Using Pytest in a Research Project

Leonard Sasse & Synchon Mandal

May 2, 2024

"Program testing can be a very effective way to show the presence of bugs, but it is hopelessly inadequate for showing their absence."

— Edsger W. Dijkstra, "The Humble Programmer" (1972)

Imagine You Write a Simple Function

```
def add(a, b):
    """Add two values."""
    return a + b
```

How do we know that this is correct?

Testing our New Function

We can go to the REPL and run the code! For example, we know that 2 + 2 = 4:

python3

and then:

```
>>> add(2, 2)
```

Seems like our function is doing the right thing!

Testing a Script

We can test whether a script is running and producing the correct output:

```
def add(a, b):
    return a + b
def mul(a, b):
    return a * b
def predict(x):
    intercept = 5
    coef = 0.7
    return add(
        mul(coef, x),
        intercept
```

```
def main():
    for x in range(10):
        y = predict(x)
        print(f''f(\{x\}) = \{y\}'')
if name__ == "__main__":
    main()
```

Run The Script and Inspect the Output

python3 model.py

The results will be displayed on the terminal and we can check whether the results are correct or plausible by hand.

```
f(0) = 5.0
```

$$f(1) = 5.7$$

$$f(2) = 6.4$$

$$f(3) = 7.1$$

$$f(4) = 7.8$$

$$f(5) = 8.5$$

$$f(6) = 9.2$$

$$f(8) = 10.6$$

$$f(9) = 11.3$$

What is the Problem with this Approach?

What is the Problem with this Approach

- As projects grow, manually re-testing every script is tedious and error prone.
- We forget manual tests that we have done in the past and don't do it again
- We are testing a very limited set of inputs
 - Bugs may only appear for certain edge cases but we are not really searching for those

Instead using REPL or running the Script, we can automate the Testing

We can run these tests all at once using pytest which will tell us if they pass or fail.

```
pip install pytest
pytest src/tests/
```

```
def add(a, b):
    return a + b

def mul(a, b):
    return a * b
```

```
def test_add():
    result = add(2, 2)
    assert result == 4

def test_mul():
    result = mul(2, 2)
    assert result == 4
```

Pass

```
(.env) {leo|~/projects/pytest-demo(git≠*main)}~> pytest src/tests/
platform linux -- Python 3.11.8, pytest-8.2.0, pluggy-1.5.0
rootdir: /home/leo/projects/pytest-demo
plugins: cov-5.0.0, xdist-3.6.1
collected 2 items
src/tests/test model.pv ...
                                                   1 100%
          .env) (leo ~/projects/pytest-demo(git≠*main)) <> □
```

Figure 1: PASSED

Introduce a bug:

```
def add(a, b):
    return a - b

pytest src/tests/
```

```
def test_add():
    result = add(2, 2)
    assert result = 4

src/tests/test_model.py:21: AssertionError

FAILUB src/tests/test_model.py:2test_add - assert 0 == 4

src/tests/test_model.py:1est_add - assert 0 == 4

(.env) (leol~/projects/pytest-demo(git-*main))>
```

Figure 2: FAIL

We can get a Report on line coverage using the pytest-cov plugin

```
pip install pytest-cov
```

From the repository root run:

```
pytest src/tests/ --cov=src
```

Figure 3: coverage

We can also see which lines in our code are not executed in tests:

From the repository root run:

```
pytest src/tests/ --cov=src --cov-report term-missing
src/tests/test model.py ...
   ----- coverage: platform linux, python 3.11.8-final-0 -----
Name
                         Stmts Miss Cover Missing
src/ init .pv
                                        100%
                                    7 50%
src/model.pv
                            14
                                               16-18, 22-24, 28
src/tests/test model.pv
                            12
                                       100%
TOTAL
                            26
                                        73%
```

Figure 4: coverage term missing

Lastly, for long test suites: Use ALL the cores!

If you have lots of tests and they take some time you can use pytest-xdist which will run tests in parallel when you use the -n flag:

```
pip install pytest-xdist
pytest src/tests/ --cov=src --cov-report term-missing -n 16
```

Note, that in our example this will run slower since we only have two functions and the parallelising overhead is not really worth it. But if your tests are running longer than a few seconds, this will likely already be worth it.

A Note On Importing Your Functions to a Test Module

Importing python modules can be tricky. Pytest uses the <code>__init__.py</code> to realise that the test module is part of a python package and can use init files to find the necessary imports, so make sure not to forget them if you are using relative imports in your test files. The <code>tree</code> for our example repo looks like that:

```
src/
— __init__.py
— model.py
— tests
— test_model.py

2 directories, 3 files
```

Figure 5: innit

Importing your Modules to Test Files

```
import sys
from pathlib import Path
# The import is a bit messier and could simply
# be:
# from ..model import add, mul, predict
# if we only wanted to use pytest.
# The below import also enables us to directly
# run tests without packaging and installing our
# project if we ever want to do that.
src = Path( file ).parents[0] / ".." / "."
print(src)
sys.path.append(str(src))
```

Packaging your Project

- if you have a medium/large sized folder of files with code that is shared by different executables, you probably want to properly package it (by that I only mean making the local project installable via pip, NOT necessarily publishing on PyPI)
 - see Publishing a Python Package
 - see Video on packaging with historical context
- python/pytest import f***ery can be quite confusing
 - see Pytest: Good Integration Practices
 - see Blog post on package layout and problems it can induce to python/pytest import f***ery
- if your project is packaged and you want to specifically test the installed version rather than local modules available in your \$PYTHONPATH, you may want to look into using tox
- The main point here is that you do NOT need to properly package your project in order to write and run tests with pytest. You can do this easily with any project you already have that defines any functions or classes you can import elsewhere.

What do you think about these tests?

```
def add(a, b):
    return a + b

def mul(a, b):
    return a * b
```

```
def test_add():
    result = add(2, 2)
    assert result == 4

def test_mul():
    result = mul(2, 2)
    assert result == 4
```

Consider this Bug:

```
def add(a, b):
    return a * b

def test_add():
    result = add(2, 2)
    assert result == 4

def mul(a, b):
    return a + b

def test_mul():
    result = mul(2, 2)
    assert result == 4
```

The behaviour of the functions has completely changed, but the tests will pass, so we might think all is well!

Mutation Testing

This is precisely what mutation testing does: It takes your code and creates mutated variants of your code. It will then run your tests to see if your tests are good enough to catch the mutation. If your tests still pass, you might have to improve your testing! In this example it may be enough to simply add a few more test cases:

```
def add(a, b):
    return a * b
```

```
def test_add():
    result = add(2, 2)
    assert result == 4

    result = add(7, 2)
    assert result == 9

    result = add(1, 2)
    assert result == 3
```

Mutation Testing in Python

Name/Link	Pros	Cons
mutmut	Actively maintained, can cache previous progress	Not written by me
pymute	Written by me	Can't cache previous progress (yet)
mut.py	Couldn't find any	Not actively maintained, not compatible with current versions, do not use
mut.py fork	Was forked because mut.py was not actively maintained	Also not actively maintained

