

Notes 9/21

Liz Austin

9/23/2017

Comments on Assignment 1:

- 1) Produce a single, self-contained file
 - R markdown or python equivalent
 - create images using that same software
- 2) Solution set will be posted
- 3) Use slack/office hours!!
 - Work on equations/latex/knitting, share interesting realizations
 - slack board for assignment 2
- 4) We will begin using HW to cover things not covered in class

Casey's question: Confidence interval = coverage probability? (i.e. 95% when $n \rightarrow \infty$?)

Topics for Today:

- 1) Diagnostics (*read book, too much to cover in class)
- 2) Newton-Raphson
- 3) Inference

Diagnostics

- 1) Deviance
 - measure of goodness of fit based on likelihood
 - most useful as a comparison between models
- a) Equation:

$$D = -2[L(\hat{\mu}|y) - L(y|y)]$$

$L(y|y)$ is log likelihood, saturated model, "best case scenario"

LRTS- comparing your model over a saturated model

$$D_{\text{gaussian}} = \sum (y - \hat{\mu})^2$$

same Kernel of MSE

$$D_{\text{poisson}} = 2 \sum (y \log(\frac{y}{\hat{\mu}}) - (y - \hat{\mu}))$$

- b) Deviance test for "nested" models

$$M_0 \rightarrow \hat{\mu} \text{ and } M_1 \rightarrow \hat{\mu}_1$$

M_0 is a special case of M_1

$$\text{ex: } \eta_{i1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2}$$

$$\eta_{i0} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} \text{ (i.e. } \beta_2 = 0)$$

- simpler models have larger deviance, lower log-likelihoods

- $H_0 : \mu_1$ explains the data as well as μ_0
 $D(y|\hat{\mu}_0) = D(y|\hat{\mu}_1) \sim \chi^2_{df}$
 $df = \#$ of parameter difference = $\#$ of parts in M_1 - $\#$ parts in M_0
- 2) Residuals
- Pearson, deviance, standardized
- a) Equation:
- Pearson: $e_i = \frac{y - \hat{\mu}_i}{\sqrt{V(\hat{\mu}_i)}}$
 - Standardized: $r_i = \frac{e_i}{\sqrt{(1 - \hat{h}_i)}}$
 – Advantage of standardized residuals: r_i (approx) $\sim N(0, 1)$
- b) Binned residual plots
- “Is my model fitting reasonably?”
 - In R \rightarrow `arm::binnedplot()`
 1. Group observations into groups ordered by whatever x value (x_k, x_{ik}, \hat{y}) with the same number of observations per group
 2. Compute the group-wide average residual
 3. Plot
- c) Ex. 6.2.2 in book, Heart Disease and BP
- Sample of male residents of Framingham, MA age 40-59
 - $Y = [1, \text{developed heart disease during 6 yr. follow-up or } 0, \text{no HD}]$
 - $X = \text{Systolic blood pressure (continuous)}$
 - Independence model: $\text{logit}(\pi_i) = \alpha$
 - Systolic BP model: $\text{logit}(\pi_i) = \alpha + \beta X_i$

X level	n	Ind. Model	SBP Model
<117	156	-2.62	-1.11
117-126	252	-0.12	2.37
127-136	.	-2.02	-0.95
137-146	.	-0.74	-0.57
147-156	.	-0.74	-0.57
157-166	.	0.93	-0.33
167-186	99	3.67	0.65
>187	43	3.07	-0.18

Pretty strong linear of residuals in independence model so we need to use SBP model

Newton-Raphson

- 1) What is it?
- A general iterative method for solving non-linear equations
- a) Steps:
1. Initial guess at solution
 2. Approximate the function locally \rightarrow find maximum
 3. The maximum becomes your next “guess”
 4. Complete steps 2-3 until convergence
- b) Mathematically:
- We are maximizing $L(\beta)$
 $u = (\frac{\partial L(\beta)}{\partial \beta_1}, \frac{\partial L(\beta)}{\partial \beta_2}, \dots), H = \text{Hessian Matrix where element } i,j \text{ is: } \frac{\partial^2 L(\beta)}{\partial \beta_i \partial \beta_j}$
 - Iterative process, $t=1 \dots$

$$u^{(t)} = u(\beta^{(t)})$$

$$H^{(t)} = H(\beta^{(t)})$$
 where $\beta^{(t)}$ is our t^{th} “guess” of β

- Taylor series approximation in local region of $\beta^{(t)}$:

$$L(\beta) \approx L(\beta^{(t)}) + u^{(t)}(\beta - \beta^{(t)}) + \frac{1}{2}(\beta - \beta^{(t)})^T H^{(t)}(\beta - \beta^{(t)}) \text{ (*multidimensional quadratic!)}$$

$$\text{solve: } \frac{\partial L(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = u^{(t)} + H^{(t)}(\beta - \beta^{(t)}) = 0 \rightarrow \beta^{(t+1)}$$

$$\beta^{(t+1)} = \beta^{(t)} - (H^{(t)})^{-1}u^{(t)}$$

$$H^{(t)} \rightarrow (I^{(t)})^{-1} \text{ *Fisher's uses this instead of Hessian matrix}$$