Exercise 1: Bagging

In this exercise, we briefly revisit why bagging is a useful technique to stabilize predictions.

For a fixed observation (\mathbf{x}, y) , show that the quadratic loss of the ensemble prediction $f^{[M]}(\mathbf{x})$ is less than or equal to the average quadratic loss over individual base learner predictions $b^{[m]}(\mathbf{x})$. You can assume an infinite theoretical ensemble and use $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{M}}$ to denote the expectation over its members.

Exercise 2: Classifying spam

a) Take a look at the spam dataset and shortly describe what kind of classification problem this is. (R Hint: access the corresponding task ?mlr3::mlr_tasks_spam)

(Python Hint: read spam.csv)

b) Use a decision tree to predict spam. Re-fit the tree using two random subsets of the data (each comprising 60% of observations). How stable are the trees?

(R Hint: Use rpart.plot() from the package rpart.plot to visualize the trees.)
(Python Hint: Use from sklearn.tree import plot_tree to visualize the trees.)

- c) Forests come with a built-in estimate of their generalization ability via the out-of-bag (OOB) error.
 - i) Show that the probability for an observation to be OOB in an arbitrary bootstrap sample converges to $\frac{1}{e}$.
 - ii) Use the random forest learner (R: classif.ranger, Python: RandomForestClassifier()) to fit the model and state the out-of-bag (OOB) error.
- d) You are interested in which variables have the greatest influence on the prediction quality. Explain how to determine this in a permutation-based approach and compute the importance scorses for the spam data.

(R Hint: use an adequate variable importance filter as described in https://mlr3filters.mlr-org.com/#variable-importance-filters.)
(Python Hint: choose an adequate importance measure as described in https://scikit-learn.org/stable/auto_examples/ensemble/plot_forest_importances.html)

Exercise 3: Proximities

You solve the wine task, predicting the type of a wine – with 3 classes – from a number of covariates. After training, you wish to determine how similar your observations are in terms of proximities.

For the following subset of the training data and the random forest model given below,

- a) find the terminal node of each tree the observations are placed in,
- b) compute the observations' pairwise proximities, and
- c) construct a similarity matrix from these proximities in R resp. Python.

R Hint: The model information was created with ranger::treeInfo(), which assigns observations with values larger than splitval to the right child node in each split.

| observation | alcalinity | alcohol | flavanoids | hue | malic | phenols |
|-------------|------------|---------|------------|------|-------|---------|
| 1 | 11.4 | 14.75 | 3.69 | 1.25 | 1.73 | 3.10 |
| 2 | 25.0 | 13.40 | 0.96 | 0.67 | 4.60 | 1.98 |
| 3 | 17.4 | 13.94 | 3.54 | 1.12 | 1.73 | 2.88 |

Tree 1:

| nodeID | leftChild | rightChild | splitvarID | splitvarName | splitval | terminal | prediction |
|--------|-----------|------------|------------|--------------|----------|----------|------------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | phenols | 1.94 | FALSE | NA |
| 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | alcohol | 12.43 | FALSE | NA |
| 2 | 5 | 6 | 1 | alcohol | 13.04 | FALSE | NA |
| 3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | TRUE | 2 |
| 4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | TRUE | 3 |
| 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | TRUE | 2 |
| 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | TRUE | 1 |

Tree 2:

| nodeID | leftChild | rightChild | splitvarID | splitvarName | splitval | terminal | prediction |
|--------|-----------|------------|------------|--------------|----------|----------|------------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | alcohol | 12.78 | FALSE | NA |
| 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | hue | 0.68 | FALSE | NA |
| 2 | 5 | 6 | 2 | flavanoids | 2.18 | FALSE | NA |
| 3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | TRUE | 3 |
| 4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | TRUE | 2 |
| 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | TRUE | 3 |
| 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | TRUE | 1 |

Tree 3:

| nodeID | leftChild | rightChild | splitvarID | splitvarName | splitval | terminal | prediction |
|--------|-----------|------------|------------|--------------|----------|----------|------------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | alcohol | 12.79 | FALSE | NA |
| 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | phenols | 2.01 | FALSE | NA |
| 2 | 5 | 6 | 5 | phenols | 2.28 | FALSE | NA |
| 3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | TRUE | 2 |
| 4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | TRUE | 2 |
| 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | TRUE | 3 |
| 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | TRUE | 1 |