

# Foundational Axioms of Recognition Science and a Proof of Consistent Existence

Jonathan Washburn

May 6, 2025

## Abstract

We establish the mathematical bedrock of *Recognition Science* by stating four axioms—**A0** (existence of elementary recognition cells), **A1** (dual recognition between observer and observed), **P2** (minimal overhead in information flow), and **S** (exact self-similarity across scales)—and proving that the set is free of internal contradiction.

Minimal-overhead considerations single out a parameter-free *dual-log* cost functional

$$J_{\text{phys}}(q) = \frac{1+q}{1-q} + \kappa \frac{q^{-1}-q}{1+q^{-1}}, \quad \kappa = \frac{2}{(1-\varphi/\pi)^2},$$

whose derivative changes sign exactly once on  $0 < q < 1$ . The unique stationary point  $q_* = \varphi/\pi \approx 0.515036214$  is *independent of ultraviolet or infrared regulators*, and a classical Sturm–Liouville argument shows that it is the global minimum of  $J_{\text{phys}}$ .

We then construct an explicit logarithmic-spiral lattice of bidirectional Boolean links that realises all four axioms and attains this minimum, thereby fixing the absolute recognition length  $\lambda_{\text{rec}}$ . Via the causal-diamond entropy identity, that same scale determines Newton’s constant at the recognition scale, and one-loop vacuum polarisation transports the value to its laboratory magnitude without introducing additional parameters. Consequently, every downstream prediction—Planck units, vacuum energy, and the Riemann-operator slope  $k_* = 2\varphi/\pi$ —flows from the single dimensionless ratio  $q_*$ .

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Physical Motivation and Scientific Scope

**Recognition Science** is an information-centric programme that seeks a common microscopic explanation for three empirical facts:

- (i) *Finite information density.* Relativistic quantum fields store at most one bit per Compton volume  $\lambda_C^3$  before back-reaction becomes dominant.
- (ii) *Bidirectional causal influence.* Every detector is also an emitter; no physical interaction is strictly one-way. A discrete theory must encode this reciprocity locally.
- (iii) *Hierarchical self-similarity.* Pattern-length data display log-periodic plateaux whose ratios converge to the golden ratio  $\varphi$ , suggesting that any fundamental lattice should admit a dilation symmetry generated by  $\varphi$ .

The four axioms introduced below translate these clues into precise requirements:

- A0** density bound (“no empty causal diamonds”)
- A1** local recognition charge = 0 (information-flux balance)
- P2** minimum Landauer cost, one bit per link
- S** exact  $\varphi$ -dilation symmetry of the lattice

When these axioms hold simultaneously, the theory predicts a *single* dimensionless scale  $q = \varphi/\pi$  and a corresponding length  $\lambda_{\text{rec}} \sim 10^{-35}$  m. Subsequent work shows that  $\lambda_{\text{rec}}$  feeds into a ghost-free gravitational action and fixes gauge couplings at that scale; the present manuscript focuses purely on the logical backbone.

## 1.2 Relation to Established Axiom Frameworks

	Causal Sets	Regge Calculus	Recognition Science
Primitive objects	Events	Simplices	Recognition cells $C_n$
Connectivity rule	Transitive closure	Piecewise-flat gluing	Boolean bidirectional links
Scale symmetry	None	None	Exact $\mathcal{D}_\varphi$
Variational principle	None	Regge action	Minimal overhead $J$
Flux neutrality	Not enforced	Not defined	$\sigma_{n,n+1} + \sigma_{n,n-1} = 0$
Continuum recovery	Poisson sprinkling	$\ell \rightarrow 0$ limit	Fixed, finite $\lambda_{\text{rec}}$

The bidirectional Boolean structure has no analogue in causal sets or Regge simplices, and the strict  $\varphi$ -scaling is absent in both. Conversely, Recognition Science inherits measure-theoretic discipline from continuum axioms and discrete geometric intuition from Regge calculus, positioning itself as a hybrid framework.

## 1.3 Preview of Main Results

**Theorem 1.1** (Compatibility). *The axiom set  $\{\mathbf{A}0, \mathbf{A}1, \mathbf{P}2, \mathbf{S}\}$  is mutually non-contradictory.*

**Theorem 1.2** (Existence and Minimal Overhead). *There exists a logarithmic-spiral configuration of recognition cells and bidirectional Boolean links that*

1. *satisfies all four axioms, and*
2. *globally minimises the parameter-free dual-log cost*

$$J_{\text{phys}}(q) = \frac{1+q}{1-q} + \kappa \frac{q^{-1}-q}{1+q^{-1}}, \quad \kappa := \frac{2}{(1-\varphi/\pi)^2}.$$

*Consequently the intrinsic recognition scale is fixed to the golden-ratio value  $q_* = \varphi/\pi$ .*

The proof rests on four analytic building blocks:

- **Lemma 1 (Evenness).** Bidirectional symmetry forces the cost to be an even function of  $\ln q$ .
- **Lemma 2 (Regulator constraint).** Self-similarity restricts admissible UV/IR regulators to affine-shift-equivalent forms; the dual-log regulator is the unique minimal deformation compatible with this constraint.
- **Proposition 1 (Unique minimum).** For every admissible regulator the derivative  $\partial_q J_\lambda$  has exactly one zero in  $0 < q < 1$ ; this stationary point is a strict global minimum.
- **Corollary 1 (Golden-ratio scale).** Removing the regulators leaves the stationary point untouched and pins it to  $q_* = \varphi/\pi \approx 0.515036214$ .

A constructive logarithmic-spiral lattice with link orientations  $\sigma_{n,n+1} = +1$ ,  $\sigma_{n,n-1} = -1$  realises both the compatibility theorem and the global minimum. Imposing a horizon-tiling constraint then fixes the absolute recognition length  $\lambda_{\text{rec}}$ ; all downstream constants—Newton’s constant, Planck units, and the Riemann-operator slope—inherit this regulator-independent scale without additional free parameters.

## 1.4 Notation Summary

Symbol	Meaning
$\varphi$	Golden ratio $(1 + \sqrt{5})/2$
$q$	Dimensionless scale parameter, fixed to $\varphi/\pi$
$\lambda_{\text{rec}}$	Recognition length
$C_n$	Recognition cell indexed by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
$\sigma_{n,n\pm 1}$	Boolean state of link $(n \rightarrow n \pm 1)$
$\mathcal{D}_\varphi$	Dilation $x \mapsto \varphi x$ on $\mathbb{R}^4$
$J_{s,\varepsilon}(q)$	Regulated cost functional
$s, \varepsilon$	Zeta and heat-kernel regulator parameters
$\text{Li}_\nu(z)$	Polylogarithm of order $\nu$
$\text{Ei}(-x)$	Exponential integral

## 2 Mathematical Preliminaries

### 2.1 Ordered Set of Recognition Events

Let  $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{Z}$  be the set of integer *event labels*. Each  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  corresponds to a *recognition event*, the elementary ‘‘tick’’ in Recognition Science, with the natural order  $n < m$  meaning  $n$  precedes  $m$ . Because  $\mathcal{N}$  is countable, summations and products over events are well defined without any continuum limit.

### 2.2 Recognition Cells

For every label  $n$  assign a compact region  $C_n \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ , the *recognition cell*, such that

- (i)  $\text{diam } C_n = \lambda_{\text{rec}}$ , a fixed *recognition length*;
- (ii)  $C_n \cap C_m = \emptyset$  for  $n \neq m$ ;
- (iii) If  $n < m$  then every  $x \in C_n$  lies in the causal past of every  $y \in C_m$ .

Thus  $\{C_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  forms a discrete, globally ordered foliation of Minkowski space with uniform cell diameter  $\lambda_{\text{rec}}$ . Later sections derive  $\lambda_{\text{rec}}$  from the axioms; for the moment it is an unspecified positive constant.

### 2.3 Bidirectional Links and Boolean States

Each nearest-neighbour pair  $(C_n, C_{n\pm 1})$  is connected by a directed *recognition link* carrying a Boolean state  $\sigma_{n,n\pm 1} \in \{+1, -1\}$ . Enforcing

$$\sigma_{n,n+1} + \sigma_{n,n-1} = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

implements Axiom **A1**: every incoming positive link is matched by an outgoing negative partner.

### 2.4 Dilation Operator

Define the global dilation  $\mathcal{D}_\varphi : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ ,  $r \mapsto \varphi r$ , where  $\varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  is the golden ratio. Self-similarity (Axiom **S**) demands  $\mathcal{D}_\varphi(C_n) = C_{n+1}$ . Iterating  $k$  times gives  $\mathcal{D}_\varphi^k(C_n) = C_{n+k}$ .

## 2.5 Special-Function Identities

Two special functions recur in later proofs.

**Polylogarithm.** For  $|z| < 1$  and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\text{Li}_s(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^s},$$

with analytic continuation via the integral

$$\text{Li}_s(z) = \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^t/z - 1} dt,$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the Hankel contour.

**Exponential integral.** For  $x > 0$

$$\text{Ei}(-x) = -\int_x^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt, \quad \text{Ei}(-x) = \gamma + \ln x + \mathcal{O}(x) \quad (x \rightarrow 0^+),$$

with Euler–Mascheroni constant  $\gamma$ . They satisfy  $\frac{d}{dx} \text{Ei}(-x) = -e^{-x}/x$  and  $\frac{d}{dz} \text{Li}_s(z) = \text{Li}_{s-1}(z)/z$ .

These identities underpin the regulator-independence proofs in Secs. 4–??.

## 3 The Four Axioms

### 3.1 Axiom A0 — Existence

**Statement.** Let  $D(p, q) := J^+(p) \cap J^-(q) \subset \mathbb{R}^4$  be a causal diamond generated by two events  $p \prec q$  in Minkowski space, with finite four-volume  $\text{Vol}(D) < \infty$ . Then at least one recognition cell  $C_n$  lies entirely inside  $D(p, q)$ .

**Definitions and notation.**

- $J^+(p)$  ( $J^-(q)$ ) is the causal future (past) of an event under the Minkowski metric  $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-, +, +, +)$ .
- Finite spacetime volume means  $\text{Vol}(D) := \int_D d^4x < \infty$ , measured with the Lebesgue measure.
- Recognition cells  $\{C_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  are the non-overlapping diameter- $\lambda_{\text{rec}}$  regions defined in Section 2.

**Discussion.** Axiom A0 is a minimal information principle: every bounded causal region must encode at least one Boolean “recognition event.” Because the cell diameter is fixed, A0 is equivalent to a lower bound on spatial density:

$$n(x) := \sum_n \chi_{C_n}(x) \geq \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(D_{\max})},$$

for all diamonds  $D_{\max}$  of volume  $\lambda_{\text{rec}}^4$ . No upper bound is implied; multiple cells may occupy the same diamond, and later axioms will fix the actual density via cost minimisation.

### Immediate consequences.

1. *Non-emptiness of causal sets.* For any timelike curve  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$  there exists a partition  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = 1$  such that each sub-diamond  $D(\gamma(t_i), \gamma(t_{i+1}))$  contains at least one  $C_n$ .
2. *Bound on link length.* If  $C_n, C_m \subset D(p, q)$  then for any  $x \in C_n, y \in C_m$  the timelike separation satisfies  $\|x - y\| \leq \text{diam } D(p, q)$ .

The remaining axioms (**A1**, **P2**, **S**) will specify how many cells may occupy a given diamond and how they are linked.

### 3.2 Axiom A1 — Dual Recognition

**Statement.** For every event label  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  the Boolean states of the two nearest-neighbour links satisfy

$$\sigma_{n,n+1} + \sigma_{n,n-1} = 0, \quad \sigma_{n,n\pm 1} \in \{+1, -1\}.$$

Equivalently, a “forward” link  $(n \rightarrow n+1)$  in state  $+1$  is always paired with the “backward” link  $(n \rightarrow n-1)$  in state  $-1$ , and vice versa.

**Interpretation.** A recognition event cannot occur in isolation: perception of  $C_{n+1}$  by  $C_n$  is accompanied by perception of  $C_{n-1}$  by the same cell. Each site therefore carries zero net “recognition charge.”

### Algebraic consequences.

1. *Evenness of the cost functional.* Since  $\sigma_{n,n+1} = -\sigma_{n,n-1}$ , the global cost  $J(q) = \sum_n \sigma_{n,n+1} q^n$  is even under  $\ln q \mapsto -\ln q$ .
2. *Cancellation of odd moments.* For odd  $k$  the sum  $\sum_n n^k \sigma_{n,n+1}$  vanishes.
3. *Zero net flux.* With discrete current  $j_n = \sigma_{n,n+1} - \sigma_{n,n-1}$ , A1 gives  $j_n = 0$  for all  $n$ ; the lattice is divergence-free.

**Graph-theoretic view.** Let  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  be the directed graph with  $V = \{C_n\}$  and  $E = \{(C_n, C_{n\pm 1})\}$ . A1 forces every vertex to have in-degree = 1 and out-degree = 1;  $\mathcal{G}$  decomposes into disjoint oriented 2-cycles.

**Role in later theorems.** A1 ensures finiteness of  $J(q)$  when combined with self-similarity (Section ??) and guarantees the spiral lattice used in the existence proof (Section ??) is locally neutral.

### 3.3 Axiom P2 — Minimal Overhead

**Statement.** For  $s > -3$  and  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  define the regulated cost functional

$$J_{s,\varepsilon}(q) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n|^s (q^n + q^{-n}) e^{-\varepsilon|n|}, \quad 0 < q < 1.$$

The physical scale  $q$  is the *unique* value that globally minimises  $J_{s,\varepsilon}(q)$  for *every* admissible regulator pair  $(s, \varepsilon)$ . Taking the limit  $s \rightarrow 0, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  yields

$$q_{\min} = \frac{\varphi}{\pi} \approx 0.515036214, \quad J(q_{\min}) = \frac{1 + q_{\min}}{1 - q_{\min}} < \infty.$$

### Remarks.

1. The factors  $|n|^s$  and  $e^{-\varepsilon|n|}$  encompass zeta-, Pauli–Villars-, and heat-kernel regulators; demanding minimality under *all* schemes forbids fine-tuning.
2. Analytic continuation makes  $q_{\min}$  a regulator-independent observable (see Section 4).
3. Numerically  $q_{\min} < \frac{1}{2}$  ensures absolute convergence of the unregulated series.

**Physical interpretation.** P2 selects the densest bidirectional lattice consistent with A0. Any link flip or scale change  $q \rightarrow q' \neq q_{\min}$  raises the total information cost, establishing a variational principle that fixes both cell density and golden-ratio spacing.

**Forthcoming proof.** Section 4 shows  $\partial_q J_{s,\varepsilon} = 0$  has a single solution in  $0 < q < 1$  with  $\partial_q^2 J_{s,\varepsilon} > 0$ , establishing global minimality; Appendix A exhibits a spiral lattice that saturates this bound.

### 3.4 Axiom S — Self-Similarity

**Statement.** Let  $\mathcal{D}_\varphi : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$  be the global dilation  $\mathcal{D}_\varphi(x) = \varphi x$  with  $\varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ . The recognition cells are invariant under this map:

$$\boxed{\mathcal{D}_\varphi(C_n) = C_{n+1}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.}$$

#### Immediate consequences.

1. *Logarithmic spiral.* Choosing a point  $x_n \in C_n$  yields  $x_n = x_0 \varphi^n$ ; the lattice traces a spiral.
2. *Scale covariance of  $J_{s,\varepsilon}$ .* For any regulator pair  $(s, \varepsilon)$ , dilating all indices by  $n \mapsto n + 1$  gives  $J_{s,\varepsilon}(\varphi q) = J_{s,\varepsilon}(q) + \text{const}$ , so only dimensionless combinations such as  $q$  and  $\lambda_{\text{rec}} \varphi^{-n}$  appear in observables.
3. *Discrete symmetry group.* Because  $\varphi$  is irrational relative to any root of unity, the subgroup generated by  $\mathcal{D}_\varphi$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ ; no finer invariant sub-lattice exists.

**Role in the overall structure.** Self-similarity locks the spacing of cells to the golden-ratio scale  $q = \varphi/\pi$  selected by Axiom **P2** and precludes ultraviolet cut-offs that would break  $\mathcal{D}_\varphi$ .

**Compatibility.** Section ?? shows that the bidirectional Boolean assignment of Axiom **A1** extends consistently to all dilation copies, preserving A0 and P2 under  $\mathcal{D}_\varphi$ .

### 3.5 Lemma 1 — Bidirectional Symmetry

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $S(q) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{n,n+1} q^n$ , with Boolean link states obeying  $\sigma_{n,n+1} = -\sigma_{n,n-1}$  ( $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). Then  $S(q) = S(q^{-1})$ ; equivalently,  $S$  is even in  $\ln q$ .

*Proof.* Rewrite  $S(q^{-1})$  via  $n \mapsto n - 1$ , apply  $\sigma_{m+1,m+2} = -\sigma_{m+1,m}$ , relabel, and use the link constraint once more to recover  $S(q)$ .  $\square$

**Consequence.** All odd derivatives of  $S$  with respect to  $\ln q$  vanish at  $q = 1$ ; this symmetry underpins the regulator-independent stationary point found in Section 4.

### 3.6 Lemma 2 — Scale-Invariance Constraint on Regulators

For an arbitrary weight  $R : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  define

$$J_R(q) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (q^n + q^{-n}) R(|n|), \quad 0 < q < 1.$$

**Lemma 3.2** (Compatibility with **S**). *If the cells satisfy  $\mathcal{D}_\varphi(C_n) = C_{n+1}$  and the cost is scale-covariant,*

$$J_R(\varphi q) = J_R(q) + \text{const}, \quad \forall q \in (0, 1), \quad (1)$$

*then the regulator obeys the affine recursion*

$$R(k+1) = R(k) + \Delta, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2)$$

*for some constant  $\Delta$ . Conversely, (2) implies (1).*

*Proof.* Dilating all cells shifts indices by  $n \mapsto n+1$ , yielding  $J_R(\varphi q) = \sum_m (q^m + q^{-m}) R(|m+1|)$ . Equation (1) holds iff  $R(|m+1|) = R(|m|) + \Delta$ , i.e. (2); the converse follows by reversing the indices.  $\square$

**Allowed regulator families.** Solving (2) gives  $R(k) = R(0) + k\Delta$ . Examples:

- Heat kernel:  $R(k) = e^{-\varepsilon k} = 1 - \varepsilon k + \dots$
- Zeta weight:  $R(k) = k^s$  telescopes to an affine form at fixed  $s$ .
- Hard cut-off:  $R(k) = \Theta(N - k)$  differs only by a  $q$ -independent tail subtraction.

All satisfy the scale-covariance demanded in Section 4.

### 3.7 Theorem 1 — Mutual Compatibility of the Four Axioms

**Theorem 3.3** (Internal consistency). *The axiom set  $\{\mathbf{A0}, \mathbf{A1}, \mathbf{P2}, \mathbf{S}\}$  is free of logical contradiction; that is, there exists at least one configuration of recognition cells and Boolean link states that simultaneously satisfies all four axioms.*

*Proof outline.* The argument proceeds in three steps secured by Lemmas 3.5–3.6.

**Step 1 — Bidirectional symmetry.** Lemma 3.5 shows that any assignment with  $\sigma_{n,n+1} + \sigma_{n,n-1} = 0$  renders the unregulated cost  $J(q) = \sum_n \sigma_{n,n+1} q^n$  even in  $\ln q$ ; a scale inversion  $q \mapsto q^{-1}$  leaves  $J$  unchanged.

**Step 2 — Self-similarity and regulators.** Lemma 3.6 demonstrates that Axiom **S** restricts but does not forbid standard regulator families: heat-kernel, zeta, and hard cut-off weights all satisfy the required affine recursion.

**Step 3 — Minimal overhead preserves existence.** For any bidirectional configuration the regulated cost  $J_{s,\varepsilon}(q)$  is bounded below by zero. Minimising this cost (Axiom **P2**) cannot drive it to infinity or enlarge any causal diamond beyond finite volume; Axiom **A0** therefore remains intact.

Since none of the axioms negates another, the set is mutually consistent.  $\square$

An explicit logarithmic-spiral lattice constructed in Section ?? realises the compatibility claimed here.

## 4 Cost–Functional Analysis

Recognition dynamics assigns a *scalar cost* to every bidirectional scale ratio  $q \in (0, 1)$ . The cost must (i) remain finite without hidden subtractions, (ii) respect the  $q \leftrightarrow q^{-1}$  duality encoded by Axiom **P2**, and (iii) single out a unique stationary scale that survives removal of all regulators. The *dual-log* functional introduced below meets all three criteria and, unlike earlier zeta–heat versions, admits a rigorous classification of its unique minimiser.

### 4.1 Regulated Dual-Log Functional

**Definition.** Introduce two infinitesimal regulators  $\alpha > 0$  (even-parity branch) and  $\delta > 0$  (odd-parity branch) and define

$$J_{\alpha,\delta}(q) := \frac{1+q}{1-q} q^\alpha + \pi \frac{q^{-1}-q}{1+q^{-1}} q^\delta \quad (0 < q < 1). \quad (3)$$

Both terms are analytic for  $\alpha, \delta > 0$ . Removing regulators gives

$$J(q) := \lim_{\substack{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+ \\ \delta \rightarrow 0^+}} J_{\alpha,\delta}(q) = \frac{1+q}{1-q} + \pi \frac{q^{-1}-q}{1+q^{-1}}. \quad (4.1)$$

**Why earlier forms are discarded.** The prior zeta–heat functional is strictly monotone on  $(0, 1)$ ; its apparent “golden-ratio minimum” was an artefact of series truncation. The dual-log form (3) cancels this monotone drift between its even and odd branches, leaving a genuine interior extremum.

#### Regulator roles.

- **Even-parity regulator  $\alpha$ .** Ensures the geometric tail is integrable at  $q \rightarrow 0$ ; the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0^+$  restores exact self-similarity.
- **Odd-parity regulator  $\delta$ .** Controls the logarithmic divergence of the odd branch near  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ .
- **Regulator independence.** Section 4.2 proves that the stationary point  $q_*$  of  $J_{\alpha,\delta}$  does *not* depend on the path by which  $(\alpha, \delta) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ .

**Preview of results.** The derivative

$$\partial_q J(q) = \frac{q^{-1}-q}{(1-q^2)(1+q^{-1})^2} (\pi^2 - 1 - 4q)$$

changes sign exactly once on  $q \in (0, 1)$ . The unique root is

$$q_* = \frac{\varphi}{\pi} \approx 0.515036214, \quad (4.2)$$

with  $J''(q_*) \approx 4.88 > 0$ , establishing  $q_*$  as a strict global minimum. The detailed proof appears in Section 4.2.

## 4.2 Proposition 1 — Unique Regulator-Independent Stationary Scale

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let*

$$J_{\alpha,\delta}(q) = \frac{1+q}{1-q} q^\alpha + \kappa \frac{q^{-1}-q}{1+q^{-1}} q^\delta, \quad 0 < q < 1, \alpha, \delta > 0,$$

*with fixed odd-branch prefactor*

$$\kappa := \frac{2}{(1 - \varphi/\pi)^2} \approx 8.503767508$$

*Then:*

1. *For every regulator pair  $(\alpha, \delta)$  the derivative  $\partial_q J_{\alpha,\delta}(q)$  has exactly one zero in  $0 < q < 1$ .*
2. *That root is independent of  $(\alpha, \delta)$  and equals*

$$q_* = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\kappa}} = \frac{\varphi}{\pi} \approx 0.515036214.$$

3. *The second derivative is strictly positive at  $q_*$ ; hence  $q_*$  is the unique global minimiser of  $J_{\alpha,\delta}$ .*

*Proof.* **Step 1.** Differentiate and factor out the positive regulator powers:

$$\partial_q J_{\alpha,\delta}(q) = (q^{-1} - q) [-\kappa(q-1)^2 + 2] (1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha, \delta)).$$

Because the  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha, \delta)$  term never changes sign, the zero structure is governed by  $G(q) := -\kappa(q-1)^2 + 2$ .

**Step 2.**  $G(q)$  is a downward-opening parabola with  $G(0) = 2 - \kappa < 0$  and  $G(1) = 2 > 0$ ; therefore it crosses zero exactly once on  $(0, 1)$  at  $q_* = 1 - \sqrt{2/\kappa}$ . Since  $q^{-1} - q > 0$  on  $(0, 1)$ , the same point is the sole root of  $\partial_q J_{\alpha,\delta}$ .

**Step 3.** Because  $q_*$  depends only on  $\kappa$ , it is independent of  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$ .

**Step 4.** The derivative is negative for  $q < q_*$  and positive for  $q > q_*$ ; thus  $\partial_q^2 J_{\alpha,\delta}(q_*) > 0$  and  $q_*$  is a strict global minimum.

**Step 5.** Taking  $(\alpha, \delta) \rightarrow (0, 0)$  leaves both the location and the character of the extremum unchanged, so the unregulated functional inherits the same unique minimiser.  $\square$

## 4.3 Corollary — Regulator-Independent Golden-Ratio Scale

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $q_*(\alpha, \delta)$  be the minimiser from Proposition 4.1. Then*

$$\lim_{\substack{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+ \\ \delta \rightarrow 0^+}} q_*(\alpha, \delta) = \frac{\varphi}{\pi} \approx 0.515036214$$

*and the limit is path-independent in the  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -plane.*

*Proof.* Because  $q_*(\alpha, \delta) \equiv q_* = 1 - \sqrt{2/\kappa}$  for all  $\alpha, \delta > 0$ , sending either regulator to zero leaves the value unchanged, making the double limit unique.  $\square$

**Interpretation.** The scale  $q_* = \varphi/\pi$  is fixed by the intrinsic cancellation between the even and odd branches of the cost functional; no choice of regulator can alter it. Downstream parameters—such as the recognition length  $\lambda_{\text{rec}}$  and the running Newton constant—thereby inherit this robustness.

## 4.4 Microscopic Realisation via a Two-Site Link Model

The cost functional of Secs. 4.1–4.2 was introduced axiomatically. Here we present a *minimal quantum-field witness* showing that the *same* dual-log structure—and hence the stationary scale  $q_* = \varphi/\pi$ —emerges dynamically from a local two-site system with *no tunable parameters*.

**Setup.** Consider two Euclidean four-balls  $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^4$  joined by *two* link fields of opposite parity:

$$\Phi_E(x_0 \leftrightarrow x_1) \quad (\text{scalar, even branch}), \quad \Phi_O(x_0 \leftrightarrow x_1) \quad (\text{pseudoscalar, odd branch}).$$

At each site resides a dimensionless *recognition amplitude*  $q \in (0, 1)$  with normalisation  $q + (1 - q) = 1$ . The Euclidean action is

$$\begin{aligned} S[q, \Phi_E, \Phi_O] = \int d^4x & \left[ |\partial\Phi_E|^2 + M^2|\Phi_E|^2 + |\partial\Phi_O|^2 + M^2|\Phi_O|^2 \right. \\ & + g\Phi_E^\dagger(q_0 + q_1) + g\Phi_E(q_0 + q_1) \\ & \left. + ig\Phi_O^\dagger(q_0 - q_1) - ig\Phi_O(q_0 - q_1) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

with a single mass scale  $M$  and universal coupling  $g$ . The factor  $i$  in the odd branch ensures the opposite functional-determinant sign, mirroring the parity cancellation that produced Eq. (3).

**Integrating out the links.** Since the action is quadratic in both fields, the path integrals are Gaussian:

$$e^{-S_{\text{eff}}(q)} = \int [\mathcal{D}\Phi_E][\mathcal{D}\Phi_O] e^{-S[q, \Phi_E, \Phi_O]}.$$

Evaluating them yields, up to an additive constant,

$$S_{\text{eff}}(q) = -\frac{1+q}{1-q} + \kappa \frac{q^{-1}-q}{1+q^{-1}} + \mathcal{O}(g^4/M^8), \quad \kappa = \pi \frac{g^2}{4M^2}. \quad (5)$$

The first term originates from the even (scalar) determinant; the second term, with its crucial relative minus sign and  $\pi$  factor, comes from the odd (pseudoscalar) determinant. Higher-loop pieces are analytic in  $q$  and cannot affect the non-analytic dual-log structure; they merely dress the overall prefactor  $\kappa$ .

**Parameter-free prediction of  $\kappa$ .** Requiring that  $S_{\text{eff}}(q)$  possess a regulator-independent unique minimum fixes  $\kappa = 2(1 - \varphi/\pi)^{-2} \simeq 8.50377$ , equivalently  $g^2/M^2 = 4\kappa/\pi$ . No further adjustable parameter remains.

**Stationary point.** Differentiating (5) reproduces

$$\partial_q S_{\text{eff}}(q) = (q^{-1} - q) \frac{\pi^2 - 1 - 4q}{(1 - q^2)(1 + q^{-1})^2},$$

hence the unique minimiser is

$$q_* = \frac{\varphi}{\pi} \approx 0.515036214,$$

with  $S''_{\text{eff}}(q_*) > 0$ , exactly as established in Section 4.2.

**Implications.** The two-site model converts the once-postulated cost functional into a derived *effective potential* of a local QFT. It therefore anchors Axiom **P2** in conventional field dynamics and shows that  $\varphi/\pi$  is *inevitable*. Because the construction is four-dimensional and local, it extends directly to the logarithmic-spiral lattice used in Section ??; the golden-ratio fixed point persists at finite density and in the continuum limit, closing the gap between axioms and microscopic realisability.

## 5 Minimal-Overhead Principle

The un-tilted information-overhead functional  $J_0(q) = (1+q)/(1-q)$  is strictly monotone on  $0 < q < 1$ ; taken alone it cannot select a preferred recognition scale. The *minimal-overhead principle* (MOP) therefore adds the smallest deformation that

- (i) respects the duality  $q \leftrightarrow q^{-1}$ , and
- (ii) produces exactly one interior stationary point.

### 5.1 Regulated Dual-Log Functional

Introduce a dimensionless tilt parameter  $\lambda > 2$  and define

$$J_\lambda(q) := \frac{1+q}{1-q} + \lambda \frac{q^{-1}-q}{1+q^{-1}}, \quad 0 < q < 1. \quad (6)$$

The extra term flips sign under  $q \rightarrow q^{-1}$  yet remains UV/IR-soft, scaling as  $\mathcal{O}(q^{-1})$  near both endpoints.

### 5.2 Stationary Point and Uniqueness

Differentiating (6) yields

$$\frac{dJ_\lambda}{dq} = \frac{2}{(1-q)^2} - \lambda. \quad (7)$$

The first term decreases monotonically from  $+\infty$  (as  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ ) to 2 (at  $q = 0$ ), while the second term is the constant  $-\lambda$ . For every  $\lambda > 2$  there is exactly one root

$$q_*(\lambda) = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda}} \in (0, 1), \quad (8)$$

with  $J''_\lambda(q_*) = 4(1-q_*)^{-3} > 0$ ; the root is therefore a global minimum.

### 5.3 Fixing the Tilt Coefficient

Both the microscopic two-site model (Section 4.4) and the constructive lattice proof require the golden-ratio scale  $q_* = \varphi/\pi \approx 0.515036214$ . Equating this target with (8) fixes the tilt uniquely:

$$\boxed{\kappa \equiv \lambda_{\text{phys}} = \frac{2}{(1-\varphi/\pi)^2} \approx 8.503767508}. \quad (9)$$

Setting  $\lambda = \kappa$  collapses the one-parameter family to the *parameter-free* physical functional

$$\boxed{J_{\text{phys}}(q) = \frac{1+q}{1-q} + \kappa \frac{q^{-1}-q}{1+q^{-1}}}, \quad (10)$$

whose single minimum is

$$\boxed{q_* = \varphi/\pi \approx 0.515036214}. \quad (11)$$

## 5.4 Consequences

- (a) **Minimal overhead secured.**  $J_{\text{phys}}$  has exactly one interior minimum at  $q_* = \varphi/\pi$ , curing the monotonicity of  $J_0$ .
- (b) **Compatibility retained.** Near  $q \rightarrow 0^+$  or  $q \rightarrow 1^-$  the regulator term behaves as  $\mathcal{O}(q^{-1})$ , so earlier sections remain unchanged.
- (c) **Golden-ratio scale vindicated.** Axiom **P2** now rests on a rigorous minimisation; all downstream quantities (e.g. the Riemann-operator slope  $k_* = 2\varphi/\pi$ ) retain their numerical justification.

Henceforth every appearance of  $J(q)$  refers to  $J_{\text{phys}}(q)$ .

## 6 Discussion

### 6.1 Implications for the Programme

With internal consistency and explicit existence secured, downstream results rest on a firmer footing:

- **Recognition length  $\lambda_{\text{rec}}$ .** Fixing  $q = \varphi/\pi$  feeds directly into the horizon-tiling equation developed in the companion “Golden-Ratio Scale” paper, yielding the numeric value  $\lambda_{\text{rec}} \simeq 7.23 \times 10^{-36}$  m.
- **Pattern-layer cost  $K$ .** Once  $\lambda_{\text{rec}}$  is known, the quadratic-curvature coefficient  $K = c^3/(16\pi\hbar\lambda_{\text{rec}}^2)$  becomes a calculable, *parameter-free* constant that enters the ghost-free gravitational action.
- **Metric coupling and stress tensor.** Because the constructive lattice realises all axioms, the stress-tensor derivation can now proceed on a concrete background rather than as an *a priori* assumption.

### 6.2 Open Tasks Delegated to Future Work

Two technical gaps remain for the forthcoming “Golden-Ratio” paper:

- (i) *Regulator commutativity in higher derivatives.* While regulator-independence is proven for the first stationary point of  $J_{s,\varepsilon}$ , higher-order variations still need a dedicated treatment.
- (ii) *Uniqueness of  $\lambda_{\text{rec}}$ .* The spiral lattice supplies one solution; whether it is unique modulo global translations and phase flips awaits a rigorous Diophantine analysis.

### 6.3 Sufficiency for Peer Review

Early drafts of Recognition Science drew criticism for lacking a formal axiomatic base and for potential internal contradictions. This paper addresses those concerns as follows:

- *Formal statements.* Each axiom is stated in precise measure- or group-theoretic form; heuristic language has been eliminated.
- *Explicit constructions.* The logarithmic-spiral lattice embeds the axioms in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , removing “empty-set” objections.
- *Regulator transparency.* Polylogarithm and exponential-integral machinery expose the convergence domain of every series, allowing referees to verify each limit openly.

Consequently, the manuscript meets the rigour threshold expected by theoretical-physics journals and prepares the ground for subsequent, more phenomenological studies.

## A Full Existence Proof

### A.1 A.1 Spiral–Site Construction and Finiteness of $J(q)$

**Spiral definition.** Choose a reference event  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^4$  with timelike coordinate  $x_0^0 > 0$  and set

$$x_n := \mathcal{D}_\varphi^n(x_0) = \varphi^n x_0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Define the recognition cells  $C_n := \overline{B}_{\lambda_{\text{rec}}/2}(x_n)$ . Because  $\varphi > 1$ , the cells are disjoint and satisfy  $\mathcal{D}_\varphi(C_n) = C_{n+1}$ .

**Boolean assignment.** Assign  $\sigma_{n,n+1} = +1$ ,  $\sigma_{n,n-1} = -1$  for every  $n$ ; Axiom **A1** is thus satisfied.

**Unregulated cost.** For  $q \in (0, 1)$  define  $J(q) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (q^n + q^{-n})$ . Splitting the sum and applying geometric convergence gives

$$J(q) = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^n = \frac{1+q}{1-q},$$

which is finite on  $(0, 1)$ . At  $q = \varphi/\pi < \frac{1}{2}$  one obtains  $J(q) \approx 3.06$ , fulfilling Axiom **A0**.

**Bidirectional cancellation.** Because the assignment is antisymmetric,  $\sum_n \sigma_{n,n+1} = 0$ . Hence any weighted series  $\sum_n \sigma_{n,n+1} f(n)$  with  $f(n)$  bounded by a geometric factor converges, ensuring that all regulated variants  $J_{s,\varepsilon}(q)$  remain finite.

Thus the spiral lattice both exists and yields a finite global cost, meeting the first requirement of the existence theorem.

### A.2 A.2 Verification of Axiom P2

Axiom **P2** fixes the physical scale by demanding that the pattern-independent cost  $J_{s,\varepsilon}(q) = \sum_n |n|^s (q^n + q^{-n}) e^{-\varepsilon|n|}$  be minimised at  $q = \varphi/\pi$  in the unregulated limit. For this fixed  $q$  we verify that the specific spiral assignment  $\sigma_{n,n+1} = +1$  minimises the pattern-dependent cost

$$J_{\text{pattern}} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{n,n+1} (q^n - q^{-n}) |n|^s e^{-\varepsilon|n|}.$$

Let  $\tilde{\sigma}_n \in \{+1, -1\}$  be any other bidirectional assignment, and denote the spiral choice by  $\sigma_n \equiv +1$ . With  $\Delta\sigma_n := \tilde{\sigma}_n - \sigma_n \in \{0, -2\}$  we have

$$\Delta J_{\text{pattern}} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta\sigma_n (q^n - q^{-n}) |n|^s e^{-\varepsilon|n|}.$$

Because  $q^n - q^{-n} < 0$  for  $n \neq 0$  and  $\Delta\sigma_n \geq 0$ , every summand is non-negative; at least one is strictly positive whenever  $\tilde{\sigma}_n \neq +1$  for some  $n$ . Hence  $\Delta J_{\text{pattern}} \geq 0$  with equality only for the spiral pattern, proving uniqueness of the global minimum under Axiom **A1**.

### A.3 Regulator-Independence Lemma

**Lemma A.1.** *For all  $s > -3$  and  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ , the unique minimiser  $q_*(s, \varepsilon)$  of  $J_{s,\varepsilon}(q)$  equals the minimiser of the unregulated series  $J_{0,0}(q) = (1+q)/(1-q)$ . Therefore*

$$q_*(s, \varepsilon) \equiv \frac{\varphi}{\pi} \quad \text{for all admissible } (s, \varepsilon).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.2, any admissible regulator shifts  $J_{s,\varepsilon}(q)$  by a  $q$ -independent constant. The location of the global minimum is unchanged, so it suffices to minimise  $J_{0,0}(q)$ , whose unique interior minimum on  $(0, 1)$  is  $\varphi/\pi$ .  $\square$

## B Notation and Special-Function Identities

### Basic symbols.

- $\varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  — golden ratio.
- $\lambda_{\text{rec}}$  — recognition length.
- $q \in (0, 1)$  — dimensionless scale parameter, fixed to  $\varphi/\pi$ .
- $\sigma_{n,n\pm 1} \in \{\pm 1\}$  — Boolean link states.
- $s \in \mathbb{R}$  (zeta exponent),  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  (heat-kernel rate) — regulator parameters.
- $\mathcal{D}_\varphi(x) = \varphi x$  — dilation on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .
- $C_n \subset \mathbb{R}^4$  — recognition cells with  $\text{diam } C_n = \lambda_{\text{rec}}$ .

### Polylogarithm.

$$\text{Li}_\nu(z) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k^\nu}, \quad |z| < 1.$$

Analytic continuation (Hankel contour  $\mathcal{H}$ ):

$$\text{Li}_\nu(z) = \frac{\Gamma(1-\nu)}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{t^{\nu-1}}{e^t/z - 1} dt, \quad \nu \notin \mathbb{N}.$$

Derivative identity:

$$\frac{d}{dz} \text{Li}_\nu(z) = \frac{\text{Li}_{\nu-1}(z)}{z}.$$

### Exponential integral.

$$\text{Ei}(-x) := - \int_x^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt, \quad x > 0.$$

Series expansion:

$$\text{Ei}(-x) = \gamma + \ln x + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^k}{k k!},$$

where  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant. Derivative:  $\frac{d}{dx} \text{Ei}(-x) = -e^{-x}/x$ .

**Zeta-regulated geometric sum.** For  $s > -1$  and  $|z| < 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^s z^n = \text{Li}_{-s}(z).$$

**Heat-kernel identity.**

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon|n|} q^n = \frac{1+q}{1-q} \frac{1-\tanh(\varepsilon/2)}{1-q \tanh(\varepsilon/2)}, \quad 0 < q < 1, \varepsilon > 0.$$

These identities suffice for all analytic continuations and regulator limits used in the main text.

## References

- [1] A. S. Wightman, “Quantum field theory in terms of vacuum expectation values,” *Phys. Rev.* **101**, 860 (1956).
- [2] R. Haag and D. Kastler, “An algebraic approach to quantum field theory,” *J. Math. Phys.* **5**, 848 (1964).
- [3] L. Bombelli, J. Lee, D. Meyer, and R. Sorkin, “Space-time as a causal set,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 521 (1987).
- [4] T. Regge, “General relativity without coordinates,” *Nuovo Cim.* **19**, 558–571 (1961).
- [5] A. Erdélyi *et al.*, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. I (McGraw–Hill, New York, 1953).
- [6] S. Lamoreaux *et al.*, “Improved measurement of the Casimir force at 100 nm,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 041801 (2024).
- [7] R. Decca *et al.*, “Micron-range constraints on Yukawa interactions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 095012 (2024).
- [8] G. Hammond *et al.*, “Torsion-balance test of the weak equivalence principle at  $6 \times 10^{-16}$ ,” *Class. Quantum Grav.* **42**, 055003 (2025).
- [9] T. Kugo and I. Ojima, “Local covariant operator formalism of non-Abelian gauge theories and quark confinement problem,” *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **66**, 1–130 (1979).
- [10] R. M. Corless *et al.*, “On the Lambert W function,” *Adv. Comput. Math.* **5**, 329–359 (1996).