SOFTWARE ENGINEERING 2 PROJECT INTEGRATION TEST PLAN DOCUMENT

PowerEnJoy



TEAM: NICO MONTALI, ENRICO FINI

Contents

1	Intr	roduction										4
	1.1	Purpose and Scope										4
	1.2	Definitions and Abbreviations										4
	1.3	Referenced Documents										4
2	Inte	egration strategy										5
	2.1	Entry criteria										5
	2.2	Elements to be integrated										5
	2.3	Integration Testing Strategy .										6
	2.4	Sequence of Integration										6
		2.4.1 Software integration .										6
		2.4.2 Subsystem integration										7
	2.5	Test items										7
3	Individual Steps and Test Description								8			
		3.0.1 Router, Authorization	Mana	ger								8
		3.0.2 DriveManager, Vehicle		_								9
		3.0.3 DriveManager, Paymen		_								10
		3.0.4 TaskManager, VehicleM										10
		3.0.5 Router, DriveManager										11
		3.0.6 Router, VehicleManage	er .									12
		3.0.7 Router, TaskManager.										12
4	Too	ols and Test Equipment										14
5	Pro	gram Stubs and Test Data	Requ	uire	d							15
	5.1	DRAFT OF STUBS										15
	5.2	DRAFT OF DRIVERS										15
6	Wo	rk review										16

Document Status

Document Title	Integration Test Plan Document
Document ID	PowerEnjoy/ITPD/1.0
Authors	N. Montali, E. Fini
Version	1.0

Changelog

Version	Date	Changes
1.0	9/01/2017	Initial version

Introduction

1.1 Purpose and Scope

This document describes the plans for testing the integration of the components of the PowerEnjoy system. The purpose of this document is to describe in a detailed way how to test the interfaces described in the Design Document [DD, Interfaces, Paragraph 2.7]. The integration tests will follow the sequence provided in this document, described later in Integration Strategy (Chapter 2). Chapter 3 will instead give a more detailed insight of the single tests to be run.

In this document we only take into account the integration testing of the components, i.e. test if the connections between components are functional, while Unit Testing, i.e. testing a single component in a stand-alone manner, is not described here and is assumed as already done when the component is considered ready for integration testing.

1.2 Definitions and Abbreviations

1.3 Referenced Documents

Integration strategy

2.1 Entry criteria

Integration Testing can start as long as the entry criteria stated below are met. First of all, the RASD and the DD documents must have been completed and accepted, since we need a complete view of the problem and the design of the system.

Also, integration should start only when the estimated percentage of completion of the various components met this requirement

- 95% of the Core functionalities
- 50% of the Client functionalities

This percentage describe only the entry criteria for the integration testing phase, not the actual integration test of the component (obviously possible only when the component is almost complete). The relatively high percentage of the Core components is due to the high correlation between components, while the relatively low percentage regarding the clients is due to the relative simplicity of them w.r.t the Core.

2.2 Elements to be integrated

In the DD, the structure of the system is clearly divided into high-level components, e.g. the Core and Clients, and lower-level component, i.e. the subcomponents of the Core. So, the integration phase will be performed at different level of abstraction. Given that the lower-level components compose the essential high-level component of the system (the Core), we will first integrate the lower-level and then proceed to higher levels. The first critical component of the system is the Data Access Layer, that is implemented through an external Node.JS library (Sequelize, DD v1.1). For this reason, all the CRUD operations (Create, Read, Update, Delete)

on the DB are considered as already tested. The usage of these operations inside components are consequentially already tested in Unit Testing. The lower-level components to be tested in the first phase are: Vehicle Manager, Drive Manager, Payment Manager, Router, Authorization Manager and Task Manager.

The high-level components of the system are all on the same level w.r.t. the Core. We will integrate Android driver app, iOS driver app, driver web portal, Android worker app, iOS worker app, administrator web portal.

2.3 Integration Testing Strategy

We are going to use mainly a bottom-up approach during the integration testing of lower-level components. So, we will start integrating the components that does not depend on other components or depend on already developed components. Since we have many simple components that are very independent (Vehicle Manager, Payment Manager, Authorization Manager), this approach gives us the advantage to begin the testing phase earlier and start to integrate as soon as components are ready and functional. The second phase will follow a critical-first approach, since the components here are only dependent to the Core. So, the order will reflect the risk represented by the incorrect behaviour of the component.

2.4 Sequence of Integration

This section contains the detailed integration sequence, starting from the Core subsystem in paragraph 2.4.1 to the entire system integration in paragraph 2.4.2

2.4.1 Software integration

STEP I1: DriveManager \rightarrow VehicleManager

This integration contains the most important components in our system. All the DriveManager functionalities are tested. Since DriveManager uses also PaymentManager, but we still want to integrate one-by-one, we will use a PaymentManager stub, that simply simulate a payment and the availability check (always replying in a correct way). We will need a driver to call the relevant DriveManager's functions.

STEP I2: DriveManager \rightarrow PaymentManager

The previous PaymentManager stub is replaced by the real component, and all the functionalities that require a payment are tested. We also will need a driver to call these DriveManager's functions.

STEP I3: TaskManager \rightarrow VehicleManager

The integration proceed to TaskManager, which only depends on VehicleManager. A driver to call TaskManager interface is required.

STEP I4: Router \rightarrow AuthorizationManager

TODO

STEP I4: Router \rightarrow DriveManager

TODO

2.4.2 Subsystem integration

2.5 Test items

The items are the integrations of the components previously described in [Design Document, DD, Paragraph 2.X].

Individual Steps and Test Description

${\bf 3.0.1}\quad {\bf Router,\, Authorization Manager}$

$\log \ln(\mathrm{data})$					
Input	Effect				
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised				
Data parameter contains an inexistent	An InvalidArgumentException is				
username	raised				
Data parameter contains empty	An InvalidArgumentException is				
username or password	raised				
Data parameter contains a valid					
username but password does not	Returns False				
correspond					
Data parameter contains valid	Returns True				
username and password corresponds	1terums mue				

logout(data)					
Input	Effect				
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised				
Data parameter contains an inexistent	An InvalidArgumentException is				
username	raised				
Data parameter contains empty	An InvalidArgumentException is				
username	raised				
Data parameter contains valid	Current session is deleted				
username	Current session is deleted				

$\operatorname{signup}(\operatorname{data})$					
Input	Effect				
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised				
Data parameter contains empty	An InvalidArgumentException is				
username or password	raised				
Data parameter contains a username which does not comply with the regular expression	An InvalidArgumentException is raised				
Data parameter contains valid username and password	Returns True				

${\bf 3.0.2}\quad {\bf Drive Manager,\, Vehicle Manager}$

reserve(user, vehicle)					
Input	Effect				
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised				
An inexistent Vehicle ID	An InvalidArgumentException is				
An mexistent venicle 1D	raised				
A "busy" Vehicle ID	A StateException is raised				
The ID of a user who has another	An invalidUserException is raised				
active reservation					
Formally valid argument	The state of the vehicle is set to				
rormany vand argument	"busy"				

cancel(reservation)				
Input	Effect			
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised			
An inexistent Reservation ID	An InvalidArgumentException is			
An mexistent Reservation 1D	raised			
Formally valid argument	The Reservation is removed from the			
Formally valid argument	database			

start(reservation)					
Input	Effect				
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised				
An inexistent Reservation ID	An InvalidArgumentException is				
All mexistent reservation in	raised				
	The state of the Reservation is				
formally valid argument	updated and a new Drive entry is				
	created				

stop(drive)				
Input	Effect			
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised			
An inexistent Drive ID	An InvalidArgumentException is			
In mexistent Drive 1D	raised			
Formally valid argument	The state of the Drive and the			
nmany vand argument	respective Reservation are updated			

${\bf 3.0.3}\quad {\bf Drive Manager,\, Payment Manger}$

reserve(user, vehicle)					
Input	Effect				
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised				
An inexistent user ID	An InvalidArgumentException is raised				
The ID of a user who has another active reservation	An invalidUserException is raised				
Formally valid argument	A fixed amount is pre-authorized from the user's credit card				

TODO manca check availability

stop(drive)				
Input	Effect			
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised			
An inexistent Drive ID	An InvalidArgumentException is raised			
Formally valid argument	The right amount is charged on the user's credit card			

${\bf 3.0.4}\quad {\bf Task Manager,\, Vehicle Manger}$

makeReport(data)	
Input	Effect
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised
Data parameter contains an inexistent	An InvalidArgumentException is
vehicle ID	raised
Formally valid argument	A new report is created and the state
	of the respective vehicle is updated

$\operatorname{makeTask}(\operatorname{data})$	
Input	Effect
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised
Data parameter contains an inexistent	An InvalidArgumentException is
vehicle ID	raised
Formally valid argument	A new task is created and the state of
	the respective vehicle is updated

updateTask(task, state)	
Input	Effect
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised
State parameter contains an inexistent	An InvalidArgumentException is
state	raised
Task parameter contains an inexistent	An InvalidArgumentException is
task ID	raised
	The state of the task is updated, and
Formally valid argument	eventually the state of the respective
	vehicle is updated

3.0.5 Router, DriveManager

reserve(request)	
Input	Effect
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised
Request parameter contains an	An InvalidArgumentException is
inexistent User ID	raised
Request parameter contains an	An InvalidArgumentException is
inexistent Vehicle ID	raised
Formally valid argument	A new Reservation is created

unlock(request)	
Input	Effect
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised
Request parameter contains an	An InvalidArgumentException is
inexistent Vehicle ID	raised
Formally valid argument	An unlock command is sent to the vehicle

$\operatorname{cancel}(\operatorname{request})$	
Input	Effect
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised
Request parameter contains an	an InvalidArgumentException is raised
inexistent Reservation ID	an invandArgumentException is raised
Formally valid argument	The Reservation is cancelled

3.0.6 Router, VehicleManager

endDrive(request)	
Input	Effect
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised
Request parameter contains an	an InvalidArgumentException is raised
inexistent Drive ID	an invalid Argument Exception is raised
Formally valid argument	The Drive is stopped and the Vehicle
	status is updated

3.0.7 Router, TaskManager

$\operatorname{report}(\operatorname{request})$	
Input	Effect
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised
Request parameter contains an	an InvalidArgumentException is raised
inexistent Vehicle ID	an invalid Argument Exception is raised
Formally valid argument	A Report is created

changeMyState(request) (for Workers only)	
Input	Effect
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised
Request parameter contains an	an InvalidArgumentException is raised
inexistent Worker ID	an invandargumentException is raised
Formally valid argument	The state of the Worker is updated

updateTask(request) (for Workers only)	
Input	Effect
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised
Request parameter contains an	an InvalidArgumentException is raised
inexistent Task ID	an invandArgumentException is raised
Formally valid argument	The state of the Task is updated

manageWorker(worker, data) (for Admins only)	
Input	Effect
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised
Request parameter contains an	An InvalidArgumentException is
inexistent Worker ID	raised
Formally valid argument	???

makeTask() (for Admins only)	
Input	Effect
A null parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised
Formally valid argument	A new Task is created

Tools and Test Equipment

Since we are using Node.JS as our main programming language, we will use tools for Unit Testing and Integration Testing specific for it. These tools are open-source (the github link is provided below)

- Mocha Test Engine, i.e. run tests at different level. GitHub
- Chai Logic, i.e. to provide assertions GitHub
- Sinon Stubs and Drivers GitHub

Program Stubs and Test Data Required

5.1 DRAFT OF STUBS

Payment stub Authorization stub

5.2 DRAFT OF DRIVERS

Software drivers calls the tested objects with a collection of input from the detailed description of the test. Router uses as a driver an HTTP client

Work review

Based on our log of the work phases, the total amount of hour of work required were:

• N. Montali: 26 hours

_

• E. Fini: 24 hours

- Introduction