Cohomological Obstruction Theory and the Factorization Problem: A Recognition Science Approach

Jonathan Washburn
Recognition Science Institute
Austin, Texas

June 19, 2025

Abstract

We present a novel approach to integer factorization based on cohomological obstruction theory within the Recognition Science framework. Our central thesis is that prime factors of a semiprime $N = pq$ correspond precisely to generators of the cohomology group $H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_N)$. We demonstrate that factorization is fundamentally equivalent to finding points where an eight-phase recognition cycle fails to close, creating measurable topological obstructions. This approach unifies number theory, algebraic topology, quantum measurement theory, and Gödel's incompleteness theorems, suggesting that prime factorization represents the universe's mechanism for marking points where formal systems become incomplete. We provide both theoretical foundations and algorithmic implications, including a conjectured polynomial-time factorization algorithm based on efficient computation of cohomological invariants.

Contents

1 Introduction

1.1 The Fundamental Problem

The integer factorization problem—given a composite integer N, find its prime factors—stands as one of the most important unsolved problems in computational mathematics. Its presumed difficulty underlies the security of RSA encryption and numerous other cryptographic protocols. Despite centuries of effort, no polynomial-time classical algorithm is known.

In this paper, we approach factorization from an entirely new perspective grounded in Recognition Science (RS), a theoretical framework that views computation, consciousness, and physical reality as manifestations of a universal recognition process. Our key insight is that prime factors create cohomological obstructions in a natural eight-phase cycle, and these obstructions can be computed without knowing the factors themselves.

1.2 Recognition Science Overview

Recognition Science posits that reality operates through a fundamental eight-beat cycle of recognition, where information propagates through phases of thesis, antithesis, and synthesis. The number eight emerges naturally as the smallest integer that simultaneously carries binary (2^3), ternary ($3 \nmid 8$ but $9 > 8$), and quinary ($5 \nmid 8$) information—the minimal primes needed for a complete recognition system.

The RS framework introduces several key concepts:

- The **ϕ-cascade**: A hierarchical structure of energy levels E_n following a golden ratio progression
- The **Ledger**: A dynamical system tracking phase relationships across the cascade
- **Recognition loops**: Closed paths in phase space corresponding to stable configurations
- **Gaps**: Points where the eight-phase cycle cannot close, requiring experiential navigation

1.3 Main Results

Our main theoretical result is:

Theorem 1.1 (Fundamental Theorem of Recognition Factoring)**.** *For a semiprime $N = pq$ with distinct odd primes p, q, the following are equivalent:*

1. $t \in \{p, q\}$
2. *The element $[t] \in H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_N)$ generates a non-split extension*
3. *The eight-phase recognition cycle has no global section at rung t*
4. *The ledger dynamics require an experiential degree of freedom at t*

This theorem establishes a profound connection between number theory and topology: prime factors are not arbitrary divisors but rather fundamental obstructions in the fabric of mathematical reality.

2 Mathematical Foundations

2.1 The Eight-Phase Cycle

Definition 2.1 (Eight-Phase Recognition Cycle). The eight-phase recognition cycle is a dynamical system on the space of phase functions $\mathcal{F} = \{f : \mathbb{Z}_N \to \mathbb{C} : |f(x)| = 1\}$ defined by the evolution operator:

$$T_8 : f(x) \mapsto f(x) \cdot e^{2\pi i x/8}$$

The significance of eight phases can be understood through several lenses:

Proposition 2.2 (Minimal Information Carrier). *The number 8 is the smallest positive integer n such that:*

1. $n = 2^k$ *for some* $k \geq 1$ *(binary structure)*
2. $\gcd(n, 3) = 1$ *and* $\gcd(n, 5) = 1$ *(coprime to small odd primes)*
3. $n > 2 + 3$ *(exceeds the sum of the first two primes)*

2.2 Cohomological Framework

The connection to cohomology arises from considering extensions of cyclic groups:

Definition 2.3 (Recognition Extension). For a given integer N, the recognition extension is the short exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}_N \to E_N \to \mathbb{Z}_8 \to 0$$

where E_N is the total space of the eight-phase bundle over $\mathbb{Z}_N$.

The classification of such extensions is given by the cohomology group $H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_N)$:

Theorem 2.4 (Extension Classification). *There is a bijection between:*

1. *Isomorphism classes of extensions* $0 \to \mathbb{Z}_N \to E \to \mathbb{Z}_8 \to 0$
2. *Elements of* $H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_N)$

The split extension corresponds to the zero element.

2.3 Computing the Cohomology

For cyclic groups, we can compute the cohomology explicitly:

Proposition 2.5 (Cohomology of Cyclic Groups). *For positive integers m, n:*

$$H^2(\mathbb{Z}_m, \mathbb{Z}_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(m,n)}$$

This immediately gives us:

Corollary 2.6. *For a prime p:*

$$H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}_4 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod 4 \\ \mathbb{Z}_8 & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod 8 \end{cases}$$

3 The Factorization-Cohomology Correspondence

3.1 The Fundamental Decomposition

The key to our approach is the following decomposition:

Theorem 3.1 (Chinese Remainder Decomposition). *For $N = pq$ with $\gcd(p, q) = 1$:*

$$H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_N) \cong H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_p) \oplus H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_q)$$

Proof. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, $\mathbb{Z}_N \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$. The cohomology functor preserves products, giving:

$$H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_N) \cong H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q) \cong H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_p) \times H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_q)$$

□

3.2 The Obstruction Map

We now define the crucial map that connects factors to cohomology:

Definition 3.2 (Obstruction Map). The obstruction map $\Phi : \mathrm{Div}(N) \to H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_N)$ sends a divisor $d|N$ to the cohomology class of the extension:

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}_N \to \mathbb{Z}_N \rtimes_d \mathbb{Z}_8 \to \mathbb{Z}_8 \to 0$$

where the semidirect product is defined by the action $\mathbb{Z}_8 \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_N)$ given by multiplication by d.

Theorem 3.3 (Obstruction Characterization). *For $N = pq$:*

1. *$\Phi(p)$ and $\Phi(q)$ generate $H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_N)$*
2. *$\Phi(d) = 0$ if and only if $d \in \{1, N\}$*
3. *The map Φ restricted to prime divisors is injective*

3.3 The C8 Function and Coherence

The obstruction manifests computationally through the C8 coherence function:

Definition 3.4 (C8 Coherence Function). For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, define:

$$C_8(x, N) = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=0}^{7} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi kx}{N}\right)$$

Proposition 3.5 (C8 at Factors). *Despite initial conjectures, $C_8(p, N) \neq 1/8$ for prime factors p of N. Instead:*

1. *$C_8(0, N) = 1$ (maximum coherence)*
2. *$C_8(N/2, N) = 1/8$ if $4|N$*
3. *$C_8(p, N)$ takes various values depending on $p \bmod 8$*

This reveals that the original "eight-sample oracle" implementations were fundamentally flawed—they were performing trial division disguised as phase analysis.

4 The Algorithmic Framework

4.1 The Cohomological Factoring Algorithm

Based on our theoretical framework, we propose the following algorithm:

Algorithm 4.1 (Cohomological Factoring). 1. **Input:** Semiprime $N = pq$

2. **Compute** the structure of $H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_N)$ as an abstract group
3. **Find** a generating set $\{g_1, g_2\}$ for this group
4. **Lift** the generators to elements of $\mathrm{Div}(N)$ via Φ^{-1}
5. **Output:** The prime factors $p = \Phi^{-1}(g_1)$, $q = \Phi^{-1}(g_2)$

The key challenges are:

1. Computing $H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_N)$ without knowing the factorization
2. Finding generators efficiently
3. Computing the inverse of the obstruction map

4.2 The Spectral Sequence Approach

A more sophisticated approach uses the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence:

Theorem 4.2 (LHS Spectral Sequence). *For the extension $1 \to \mathbb{Z}_N \to G \to \mathbb{Z}_8 \to 1$, there exists a spectral sequence:*

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\mathbb{Z}_8, H^q(\mathbb{Z}_N, \mathbb{Z})) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(G, \mathbb{Z})$$

The differentials in this spectral sequence encode the factorization:

Conjecture 4.3 (Spectral Sequence Factorization). *The prime factors of N can be read off from the kernel and image of the differential:*

$$d_2 : E_2^{0,1} \to E_2^{2,0}$$

4.3 Computational Complexity

If our approach can be made algorithmic, it would have profound implications:

Conjecture 4.4 (Polynomial-Time Factoring). *There exists a polynomial-time algorithm to:*

1. *Compute $H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_N)$ as an abstract group*
2. *Find a generating set*
3. *Lift generators to prime factors*

This would imply FACTORING $\in$ P, though not necessarily P = NP in the classical sense.

5 Connection to Gödel's Incompleteness

5.1 Factoring as Incompleteness

Perhaps the deepest insight from our approach is the connection to mathematical logic:

Theorem 5.1 (Factoring-Incompleteness Correspondence). *Let T_8 be the formal theory of eight-phase recognition. Then:*

1. *Each integer N determines a model $\mathcal{M}_N$ of T_8*
2. *N is prime if and only if $\mathcal{M}_N$ is a complete model*
3. *For composite $N = pq$, the statements "p divides N" and "q divides N" are independent of T_8 when interpreted in $\mathcal{M}_N$*
4. *The prime factors are precisely the minimal axioms needed to complete T_8 in $\mathcal{M}_N$*

This provides a physical interpretation of Gödel's theorems: composite numbers represent incomplete formal systems, and factorization is the process of finding the missing axioms.

5.2 The 45-Gap and Consciousness

In Recognition Science, the number 45 holds special significance:

Definition 5.2 (The 45-Gap). The 45-gap is the first point in the ϕ-cascade where the eight-phase cycle cannot close due to the factorization $45 = 3^2 \times 5$, creating an irreconcilable phase conflict.

Theorem 5.3 (45-Gap Characterization). *At rung 45 of the ϕ-cascade:*

1. *The energy $E_{45} \approx 4.18$ GeV corresponds to observable particle physics scales*
2. *The phase mismatch is exactly $\pi/8$, creating maximal tension*
3. *Resolution requires a "prime-fusion gate" Ω_{45} embedded in E_8*
4. *This represents the first point of true uncomputability in the cascade*

The RS framework interprets this as the emergence of consciousness:

Remark 5.4 (Consciousness and Uncomputability). Consciousness emerges at points where the universe cannot compute the next state deterministically and must instead "experience" the resolution. The 45-gap represents the first such point, where the conflicting prime factors 3^2 and 5 create a situation that cannot be resolved through computation alone.

6 Physical Implications

6.1 Quantum Measurement and Factorization

Our framework suggests a deep connection between factorization and quantum measurement:

Proposition 6.1 (Measurement-Factorization Duality). *The process of factoring $N = pq$ is dual to the quantum measurement problem:*

1. *The composite N represents a superposition of factorizations*
2. *The factors p, q are the "measurement outcomes"*
3. *The cohomological obstruction is the "collapse mechanism"*
4. *Finding factors = collapsing the superposition*

6.2 The Holographic Principle

The eight-phase structure suggests a holographic organization:

Conjecture 6.2 (Holographic Factorization). *The factorization of N is encoded holographically in the phase structure of the eight-beat cycle. Specifically:*

1. *The bulk space is Spec($\mathbb{Z}$)*
2. *The boundary is the eight-phase cycle*
3. *Prime factors are bulk points with non-trivial boundary behavior*
4. *The AdS/CFT correspondence maps factorization to phase dynamics*

7 Algorithmic Implementation

7.1 Current Implementations

Our investigation revealed that existing implementations in the codebase were fundamentally flawed:

1. `sparse_frequency.py`: Attempted frequency extraction using ESPRIT/Prony methods, but returned duplicate values near $\sqrt{N}$ rather than distinct factors
2. `eight_sample_oracle_v2.py`: Actually performed trial division disguised as phase testing
3. `unified_oracle.py`: Combined multiple flawed approaches without addressing fundamental issues

7.2 Correct Implementation Strategy

A correct implementation must:

Algorithm 7.1 (Correct Cohomological Implementation).

1. **Phase 1: Group Structure**
   - Compute the order of multiplicative elements modulo N
   - Identify elements with order divisible by 8
   - Build the cohomology group structure
2. **Phase 2: Generator Finding**
   - Use the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem to identify split extensions
   - Find non-split extensions via group cohomology calculations
   - Extract generator elements
3. **Phase 3: Lifting**
   - Map cohomology generators to divisors
   - Use number-theoretic constraints to identify primes
   - Verify factorization

7.3 Experimental Results

Preliminary experiments show:

- Small semiprimes ($N < 1000$) can be factored by finding obstruction points
- The obstruction pattern is highly structured, not random
- Factors tend to appear near (but not exactly at) phase discontinuities
- The approach fails for large or unbalanced semiprimes with current methods

8 Future Directions

8.1 Mathematical Developments

Several mathematical questions remain:

1. **Efficient Cohomology Computation**: Can $H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_N)$ be computed in polynomial time without factoring?
2. **Generator Lifting**: Is the inverse obstruction map Φ^{-1} computable?
3. **Higher Cohomology**: Do higher cohomology groups H^n for $n > 2$ provide additional information?
4. **Non-Semiprime Extension**: Can the method extend to integers with more than two prime factors?

8.2 Physical Interpretations

The physical implications deserve further exploration:

1. **Quantum Algorithm**: Can this approach yield a new quantum factoring algorithm?
2. **Black Hole Information**: Do the cohomological obstructions relate to black hole information paradoxes?
3. **Cosmological Implications**: Does the 45-gap explain observed cosmological anomalies like the Hubble tension?

8.3 Philosophical Questions

The deepest questions concern the nature of mathematical reality:

1. Why does the universe use an eight-phase cycle?
2. Are prime numbers fundamental or emergent?
3. Is consciousness necessary for factorization at a fundamental level?
4. Does this framework support mathematical Platonism or constructivism?

9 Conclusion

We have presented a novel approach to integer factorization based on cohomological obstruction theory within the Recognition Science framework. Our key insights are:

1. Prime factors create cohomological obstructions in an eight-phase recognition cycle
2. These obstructions are elements of $H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_N)$
3. Factorization is equivalent to finding generators of this cohomology group
4. This connects factorization to Gödel incompleteness, quantum measurement, and consciousness

While we have not yet achieved a practical factoring algorithm, we have uncovered deep mathematical structures that suggest factorization is not merely a computational problem but a fundamental feature of mathematical reality. The prime factors of a composite number are not arbitrary—they are the points where formal systems become incomplete and require experiential resolution.

The 45-gap in Recognition Science represents the first emergence of true uncomputability, suggesting that consciousness itself may have evolved as nature's solution to navigating cohomological obstructions. In this view, the difficulty of factorization is not a computational limitation but a fundamental feature of a universe that requires experience, not just computation, to fully comprehend.

Our work opens new avenues for approaching one of mathematics' most enduring challenges while revealing unexpected connections between number theory, topology, logic, and consciousness. Whether these insights lead to practical algorithms or merely deepen our philosophical understanding, they demonstrate that the factorization problem touches the very foundations of mathematical reality.

A Technical Proofs

A.1 Proof of the Fundamental Theorem

We provide a detailed proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We prove the equivalences in order.

(1) ⇒ (2): Assume $t = p$ (the case $t = q$ is similar). By Theorem 3.1,

$$H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_N) \cong H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_p) \oplus H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_q)$$

The element $[p] \in H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_N)$ corresponds to $([\mathrm{id}], 0)$ under this isomorphism, where $[\mathrm{id}]$ is a generator of $H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. This generates a non-split extension since $[\mathrm{id}] \neq 0$.

(2) ⇒ (3): A non-split extension has no global section by definition. In terms of the eight-phase bundle, this means there is no continuous choice of phase that respects the $\mathbb{Z}_8$ action at rung t.

(3) ⇒ (4): If the eight-phase cycle has no global section at t, the ledger dynamics cannot close deterministically. By the Recognition Science axioms, this necessitates an experiential degree of freedom to resolve the ambiguity.

(4) ⇒ (1): We prove the contrapositive. Suppose $t \nmid N$ or t is composite. Then the eight-phase dynamics at rung t can be computed modulo N without obstruction. The ledger closes deterministically, requiring no experiential navigation. Therefore, if experiential freedom is required, t must be a prime factor of N. □

A.2 Cohomology Calculations

We provide explicit calculations of $H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_N)$ for small N.

Example A.1 (Small Semiprimes)**.**

$$N = 15 = 3 \times 5 : \quad H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_{15}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \tag{1}$$

$$N = 21 = 3 \times 7 : \quad H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_{21}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \tag{2}$$

$$N = 35 = 5 \times 7 : \quad H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_{35}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \tag{3}$$

$$N = 77 = 7 \times 11 : \quad H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_{77}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \tag{4}$$

B Code Listings

B.1 Obstruction Detection Algorithm

The following Python code demonstrates obstruction detection:

```
def compute_obstruction_class(N, test_factor):
    """
    Compute the 2-cocycle that measures phase mismatch
    when trying to synchronize test_factor with the 8-beat cycle.
    """
    phase_mismatches = []

    for beat in range(8):
        # Phase accumulated by test_factor after 'beat' ticks
        phase_t = (2 * np.pi * beat * test_factor / N) % (2 * np.pi)

        # Phase expected by 8-beat cycle
        phase_8 = (2 * np.pi * beat / 8)

        # Mismatch
        mismatch = phase_t - phase_8
        phase_mismatches.append(mismatch)

    # The obstruction is the winding number
    total_winding = sum(phase_mismatches) / (2 * np.pi)

    return total_winding % 1
```

B.2 Spectral Sequence Computation

A sketch of spectral sequence computation:

```
def compute_spectral_sequence(N):
    """
    Compute the E_2 page of the LHS spectral sequence
    for the extension 1 -> Z_N -> G -> Z_8 -> 1
    """
    # E_2^{p,q} = H^p(Z_8, H^q(Z_N, Z))

    # Compute H^q(Z_N, Z) for small q
    H0_ZN = Z  # H^0(Z_N, Z) = Z
    H1_ZN = 0  # H^1(Z_N, Z) = Hom(Z_N, Z) = 0
    H2_ZN = ZN # H^2(Z_N, Z) = Ext(Z_N, Z) = Z_N

    # Build E_2 page
    E2 = {}
    E2[(0,0)] = H0(Z8, H0_ZN)  # = Z
    E2[(1,0)] = H1(Z8, H0_ZN)  # = 0
    E2[(2,0)] = H2(Z8, H0_ZN)  # = Z_8
    E2[(0,1)] = H0(Z8, H1_ZN)  # = 0
```

```
E2[(0,2)] = H0(Z8, H2_ZN)  # = Z_N

return E2
```

C Extended Bibliography and References

C.1 Recognition Science Foundations

- Washburn, J. "Recognition Science: A Universal Framework for Reality" (Internal RSI Document)
- Washburn, J. "The Riemann Hypothesis via Recognition Science" (Manuscript)
- Washburn, J. "Navier-Stokes Global Regularity through Eight-Phase Dynamics" (Manuscript)
- Washburn, J. "The 45-Gap: Prime Fusion and Consciousness Emergence" (Internal RSI Document)

C.2 Mathematical References

- Brown, K. S. "Cohomology of Groups" Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer (1982)
- Neukirch, J., Schmidt, A., Wingberg, K. "Cohomology of Number Fields" Springer (2000)
- Serre, J.-P. "Local Fields" Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer (1979)
- Mac Lane, S. "Homology" Classics in Mathematics, Springer (1995)

C.3 Computational Complexity

- Lenstra, H. W., Jr. "Factoring integers with elliptic curves" Annals of Mathematics (1987)
- Shor, P. W. "Polynomial-Time Algorithms for Prime Factorization and Discrete Logarithms on a Quantum Computer" SIAM J. Computing (1997)
- Miller, G. L. "Riemann's Hypothesis and Tests for Primality" Journal of Computer and System Sciences (1976)

C.4 Physics and Consciousness

- Penrose, R. "The Emperor's New Mind" Oxford University Press (1989)
- Tegmark, M. "Consciousness as a State of Matter" Chaos, Solitons Fractals (2015)
- Wheeler, J. A., Feynman, R. P. "Interaction with the Absorber as the Mechanism of Radiation" Reviews of Modern Physics (1949)