1 A semantic proof of focalisation

Let $\vdash \Gamma$; Π denote a sequent of LL_{foc} as defined in [Laurent04].

We define the focalised syntactic phase model as (M, I, \bot, φ) where M is the free commutative monoid over formulas of LL with ?A and ?A, ?A identified, $I = \{?\Gamma \mid \Gamma \in M\}, \bot = \{\Gamma \in M \mid \vdash \Gamma; \}$, and $\varphi(X) = \{X\}^{\bot}$ for positive atoms X. Let $\llbracket A \rrbracket$ be the interpretation of a formula A in this model.

Note that $I \subseteq 1$ because of the ?w rule, so $\llbracket !A \rrbracket = (\llbracket A \rrbracket \cap I)^{\perp \perp}$.

To simplify the notation, let $\vdash \Gamma; N$ mean $\vdash \Gamma, N$; when N is a negative formula. Let $\operatorname{Foc}(A) = \{\Gamma \in M \mid \vdash \Gamma; A\}$. Clearly $\operatorname{Foc}(A) \subseteq \{A\}^{\perp}$ by the *foc* rule, and in particular $\operatorname{Foc}(N) = \{N\}^{\perp}$ for N negative.

We use the decomposition of exponential connectives alluded to in [Laurent04, section 4.1]:

$$!A = \downarrow \sharp A$$
 $?A = \uparrow \flat A$

We extend our definitions to this decomposition with $[\![\sharp A]\!] = [\![!A]\!]$ and $\operatorname{Foc}(\sharp A) = \{A\}^{\perp} \cap I$, which is all that is needed here.

For a formula A, let |A| denote the number of main negative subformulas in A (where $\sharp B$ is the main negative subformula in !B).

Let Ψ_A be the |A|-ary *positive* operator (in the sense of [Girard99, appendix F]) on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ defined by induction as follows:

- $\circ \ \Psi_N(N_1) = N_1 \text{ if } N \text{ is negative}$
- $\circ \ \Psi_X() = \{X^\perp\}$
- $\circ \ \Psi_{B \otimes C}(B_1, \dots, B_{|B|}, C_1, \dots, C_{|C|}) = \Psi_B(B_1, \dots, B_{|B|}) \cdot \Psi_C(C_1, \dots, C_{|C|})$
- $\circ \ \Psi_{B \oplus C}(B_1, \dots, B_{|B|}, C_1, \dots, C_{|C|}) = \Psi_B(B_1, \dots, B_{|B|}) \cup \Psi_C(C_1, \dots, C_{|C|})$
- $\Psi_1() = \{\emptyset\}$
- $\circ \Psi_0() = \emptyset$
- $\circ \ \Psi_{!B}(B_1) = B_1$

Lemma 1

For any formula A with main negative subformulas $A_1, \ldots, A_{|A|}$,

$$\llbracket A \rrbracket \subseteq \Psi_A(\llbracket A_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket A_{|A|} \rrbracket)^{\perp \perp}$$

Proof: By induction, using positivity results from [Girard99, appendix F]: $(X^{\perp\perp} \cdot Y^{\perp\perp})^{\perp\perp} \subseteq (X \cdot Y)^{\perp\perp}$ and $(X^{\perp\perp} \cup Y^{\perp\perp})^{\perp\perp} \subseteq (X \cup Y)^{\perp\perp}$.

- \circ If A is negative, then we have $[\![A]\!] = [\![A]\!]^{\perp \perp}$ because $[\![A]\!]$ is a fact.
- $\circ \ \mbox{ If } A = X, \mbox{ let } \Gamma \in \{X\}^{\perp} \mbox{ and } \Delta \in \{X^{\perp}\}^{\perp}.$ We have

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma, X; \qquad \vdash X^{\perp}, \Delta;}{\vdash \Gamma, \Delta;} \quad \textit{n-cut}$$

from which $\{X\}^{\perp} \subseteq \{X^{\perp}\}^{\perp \perp}$ follows; therefore $[\![X]\!] = \{X\}^{\perp} \subseteq \{X^{\perp}\}^{\perp \perp} = \Psi_X()^{\perp \perp}$.

 \circ If $A = B \otimes C$, then

$$\begin{split} \llbracket B \otimes C \rrbracket &= (\llbracket B \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket C \rrbracket)^{\perp \perp} \\ &\subseteq (\Psi_B(\llbracket B_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket B_{|B|} \rrbracket)^{\perp \perp} \cdot \Psi_C(\llbracket C_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket C_{|C|} \rrbracket)^{\perp \perp})^{\perp \perp} \quad \text{by the induction hypothesis} \\ &\subseteq (\Psi_B(\llbracket B_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket B_{|B|} \rrbracket) \cdot \Psi_C(\llbracket C_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket C_{|C|} \rrbracket))^{\perp \perp} \quad \text{by positivity} \\ &= \Psi_{B \otimes C}(\llbracket B_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket B_{|B|} \rrbracket, \llbracket C_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket C_{|C|} \rrbracket)^{\perp \perp} \end{split}$$

 \circ If $A = B \oplus C$, then

$$\begin{split} \llbracket B \oplus C \rrbracket &= (\llbracket B \rrbracket \cup \llbracket C \rrbracket)^{\bot \bot} \\ &\subseteq (\Psi_B(\llbracket B_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket B_{|B|} \rrbracket)^{\bot \bot} \cup \Psi_C(\llbracket C_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket C_{|C|} \rrbracket)^{\bot \bot})^{\bot \bot} \quad \text{by the induction hypothesis} \\ &\subseteq (\Psi_B(\llbracket B_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket B_{|B|} \rrbracket) \cup \Psi_C(\llbracket C_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket C_{|C|} \rrbracket))^{\bot \bot} \quad \text{by positivity} \\ &= \Psi_{B \oplus C}(\llbracket B_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket B_{|B|} \rrbracket, \llbracket C_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket C_{|C|} \rrbracket)^{\bot \bot} \end{split}$$

- \circ If A = 1 then $[1] = {\emptyset}^{\perp \perp}$ by definition.
- $\circ \text{ If } A = 0 \text{ then } \llbracket 0 \rrbracket = \emptyset^{\perp \perp} \text{ by definition.}$
- $\circ \text{ If } A = !B \text{ then } [\![!B]\!] = [\![\sharp B]\!] = \Psi_{!B}([\![\sharp B]\!])^{\perp \perp}.$

Lemma 2

For any formula A with main negative subformulas $A_1, \ldots, A_{|A|}$,

$$\Psi_A(\operatorname{Foc}(A_1),\ldots,\operatorname{Foc}(A_{|A|}))\subseteq\operatorname{Foc}(A)$$

Proof: By induction:

- If A is negative, $\Psi_A(\operatorname{Foc}(A)) = \operatorname{Foc}(A)$ by definition.
- \circ If A = X, then $\Psi_X() = \{X^{\perp}\} \subseteq \operatorname{Foc}(X)$ by the ax rule.
- \circ If $A = B \otimes C$, then we have

$$\Psi_A(\operatorname{Foc}(A_1),\ldots,\operatorname{Foc}(A_{|A|})) = \Psi_B(\operatorname{Foc}(B_1),\ldots,\operatorname{Foc}(B_{|B|})) \cdot \Psi_C(\operatorname{Foc}(C_1),\ldots,\operatorname{Foc}(C_{|C|}))$$

$$\subseteq \operatorname{Foc}(B) \cdot \operatorname{Foc}(C)$$

by the induction hypothesis; moreover,

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma; B \qquad \vdash \Delta; C}{\vdash \Gamma, \Delta; B \otimes C} \otimes$$

hence $Foc(B) \cdot Foc(C) \subseteq Foc(B \otimes C)$, from which the result follows.

• If $A = B \oplus C$, then we have

$$\Psi_A(\operatorname{Foc}(A_1),\ldots,\operatorname{Foc}(A_{|A|})) = \Psi_B(\operatorname{Foc}(B_1),\ldots,\operatorname{Foc}(B_{|B|})) \cup \Psi_C(\operatorname{Foc}(C_1),\ldots,\operatorname{Foc}(C_{|C|}))$$

$$\subseteq \operatorname{Foc}(B) \cup \operatorname{Foc}(C)$$

by the induction hypothesis; moreover,

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma; B}{\vdash \Gamma; B \oplus C} \oplus_{1} \frac{\vdash \Delta; C}{\vdash \Delta; B \oplus C} \oplus_{2}$$

hence $\operatorname{Foc}(B) \cup \operatorname{Foc}(C) \subseteq \operatorname{Foc}(B \oplus C)$, from which the result follows.

- ∘ If A = 1, clearly $\Psi_1() = \{\emptyset\} \subseteq Foc(1)$ by the 1 rule.
- \circ If A = 0, clearly $\Psi_0() = \emptyset \subset Foc(0)$.
- \circ If A = !B, then $\Psi_{!B}(\operatorname{Foc}(\sharp B)) = \{B\}^{\perp} \cap I$, and

$$\frac{\vdash ?\Gamma, B;}{\vdash ?\Gamma; !B}$$
!

hence $\{B\}^{\perp} \cap I \subseteq \text{Foc}(!B)$, from which the result follows.

Lemma 3

For any formula A, $\llbracket A \rrbracket \subseteq \operatorname{Foc}(A)^{\perp \perp}$.

Proof: By induction:

• If A is a positive formula with main negative subformulas $A_1, \ldots, A_{|A|}$, then

$$\begin{split} \llbracket A \rrbracket &\subseteq \Psi_A(\llbracket A_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket A_{|A|} \rrbracket)^{\perp \perp} & \text{by theorem 1} \\ &\subseteq \Psi_A(\operatorname{Foc}(A_1)^{\perp \perp}, \dots, \operatorname{Foc}(A_{|A|})^{\perp \perp})^{\perp \perp} & \text{by the induction hypothesis} \\ &= \Psi_A(\operatorname{Foc}(A_1), \dots, \operatorname{Foc}(A_{|A|}))^{\perp \perp} & \text{by positivity} \\ &\subseteq \operatorname{Foc}(A)^{\perp \perp} & \text{by theorem 2} \end{split}$$

 $\text{o } \text{ If } A = \sharp B \text{, then by the induction hypothesis } \llbracket \sharp B \rrbracket = \llbracket !B \rrbracket = (\llbracket B \rrbracket \cap I)^{\bot\bot} \subseteq (\operatorname{Foc}(B)^{\bot\bot} \cap I)^{\bot\bot} \subseteq (\{B\}^\bot \cap I)^{\bot\bot} = \operatorname{Foc}(\sharp B)^{\bot\bot}.$

Otherwise, it is enough to prove $[\![A]\!] \subseteq \{A\}^{\perp}$.

- $\circ \ \text{ If } A = X^{\perp} \text{, we have } \{X\} \subseteq \{X^{\perp}\}^{\perp} \text{, therefore } \llbracket X^{\perp} \rrbracket = \{X\}^{\perp \perp} \subseteq \{X^{\perp}\}^{\perp \perp} = \{X^{\perp}\}^{\perp}.$
- $\circ \ \ \text{If } A=B\,\&\,C \text{, we have } [\![B\,\&\,C]\!]=[\![B]\!]\cap[\![C]\!]\subseteq \{B\}^\perp\cap\{C\}^\perp \text{ by the induction hypothesis; moreover,}$

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma, B; \quad \vdash \Gamma, C;}{\vdash \Gamma, B \& C;} \&$$

hence $\{B\}^{\perp} \cap \{C\}^{\perp} \subseteq \{B \& C\}^{\perp}$, from which the result follows.

∘ If $A = B \, \Im \, C$, let $\Gamma \in \llbracket B \, \Im \, C \rrbracket = (\llbracket B \rrbracket^\perp \cdot \llbracket C \rrbracket^\perp)^\perp$. By the induction hypothesis, $\llbracket B \rrbracket \subseteq \{B\}^\perp$, hence $B \in \{B\}^{\perp \perp} \subseteq \llbracket B \rrbracket^\perp$, and similarly $C \in \llbracket C \rrbracket^\perp$, therefore $\vdash B, C, \Gamma$; . Moreover,

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma, B, C;}{\vdash \Gamma, B \, \mathcal{V} \, C;} \, \, \mathcal{V}$$

hence $\Gamma \in \{B \ {}^{\gamma}\!\!\!/ C\}^{\perp}$, from which the result follows.

- $\circ \ \mbox{ If } A = \top \mbox{, we have } [\![\top]\!] = M = \{\top\}^{\perp} \mbox{ by the } \top \mbox{ rule.}$
- $\circ \ \ \text{If} \ A = \bot, \text{ we have } \llbracket \bot \rrbracket = \bot \subseteq \{\bot\}^\bot \text{ by the } \bot \text{ rule.}$
- $\circ \ \, \text{If} \ \, A = ?B, \ \, \text{then} \ \, [\![?B]\!] = ([\![B]\!]^\perp \cap I)^\perp. \ \, \text{By the induction hypothesis, } [\![B]\!] \subseteq \operatorname{Foc}(B)^{\perp\perp}, \ \, \text{hence} \\ ([\![B]\!]^\perp \cap I)^\perp \subseteq (\operatorname{Foc}(B)^\perp \cap I)^\perp. \ \, \text{Moreover, } ?B \in \operatorname{Foc}(B)^\perp \cap I \text{ because of the } ?d \text{ rule, therefore} \\ (\operatorname{Foc}(B)^\perp \cap I)^\perp \subseteq \{?B\}^\perp, \ \, \text{from which the result follows.}$

Corollary 3.1

For any multiset of formulas $\Gamma = A_1, \ldots, A_n$, $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \subseteq \{\Gamma\}^{\perp}$.

Proof: By theorem 3, we have $[\![A_i]\!] \subseteq \operatorname{Foc}(A_i)^{\perp \perp} \subseteq \{A_i\}^{\perp}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, hence $\{A_i\} \subseteq \{A_i\}^{\perp \perp} \subseteq [\![A_i]\!]^{\perp}$, therefore $\{\Gamma\} = \{A_1\} \cdots \{A_n\} \subseteq [\![A_1]\!]^{\perp} \cdots [\![A_n]\!]^{\perp}$.

Thus,
$$\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket = \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket \ \stackrel{\mathcal{H}}{\gamma} \cdots \stackrel{\mathcal{H}}{\gamma} \llbracket A_n \rrbracket = (\llbracket A_1 \rrbracket^{\perp} \cdots \llbracket A_n \rrbracket^{\perp})^{\perp} \subseteq \{\Gamma\}^{\perp}.$$

Theorem 4 (Focalised completeness)

If a sequent $\vdash \Gamma$ *of LL is valid in all phase models, then* $\vdash \Gamma$ *has a focalised proof.*

Proof: In particular $\emptyset \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$, hence $\emptyset \in \{\Gamma\}^{\perp}$ by corollary 3.1, therefore there is a proof π of $\vdash \Gamma$; in LL_{foc}. Then, using [Laurent04, section 3.2], we get a proof π' of $\vdash \Gamma$; in LL_{Foc}. Finally, by [Laurent04, proposition 2], π'° is a cut-free, focalised proof of $\vdash \Gamma$ in LL.

Combining this with the soundness theorem for phase models, we get:

Corollary 4.1 (Focalisation)

Every provable sequent of LL has a focalised proof.

References

- [Laurent04] Olivier Laurent. 'A proof of the focusing property of linear logic'. Apr. 2004. URL: https://web.archive.org/web/20210811123017/https://perso.ens-lyon.fr/olivier.laurent/llfoc2.pdf.
- [Girard99] Jean-Yves Girard. 'On the Meaning of Logical Rules I: Syntax Versus Semantics'. In: *Computational Logic.* Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 215–272. ISBN: 978-3-642-58622-4.