

# Dummy variables

Ron Smith  
EMS, Birkbeck

Autumn 2020

## 1 Pooling two groups

Suppose we have two groups (time periods) A and B each with  $N$  observations and data  $y$  and a single  $x$  variable we estimate for group A

$$y^A = X^A \beta^A + u^A$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1^A \\ y_2^A \\ \vdots \\ y_N^A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1^A \\ 1 & x_2^A \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_N^A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1^A \\ \beta_2^A \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_1^A \\ u_2^A \\ \vdots \\ u_N^A \end{bmatrix}$$

this gives the set of  $N$  equations

$$y_i^A = \beta_1^A + \beta_2^A x_i^A + u_i^A; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

and for group B

$$y^B = X^B \beta^B + u^B$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1^B \\ y_2^B \\ \vdots \\ y_N^B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1^B \\ 1 & x_2^B \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_N^B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1^B \\ \beta_2^B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_1^B \\ u_2^B \\ \vdots \\ u_N^B \end{bmatrix}$$
$$y_i^B = \beta_1^B + \beta_2^B x_i^B + u_i^B; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

Stack the two groups to give the  $2N$  equations

$$y = X\beta + u$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} y^A \\ y^B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X^A & 0 \\ 0 & X^B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta^A \\ \beta^B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u^A \\ u^B \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1^A \\ y_2^A \\ \vdots \\ y_N^A \\ y_1^B \\ y_2^B \\ \vdots \\ y_N^B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1^A & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & x_2^A & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_N^A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x_1^B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x_2^B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x_N^B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1^A \\ \beta_2^A \\ \beta_1^B \\ \beta_2^B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_1^A \\ u_2^A \\ \vdots \\ u_N^A \\ u_1^B \\ u_2^B \\ \vdots \\ u_N^B \end{bmatrix}$$

to represent this in scalars, call the first column  $DA_i = 1$  if observation  $i$  is in group  $A$ , zero otherwise and similarly for the third column  $DB_i$ , to give the  $2N$  equations

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &= \beta_1^A DA_i + \beta_2^A DA_i x_i + \beta_1^B DB_i + \beta_2^B DB_i x_i + u_i; \\ i &= 1, 2, \dots, N, N+1, \dots, 2N \end{aligned}$$

Notice  $DA_i + DB_i = 1$  for all observations so adding and subtracting we get

$$\beta_1^A DA_i + \beta_1^A DB_i - \beta_1^A DB_i + \beta_1^B DB_i = \beta_1^A + (\beta_1^B - \beta_1^A) DB_i$$

and similarly using  $DA_i x_i + DB_i x_i = x_i$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &= \beta_1^A + \beta_2^A x_i + (\beta_1^B - \beta_1^A) DB_i + (\beta_2^B - \beta_1^A) DB_i x_i + u_i; \\ i &= 1, 2, \dots, N, N+1, \dots, 2N \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} y_1^A \\ y_2^A \\ \vdots \\ y_N^A \\ y_1^B \\ y_2^B \\ \vdots \\ y_N^B \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1^A & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & x_2^A & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_N^A & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & x_1^B & 1 & x_1^B \\ 1 & x_2^B & 1 & x_2^B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_N^B & 1 & x_N^B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1^A \\ \beta_2^A \\ \beta_1^B - \beta_1^A \\ \beta_2^B - \beta_1^A \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_1^A \\ u_2^A \\ \vdots \\ u_N^A \\ u_1^B \\ u_2^B \\ \vdots \\ u_N^B \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} y^A \\ y^B \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} X^A & 0 \\ X^B & X^B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta^A \\ \beta^B - \beta^A \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u^A \\ u^B \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The restricted model with no difference between groups (no structural change) just omits the  $DB_i$  and  $DB_i x_i$ .

## 2 Multiple dummy variables

Suppose that we had no continuous variable  $x_i$  and ran

$$y_i = \beta_1^A DA_i + \beta_1^B DB_i + u_i; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$$

then  $\beta_1^A$  estimates the mean for group  $A$  and  $\beta_1^B$  for group  $B$  and running

$$y_i = \beta_1^A + (\beta_1^B - \beta_1^A) DB_i + u_i; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$$

is a convenient way of testing for the difference between the group means using the  $t$  statistic on the coefficient of  $DB_i$ . Now suppose as in the Scottish care homes example,  $y_i$  is having a covid outbreak,<sup>1</sup> group  $A$  had not taken patients discharged from hospital and group  $B$  had, and the homes were either large

---

<sup>1</sup>There it was the hazard rather than the probability, but the idea is the same.

$DL_i = 1$ , zero otherwise, or small,  $DS_i = 1$ . The data for  $DA, DB, DL, DS$  might look like

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Clearly  $DA_i + DB_i = 1$  all  $i$ ,  $DL_i + DS_i = 1$  all  $i$ . There is perfect multicollinearity, so we cannot estimate 4 coefficients. This is the dummy variable trap. Instead we estimate

$$y_i = \beta_{AL} + \beta_B DB_i + \beta_S DS_i + u_i.$$

Here large homes with no discharges are the reference, or base, case.  $\beta_B$  measures the difference that having patients discharged to the home makes,  $\beta_S$  measures the difference being small makes. So a small home with discharged patients would have mean probability of an outbreak:  $\beta_{AL} + \beta_B + \beta_S$ .