Reading

Read Sections 4.1 through 4.4 of our textbook *Compilers*.

Written Assignment 3

- 1. Exercise 4.2.1 (page 206).
- 2. Exercise 4.2.2, part a.
- 3. Exercise 4.2.3, parts (a), (b), and (c).
- 4. Exercise 4.3.1 (page 216).

Programming Assignment 3

A fairly simple Scheme (*FSS*) expression is similar to those of our previous language VSS, but supports the Boolean type in addition to the floating-point type. This includes the literals *true* and *false*, in addition to Boolean and relational operators. There is also a conditional *if* expression. Here is the grammar:

```
prog
                              expr+
                              DOUBLE
expr
                              BOOLEAN
                              ID
                              '(' RATOR expr* ')'
                              '(' 'def' ID expr ')'
                              '(' 'if' expr<sub>1</sub> expr<sub>2</sub> expr<sub>3</sub> ')'
BOOLEAN
                             'true' | 'false'
                             ARITHMETIC | RELATIONAL | BOOLEAN
RATOR
                              '+' | '-' | '*' | '/'
ARITHMETIC
                              '=' |'>' |'<'
RELATIONAL
BOOLEAN
                              '&' | '|' | '!'
```

The conditional expression first evaluates its first expression $expr_1$ to obtain testVal. If testVal is true then the conditional expression evaluates to the value of $expr_2$, and if testVal is false then it evaluates to the value of $expr_3$ (it is a semantic error if $expr_1$ is not of Boolean type).

You may wish to distinguish between Boolean and floating-point values when evaluating expressions to ensure semantic correctness, but I do not require such runtime error checking. It is also not required that you distinguish between Boolean and floating-point expressions in your grammar (e.g., your grammar need not enforce that the conditional's test expression is of type Boolean). Also, it's not required that you implement the Boolean operators (*and, or,* and *not*), but you should implement the relational operators (*equal, greater-than,* and *less-than*).

I suggest that you revise the representation of values in your interpreter. You might define a *Val* class capable of wrapping a Double or a Boolean value. Your symbol table, which stores variable bindings, would bind identifiers to *Val* objects, literals and variables would evaluate to *Val* objects, and operators would input a list of *Val* arguments and output a *Val* object.

As in the previous assignment (VSS language), your interpreter evaluates the top-level expressions in order and prints the value of the last expression. For programs that contain more than one top-level expression, all but the last one are generally there for side-effect. Here are some sample runs:

```
> java run
(def a (if (< 5 7) 2 4))
(* a 6)
^Z
12.0
> java run
(def flag (= 6 (+ 3 5)))
(if flag (+ 1 2) (* 3 4))
^Z
12.0
```

This illustrates semantics involving the Boolean type:

```
true
                => true
(! true)
               => false
                          // identity for and
(&)
               => true
(& true)
               => true
(& true false) => false
               => false
(|)
                         // identity for or
(| false)
               => false
(> 7)
                          // each element is > than its successor
               => true
(>75)
               => true
(> 7 5 2)
               => true
(>7853)
                => false
(< 4 6)
               => true
(= 4 (+ 2 2))
               => true
(= 3 3 7)
               => false
(! (< (+ 2 2) (* 2 3)))
                        => false
(if true 3 4)
                          => 3.0
(if (< 6 3) 5 (* 6 3))
                         => 18.0
(if (= 3 (+ 2 1))
    (if true 4 5)
    (if false 6 7))
                        => 4.0
```