

CHARTERING REPORT



Repository: <https://github.com/marrivbec/gii-is-DP2-C2.033>

Members:

- Mario Rivas Becerra – marrivbec1@alum.us.es
- Álvaro Baladrón Caballero – alvbalcab@alum.us.es
- Ángel Manuel Ferrer Álvarez – angferalv@alum.us.es

Date: July 1, 2025

ÍNDICE

1 Executive summary -----3

2 Revision Table -----3

3 Introduction -----3

4 Summary -----4

5 Working group members -----4

6 Commitment Statement ----- 5

7 Performance Indicators-- ----- 6

8 Reward System ----- 6

9 Sanction System ----- 6

10 Conditions for expulsion ----- 6

11 Signatures -----7

12 Conclusion -----7

13 Bibliography -----7

1. Executive summary

This document outlines the key elements of Group C2.033's commitment to the project for the subject Design and Testing II. It details the procedures followed for team organization, the evaluation criteria, and accountability measures.

The recruitment process involved internal discussions to define the project's goals and the selection of a team leader. The leader then formalized the process through an official forum post. Clear performance indicators were defined to monitor contributions to group tasks, while individual assignments remain flexible and self-managed. Furthermore, the team agreed on a fair system to recognize outstanding contributions and report underperformance. In severe cases, members who consistently fail to fulfill their responsibilities may be dismissed from the group.

This report provides a detailed overview of these elements, setting the standards and expectations that will guide the team throughout the project. It represents a formal declaration of our shared commitment to collaboration, responsibility, and high-quality results.

2. Revision Table

| Version | Date | Description |
|---------|------------|----------------------------|
| 1.0.0 | 17/2/2025 | Version without signatures |
| 1.1.0 | 19/2/2025 | Version with signatures |
| 2.0.0 | 01/07/2025 | Second call version |

3. Introduction

This document serves as the official project agreement for Group C2.033 in the subject Design and Testing II. It establishes the roles, responsibilities, and evaluation criteria that will govern the team's performance and collaboration throughout the course. By setting clear expectations from the outset, the group aims to foster a productive and cooperative working environment.

The report begins with an overview of the recruitment process, explaining how the team was formed and how a project leader was selected. This is followed by the Commitment Statement, in which all members express their willingness to collaborate and acknowledge their understanding of the course syllabus. The Performance Indicators section defines how individual and group contributions will be monitored and assessed.

Subsequent sections address both the recognition of high performance and the handling of underperformance. A system has been put in place to reward outstanding contributions, ensuring that excellent work is acknowledged. At the same time, measures have been

established to deal with insufficient participation, including the possibility of reporting or dismissing underperforming members when necessary.

In the following sections, each of these elements is detailed, providing a clear framework to guide the team's work during the project.

4. Summary

The selection of the working group was simple, since we simply continued the same members that remained from the previous group. Having previously worked together, there was already a base of trust and mutual knowledge about our ways of working, which made it easier to maintain the established collaboration dynamic.

5. Working Group Members



Mario Rivas Becerra
marrivbec1@alum.us.es



Álvaro Baladrón Caballero
alvbalcab@alum.us.es



Ángel Manuel Ferrer Álvarez
angferalv@alum.us.es

6. Commitment Statement

We, the members of group C2.033, commit to working together in the course Design and Testing 2. We declare that we have understood the syllabus, with a special focus on the evaluation and grading procedures, and we commit to achieving the following grade: 8.

7. Performance Indicators

- **Work Completed vs. Pending Work:**
The team's progress will be measured by the percentage of tasks completed in GitHub Projects. The number of tasks in the "Done" column will be considered in relation to the total assigned tasks.
Additionally, a weekly review will be conducted to verify that the delivered work meets expected standards, and any delays or blockages will be recorded.
- **Feedback from the Professor:**
The professor's feedback during project review sessions in laboratory classes will be considered to evaluate and improve our performance.
- **Another Relevant Indicator - Active Participation in the Team:** To assess each member's commitment, the following metrics will be measured:
 - Number of commits and contributions on GitHub: The frequency of contributions to the repository will be analyzed.
 - Participation in meetings and decision-making: A record will be kept of who actively attends team meetings and participates in decision-making.

8. Reward System

Group members who achieve good performance will be rewarded as follows:

- They will receive preferential treatment from the rest of the group.
- They may receive a higher grade if both the professor and the group agree.

9. Sanction System

Group members who have poor performance will be warned as follows:

- First warning: The affected member will be verbally or in writing notified about their poor performance, urging them to improve their commitment to the project.
- Second warning: If poor performance persists, the group will issue a final warning, stating that continued lack of commitment could result in their expulsion.
- Group expulsion: In case of recurrence, the team will inform the professor of their intention to dismiss the participant, thereby ending their collaboration in the project.

(This system follows a similar structure to soccer cards: the first two warnings correspond to yellow cards, while the third results in expulsion, similar to a red card.)

10. Conditions for Expulsion

A group member may be expelled under the following conditions:

- If they are unwilling to cooperate with their teammates in completing our work.
- Conflicts with other team members.
- Unnecessary or insufficient work.

Options after being dismissed:

- Continue working alone.
- Drop the course.
- Find another group.

11. Signatures

Group members confirm their commitment to this agreement by signing below:



Álvaro Baladrón Caballero



Mario Rivas Becerra



Ángel Manuel Ferrer Álvarez

12. Conclusion

This document has defined the criteria for identifying both positive and negative performance within the group throughout the project. It also outlines the corresponding actions to be taken in each case, including the possibility of dismissing a team member in situations of serious underperformance.

These measures aim to ensure that the project is carried out as smoothly as possible, minimizing potential conflicts and promoting a collaborative and accountable working environment for all team members.

13. Bibliography

Intentionally blank