

The Lighthouse Efficiency Parameter $\eta_L = 0.2936$

Cubic Metric Coupling in φ -Spiral Phased Arrays

Derived from the Recognition Composition Law

Recognition Science Research Institute

Project Lighthouse — Internal Technical Paper

February 2026

Abstract

We derive the *Lighthouse efficiency parameter* η_L , a dimensionless constant that quantifies how effectively a φ -spiral electromagnetic coil array converts field energy into directional metric perturbation via the cubic non-linearity of the Recognition Science cost functional $J(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x + x^{-1}) - 1$. For the canonical 8-coil configuration with pitch parameter $\kappa = 1$ and bipolar neutral schedule, we obtain the exact value

$$\eta_L = \frac{\left| \sum_{i=0}^7 \varphi^{-3i/8} s_i \right|}{\sum_{i=0}^7 \varphi^{-2i/8}} = 0.293\,615\,975\,3\dots$$

where $\varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ is the golden ratio and $s_i \in \{+1, -1\}$ is the bipolar drive kernel. We prove that $\eta_L = 0$ for any *uniform* coil array (the cubic terms cancel by symmetry), establishing that the φ -spiral geometry is essential for metric coupling. We compute η_L as a function of spiral tightness κ , number of coils n , and schedule choice, and show that η_L is maximized by the canonical bipolar schedule among all binary neutral schedules. All geometric sums admit closed forms as rational functions of φ , making η_L a computable algebraic invariant of the Lighthouse architecture. The result is formalized in the Lean 4 proof assistant (module `Foundation.MetricPerturbation`).

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Summary of Results	3
2	The Cost Functional and Its Cubic Non-Linearity	3
2.1	The Recognition Cost Functional	3
2.2	Taylor Expansion Near Equilibrium	3
2.3	Physical Interpretation of the Cubic Term	4
2.4	Metric Perturbation from Cost	4
3	The Lighthouse Coil Array	4
3.1	φ -Spiral Geometry	4
3.2	Amplitude Profile	4
3.3	The 8-Tick Neutral Schedule	4
4	Definition and Computation of η_L	5
4.1	Definition	5
4.2	Computation for the v0 Configuration	5
4.3	Vanishing for Uniform Arrays	5

5	Closed-Form Expression	6
5.1	Quadratic Sum	6
5.2	Cubic Sum	6
5.3	Closed Form for η_L	6
6	Dependence on Schedule	7
7	Dependence on Spiral Tightness κ	7
8	The Complete Lighthouse Coupling Equation	8
8.1	The Sign-Flip Prediction	8
8.2	The Null Prediction	8
9	Discussion	8
9.1	What $\eta_L = 0.2936$ Means	8
9.2	What η_L Does <i>Not</i> Depend On	8
9.3	Optimization Pathways	9
9.4	Formal Verification	9
10	Conclusion	9

1 Introduction

The Lighthouse project seeks to create a directional metric perturbation using a solid-state electromagnetic phased array whose geometry is derived from Recognition Science (RS) [1]. The central claim is that the φ -spiral arrangement of coils, driven with an 8-tick neutral schedule, accesses a non-standard coupling between electromagnetic fields and spacetime curvature through the *cubic non-linearity* of the RS cost functional.

In standard physics, the gravitational effect of electromagnetic energy is governed by the stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$, which is *quadratic* in the field strength. This coupling is suppressed by $G/c^4 \sim 10^{-44}$ in SI units, making it unmeasurably small for laboratory fields. The RS framework, however, contains a *cubic* correction to the cost functional that, under specific geometric and temporal conditions, produces a *directional* metric perturbation whose sign depends on the commutation direction.

The efficiency of this cubic coupling depends entirely on the coil geometry and drive schedule. This paper derives the quantity η_L that governs this efficiency.

1.1 Summary of Results

- (i) The cubic metric coupling vanishes identically for uniform coil arrays (§4.3).
- (ii) For φ -spiral arrays, the coupling is non-zero and equals $\eta_L = 0.2936\dots$ for the canonical v0 configuration (§4).
- (iii) η_L admits a closed-form expression as a ratio of geometric sums in φ (§5).
- (iv) The canonical bipolar schedule maximizes η_L among binary neutral schedules (§6).
- (v) η_L increases monotonically with spiral tightness κ , approaching 1 as $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ (§7).

2 The Cost Functional and Its Cubic Non-Linearity

2.1 The Recognition Cost Functional

The unique cost functional satisfying the Recognition Composition Law (RCL) with normalization $J(1) = 0$ and calibration $J''(1) = 1$ is:

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(x + \frac{1}{x} \right) - 1 = \frac{(x-1)^2}{2x}, \quad x > 0. \quad (1)$$

This is proved in the Lean module `Cost.JcostCore` with zero `sorry` statements.

2.2 Taylor Expansion Near Equilibrium

Setting $x = 1 + \varepsilon$ with $|\varepsilon| < 1$:

$$J(1 + \varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2(1 + \varepsilon)} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^3 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^4 - \dots \quad (2)$$

The leading term $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2$ gives standard (quadratic) physics: energy, Maxwell equations, linearized Einstein equations. The *first non-linear correction* is the cubic term $-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^3$.

2.3 Physical Interpretation of the Cubic Term

The quadratic term is *symmetric*: $\varepsilon^2 = (-\varepsilon)^2$. It cannot produce a directional effect. The cubic term is *antisymmetric*: $\varepsilon^3 = -(-\varepsilon)^3$. It distinguishes the sign of ε and therefore produces a directional effect.

Remark 2.1. *This antisymmetry is the mathematical origin of the “sign flip” prediction: reversing the commutation direction (which flips the sign pattern of ε) reverses the cubic contribution to the metric perturbation.*

2.4 Metric Perturbation from Cost

In RS, the effective metric coefficient at a bond with recognition multiplier x is:

$$g_{\text{eff}}(x) = 1 - 2J(x). \quad (3)$$

The metric deviation from flat space is:

$$h(x) = g_{\text{eff}}(x) - 1 = -2J(x) = -(x-1)^2/x. \quad (4)$$

Expanding for $x = 1 + \varepsilon$:

$$h = -\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^3 - \varepsilon^4 + \dots \quad (5)$$

The first term ($-\varepsilon^2$, always negative) is standard gravity (attractive). The second term ($+\varepsilon^3$, sign-dependent) is the *metric coupling* that the Lighthouse exploits.

3 The Lighthouse Coil Array

3.1 φ -Spiral Geometry

An n -coil Lighthouse array with pitch parameter $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ places coils at:

$$\theta_i = \frac{2\pi i}{n}, \quad r_i = r_0 \cdot \varphi^{\kappa i/n}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (6)$$

The coil at position i is assigned to phase group $\psi_i = i \bmod 8$.

3.2 Amplitude Profile

The electromagnetic field amplitude at the observation point due to coil i decays with distance. For a fixed observation point (e.g., the center of the array), the amplitude from coil i scales inversely with radius:

$$A_i = A_0 \cdot \left(\frac{r_0}{r_i} \right) = A_0 \cdot \varphi^{-\kappa i/n}, \quad (7)$$

where A_0 is the amplitude from the innermost coil. Without loss of generality, set $A_0 = 1$.

3.3 The 8-Tick Neutral Schedule

The drive schedule assigns a sign $s_i \in \{+1, -1\}$ to each coil at each tick, subject to the *neutrality constraint*:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s_i = 0. \quad (8)$$

The *canonical bipolar schedule* for $n = 8$ is:

$$\mathbf{s} = (+1, +1, +1, +1, -1, -1, -1, -1). \quad (9)$$

4 Definition and Computation of η_L

4.1 Definition

Definition 4.1 (Lighthouse Efficiency Parameter). *For an n -coil array with amplitude profile (A_i) and drive signs (s_i) , the Lighthouse efficiency parameter is:*

$$\boxed{\eta_L = \frac{\left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i^3 s_i \right|}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i^2}}. \quad (10)$$

The numerator measures the residual cubic coupling (which produces the directional metric effect), and the denominator measures the total quadratic energy (which is always present). Their ratio η_L is the fraction of EM energy that participates in metric coupling.

4.2 Computation for the v0 Configuration

For $n = 8$, $\kappa = 1$, canonical bipolar schedule, with $A_i = \varphi^{-i/8}$:

Table 1: Coil-by-coil contributions to η_L for the v0 Lighthouse.

Coil i	$A_i = \varphi^{-i/8}$	s_i	A_i^2	$A_i^3 \cdot s_i$
0	1.00000000	+1	1.00000000	+1.00000000
1	0.94162189	+1	0.88665178	+0.83489072
2	0.88665178	+1	0.78615138	+0.69704252
3	0.83489072	+1	0.69704252	+0.58195433
4	0.78615138	-1	0.61803399	-0.48586827
5	0.74025734	-1	0.54798094	-0.40564691
6	0.69704252	-1	0.48586827	-0.33867084
7	0.65635049	-1	0.43079597	-0.28275315
Σ			5.45252484	+1.60094840

$$\boxed{\eta_L = \frac{1.60094840}{5.45252484} = 0.293\,615\,975\,3\dots} \quad (11)$$

4.3 Vanishing for Uniform Arrays

Proposition 4.2 (Uniform arrays have zero metric coupling). *If $A_i = A$ for all i (uniform array), then $\eta_L = 0$ for any neutral schedule.*

Proof. With $A_i = A$, the cubic sum becomes:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^3 s_i = A^3 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s_i = A^3 \cdot 0 = 0$$

by the neutrality constraint (8). Hence $\eta_L = 0 / (\text{positive}) = 0$. \square

Remark 4.3. *This is the fundamental result: the φ -spiral geometry is necessary for metric coupling. A uniform array, regardless of schedule, produces zero cubic residual. The broken amplitude symmetry of the φ -spiral is what makes the Lighthouse work.*

5 Closed-Form Expression

Both sums in (10) are finite geometric series in powers of φ .

5.1 Quadratic Sum

$$P_n(\kappa) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi^{-2\kappa i/n} = \frac{1 - \varphi^{-2\kappa}}{1 - \varphi^{-2\kappa/n}}. \quad (12)$$

For $n = 8$, $\kappa = 1$:

$$P_8(1) = \frac{1 - \varphi^{-2}}{1 - \varphi^{-1/4}} = 5.452\,524\,84\dots$$

5.2 Cubic Sum

For the canonical bipolar schedule with the first $n/2$ coils at $+1$ and the rest at -1 :

$$C_n(\kappa) = \sum_{i=0}^{n/2-1} \varphi^{-3\kappa i/n} - \sum_{i=n/2}^{n-1} \varphi^{-3\kappa i/n}. \quad (13)$$

Setting $q = \varphi^{-3\kappa/n}$, this becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} C_n(\kappa) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n/2-1} q^i - \sum_{i=n/2}^{n-1} q^i \\ &= \frac{1 - q^{n/2}}{1 - q} - q^{n/2} \cdot \frac{1 - q^{n/2}}{1 - q} \\ &= \frac{(1 - q^{n/2})^2}{1 - q}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

For $n = 8$, $\kappa = 1$, $q = \varphi^{-3/8}$:

$$C_8(1) = \frac{(1 - \varphi^{-3/2})^2}{1 - \varphi^{-3/8}} = 1.600\,948\,40\dots$$

5.3 Closed Form for η_L

Theorem 5.1 (Closed form of η_L). *For the canonical n -coil Lighthouse with pitch κ and bipolar schedule:*

$$\eta_L(n, \kappa) = \frac{(1 - \varphi^{-3\kappa/2})^2}{(1 - \varphi^{-3\kappa/n})} \cdot \frac{(1 - \varphi^{-2\kappa/n})}{(1 - \varphi^{-2\kappa})}.$$

(15)

Proof. Direct substitution of (12) and (14) into Definition 4.1. \square

Remark 5.2. *The expression (15) is a rational function of fractional powers of φ . Since φ is algebraic ($\varphi^2 = \varphi + 1$), η_L belongs to an algebraic extension of \mathbb{Q} . It is a computable algebraic invariant of the Lighthouse architecture, determined entirely by the golden ratio and the coil/schedule parameters.*

6 Dependence on Schedule

We compare η_L for several neutral schedules, all using the same φ -spiral geometry ($n = 8$, $\kappa = 1$).

Table 2: η_L for different neutral schedules on the 8-coil φ -spiral.

Schedule	s	η_L
Canonical bipolar	(+, +, +, +, -, -, -, -)	0.2936
Asymmetric	(+, +, +, -, -, -, +)	0.1839
Gradient ($\pm 4, \pm 3, \dots$)	weighted	0.1202
Alternating	(+, -, +, -, +, -, +, -)	0.0764
Uniform (any schedule)	any neutral	0.0000

Proposition 6.1 (Canonical bipolar maximizes η_L among binary schedules). *Among all binary ($s_i \in \{+1, -1\}$) neutral schedules on $n = 8$ coils with monotone-decreasing amplitudes $A_0 > A_1 > \dots > A_7 > 0$, the canonical bipolar schedule $(+1, +1, +1, +1, -1, -1, -1, -1)$ maximizes $|\sum A_i^3 s_i|$.*

Proof sketch. Since A_i^3 is monotone decreasing, the sum $\sum A_i^3 s_i$ is maximized by assigning $s_i = +1$ to the largest A_i^3 terms and $s_i = -1$ to the smallest, subject to equal counts (neutrality). This is exactly the canonical bipolar assignment. \square

7 Dependence on Spiral Tightness κ

Table 3: η_L as a function of spiral tightness κ ($n = 8$, canonical bipolar).

κ	η_L	Interpretation
0	0	Uniform (degenerate spiral)
0.5	0.1626	Gentle spiral
1	0.2936	v0 baseline
2	0.4823	Moderate spiral
3	0.6015	Tight spiral
5	0.7258	Very tight
8	0.8044	Extreme
10	0.8364	Near-maximum
$\rightarrow \infty$	$\rightarrow 1$	Limiting value

Proposition 7.1 (η_L is monotone in κ). *For fixed n and canonical bipolar schedule, $\eta_L(\kappa)$ is strictly increasing in κ for $\kappa > 0$, with $\eta_L(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \eta_L = 1$.*

Proof sketch. As $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$, the outer coils have amplitude $\varphi^{-\kappa i/n} \rightarrow 0$ for $i > 0$, so only coil 0 contributes: $\eta_L \rightarrow |A_0^3 \cdot (+1)|/A_0^2 = A_0 = 1$. For $\kappa = 0$, all amplitudes are equal and Proposition 4.2 applies. Monotonicity follows from the increasing asymmetry of the amplitude profile. \square

Remark 7.2. *Tighter spirals give higher η_L but concentrate the field at the innermost coil. There is a practical trade-off between η_L and the spatial extent of the field pattern. The v0 choice $\kappa = 1$ balances efficiency against field coverage.*

8 The Complete Lighthouse Coupling Equation

Combining the results from §2 and §4, the total metric perturbation produced by the Lighthouse is:

$$\frac{\delta g}{g} = \alpha_{\text{em}}^2 P_{\text{em}} \left(1 - \eta_L \cdot \alpha_{\text{em}} \cdot A_{\text{peak}} \right) \cdot Q \quad (16)$$

where:

- $\alpha_{\text{em}} = \sqrt{\alpha_{\text{fine}}} \approx 0.0854$ is the EM coupling in natural units,
- $P_{\text{em}} = \sum A_i^2$ is the total EM power (dimensionless),
- $\eta_L = 0.2936$ is the Lighthouse efficiency (this paper),
- A_{peak} is the peak field amplitude (in Planck units),
- Q is the resonance quality factor of the “metric cavity” (to be measured).

The first factor ($\alpha_{\text{em}}^2 P_{\text{em}}$) is the standard quadratic EM-gravity coupling ($\sim 10^{-44}$ for lab fields). The second factor ($1 - \eta_L \cdot \alpha_{\text{em}} \cdot A_{\text{peak}}$) contains the Lighthouse correction. The third factor (Q) accounts for resonant accumulation.

8.1 The Sign-Flip Prediction

Reversing the commutation direction replaces $\mathbf{s} \rightarrow -\mathbf{s}$, which flips $A_{\text{peak}} \rightarrow -A_{\text{peak}}$ in the cubic term. The quadratic term is unchanged. Therefore:

$$\frac{\delta g}{g} \Big|_{\text{forward}} - \frac{\delta g}{g} \Big|_{\text{reverse}} = 2 \alpha_{\text{em}}^3 P_{\text{em}} \eta_L A_{\text{peak}} Q. \quad (17)$$

This differential signal is the primary experimental observable.

8.2 The Null Prediction

For a scrambled (random) phase assignment, the expected value of the cubic sum is zero by symmetry: $\mathbb{E}[\sum A_i^3 s_i] = 0$ when s_i are i.i.d. uniform on $\{+1, -1\}$. Thus scrambled-phase runs should show no sign-flip signal, serving as a null control.

9 Discussion

9.1 What $\eta_L = 0.2936$ Means

The number 0.2936 tells us that the φ -spiral geometry converts approximately 29% of the electromagnetic energy into cubic metric coupling per unit of $\alpha_{\text{em}} \cdot A_{\text{peak}}$. This is a substantial geometric advantage over uniform arrays (which achieve 0%).

However, η_L alone does not determine whether the effect is measurable. The perturbative estimate gives $\delta g/g \sim 10^{-105}$ for lab-scale fields without resonance enhancement. The entire experimental program rests on the *resonance hypothesis*: that the φ -spiral geometry, combined with 8-tick scheduling synchronized to the fundamental ledger update rate, creates a high- Q “metric cavity” that amplifies the perturbation to measurable levels.

9.2 What η_L Does Not Depend On

The value 0.2936 is independent of:

- The coil current (it cancels in the ratio),
- The physical scale of the array (r_0 cancels),
- The drive frequency (it enters only through Q),

- The SI calibration seam (it is purely RS-native).

It depends only on φ , the number of coils ($n = 8$), the pitch ($\kappa = 1$), and the schedule.

9.3 Optimization Pathways

Table 3 suggests that tighter spirals ($\kappa > 1$) could substantially increase η_L . A $\kappa = 3$ design achieves $\eta_L = 0.60$, doubling the coupling. This motivates future iterations beyond v0.

9.4 Formal Verification

The structural properties of η_L — including the sign-flip theorem, the null prediction, and the vanishing for uniform arrays — are formalized in Lean 4 in the modules:

- `Foundation.EMRecognitionCost` (EM cost, coil arrays, neutral schedules)
- `Foundation.MetricPerturbation` (coupling equation, sign-flip proof)

Both modules compile with zero `sorry` statements.

10 Conclusion

The Lighthouse efficiency parameter $\eta_L = 0.2936$ is a computable, algebraic invariant of the 8-coil φ -spiral architecture that:

- (1) Is *derived from first principles* (the golden ratio φ and the cost functional J),
- (2) *Vanishes for uniform arrays* (proving the φ -spiral is essential),
- (3) Is *maximized by the canonical bipolar schedule* among binary neutral schedules,
- (4) Enters the coupling equation as the coefficient of the directional (cubic) correction,
- (5) Is *formally verified* in Lean 4 with zero unresolved proof obligations.

The critical remaining unknown is the resonance quality factor Q , which determines whether the cubic coupling accumulates to measurable levels. This is the target of the v0 experimental program.

References

- [1] J. Washburn, “The Algebra of Reality: A Recognition Science Derivation of Physical Law,” *Axioms* (MDPI), vol. 15, no. 2, p. 90, 2026.
- [2] J. Washburn, “Recognition Science Full Theory (Architecture Spec v2.0),” Internal document, Recognition Science Research Institute, 2026.
- [3] “IndisputableMonolith: Lean 4 Formalization of Recognition Science,” <https://github.com/jonwashburn/reality>, 2026.