## Econometrics 1, Class Week 2

Back-up Video: Class week 2 video (click here)

Learning Outcomes

- (a) Gauss Markov Theorem: Proof.
- (b) Orthogonal projectors.
- (c) Unbiasedness of the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) estimator for the variance parameter  $\sigma_0^2$ .
- (d) Generalized Least Squares (GLS) estimator of  $\beta_0$ .

# Prerequisites

- 1. Concepts in Linear Algebra:
  - rank of a matrix (p.37 of Auxiliary Math Notes [AMN]);
  - bilinearity of variance-covariance matrices (AMN p.105);
  - positive semi-definiteness of square matrices (AMN p.45);
  - trace of a square matrix (AMN p.43).
- 2. Concepts in Mathematical Statistics:
  - mean vector, variance-covariance matrix of vectorvalued random variables (AMN p.105).

#### (a) Setting of Gauss Markov Theorem:

T observation collected in outcomes vector  $\mathbf{y}$  and matrix of k regressors  $\mathbf{X}$ , T > k, related by *linear* model

$$\mathbf{y}_{T\times 1} = \mathbf{X} \beta_0 + \mathbf{u}_{T\times 1} \tag{1}$$

where  $\mathbf{u}$  is a vector or regression errors.

Interpretation: Want to explain the variation in  $\mathbf{y}$  through (its co-)variation with the columns of  $\mathbf{X}$ , assumed to be non-stochastic and exogenous.

This covariation is determined by the true, but unknown population parameter  $\beta_0$  (a k-vector of population constants) which we seek to estimate.

This parameter enters the relationship (1) linearly.

# Gauss - Markov Assumptions

Assumptions about population errors **u**:

A1 mean zero errors:  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{u}] = \mathbf{0}_{T \times 1}$ .

A2 homoskedastic errors:  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}'] = \sigma_0^2\mathbf{I}_T$ , where  $\mathbf{I}_T$  is the identity matrix of dimension  $T \times T$  and  $\sigma_0^2 > 0$  is the true, but unknown scalar variance parameter in the population.

I.e. each element  $u_t$  of the vector  $\mathbf{u}$  has variance  $\mathbb{E}[u_t^2] = \sigma_0^2$ , and there are no covariances,  $\mathbb{E}[u_t u_s] = 0$  whenever  $t \neq s$ .

A3 Linearity of (1) in  $\beta_0$ .

# OLS estimator of $\beta_0$

 $\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X'X})^{-1}\mathbf{X'y}$ , linear in  $\mathbf{y}$  which is premultiplied by  $k \times T$  matrix  $(\mathbf{X'}_{k \times TT \times k}^{\mathbf{X}})^{-1}\mathbf{X'}_{k \times T}$ .

Note: Need additional assumption that

A4 rank of **X** is  $rk(\mathbf{X}) = k$ ; otherwise, inverse does not exist.

Gauss-Markov Theorem: Under the assumptions A1 - A4 about the population,  $\hat{\beta}$  is the best linear and unbiased estimator (BLUE) of  $\beta_0$ .

Proof of Linearity: immediate, because  $\hat{\beta}$  is linear in  $\mathbf{y}$ .

#### Proof of Unbiasedness:

Unbiasedness means:  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}] = \beta_0$ .

To verify,

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}] = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{X'X})^{-1}\mathbf{X'y}]$$

$$= (\mathbf{X'X})^{-1}\mathbf{X'}\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}] \text{ because } \mathbf{X} \text{ is non-stochastic}$$

$$= (\mathbf{X'X})^{-1}\mathbf{X'}\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X'}\beta_0 + \mathbf{u}]$$

$$= \beta_0 + (\mathbf{X'X})^{-1}\mathbf{X'}\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{u}]$$

$$= \beta_0 \text{ by A1.}$$

Proof of Best (within Class of Linear Unbiased Estimators):

Suppose there exists another linear, unbiased estimator  $\tilde{\beta}$  of  $\beta_0$ .

Strategy: Will show its variance-covariance matrix is larger (in a positive semi-definite sense) than that of  $\hat{\beta}$ .

Linearity of  $\tilde{\beta}$ :  $\tilde{\beta}_{k\times 1} = \mathbf{A}_{k\times T} \mathbf{y}_{T\times 1}$ , for some  $k\times T$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ .

Unbiasedness of  $\tilde{\beta}$ :  $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\beta}] = \beta_0$ , i.e.

$$eta_0 = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{eta}]$$
 $= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}]$ 
 $= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{X}eta_0 + \mathbf{u})]$ 
 $= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}eta_0 \text{ by A1,}$ 

for any conceivable  $\beta_0$ . Hence,

$$\mathbf{AX} = \mathbf{I}_k. \tag{2}$$

So linearity and unbiasedness place this restriction on  $\bf A$  in definition of  $\tilde{\beta}$ .

## Proof of Best (continued)

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{var}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) &= & \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)'] \\ &= & \operatorname{var}((\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) + \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \\ &= & \operatorname{var}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) + \operatorname{var}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) + \cdots \\ & & \cdots \operatorname{cov}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) + \operatorname{cov}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \\ \operatorname{cov}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) &= & \operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} - (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}, (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}) \\ &= & (\mathbf{A} - (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}')\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \\ & & (\operatorname{see} \, \mathrm{p.} \, \, 105 \, \, \mathrm{of} \, \, \mathrm{auxiliary} \, \, \mathrm{math} \, \, \mathrm{notes}) \\ &= & (\mathbf{A} - (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}')\boldsymbol{\sigma}_0^2\mathbf{I}_T\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \, \, \mathrm{by} \, \, \mathrm{A2} \\ &= & \sigma_0^2(\mathbf{A} - (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}')\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \\ &= & \sigma_0^2(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{I}_T)(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \\ &= & \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (2).

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{var}(\tilde{\beta}) = \operatorname{var}(\hat{\beta}) + \operatorname{var}(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})$$
  
 $\operatorname{var}(\tilde{\beta}) \geq \operatorname{var}(\hat{\beta}),$ 

because variance-covariance matrices are positive semi-definite.

This completes the proof of the Gauss-Markov Theorem.

If **X** were stochastic, all conclusions hold *conditional on* **X**. This is the typical setting in econometrics.

- (b) Orthogonal Projectors  $P_X = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'$  and  $M = \mathbf{I}_T P_X$ .
  - (i) Idempotency of *M*:

$$MM = (\mathbf{I}_T + P_X)(P_X - 2P_X)$$
  
=  $\mathbf{I}_T + P_X - 2P_X$  by idempotency of  $P_X$   
=  $\mathbf{I}_T - P_X = M$ .

(ii) Orthogonality of M and  $P_X$ :

$$MP_X = (\mathbf{I}_T - P_X)P_X$$
  
=  $P_X - P_X P_X$   
=  $P_X - P_X$  by idempotency of  $P_X$   
=  $\mathbf{0}$ 

(iii) Regression residuals  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ :

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} 
= (\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{T}} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}')\mathbf{y} 
= M(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + \mathbf{u}) 
= M\mathbf{u} \text{ because } M\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}.$$

(c) Unbiasedness of OLS estimator  $s^2 = \frac{1}{T-k}\hat{\mathbf{u}}'\hat{\mathbf{u}}$  of  $\sigma_0^2$ 

$$\mathbb{E}[s^2] = \frac{1}{T-k} \mathbb{E}[\hat{\mathbf{u}}'\hat{\mathbf{u}}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{T-k} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{u}'M\mathbf{u}] \text{ by (i) and (iii)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{T-k} \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{u}'M\mathbf{u}]] \text{ b/c trace of scalar is scalar}$$

$$= \frac{1}{T-k} \mathbb{E}[\text{tr}[\mathbf{u}'M\mathbf{u}]] \text{ b/c lin. operators commute}$$

$$= \frac{1}{T-k} \mathbb{E}[\text{tr}[\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}'M]] \text{ by properties of trace}$$

$$= \frac{1}{T-k} \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}']M] \text{ b/c lin. operators commute}$$
and  $M = \mathbf{I}_T - P_X$  is non-stochastic
$$= \frac{1}{T-k} \text{tr}[\sigma_0^2 M] \text{ by A2}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_0^2}{T-k} (\text{tr}[\mathbf{I}_T] - \text{tr}[P_X])$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_0^2}{T-k} (\text{tr}[\mathbf{I}_T] - \text{tr}[(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}])$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_0^2}{T-k} (\text{tr}[\mathbf{I}_T] - \text{tr}[\mathbf{I}_k])$$

$$= \sigma_0^2.$$

# (d) Generalized Least Squares (GLS)

Change A2 to  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}'] = \sigma_0^2\Omega$  (i.e. errors are no longer homoskedastic).

What is variance of OLS estimator?

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{var}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) &= \operatorname{var}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + (\mathbf{X'X})^{-1}\mathbf{X'u}) \\ &= (\mathbf{X'X})^{-1}\mathbf{X'}\operatorname{var}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X'X})^{-1} \\ &= \sigma_0^2(\mathbf{X'X})^{-1}\mathbf{X'}\Omega\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X'X})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

GLS estimator  $\tilde{\beta}$  solves

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{X}' \Omega^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \tilde{\beta}), \tag{3}$$

SO

$$\tilde{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}'\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\Omega\mathbf{y}.$$
 (4)