

Math 1580: Cryptography *Lecture Notes*

E. Larson

Spring 2022

These are lecture notes for Math 1580: Cryptography taught at BROWN UNIVERSITY by Eric Larson in the Spring of 2022.

Contents

0	January 26, 2022	2
0.1	Course Logistics	2
0.2	Introduction	2
0.3	Simple Substitution Ciphers	2
0.4	Divisibility	2
1	January 28, 2022	2
1.1	Greatest Common Divisors	2
1.2	Euclidean Algorithm	2
1.3	Linear Combinations	2
2	January 31, 2022	2
2.1	Linear Combinations <i>continued</i>	2
2.2	Modular Arithmetic	4
3	February 2, 2022	6
3.1	Inverses mod m	6
3.2	Modular Arithmetic <i>continued</i>	7
3.3	<i>Fastish</i> Powering	8

§0 January 26, 2022

§0.1 Course Logistics

§0.2 Introduction

§0.3 Simple Substitution Ciphers

§0.4 Divisibility

§1 January 28, 2022

§1.1 Greatest Common Divisors

§1.2 Euclidean Algorithm

§1.3 Linear Combinations

§2 January 31, 2022

§2.1 Linear Combinations *continued*

Recall from last time that we proposed that

greatest common divisor \leq least linear combination.

Example 2.1

$\gcd(2024, 748) = 44$ because we have

$$2024 = 748 \cdot 2 + 528$$

$$748 = 528 \cdot 1 + 220$$

$$528 = 220 \cdot 2 + 88$$

$$220 = 88 \cdot 2 + \boxed{44} \leftarrow \gcd(2024, 748)$$

$$88 = 44 \cdot 2 + 0$$

We determine which linear combinations of 2024 and 748 we can create:

$$\begin{aligned}
 2024 &= 1 \cdot 2024 + 0 \cdot 748 \\
 748 &= 0 \cdot 2024 + 1 \cdot 748 \\
 528 &= 1 \cdot 2024 + (-2) \cdot 748 \\
 220 &= 748 - 1 \cdot 528 \\
 &= 748 - 1 \cdot (1 \cdot 2024 + (-2) \cdot 748) \\
 &= -1 \cdot 2024 + 3 \cdot 748 \\
 88 &= 528 - 2 \cdot 220 \\
 &= \underbrace{[1 \cdot 2024 + (-2) \cdot 748]}_{528} - 2 \cdot \underbrace{[-1 \cdot 2024 + 3 \cdot 748]}_{220} \\
 &= 3 \cdot 2024 - 8 \cdot 748 \\
 44 &= 220 - 2 \cdot 88 \\
 &= [-1 \cdot 2024 + 3 \cdot 748] - 2 \cdot [3 \cdot 2024 - 8 \cdot 748] \\
 &= -7 \cdot 2024 + 19 \cdot 748
 \end{aligned}$$

Following this example, we have shown that every common divisor of a and b can be written as a linear combination of a and b , and since the greatest common divisor has to be less than the least linear combination (as shown last time), the greatest common divisor *is* the least linear combination¹.

We realize that there is a *recurrence* happening here. If we call every set of coefficients x, y and z, w for a and b respectively, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 a &= x \cdot a_0 + y \cdot b_0 \\
 b &= z \cdot a_0 + w \cdot b_0
 \end{aligned}$$

where a_0 and b_0 are the original numbers, we can use a sliding window approach² again to determine the next set of x, y, z, w, a, b .

Recall from last time we had

$$\begin{aligned}
 a' &= b \\
 b' &= a \mod b
 \end{aligned}$$

We can extend this algorithm for our new coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x' &= z \\
 y' &= w \\
 z' &= w - \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor \cdot z \\
 w' &= y - \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor \cdot w
 \end{aligned}$$

¹Assume for contradiction that the gcd were any less, then that would also be a linear combination. \nexists

²Updating our iterators on every loop by sliding our window of coefficients down.

where $\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \rfloor$ are the quotients from our Euclidean Algorithm. Note that initially, we have

$$a = 1 \cdot a_0 + 0 \cdot b_0$$

$$b = 0 \cdot a_0 + 1 \cdot b_0$$

so we have initial values of $x = 1, y = 0, z = 0, w = 0$.

so our code for the *extended Euclidean's Algorithm* is now

```

1 def ext_gcd(a, b):
2     x, y, z, w = 1, 0, 0, 1
3     while b != 0:
4         x, y, z, w = z, w, w - (a // b) * z, y - (a // b) * w
5         a, b = b, a % b
6     return (x, y)

```

§2.2 Modular Arithmetic

Recall: We used a substitution/shift cipher to encrypt text:

Y	E	S
↓	↓	↓
D	J	X

by incrementing 5 letters for each letter.

$a = 0, b = 1, \dots, z = 25$.

We had this notion of

$$\text{ciphertext} = \text{plaintext} + 5$$

$$d = y + 5$$

$$3 = 24 + 5 = 29$$

Definition 2.2

We say $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ if $m \mid a - b$.

We say “ a is congruent^a to b modulo m ”.

^aCongruence is a “behave like” equality.

Example 2.3

$$24 + 5 \equiv 3 \pmod{26}$$

$$22 + 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$$

The first example is from our shift cipher, the second example is equivalent to “two hours after 11:00, it is 1:00”.

Proposition 2.4

If we have

$$a_1 \equiv a_2 \pmod{m}$$

$$b_1 \equiv b_2 \pmod{m}$$

Then we have the following:

$$a_1 + b_1 \equiv a_2 + b_2 \pmod{m} \tag{1}$$

$$a_1 - b_1 \equiv a_2 - b_2 \pmod{m} \tag{2}$$

$$a_1 \cdot b_1 \equiv a_2 \cdot b_2 \pmod{m} \tag{3}$$

Proof. For [eq. \(1\)](#), realize that we have

$$(a_1 + b_1) - (a_2 + b_2) = (a_1 - a_2) + (b_1 - b_2)$$

and the two terms on the right are each divisible by m by our premise. We can also write out

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 + b_1 &= (a_2 + \alpha m) + (b_2 + \beta m) \\ &= (a_2 + b_2) + (\alpha + \beta) \cdot m. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for [eq. \(2\)](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 - b_1 &= a_2 + \alpha m - (b_2 + \beta m) \\ &= a_2 - b_2 + (\alpha - \beta) \cdot m. \end{aligned}$$

and for [eq. \(3\)](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \cdot b_1 &= (a_2 + \alpha m) \cdot (b_2 + \beta m) \\ &= a_2 \cdot b_2 + \alpha m b_2 + \beta m a_2 + \alpha \beta m^2 \\ &= a_2 \cdot b_2 + (\alpha b_2 + \beta a_2 + \alpha \beta m) \cdot m. \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proofs of the premod rules. □

Proposition 2.5

There exists b with

$$a \cdot b \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

if and only if $\gcd(a, m) = 1$.

Proof. We can write linear combination equation

$$a \cdot b + m \cdot k = 1$$

and we have that the following are equivalent (we cascade down the list and can easily prove the iff relations):

- i. such a b exists,
- ii. there is a solution b, k to this equation,
- iii. 1 is a linear combination of a and m ,
- iv. 1 is the *least* linear combination of a and m ,
- v. $1 = \gcd(a, m)$.

so we have that $1 = \gcd(a, m)$ if and only if a 's inverse b exists. □

§3 February 2, 2022

§3.1 Inverses mod m

Recall: Last time, we showed in [proposition 2.5](#) that there exists an integer b with $a \cdot b \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ iff $\gcd(a, m) = 1$.

Claim 3.1 — We further claim that if such a b exists, then it is unique mod m .

That is, if we have

$$a \cdot b_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

$$a \cdot b_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

then we have that $b_1 \equiv b_2 \pmod{m}$.

Proof. We consider $b_1 a b_2$. We have

$$b_2 \equiv (b_1 a) b_2 = b_2 (a b_1) \equiv b_2$$

all taking mod m . □

How, then, could we compute this inverse b efficiently?

Recall that last class, we used the extended Euclidean algorithm to compute the linear combination of a and m efficiently,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= a \cdot u + m \cdot v \\ &\equiv a \cdot \boxed{u} \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

where u is b .

§3.2 Modular Arithmetic *continued*

Definition 3.2 (Ring of Integers mod m)

$\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ with operations $+, -, \times \pmod{m}$.

Example 3.3

$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. We have the following operation tables for $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$:

$+$	0	1	2	3	\times	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	2	3	0	1	0	1	2	3
2	2	3	0	1	2	0	2	0	2
3	3	0	1	2	3	0	3	2	1

Definition 3.4 (Group of Units mod m)

We have the set of units in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times &= \{a \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \mid \exists b \text{ s.t. } a \cdot b \equiv 1\} \\ &= \{a \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \mid \gcd(a, m) = 1\} \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.5

$$(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{1, 3\}.$$

Definition 3.6 (Euler Totient Function)

We have

$$\varphi(m) = \#(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$$

which counts the number of units modulo m .

Example 3.7

$$\varphi(4) = 2.$$

Let's investigate the properties of units. Let's say a_1, a_2 are units. Which of the following have to be units?

	Does this have to be a unit?
$a_1 \cdot a_2$	<u>Yes!</u> Since $\gcd(a_1, m) = 1$ and $\gcd(a_2, m) = 2$ so we have $\gcd(a_1 a_2, m) = 1$. We also have $a_1 b_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ and $a_2 b_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, we have $(a_1 a_2)(b_2 b_1) \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.
$a_1 + a_2$	<u>No.</u> We have counterexample $m = 4$: $1 + 1$ is not a unit.
$a_1 - a_2$	<u>Also no.</u> For any a , $a - a = 0$ which is never a unit.

Definition 3.8 (Prime Number)

An integer $n \geq 2$ is prime if its only (positive) divisors are 1 and n .

Example 3.9

Numbers like 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 12, ...

What if m is a prime number? Then we have

$$(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$$

so we can divide by elements of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, just like in $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$. We can divide by any nonzero element of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. We call these fields!

§3.3 Fastish Powering

Problem. How might we compute $g^a \pmod{m}$?

A naïve solution might be

```

1 def pow_mod(g, a, m):
2     return g ** a % m

```

What if we tried to compute `pow_mod(239418762304, 12349876234, 12394876123482783641)` or something of the like? Something like this...



We could do something a bit more clever, like taking a mod every time we multiply:

```

1 def pow_mod(g, a, m):
2     p = 1
3     for i in range(a):
4         p = (p * g) % m
5     return p

```

Yet we *still* couldn't do `pow_mod(239418762304, 12349876234, 12394876123482783641)` since that takes the amount of time proportional to a^3 .

Example 3.10

Let's try to compute 3^{37} by hand.

3^1	$\equiv 3 \pmod{100}$
3^2	$\equiv 9 \pmod{100}$
$3^4 = (3^2)^2 =$	$\equiv 81 \pmod{100}$
$3^8 = (3^4)^2 = 81^2 = 6561$	$\equiv 61 \pmod{100}$
$3^{16} = (3^8)^2 \equiv 61^2 = 3721$	$\equiv 21 \pmod{100}$
$3^{32} = (3^{16})^2 \equiv 21^2 = 441$	$\equiv 41 \pmod{100}$

Since $37 = 32 + 4 + 1$, we can simply do

$$3^{37} = 3^{32} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 3^1 = 41 \cdot 81 \cdot 3 = 1863 \equiv 63 \pmod{100}$$

³Which can become big...