1 A proof of focalisation

Let $\vdash \Gamma$; Π denote a sequent of LL_{foc} as defined in [Laurent04].

We define the focalised syntactic phase model as (M, \perp, φ) where M is the free commutative monoid over formulas of MALL, $\perp = \{\Gamma \in M \mid \vdash \Gamma; \}$, and $\varphi(X) = \{X\}^{\perp}$ for positive atoms X. Let $[\![A]\!]$ be the interpretation of a formula A in this model.

For a formula A, let |A| denote the number of main negative subformulas in A. Define Ψ_A as an |A|-ary monotonous operator on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ by induction:

- $\circ \ \Psi_N(N_1) = N_1 \text{ if } N \text{ is negative}$
- $\Psi_X() = \{X^{\perp}\}$
- $\circ \ \Psi_{B \otimes C}(B_1, \dots, B_{|B|}, C_1, \dots, C_{|C|}) = \Psi_B(B_1, \dots, B_{|B|}) \cdot \Psi_C(C_1, \dots, C_{|C|})$
- $\circ \ \Psi_{B \oplus C}(B_1, \dots, B_{|B|}, C_1, \dots, C_{|C|}) = \Psi_B(B_1, \dots, B_{|B|}) \cup \Psi_C(C_1, \dots, C_{|C|})$
- $\Psi_1() = \{\emptyset\}$
- $\circ \Psi_0() = \emptyset$

Lemma 1. For any formula A with main negative subformulas $A_1, \ldots, A_{|A|}, \Psi_A(\{A_1\}^{\perp}, \ldots, \{A_{|A|}\}^{\perp})^{\perp \perp} \subseteq \{A\}^{\perp}$.

Proof. To simplify the notations, let $\vdash \Gamma$; N mean $\vdash \Gamma$, N; when N is a negative formula. Let $Foc(A) = \{\Gamma \in M \mid \vdash \Gamma; A\}$. Clearly $Foc(A) \subseteq \{A\}^{\perp}$ by the foc rule.

We prove by induction on A that $\Psi_A(\operatorname{Foc}(A_1), \dots, \operatorname{Foc}(A_{|A|})) \subseteq \operatorname{Foc}(A)$:

- \circ If A is negative, the result is trivial.
- \circ If A = X, then $\Psi_X() = \{X^{\perp}\} \subseteq \operatorname{Foc}(X)$ by the ax rule.
- \circ If $A = B \otimes C$, then we have

$$\Psi_A(\operatorname{Foc}(A_1), \dots, \operatorname{Foc}(A_{|A|})) = \Psi_B(\operatorname{Foc}(B_1), \dots, \operatorname{Foc}(B_{|B|})) \cdot \Psi_C(\operatorname{Foc}(C_1), \dots, \operatorname{Foc}(C_{|C|}))$$

$$\subseteq \operatorname{Foc}(B) \cdot \operatorname{Foc}(C)$$

by the induction hypothesis; moreover,

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma; B \quad \vdash \Delta; C}{\vdash \Gamma, \Delta; B \otimes C} \otimes$$

hence $Foc(B) \cdot Foc(C) \subseteq Foc(B \otimes C)$, from which the result follows.

 \circ If $A = B \oplus C$, then we have

$$\Psi_A(\operatorname{Foc}(A_1), \dots, \operatorname{Foc}(A_{|A|})) = \Psi_B(\operatorname{Foc}(B_1), \dots, \operatorname{Foc}(B_{|B|})) \cup \Psi_C(\operatorname{Foc}(C_1), \dots, \operatorname{Foc}(C_{|C|}))$$

$$\subset \operatorname{Foc}(B) \cup \operatorname{Foc}(C)$$

by the induction hypothesis; moreover,

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma; B}{\vdash \Gamma; B \oplus C} \oplus_{1} \frac{\vdash \Delta; C}{\vdash \Delta; B \oplus C} \oplus_{2}$$

hence $Foc(B) \cup Foc(C) \subseteq Foc(B \oplus C)$, from which the result follows.

- \circ If A=1, clearly $\Psi_1()=\{\emptyset\}\subseteq\operatorname{Foc}(1)$ by the 1 rule.
- \circ If A = 0, clearly $\Psi_0() = \emptyset \subseteq \text{Foc}(0)$.

Since $A_1, \ldots, A_{|A|}$ are negative, we have

$$\Psi_A(\{A_1\}^{\perp}, \dots, \{A_{|A|}\}^{\perp})^{\perp \perp} = \Psi_A(\operatorname{Foc}(A_1), \dots, \operatorname{Foc}(A_{|A|}))^{\perp \perp}$$

$$\subseteq \operatorname{Foc}(A)^{\perp \perp}$$

$$\subseteq \{A\}^{\perp \perp \perp} = \{A\}^{\perp}$$

Lemma 2. For any formula A with main negative subformulas $A_1, \ldots, A_{|A|}$, $[\![A]\!] = \Psi_A([\![A_1]\!], \ldots, [\![A_{|A|}\!]\!])^{\perp \perp}$.

Proof. By induction, using positivity results from [Girard99, appendix F]: $(X^{\perp\perp} \cdot Y^{\perp\perp})^{\perp\perp} = (X \cdot Y)^{\perp\perp}$ and $(X^{\perp\perp} \cup Y^{\perp\perp})^{\perp\perp} = (X \cup Y)^{\perp\perp}$.

- \circ If A is negative, then $[\![A]\!] = [\![A]\!]^{\perp \perp}$ because $[\![A]\!]$ is a fact.
- \circ If A = X, let $\Gamma \in \{X\}^{\perp}$ and $\Delta \in \{X^{\perp}\}^{\perp}$. We have

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma, X; \quad \vdash X^{\perp}, \Delta;}{\vdash \Gamma, \Delta;} \ \textit{n-cut}$$

from which $\{X\}^{\perp} \subseteq \{X^{\perp}\}^{\perp\perp}$ follows; moreover $\{X^{\perp}\}^{\perp\perp} = \Psi_X()^{\perp\perp} \subseteq \{X\}^{\perp}$ by lemma 1, therefore $[\![X]\!] = \{X\}^{\perp} = \{X^{\perp}\}^{\perp\perp}$.

 \circ If $A = B \otimes C$, then

 \circ If $A = B \oplus C$, then

- \circ If A = 1 then $[1] = {\emptyset}^{\perp \perp}$ by definition.
- $\circ \ \mbox{ If } A = 0 \mbox{ then } [\![0]\!] = \emptyset^{\bot\bot} \mbox{ by definition.}$

Lemma 3. For any formula A, $[\![A]\!] \subseteq \{A\}^{\perp}$.

Proof. By induction:

- $\circ \text{ If } A = X^{\perp}, \text{ we have } \{X^{\perp}\} \subseteq \operatorname{Foc}(X) \subseteq \{X\}^{\perp}, \text{ therefore } \llbracket X^{\perp} \rrbracket = \llbracket X \rrbracket^{\perp} = \{X\}^{\perp \perp} \subseteq \{X^{\perp}\}^{\perp}.$
- $\circ \ \text{ If } A=B\ \&\ C, \text{ we have } [\![B\ \&\ C]\!]=[\![B]\!]\cap [\![C]\!]\subseteq \{B\}^\perp\cap \{C\}^\perp \text{ by the induction hypothesis; moreover,}$

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma, B; \quad \vdash \Gamma, C;}{\vdash \Gamma, B \& C:} \&$$

hence $\{B\}^{\perp} \cap \{C\}^{\perp} \subseteq \{B \& C\}^{\perp}$, from which the result follows.

o If $A = B \, \Im \, C$, let $\Gamma \in \llbracket B \, \Im \, C \rrbracket = (\llbracket B \rrbracket^{\perp} \cdot \llbracket C \rrbracket^{\perp})^{\perp}$. By the induction hypothesis, $\llbracket B \rrbracket \subseteq \{B\}^{\perp}$, hence $B \in \{B\}^{\perp \perp} \subseteq \llbracket B \rrbracket^{\perp}$, and similarly $C \in \llbracket C \rrbracket^{\perp}$, therefore $\vdash B, C, \Gamma$;. Moreover,

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma, B, C;}{\vdash \Gamma, B ? C;} ? \gamma$$

hence $\Gamma \in \{B \ \ \ \ C\}^{\perp}$, therefore $[\![B \ \ \ \ \ \ C]\!] \subseteq \{B \ \ \ \ \ C\}^{\perp}$.

- \circ If $A = \top$, we have $\llbracket \top \rrbracket = M = \{\top\}^{\perp}$ by the \top rule.
- \circ If $A = \bot$, we have $\llbracket \bot \rrbracket = \bot \subseteq \{\bot\}^{\bot}$ by the \bot rule.
- \circ Otherwise, A is a positive formula with main negative subformulas $A_1, \ldots, A_{|A|}$. Then,

Corollary 3.1. For any multiset of formulas $\Gamma = A_1, \ldots, A_n$, $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \subseteq \{\Gamma\}^{\perp}$.

Proof. By lemma 3, we have $\llbracket A_i \rrbracket \subseteq \{A_i\}^{\perp}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, hence $\{A_i\} \subseteq \{A_i\}^{\perp \perp} \subseteq \llbracket A_i \rrbracket^{\perp}$, therefore $\{\Gamma\} = \{A_1\} \cdots \{A_n\} \subseteq \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket^{\perp} \cdots \llbracket A_n \rrbracket^{\perp}$.

Thus,
$$\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket = \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket \ \mathfrak{N} \cdots \mathfrak{N} \ \llbracket A_n \rrbracket = (\llbracket A_1 \rrbracket^{\perp} \cdots \llbracket A_n \rrbracket^{\perp})^{\perp} \subseteq \{\Gamma\}^{\perp}.$$

Theorem 4 (Focalised completeness). If a sequent $\vdash \Gamma$ of MALL is valid in all phase models, then $\vdash \Gamma$ has a focalised proof.

Proof. In particular $\emptyset \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$, hence $\emptyset \in \{\Gamma\}^{\perp}$ by corollary 3.1, therefore there is a proof π of $\vdash \Gamma$; in LL_{foc} . Then, using [Laurent04, section 3.2], we get a proof π' of $\vdash \Gamma$; in LL_{Foc} . Finally, by [Laurent04, proposition 2], π'° is a cut-free, focalised proof of $\vdash \Gamma$ in LL .

Combining this with the soundness theorem for phase models, we get:

Corollary 4.1 (Focalisation). Every provable sequent $\vdash \Gamma$ of MALL has a focalised proof.

References

[Laurent04] Olivier Laurent. 'A proof of the focalization property of linear logic'. Apr. 2004. URL: https://web.archive.org/web/20210225023814/https://perso.ens-lyon.fr/olivier.laurent/llfoc.pdf.

[Girard99] Jean-Yves Girard. 'On the Meaning of Logical Rules I: Syntax Versus Semantics'. In: Computational Logic. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 215–272. ISBN: 978-3-642-58622-4.