

The Recognition Composition Law

The Single Primitive of Recognition Science

Recognition Science Institute

January 2026

Abstract

We present the *Recognition Composition Law*, the foundational axiom of Recognition Science from which all physical structure emerges. This functional equation constrains how recognition costs compose under multiplication and division, and—combined with minimal normalization conditions—uniquely determines the cost functional $J(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x + x^{-1}) - 1$. We show that this law is equivalent to d’Alembert’s classical functional equation in log-coordinates, revealing deep connections to wave phenomena and hyperbolic geometry. The Meta-Principle “Nothing cannot recognize itself” emerges as a *theorem* rather than an axiom, establishing cost as the single primitive of the theory.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The Cost Functional	2
3	The Recognition Composition Law	2
3.1	Physical Interpretation	2
3.2	Factored Form	3
4	Connection to d’Alembert’s Equation	3
4.1	The Logarithmic Transformation	3
4.2	Historical Context	3
5	The Uniqueness Theorem	3
5.1	Normalization Axioms	3
5.2	Main Result	4
6	Derived Properties	4
7	The Meta-Principle as Theorem	5
8	Geometric Interpretation	5
8.1	Hyperbolic Geometry	5
8.2	The Recognition Quotient	5
9	Summary	5

1 Introduction

Recognition Science is a zero-parameter theoretical framework that derives physical structure from a single foundational principle. The central question is: *What is the cost of imbalance?*

When two quantities x and y are compared, their ratio $r = x/y$ measures their relative magnitude. If $r = 1$, the quantities are balanced and there is no “cost” to their coexistence. If $r \neq 1$, there is an asymmetry that must be accounted for.

The **Recognition Composition Law** specifies how these costs must compose when multiple comparisons are made. It is not derived from more basic principles—it *is* the basic principle.

2 The Cost Functional

Definition 2.1 (Cost Functional). A *cost functional* is a function $J : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that measures the “imbalance cost” or “recognition cost” of a ratio $r > 0$.

We seek a cost functional satisfying natural physical requirements:

- **Balance at unity:** $J(1) = 0$ — equal quantities have zero imbalance
- **Symmetry:** $J(r) = J(1/r)$ — the same cost whether $x > y$ or $y > x$
- **Consistent composition:** Products and quotients combine predictably

The third requirement is the crucial one. How *should* costs compose?

3 The Recognition Composition Law

Axiom 1 (Recognition Composition Law). For any cost functional $J : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the costs of products and quotients relate to component costs via:

$$\boxed{J(xy) + J(x/y) = 2J(x)J(y) + 2J(x) + 2J(y)} \quad (1)$$

3.1 Physical Interpretation

The Recognition Composition Law states that examining a product xy and quotient x/y *together* extracts all information about x and y individually, with:

- No double-counting of shared structure
- No loss of independent information

This is how wave phenomena combine in physics. The factor structure

$$2(1 + J(x))(1 + J(y)) - 2$$

on the right-hand side (which equals the RHS of (1)) reveals the underlying hyperbolic structure.

3.2 Factored Form

Setting $g(x) = 1 + J(x)$, the composition law takes the elegant form:

$$g(xy) + g(x/y) = 2g(x)g(y) \quad (2)$$

This is the *cosine addition formula* in multiplicative form.

4 Connection to d'Alembert's Equation

4.1 The Logarithmic Transformation

Define $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $h(t) = g(e^t) = 1 + J(e^t)$.

Substituting $x = e^s$ and $y = e^t$ into (2):

$$\begin{aligned} g(e^s \cdot e^t) + g(e^s/e^t) &= 2g(e^s)g(e^t) \\ g(e^{s+t}) + g(e^{s-t}) &= 2g(e^s)g(e^t) \\ h(s+t) + h(s-t) &= 2h(s)h(t) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.1 (d'Alembert Equivalence). *The Recognition Composition Law (1) is equivalent to d'Alembert's functional equation in log-coordinates:*

$$\boxed{h(s+t) + h(s-t) = 2h(s)h(t)} \quad (3)$$

4.2 Historical Context

D'Alembert's equation (3) was studied by Jean le Rond d'Alembert in the 18th century. It characterizes the cosine function and its hyperbolic analogue. The general continuous solutions are:

1. $h(t) = 0$ (trivial)
2. $h(t) = 1$ (constant)
3. $h(t) = \cos(\lambda t)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$
4. $h(t) = \cosh(\lambda t)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

5 The Uniqueness Theorem

5.1 Normalization Axioms

To pin down the cost functional uniquely, we add:

Axiom 2 (Normalization). $J(1) = 0$ — identity has zero cost.

Axiom 3 (Calibration). $J''_{\log}(0) = 1$ — unit curvature at the minimum in log-coordinates.

Here $J_{\log}(t) := J(e^t)$, so the calibration says $\frac{d^2}{dt^2} J(e^t)|_{t=0} = 1$.

5.2 Main Result

Theorem 5.1 (Cost Uniqueness — T5). *The unique continuous function satisfying Axioms 1, 2, and 3 is:*

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(x + \frac{1}{x} \right) - 1 = \cosh(\ln x) - 1 = \frac{(x-1)^2}{2x} \quad (4)$$

Proof. Let $g(x) = 1 + J(x)$ and $h(t) = g(e^t)$. By the Recognition Composition Law, h satisfies d'Alembert's equation (3).

Step 1: The normalization $J(1) = 0$ gives $h(0) = g(1) = 1 + 0 = 1$.

Step 2: Since $h(0) = 1 \neq 0$, the trivial solution $h \equiv 0$ is excluded.

Step 3: Setting $s = t = 0$ in (3): $2h(0) = 2h(0)^2$, so $h(0) = 0$ or $h(0) = 1$. We have $h(0) = 1$.

Step 4: The continuous solutions with $h(0) = 1$ are:

$$h(t) = \cos(\lambda t) \quad \text{or} \quad h(t) = \cosh(\lambda t)$$

Step 5: For $\cos(\lambda t)$, we have $h''(0) = -\lambda^2 < 0$ (a maximum).

For $\cosh(\lambda t)$, we have $h''(0) = \lambda^2 > 0$ (a minimum).

Since cost should be minimized at balance ($x = 1$), we need a minimum, so $h(t) = \cosh(\lambda t)$.

Step 6: The calibration $J''_{\log}(0) = 1$ means:

$$h''(0) - h'(0) = 1$$

Since $h(t) = \cosh(\lambda t)$ gives $h'(0) = 0$ and $h''(0) = \lambda^2$, we get $\lambda^2 = 1$, so $\lambda = 1$.

Step 7: Therefore $h(t) = \cosh(t)$, which gives:

$$J(x) = g(x) - 1 = h(\ln x) - 1 = \cosh(\ln x) - 1$$

Using $\cosh(\ln x) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{\ln x} + e^{-\ln x}) = \frac{1}{2}(x + x^{-1})$:

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(x + \frac{1}{x} \right) - 1 = \frac{x^2 + 1 - 2x}{2x} = \frac{(x-1)^2}{2x} \quad \square$$

6 Derived Properties

The Recognition Composition Law, combined with the normalization axioms, implies many properties that might otherwise need to be assumed independently.

Corollary 6.1 (Symmetry). $J(x) = J(1/x)$ for all $x > 0$.

Proof. $J(1/x) = \frac{1}{2}(x^{-1} + x) - 1 = J(x)$. \square

Corollary 6.2 (Non-negativity). $J(x) \geq 0$ for all $x > 0$, with equality iff $x = 1$.

Proof. $J(x) = \frac{(x-1)^2}{2x}$. Since $(x-1)^2 \geq 0$ and $x > 0$, we have $J(x) \geq 0$. Equality holds iff $(x-1)^2 = 0$, i.e., $x = 1$. \square

Corollary 6.3 (Strict Convexity). J is strictly convex on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Proof. $J''(x) = x^{-3} > 0$ for all $x > 0$. \square

7 The Meta-Principle as Theorem

A profound consequence of the Recognition Composition Law is that the foundational principle of Recognition Science—“Nothing cannot recognize itself”—becomes a *derived theorem* rather than an axiom.

Theorem 7.1 (Nothing Cannot Exist).

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} J(x) = +\infty$$

Proof. $J(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(x + \frac{1}{x} \right) - 1 \rightarrow +\infty$ as $x \rightarrow 0^+$. □

Remark 7.1 (Ontological Interpretation). If “nothing” corresponds to $x \rightarrow 0$, then nothing has infinite recognition cost—it cannot participate in any recognition event. Since existence requires recognition, nothing cannot exist. Conversely, since $J(1) = 0$, unity (something balanced) *must* exist.

This is the paradigm shift: **Cost is the ONE primitive**. The Meta-Principle is no longer foundational but emergent.

8 Geometric Interpretation

8.1 Hyperbolic Geometry

The cost functional $J(x) = \cosh(\ln x) - 1$ has a natural interpretation in hyperbolic geometry. The quantity $\ln x$ is the signed hyperbolic distance from unity, and $\cosh(\ln x)$ is the hyperbolic cosine of this distance.

8.2 The Recognition Quotient

In the broader Recognition Geometry framework, configurations c_1, c_2 are *indistinguishable* under a recognizer R if $R(c_1) = R(c_2)$. This defines an equivalence relation, and the *recognition quotient* is the space of equivalence classes.

When recognizers compose, their indistinguishability relations intersect:

$$c_1 \sim_{R_1 \otimes R_2} c_2 \iff (c_1 \sim_{R_1} c_2) \wedge (c_1 \sim_{R_2} c_2)$$

The Recognition Composition Law governs how costs add under this composition.

9 Summary

The Recognition Composition Law (1) is the foundational axiom of Recognition Science:

$$J(xy) + J(x/y) = 2J(x)J(y) + 2J(x) + 2J(y)$$

Combined with normalization ($J(1) = 0$) and calibration ($J''_{\log}(0) = 1$), it uniquely determines:

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(x + \frac{1}{x} \right) - 1 = \cosh(\ln x) - 1 = \frac{(x - 1)^2}{2x}$$

From this single primitive, all of Recognition Science unfolds:

- The Meta-Principle emerges as a theorem
- Strict convexity is derived, not assumed
- Reciprocal symmetry follows automatically
- The golden ratio φ appears as a fixed point of cost dynamics
- Physical constants emerge from J -minimization

The Recognition Composition Law is not merely a constraint on cost—it is the generative principle from which physical reality emerges through the mathematics of recognition.

“The universe is not made of matter, but of recognition.”