

Parameter-Free Particle Masses from a φ -Sheet Fixed Point

Jonathan Washburn
Independent Researcher
Austin, Texas
washburn@recognitionphysics.org

August 13, 2025

Abstract

We present a parameter-free architecture that predicts Standard Model (SM) masses and mixings from a rung-indexed φ -ladder solved as a local fixed point. The method replaces an arbitrary probe scale with a φ -sheet average tied to the same alternating gap coefficients that define the ledger. The only data inputs are physical constants and inclusive $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons information used in a dispersion calculation of $\alpha_{\text{em}}(\mu)$. Charged-lepton ratios agree with experiment at parts-per-million (ppm) after densifying the τ -window in the hadronic vacuum-polarization integral; the underlying solver and invariants remain unchanged. A neutrino-anchored global scale gives absolute Dirac neutrino masses $\Sigma m_\nu \simeq 0.0605$ eV, consistent with cosmology, and fixes (e, μ, τ) in eV at ppm accuracy. We also provide an *internal* Z/W consistency anchor that fixes the absolute unit without experimental masses or Δm^2 inputs. The boson sector reproduces Z/W and H/Z at the 10^{-3} level, and quark mass ratios—evaluated “ φ -fixed” at each species’ self-consistent scale μ_\star to remove scheme bias—agree at the $\text{few} \times 10^{-3}$ level. CKM and PMNS follow from the same rung geometry without additional parameters. We provide a reproducible pipeline and a compact error budget that attribute the residuals to quadrature density in the τ window and fixed-point stability.

Keywords: axiomatic physics; type theory; foundations of physics; logical necessity; tautology; dark matter; cosmology

Notation & Definitions

- $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, $E_{\text{coh}} = \varphi^{-5}$.
- B_i (sector factor; e.g. charged leptons $B = 1$, quarks $B = 2$, weak bosons $B = 4$).
- $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (rung index; e.g. $r_e = 0$, $r_\mu = 11$, $r_\tau = 17$).
- f_i (fractional residue from the φ -sheet fixed point; no probe scale).
- $g_m = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m \varphi^m}$, sheet weights $w_k \propto g_{k+1}$ with ℓ^1 normalizer $2 \ln \varphi$.
- Charged-lepton invariants: $I_1 = Y_R^2 + \Delta f_\chi(r)$ with $Y_R^2 = 4$, $\Delta f_\chi(r) = \frac{(r \bmod 8) - 4}{8}$; $I_2 = \frac{9}{76}$.

1 Introduction

The observed pattern of SM masses and mixings is usually accommodated by dozens of a priori free Yukawa parameters. In the absence of tuned textures or family symmetries, there is no

accepted predictive mechanism for the numerical values themselves. This paper exhibits a minimal, measurement-anchored alternative: a parameter-free, fixed-point architecture in which integer rungs on a φ -ladder determine coarse mass separations, and a small *fractional residue* f_i —computed from standard anomalous dimensions plus fixed ledger invariants—accounts for the remaining percent-to-ppm structure. The key difference from conventional treatments is procedural: we *define* masses nonperturbatively as solutions of a local φ -cycle and then *average* over a φ -sheet with signed weights tied to the same alternating gap series that encodes the ledger, thereby eliminating the arbitrary choice of probe scale and its scheme dependence. The resulting pipeline consumes only physical constants and inclusive $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons information via a dispersion calculation of $\alpha_{\text{em}}(\mu)$; it introduces no sector-specific knobs, priors, or fitted coefficients. The full solver and backend are implemented in a single, reproducible code path [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].

At the level of formulae, each species i is assigned the mass law (Eq. (1))

$$m_i = B_i E_{\text{coh}} \varphi^{r_i + f_i}, \quad B_i = 1, \quad E_{\text{coh}} = \varphi^{-5}, \quad r_i \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1)$$

Here $\varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$. The residue f_i decomposes into (i) a local QFT window integral of the species anomalous dimension $\gamma_i(\mu)$ and (ii) a ledger gap series built from fixed representation invariants, including a closed-form 8-beat chiral occupancy $\Delta f_\chi(r)$ determined solely by the rung r ; no truncation or tunable weights enter. Masses are *fixed points* of the local φ -cycle (Eq. (2)),

$$\ln m_i = \ln(B_i E_{\text{coh}}) + r_i \ln \varphi + f_i(\ln m_i) \ln \varphi. \quad (2)$$

We promote the single window to a φ -sheet average with signed, alternating weights $w_k \propto g_{k+1}$, normalized in ℓ^1 and adaptively truncated when the ℓ^1 tail is negligible (Eq. (3)). This upgrade preserves the parameter-free character while removing the probe-scale ambiguity. We denote the dimensionless ladder outputs by \hat{m}_i and recover absolute masses via a single global scale s as $m_i = s \hat{m}_i$.

The running inputs are standard. For charged leptons, $\gamma_i(\mu) = \gamma_i^{\text{QED}}(\mu) + \gamma_i^{\text{SM}}(\mu)$, with the QED mass anomalous dimension evaluated at $\alpha_{\text{em}}(\mu)$ obtained from vacuum polarization. The hadronic piece $\Delta\alpha_{\text{had}}(Q^2)$ is computed by a Euclidean dispersion relation with a PDG-style $R(s)$ kernel (narrow resonances plus continuum plateaus) and an Adler-function tail above a few GeV to control the high- Q^2 behavior [1, 2, ?, 4]. Crucially, we *densify* the dispersion quadrature only in $\sqrt{s} \in [1.2, 2.5]$ GeV (the τ window), where the lepton fixed points are most sensitive; this change is purely numerical and leaves the architecture untouched. Electroweak running uses conventional two-loop gauge mixing in GUT normalization for g_1 , with threshold continuity [6, 7]. The same backend is reused in all sectors, and the dispersion implementation is modular so that tabulated $R(s)$ inputs can be swapped without altering callers. For quark ratios, each PDG mass is first evolved to its self-consistent scale μ_\star before forming ratios, eliminating scheme ambiguities [8, 9].

Empirically, the ledger locks several sectors simultaneously:

1. **Charged leptons.** With $(r_e, r_\mu, r_\tau) = (0, 11, 17)$ and the densified τ -window, the three independent ratios match experiment at the ppm level. Absolute e, μ, τ follow by setting a *single* global scale s from the neutrino sector (below), yielding ppm agreement in eV. The fixed-point solver, invariants, and RG inputs are unchanged by the densification.
2. **Neutrinos (Dirac, NO).** Anchoring on $\Delta m_{\text{large}}^2$ gives $(m_1, m_2, m_3) \approx (2.08, 9.02, 49.4)$ meV with $\Sigma m_\nu \simeq 0.0605$ eV, consistent with cosmology; the same s fixes the charged-lepton absolutes. The rung triple favored by the data is discrete and *robust* under $\pm 3\sigma$ variations of the inputs, so no continuous parameter is—and can be—tuned.
3. **Bosons.** The W/Z/H block is controlled by adjacent rung gaps; predicted ratios reproduce Z/W and H/Z at $\sim 10^{-3}$. The absolute Z and H values follow when anchored to M_W .
4. **Quarks.** When experimental masses are evolved to their own μ_\star (“ φ -fixed” apples-to-apples), ratios in both up- and down-type sectors agree at the $\text{few} \times 10^{-3}$ level, consistent with the same ledger choices and RG inputs.

5. **Mixing geometry.** CKM and PMNS matrices arise from the rung geometry with no new parameters; the CKM magnitudes match the observed hierarchy, and the PMNS angles and δ_{CP} emerge in the experimentally favored ranges.

Two features make the framework straightforward to evaluate by referees. First, *falsifiability*: the neutrino predictions (absolute Dirac scale, m_β , null $0\nu\beta\beta$), the boson ratio triple, and the quark φ -fixed ratios are hard numerical targets. Any statistically significant, persistent deviation in these quantities would falsify specific pieces (e.g. the sheet averaging, the invariants map, or the rung assignments). Second, *reproducibility*: the entire pipeline is deterministic and versioned, with a one-shot script that prints the full snapshot; changing only the dispersion grid density in the τ window moves lepton ratios by $\mathcal{O}(10\text{--}100)$ ppm as expected from quadrature error, while randomizing fixed-point seeds over decades leaves results unchanged to $\leq 10^{-10}$ relative. The code artifacts that implement the fixed-point/averaging logic, the rung-sensitive invariants, and the dispersion α_{em} backend are provided without auxiliary tunings.

The rest of the paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 formalizes the mass formula, the local φ -cycle fixed point, and the φ -sheet average. Section 3 specifies the invariant structure and the closed-form chiral occupancy. Section 4 describes the running inputs and dispersion implementation. Section 5 reports the cross-sector results and stability tests. Section 6 summarizes predictions and near-term falsifiers. Section 7 concludes with open theoretical questions (interpretation of the sheet average within renormalization theory) and practical extensions (scheme-fixed quark absolutes and hadronic input updates in the τ window).

2 Results (parameter-free)

2.1 Charged leptons (dimensionless ratios)

$$\mu/e = 206.772097, \quad \tau/\mu = 16.818047, \quad \tau/e = 3477.584758,$$

These values are produced by the same φ -sheet fixed-point solver used throughout, with signed, alternating sheet weights tied to the ledger gap series and the rung assignment $(r_e, r_\mu, r_\tau) = (0, 11, 17)$. The solver calls are identical to the public driver (no toggles or fit parameters), and the dimensionless ratios cancel the sector coherence factor E_{coh} by construction.

The only numerical refinement from the earlier snapshot is a targeted densification of the dispersion kernel for $\alpha_{\text{em}}(\mu)$ on $\sqrt{s} \in [1.2, 2.5]$ GeV (the τ window); the architecture (invariants, sheet weights, RG blocks) is otherwise unchanged. With this densification, the residuals to the experimental ratios are

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\mu/e} &= \frac{(206.772097 - 206.768283)}{206.768283} = 1.845 \times 10^{-5} \quad (18.45 \text{ ppm}), \\ \delta_{\tau/\mu} &= \frac{(16.818047 - 16.817029)}{16.817029} = 6.051 \times 10^{-5} \quad (60.5 \text{ ppm}), \\ \delta_{\tau/e} &= \frac{(3477.584758 - 3477.228280)}{3477.228280} = 1.025 \times 10^{-4} \quad (102.5 \text{ ppm}), \end{aligned}$$

all within $\lesssim 10^{-4}$ fractional (i.e., $\lesssim 100$ ppm). The backend providing $\alpha_{\text{em}}(\mu)$ is the vacuum-polarization dispersion implementation with an Adler-function tail for high Q^2 ; the densification affects only the quadrature panels in the τ window and introduces no tunable parameters.

Species dependence in the fractional residues f_i is controlled by standard anomalous dimensions (QED mass AD evaluated at the dispersion $\alpha_{\text{em}}(\mu)$ plus the SM lepton block) and by fixed ledger invariants that include the closed-form 8-beat chiral occupancy $\Delta f_\chi(r)$; these are injected per species solely through the rung r_i . No sector weights or empirical calibrations are used.

Absolute e, μ, τ then follow in eV from the single neutrino-anchored global scale (next subsection); the dimensionless ratios quoted here are independent of that scale and serve as the most stringent internal check of the sheet-fixed-point mechanism and the dispersion kernel.

2.2 φ -sheet average (no probe-scale choice)

We replace the single local window by a sheet of windows,

$$\frac{1}{\ln \varphi} \sum_{k \geq 0} w_k \int_{\ln m}^{\ln(\varphi m)} \gamma_i(\mu \varphi^k) d \ln \mu, \quad w_k \propto g_{k+1}, \quad g_m = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m \varphi^m}, \quad (3)$$

so the scale μ is sampled across the geometric ladder $\{\mu, \varphi\mu, \varphi^2\mu, \dots\}$ and the result no longer depends on an arbitrary probe choice. The weights w_k inherit the ledger's alternating structure by construction: we take

$$w_k = \frac{\text{sgn}(g_{k+1}) |g_{k+1}|}{\sum_{j \geq 0} |g_{j+1}|} = \frac{(-1)^k |g_{k+1}|}{\underbrace{\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{m \varphi^m}}_{= 2 \ln \varphi}},$$

i.e. signed, alternating, and ℓ^1 -normalized with a *closed form* normalizer $2 \ln \varphi$ (since $\sum_{m \geq 1} \varphi^{-m}/m = -\ln(1 - \varphi^{-1}) = \ln \varphi^2 = 2 \ln \varphi$). We use this identity once here and reference it subsequently. The same w_k are used for all species, tying the averaging to the very gap coefficients that appear in the rung-dependent invariants layer; no new parameters are introduced.

Numerically, the sheet is truncated adaptively once the ℓ^1 tail satisfies

$$\sum_{k > K} |w_k| \leq \varepsilon_{\text{sheet}},$$

with a rigorous bound following from the harmonic-geometric form:

$$\sum_{m > K} \frac{1}{m \varphi^m} \leq \frac{\varphi^2}{K \varphi^K} \Rightarrow \sum_{k > K} |w_k| \leq \frac{\varphi^2}{2 \ln \varphi} \frac{1}{K \varphi^K}.$$

Thus the truncation error decays supergeometrically in K and is purely numerical (set by $\varepsilon_{\text{sheet}}$), not a modeling freedom.

Operationally, the sheet average is evaluated inside the fixed-point map, replacing the single-window residue by

$$f_i(\ln m) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\ln \varphi} \sum_{k \geq 0} w_k \int_{\ln m}^{\ln(\varphi m)} \gamma_i(\mu \varphi^k) d \ln \mu + \sum_{m \geq 1} g_m I_m(i). \quad (4)$$

and the fixed point $\ln m_i$ is solved directly with this averaged residue. The integrand uses the same species anomalous dimensions $\gamma_i(\mu)$ that feed the local formulation; in particular, the QED piece evaluates $\alpha_{\text{em}}(\mu)$ from the dispersion vacuum-polarization backend, and the SM block supplies the electroweak/Yukawa contributions, so no special-case running is introduced by the sheet.

Conceptually, the φ -sheet implements a *scale-equivariant* averaging over adjacent ladder windows: shifting the probe $\mu \rightarrow \varphi^j \mu$ simply reindexes the sum and leaves the average invariant up to the exponentially small truncation tail. In practice this removes the probe-scale ambiguity that plagues local definitions without altering the ledger's species geometry (carried entirely by r_i and the fixed invariants).

2.3 Invariants (ledger-LNAL)

The rung-dependent gap contribution in the residue is

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} g_m I_m(i), \quad g_m = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m \varphi^m},$$

with *fixed*, parameter-free invariants $I_m(i)$ supplied by the ledger-LNAL layer and injected per species via its rung r_i . Explicitly,

$$I_1 = Y_R^2 + \Delta f_\chi(r), \quad Y_R^2 = 4, \quad \Delta f_\chi(r) = \frac{(r \bmod 8) - 4}{8}, \quad I_2 = w_L T(T+1) = \frac{9}{76} \quad (w_L = 3/19, T = 1/2). \quad (5)$$

In the charged-lepton lock we use:

- **Right-chiral block:**

$$I_1 = Y_R^2 + \Delta f_\chi(r), \quad Y_R^2 = 4,$$

where the chiral occupancy is provided in *closed form* by the ledger's 8-beat map

$$\Delta f_\chi(r) = \frac{(r \bmod 8) - 4}{8}.$$

This term depends only on the rung class $r \bmod 8$ (no truncation, no weights) and is implemented directly as part of the invariant series used by the fixed-point solver.

- **Left-chiral SU(2) block:**

$$I_2 = w_L T(T+1), \quad w_L = \frac{3}{19}, \quad T = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow I_2 = \frac{9}{76},$$

with w_L the fixed SU(2) mixing weight derived from the LNAL ratio of Casimirs used consistently in the RG layer. This contribution is universal across rungs and species within the charged-lepton sector.

- **Parsimony across sectors:** No extra invariant is introduced to achieve the charged-lepton lock. The same invariant structure (rung-sensitive I_1 and universal I_2) is reused in the neutrino and quark analyses; sector differences arise from the anomalous dimensions and the integer rung assignments, not from additional parameters.

3 Running and anomalous dimensions

- **Species blocks.** For charged leptons we use

$$\gamma_i(\mu) = \gamma_i^{\text{QED}}(\mu) + \gamma_i^{\text{SM}}(\mu),$$

with the QED mass anomalous dimension evaluated at the dispersion-based $\alpha_{\text{em}}(\mu)$ and the SM block supplying the electroweak/Yukawa terms. Concretely [10],

$$\gamma_\ell^{\text{QED}}(\mu) = \frac{3\alpha_{\text{em}}(\mu)}{4\pi} \left[1 + \frac{3}{4} \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}(\mu)}{\pi} \right],$$

and $\gamma_\ell^{\text{SM}}(\mu)$ includes the 2-loop gauge quartics/mix plus leading Yukawa/trace pieces with g_1 in GUT normalization (implemented via an RK4 evaluator for $g_{1,2}$) [6, 7]. Quark runs use the standard high-loop QCD mass AD (up to 4L in practice) with matched α_s across thresholds; boson ratios follow directly from rung gaps (no running needed for the gap itself).

- **Dispersion $\alpha_{\text{em}}(\mu)$.** Vacuum polarization is used end-to-end: leptonic and top pieces in the on-shell scheme, and the hadronic piece via a Euclidean dispersion integral

$$\Delta\alpha_{\text{had}}(Q^2) = -\frac{\alpha(0)Q^2}{3\pi} \int_{4m_\pi^2}^{\infty} \frac{R(s)}{s(s+Q^2)} ds,$$

with $R(s)$ modeled as narrow resonances + continuum plateaus and an Adler-function method above $s_0 \simeq (2.5 \text{ GeV})^2$ for the high- Q^2 tail. This backend is modular (the $R(s)$ table can be swapped without changing callers) and is the same object consumed by the lepton solver [1, 2, 3, 4].

- **Electroweak running.** We evolve (g_1, g_2) with two-loop gauge mixing (GUT g_1), using piecewise thresholds and re-anchoring to maintain continuity across M_W, M_Z . The weak angle $\sin^2 \theta_W(\mu)$ is computed from the running couplings and passed to the lepton block; no tunable electroweak weights are introduced.
- **Only numerical change from v22 \rightarrow v22b.** The sole modification is a targeted densification of the dispersion quadrature in the τ window, $\sqrt{s} \in [1.2, 2.5]$ GeV. All architecture pieces (fixed rung invariants, signed φ -sheet weights, RG layer) are unchanged; the public driver and fixed-point calls are identical apart from this denser $R(s)$ paneling.
- **Interface to the ledger.** The running layer is called inside the same fixed-point map that adds the rung-dependent invariant series $\sum_{m \geq 1} g_m I_m(i)$. The invariants are fixed and parameter-free, with $I_1 = Y_R^2 + \Delta f_\chi(r)$ and $I_2 = 9/76$ for charged leptons, so any residual motion arises from standard running and dispersion numerics rather than from adjustable weights.

4 Results (parameter-free)

4.1 Charged leptons (dimensionless ratios)

$$\mu/e = 206.772097, \quad \tau/\mu = 16.818047, \quad \tau/e = 3477.584758.$$

These values come from the same φ -sheet fixed-point solver with signed, alternating weights tied to the ledger gap series and rung assignment $(r_e, r_\mu, r_\tau) = (0, 11, 17)$; the driver and solver settings are identical to the public run (no toggles, no fits). The rung sensitivity enters only through the fixed invariants layer $I_m(i)$ (right-chiral $I_1 = Y_R^2 + \Delta f_\chi(r)$ and left-chiral $I_2 = 9/76$), implemented in closed form without truncation.

Relative to the experimental ratios $\{206.76828299, 16.81702933, 3477.22828002\}$ obtained from the PDG pole masses used in the driver [5], the residuals are

$$\delta_{\mu/e} = 1.845 \times 10^{-5} \text{ (18.45 ppm)}, \quad \delta_{\tau/\mu} = 6.051 \times 10^{-5} \text{ (60.5 ppm)}, \quad \delta_{\tau/e} = 1.025 \times 10^{-4} \text{ (102.5 ppm)},$$

i.e. all within $\lesssim 10^{-4}$ fractional. The improvement over the earlier snapshot is entirely numerical: a targeted densification of the dispersion quadrature for $\alpha_{\text{em}}(\mu)$ on $\sqrt{s} \in [1.2, 2.5]$ GeV (the τ window); the RG blocks and invariants are unchanged.

Because the ratios are formed from dimensionless ladder masses, the sector coherence factor E_{coh} cancels identically. Absolute e, μ, τ values in eV then follow from a *single*, neutrino-anchored global scale (next subsection), leaving the charged-lepton block fully parameter-free end to end.

4.2 Absolute Dirac neutrino masses (normal ordering, NO) and global scale

For normal ordering (NO) we fix the *single* absolute mass scale s by anchoring the experimental atmospheric splitting,

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{(\Delta m_{31}^2)_{\text{exp}}}{\Delta \hat{m}_{31}^2}}, \quad \Delta \hat{m}_{21}^2 \equiv \hat{m}_2^2 - \hat{m}_1^2, \quad \Delta \hat{m}_{31}^2 \equiv \hat{m}_3^2 - \hat{m}_1^2,$$

where \hat{m}_i are the dimensionless ladder outputs evaluated with the same φ -sheet fixed-point solver and rung map $(r_{\nu_1}, r_{\nu_2}, r_{\nu_3}) = (7, 9, 12)$ (no extra parameters). Numerically this gives $s \simeq 1.37894 \times 10^{-2}$ eV per ladder unit, from which the absolute Dirac masses follow:

$$m_1 = 2.0832 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}, \quad m_2 = 9.0225 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}, \quad m_3 = 4.9427 \times 10^{-2} \text{ eV}, \quad \Sigma m_\nu = 0.06053 \text{ eV},$$

The kinematic effective mass for β decay,

$$m_\beta = \sqrt{|U_{e1}|^2 m_1^2 + |U_{e2}|^2 m_2^2 + |U_{e3}|^2 m_3^2} \simeq 8.46 \text{ meV},$$

uses PMNS moduli consistent with global fits [11] and remains a direct falsifier of the Dirac scenario (no $0\nu\beta\beta$ within this framework). The derivation is fully parameter-free: the neutrino \hat{m}_i come from the same fixed-point map and ledger invariants used elsewhere, and the only data input is the measured Δm_{31}^2 .

The *same* global scale s is then applied to the charged-lepton ladder outputs to obtain absolutes in eV,

$$m_e = 510,998.9 \text{ eV}, \quad m_\mu = 105.6584 \text{ MeV}, \quad m_\tau = 1.77686 \text{ GeV},$$

which inherit the ppm-level agreement established by the dispersion $\alpha_{\text{em}}(\mu)$ backend and the two-loop SM running used inside the fixed-point integrals (no toggles or fits). The scale transfer introduces no new freedom: it is a single multiplicative factor fixed by $\Delta m_{\text{large}}^2$ and used unchanged across sectors.

4.3 Internal absolute scale from a Z/W identity (no experimental masses)

The absolute unit s (eV per ladder unit) can alternatively be *derived internally* from a consistency identity using only (i) the *dimensionless* ladder outputs for W and Z , and (ii) a calculable $\cos\theta_W(\mu)$. Let $m_W^{(\varphi)}$ and $m_Z^{(\varphi)}$ denote the ladder outputs. Define

$$F(\mu) = \frac{m_Z^{(\varphi)}}{m_W^{(\varphi)}} \cos\theta_W(\mu) - 1, \quad s = \frac{\mu_\star}{m_W^{(\varphi)}} \quad (\mu_\star : F(\mu_\star) = 0),$$

with $\cos\theta_W(\mu) = g_2/\sqrt{g'^2 + g_2^2}$ and $g'^2 = \frac{3}{5}g_1^2$. In the main runs we take $\cos\theta_W(\mu)$ from a standard two-loop electroweak flow (same block used for leptons), but one may also use a fully internal, parameter-free RS placeholder depending only on φ and the recognition energy E_{rec} to verify independence from low-energy inputs. In either case, no experimental mass or Δm^2 enters.

Numerically $\cos\theta_W(\mu)$ is monotone on tens-hundreds of GeV, so $F(\mu)$ has a unique zero found by safeguarded bisection/Newton in $\ln\mu$. Under this Z/W anchor, neutrino absolute masses become *predictions*; charged-lepton and boson absolutes move only at $\lesssim 10^{-4}$ relative to the ν -anchored snapshot.

4.4 Boson ratios and absolutes (anchored to M_W)

Locked ratios:

$$Z/W = 1.1332824, \quad H/Z = 1.3721798, \quad H/W = 1.5549887,$$

giving

$$M_Z = 91.0921 \text{ GeV } (-0.105\%), \quad M_H = 124.9947 \text{ GeV } (-0.084\%),$$

These follow directly from adjacent rung gaps in the ledger, with absolutes obtained by anchoring to M_W ; no sector-specific parameters are introduced beyond the fixed invariants used by the same solver spine.

4.5 Quark sector (“ φ -fixed” apples-to-apples)

Down-type: $s/d = +0.3198\%$, $b/s = -0.0807\%$, $b/d = +0.2486\%$;

Up-type: $c/u = -0.2109\%$, $t/c = +0.0029\%$, $t/u = -0.2045\%$.

Each experimental mass is evolved to its own fixed-point scale μ_\star before forming ratios, eliminating scheme bias; the same fixed-point/ φ -sheet machinery and ledger invariants apply unchanged.

4.6 Mixings from rung geometry

- PMNS from $(r_e, r_\mu, r_\tau) = (0, 11, 17)$ and $(r_{\nu_1}, r_{\nu_2}, r_{\nu_3}) = (7, 9, 12)$: $\theta_{12} \approx 33.2^\circ$, $\theta_{23} \approx 47.2^\circ$, $\theta_{13} \approx 7.7^\circ$, $\delta_{\text{CP}} \approx -90^\circ$.
- CKM: hierarchical matrix with $|V_{us}| \approx 0.2254$, $|V_{cb}| \approx 0.0412$, $|V_{ub}| \approx 0.0036$ and $\bar{\rho} \approx 0.120$, $\bar{\eta} \approx 0.371$; degenerate sign solution shown and discussed.

Both mixing matrices are determined by the integer rung map plus the closed-form chiral invariant, with no additional parameters or texture assumptions.

5 Error budget and stability

- **Quadrature density (tau window).** The last 10–100 ppm of the charged-lepton ratios are controlled by the dispersion quadrature in $\sqrt{s} \in [1.2, 2.5]$ GeV. Varying the panel count by $\pm 25\%$ moves $(\mu/e, \tau/\mu, \tau/e)$ by $\lesssim \text{few} \times 10^{-5}$ fractionally; no architectural pieces change (same solver, invariants, and RG layer).
- **Fixed-point uniqueness.** Random $\ln m$ seeds spread over several decades converge to the *same* solution with $\leq 10^{-10}$ relative spread. This holds both for the local φ -cycle and for the φ -sheet averaged map (deterministic, seed-independent).
- **Scheme dependence (quarks).** To avoid scheme bias, we report φ -fixed ratios—each experimental quark mass is evolved to its own μ_\star before forming ratios—using the same fixed-point/ φ -sheet spine and ledger invariants; no extra sector weights enter.

6 Predictions and falsifiable tests

- **Dirac neutrino sector (NO).** Absolute scale fixed by Δm_{31}^2 gives $\Sigma m_\nu = 0.0605$ eV and $m_\beta \simeq 8.46$ meV; $0\nu\beta\beta$ is null in this Dirac framework. A shift beyond current cosmology/kinematics windows would falsify the scale transfer that also fixes (e, μ, τ) in eV.
- **CKM phase sign replicas.** Two CP-phase sign replicas appear with identical magnitudes (rung-geometry degeneracy); a single sign is fixed by a convention/embedding, while any future constraint that forbids one sign would select the other without altering magnitudes. (Wolfenstein $\{\lambda, A, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta}\}$ inherited from the rung map; no tunings) [12, 5].
- **Stability curves (leptons).** The predicted dependence of $(\mu/e, \tau/\mu, \tau/e)$ on tau-window density is purely numerical and small ($\text{few} \times 10^{-5}$); plotting these curves provides a direct reproducibility check of the dispersion backend. (Figure to be generated from the public driver with a grid over panel counts.)

7 Reproducibility

- Deterministic pipeline with versioned dispersion kernel, sheet weights, and rung invariants; a single script prints the entire snapshot in one run. All results are produced by the same fixed-point/ φ -sheet spine, the dispersion $\alpha_{\text{em}}(\mu)$ backend, and the ledger-LNAL invariants, with no hidden toggles or fit parameters.
- Provenance (this repository snapshot):
 - commit: 7d1e5aec7e91fb408f7a9bf613990359e294271e
 - tree: 70d25e15b765927d3694380fb6a4e55323a3a9b0
 - script blob for ledger_snapshot_v22c.py: fe6d7bba9822cb575254a76b5c19e2fa0952dbc9
 - Source SHA-256: 7b0b02449e5c054a40eff15af7a0c594977c-17179b5950601cf97db5e1934c2f
 - Compiled pyc SHA-256: e868d7765a532b9f190b2ea1a6971b71d20628721c-fe6ceb29f4bd4a121691e2

- How to reproduce the internal anchor run (CLI):

```
python examples/ledger_snapshot_v22c.py --anchor=ZW --tilt=SM
# optional A/B:
python examples/ledger_snapshot_v22c.py --anchor=ZW --tilt=RS
python examples/ledger_snapshot_v22c.py --anchor=GF
python examples/ledger_snapshot_v22c.py --anchor=MW
```

Z/W derives the absolute unit internally (no masses, no m^2). *Reports/E_{rec}fortheRSbridge*.

8 Discussion and outlook

- The same rung-locked ledger spans leptons, ν , W/Z/H, quarks, and mixing without sector parameters: all sectors share the fixed invariants, the signed φ -sheet averaging, and the dispersion-based running layer.
- Open items: a formal renormalization interpretation of the φ -sheet average; extending absolute predictions for quarks in a fixed, explicitly declared scheme; targeted hadronic data updates in the τ window as new $R(s)$ inputs are released.

9 Conclusion

A minimal, measurement-anchored ledger- φ architecture reproduces SM mass and mixing structure to high precision with zero fitted parameters. Its predictions are falsifiable, robust under numerical variation, and reproducible from a single script, with the full solver, dispersion backend, and invariants layer provided as a deterministic, versioned artifact.

References

- [1] S. Eidelman and F. Jegerlehner, Hadronic contributions to $g-2$ of the leptons and to the effective fine structure constant $\alpha(M_Z^2)$, *Z. Phys. C* **67** (1995) 585–602.
- [2] F. Jegerlehner, The Running fine structure constant $\alpha(E)$ via the Adler function, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **126** (2004) 325–328.
- [3] A. Keshavarzi, D. Nomura, and T. Teubner, The $g-2$ of charged leptons, $\alpha(M_Z^2)$ and the hyperfine splitting of muonium, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 014029.
- [4] M. Davier, A. Hoecker, B. Malaescu, and Z. Zhang, Reevaluation of the hadronic vacuum polarisation contributions to the Standard Model predictions of the muon $g-2$ and $\alpha(M_Z^2)$ using newest hadronic cross-section data, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 827.
- [5] R. L. Workman *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Review of Particle Physics, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2024** (2024) 083C01.
- [6] M. E. Machacek and M. T. Vaughn, Two-loop renormalization group equations in a general quantum field theory, *Nucl. Phys. B* **222** (1983) 83; **236** (1984) 221; **249** (1985) 70.
- [7] D. Buttazzo *et al.*, Investigating the near-criticality of the Higgs boson, *JHEP* **12** (2013) 089.
- [8] K. G. Chetyrkin, J. H. Kühn, and M. Steinhauser, RunDec: A Mathematica package for running and decoupling of the strong coupling and quark masses, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **133** (2000) 43–65.
- [9] F. Herren and M. Steinhauser, Version 3 of RunDec and CRunDec, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **224** (2018) 333–345.
- [10] R. Tarrach, The Pole Mass in Perturbative QCD, *Nucl. Phys. B* **183** (1981) 384.

- [11] NuFIT 5.2 — Three-neutrino oscillation parameters, <https://www.nu-fit.org/> (accessed 2025-08-10).
- [12] L. Wolfenstein, Parametrization of the Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51** (1983) 1945.