MAP 555 : Convolution, Fourier-Plancherel, Filters...

18 Septembre 2015

Today

- 1 Convolution : a bit of mathematics
 - Existence and Continuity
 - Convolution, Derivation and regularization
- **2** The Fourier transform on $L_2(\mathbb{R})$
- 3 Convolution and the Fourier transform
- 4 Analog filters
- 5 Linear systems

Existence and Continuity

Convolution

Definition

The convolution of two functions f and g from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{C} is the function f * g, if it exists, defined by

$$f * g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-t)g(t)dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u)g(x-u) du$$
.

If no assumption is made about f and g, the convolution is clearly not defined. Take, for example, $f = g \equiv 1$!

- Convolution: a bit of mathematics

Existence and Continuity

Convolution in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$

Theorem

If f and g are in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$, then the following hold

- (i) f * g is defined almost everywhere and f * g belongs to $L_1(\mathbb{R})$.
- (ii) The convolution is a continuous bilinear operator from $L_1(\mathbb{R}) \times L_1(\mathbb{R})$ to $L_1(\mathbb{R})$ with

$$||f * g||_1 \le ||f||_1 ||g||_1.$$

Since f and g are in $L^1(R)$, Fubine's theorem shows that $(y,3)\mapsto f(y,3)\in L^1(R^2)$. By making a change of variable $\int_R\int_R f(y)g(3)\,dy\,d3=\int_{R}\int_R f(x-t)g(t)dx\,dt.$ Fubini: $x\mapsto \int_R f(x-t)g(t)\,dt$ is defined a.e.

Convolution: a bit of mathematics

Existence and Continuity

Convolution in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$

Theorem

Assume that $f \in L_p$ an $g \in L_q$ where p and q are conjugates $(p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1)$. Then the following hold :

- (i) f * g is defined everywhere, is continuous and bounded on \mathbb{R} .
- (ii) $||f * g||_{\infty} \le ||f||_p ||g||_q$.

Continuity:
$$|f \times g(x) - f \times g(y)| \leq \int |f(x-t) - f(y-t)| |g(t)| dt$$

$$\leq \left(\int |f(x-t) - f(y-t)| |g(t)| |g(t)| dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{Holden})$$

$$\leq \|g\|_q \quad (\int |f(x) - f(x) - f(x)| |g(t)| |g(t)| dt$$

$$\leq \|g\|_q \quad (\int |f(x) - f(x)| |g(t)| |g(t)| dt$$

$$\leq \|g\|_q \quad (\int |f(x) - f(x)| |g(t)| |g(t)| dt$$

$$\leq \|g\|_q \quad (\int |f(x) - f(x)| |g(t)| |g(t)| dt$$

$$\leq \|g\|_q \quad (\int |f(x) - f(x)| |g(t)| |g(t)| dt$$

$$= \int |g(t)| |g(t)|$$

Therefore it suffices to show that for all h E C (R), I h - zahlo -> 0 a -> 0 If h ∈ C°(R) is uniformly continuous, IIh - Zahl - 0 a - 0. The proof follow. Convolution : a bit of mathematics

Existence and Continuity

Theorem

If $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, then the following hold :

- (i) f * g(x) exists almost everywhere.
- (ii) f * g belongs to $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$||f * g||_2 \le ||f||_1 ||g||_2.$$

remark : can be generalized to the convolution $L_p(\mathbb{R})*L_q(\mathbb{R})$ with $p^{-1}+q^{-1}-1=r^{-1}$, where $p,\ q,\ r$ are ≥ 1 . For $f\in L_p(\mathbb{R})$ and $g\in {}^{\iota}\mathbb{R}$, f*g is in $L_r(\mathbb{R})$.

(1) Write 19(u) g(x-u) = (19(u) / 1g(x-u) /2)1/2 (19(u)) 1/2. Since f E L (R) and 1912 E L (R) => 4 -> 1 f(u) 1 9(x-u)12 [| f(u) g(x-u) | du < ((| f(u) | | g(x-u)|^2 du) /2 () | f(u) | du) /2 (Caudy Schwarz) fxq(x) is defined a.e. (ii) $|f * g(x)|^2 \le ||f||_1 (|f|u)||g(x.u)|^2 du$ => (| f x g(x)|2 dx < || f(|, || f||, || g||2

Convolution, Derivation and regularization

Derivation

Theorem

Let f be in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$ and let g be in $C^p(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that $g^{(k)}$ is bounded for $k=0,1,\ldots,p$. Then,

(i)
$$f * g \in C^p(\mathbb{R})$$
,

(ii)
$$(f * g)^{(k)} = f * g^{(k)}$$
 for $k = 1, 2, p$.

 $\begin{array}{lll} (x,t) & \mapsto & f(t) \, g(x-t) = h(x,t) \, . & \text{is } p-\text{times of perentiable} \, . \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \, h(x,t) = & f(t) \, g^{(k)}(x-t) & \text{for all } k \in [0,p] \, . \\ & \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \, h(x,t) \right| \leqslant \left\| g^{(k)} \right\|_{\mathcal{O}} \left\| f(t) \right\| & \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$

Therefore: x > (h(x,t) dt is p - times continuously deferentials and:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int h(x,t) dt = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial x} h(x,t) dt = \int f(t) g^{(k)}(x-t) dt$$

Convolution. Derivation and regularization

Regularization

Definition (Regularizing sequence (mollifier))

A sequence of functions ρ_n in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ is called a regularizing sequence if it satisfies the following conditions :

- (i) $\rho_n(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (ii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n(x) dx = 1$,
- (iii) The support of ρ_n is included in $[-\epsilon_n, \epsilon_n]$ for some $\epsilon_n > 0$, and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$.

Definition

If $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, the functions $f * \rho_n$ are called regularizations of f.

Key property : $f * \rho_n$ is in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$

Convolution, Derivation and regularization

An example

Set

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} c^{-1}e^{-1/(1-x)} & \text{if } |x| \le 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \le 1 \end{cases}$$

with
$$c = \int_{-1}^{1} e^{-1/(1-x)} dx$$

The sequence $\rho_n(x) = n\rho(nx)$ is a regularizing sequence.

In practice, regularizing sequences are used without defining them explicitly. Convolution, Derivation and regularization

Density of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$

Theorem (Density of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$)

Let f be a function in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$, $1 \le p < \infty$. For $\epsilon > 0$ there exists g_{ϵ} in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|f - g_{\epsilon}\|_p \le \epsilon$.

Density:
$$\forall E > 0$$
, $\exists f_E \in C_{\ell}^{\bullet}(R)$ such that $\|f - f_E\|_{\ell} \leq E$.

Assume that supp $(f_E) \subseteq [a,b]$. Denote by $g_n = f_E * p_n$, where $(p_n)_{n \geqslant 0}$.

- is a requence of regularizer.

 (1) Supp (g,) \(\subsection \subsection (a 1) \), for all sufficiently large in In addition, $g_n \in C_{\infty}$.
- (2) $\|f_{\varepsilon} g_{\eta}\|_{2}^{2} \le \|f_{\varepsilon}(x) g_{\eta}(x)\|^{2} \le (b-a+2) \sup_{x \in [a-1,b+1]} |f_{\varepsilon}(x) g_{\eta}(x)|.$
- $|f_{\varepsilon}(x) g_{n}(x)| \leq \sup_{|\xi| \leq \varepsilon_{n}} |f_{\varepsilon}(x) f_{\varepsilon}(x \xi)|$
- (5) fe is continuous and is compactly supported; it is conformly continuous and 11 fe 9,11 -> 0

choose in sufficiently large 11 fe gn 1 0 6 8

We get 11 f- g, 11 & 11 f- fell, + 11 fe-g, 11, < 28 V

-Convolution: a bit of mathematics

Convolution, Derivation and regularization

The convolution $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) * \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

Theorem

Assume that f and g are in $S(\mathbb{R})$. Then the following hold :

- (i) f * g is in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.
- (ii) The convolution is a continuous operator from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

(i)
$$f \in \mathcal{F}(R)$$
, $g \in \mathcal{F}(R) \Rightarrow f \star g \in C^{\infty}(R)$ ($f \in \mathcal{F}(R) \subseteq L_{r}(R) \in L^{\infty}(R)$).

(ii)
$$x^{p}(f \times g)^{(q)}(x) = x^{p} \int f(t) g^{(q)}(x-t) dt$$

= $(f(t)(t+x-t)^{p}g^{(q)}(x-t) dt$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{p} {p \choose s} \int d^{s} f(s) (x-t)^{p-\delta} g^{(1)}(x-t) dt$$

4 dominated convergence 18

The Fourier transform on $L_2(\mathbb{R})$

density of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$

Theorem

 $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is a dense linear subspace of $L_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Démonstration.

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \subset L_2(\mathbb{R}) = \mathsf{trivial}$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})\subset\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$$
 is dense in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$.

The Plancherel-Parseval inequality

Theorem

For $f,g\in\mathcal{S}$,

$$\int \hat{f}(\xi)\bar{\hat{g}}(\xi)d\xi = \int f(x)\bar{g}(x)dx$$
$$\int |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2d\xi = \int |f(x)|^2dx.$$

The Fourier transform on $L_2(\mathbb{R})$

The Hahn-Banach theorem (elementary version)

Theorem

Let E and F be two normed vector spaces. Assume that F is complete and that G is a dense linear subspace of E. If A is a continuous linear operator from G to F, then there exists has a unique continuous linear extension of A, denoted by \tilde{A} , from E to F. Furthermore, the norm of \overline{A} is equal to the norm of A.

The Fourier transform in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$

Theorem

The Fourier transform $\mathcal F$ and its inverse $\mathcal F$ extend uniquely to isometries on $L_2(\mathbb R)$. Using the same notation for these extensions, we have the following results : for all f and g in $L_2(\mathbb R)$:

(i)
$$\mathcal{F} \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}} f = \overline{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F} f = f$$
 a.e

(ii)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\overline{g}(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)\overline{\mathcal{F}}(g)(\xi)d\xi$$
.

(iii)
$$||f||_2 = ||\mathcal{F}(f)||_2$$
.

Let $(\phi_n)_{n>0}$ a sequence of functions in $\mathcal{F}(R)$ $\|f - \phi_n\|_2 \to 0$ $n \to \infty$.

11 f- 55flz < 11 f- + 112 + 11 + - 55 + 12 + 1 55 + - 5 flz

We have $\phi_n = F\overline{F} \phi_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ Since F is an assumetry $\|FF\phi_n - FFF\|_2 = \|\phi_n - f\|_2$

Therefore: 1 7 5 Ph =0 => 7 = 5 FP a e.

The Fourier transform on $L_2(\mathbb{R})$

The exchange formula

Theorem

If f and g are in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{F}(f)\cdot g$ and $f\cdot \mathcal{F}(g)$ are in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(f)(t)g(t)dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u)\mathcal{F}(g)(u)du.$$

(i):
$$f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \mathbb{F} f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$$
 $\Rightarrow g \cdot \mathbb{F} f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ idem for $f \cdot \mathbb{F} g$.

(ii) $(f_{n}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$, $\|f \cdot f_{n}\|_{2}^{n+2} \Rightarrow 0$ $(g_{n}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$, $\|g \cdot g_{n}\|_{2}^{n+2} \Rightarrow 0$.

(iii) $\int \mathbb{F} f(t) g(t) dt - \int \mathbb{F} f_{n}(t) g_{n}(t) dt = \int \{\mathbb{F} f(t) \cdot \mathbb{F} f_{n}(t)\} g(t) dt$
 $+ \int \mathbb{F} f_{n}(t) \{g(t) \cdot dt - \int \mathbb{F} f_{n}(t) g_{n}(t) dt \} dt = \|f \cdot f_{n}\|_{2} \|g(t) \cdot dt + \|f \cdot f_{n}\|_{2}$

Extension

Theorem

The Fourier transform defined on $L_1(\mathbb{R})$ and the one obtained by extension to $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ coincide on $L_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$. If $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, then $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is the limit in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ of the sequence \hat{f}_n defined by

$$\hat{f}_n(\xi) = \int_{-n}^n e^{-2i\pi\xi x} f(x) dx.$$

We will continue to denote the Fourier transform by \hat{f} or $\mathcal{F}(f)$. The meaning of these notations is now clear, depending on whether $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ or $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Examples

If
$$f \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$$
 then $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F} f = f_\sigma$, a.e. For $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(a) > 0$,
$$\frac{1}{a + \mathrm{i} 2\pi x} \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{a\xi} u(-\xi)$$
 If $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R})$ then $\mathcal{F}\left(\hat{f}\right) = f_\sigma$, a.e. the cardinal sine function

 $\frac{\sin(x)}{x} \mapsto \pi \mathbb{1}_{[-(2\pi)^{-1},(2\pi)^{-1}]}(\xi) .$

The Fourier transform on $L_2(\mathbb{R})$

Inverse Fourier transform in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$

We saw that $\overline{\mathcal{F}}\hat{f}(t)=f(t)$ at every point t where f is continuous when f and \hat{f} are both in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, if $f\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, then $\overline{\mathcal{F}}\hat{f}(t)=f(t)$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem

If f and \hat{f} are $L_1(\mathbb{R})$, then $\overline{\mathcal{F}}\hat{f}=f$ a.e.

Convolution and Fourier transform in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$

Theorem

Given f and g in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$, we have

- (i) $\widehat{f * g}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \cdot \widehat{g}(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) If in addition \hat{f} and \hat{g} are in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$, then $\widehat{f \cdot g}(\xi) = \hat{f} * \hat{g}(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

If
$$f \in L_1(R)$$
, $g \in L_1(R) \Rightarrow f \times g \in L_1(R)$ (so finitely defined by $f \in L_1(R)$), $g \in L_1(R)$, $g \in L_1(R)$,

Convolution and Fourier transform in $L_1(\mathbb{R}) * L_2(\mathbb{R})$

Theorem

If
$$f \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$$
 and $g \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, then $\hat{f} \cdot \hat{g}$ is in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $f * g = \overline{\mathcal{F}} \left(\hat{f} \cdot \hat{g} \right)$, with equality in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Analog filters

The tools we have just developed (convolution and the Fourier transform for functions) are going to be used to study analog filters that are governed by a linear differential equation with constant coefficients,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{q} b_k g^{(k)} = \sum_{j=0}^{p} a_j f^{(j)}, \ a_p \cdot b_q \neq 0,$$

where f is the input and g = A(f) is the output.

Assumption $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. This case is very special. The input has no reason to be so regular, but we will see that this is a step toward more general cases.

input and output are in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

Assume that $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and look for a solution $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. If such a g exists, we can take the Fourier transform of both sides of

$$\sum_{k=0}^{q} b_k g^{(k)} = \sum_{j=0}^{p} a_j f^{(j)}, \ a_p \cdot b_q \neq 0, \quad (S)$$

showing that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{q} b_k (2i\pi\xi)^k \hat{g}(\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{p} a_j (2i\pi\xi)^j \hat{f}(\xi) \quad (F)$$

Consider the two polynomials $P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{p} a_j x^j$ and $Q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{q} b_k x^k$ and assume that the rational function P(x)/Q(x) has no poles on the imaginary axis.

input and output are in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

Then $P(2i\pi\xi)/Q(2i\pi\xi)$ has no poles for real ξ , and (S) is equivalent to

$$\hat{g}(\xi) = G(\xi)$$
 where $G(\xi) = \frac{P(2\mathrm{i}\pi\xi)}{Q(2\mathrm{i}\pi\xi)}\hat{f}(\xi)$

Note that $G \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

This equality completely determines g in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, if it exists, and thus proves the uniqueness of a solution of (S) in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

 $g=\overline{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ is a solution of (S) in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. The differential equation has a unique solution without initial conditions being specified. This is because we require the solution g to be in \mathcal{S} , which means that g and all of its derivatives vanish at infinity.

Convolution

Idea: express the solution as a convolution.

Assumption : $\deg P < \deg Q$. Define the transfer function

$$H(\xi) = \frac{P(2i\pi\xi)}{Q(2i\pi\xi)}$$

is in $L_2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

By decomposing this rational function into partial fractions, the impulse response, defined as the inverse Fourier transform of the transfer function

$$h = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(H)$$

is bounded, rapidly decreasing, continuous except perhaps at the origin.

Simple poles

The poles of P/Q are assumed to lie off the imaginary axis. There are two cases to consider : P/Q has only simple poles or P/Q has multiple poles.

Assume first that P(x)/Q(x) has only simple poles. In this case, H can be decomposed in the form

$$H(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{q} \frac{\beta_k}{2i\pi\xi - z_k}$$

where z_1, \ldots, z_q are the poles.

Simple poles

For $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(a) > 0$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$,

$$e^{-\epsilon ax}u(\epsilon x) \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\mapsto} \frac{\epsilon}{(\epsilon a + 2i\pi \xi)}$$

We conclude that

$$h(t) = \left(\sum_{k \in K-} \beta_k e^{z_k t}\right) u(t) - \left(\sum_{k \in K_+} \beta_k e^{z_k t}\right) u(-t).$$

where $t \mapsto u(t)$ is the Heaviside function and

$$K_{-} = \{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\} | \operatorname{Re}(z_k) < 0\},\ K_{+} = \{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\} | \operatorname{Re}(z_k) > 0\}.$$

Multiple poles

Let z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_l the poles and let m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_l be their multiplicities.

Then we can write H as

$$H(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{I} \sum_{m=1}^{m_k} \frac{\beta_{k,m}}{(2i\pi\xi - z_k)^m}.$$

The impulse response is given by

$$h(t) = \left(\sum_{k \in K_{-}} P_{k}(t) e^{z_{k}t}\right) u(t) - \left(\sum_{k \in K_{+}} P_{k}(t) e^{z_{k}t}\right) u(-t)$$

where

$$P_k(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{m_k} \beta_{k,m} \frac{t^{m-1}}{(m-1)!}.$$

Convolution

Since $h = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(H)$ is bounded, rapidly decreasing, continuous except perhaps at the origin **(F)** may be rewritten as

$$\hat{g} = \hat{h} \cdot \hat{f}$$
.

Since $\hat{h} \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \subset L_1(\mathbb{R})$, we have $h * f(t) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\hat{h}\hat{f})$ implies that

$$g = h * f$$

Generalized solutions

The formula g = h * f, obtained when f is in S, makes sense in the following more general cases.

(i) If f is in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$, then g is in $L_1(\mathbb{R})\cap L_2(\mathbb{R})\cap L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\|g\|_1 \le \|h\|_1 \|f\|_1,$$

 $\|g\|_2 \le \|h\|_2 \|f\|_1,$
 $\|g\|_{\infty} \le \|h\|_{\infty} \|f\|_1.$

(ii) If f is in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$, then g is in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$, it is bounded and continuous, it tends to 0 at infinity, and

$$||g||_2 \le ||h||_1 ||f||_2,$$

 $||g||_\infty \le ||h||_2 ||f||_2.$

(iii) If f is in $L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then g is also bounded and

Purely imaginary poles

What we have done so far does not allow us to treat an equation like

$$g'' + \omega^2 g = f,$$

where $P(x)/Q(x)=1/(x^2+\omega^2)$ has two poles are on the imaginary axis.

In this case h is a sinusoid and the Fourier transform of H (when H is considered to be a function) is no longer defined.

This problem required the use of the theory of distributions but this degree of sophistication goes far beyond this course.

What happens in $\deg P = \deg Q$

Take for example the equation

$$g'' - \omega^2 g = f''.$$

Again, what we have done so far does not apply. Nevertheless, we can still manage to solve the equation. Changing the unknown function to $g_0 = g - f$ lowers the order of the right-hand side :

$$g_0'' - \omega^2 g_0 = \omega^2 f.$$

Then we have $g_0 = h_0 * f$ and $g = f + h_0 * f$. This is no longer a convolution but it will serve the same purpose.

Denote by X the set of input signals and Y the set of output signals which are assumed to be vector spaces (over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$).

Definition (Linearity)

The system $A: X \to Y$ is said to be linear if for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{K}$

$$A(\lambda_1x_1+\lambda_2x_2)=\lambda_1A(x_1)+\lambda_2A(x_2).$$

A system A defined by a convolution A(f) = h * f is linear.

Stability

Definition

A system $A: X \to Y$ is said to be stable if there exists an M > 0 such that $\|Af\|_{\infty} \leq M\|f\|_{\infty}$ for all $f \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \cap X$.

- (i) The generalized filter A is stable when $\deg P < \deg Q$.
- (ii) It is still stable if $\deg P = \deg Q$...

Causality

Definition

A system $A: X \to Y$ is causal if the equality of any two input signals up to time $t=t_0$ implies the equality of the two output signals at least to time t_0 ,

$$x_1(t) = x_2(t)$$
 for $t \le t_0 \Rightarrow Ax_1(t) = Ax_2(t)$ for $t < t_0$

This property is completely natural for a physical system in which the variable is time. It says that the response at time t depends only on what has happened before t.

Invariance

Define by τ_a the delay operator : $\tau_a x(t) = x(t-a)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition

A system A is invariant if a translation of time in the input leads to the same translation in the output, or equivalently if A and τ_a commute for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$A \circ \tau_a = \tau_a \circ A$$

Causality

(i) When A is linear and invariant, the causality condition becomes the following : For all $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(t) = 0$$
 for $t < t_0 \Rightarrow Af(t) = 0$ for $t < t_0$.

(ii) Assume that $\deg P \leq \deg Q$. The generalized filter A: A(f) = h*f is causal if $\mathrm{supp}(h) \subset [0, \infty[$ or equivalently if the poles of P/Q are located to the left of the imaginary axis.