

#### **Bachelor Thesis**

# Optimum reject options for multiclass classification

Johannes Kummert

1. Reviewer Prof. Dr. Barbara Hammer

Theoretical Computer Science

**Bielefeld University** 

2. Reviewer Benjamin Paaçen

Theoretical Computer Science

Bielefeld University

Supervisors Prof. Dr. Barbara Hammer and Benjamin Paaçen

October 19, 2015

#### Johannes Kummert

Optimum reject options for multiclass classification Bachelor Thesis, October 19, 2015

Reviewers: Prof. Dr. Barbara Hammer and Benjamin Paaçen Supervisors: Prof. Dr. Barbara Hammer and Benjamin Paaçen

## **Abstract**

# Contents

1	Intr	oductio	on .	1
2	Reje	ect Opt	ions	3
	2.1	Two C	Classes	3
		2.1.1	Reject Strategy	3
		2.1.2	Optimal $\Theta$	3
	2.2	Multi	class Classification	4
		2.2.1	Global Reject	4
		2.2.2	Local Reject	4
		2.2.3	Optimal local Reject	4
		2.2.4	Computation by Dynamic Programming	4
		2.2.5	Greedy Computation	4
		2.2.6	Evaluation	4
3	Арр	lication	n for SVM	5
4	Con	clusion	s	7

Introduction

Reject Options

#### 2.1 Two Classes

To make our way towards optimal rejects for multi class classification, we start of small by looking at a general two class classifier f that divides the space via a decision boundary.

$$f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \{1, 2\}$$

Let r be a measure of confidence that a point is part of its respective class, e.g. distance to the decision boundary. If  $r(\bar{x})$  is large it means that  $\bar{x}$  is likely in the class it was assigned to.

#### 2.1.1 Reject Strategy

We look for a threshold  $\Theta$  that defines for a given point  $\bar{x}$  whether it is rejected or not:

$$r(\bar{x}) < \Theta : \bar{x} \ rejected$$

#### 2.1.2 **Optimal** ⊖

In order to find an optimal threshold we need to decide how to evaluate it. Optimally only wrongly classified data points are rejected (now referred to as true rejects). But generally there will be rejected although correctly classified points (false rejects). A given labeled (by y) data set X is divided into the two sets L and E by applying f as a classifier with:

$$L = \{ \forall \bar{x} \in X \mid f(\bar{x}) = y(\bar{x}) \} : correctly \ classified \ points$$
 (2.1)

$$E = \{ \forall \bar{x} \in X \mid f(\bar{x}) \neq y(\bar{x}) \} : incorrectly \ classified \ points$$
 (2.2)

And by applying our reject strategy with the threshold  $\Theta$ , X is divided into A and R with:

$$A_{\Theta} = \{ \forall \bar{x} \in X \mid r(\bar{x}) \ge \Theta \} : accepted \ points$$
 (2.3)

$$R_{\Theta} = \{ \forall \bar{x} \in X \mid r(\bar{x}) < \Theta \} : rejected points$$
 (2.4)

The set T contains all true rejects and F all false rejects.

$$T_{\Theta} = R \cap E \tag{2.5}$$

$$F_{\theta} = R \cap L \tag{2.6}$$

Naturally we want  $|T_{\Theta}|$  to be large and  $|F_{\Theta}|$  to be small. Since these two goals often contradict each other, e.g. more true rejects most times bring more false ones (TODO: graph), there is no single optimal choice of  $\Theta$  in general. Still there is a set of values that we consider optimal. As shown above each  $\Theta$  corresponds to a tuple  $(|T_{\Theta}|, |F_{\Theta}|)$ .  $\Theta$  is optimal if

$$\nexists\Theta': |F_{\Theta}'| \le |F_{\Theta}|, |T_{\Theta}'| \ge |T_{\Theta}|$$

and at least one term is unequal.

#### 2.2 Multi class Classification

- 2.2.1 Global Reject
- 2.2.2 Local Reject
- 2.2.3 Optimal local Reject
- 2.2.4 Computation by Dynamic Programming
- 2.2.5 Greedy Computation
- 2.2.6 Evaluation

Application for SVM

Conclusions

# List of Figures

## **List of Tables**