to say,  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a UFD!

### Example 4.7

Instead of thinking about integers, we think about  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ , like

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] = \{a + b\sqrt{-5} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

Consider

$$6 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5}) = 2 \cdot 3$$

and each of  $(1 + \sqrt{-5})$ ,  $(1 - \sqrt{-5})$ , 2, 3 have no divisors besides themselves and  $\pm 1$  (units).

*Proof.* We begin by working out an example:

#### Example 4.8

Let's factor 60, we can write this as

$$60 = 6 \cdot 10 = (2 \cdot 3) \cdot (2 \cdot 5) = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5.$$

What if we had different answers

$$p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t = a = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 \mid p_1 \cdots p_t &= q_1 \cdots q_s \\ &= q_1 (q_2 \cdots q_s) \end{aligned}$$

So we have that  $p_1 \mid q_1$  or  $p_1 \mid q_2 \cdots q_s$ , and we go on. So  $p_1$  has to divide *one* of  $q_i$ . But both are primes, so they are equal  $p_1 = q_i$ . We rearrange so  $q_i$  is  $q_1$ . We strip off  $p_1$  and  $q_1$  and we have

$$p_2 \cdots p_t = q_2 \cdots q_s$$

we continue until we have no factors left<sup>4</sup>

□

#### Definition 4.9 (Order)

We define the order

$\text{ord}_p(a)$  = the power of  $p$  in the factorization of  $a$

such that we have

$$a = \prod_p p^{\text{ord}_p(a)}$$

(This makes sense since  $\text{ord}_p(a)$  is finite for finitely many  $p$ .)

#### Theorem 4.10 (Fermat's Little Theorem)

Let  $p$  be prime,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

<sup>4</sup>We could also have taken a well-ordering approach to this statement, taking  $a$  to be the least such non-uniquely factorizable number and showing that by peeling off  $p_1$  and  $q_1$ , we get a smaller such  $a$ , which is a contradiction.

In abstract algebra, this directly follows from Lagrange's Theorem for  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , we give another argument.

*Proof.* If  $a \equiv 0$ , this is sufficiently clear.

Let  $a \not\equiv 0$ . We look at the numbers

$$a, 2a, 3a, \dots, (p-1)a$$

We consider 2 questions:

- i. Are any of these divisible by  $p$ ?

No!  $p \nmid a$  and  $p \nmid i$  so  $p \nmid ia$  for  $1 \leq i < p$ .

- ii. Are any of these equal? i.e.  $ia \equiv ja \pmod{p}$ .

No again!  $a$  has an inverse mod  $p$ .

So we have that this list is a permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ , that is,

$$\{1, 2, \dots, p-1\} = \{a, 2a, \dots, (p-1)a\} \pmod{p}$$

we multiply these sets together<sup>5</sup>,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdots (p-1) &\equiv a \cdot 2a \cdots (p-1)a \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv (1 \cdot 2 \cdots p-1)a^{p-1} \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdots (p-1)(a^{p-1} - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ \implies a^{p-1} &\equiv 1 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Which is as desired. □

## §5 February 7, 2022

### §5.1 Orders mod $p$

*Recall:* If  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then we have  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , which was [theorem 4.10](#), Fermat's Little Theorem.

#### Definition 5.1 (Order of $a \pmod{p}$ )

The order of  $a \pmod{p}$  is the smallest positive  $k$  such that

$$a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

This is not to be confused with [definition 4.9](#) which is the power of  $p$  in the prime factorization of  $a$ . This is the order of  $a$  in the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

---

<sup>5</sup>This is truly a pro-gamer move

**Proposition 5.2**

let  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$  be of order  $k$ . If  $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $k \mid n$ .

In particular,  $k \mid p-1$  by [theorem 4.10](#), Fermat's Little Theorem.

*Proof.* We write  $n = k \cdot q + r$  such that  $0 \leq r < k$  ( $\mathbb{Z}$  is a Euclidean domain)

$$1 \equiv a^n \equiv a^{kq+r} \equiv (a^k)^q \cdot a^r \equiv a^r$$

Since  $k$  is the minimal positive number such that  $a^k \equiv 1$ , then this forces  $r = 0$ . Then  $k \mid n$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3 (Primitive Root Theorem)**

Let  $p$  be prime. Then there is a  $g$  such that

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{1, g, g^2, \dots, g^{p-2}\}.$$

We call  $g$  a primitive root or generator.

**Example 5.4**

$p = 5$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

1? No:  $\{1, 1^2, 1^3\} = \{1\}$

2? Yes:  $\{1, 2, 2^2, 2^3\} = \{1, 2, 4, 3\}$

3? Yes:  $\{1, 3, 3^2, 3^3\} = \{1, 3, 4, 2\}$

4? No:  $\{1, 4, 4^2, 4^3\} = \{1, 4\}$

**Remark 5.5.** In general, the number of primitive roots is  $\varphi(p-1)$ . (Take the group of exponents and solve for power).

**§5.2 Discrete Logarithm Problem**

We go on to discuss a fundamental property about exponentiation mod  $p$ . Let's fix some  $p$  and primitive root  $g$ .

Given some  $a$ , we can compute  $g^a$  efficiently

$a \longrightarrow g^a$       This is easy

$a \xleftarrow{?} g^a$       This is hard

Note that

$$g^a \equiv g^b \Leftrightarrow g^{a-b} \equiv 1 \Leftrightarrow p-1 \mid a-b$$

so  $a$  is determined mod  $p-1$ .

#### Definition 5.6 (Discrete Logarithm)

The discrete logarithm of  $g^a$  is  $a$ .

This is known as the “Discrete Logarithm Problem” (DLP), which is concerned with how we can compute discrete logarithms.

This idea is fundamental to computer security! The real-world analogue is if you go to the bank after hours and deposit a check or cash into the deposit slot. It is relatively easy for one to deposit an item but hard for someone who doesn’t work at the bank<sup>6</sup> to access that item.

## §5.3 Cryptographic Systems

### §5.3.1 Symmetric Cryptography

We have 3 people, *Alice*, *Bob*, and *Eve*.

Bob has a message  $m$  which he wants to send to Alice. However, everything he sends to Alice can (and is) intercepted by Eve. He wants to encrypt this message  $m$  he sends to Alice.

We say that a message  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  in the space of possible messages. We have secret key  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  that can encrypt  $m$  into ciphertext  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  in the space of ciphertexts.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Message } m \in \mathcal{M} \\ \text{Secret key } k \in \mathcal{K} \end{array} \right\} \rightsquigarrow \text{Ciphertext } c \in \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \text{Alice} \rightsquigarrow m$$

If we fix  $k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_k(m) &= e(k, m) \\ d_k(c) &= d(k, c) \end{aligned}$$

be our encryption and decryption functions. We usually take  $m$  to be a number, and we can encode letters to numbers (0-255) using ASCII.

In Python, this is implemented using functions like `ord` (character to encoding) and `chr` (encoding to character).

We’ll just talk about transmitting numbers since we can convert freely between them and text.

---

<sup>6</sup>Say, possessing a *key* or *password*.



**Q: What do we want out of our cryptosystem?**

0. The system is secure even if Eve knows the design. (Assume Eve knows the encryption and decryption functions, but so long as she doesn't know the key).
1.  $e$ , the encryption function, is easy to compute.
2.  $d$ , the decryption function, is similarly easy to compute.
3. Given  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  a collection of ciphertexts, encrypted with the *same* key  $k$ , it's hard to compute any message  $m_i$ .
4. Given  $(m_1, c_1), \dots (m_n, c_n)$  some collection of messages and their encryptions, it remains difficult to compute  $d_k(c)$  for  $c \notin \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ . This is called a "chosen plaintext attack".

**§6 February 9, 2022****§6.1 Asymmetric/Public Key Cryptography**

The premise is that we have *Alice* and *Bob* who are communicating, and *Eve* intercepts all communications between them. There is **no** communication between Alice and Bob ahead of time. A priori, it's not entirely obvious that this is possible...

We'll see that this is indeed possible!

**Example 6.1**

Analogy: Alice and Bob are communicating by writing messages on pieces of paper.

Symmetric cryptography is having a shared safe, Alice and Bob both have the key/know the combination to, and both can leave messages and retrieve messages.

1. Alice sets up a box with a thin slot with a lock on it. Alice has the key to this lock.
2. Bob is able to deposit messages into the slot in the box, and Alice can retrieve it using her key.

Our key is now  $k = (k_{\text{priv}}, k_{\text{pub}}) \in \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{\text{priv}} \times \mathcal{K}_{\text{pub}}$  which consists of a private key and public key.

Our encryption and decryption functions are now

$$\begin{aligned} e &: \mathcal{K}_{\text{pub}} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \\ d &: \mathcal{K}_{\text{priv}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \end{aligned}$$

$$d(k_{\text{priv}}, e(k_{\text{pub}}, m)) = m$$

We want it to be easy to compute  $e_{k_{\text{pub}}}$  and  $d_{k_{\text{priv}}}$ , but hard to compute  $d_{k_{\text{priv}}}$  only knowing  $k_{\text{pub}}$ .

Something easier to construct, before a full-fledged public key system, is a key exchange:

## §6.2 Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

**Q: How can Alice and Bob agree on a secret key over an insecure channel?**

### Example 6.2

Analogy: A lockbox that can only be used by one person...and both people have to participate to set it up.

Both parties have to agree on a key and have a line of communication before agreeing on a key. This can only be used if both parties are online at the same time.

We start with a prime  $p$  and  $g \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$  suitably. Alice and Bob do the following, all mod  $p$ :

Alice	Bob
Generates $a$	Generates $b$
↓	↓
Computes $g^a$	Computes $g^b$
Send $g^a$ to Bob	Send $g^b$ to Alice
Computes $(g^b)^a$	Computes $(g^a)^b$

Alice and Bob now know  $g^{ab}$ , which is the secret key. Eve, however, only knows  $g^a$  and  $g^b$ . Alice and Bob can now use this shared secret  $g^{ab}$  as a key for symmetric cryptography.

### Definition 6.3 (The Diffie-Hellman Problem (DHP))

Given  $g^a, g^b$ , calculate  $g^{ab}$ .

**Remark 6.4.** If we can solve the discrete log problem, we can solve the Diffie-Hellman problem.

Vice versa? Can one solve DLP given solution to DHP? *This is unknown*<sup>7</sup>.

<sup>7</sup>There is no known method.

### §6.3 Elgamal Public Key Cryptography

We again start with  $p$  prime and  $g \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$  suitably. This could be public knowledge, or Alice selects these.

Alice: We have  $a$  be Alice's private key, and  $A = g^a$  be Alice's public key.

Bob: Has message  $m$  he wishes to send. Bob does the following:

1. Generate random  $k$  (used only once, to send this message).
2. Compute the following:

$$\text{a) } c_1 = g^k \pmod{p}$$

$$\text{b) } c_2 = m \cdot A^k \pmod{p}$$

3. Send  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  to Alice.

Alice:

$$(c_1^a) = A^k \text{ so } c_2 \cdot (c_1^a)^{-1} \equiv m \left( (g^a)^k \right) \cdot \left( (g^a)^k \right)^{-1} \equiv m$$

Basically, they are using Diffie-Hellman key exchange, except  $g^a$  is a public key and Bob assumes a secret key, and uses that to encrypt the message and sends it in one go.

#### §6.3.1 Implementation

We have the following algorithm for encryption and decryption in Elgamal:

---

```

1 import ext_gcd, pow_mod
2 from random import randrange
3 def e(A, m):
4     k = randrange(p)
5     return (pow_mod(g, k, p), m * pow_mod(A, k, p))
6
7 def d(a, c):
8     pow_mod(c[0], a)
9     ...

```

---

*to be continued...*