# **Style Transfer of Audio Effects**

## Mahya Khazaei

maahhi@uvic.ca

#### **ABSTRACT**

2 My project is based on this paper [1] presents a novel 3 framework for style transfer of audio effects using differ-4 entiable signal processing. The framework uses a deep 5 neural network to analyze an input recording and a style 6 reference recording and predict the control parameters of 7 audio effects used to render the output. This framework in-8 tegrates audio effects as differentiable operators, performs 9 backpropagation through audio effects, and optimizes end-10 to-end using an audio-domain loss. The proposed self-su-11 pervised training strategy enables automatic control of au-12 dio effects without the use of any labeled or paired training 13 data. This framework has the potential to simplify the au-14 dio production process by allowing users to easily apply 15 desired styles and effects to their recordings. In this pro-16 ject, after simple implementation of proposed model, I 17 measured the capability of a filter-transformer which has 18 been learned by limited types of filters.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The potential applications of audio effects style transfer, this technique can be beneficial in various domains that rely on manipulating sound for creative or functional pur-3 poses. One such domain is the film and television industry, where audio effects are an integral part of creating immer-5 sive soundscapes that complement visual storytelling. By employing style transfer techniques, sound designers can quickly adapt the audio effects and production style from some scene or project to another, making it easier to establish consistent auditory aesthetics while saving time and resources.

Another application of audio effects style transfer is in the realm of music production. Artists and producers can use this technology to imbue their work with a unique sound signature, borrowing the style of one recording to inspire mew creations. This could enable musicians to blend gensers, experiment with different sound palettes, or re-interpret their own work with a fresh perspective. Additionally, podcast and audiobook production can also benefit from this technology, as it allows for seamless adaptation of audio quality and style between different episodes or chapters, ensuring a consistent listening experience for the audience.

43 In the realm of gaming, audio effects style transfer can en44 hance the gaming experience by allowing developers to
45 create dynamic and adaptive soundscapes that respond to
46 player actions and in-game events. By applying the style
47 of specific audio samples to in-game sounds, developers
48 can quickly generate cohesive and immersive audio envi49 ronments that contribute to the overall game design and
50 player immersion.

51 Furthermore, audio effects style transfer can be applied to 52 the field of sound restoration and archiving. By transfer-53 ring the style of well-preserved recordings to degraded or 54 damaged ones, it is possible to recover some of the lost 55 audio quality and ensure a consistent listening experience 56 across a collection of historical or rare recordings. This 57 technology could prove invaluable for preserving and re-58 vitalizing important cultural and historical sound artifacts, 59 as well as enabling new artistic endeavors that draw from 60 the past.

61 The process of audio production has long been an intricate 62 and time-consuming task, requiring expertise in manipu63 lating various audio effects such as loudness, timbre, spa64 tialization, and dynamics. These effects, while powerful in 65 the hands of experienced audio engineers, can be challeng66 ing for amateurs to navigate and often necessitate tedious 67 adjustments even for professionals. Automatic audio pro68 duction methods have emerged in response to these chal69 lenges, aiming to simplify and expedite the audio produc70 tion process by providing adaptive control of audio effects
71 based on input signals.

72 While rule-based systems built on audio engineering best-73 practices have seen success, they are limited by their ina-74 bility to account for the vast diversity of real-world audio 75 engineering tasks. Machine learning approaches offer 76 greater flexibility but have been hindered by the difficulty 77 of obtaining sufficient parametric data in a standardized 78 way. Recently, deep learning has shown promise in over-79 coming these challenges, leading to an increasing interest 80 in data-driven audio production techniques.

81 In the paper, DeepAFx-ST, a novel framework for audio 82 effects style transfer is introduced that leverages differen-83 tiable signal processing to automatically control audio ef-84 fects based on a short example style recording. This ap-85 proach not only simplifies the audio production process 86 but also generalizes to previously unseen recordings and 87 varying sample rates.

88 The approach demonstrates the ability to perform audio ef-89 fect style transfer for both speech and music signals, pro-90 duce interpretable audio effects control parameters that fa-91 cilitate user interaction, and operate at sampling rates dif-92 ferent from those seen during training. As a person who 93 wants to reimplement a simpler version of the whole pro-94 ject, it is beneficial to study the code, demonstration video, 95 and listening examples provided online to facilitate further 96 understanding and application in this domain.

### 2. BACKGROUND

98 Audio production style transfer has been an area of interest 99 in recent research, with several studies focusing on con-100 trolling specific audio effects using techniques such as 101 neural networks and random forest, as well as deep neural 102 network approaches for controlling parametric frequency 103 equalizers. However, these methods primarily concentrate 104 on individual audio effects and use parameter domain 105 losses, leading to certain limitations in performance and 106 generalization across various effect classes.

107 Differentiable signal processing has emerged as a promis-108 ing solution to overcome these challenges, allowing for an 109 effective integration of digital signal processing (DSP) op-110 erations with neural networks. Parametric frequency 111 equalizers (PEQ) and dynamic range compressors (DRC) 112 are two common audio production effects that are of par-113 ticular interest for differentiable audio effects. While dif-114 ferentiable PEQs have been previously developed, differ-115 entiable compressors remain unexplored. PEQs are typi-116 cally designed as cascaded second order IIR filters, also 117 known as biquads. However, the recursive filter structure may cause issues due to vanishing/exploding gradients and 119 computational bottlenecks during backpropagation 120 through time (BPTT), motivating the use of frequency-domain finite impulse response (FIR) approximations.

122 In addition to manual implementation of differentiable sig123 nal processing operations, alternative approaches such as
124 neural proxy (NP), neural proxy hybrid models, and non125 differentiable DSP implementations with numerical gradi126 ent approximation methods have been proposed. The NP
127 approach trains a neural network to emulate the behavior
128 of a signal processor, while hybrid models aim to reduce
129 inference time complexity and minimize approximation
130 error by combining neural proxy models with the original
131 DSP device. Lastly, non-differentiable DSP implementa132 tions can be directly used with numerical gradient approx133 imation methods, providing an alternative that does not re134 quire pre-training or knowledge of the DSP.

# 3. MODEL ARCHITECTURE

136 For someone looking to reimplement the production style
137 transfer paper, the approach involves feeding magnitude
138 spectrograms from input and style reference recordings
139 into a shared-weight convolutional neural network en140 coder. This encoder generates a time series of embeddings
141 for each recording, which are then aggregated using tem142 poral average pooling to create a single embedding of di143 mension D for both the input and style reference. These
144 embeddings are concatenated and passed to the controller

The controller network, a basic multi-layer perceptron (MLP), aims to produce control parameters that configure a set of audio effects, taking into account the information from the encoder about the production styles of the input and reference. The goal is to configure the audio effects such that the input signal, when passed through the effect chain, will produce a recording that matches the style ref-

A key aspect of this approach is integrating audio ef-155 fects directly within the neural network's computation 156 graph. This allows for incorporating domain knowledge, 157 imposing a strong inductive bias, reducing processing ar-158 tifacts, and lowering computational complexity. Unlike 159 previous work, audio effects are fully integrated as differ-160 entiable operators or layers, enabling backpropagation 161 through effects during training. 162 There are five unique differentiation strategies for back163 propagating through audio effects to consider when reim164 plementing the paper: manually implemented automatic
165 differentiation effects (AD), neural proxy effects (NP), full
166 neural proxy hybrids (NP-FH), half neural proxy hybrids
167 (NP-HH), and numerical gradient approximations (SPSA).
168 While AD, NP, and SPSA methods have been used in au169 tomatic audio production tasks before, NP-FH has only
170 been applied in static image processing hyperparameter
171 optimization, and NP-HH is a novel approach. It's essential
172 to compare these methods to determine their relative effi173 cacy in the context of the reimplemented work.

#### 4. IMPLEMENTATION

175 In this study, the project was implemented from scratch, 176 beginning with the implementation of various parametric 177 DSPs as filters, including Parametric Equalizer (PEQ), 178 Compressor (CMP), Reverb, and Distortion. The PEQ was 179 designed with six bands, ranging from 20 to 1200 Hz, and 180 allowed the user to pass six different gains from -20 to 20 181 as the filter parameters. The compressor was simplified to 182 accept only threshold (-20, 20) and ratio (2, 6) as input pa-183 rameters, while the reverb accepted room scale from 0.1 to 184 1, wet level from 0.1 to 0.8, and dry level from 0.5 to 1. 185 To employ full neural proxy hybrids, a proxy was trained 186 for each filter used within the architecture to mimic the fil-187 ter and enable optimizer passage. CNN networks were uti-188 lized to train both the PEQ and CMP proxies. These prox-189 ies were integrated as a differentiable audio effect compo-190 nent within a pipeline. The component accepted input au-191 dio and eight parameters (six for the PEQ and two for the 192 CMP), applying the PEQ proxy with the first six parame-193 ters on the input audio to generate the PEQ output. Subse-194 quently, the CMP proxy applied compression based on the 195 last two parameters to the PEQ output, producing the final 196 output.

197 The model architecture employed CNN as encoders and 198 MLP for the controller. The majority of neural network 199 layers in this project utilized ReLU activation functions, 200 while the controller's final output, which determined the 201 parameter, employed a sigmoid and scaler to facilitate 202 training by providing parameter range hints.

203 A subset of the train-clean-360 dataset, which is part of 204 LibriTTS, was used for this project. It was divided into 205 1,000 audio tracks with a length of 0.53 seconds and a sam-206 ple rate of 24,000. The time domain loss was computed 207 using the mean absolute error (MAE), while the frequency 208 domain loss was calculated using the multi-resolution 209 short-time Fourier transform loss (MR-STFT). The MR-210 STFT loss is the sum of the distances between the STFT 211 of the ground truth and estimated waveforms, measured in 212 both log and linear domains across multiple resolutions, 213 with window sizes W  $\in$  [32, 128, 512, 2048] and hop 214 sizes H = 256.

#### 5. RESULTS AND EVALUATION

To evaluate the performance of the implemented DSPs, 217 they were applied to multiple audio tracks, and the param-218 eters were manually adjusted to observe if the resulting au219 dio changed accordingly. Due to the absence of data aug-220 mentation and the relatively small dataset, there was a high 221 risk of overfitting. Training epochs were halted when the 222 loss of the validation dataset began to increase.

223

Upon training the main network with proxies, the per225 formance was validated against DSP functions using three
226 distinct approaches: (1) generating audio tracks similar to
227 their corresponding PEQ and CMP processed counter228 parts, (2) generating audio tracks resembling their reverb229 processed counterparts, and (3) generating audio tracks
230 similar to their distortion-processed counterparts. The re231 sulting MAE and MR-STFT for applying PEQ and CMP
232 were 0.0539 and 0.2703, respectively. For the reverb ap233 proach, the values were 0.0686 and 0.4552, while for dis234 tortion, they were 10798.12 and 79496.91.

235

248

Upon listening to the results, it was evident that a style transfer model with an equalizer and compressor could not successfully apply other filters, such as reverb and distoration. Although the numerical results for the reverb apton. Although the numerical results for the reverb apton proach were acceptable, the generated audio did not closely resemble the reference. This discrepancy can be attributed to the loss functions' inherent characteristics, which aim to measure similarities in time and frequency domains. Distortion introduces significant noise with varying frequencies and alters the audio in the time domain, thereby affecting the loss functions' ability to provide a meaningful comparison.

#### 6. REFERENCES

- Steinmetz, Christian J., Nicholas J. Bryan, and Joshua D. Reiss. "Style transfer of audio effects with differentiable signal processing." arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.08759 (2022).
- T. Wilmering, D. Moffat, A. Milo, M. B. Sandler, "A history of audio effects," Applied Sciences, vol. 10, no. 3, p. 791 (2020).
- M. M. Ram'ırez, E. Benetos, J. D. Reiss, "Deep learning for black-box modeling of audio effects," Applied Sciences, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 638 (2020).