

FINAL FIXES AND HONEST STATUS OF THE ZERO-FREE REGION PROOF

TECHNICAL COMPANION TO PAPER1_ZEROZETA-V19

1. FIXES IMPLEMENTED IN THIS ROUND

1.1. Fix A: “holomorphic and nonvanishing” for harmonicity. Complaint: The proof claimed $\log |\mathcal{J}_{\text{neut}}|$ is harmonic because $\mathcal{J}_{\text{neut}}$ is holomorphic, but holomorphic alone doesn’t imply harmonicity of the log-modulus (zeros reintroduce singularities).

Fix: The theorem proof now explicitly states that $\mathcal{J}_{\text{neut}}$ is *holomorphic and nonvanishing* on D , with a sentence explaining why: the poles of \mathcal{J}_{out} are exactly canceled (with multiplicity) by the zeros of B_{box} , and \mathcal{J}_{out} has no zeros in D (the only zero at $s = 1$ lies outside D for large t_0).

1.2. Fix B: Proposition restated for neutralized energy. Complaint: The proposition’s headline bound was for $E(I) = \iint |\nabla \log |\mathcal{J}_{\text{out}}||^2 \sigma$, which is *infinite* if there are poles in the box.

Fix: The proposition now defines and bounds $E_{\text{neut}}(I)$, the energy of the *neutralized harmonic function* $\log |\mathcal{J}_{\text{neut}}| = 2 \log |B| + \widetilde{W}$. The infinite-energy near-Blaschke singularities are explicitly excluded from the bound.

1.3. Fix C: Constant notation. Complaint: The proposition stated $C(\alpha', c)$ but the theorem proof treated it as $C(\alpha')$ independent of c .

Fix: The proposition now states $C(\alpha')$ throughout, with an explicit note that independence from c comes from the L -cancellation in the Poisson integral.

1.4. Fix D: B_{box} definition and multiplicities. Complaint: B_{box} was described as “zeros with ordinate in D ” without specifying both coordinates or multiplicities.

Fix: The theorem proof now says “the zeros of ζ with ordinate in D (i.e. $|\gamma - \gamma_0| \leq \alpha''L$ and $\beta > 1/2$)” and states that each Blaschke factor cancels the corresponding pole “with multiplicity.”

2. THE REMAINING OPEN STEP: THE SINGULAR INNER FACTOR

2.1. What was claimed before. Previous versions claimed the singular inner factor S of the inner reciprocal $\mathcal{I} = B^2/\mathcal{J}_{\text{out}}$ could be controlled by the pointwise bound $-\log |S| \leq W \leq N \log(2+|t|) + C$.

2.2. Why this is insufficient. The bound $W(s) \leq N \log(2+|t|) + C$ at fixed height $\sigma > 0$ relies on a polynomial lower bound for $|\mathcal{I}(s)|$, which in turn requires a lower bound on $|\zeta(1/2 + \sigma + it)|$. For *fixed* σ , such bounds exist (Hadamard product + zero repulsion). But on the Whitney schedule $\sigma = \alpha''L = \alpha''c_0/\log^2 t_0 \rightarrow 0$, the exponent $N(\sigma)$ degrades (grows as $1/\sigma$), and the resulting bound on M picks up an extra factor of $\log \langle t_0 \rangle$.

Concretely: the singular measure ν_S has uniformly bounded mass $\nu_S([t_0 - 1, t_0 + 1]) \leq \nu_* < \infty$ (from the bounded values of W at $\Re s = 3/2$). The Poisson integral at height $\sigma = \alpha''L$ is $P_\sigma[\nu_{S, \text{near}}] \leq \nu_*/(\pi \alpha''L) = O(\log \langle t_0 \rangle / c)$. With $c = c_0/\log$: this is $O(\log^2 / c_0)$, adding one uncancelable log to M .

The energy then grows as $M^2|I| = O(\log^4 / c_0^2 \cdot c_0/\log^2) = O(\log^2 / c_0)$, and $\sqrt{E} \cdot L = O(\sqrt{c_0}/\log)$ while the lower bound is $O(c_0/\log^2)$. The ratio Upper/Lower = $O(\log / \sqrt{c_0}) \rightarrow \infty$.

2.3. What would close the proof. The proof is complete **if** $S \equiv 1$ (equivalently $\nu_S = 0$). Under this condition:

- $M = O(\log\langle t_0 \rangle)$ with constant independent of c .
- $E_{\text{neut}} = O(c_0)$ (height-independent after the $c = c_0/\log$ trick).
- Ratio Upper/Lower $= A\sqrt{c_0}/11 < 1$ for $c_0 < (11/A)^2/2$. Contradiction. ✓

Three potential routes to establishing $S \equiv 1$:

- (1) Show that the boundary log-modulus limits of each factor of \mathcal{I} converge in $L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+t^2)^{-1}dt)$ (not just L^1_{loc}), and that the sum converges to 0 in that weighted sense. This would give $\int W(\sigma, \cdot)/(1+t^2) dt \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$, which is the standard criterion for $S \equiv 1$.
- (2) Show that $(s-1)\zeta(s)/s$ restricted to Ω belongs to the *Cartwright class* (entire of exponential type with \log^+ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+t^2)^{-1}dt)$), which implies its inner factor is a pure Blaschke product with no singular part (Krein/Koosis theory).
- (3) Bound the singular measure ν_S directly from the explicit formula for $\mathcal{I} = B\mathcal{O}_\zeta\zeta/\det_2$, using the Carleson energy of \det_2 and the boundary trace properties of ζ .

3. CURRENT STATE OF THE PROOF

Component	Status	Unconditional?
Inner reciprocal \mathcal{I} , $ \mathcal{I} \leq 1$	Proved	Yes
Neutralized ratio $\mathcal{J}_{\text{neut}}$	Proved	Yes
Phase-velocity lower bound	Proved	Yes
CR–Green on harmonic $\log \mathcal{J}_{\text{neut}} $	Proved	Yes
Blaschke-tail energy bound ($S \equiv 1$ case)	Proved	Yes
$c = c_0/\log$ cancellation algebra	Proved	Yes
Contradiction ($S \equiv 1$ case)	Proved	Yes
Singular inner factor $S \equiv 1$	Open	—

The paper’s Theorem 1 is proved **conditional on** $S \equiv 1$. Establishing $S \equiv 1$ would make the proof fully unconditional.