

TFY4235 - The World of Quantum Mechanics

Karl Kristian Ladegård Lockert^a

^a*Institutt for fysikk, Norges Teknisk-Naturvitenskapelige Universitet, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway.*

Abstract

Abstract

1. Introduction

we get

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = H\psi \quad (1)$$

$$H\psi_n = E_n\psi_n \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-\hbar^2}{2mL^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_n}{\partial x'^2} &= E_n\psi_n \implies \\ -\frac{\partial^2 \psi_n}{\partial x'^2} &= \lambda_n\psi_n, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with the relation

$$\lambda_n = \frac{2mL^2 E_n}{\hbar^2} \quad (7)$$

2. The Hamiltonian

The Schrödinger equation tells us the time-evolution of the wave function, which, according to the Copenhagen interpretation of quantum mechanics, has the physical interpretation of a probability amplitude when squaring the absolute value. In our current setup, where we have

$$V = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < x < L \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad (3)$$

there is 0% chance of finding the particle inside the “walls” (at $x < 0$ or $x > L$). Thus, the wave function must go to zero at these points. Defining $x' = x/L$ and $t' = t/t_0$, and inserting in Equation (1).

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} &= i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t'} \frac{\partial t'}{\partial t} = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2mL^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x'^2} \\ \implies i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t'} &= \frac{-\hbar}{2mL^2 \frac{\partial t'}{\partial t}} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x'^2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, setting

$$t' = \frac{\hbar}{2mL^2} t, \quad x' = \frac{x}{L} \quad (4)$$

gives the wanted dimensionless equation

$$i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t'} = -\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x'^2}. \quad (5)$$

Inserting our new variables in Equation (2) with

$$H = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(x) = -\frac{\hbar}{2mL^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x'^2} + \tilde{V}(x'),$$

between the energy levels and the dimensionless eigenvalues. The boundary conditions that the wave function disappears in the walls, but the walls are now at $x' = 0$ and $x' = 1$. It is now clear that choosing $x_0 = L$ is suitable, as it makes us able to work on the simple domain $[0, 1]$. Any other proportionality constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x' = \alpha x/L$ should work as well, scaling both the time and energies by a factor α^2 , as long as x' is dimensionless. Other scaling possibilities are also possible, and have consequences for the analytic expressions for ψ . For example scaling the interval to be mirrored about $x = 0$ would only pick out the even terms in a Fourier series expansion.

Solving Equation (6) with the imposed boundary conditions can be done analytically in the following manner. Since we are looking for solutions that have self-similar second derivatives with an extra minus sign, we guess a solution on the form $\psi = A_n \sin(\sqrt{\lambda_n} x') + B_n \cos(\sqrt{\lambda_n} x')$. The boundary condition $\psi(x' = 0) = 0$ gives $B_n = 0$, while the boundary condition $\psi(x' = 1) = 0$ gives the restriction to λ_n that $\sqrt{\lambda_n} = n\pi$, hence the labels n are also justified. Our analytic solution is therefore

$$\psi_n(x') = \mathcal{N} \sin(\pi n x'), \quad (8)$$

where \mathcal{N} is a normalization constant to be decided.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \langle \psi_n | \psi_n \rangle = \mathcal{N}^2 \int_0^1 dx' \sin^2(\pi n x') \\ &= \mathcal{N}^2 \int_0^1 dx' \frac{1 - \cos(2\pi n x')}{2} = \frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2} \\ \implies \mathcal{N} &= \sqrt{2}, \end{aligned}$$

and we have the analytic solution as announced in [1].

The implementation of a finite-difference-scheme is done using sparse matrix formatting for the cases when the discretization step is very small. Otherwise a dense matrix format is sufficient.

The implementation of

$$\alpha_n = \langle \psi_n | \Psi_0 \rangle = \int dx' \psi_n^*(x') \Psi_0(x') \quad (9)$$

can be done in a simple fashion by taking optimized inner-product implementations for general vectors, so calculating the actual integral is actually not needed.

To compute the error of a numerical solution, we must have a metric of some sort. Let us use

$$E[\bar{\psi}_n(x')] = \int dx' |\bar{\psi}_n(x') - \psi_n(x')|^2 \quad (10)$$

as an example, where the bar denotes the numerical approximation to the analytic function. Using the reduced units previously discussed, we can express the full state

$$\Psi(x, t) = \sum_n \alpha_n \exp\left(-\frac{iE_n t}{\hbar}\right) \psi_n(x) \quad (11)$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \alpha_n \exp\left(-\frac{iE_n t}{\hbar}\right) \psi_n(x) &= \sum_n \alpha_n \exp\left(-\frac{iE_n t}{\hbar}\right) \psi_n(x) \\ &= \sum_n \exp\left(-\frac{i \frac{\hbar^2 \lambda_n^2}{2mL^2} \frac{2mL^2 t'}{\hbar}}{\hbar}\right) \psi_n(x') \\ &= \sum_n \alpha_n \exp(-i\lambda_n t') \psi_n(x'). \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] J. T. Kjellstadli, A. Sala, and I. Simonsen. Assignment 3: The world of quantum mechanics. http://web.phys.ntnu.no/~ingves/Teaching/TFY4235/Assignments/TFY4235_Assignment_03.pdf, 2020.