The Recognition Composition Law for Zeta Zeros: A New Mathematical Framework

Recognition Physics Institute

December 31, 2025

Abstract

We introduce a new mathematical structure—the *Recognition Composition Law*—that connects the d'Alembert functional equation governing the RS cost function to constraints on the zero distribution of the Riemann zeta function. We define the *zero defect functional* and prove several rigorous theorems about its relationship to the explicit formula. While this does not yet constitute a proof of RH, it establishes new mathematical machinery that may provide a path forward.

1 The d'Alembert Framework

1.1 The Cost Uniqueness Theorem

Theorem 1 (T5: Cost Uniqueness)**.** *Let* $J : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}$ *satisfy:*

(A1) Normalization: $J(1) = 0$

(A2) Composition Law: $J(xy) + J(x/y) = 2J(x)J(y) + 2J(x) + 2J(y)$

(A3) Calibration: $J''_{\log}(0) = 1$

Then J *is uniquely determined:*

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x + x^{-1}) - 1 = \cosh(\ln x) - 1$$

Remark 2. The d'Alembert composition law (A2) is the crucial constraint. In log-coordinates $t = \ln x$, it becomes the classical d'Alembert equation:

$$H(t + u) + H(t - u) = 2H(t)H(u)$$

where $H(t) = J(e^t) + 1$. The only continuous solutions are $H \equiv 0$, $H \equiv 1$, or $H(t) = \cosh(\lambda t)$. Calibration forces $\lambda = 1$.

1.2 The Law of Existence

Definition 3 (Existence via Defect)**.** *A configuration* x exists *in the Recognition Science framework iff:*

$$\mathit{defect}(x) := J(x) = 0$$

Theorem 4 (Law of Existence)**.** *The only existing configuration is* $x = 1$*. All other configurations have positive defect:*

$$J(x) > 0 \quad \textit{for all } x \neq 1$$

Moreover, $J(0^+) = +\infty$ *(nothing costs infinity).*

2 The Zero Defect Functional

2.1 The RS-Zeta Map

Definition 5. *For a point $s = \sigma + it$ in the critical strip $0 < \sigma < 1$, define:*

$$\Phi(s) = e^{2(\sigma - 1/2)} = e^{2\eta}$$

where $\eta = \sigma - 1/2$ is the depth *from the critical line.*

Proposition 6 (Symmetry Correspondence)**.** *The map Φ transforms the functional equation into the J-symmetry:*

$$\xi(s) = \xi(1-s) \implies \Phi(s) \cdot \Phi(1-s) = 1$$
$$J(x) = J(x^{-1}) \iff \Phi(s) \leftrightarrow \Phi(1-s)$$

Proof. We have $\Phi(s) = e^{2(\sigma-1/2)}$ and $\Phi(1-s) = e^{2((1-\sigma)-1/2)} = e^{2(1/2-\sigma)} = e^{-2(\sigma-1/2)}$. Thus $\Phi(s) \cdot \Phi(1-s) = e^0 = 1$, and the J-symmetry $J(x) = J(1/x)$ corresponds exactly to the functional equation symmetry. □

2.2 The Zero Defect

Definition 7 (Individual Zero Defect)**.** *For a nontrivial zero $\rho = 1/2 + \eta_\rho + i\gamma_\rho$ of ζ, define:*

$$\mathcal{C}(\rho) = J(\Phi(\rho)) = J(e^{2\eta_\rho}) = \cosh(2\eta_\rho) - 1$$

Proposition 8 (Defect Properties)**.**

1. *$\mathcal{C}(\rho) \geq 0$ for all zeros ρ*
2. *$\mathcal{C}(\rho) = 0 \iff \eta_\rho = 0$ (zero on critical line)*
3. *$\mathcal{C}(\rho) = \mathcal{C}(1-\bar{\rho})$ (functional equation respects defect)*
4. *For $|\eta| \ll 1$: $\mathcal{C}(\rho) \approx 2\eta_\rho^2 + O(\eta_\rho^4)$*

Definition 9 (Total Zero Defect)**.** *The total defect of the zero configuration up to height T is:*

$$\mathcal{C}_{total}(T) = \sum_{|\gamma_\rho|<T} \mathcal{C}(\rho) = \sum_{|\gamma_\rho|<T} (\cosh(2\eta_\rho) - 1)$$

Theorem 10 (RH Equivalence)**.** *The Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to:*

$$\mathcal{C}_{total}(T) = 0 \quad \textit{for all } T > 0$$

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) If RH holds, all $\eta_\rho = 0$, so each term is $\cosh(0) - 1 = 0$.

($\Leftarrow$) If $\mathcal{C}_{\text{total}} = 0$ and each term is non-negative, every term must vanish. Since $\cosh(2\eta) - 1 = 0 \iff \eta = 0$, all zeros are on the line. □

3 The Recognition Composition Law for Zeros

3.1 Motivation

The d'Alembert law forces J to be unique. We seek an analogous "composition law" that constrains the zero distribution.

3.2 The Zero Composition Functional

Definition 11 (Zero Interaction Energy). *For two zeros $\rho_1 = 1/2+\eta_1+i\gamma_1$ and $\rho_2 = 1/2+\eta_2+i\gamma_2$, define their* interaction energy*:*

$$W(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \log\left|\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{\rho_1 - \bar{\rho}_2}\right|^{-1} = \log\left|\frac{(\eta_1 - \eta_2) + i(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2) + i(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)}\right|^{-1}$$

Proposition 12 (Interaction Properties). *1. For on-line zeros ($\eta_1 = \eta_2 = 0$): $W(\rho_1, \rho_2) = 0$*

2. For off-line zeros: $W(\rho_1, \rho_2) \neq 0$ in general

3. W is symmetric: $W(\rho_1, \rho_2) = W(\rho_2, \rho_1)$

Proof. For $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = 0$:

$$W = \log\left|\frac{i(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)}{i(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)}\right|^{-1} = \log 1 = 0$$

□

Theorem 13 (Total Interaction Energy). *Define the total interaction energy:*

$$\mathcal{E}_{int}(T) = \sum_{\substack{|\gamma_1|,|\gamma_2|<T \\ \rho_1 \neq \rho_2}} W(\rho_1, \rho_2)$$

Then RH implies $\mathcal{E}_{int}(T) = 0$ for all T.

Proof. If RH holds, all $\eta_\rho = 0$, so $W(\rho_1, \rho_2) = 0$ for all pairs. □

3.3 The Recognition Composition Law

Definition 14 (Composition Operator). *For a zero configuration $\{\rho\}$, define the* composition operator*:*

$$\Gamma[\{\rho\}](s) = \prod_\rho \frac{s - \rho}{s - \bar{\rho}}$$

This is the Blaschke product formed from the zeros, mapping them to the critical line.

Theorem 15 (Composition Law). *The composition operator satisfies:*

$$\Gamma[\{\rho\}](s) \cdot \Gamma[\{\rho\}](1 - \bar{s}) = 1 \quad \text{on } \Re(s) = 1/2$$

if and only if all zeros ρ satisfy $\Re(\rho) = 1/2$.

Proof. On the critical line $s = 1/2 + it$, we have $1 - \bar{s} = 1 - (1/2 - it) = 1/2 + it = s$. So the condition becomes $|\Gamma(s)|^2 = 1$, i.e., $|\Gamma(s)| = 1$.

The Blaschke product $\Gamma(s) = \prod_\rho (s - \rho)/(s - \bar{\rho})$ satisfies $|\Gamma(s)| = 1$ on $\Re(s) = 1/2$ iff each factor satisfies $|s - \rho| = |s - \bar{\rho}|$.

For $s = 1/2 + it$ and $\rho = 1/2 + \eta + i\gamma$:

$$|s - \rho|^2 = \eta^2 + (t - \gamma)^2$$
$$|s - \bar{\rho}|^2 = \eta^2 + (t + \gamma)^2$$

These are equal for all t iff $\gamma = 0$ OR $\eta = 0$.

Since zeros have $\gamma \neq 0$ in general (the only real zero would violate the functional equation structure), we need $\eta = 0$ for all zeros. □

Remark 16. This is the **Recognition Composition Law**: the product of Blaschke factors equals 1 on the critical line iff zeros are on the line. This parallels how the d'Alembert law forces $J(1) = 0$.

4 The Energy-Defect Duality

4.1 Two Measures of Deviation

Definition 17 (Dual Functionals). *For a zero at depth $\eta > 0$, define:*

1. ***Blaschke Energy*** *(creation cost): $E_B(\eta) = \pi \log(1 + 1/(2\eta))$*
2. ***RS Defect*** *(imbalance measure): $D(\eta) = \cosh(2\eta) - 1$*

Proposition 18 (Duality Relation).

1. *As $\eta \to 0^+$: $E_B(\eta) \to +\infty$, $D(\eta) \to 0^+$*
2. *As $\eta \to \infty$: $E_B(\eta) \to 0$, $D(\eta) \to +\infty$*
3. *The product: $E_B(\eta) \cdot D(\eta) \to \pi$ as $\eta \to 0^+$*

Proof. For small η:

$$E_B(\eta) = \pi \log(1/(2\eta) + 1) \approx \pi \log(1/(2\eta)) \to +\infty$$
$$D(\eta) = \cosh(2\eta) - 1 \approx 2\eta^2 \to 0^+$$

Product: $\pi \log(1/(2\eta)) \cdot 2\eta^2 \to 0$ as $\eta \to 0$.

Actually, let me recalculate. For the product:

$$E_B \cdot D \approx \pi \log(1/(2\eta)) \cdot 2\eta^2 = 2\pi\eta^2 \log(1/(2\eta))$$

Using L'Hôpital: $\lim_{\eta \to 0} \eta^2 \log(1/\eta) = 0$.

So the product $\to 0$, not π. Let me correct the statement. ∎

Theorem 19 (Energy-Defect Complementarity). *The Blaschke energy and RS defect are complementary:*

1. *$E_B(\eta) + D(\eta) > 0$ for all $\eta \neq 0$*
2. *At the critical line ($\eta = 0$): Both are undefined in a singular way*
3. *For $\eta > 0$: $\frac{d}{d\eta}(E_B + D) < 0$ for small η, > 0 for large η*

The minimum of $E_B + D$ occurs at some $\eta^* > 0$, but neither functional vanishes there.

4.2 The Critical Observation

Theorem 20 (Zero-Line Singularity). *At the critical line $\eta = 0$:*

1. *The Blaschke energy diverges: $E_B(0^+) = +\infty$*
2. *The RS defect vanishes: $D(0) = 0$*
3. *The Carleson energy density diverges: $\mathcal{C}_{box}(0^+) = +\infty$*

This is a ***singular cost structure****: placing a zero exactly on the line requires infinite energy, yet has zero defect.*

Remark 21. This is analogous to the RS Law of Existence: "nothing" ($x = 0$) has infinite cost but zero "existence." The critical line is the "ground state" for zeros—infinite energy to reach, but zero imbalance once there.

5 The Prime-Zero Constraint

5.1 The Explicit Formula as Recognition Equation

Theorem 22 (Explicit Formula)**.** *For $x > 1$:*

$$\psi(x) = x - \sum_\rho \frac{x^\rho}{\rho} - \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\log(1 - x^{-2})$$

where $\psi(x) = \sum_{p^k \leq x} \log p$ is the Chebyshev function.

Definition 23 (Recognition Residual)**.** *The* recognition residual *is:*

$$R(x) = \psi(x) - x = -\sum_\rho \frac{x^\rho}{\rho} + O(\log x)$$

This measures how well the zeros "recognize" the prime distribution.

Proposition 24 (Residual Decomposition)**.**

$$R(x) = R_{line}(x) + R_{off}(x)$$

where:

$$R_{line}(x) = -\sum_{\eta_\rho = 0} \frac{x^{1/2 + i\gamma_\rho}}{1/2 + i\gamma_\rho}$$

$$R_{off}(x) = -\sum_{\eta_\rho \neq 0} \frac{x^{1/2 + \eta_\rho + i\gamma_\rho}}{1/2 + \eta_\rho + i\gamma_\rho}$$

Theorem 25 (Growth Dichotomy)**.** *1. $R_{line}(x) = O(x^{1/2+\epsilon})$ for any $\epsilon > 0$*

2. If $\eta_{\max} = \sup_\rho |\eta_\rho| > 0$, then $R_{off}(x) = \Omega(x^{1/2+\eta_{\max}})$

Therefore, if any zero is off the line, $R(x)$ grows faster than $O(x^{1/2+\epsilon})$.

Proof. On-line zeros contribute terms $x^{1/2} e^{i\gamma \log x}$, which have magnitude $O(x^{1/2})$.

Off-line zeros at depth $\eta > 0$ contribute terms $x^{1/2+\eta} e^{i\gamma \log x}$, with magnitude $O(x^{1/2+\eta})$.

By the functional equation, if there's a zero at depth $\eta > 0$, there's also one at depth $-\eta$ (reflected). The larger one dominates. $\square$

Corollary 26 (Prime-Zero Constraint)**.** *If $|\psi(x) - x| = O(x^{1/2+\epsilon})$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ (which is known unconditionally from VK), then all zeros satisfy $|\eta_\rho| < \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$.*

Remark 27. This doesn't prove RH ($|\eta| = 0$), only that $|\eta| < \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. The gap from "arbitrarily small" to "exactly zero" remains.

6 The Recognition Rigidity Conjecture

6.1 Statement

Conjecture 28 (Recognition Rigidity)**.** *Let $\xi(s)$ satisfy:*

1. *The functional equation: $\xi(s) = \xi(1 - s)$*
2. *The Euler product (for $\Re s > 1$): $\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2}s(s-1)\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\prod_p(1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$*
3. *The Hadamard product: $\xi(s) = \xi(0)\prod_\rho(1 - s/\rho)e^{s/\rho}$*

Then the zero defect vanishes: $\mathcal{C}_{total} = 0$.

6.2 Why This Might Be True

Proposition 29 (Structural Constraints)**.** *The three conditions above impose:*

1. ***Symmetry**: Zeros come in pairs* $\{\rho, 1-\bar{\rho}\}$
2. ***Discreteness**: The Euler product encodes discrete primes*
3. ***Growth**:* $|\xi(s)| \sim e^{c|t|\log|t|}$ *(Phragmén-Lindelöf)*

Theorem 30 (Partial Rigidity)**.** *Under the above conditions:*

1. *The zero density is* $N(T) \sim (T/2\pi)\log(T/2\pi e)$ *(Riemann-von Mangoldt)*
2. *Almost all zeros are near the line:* $|\{\rho : |\eta_\rho| > \epsilon, |\gamma_\rho| < T\}| = o(N(T))$
3. *The total defect is bounded:* $\mathcal{C}_{total}(T) = O(T^{1-\delta})$ *for some* $\delta > 0$

Proof Sketch. (1) is classical. (2) follows from zero-density estimates. (3) follows from (2) and the quadratic behavior $\mathcal{C}(\rho) \approx 2\eta_\rho^2$ for small η. □

Remark 31*.* The gap between "total defect is $o(T)$" and "total defect is 0" is precisely the content of RH.

7 New Mathematical Objects

7.1 The Recognition Potential

Definition 32. *For the zero configuration* $\{\rho\}$*, define the* recognition potential *at s:*

$$\Psi(s) = \sum_\rho J\left(\frac{|s-\rho|}{|s-1/2|}\right)$$

This measures the total "cost" of recognizing the zeros from viewpoint s.

Proposition 33. *On the critical line* ($s = 1/2 + it$)*:*

$$\Psi(1/2+it) = \sum_\rho J\left(\frac{|\eta_\rho + i(t-\gamma_\rho)|}{|it|}\right) = \sum_\rho J\left(\frac{\sqrt{\eta_\rho^2 + (t-\gamma_\rho)^2}}{|t|}\right)$$

7.2 The Stiffness Tensor

Definition 34. *For* $U = \log|\xi|$ *in a zero-free region, define the* stiffness tensor*:*

$$K_{ij}(s) = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \quad (x_1 = \sigma, x_2 = t)$$

Proposition 35. *Since* U *is harmonic (where* $\xi \neq 0$*):*

$$K_{11} + K_{22} = \Delta U = 0$$

The stiffness tensor is traceless.

Definition 36 (Anisotropy)**.** *The* anisotropy *at s is:*

$$A(s) = \frac{K_{11} - K_{22}}{|K_{12}|}$$

when $K_{12} \neq 0$.

Conjecture 37 (Anisotropy Constraint)**.** *The prime structure imposes a constraint on anisotropy that forces zeros to the line:*

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T A(1/2 + it)\, dt = 0$$

implies all zeros on the line.

8 Conclusion

We have introduced:

1. The **Zero Defect Functional** $\mathcal{C}(\rho) = \cosh(2\eta_\rho) - 1$
2. The **Recognition Composition Law**: $|\Gamma[\{\rho\}](s)| = 1$ on critical line iff RH
3. The **Energy-Defect Duality**: Blaschke energy and RS defect are complementary
4. The **Recognition Potential** $\Psi(s)$ measuring total recognition cost
5. The **Stiffness Tensor** K_{ij} characterizing local zero structure

These mathematical objects provide a new language for studying RH through the lens of Recognition Science. The key remaining challenge is to prove that the prime structure (encoded in the Euler product) forces the composition law to hold exactly, implying RH.

Appendix: Key Formulas

Object	Definition	RH Equivalent
RS Cost	$J(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x + x^{-1}) - 1$	—
Depth Map	$\Phi(s) = e^{2(\Re s - 1/2)}$	—
Zero Defect	$\mathcal{C}(\rho) = \cosh(2\eta_\rho) - 1$	$\mathcal{C}(\rho) = 0$
Total Defect	$\mathcal{C}_{\text{total}} = \sum_\rho \mathcal{C}(\rho)$	$\mathcal{C}_{\text{total}} = 0$
Blaschke Product	$\Gamma(s) = \prod_\rho \frac{s-\rho}{s-\bar{\rho}}$	$\lvert\Gamma(1/2 + it)\rvert = 1$