

# ZEROS OF THE RIEMANN ZETA FUNCTION VIA INNER FUNCTIONS AND BLASCHKE PRODUCTS

JONATHAN WASHBURN AND AMIR RAHNAMAI BARGHI

**ABSTRACT.** Starting from the Euler product and the regularized determinant  $\det_2(I - A(s))$  over primes, we construct an inner function  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$  whose zero set coincides with that of  $\zeta$ , and prove that  $\mathcal{I}$  is a *pure Blaschke product* (the singular inner factor is trivial). The Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to the statement that this Blaschke product has no zeros. The construction proceeds via the arithmetic ratio  $\mathcal{J} := \det_2(I - A(s))/\zeta(s) \cdot (s - 1)/s$ , whose poles coincide with the zeros of  $\zeta$ ; outer normalization produces a function with unit boundary modulus, and the inner reciprocal  $\mathcal{I} := B^2/\mathcal{J}_{\text{out}}$  converts those poles into zeros of an inner function. The proof that the singular inner factor vanishes ( $S \equiv 1$ ) uses only the convexity bound for  $\zeta$ , the convergence of  $\sum(1 + \gamma^2)^{-1}$ , and the explicit Fourier structure of  $\det_2(I - A)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Riemann zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad \Re s > 1,$$

extends meromorphically to  $\mathbb{C}$  with a simple pole at  $s = 1$  and satisfies a functional equation after completion. Its nontrivial zeros govern the distribution of prime numbers, and the Riemann Hypothesis asserts that all such zeros lie on the critical line  $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$ ; see [2, 4, 6, 12] for background.

**Theorem 1** (Inner-function encoding of the zeros of  $\zeta$ ). *Let  $\Omega := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$ . There exists a function  $\mathcal{I}$ , constructed explicitly from  $\zeta$ , the regularized determinant  $\det_2(I - A(s))$ , and an outer normalizer  $\mathcal{O}_\zeta$  (§§2–3, Lemma 16), with the following properties:*

- (a)  *$\mathcal{I}$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega$  with  $|\mathcal{I}(s)| \leq 1$  for all  $s \in \Omega$ .*
- (b)  *$|\mathcal{I}(\frac{1}{2} + it)| = 1$  for Lebesgue-a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .*
- (c) *The zeros of  $\mathcal{I}$  in  $\Omega$  are exactly the nontrivial zeros of  $\zeta$  in  $\Omega$ , with the same multiplicities.*
- (d)  *$\mathcal{I}$  is a pure Blaschke product: the singular inner factor is trivial,  $S \equiv 1$ .*

**Corollary 2** (Equivalence with the Riemann Hypothesis). *The Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to the statement  $\mathcal{I} \equiv e^{i\theta}$  for some  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , i.e., the Blaschke product is empty.*

*Proof.* If RH holds,  $\mathcal{I}$  has no zeros and is inner, hence a unimodular constant. Conversely, if  $\mathcal{I} \equiv e^{i\theta}$ , part (c) of Theorem 1 implies  $\zeta$  has no zeros in  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 are proved in §§2–3 and Appendix A.

**Notation.** Throughout we use the following conventions.

- $\Omega := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$  denotes the open half-plane to the right of the critical line, with boundary  $\partial\Omega = \{\frac{1}{2} + it : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .
- $\sigma := \Re s - \frac{1}{2}$  is the distance from the critical line.

---

*Date:* February 2026.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M26; Secondary 30H10, 42B30, 47B35.

*Key words and phrases.* Riemann hypothesis, Riemann zeta function, inner function, Blaschke product, Carleson measure, Hardy space.

- $\langle T \rangle := (1 + T^2)^{1/2}$  is the Japanese bracket.
- For a compact interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|I|$  denotes its length and

$$Q_\alpha(I) := \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it : 0 < \sigma \leq \alpha |I|, t \in I \right\}$$

is the Whitney box with aperture  $\alpha > 0$ .

- “A.e.” refers to Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  unless stated otherwise.

**Strategy.** On  $\Omega$  we construct an *inner reciprocal*  $\mathcal{I} := B^2 / \mathcal{J}_{\text{out}}$ , where  $B(s) = (s - 1)/s$ , from the Riemann zeta function, the regularized determinant  $\det_2(I - A(s))$  over primes, and an outer normalizer  $\mathcal{O}_\zeta$ ; the construction is carried out in §2–§3. Lemma 16 shows that  $\mathcal{I}$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega$  with  $|\mathcal{I}| \leq 1$  (via the Phragmén–Lindelöf principle) and boundary modulus 1 a.e. Crucially, zeros of  $\zeta$  in  $\Omega$  become *zeros* (not poles) of  $\mathcal{I}$ . The proof that  $S \equiv 1$  (Proposition 17) then identifies  $\mathcal{I}$  as a pure Blaschke product, yielding Theorem 1.

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND MAIN OBJECTS

This section introduces the principal objects of the proof: the prime-diagonal operator  $A(s)$  and its regularized determinant  $\det_2(I - A(s))$ , and the arithmetic ratio  $\mathcal{J}$  formed from  $\det_2$  and  $\zeta$ .

**The completed zeta function.** Let  $\zeta(s)$  denote the Riemann zeta function. We write  $\xi(s)$  for the completed zeta function

$$\xi(s) := \frac{1}{2} s(s - 1) \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s),$$

which is entire and satisfies the functional equation  $\xi(s) = \xi(1 - s)$ ; see [12]. Throughout, by a *zero* we mean a zero of  $\zeta$  (equivalently of  $\xi$ , away from the canceled singularities at  $s = 0, 1$ ) lying in the half-plane  $\Omega$ .

**The prime-diagonal operator and the regularized determinant.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the set of primes and write  $\ell^2(\mathcal{P})$  for the Hilbert space with orthonormal basis  $\{e_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ . For  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  define the prime-diagonal operator

$$A(s) : \ell^2(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathcal{P}), \quad A(s)e_p := p^{-s} e_p.$$

For  $\Re s > 1/2$ ,

$$\|A(s)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} |p^{-s}|^2 = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{-2\Re s} \leq \sum_{n \geq 2} n^{-2\Re s} < \infty,$$

so  $A(s)$  is Hilbert–Schmidt on  $\Omega$ . In particular, the regularized determinant  $\det_2(I - A(s))$  is well-defined and holomorphic on  $\Omega$  (see [9, Ch. III] and [10, Ch. 9]).

**Lemma 3** (Diagonal product formula for  $\det_2$ ). *Let  $T$  be a diagonal Hilbert–Schmidt operator on  $\ell^2$  with eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_n\}$  satisfying  $\sum_n |\lambda_n|^2 < \infty$ . Then*

$$\det_2(I - T) = \prod_n (1 - \lambda_n) e^{\lambda_n},$$

where the product converges absolutely. In particular,  $\det_2(I - T) = 0$  iff  $\lambda_n = 1$  for some  $n$ .

*Proof.* This holds for the  $\mathcal{S}_2$ -regularized determinant; see [9, Ch. III] or [10, Ch. 9]. (We only use the diagonal case and the zero criterion  $\lambda_n = 1$ .)  $\square$

Applying Lemma 3 to  $T = A(s)$  on  $\Omega$  gives the explicit product

$$(2.1) \quad \det_2(I - A(s)) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} (1 - p^{-s}) e^{p^{-s}}.$$

Since  $\Re s > 1/2$  implies  $|p^{-s}| < 1$  for every prime  $p$ , each factor in (2.1) is nonzero. Hence  $\det_2(I - A(s))$  is holomorphic and zero-free on  $\Omega$ .

**The arithmetic ratio  $\mathcal{J}$ .** Fix a domain  $D \subset \Omega$ . To allow numerically stable bounds later, we permit a holomorphic nonvanishing *normalizer* (or *gauge*)  $\mathcal{O}$  on  $D$ , and define

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{J}(s) := \frac{\det_2(I - A(s))}{\zeta(s)} \cdot \frac{s-1}{s} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(s)}, \quad s \in D.$$

The factor  $(s-1)$  cancels the simple pole of  $\zeta$  at  $s=1$ ; the factor  $1/s$  plays no role on  $D \subset \Omega$  (but is convenient in later normalization). Since  $\Omega \subset \{\Re s > 1/2\}$  lies away from  $s=0$ , the compensator  $1/s$  introduces no pole on the working domain. Unless explicitly stated otherwise, we work in the *raw  $\zeta$ -gauge*  $\mathcal{O} \equiv 1$  and denote the resulting objects by  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{raw}}$ ; for readability we usually drop the subscript in this default gauge.

*Remark 4* (Gauge invariance of the pole set). Since  $\mathcal{O}$  is holomorphic and nonvanishing on  $D$ , the pole set of  $\mathcal{J}$  on  $D$  is independent of the choice of gauge. In the default gauge  $\mathcal{O} \equiv 1$  one has  $\mathcal{J}(s) \rightarrow 1$  as  $\Re s \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**Lemma 5** (Zeros of  $\zeta$  produce poles of  $\mathcal{J}$ ). *Let  $D \subset \Omega$  be a domain and assume the chosen gauge  $\mathcal{O}$  is holomorphic and nonvanishing on  $D$ . If  $\rho \in D$  is a zero of  $\zeta(s)$ , then  $\rho$  is a pole of  $\mathcal{J}(s)$  defined in (2.2).*

*Proof.* By (2.2), the only possible singularities of  $\mathcal{J}$  on  $D$  arise from zeros of  $\zeta$  and from zeros of  $\mathcal{O}$ . The latter do not occur by assumption. The factor  $(s-1)/s$  is holomorphic and nonzero on  $D \subset \Omega$ . Finally,  $\det_2(I - A(s))$  is holomorphic and nonzero on  $\Omega$  by (2.1). Hence a zero of  $\zeta$  at  $\rho$  forces a pole of  $\mathcal{J}$  at  $\rho$ .  $\square$

### 3. OUTER NORMALIZATION

The arithmetic ratio  $\mathcal{J}$  from §2 has poles at the zeros of  $\zeta$ , but its boundary modulus need not equal 1. We now divide by an outer function to impose unit boundary modulus, producing the outer-normalized ratio  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{out}}$  that serves as the principal object in the construction of the inner reciprocal  $\mathcal{I}$ . The construction proceeds in three stages: first we verify that the ratio  $F$  (i.e., (2.2) with  $\mathcal{O} \equiv 1$ ) has well-behaved boundary values (Lemmas 6–11), then we extract the outer factor  $\mathcal{O}_\zeta$  (Lemma 12), and finally we form  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{out}} = F/\mathcal{O}_\zeta$ .

**The ratio  $F$  and its boundary regularity.** Define

$$F(s) := \frac{\det_2(I - A(s))}{\zeta(s)} \cdot \frac{s-1}{s}, \quad \Re s > \frac{1}{2},$$

and extend  $F$  to  $\Omega \setminus Z(\zeta)$  by analytic continuation, where  $Z(\zeta)$  denotes the zero set of  $\zeta$  in  $\Omega$ .

**Lemma 6** (Boundary admissibility and Smirnov class for  $F$ ). *Let  $F$  be as above. Then on each connected component of  $\Omega \setminus Z(\zeta)$ :*

- (1)  *$F$  belongs to the Smirnov class  $N^+$  (see, e.g., [3, Ch. 10]) and therefore admits nontangential boundary values  $F^*(t) = \text{n.t. } \lim_{\sigma \downarrow \frac{1}{2}} F(\sigma + it)$  for Lebesgue-a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

- (2) *The boundary log-modulus  $u(t) := \log |F^*(t)|$  lies in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ .*

Moreover, if  $|u(t)| \leq C \log(2 + |t|)$  for  $|t| \geq 1$ , then  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + t^2)^{-1} dt)$ .

*Proof.* Fix a connected component  $U$  of  $\Omega \setminus Z(\zeta)$ . By Lemma 7, for every compact interval  $I \Subset \mathbb{R}$  with  $Q_\alpha(I) \Subset U$  the restriction of  $F$  to  $Q_\alpha(I)$  is of bounded type. Since  $U$  is covered by such Whitney regions and bounded type is local on simply connected subdomains, it follows that  $F$  is of bounded type on  $U$ .

Next, on each such  $Q_\alpha(I) \Subset U$ , the boundary log-modulus of  $\det_2(I - A)$  lies in  $L^1(I)$  by Lemma 9, and  $\log |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)| \in L^1(I)$  with  $L^1$ -convergence from the interior by Lemma 10. Unwinding the definition of  $F$  (as a holomorphic combination of  $\det_2(I - A)$  and  $\zeta$  on  $U$ ), this gives  $\log |F^*| \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$

on  $\partial U \cap \{\Re s = \frac{1}{2}\}$ . Applying Lemma 8 on each Whitney region yields  $F \in N^+(U)$ , hence  $F$  admits nontangential boundary values a.e. and  $u(t) = \log |F^*(t)| \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Finally, if  $|u(t)| \leq C \log(2 + |t|)$  for  $|t| \geq 1$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|u(t)|}{1+t^2} dt \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log(2+|t|)}{1+t^2} dt < \infty,$$

so  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+t^2)^{-1} dt)$ .  $\square$

The following two lemmas supply the inputs to Lemma 6: a local bounded-type criterion, and the Smirnov upgrade.

**Lemma 7** (Local bounded-type control for  $F$ ). *Fix a compact interval  $I \Subset \mathbb{R}$  and a Whitney region  $Q_\alpha(I) \Subset \Omega$ . Assume that the arithmetic Carleson energy bound of Lemma 14 holds on  $Q_\alpha(I)$ , so that  $\log |\det_2(I - A)|$  has a BMO boundary trace on  $I$  (Lemma 9). Then  $F$  is of bounded type on  $Q_\alpha(I)$ .*

*Proof.* The outer normalizer construction (Lemma 13) provides a holomorphic, zero-free function  $\mathcal{O}$  on  $Q_\alpha(I)$ . Define  $\mathcal{J} := \det_2(I - A)/(\mathcal{O} \xi)$  on  $Q_\alpha(I)$ ; since  $\mathcal{O}$  is outer and  $\xi$  is holomorphic and nonvanishing on  $Q_\alpha(I) \subset \Omega \setminus Z(\zeta)$ , this ratio is of bounded type. By the definition of  $F$ , it is obtained from  $\mathcal{J}$  by composing with holomorphic operations that preserve bounded type (products and quotients by nonvanishing bounded-type functions). Therefore  $F$  is of bounded type on  $Q_\alpha(I)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8** (Smirnov upgrade from bounded type and boundary log-modulus). *Let  $U \subset \Omega$  be a simply connected domain with rectifiable boundary segment on  $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$  (e.g. a Whitney region  $Q_\alpha(I)$  as in §A.1 of Appendix A). Let  $g$  be holomorphic on  $U$  and of bounded type (Nevanlinna class) on  $U$ . Assume  $g$  admits nontangential boundary values  $g^*(t)$  for Lebesgue-a.e.  $t$  along  $\partial U \cap \{\Re s = \frac{1}{2}\}$  and that  $\log |g^*(t)| \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(dt)$  on that boundary segment. Then  $g \in N^+(U)$ , and in particular  $g$  has nontangential boundary limits a.e. on  $\partial U \cap \{\Re s = \frac{1}{2}\}$ .*

*Proof.* By conformal mapping, it suffices to treat the case of the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  (or upper half-plane) with boundary arc corresponding to the given rectifiable boundary segment. Since  $g$  is of bounded type on  $U$ , it belongs to the Nevanlinna class on  $U$ ; equivalently,  $g = h/k$  with  $h, k \in H^\infty(U)$  and  $k \not\equiv 0$ . The hypothesis  $\log |g^*| \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$  on the boundary segment implies that the boundary values of  $\log |k^*|$  are locally integrable there as well (because  $h$  is bounded), so the outer-function construction on  $U$  produces an outer function  $k_{\text{out}}$  with  $|k_{\text{out}}^*| = |k^*|$  a.e. on that segment. Replacing  $k$  by  $k_{\text{out}}$  and  $h$  by  $h k/k_{\text{out}}$  (which remains bounded and holomorphic) yields a representation  $g = \tilde{h}/k_{\text{out}}$  with  $\tilde{h} \in H^\infty(U)$  and  $k_{\text{out}}$  outer. This is precisely  $g \in N^+(U)$ . In particular, functions in  $N^+(U)$  admit nontangential boundary limits a.e. on the corresponding boundary segment.  $\square$

We next record the boundary regularity of the individual factors  $\det_2(I - A)$  and  $\zeta$ , which together control  $\log |F^*|$ .

**Lemma 9** (From Carleson energy to  $L^1$  boundary control for  $\log |\det_2|$ ). *Fix a compact interval  $I \Subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Let*

$$U_{\det_2}(\sigma, t) := \log \left| \det_2 \left( I - A \left( \frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it \right) \right) \right|, \quad (\sigma, t) \in (0, \varepsilon_0] \times I,$$

where  $\log |\det_2(I - A)|$  is the real part of any analytic branch of  $\text{Log}(\det_2(I - A))$ ; it is subharmonic on  $\Omega$  and harmonic away from the discrete zero set. Assume the Carleson energy bound of Lemma 14 for  $\nabla U_{\det_2}$  on  $Q(I)$ , uniformly up to height  $\varepsilon_0$ . Then the boundary trace  $u_{\det_2}(t) := \lim_{\sigma \downarrow 0} U_{\det_2}(\sigma, t)$  exists in  $\text{BMO}(I)$  (hence in  $L^1(I)$ ), and in particular

$$\sup_{0 < \sigma \leq \varepsilon_0} \|U_{\det_2}(\sigma, \cdot)\|_{L^1(I)} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* On  $\Omega \setminus Z(\det_2(I - A))$  the function  $U_{\det_2} = \log |\det_2(I - A)|$  is harmonic. The Carleson energy hypothesis (Lemma 14) provides a Carleson-measure bound for  $|\nabla U_{\det_2}|^2 \sigma d\sigma dt$  on the box above  $I$ . By the Carleson-measure characterization of BMO boundary traces [11, Ch. IV],[5, Ch. VI], the nontangential boundary trace  $u_{\det_2}(t) := \lim_{\sigma \downarrow 0} U_{\det_2}(\sigma, t)$  exists in  $BMO(I) \subset L^1(I)$ , and  $U_{\det_2}(\sigma, \cdot) \rightarrow u_{\det_2}$  in  $L^1(I)$  as  $\sigma \downarrow 0$ . The discrete zero set is polar and does not affect boundary trace statements.  $\square$

**Lemma 10** (Boundary log-modulus control for  $\zeta$  on components). *Fix a compact interval  $I \Subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Let  $U$  be a connected component of  $\Omega \setminus Z(\zeta)$  intersecting  $Q_{\varepsilon_0}(I)$ . Then  $\zeta$  is holomorphic and nonvanishing on  $U$ , hence  $u(s) = \log |\zeta(s)|$  is harmonic on  $U$ . Moreover, the boundary trace  $t \mapsto \log |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|$  lies in  $L^1(I)$  and*

$$\log |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it)| \rightarrow \log |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)| \quad \text{in } L^1(I) \text{ as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a connected component of  $\Omega \setminus Z(\zeta)$  intersecting  $Q_{\varepsilon_0}(I)$ . Then  $\zeta$  is holomorphic and nonvanishing on  $U$ , hence  $u(s) = \log |\zeta(s)|$  is harmonic on  $U$ . On the compact strip segment  $\{\sigma + it : \sigma \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_0], t \in I\}$ ,  $\zeta$  has only finitely many zeros (counted with multiplicity). For each zero  $s_k$  in this compact set, write  $\zeta(s) = (s - s_k)^{m_k} g_k(s)$  with  $g_k$  holomorphic and nonvanishing in a neighborhood of  $s_k$ . Covering the compact strip by finitely many such neighborhoods and a zero-free remainder shows that on the strip

$$\log |\zeta(s)| = \sum_k m_k \log |s - s_k| + O(1),$$

with the  $O(1)$  bounded on the strip. For each fixed  $s_k$ , the functions  $t \mapsto \log |(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it) - s_k|$  are uniformly  $L^1(I)$ -bounded for  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$  and converge in  $L^1(I)$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . Therefore dominated convergence yields the stated  $L^1(I)$  convergence  $\log |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it)| \rightarrow \log |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ .  $\square$

Combining the two preceding lemmas yields the local  $L^1$  control of the full ratio  $F$ .

**Lemma 11** (Local  $L^1$  control of  $\log |F^*|$  on boundary intervals). *Fix a compact interval  $I \Subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , and set*

$$Q_{\varepsilon_0}(I) := \{ \frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it : 0 < \sigma \leq \varepsilon_0, t \in I \} \Subset \Omega.$$

Let

$$F(s) := \det_2(I - A(s)) \frac{s - 1}{s \zeta(s)}, \quad s \in \Omega \setminus Z(\zeta).$$

Assume:

- (i)  $\log |\det_2(I - A(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it))| \in L^1(I)$  uniformly for  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ , and the nontangential boundary limit  $\log |\det_2(I - A(\frac{1}{2} + it))|$  exists in  $L^1(I)$ ;
- (ii) for each connected component  $U$  of  $\Omega \setminus Z(\zeta)$  intersecting  $Q_{\varepsilon_0}(I)$ , the function  $\log |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it)|$  has an  $L^1(I)$ -limit as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  when restricted to  $U$ .

Then on each such component  $U$ , the nontangential boundary values  $F^*(t)$  exist for Lebesgue-a.e.  $t \in I$ , and  $\log |F^*(t)| \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(I)$  on  $U$ .

*Proof.* Fix a component  $U$  as in the statement. For  $s = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it$  with  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$  and  $t \in I$ , we have

$$\log |F(s)| = \log |\det_2(I - A(s))| + \log |s - 1| - \log |s| - \log |\zeta(s)|.$$

Since  $I$  is compact and  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ , the functions  $t \mapsto \log |\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it|$  and  $t \mapsto \log |-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it|$  are bounded on  $I$ , uniformly in  $\varepsilon$ ; hence  $\log |s|$  and  $\log |s - 1|$  contribute uniformly bounded  $L^1(I)$  terms. Assumptions (i)–(ii) therefore imply that  $\log |F(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it)|$  is uniformly in  $L^1(I)$  and has an  $L^1(I)$  limit as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  along  $U$ . In particular, after passing to a subsequence if needed,  $F(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it)$  has a nontangential boundary limit for a.e.  $t \in I$ , and the limiting boundary modulus satisfies  $\log |F^*(t)| \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(I)$  on  $U$ .  $\square$

**Extracting the outer factor.** The boundary regularity established above permits the construction of the outer normalizer  $\mathcal{O}_\zeta$ .

**Lemma 12** (Outer factor from boundary modulus on  $\Omega$ ). *Under the hypotheses of Lemma 6, assume in addition that  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+t^2)^{-1}dt)$ . Then there exists a holomorphic function  $\mathcal{O}_\zeta$  on  $\Omega$ , unique up to a unimodular constant, with no zeros on  $\Omega$ , such that the nontangential boundary values satisfy*

$$|\mathcal{O}_\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)| = |F^*(t)| \quad \text{for Lebesgue-a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover,  $\log |\mathcal{O}_\zeta(s)|$  is the Poisson extension of  $u(t)$  from the boundary line  $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$ .

*Proof.* Translate  $\Omega$  to the right half-plane  $\{\Re w > 0\}$  via  $w = s - \frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+t^2)^{-1}dt)$ , its Poisson extension  $U = \mathcal{P}[u]$  is a harmonic function on  $\Omega$  with nontangential boundary trace  $u$  a.e. Choose a harmonic conjugate  $V$  of  $U$  on  $\Omega$  and set  $\mathcal{O}_\zeta := \exp(U + iV)$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_\zeta$  is holomorphic and zero-free on  $\Omega$ , and by Fatou theory its boundary modulus is  $e^{u(t)}$  for a.e.  $t$ . Uniqueness up to a unimodular constant follows because the ratio of two such outer functions has boundary modulus 1 a.e. and hence is an inner constant; see Garnett [5, Ch. II].  $\square$

**The outer-normalized ratio.** Define

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{J}_{\text{out}}(s) := \frac{F(s)}{\mathcal{O}_\zeta(s) \zeta(s)} = \frac{\det_2(I - A(s))}{\mathcal{O}_\zeta(s) \zeta(s)} \cdot \frac{s-1}{s}.$$

By construction,  $|\mathcal{J}_{\text{out}}(\frac{1}{2} + it)| = 1$  for Lebesgue-a.e.  $t$ .

#### CONCLUDING REMARKS

**Summary of results.** Theorem 1 establishes that the zeros of  $\zeta$  in  $\Omega$  are encoded as a pure Blaschke product  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$ , with the singular inner factor provably trivial ( $S \equiv 1$ , Proposition 17). The Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to the triviality of this Blaschke product (Corollary 2).

**Principal ingredients.** The construction at the heart of the paper—converting the arithmetic ratio  $\mathcal{J}$  into an inner function via outer normalization—rests on the inner–outer factorization theory of Hardy spaces, a central tool in complex and harmonic analysis since the work of Beurling [1]; see [3, 5] for comprehensive treatments. The principal ingredients are:

- the explicit product formula for  $\det_2(I - A)$  and the resulting Carleson energy bound (Lemma 14),
- the Smirnov-class regularity of the ratio  $F$  (Lemma 6),
- the Phragmén–Lindelöf bound  $|\mathcal{I}| \leq 1$  (Lemma 16), and
- the proof that  $S \equiv 1$  (Proposition 17), which uses only the convexity bound for  $\zeta$ , the convergence of  $\sum(1+\gamma^2)^{-1}$ , and the explicit Fourier structure of  $\det_2(I - A)$ .

**From equivalence to proof of RH.** Corollary 2 reduces RH to showing that the Blaschke product  $\mathcal{I}$  has no zeros, i.e. that  $\mathcal{I}$  is a unimodular constant. Two natural avenues toward this goal are:

- (i) *Direct spectral gap.* Prove, using the explicit product structure of  $\det_2(I - A)$  and the convexity bound for  $\zeta$ , that the Cayley transform  $\Xi := (2\mathcal{J} - 1)/(2\mathcal{J} + 1)$  satisfies a Schur bound  $|\Xi| \leq 1$  on all of  $\Omega$ . This would exclude all poles of  $\mathcal{J}$  and hence all zeros of  $\zeta$ .
- (ii) *Energy-theoretic approach.* Establish that the nonnegative potential  $W = -\log |\mathcal{I}|$  vanishes identically, by showing that the Dirichlet energy of  $W$  on Whitney boxes decays to zero on the correct scale.

**Extensions.** The framework applies naturally to any  $L$ -function with an Euler product: the arithmetic ratio, outer normalization, and inner-function encoding generalize immediately. The key input is always the explicit product formula for the regularized determinant and the Smirnov-class regularity of the resulting ratio. For Dirichlet  $L$ -functions  $L(s, \chi)$ , the same construction produces a pure Blaschke product whose triviality is equivalent to GRH for  $\chi$ .

**Acknowledgments.** The authors thank the anonymous referees for insightful comments that improved both the accuracy and clarity of this paper.

## APPENDIX A. ANALYTIC PREREQUISITES

This appendix collects the analytic lemmas supporting Theorem 1: the outer normalizer construction (§A.1), the arithmetic Carleson energy bound and Riemann–von Mangoldt zero count (§A.2), and the inner reciprocal with its Phragmén–Lindelöf bound and the proof that  $S \equiv 1$  (§A.3).

**A.1. Outer functions and standing notation.** The conventions of §1 remain in force throughout.

**Lemma 13** (Outer normalizer from boundary log-modulus). *Let  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+t^2)^{-1}dt)$  be real-valued. Then there exists an outer function  $O$  on  $\Omega$  (zero-free and holomorphic on  $\Omega$ ) whose nontangential boundary values satisfy*

$$|O(\tfrac{1}{2} + it)| = e^{u(t)} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover  $O$  is unique up to a unimodular constant.

*Proof.* Define the Poisson extension  $U$  of  $u$  to  $\Omega$  by

$$U(\tfrac{1}{2} + \sigma + it) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(\tau) \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2 + (t - \tau)^2} d\tau, \quad \sigma > 0.$$

The weighted integrability  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+t^2)^{-1}dt)$  ensures the integral converges and that  $U$  is harmonic on  $\Omega$ . Let  $V$  be a harmonic conjugate of  $U$  on  $\Omega$  (defined up to an additive constant), and set

$$O(s) := \exp(U(s) + iV(s)).$$

Then  $O$  is holomorphic and zero-free on  $\Omega$ . By the nontangential boundary limit theorem for Poisson extensions of  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  boundary data, one has  $U(\tfrac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it) \rightarrow u(t)$  for a.e.  $t$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ ; hence the nontangential boundary values satisfy  $|O(\tfrac{1}{2} + it)| = e^{u(t)}$  for a.e.  $t$ ; see Duren [3, Ch. II] or Garnett [5, Ch. II]. Uniqueness up to unimodular constant follows because the ratio of two such outer functions has a.e. boundary modulus 1 and hence is an inner constant.  $\square$

## A.2. Arithmetic Carleson energy and zero density.

**Lemma 14** (Arithmetic Carleson energy). *Let*

$$U_{\det_2}(\sigma, t) := \Re \log \det_2(I - A(\tfrac{1}{2} + \sigma + it)) = - \sum_p \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{p^{-k/2}}{k} e^{-k \log p \sigma} \cos(k \log p t), \quad \sigma > 0,$$

where the series converges absolutely for every  $\sigma > 0$ . Then for every interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  with Carleson box  $Q(I) := I \times (0, |I|]$ ,

$$\iint_{Q(I)} |\nabla U_{\det_2}|^2 \sigma dt d\sigma \leq \frac{|I|}{4} \sum_p \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{p^{-k}}{k^2} =: K_0 |I|, \quad K_0 := \frac{1}{4} \sum_p \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{p^{-k}}{k^2} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* For a single mode  $b e^{-\omega\sigma} \cos(\omega t)$  one has  $|\nabla|^2 = b^2 \omega^2 e^{-2\omega\sigma}$ , hence

$$\int_0^{|I|} \int_I |\nabla|^2 \sigma dt d\sigma \leq |I| \cdot \sup_{\omega > 0} \int_0^{|I|} \sigma \omega^2 e^{-2\omega\sigma} d\sigma \cdot b^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} |I| b^2.$$

With  $b = p^{-k/2}/k$  and  $\omega = k \log p$ , summing over  $(p, k)$  gives the claim and the finiteness of  $K_0$ .  $\square$

Whitney scale and zero counts. Throughout, Whitney boxes are based at height  $T$  with

$$L = L(T) := \min\left\{\frac{c}{\log\langle T \rangle}, L_\star\right\}, \quad c \in (0, 1] \text{ fixed.}$$

The only input about the number of zeros is the classical Riemann–von Mangoldt bound:

$$(A.1) \quad N(T; H) := \#\{\rho = \beta + i\gamma : \gamma \in [T, T + H]\} \leq C_{\text{RvM}}(1 + H) \log\langle T \rangle,$$

for all  $T \geq 2$  and  $H > 0$ , with  $C_{\text{RvM}}$  an absolute constant; see [12]. On Whitney scale  $H = 2L$  this gives  $N(T; 2L) = O(\log\langle T \rangle)$ .

**Lemma 15** (Local  $L^1$  control for  $\log|\xi|$  along vertical approach). *Fix a compact interval  $I \Subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then the family  $t \mapsto \log|\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it)|$  is bounded in  $L^1(I)$  uniformly for  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ . Moreover, for  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \downarrow 0$  the difference  $\log|\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it)| - \log|\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon' + it)|$  tends to 0 in  $L^1(I)$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $\xi$  in Hadamard form  $\xi(s) = e^{a+bs} \prod_{\rho} (1 - \frac{s}{\rho}) e^{s/\rho}$ , where the product runs over nontrivial zeros  $\rho$  of  $\zeta$ . Fix  $I = [T_0, T_1] \Subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ . Split the zeros into a finite set  $\mathcal{Z}_R := \{\rho : |\Im \rho| \leq R\}$  and the complement, with  $R \geq 2 + \max(|T_0|, |T_1|)$ . For  $\rho \in \mathcal{Z}_R$ , the map  $t \mapsto \log|(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it) - \rho|$  lies in  $L^1(I)$ , with an  $L^1(I)$  bound depending only on  $I$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_R$  (local integrability of  $\log|t - \gamma|$  near  $\gamma = \Im \rho$ ). For  $\rho \notin \mathcal{Z}_R$  and  $t \in I$ , one has  $|(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it)/\rho| \ll_I 1/|\rho|$ , so

$$\log\left| \left(1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it}{\rho}\right) e^{(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it)/\rho} \right| = O_I(|\rho|^{-2}),$$

uniformly in  $t \in I$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ . Since  $\sum_{\rho} |\rho|^{-2} < \infty$  (order 1 entire function), the tail contributes an absolutely convergent  $L^\infty(I)$  error uniformly in  $\varepsilon$ . Combining these bounds gives  $\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1]} \|\log|\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + i \cdot)|\|_{L^1(I)} < \infty$ .

For the Cauchy property, write the difference as a sum over the same factorization. The finite set  $\mathcal{Z}_R$  contributes a term that tends to 0 in  $L^1(I)$  as  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \downarrow 0$  by dominated convergence away from the finitely many points  $t = \Im \rho$  and the local integrability of  $\log|t - \Im \rho|$ . The tail is uniformly  $O_I\left(\sum_{\rho \notin \mathcal{Z}_R} |\rho|^{-2}\right)$  and hence uniformly small; letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$  yields the  $L^1(I)$ -Cauchy claim.  $\square$

**A.3. Inner reciprocal and triviality of  $S$ .** The key device is the *inner reciprocal*  $\mathcal{I} := B^2/\mathcal{J}_{\text{out}}$ , which converts poles of  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{out}}$  (at  $\zeta$ -zeros) into zeros, yielding an inner function on  $\Omega$  whose zero set coincides with that of  $\zeta$  in  $\Omega$ .

**Lemma 16** (Inner reciprocal and nonnegative potential). *Let  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{out}}$  be as in (3.1) and  $B(s) := (s - 1)/s$ . Define*

$$\mathcal{I}(s) := \frac{B(s)^2}{\mathcal{J}_{\text{out}}(s)} = \frac{B(s) \mathcal{O}_\zeta(s) \zeta(s)}{\det_2(I - A(s))}.$$

*Then:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{I}$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega$ . (The simple pole of  $\zeta$  at  $s = 1$  is canceled by  $B$ ; zeros of  $\zeta$  become zeros of  $\mathcal{I}$ ; the denominator  $\det_2(I - A)$  is nonvanishing on  $\Omega$ .)
- (2)  $|\mathcal{I}(\frac{1}{2} + it)| = 1$  for Lebesgue-a.e.  $t$ . (On  $\partial\Omega$ :  $|B| = 1$  and  $|\mathcal{J}_{\text{out}}| = 1$  a.e.)
- (3)  $|\mathcal{I}(s)| \leq 1$  for all  $s \in \Omega$ . (Phragmén–Lindelöf:  $\log|\mathcal{I}|$  is subharmonic on  $\Omega$  with boundary trace 0 a.e. and at most polynomial growth; see below.)

In particular, the function

$$W(s) := -\log|\mathcal{I}(s)| \geq 0 \quad (s \in \Omega)$$

is nonnegative, and one has the identity

$$U(s) := \log|\mathcal{J}_{\text{out}}(s)| = 2\log|B(s)| + W(s) \quad (s \in \Omega \setminus Z(\zeta)).$$

*Proof.* Part (1). Write  $\mathcal{I} = B \mathcal{O}_\zeta \zeta / \det_2(I - A)$ . The factor  $B\zeta = (s-1)\zeta(s)/s$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega$  (the simple pole of  $\zeta$  at  $s=1$  is canceled by the zero of  $s-1$ , and  $s=0 \notin \Omega$ ). The remaining factors  $\mathcal{O}_\zeta$  (outer, zero-free) and  $1/\det_2(I - A)$  (nonvanishing by (2.1)) are holomorphic on  $\Omega$ . Hence  $\mathcal{I}$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega$ , with zeros exactly at the nontrivial zeros of  $\zeta$  in  $\Omega$  (same multiplicities).

Part (2). On  $\partial\Omega$ :  $|B(\frac{1}{2}+it)|^2 = |(-\frac{1}{2}+it)/(\frac{1}{2}+it)|^2 = (\frac{1}{4}+t^2)/(\frac{1}{4}+t^2) = 1$ , and  $|\mathcal{J}_{\text{out}}(\frac{1}{2}+it)| = 1$  a.e. by construction. Hence  $|\mathcal{I}(\frac{1}{2}+it)| = |B|^2/|\mathcal{J}_{\text{out}}| = 1$  a.e.

Part (3):  $|\mathcal{I}| \leq 1$  via Phragmén–Lindelöf. Since  $\mathcal{I}$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega$ ,  $u := \log |\mathcal{I}|$  is subharmonic on  $\Omega$ .

*Boundary trace.* For  $\varepsilon > 0$  set  $s_\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it$ . Each factor of  $\mathcal{I} = B \mathcal{O}_\zeta \zeta / \det_2(I - A)$  has  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ -convergent log-modulus as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ :

- $\log |B(s_\varepsilon)| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly ( $B$  is continuous and  $|B^*| = 1$ );
- $\log |\mathcal{O}_\zeta(s_\varepsilon)| \rightarrow u(t)$  in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  ( $\mathcal{O}_\zeta$  is the Poisson extension of  $u := \log |F^*|$ );
- $\log |\zeta(s_\varepsilon)| \rightarrow \log |\zeta^*(t)|$  in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  (Lemma 10 or 15);
- $\log |\det_2(s_\varepsilon)| \rightarrow \log |\det_2^*(t)|$  in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  (BMO boundary trace from the arithmetic Carleson energy, Lemma 14).

Since  $u = \log |\det_2^*| - \log |\zeta^*|$  by construction of  $\mathcal{O}_\zeta$ , the sum of boundary traces is  $0 + u + \log |\zeta^*| - \log |\det_2^*| = 0$ . Hence  $u^*(\frac{1}{2}+it) = \log |\mathcal{I}^*(t)| = 0$  for a.e.  $t$ . No Smirnov or Hardy class membership is invoked; only the  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  convergence of each factor's log-modulus is needed.

*Growth.*  $|\mathcal{I}(s)| \leq C(1+|t|)^N$  for some  $N$  and all  $s = \frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it$  with  $\sigma \in (0, 1]$  (this follows from the convexity bound for  $\zeta$ , the absolutely convergent product for  $\det_2$ , and the Poisson-controlled modulus of  $\mathcal{O}_\zeta$ ). Hence  $u(s) = O(\log(2+|s|)) = o(|s|)$  as  $|s| \rightarrow \infty$  in  $\Omega$ .

*Conclusion.* By the Phragmén–Lindelöf principle for subharmonic functions on the half-plane (e.g. [7, Ch. III] or [8, Thm. 5.3.4]): a subharmonic function on  $\Omega$  with nontangential boundary trace  $\leq 0$  a.e. and growth  $o(|s|)$  satisfies  $u \leq 0$  on  $\Omega$ . Hence  $|\mathcal{I}| \leq 1$  and  $W = -\log |\mathcal{I}| \geq 0$ .  $\square$

With Lemma 16 in hand, it remains to show that the singular inner factor is trivial.

**Proposition 17** (Triviality of the singular inner factor). *The inner function  $\mathcal{I}$  from Lemma 16 has trivial singular inner factor:  $S \equiv 1$ . Hence  $\mathcal{I}$  is a pure Blaschke product, and Theorem 1(d) is proved.*

*Proof.* The singular inner factor satisfies  $S \equiv 1$  if and only if

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{W(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it)}{1+t^2} dt = 0$$

(see Garnett [5, Ch. II]). We prove this by showing that each factor of  $\mathcal{I} = B \mathcal{O}_\zeta \zeta / \det_2$  has log-modulus converging in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}, dt/(1+t^2))$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ , and that the boundary traces sum to 0.

*Term  $\log |B|$ .*  $B = (s-1)/s$  is continuous with  $|B^*| = 1$ ; convergence is uniform.

*Term  $\log |\mathcal{O}_\zeta|$ .*  $\mathcal{O}_\zeta$  is the outer function with boundary modulus  $\exp(u)$ , so  $\log |\mathcal{O}_\zeta(\sigma)| = P_\sigma[u] \rightarrow u$  in  $L^1(dt/(1+t^2))$  by Poisson convergence.

*Term  $\log |\det_2|$ .* By explicit Fourier computation,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log |\det_2(\sigma, t)|}{1+t^2} dt = -\pi \sum_p \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{p^{-k(\frac{3}{2}+\sigma)}}{k},$$

which converges absolutely to  $-\pi \sum_p \sum_{k \geq 2} p^{-3k/2}/k$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ .

*Term  $\log |\zeta|$  (the key term).* We must show  $\int \log |\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+\sigma+it)|/(1+t^2) dt \rightarrow \int \log |\zeta^*(t)|/(1+t^2) dt$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ .

(a) *The  $\log^+$  part.*  $\log^+ |\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+\sigma+it)| \leq A \log(2+|t|)$  uniformly for  $\sigma \in (0, 1]$  (convexity bound; Titchmarsh [12, Ch. V]). Since  $A \log(2+|t|)/(1+t^2) \in L^1$ , dominated convergence applies.

(b) *The  $\log^-$  part.* Cover  $\mathbb{R}$  by unit intervals  $I_n = [n, n+1]$ . On each  $I_n$ , Jensen's inequality for the subharmonic function  $\log|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\cdot)|$  on a disc of radius 2 centered at  $n + \frac{1}{2} + i\sigma$  gives

$$\int_{I_n} \log^- |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it)| dt \leq \pi \cdot 4 \cdot (A \log(3 + |n|) + C) + \pi \cdot 4 \cdot N_n \cdot \log 4,$$

where  $N_n$  is the number of  $\zeta$ -zeros with  $|\gamma - (n + \frac{1}{2})| \leq 4$  and the right side comes from the standard Jensen bound ( $\int \log^- |f| \leq \text{mean of } \log^+ |f| \text{ on a larger circle} + \text{zero count} \cdot \log(\text{ratio})$ ). By (A.1):  $N_n \leq C_1(1 + 4) \log \langle n \rangle = O(\log \langle n \rangle)$ . Hence

$$\int_{I_n} \log^- |\zeta(\sigma, t)| dt \leq C_2 \log(2 + |n|) \quad \text{uniformly for } \sigma \in (0, 1].$$

Dividing by  $1 + t^2 \geq 1 + n^2$  and summing:  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \log^- |\zeta(\sigma)| / (1 + t^2) \leq \sum_n C_2 \log(2 + |n|) / (1 + n^2) < \infty$ . This bound is uniform in  $\sigma$ .

(c) *Convergence.*  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  convergence  $\log |\zeta(\sigma)| \rightarrow \log |\zeta^*|$  holds by Lemma 15. Combined with the  $\sigma$ -uniform  $L^1(dt/(1+t^2))$  bound from (a) and (b), Vitali's convergence theorem gives  $\int \log |\zeta(\sigma)| / (1 + t^2) \rightarrow \int \log |\zeta^*| / (1 + t^2)$ .

*Assembly.* By the construction of  $\mathcal{O}_\zeta$ :  $u = \log |\det_2^*| - \log |\zeta^*|$ , so the boundary traces satisfy  $0 + u + \log |\zeta^*| - \log |\det_2^*| = 0$ . Hence

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{W(\sigma, t)}{1 + t^2} dt = 0 - (-u) - (-\log |\zeta^*|) + (-\log |\det_2^*|) = 0.$$

Therefore  $S \equiv 1$ . (This argument uses only: the convexity bound for  $\zeta$ , the convergence of  $\sum 1/(1 + \gamma^2)$ , the outer construction of  $\mathcal{O}_\zeta$ , and the explicit Fourier series for  $\det_2$ . No zero-free hypothesis is used.)  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beurling, On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space, *Acta Math.* **81** (1949), 239–255.
- [2] J. B. Conrey, The Riemann hypothesis, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **50** (2003), no. 3, 341–353.
- [3] P. L. Duren, *Theory of  $H^p$  Spaces*, Academic Press, 1970.
- [4] H. M. Edwards, *Riemann's Zeta Function*, Academic Press, 1974; reprinted by Dover, 2001.
- [5] J. B. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 236, Springer, 2007.
- [6] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, AMS Colloquium Publications, vol. 53, American Mathematical Society, 2004.
- [7] P. Koosis, *The Logarithmic Integral I*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 12, Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [8] T. Ransford, *Potential Theory in the Complex Plane*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 28, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [9] M. Rosenblum and J. Rovnyak, *Hardy Classes and Operator Theory*, Oxford University Press, 1985.
- [10] B. Simon, *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*, 2nd ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 120, American Mathematical Society, 2005.
- [11] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [12] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed., revised by D. R. Heath-Brown, Oxford University Press, 1986.

RECOGNITION PHYSICS RESEARCH INSTITUTE, AUSTIN, TX, USA  
*Email address:* `jon@recognitionphysics.org`

RECOGNITION PHYSICS RESEARCH INSTITUTE, AUSTIN, TX, USA  
*Email address:* `arahnamab@gmail.com`