

## Econometrics 1, Class Week 3

Back-up Video: Class week 3 video ([click here](#))

### Learning Outcomes

- (a) Likelihood function of the simplest normal linear regression model;

Maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of the parameters in this model.

- (b) Information matrix,

asymptotic distribution of the MLE in this model.

- (c) Estimation of standard errors in this model.

- (d) Comparison with matrix form in Lecture Notes.

### Prerequisites

#### 1. Concepts in Mathematical Statistics:

- probability density function of the normal distribution (see Distributional Handout);
- joint probability measure of i.i.d. random variables (AMN p.101).

## (a) Setting of a simple Normal Linear Regression Model

Actual (and potential) data  $y_t$  are assumed to be independently and identically distributed (i.i.d.), with probability density function  $f_Y(y)$  given by

$$f_Y(y; \theta_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_0^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2}(y - \alpha_0)^2\right), \quad (1)$$

where  $\theta_0 = (\alpha_0, \sigma_0^2)$  are the true, but unknown population parameters that we wish to estimate.

Data for analysis is a random sample  $\{y_t, t = 1, \dots, T\}$  of size  $T$  drawn from  $f_Y(y; \theta_0)$ .

## Comparison with Gauss-Markov (GM) Setting

In GM setting, we made assumptions on moments (A1,A2,A5), model linearity (A3) and full rank of  $\mathbf{X}$  (A4).

We did not make a distributional assumption.

Here, we assume that data obey a probability model, i.e. we do make a distribution assumption – which implies moments –, but we don't assume model linearity (and today there are no regressors  $\mathbf{X}$ ).

So the GM and ML settings overlap, but each covers cases that the other one does not cover.

## General ML Setting

We assume

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &\stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} f_Y(y_t; \theta_0), t = 1, \dots, T \\ f_Y(y; \theta_0) &\in \mathcal{F} = \{f_Y(y; \theta); \theta \in \Theta\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the pdf  $f_Y$  (and the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of such densities) is known up to a parameter vector  $\theta$  that lies in the parameter space  $\Theta$ . The true population parameter vector  $\theta_0$  is unknown.

In the special case of model (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &= \left\{ f_Y(y; \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y - \alpha)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \theta = (\alpha, \sigma^2) \in \Theta = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Estimation idea: Obtain joint density of the sample on the basis of (2) – or (1), resp. – and find the element in  $\Theta$  that makes the data look most likely, i.e. that maximises joint density of the sample:

$$\prod_{t=1}^T f_Y(y_t; \theta) \rightarrow \max_{\theta \in \Theta}! \quad (3)$$

## Log-likelihood Function

Let  $\mathbf{y}_T = (y_1, \dots, y_T)$ .

$L(\theta; \mathbf{y}_T) := \prod_{t=1}^T f_Y(y_t; \theta)$  is the likelihood function. It tells us how likely the sample  $\mathbf{y}_T$  is if the true, unknown parameter were  $\theta$ ; can evaluate it for any  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

$l(\theta; \mathbf{y}_T) = \ln L(\theta; \mathbf{y}_T)$  is the log-likelihood function.

$\frac{1}{T}l(\theta; \mathbf{y}_T)$  is the average log-likelihood function; it is a sample average of i.i.d. random variables for each  $\theta$ .

Note: maximum likelihood estimator (MLE)  $\hat{\theta}_T$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\theta}_T &= \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta; \mathbf{y}_T) \\ &= \arg \max l(\theta; \mathbf{y}_T) \\ &= \arg \max \frac{1}{T}l(\theta; \mathbf{y}_T)\end{aligned}$$

FOCs:

$$\mathbf{0} = \nabla_{\theta} \left[ \frac{1}{T}l(\theta; \mathbf{y}_T) \right]_{\theta=\hat{\theta}_T} =: s(\hat{\theta}_T) \quad (\text{score vector at } \hat{\theta}_T).$$

## Application to Model (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
L(\theta; \mathbf{y}_T) &= \prod_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_t - \alpha)^2\right) \\
&= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{T}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \alpha)^2\right). \\
l(\theta; \mathbf{y}_T) &= \text{const.} - \frac{T}{2} \ln(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \alpha)^2 \quad (\text{log-lik.}) \\
\frac{1}{T} l(\theta; \mathbf{y}_T) &= \text{const.} - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \alpha)^2. \\
s(\theta) &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \frac{1}{T} l(\theta; \mathbf{y}_T) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} \frac{1}{T} l(\theta; \mathbf{y}_T) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \alpha) \\ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \alpha)^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{score vec.}) \\
s(\hat{\theta}_T) &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{\alpha}_T) \\ -\frac{1}{2\hat{\sigma}_T^2} + \frac{1}{2\hat{\sigma}_T^4} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{\alpha}_T)^2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \quad (4) \\
\hat{\theta}_T &= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_T \\ \hat{\sigma}_T^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{MLE}) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t \\ \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{\alpha}_T)^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y}_T \\ \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y}_T)^2 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

SOCs for maximum

Hessian  $H(\theta)$  needs to be negative semi-definite at the MLE

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(\theta) &= \nabla_{\theta\theta'} \frac{1}{T} l(\theta; \mathbf{y}_T) \\
 &= \nabla_{\theta'} s(\theta) \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} & -\frac{1}{\sigma^4} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \alpha) \\ -\frac{1}{\sigma^4} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \alpha) & \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma^6} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \alpha)^2 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hessian at the MLE  $\hat{\theta}_T$ :

$$H(\hat{\theta}_T) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_T^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2\hat{\sigma}_T^4} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where off-diagonal terms are zero by FOC (4) w.r.t.  $\alpha$ .

So indeed,  $H(\hat{\theta}_T)$  is negative definite (not just n.s.d.).

## (b) Information Matrix

Information matrix  $\mathcal{I}(\theta_0)$  = negative expected Hessian of the average log-likelihood function (at the true population parameter  $\theta_0$ )

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta_0) = -\mathbb{E}[H(\theta_0)] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2\sigma_0^4} \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

So the information matrix is positive definite.

Asymptotic distribution of the MLE

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0) &= \sqrt{T} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_T \\ \hat{\sigma}_T^2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \sigma_0^2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &\xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \mathcal{I}(\theta_0)^{-1}) \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

so asymptotic variance-covariance matrix of the MLE is the inverse of the information matrix,

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta_0)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_0^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sigma_0^4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

Normality of the asymptotic distribution has nothing to do with assumption (1).

For asymptotics, it is necessary to assume (2) – and (1), resp. – for actual and *potential* data.



(c) Estimation of Standard Error of  $\hat{\alpha}_T$

From (7) and (8), asymptotic variance of  $\hat{\alpha}_T$  is  $\sigma_0^2$ .

So estimate SE by  $\hat{\sigma}_T$ .

(d) Comparison with Notes

With regressors  $\mathbf{X}$  in (conditional) mean of  $\mathbf{y}$ ,

- MLE for  $\beta_0$  is OLS estimator;
- corresponding element of the inverse information matrix, conditional on  $\mathbf{X}$ , is  $\sigma_0^2(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$ ;
- it is estimated by  $\hat{\sigma}_T^2(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$ .