

Geometric mass residue versus Standard-Model RG transport: separation, no-go, and Lean-certified gap properties

Jonathan Washburn*

Abstract

An earlier “single-anchor” draft (retained in this file for provenance) implicitly conflated two distinct quantities: a closed-form geometric residue

$$\mathcal{F}(Z) = \frac{\ln(1 + Z/\varphi)}{\ln \varphi}$$

and the Standard-Model running-mass transport residue

$$f_i^{\text{RG}}(\mu_\star, \mu) := \frac{1}{\ln \varphi} \int_{\ln \mu_\star}^{\ln \mu} \gamma_m^{\text{SM}}(\mu') d \ln \mu',$$

where γ_m^{SM} is the usual QCD/QED/EW mass anomalous dimension in $\overline{\text{MS}}$. This manuscript records the corrected framework: $\mathcal{F}(Z)$ is taken as the Recognition-side (structural) residue f^{Rec} , while f_i^{RG} is a small perturbative transport factor used only to compare structural predictions to PDG masses in a fixed scheme. We formalize in Lean: strict concavity / diminishing increments of \mathcal{F} , certified interval bounds for $\mathcal{F}(24)$ and $\mathcal{F}(276)$ (matching the existing $\mathcal{F}(1332)$ style), and a no-go separation showing that small RG residues cannot equal $\mathcal{F}(1332)$.

*e-mail: jon@recognitionphysics.org

One-line result (scan-friendly). Define the geometric (Recognition) residue

$$f^{\text{Rec}}(Z) \equiv \mathcal{F}(Z) \equiv \text{gap}(Z) := \frac{\ln(1 + Z/\varphi)}{\ln \varphi}$$

with the fixed integer map

$$Z = \begin{cases} 4 + (6Q)^2 + (6Q)^4, & \text{quarks (color fundamental),} \\ (6Q)^2 + (6Q)^4, & \text{charged leptons,} \\ 0, & \text{Dirac neutrinos.} \end{cases}$$

Separately define the Standard-Model transport residue

$$f_i^{\text{RG}}(\mu_\star, \mu) := \frac{1}{\ln \varphi} \int_{\ln \mu_\star}^{\ln \mu} \gamma_m^{\text{SM}}(\mu') d \ln \mu'.$$

Framework separation (no cheating): f_i^{RG} is not identified with f^{Rec} ; Lean certifies concavity/bounds for f^{Rec} and proves a no-go inequality separating small f^{RG} from $\text{gap}(1332)$.

Status (Lean formalization). The geometric residue $f^{\text{Rec}}(Z)$ and its key analytic/numerical properties are now machine-verified in Lean (concavity / diminishing increments, and certified interval bounds at $Z \in \{24, 276, 1332\}$). A no-go separation is also proved showing that a small perturbative transport residue cannot equal $\text{gap}(1332)$.

Operational non-circularity rule (framework-separated). PDG masses are external validation targets. Structural quantities ($f^{\text{Rec}}(Z)$ and the sector yardsticks used to form $m_{\text{pred}}(\mu_*)$) are computed without PDG masses; SM RG (QCD/QED/EW) is used only as a common transport map so comparisons are made like-for-like in a fixed scheme/scale.

$$m_i^{\text{PDG} \rightarrow \mu_*} = m_i^{\text{PDG}}(\mu_{\text{ref}}) \exp \left(\int_{\ln \mu_{\text{ref}}}^{\ln \mu_*} \gamma_i(\mu) d \ln \mu \right),$$

Note: a previous draft used this transport to assert an “anchor identity” $f^{\text{RG}} \approx \mathcal{F}(Z)$. That identification is withdrawn; the corrected framework separates f^{RG} from f^{Rec} .

1 Framework update (2025-12): separating f^{Rec} from f^{RG}

Two residues, different roles. We distinguish:

$$f^{\text{Rec}}(Z) := \mathcal{F}(Z) = \frac{\ln(1 + Z/\varphi)}{\ln \varphi} \quad (\text{geometric/structural; zero-parameter}),$$

$$f_i^{\text{RG}}(\mu_*, \mu) := \frac{1}{\ln \varphi} \int_{\ln \mu_*}^{\ln \mu} \gamma_m^{\text{SM}}(\mu') d \ln \mu' \quad (\text{SM transport; Exp. Phys.})$$

The earlier identification $f_i^{\text{RG}}(\mu_*, m_i) \stackrel{?}{\approx} f^{\text{Rec}}(Z_i)$ is *not* adopted. In the corrected framework, f^{Rec} is the Recognition-side band coordinate; f^{RG} is used only to transport between schemes/scales when comparing to PDG masses.

No-go (no cheating). Lean proves that a small transport residue cannot equal the large geometric band value (e.g. for $Z = 1332$): see ‘Indisputable-Monolith/Physics/MassResidueNoGo.lean’.

Certified properties of \mathcal{F} . Lean proves strict concavity / diminishing increments for the real extension of \mathcal{F} , and certifies interval bounds for $\mathcal{F}(24)$ and $\mathcal{F}(276)$ (matching the style for $\mathcal{F}(1332)$): see ‘IndisputableMonolith/RSBridge/GapProperties.lean’.

Measurability and prediction. The experimental observable remains the PDG mass m_i . The theory predicts a mass (or band coordinate) from RS structure $\gamma_i = \gamma_i^{\text{QCD}} + \gamma_i^{\text{QED}}$ and SM RG is used only to transport for like-for-like comparison. The geometric residue $f^{\text{Rec}}(Z)$ is not “measured from PDG”; it is a closed-form prediction from the integer invariant Z .

Legacy note. The remainder of this file contains the legacy single-anchor SM-identity draft and CI machinery retained for provenance; it is excluded from compilation while the public-facing RS mass manuscript is rewritten under the separated-residue framework.

References

- [1] R. L. Workman *et al.* (Particle Data Group), “Review of Particle Physics,” *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2022**, 083C01 (2023 update), doi:10.1093/ptep/ptac097.
- [2] J. A. M. Vermaseren, S. A. Larin, and T. van Ritbergen, “The 4-loop quark mass anomalous dimension and the invariant quark mass,” *Phys. Lett. B* **405**, 327 (1997).
- [3] T. van Ritbergen, J. A. M. Vermaseren, and S. A. Larin, “The 4-loop QCD beta-function in the MS scheme,” *Phys. Lett. B* **400**, 379 (1997), doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(97)00370-5.
- [4] K. G. Chetyrkin, B. A. Kniehl, and M. Steinhauser, “Decoupling relations to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$

and their connection to low-energy theorems,” Nucl. Phys. B **510**, 61 (1998), doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00649-4.

- [5] F. Herren and M. Steinhauser, “Version 3 of RunDec and CRunDec: Running and decoupling of the strong coupling and quark masses,” Comput. Phys. Commun. **224**, 333 (2018), doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2017.11.014.
- [6] M. E. Machacek and M. T. Vaughn, “Two-Loop Renormalization Group Equations in a General Quantum Field Theory. II. Yukawa Couplings,” Nucl. Phys. B **236**, 221 (1984), doi:10.1016/0550-3213(84)90533-9. (See also I: Nucl. Phys. B **222**, 83 (1983), doi:10.1016/0550-3213(83)90610-7.)
- [7] M.-x. Luo, H.-w. Wang, and Y. Xiao, “Two-loop renormalization group equations in the Standard Model,” Phys. Rev. D **67**, 065019 (2003), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.67.065019.
- [8] P. M. Stevenson, “Optimized Perturbation Theory,” Phys. Rev. D **23**, 2916 (1981), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.23.2916.
- [9] S. J. Brodsky, G. P. Lepage, and P. B. Mackenzie, “On the Elimination of Scale Ambiguities in Perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics,” Phys. Rev. D **28**, 228 (1983), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.28.228.
- [10] J. Washburn, “Empirical Regularity in Standard Model Fermion Masses: Code and Data,” Git repository mirrored in the artifact manifest (repository name and commit hash recorded in out/log/manifest.txt).

Kernels and policies

Unless stated otherwise, we use: (i) QCD four-loop running and quark mass anomalous dimensions with n_f stepping $3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6$ at fixed $\overline{\text{MS}}$ thresholds (μ_c, μ_b, μ_t) held constant for all species and all scans, with standard one-step decoupling and matching at each threshold; (ii) QED two-loop running for charged leptons and quarks with a single, global choice of $\alpha(\mu)$ policy; (iii) a single global input for $\alpha_s(M_Z)$. Sensitivity bands are produced by coherent, sector-global variations of these inputs (no per-species tuning or offsets).

Statements and Declarations

Funding. This work received no external funding. The Recognition Physics Institute provided institutional support only.

Competing interests. The author declares no financial or nonfinancial competing interests.

Author contributions. J. Washburn conceived the study, developed the theory, implemented the code and artifacts, performed all calculations, and wrote the manuscript.

Data availability. All numerical outputs underlying the figures and claims (CSV files for residues, ratios, sensitivity sweeps) are included in the artifact bundle. Exact file names are cited in-text and mirrored in the manifest.

Code availability. The scripts used to produce the CSVs and \LaTeX inserts are archived with the data at the same DOI and tagged by commit hash. No proprietary software is required to reproduce the results.

Ethics approval, Consent, and Human/Animal research. Not applicable.

Use of AI tools. No generative AI was used to produce scientific content; standard editing tools were used for grammar and typesetting only.