Recognition Science: The Mathematical Spine
A Self-Contained Reading Order for Mathematicians

Jonathan Washburn

February 9, 2026

Purpose

This document extracts from the full Recognition Science (RS) syllabus a **self-contained mathematical narrative** that can be read with *no* physical interpretation. Every result in Layers 0–3 is pure mathematics (functional equations, convex analysis, algebraic number theory, graph theory, topology). Physical semantics enter only in Layer 4 ("operator theory") and can be treated as motivation rather than prerequisite.

The dependencies are minimal and explicit: each layer uses only the definitions and theorems of the layers above it.

Companion file: `papers/Recognition_Science_Math_DAG.mermaid` (visual dependency graph).

---

1 Layer 0 — The Functional Equation

"What is the primitive?"

M1. The Recognition Composition Law (RCL)

Syllabus paper: 2. RCL Primer   **File:** `Recognition_Composition_Law_Primer.tex`

Statement. Let $F : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and satisfy

$$F(xy) + F(x/y) \;=\; 2\,F(x)\,F(y) + 2\,F(x) + 2\,F(y). \tag{1}$$

This is a calibrated multiplicative form of the d'Alembert functional equation $f(s+t) + f(s-t) = 2f(s)f(t)$ under the substitution $x = e^s$, $y = e^t$, and $F = H - 1$ where H is the standard d'Alembert solution.

Mathematical content. The paper states the equation, motivates the normalization side-conditions (A1)–(A3), and shows that (**??**) is the natural composition law for *ratio costs* on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Dependencies: None (starting point).

2 Layer 1 — Uniqueness and Classification

"What does the equation force?"

M2. Cost Uniqueness (Theorem T5)

Syllabus paper: 3. Uniqueness of the Canonical Reciprocal Cost **File:** `Cost-9-1.pdf` (latest revision)

    Statement. Under the axioms
(A1) $F(1) = 0$ (normalization),
(A2) F satisfies the RCL (**??**)   (composition),
(A3) $\left.\frac{d^2}{dt^2} F(e^t)\right|_{t=0} = 1$ (calibration),
there is a *unique* solution on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$:

$$J(x) \;=\; \tfrac{1}{2}\big(x + x^{-1}\big) - 1 \;=\; \cosh(\ln x) - 1. \tag{2}$$

    Derived properties (not assumed): reciprocity $J(x) = J(x^{-1})$; strict convexity on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$; $J \geq 0$ with equality iff $x = 1$; $J''(1) = 1$.
    Dependencies: M1.

M3. D'Alembert Inevitability

Syllabus paper: 5. D'Alembert Inevitability   **File:** `DAlembert_Inevitability.tex`
    Statement. Among all continuous functions $F : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $F(x) = F(x^{-1})$, $F(1) = 0$, and $F(xy) + F(x/y) = P(F(x), F(y))$ for a symmetric polynomial P, non-triviality forces $P(u, v) = 2u + 2v + c\,uv$ with c a single scalar. Calibration fixes $c = 2$, recovering the standard d'Alembert family.
    Mathematical content. This is a *classification result* for functional equations. Under mild structural assumptions, the bilinear family is the **only** survivor. The composition law (**??**) is therefore not a modeling choice but a theorem.
    Dependencies: M1 (for the composition law setup); M2 (for calibration step).

M4. Reciprocal Convex Costs for Ratio Matching

Syllabus paper: 63. Reciprocal Convex Costs   **File:** `submitted-entropy-version-entropy-4136332.pdf`
    Statement. Characterizes the class of reciprocal convex cost functionals on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ satisfying the d'Alembert equation, embedding the cost uniqueness result in the broader functional-equation literature.
    Dependencies: M2.

3 Layer 2 — Algebraic and Geometric Rigidity

"What scale and dimension are forced?"

M5. φ as Unique Fixed Point (Penrose Bridge)

Syllabus paper: 10. The Golden Ratio as a Universal Coherence Eigenvalue   **File:** `Penrose_golden_ratio_and_ledger_structure.tex`
    Statement. The minimal reciprocal self-correction rule $x_{n+1} = 1 + 1/x_n$ has unique positive fixed point $\varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, satisfying $\varphi^2 = \varphi + 1$.
    Key results:
- **Log-ratio isomorphism.** $t = \ln x$ gives $(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \times) \cong (\mathbb{R}, +)$. Multiplicative inflation $x \mapsto \varphi x$ becomes additive shift $t \mapsto t + \ln \varphi$.
- **Coherence cost of aperiodicity.** $J(\varphi) = \varphi - 3/2 \approx 0.118$ is the minimal non-trivial cost.

- **Penrose substitution.** The 2×2 Penrose substitution matrix has Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue φ, giving an independent geometric origin of the same constant.
  Dependencies: M2 (for J and self-similarity).

M6. Dimensional Rigidity: $D=3$

Syllabus paper: 11. Dimensional Rigidity D=3 (Strengthened)   **File:** `Dimensional_Rigidity_D3_2.tex`

    Statement. Three independent constraints each force spatial dimension $D = 3$:
- (A) **Topological.** An integer-valued linking invariant for disjoint oriented loops in $\mathbb{S}^D$ exists iff $D = 3$ (Alexander duality: $H_1(\mathbb{S}^D \setminus K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ iff $D = 3$).
- (B) **Dynamical.** For the D-dimensional Kepler potential $V_D(r) \propto -r^{2-D}$, near-circular orbits are stable only for $D < 4$ and non-precessing only for $D = 3$ (Binet equation analysis).
- (C) **Arithmetic.** $\mathrm{lcm}(2^D, 45)$ is minimized over $D \geq 3$ uniquely at $D = 3$, where it equals 360.

    Dependencies: M5 (for the arithmetic constraint involving φ-scaling); standard topology and ODE theory.

M7. Stability Audit (RSA)

Syllabus paper: 53. The Recognition Stability Audit   **File:** `Recognition_Stability_Audit.tex`

    Statement. Converts existence/non-existence claims into finite certificates using: (i) a canonical sensor (Cayley transform), (ii) Schur/Pick interpolation theory, (iii) a realizability class grounded in period-8 constraints.

    Mathematical content. A decision-style pipeline linking complex analysis, control theory, and computational certificates. Pure mathematics; physical context is motivational.

    Dependencies: M3 (inevitability provides the constraint space).

4   Layer 3 — Structures Forced by Cost

"What mathematical objects emerge from the cost?"

M8. Ledger Dynamics

Syllabus paper: 4. Coherent Comparison as Information Cost   **File:** `papers/pdf/2601.12194v1.pdf`

    Statement. Discrete dynamics on directed graphs with J-cost weighted edges, atomic ticks, and conservation constraints. Key results:
- Balanced double-entry postings are *forced* by conservation + discreteness.
- Minimal period on the D-cube is 2^D (proved for all D).
- Closed-chain flux vanishes: $\sum \ln r_i = 0$ over cycles.

    Dependencies: M2 (for J).

M9. The Law of Mathematical Inevitability

Syllabus paper: 35. The Law of Mathematical Inevitability   **File:** `Mathematics_Ledger_Phenomenon.tex`   **NEW — Feb 2026**

    Statement. Any continuous cost functional satisfying (A1)–(A3) *necessarily forces*:
1. **Natural numbers** as φ-ladder positions $L(n) = \varphi^n$, with the Fibonacci recursion $L(n+2) = L(n+1) + L(n)$.

2. A **proof concept**: balanced ledger sequences ($\sum \ln r_i = 0$), the *unique* admissible balance condition.
3. **Incompleteness**: divergent cost of self-referential chains ($J(0^+) \to \infty$).
4. A **universal referent**: the zero-cost subspace is the unique universal reference for all positive-cost objects (Wigner's puzzle resolved).

The cost-consistent metric is $d(m, n) = J(\varphi^{m-n})$; it satisfies all metric axioms including the triangle inequality.

Dependencies: M2, M5, M8.

5   Layer 4 — Operator Theory and Quotient Spaces

"What is the dynamics and what is uniqueness at the level of observables?"

Physical motivation enters here: "states," "evolution," "observation." The mathematics is operator theory on Hilbert and Banach spaces.

M10.   Recognition Operator

Syllabus paper: 9. The Recognition Operator: Beyond the Hamiltonian   **File:** `Recognition-Operator.tex`   **Updated — Feb 2026**

Statement. A *recognition operator* is a map $\hat{R} : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$ on admissible states satisfying: (i) cost monotonicity $C(\hat{R}s) \leq C(s)$, (ii) reciprocity preservation $\sigma(\hat{R}s) = \sigma(s) = 0$, (iii) period-8 update.

Key analysis result. In the log-deviation coordinate $r = e^\varepsilon$:

$$J(e^\varepsilon) = \cosh(\varepsilon) - 1 = \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 + \tfrac{1}{24}\varepsilon^4 + \cdots .$$

The quadratic approximation $J \approx \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2$ has relative error $< 1\%$ for $|\varepsilon| \leq 0.1$. An effective quadratic generator $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}$ exists such that $\hat{R} = \exp(-i\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \cdot 8\tau_0/\hbar) + O(\tau_0^2)$. A continuum limit recovers the Schrödinger equation.

Dependencies: M2, M8.

M11.   Model-Independent Exclusivity on the Quotient

Syllabus paper: 6. Model-Independent Exclusivity   **File:** `Model-Independent-Exclusivity-Quotient.tex`

Statement. Consider an abstract "framework" (S, R, O, J) (state space, evolution, observables, cost). If J satisfies (A1)–(A3) and the framework is zero-parameter + self-similar, then:
- $J =$ the canonical J (cost uniqueness on quotient),
- $\varphi = (1+\sqrt{5})/2$ (preferred scale),
- the quotient state space $S/\sim_O$ is a subsingleton.

Any two such frameworks are *observationally equivalent*.

Dependencies: M2, M3, M8.

M12.   The Fredholm Index of the Death Operator

Syllabus paper: 67. The Fredholm Index of Death   **File:** `Fredholm_Index_of_Death.tex`
NEW — Feb 2026

Statement. A diagonal projection $\mathcal{D} : \mathbb{C}^8 \to \mathbb{C}^8$ with survival factors in $\{0, 1\}$, decomposing $\mathbb{C}^8$ into kernel (channels 1–3) and image (channels 5–8). Channel 4 is mixed. The Fredholm index is

$$\text{ind}(\mathcal{D}) = k - 5,$$

where $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 8\}$ is a reflexivity parameter. The dimension of the preserved subspace is bounded: $\dim(\operatorname{im} \mathcal{D}) \leq \varphi^k$.

Dependencies: M2 (for φ-scaling), M10 (operator framework).

6   Layer 5 — Number-Theoretic Applications

"What does cost geometry say about primes?"

M13. Riemann Hypothesis via Spectral Stability

Syllabus paper: 34. A Weighted Diagonal Operator ... **File:** `Recognition-Riemann-Final.tex`

   Summary. RS approach to RH: prime stiffness, log-prime spectrum, Bernstein inequality for finite exponential sums, near-field elimination via energy barrier.

   Dependencies: M2.

M14. Goldbach via a Mod-8 Kernel

Syllabus paper: 36. Goldbach via Mod-8 Kernel **File:** `goldbach_rs-arXiv.tex`

   Summary. Classical circle method $+ \chi_8$ character; mod-8 kernel concentrates on the 8-tick-compatible residue classes.

   Dependencies: M8 (ledger structure provides mod-8 frame).

M15. Prime Stiffness

Syllabus paper: (within 34)

   Summary. Unconditional chain: prime gaps $\geq 1 \rightarrow$ log-prime spectrum strictly increasing $\rightarrow$ effective bandwidth $= k \log T \rightarrow$ Bernstein inequality $\rightarrow$ near-field elimination (energy barrier argument).

   Dependencies: M13.

Summary Table

ID	Title	Layer	Deps
M1	Recognition Composition Law	0	—
M2	Cost Uniqueness (T5)	1	M1
M3	D'Alembert Inevitability	1	M1,M2
M4	Reciprocal Convex Costs	1	M2
M5	φ Uniqueness / Penrose Bridge	2	M2
M6	Dimensional Rigidity $D{=}3$	2	M5
M7	Stability Audit (RSA)	2	M3
M8	Ledger Dynamics	3	M2
M9	Mathematical Inevitability	3	M2,M5,M8
M10	Recognition Operator	4	M2,M8
M11	Exclusivity on Quotient	4	M2,M3,M8
M12	Fredholm Index	4	M2,M10
M13	Riemann / Spectral Stability	5	M2
M14	Goldbach / Mod-8 Kernel	5	M8
M15	Prime Stiffness	5	M13

How to read this as a mathematician. Start at M1 (a functional equation on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$). By M2 you know the unique solution. M3 shows you had no choice in the equation itself. M5–M6 pin the algebraic scale and spatial dimension. M8–M9 show the equation forces discrete dynamics *and* mathematics. M10–M11 define the operator theory and prove observational uniqueness. M13–M15 are number-theoretic applications. At no point are you required to believe anything about physics; the narrative is pure analysis, algebra, topology, and number theory.