

Investigate the distributions of the mean and variance of a normal variable.

For a random normal variable, X, with 0 mean and unit variance, investigate:

- the distribution of the mean: find the Monte Carlo average and confidence intervals,
- the distribution of the variance: find the Monte Carlo average and confidence intervals,
- consider various sample sizes, N = 10,50,100,500 ,
- consider various replications, R = 100,500,1000 .

Simulation settings

```
N      = 50;      % sample size
xmu   = 0;       % mean (0 basic)
xvar  = 1;       % variance (note: std = sqrt(xvar)) (1 basic)
R     = 100;      % number of Monte Carlo replications
```

Preallocate storage for estimates (Mean, Variance)

```
MCest = nan(R, 2);
```

(Optional) If you want named columns, use a table instead:

```
MCestTbl = table(nan(R,1), nan(R,1), 'VariableNames', {'Mean','Variance'});
```

Monte Carlo simulation

```
% Simulate all data at once: each column = one replication
X = normrnd(xmu, sqrt(xvar), [N, R])
```

```
% Compute the statistics of interest across rows (dimension 1)
MCmean = mean(X, 1);           % mean of each column
MCvar  = var(X, 1, 1);         % population variance (set 0 for sample variance)

% Combine results
MCest = [MCmean.' MCvar.'];

% (Optional) Display a preview
disp(array2table(MCest(1:5,:), 'VariableNames', {'Mean','Variance'}))
```

```

%% Compare the histograms
figure;

subplot(1,2,1)
histogram(MCest(:,1))
title('Mean')

subplot(1,2,2)
histogram(MCest(:,2))
title('Variance')

% Restore default layout (optional)
set(gcf, 'Position', [100 100 800 400]) % nicer side-by-side view

```

```

%% Compare the densities
figure;

% --- Density for the Mean ---
subplot(1,2,1)
[f, xi] = ksdensity(MCest(:,1)); % kernel density estimate
plot(xi, f, 'LineWidth', 2)
hold on
% Normal curve with same mean & sd
mu1 = mean(MCest(:,1));
sd1 = std(MCest(:,1));
y_norm = normpdf(xi, mu1, sd1);
plot(xi, y_norm, '--b', 'LineWidth', 2)
hold off
title('MC Density Estimate (Mean)')
legend('Kernel Density', 'Normal Fit')

% --- Density for the Variance ---
subplot(1,2,2)
[f, xi] = ksdensity(MCest(:,2));
plot(xi, f, 'LineWidth', 2)
hold on
mu2 = mean(MCest(:,2));
sd2 = std(MCest(:,2));
y_norm = normpdf(xi, mu2, sd2);
plot(xi, y_norm, '--b', 'LineWidth', 2)
hold off

```

```

title('MC Density Estimate (Variance)')
legend('Kernel Density', 'Normal Fit')

% Optional: adjust layout
set(gcf, 'Position', [100 100 1000 400])

```

```

%% Compare the empirical CDFs
figure;

% --- ECDF for the Mean ---
subplot(1,2,1)
[f, x] = ecdf(MCest(:,1)); % empirical CDF
plot(x, f, 'r', 'LineWidth', 2)
hold on
% Theoretical normal CDF
x_theor = linspace(min(x), max(x), 200);
F_theor = normcdf(x_theor, mean(MCest(:,1)), std(MCest(:,1)));
plot(x_theor, F_theor, '--b', 'LineWidth', 2)
hold off
title('Empirical CDF - Mean')
legend('Empirical CDF', 'Normal CDF', 'Location', 'best')

% --- ECDF for the Variance ---
subplot(1,2,2)
[f, x] = ecdf(MCest(:,2));
plot(x, f, 'r', 'LineWidth', 2)
hold on
x_theor = linspace(min(x), max(x), 200);
F_theor = normcdf(x_theor, mean(MCest(:,2)), std(MCest(:,2)));
plot(x_theor, F_theor, '--b', 'LineWidth', 2)
hold off
title('Empirical CDF - Variance')
legend('Empirical CDF', 'Normal CDF', 'Location', 'best')

% Optional: adjust figure size
set(gcf, 'Position', [100 100 1000 400])

```

Compute the **95% confidence intervals** (based on quantiles of the Monte Carlo estimates)

```

%% 95% Monte Carlo confidence intervals
alpha = 0.05;

```

```
% For the mean estimates
CI_mean = quantile(MCest(:,1), [alpha/2, 1 - alpha/2]);

% For the variance estimates
CI_var = quantile(MCest(:,2), [alpha/2, 1 - alpha/2]);

% Display results
fprintf('95% CI for Mean: [% .6f, % .6f]\n', CI_mean(1), CI_mean(2));
fprintf('95% CI for Variance: [% .6f, % .6f]\n', CI_var(1), CI_var(2));
```

- **OLS**: purely geometric — fits the best line by minimizing vertical distances (squared).
- **MLE**: probabilistic — finds parameters that make the data most likely under a statistical model.

Consider a random normal variable $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

We investigate the distributions of the sample mean and sample variance under different sample sizes and numbers of Monte Carlo replications.

Effect of Sample Size on the mean and variance

- The sample mean \bar{X} is unbiased: $\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] = 0$.
- Its standard deviation is $\text{SD}(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$.
- Therefore, the 95% confidence interval for the mean shrinks as N increases:

$$\text{half-width} \approx \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

N	10	50	100	500
Half-width	0.62	0.28	0.20	0.09

For the unbiased estimator

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \bar{X})^2,$$

we have $\mathbb{E}[s^2] = 1$.

For the **MLE estimator**

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \bar{X})^2,$$

we have $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\sigma}^2] = \frac{N-1}{N}$, which is slightly biased downward.

The approximate standard error of s^2 is

$$\text{SE}(s^2) \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{N-1}}.$$

Example values:

N	10	50	100	500
$\text{SE}(s^2)$	0.47	0.20	0.14	0.06

Confidence intervals for a variance are asymmetric (based on the chi-square distribution), but they become clearly tighter as N increases.

Larger N makes both the sample mean and variance estimates more stable, and their confidence intervals narrower.

For small N , the estimates fluctuate more, and the MLE variance is slightly biased low.

Effect of Number of Replications

- Changing R does not alter the statistical properties of the estimators.
- It only affects how precisely the Monte Carlo average or confidence interval is estimated.
- The Monte Carlo standard error of an estimated quantity decreases as $1/\sqrt{R}$.
- For example, the standard deviation of the Monte Carlo average of the sample mean is

$$\text{SD}(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{NR}}.$$

Increasing R reduces simulation noise in the Monte Carlo estimates (making them smoother and closer to theoretical values), but it does not change the underlying variability determined by N .

Parameter	Effect
$N \uparrow$	Smaller sampling variability; narrower CIs; less bias
$R \uparrow$	More stable Monte Carlo summaries; smoother results

Do as above for a random normal variable, X , with mean equal to 5 and variance equal to 20. Has anything changed?

Simulation settings

```
N      = 50;      % sample size
xmu   = 5;       % mean (0 basic)
xvar  = 20;      % variance (note: std = sqrt(xvar)) (1 basic)
R      = 2000;    % number of Monte Carlo replications
```

Preallocate storage for estimates (Mean, Variance)

```
MCest = nan(R, 2);
```

(Optional) If you want named columns, use a table instead:

```
MCestTbl = table(nan(R,1), nan(R,1), 'VariableNames', {'Mean','Variance'});
```

Monte Carlo simulation

```
% Simulate all data at once: each column = one replication
X = normrnd(xmu, sqrt(xvar), [N, R]);

% Compute the statistics of interest across rows (dimension 1)
MCmean = mean(X, 1);           % mean of each column
MCvar  = var(X, 1, 1);         % population variance (set 0 for sample variance)
```

```

% Combine results
MCest = [MCmean.' MCvar.'];

% (Optional) Display a preview
disp(array2table(MCest(1:5,:), 'VariableNames', {'Mean','Variance'}))

%% Compare the histograms
figure;

subplot(1,2,1)
histogram(MCest(:,1))
title('Mean')

subplot(1,2,2)
histogram(MCest(:,2))
title('Variance')

% Restore default layout (optional)
set(gcf, 'Position', [100 100 800 400]) % nicer side-by-side view

%% Compare the densities
figure;

% --- Density for the Mean ---
subplot(1,2,1)
[f, xi] = ksdensity(MCest(:,1)); % kernel density estimate
plot(xi, f, 'LineWidth', 2)
hold on
% Normal curve with same mean & sd
mu1 = mean(MCest(:,1));
sd1 = std(MCest(:,1));
y_norm = normpdf(xi, mu1, sd1);
plot(xi, y_norm, '--b', 'LineWidth', 2)
hold off
title('MC Density Estimate for the Mean')
legend('Kernel Density', 'Normal Fit')

% --- Density for the Variance ---
subplot(1,2,2)
[f, xi] = ksdensity(MCest(:,2));
plot(xi, f, 'LineWidth', 2)

```

```

hold on
mu2 = mean(MCest(:,2));
sd2 = std(MCest(:,2));
y_norm = normpdf(xi, mu2, sd2);
plot(xi, y_norm, '--b', 'LineWidth', 2)
hold off
title('MC Density Estimate for the Variance')
legend('Kernel Density', 'Normal Fit')

% Optional: adjust layout
set(gcf, 'Position', [100 100 1000 400])

%% Compare the empirical CDFs
figure;

% --- ECDF for the Mean ---
subplot(1,2,1)
[f, x] = ecdf(MCest(:,1)); % empirical CDF
plot(x, f, 'r', 'LineWidth', 2)
hold on
% Theoretical normal CDF
x_theor = linspace(min(x), max(x), 200);
F_theor = normcdf(x_theor, mean(MCest(:,1)), std(MCest(:,1)));
plot(x_theor, F_theor, '--b', 'LineWidth', 2)
hold off
title('Empirical CDF - Mean')
legend('Empirical CDF', 'Normal CDF', 'Location', 'best')

% --- ECDF for the Variance ---
subplot(1,2,2)
[f, x] = ecdf(MCest(:,2));
plot(x, f, 'r', 'LineWidth', 2)
hold on
x_theor = linspace(min(x), max(x), 200);
F_theor = normcdf(x_theor, mean(MCest(:,2)), std(MCest(:,2)));
plot(x_theor, F_theor, '--b', 'LineWidth', 2)
hold off
title('Empirical CDF - Variance')
legend('Empirical CDF', 'Normal CDF', 'Location', 'best')

% Optional: adjust figure size
set(gcf, 'Position', [100 100 1000 400])

```

Compute the **95% confidence intervals** (based on quantiles of the Monte Carlo estimates)

```
%> % 95% Monte Carlo confidence intervals  
alpha = 0.05;  
  
%> % For the mean estimates  
CI_mean = quantile(MCest(:,1), [alpha/2, 1 - alpha/2]);  
  
%> % For the variance estimates  
CI_var = quantile(MCest(:,2), [alpha/2, 1 - alpha/2]);  
  
%> % Display results  
fprintf('95% CI for Mean: [% .6f, %.6f]\n', CI_mean(1), CI_mean(2));  
fprintf('95% CI for Variance: [% .6f, %.6f]\n', CI_var(1), CI_var(2));
```

When repeating the Monte Carlo simulation for a normal variable with mean 5 and variance 20, the **shape of the sampling distributions** for the sample mean and variance remain the same — they are still approximately normal and positively skewed, respectively.

However, their **locations and scales** change:

- The sampling distribution of the mean is now centered around 5 (instead of 0).
- The sampling distribution of the variance is centered around 20 (instead of 1).
- The **spread** of the sample mean estimates increases, because the variance of the mean estimator depends on the underlying population variance:

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{N}$$

Therefore, nothing changes in principle — the logic and shape of the distributions are the same — but the numerical **scale** and **location** of the results reflect the new parameters.