

## PROOFS/NOTES

NIKHIL VASAN

### 1. CASPER FFG

**Definition 1.1. CheckPoint:** Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $B$  is a checkpoint iff  $B = B_{genesis}$  or  $h(B) \equiv 0(100)$ , where  $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is the height function of the block-tree

The (*CheckpointHeight*)  $\tilde{h} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , is defined as follows

$$(1.1) \quad \text{for } B \in \mathcal{B}, h(\tilde{B}) = \lfloor h(B) \rfloor$$

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the set of validators for the chain, then  $d : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is the deposit mapping, mapping validators to their respective deposits.

**Definition 1.2. Vote :** A signed message,  $\langle v, s, t, h(s), h(t) \rangle$ , where  $s, t \in \mathcal{B}$ , and  $h(s) \geq h(t) + 1$ ,  $s \in \text{child}(t)$

Notice, that when a block  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  is referred, generally, one refers to the merkle root hash of the contents of the block, as communication complexity would scale rapidly with the number of messages sent /  $|\mathcal{V}|$ . Further definitions follow,

**Definition 1.3.** We define

*supermajority link* :  $SL \in \mathcal{B}^2$ , where  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{B}$  iff  $\text{sum}_{v \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{vote}(a,b)}} d(v) \geq 2/3$

*conflicting* :  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  (checkpoints) are conflicting iff,  $B_1 \notin \text{child}(B_2)$  and  $B_2 \notin \text{child}(B_1)$

*justified* :  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  is justified if (1) it is the root, or there exists  $s \in SL$  where  $s = (c', c)$ , where  $c'$  is justified.

*finalized* :  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  is justified if (1) it is the genesis block or (2) it is justified, and there is a supermajority link  $c \rightarrow c'$  where  $c'$  is a direct child of  $c$ , that is  $h(c') = h(c) + 1$

**Definition 1.4 (slashing conditions).** A validator,  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ , is slashed,  $d(v) = 0$  if, a validator publishes two votes  $\langle v, s_1, t_1, h(s_1), h(t_1) \rangle, \langle v, s_2, t_2, h(s_2), h(t_2) \rangle$

$$(1) \quad h(t_1) = h(t_2)$$

$$(2) \quad h(s_1) < h(t_1) < h(t_2) < h(t_1)$$

### 2. PROOF OF SAFETY AND PLAUSIBLE LIVENESS

**Theorem 2.1 ((Accountable Safety)).** Two conflicting checkpoints  $a_m$  and  $b_n$  cannot both be finalized.

2.1. Fix  $a_m, b_n \in \mathcal{C}$  where both  $a_m \notin \text{chain}(b_n)$  and  $b_n \notin \text{chain}(a_m)$ . Intending contradiction, suppose both  $a_m$  and  $b_n$  are finalized. Naturally  $h(a_m) \neq h(b_n)$ , thus, we may assume WLOG that  $h(a_m) > h(b_n)$ . Denote  $b_{n+1}$  denote the checkpoint finalizing  $b_n$ ,

where  $h(b_{n+1}) = h(b_n) + 1$ , a similar case follows for  $a_{m+1}$ . Denote  $a' \in \text{chain}(a_n)$  to be the first ancestor of  $a_n$  where  $h(a') < h(b_n)$ . Naturally  $a'_n$  the block finalizing  $a_n$  satisfies  $h(a'_n) > h(b_{n+1})$ , violating slashin condition **II**.  $\square$

**Definition 2.2.** Denote  $\mathcal{DS} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , the mapping between validators and their start dynasty. Where  $\mathcal{DS}(v) = d + 2$ , when  $v$  has submitted a deposit message at blockc with slot 2. The mapping  $\mathcal{DE}(v) : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  maps validators to their end dynasty.

### 3. GASPER

### 4. TENDERMINT

Consensus for each block at height  $h_p$  proceeds in rounds,  $\text{round}_p$ , three types of messages for each round are passed

**Definition 4.1.** The messages defined are...

**(Proposal)** :  $\langle \text{PROPOSAL}, h_p, \text{round}_p, \text{proposal}, \text{validRound}_p \rangle$ , where *proposal* is the value on which all nodes will come to consensus upon, given the size of the msg, to reduce message complexity of later messages, *id(v)* a proof of fixed size is passed between nodes

**(Prevote)** :  $\langle \text{PREVOTE}, h_p, \text{round}_p, \text{id}(v) \rangle$ , this message type defines a vote for the corresponding value  $\text{decode}_p(\text{id}(v))$ , in the first round of voting,, notice  $\text{id}(v) == \text{nil}$  if  $\text{isValid}(v) == \text{false}$ .

**(PreCommit)** :  $\langle \text{PRECOMMIT}, h_p, \text{round}_p, \text{id}(v) \rangle$ , this message defines the standard type for the second round of voting

At each round a set of 5 state variables are maintained by all *correct* processes

**Definition 4.2.** These variables are reset at the beginning of each consensus instance

$(h_p)$  Identifier of the current consensus instance... height

$(\text{round}_p)$  Round number for this consensus instance

$(\text{decision}_p \dots)$  : the set of finalized blocks, where  $\text{decision}_p(h_p) = \text{Tendermint}(v)$  (block to finalize at current height)

$(\text{lockedValue}/\text{lockedRound})$  : These values store the most recent value precommitted and the round at which the pre-commit was sent at which the process  $p$  received  $2f + 1$  prevotes for a value  $v$ , and the value  $v$ , that is

```
prevotes := make([]Prevote)

if len(prevotes) >= 2 * f + 1 {
    lockedRound = curRound
    lockedValue = value
    broadcast(Precommit{
        step: PRECOMMIT,
        height: curHeight,
        round: curRound
    })
}
```

```

        id: hash(curValue),
    })
}

```

(validValue/validRound) These values serve a similar purpose to the lockedRound/Value, except these values record the first value that represents a possible decision value.

**Theorem 4.3.** For all  $f \geq 0$  all sets of  $2f + 1$  processes, have at least  $f + 1$  process in common

**Theorem 4.4.** Notice,  $n = 3f + 1$ , where  $n$  is the total number of processes participating in the network. Therefore,

*Proof.*  $2(2f + 1) = 3f + 1 + f + 1 = n + f + 1$  □

therefore by the pigeonhole principle, there is at least  $f + 1 - f = 1$  correct nodes in common between two sets.

**Theorem 4.5.** If  $f + 1$  correct processes lock value  $v$  in round  $r_0$  then in all round  $r > r_0$  they send PREVOTE for  $id(v)$  or  $nil$

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on  $i$ , where  $r_i$  designates the current round. For  $r_1$ , the  $f + 1$  processes that had locked  $v$ ,  $validValue = v$ , thus if they are the proposer they broadcast  $\langle PREVOTE, h_p, r_1, id(v) \rangle$ , if they are not the proposer, and receive a Proposal for  $v'$  where  $v' \neq v$  notice  $lockedRound \neq -1$  and  $lockedValue \neq v'$  thus they broadcast a prevote for  $nil$ . Assuming the hypothesis holds for  $n$ , then for round  $r_{n+1}$ ,  $validValue = v$  and  $validRound = r_0$ . That is, it is impossible for  $2f + 1$  Prevotes to be signed for a conflicting value  $v'$ , thus, the locked value will remain the same, and by the hypothesis, all nodes will broadcast prevotes for  $nil$  or  $v$ . □

## 5. COSMOS FEE DISTRIBUTION

Suppose a delegator  $x$  delegates  $x$  stake to validator  $v$  at block  $i$  and withdraws at block  $h$ , then the accum is defined as follows

$$(5.1) \quad accum = x \sum_{k=i}^h \frac{f_k}{s_k}$$

where  $f_i$  represents the total tx fees each block, and  $s_i$  represents the delegated stake for the validator at each block. Notice, the delegated stake only changes whenever a delegation is changed, as such, we may designate the periods between delegation modifications as a *period*

**Definition 5.1. Period:** Time between a validator's stake  $S_v$  changing

The new calculation is as follows

$$(5.2) \quad accum_d = \sum_{k=p_{init}}^{p_{final}} \frac{T_p}{S_p}$$

where  $T_p$  is the total tx fees per period, and  $S_p$  is the total stake per period. Notice, this calculation lends itself to a recursive expression

$$(5.3) \quad entry_f = entry_{f-1} + \frac{T_f}{s_f}$$

Each entry is a state object indexable by  $f$  (Period Number). The maximal number of entries stored in state is

$$(5.4) \quad curPeriod - \min_{d \in \mathcal{D}}(Period(d))$$

where  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  represents iteration over all delegations. Each delegators reward earned from withdrawing may be represented as follows

$$(5.5) \quad accum = x(entry_k - entry_f)$$

## 6. LP TOKEN PRICING ( $xy = k$ ) CFMM

Consider a pool obeying the following invariant,  $r_0 * r_1 = k$ , where  $r_0$  is the reserves of  $asset_0$  and  $r_1$  is the reserve of  $asset_1$ . Notice, in this case the prices of  $asset_0$  in terms of  $asset_1$ , is determined as follows

$$(6.1) \quad p_0 = \frac{\Delta r_0}{\Delta r_1}, p_1 = \frac{1}{p_0}$$

notice,  $\Delta r_0$  may be determined as follows,

$$(6.2) \quad (r_1 + \Delta r_1)(r_0 - \Delta r_0) = k = r_0 * r_1$$

$$(6.3) \quad \Delta r_0 = r_0 - \frac{k}{r_1 + \Delta r_1} = \frac{r_0(r_1 + \Delta r_1)}{r_1 + \Delta r_1} - \frac{r_0 * r_1}{r_1 + \Delta r_1}$$

$$(6.4) \quad = \frac{r_0 \Delta r_1}{r_1 + \Delta r_1}$$

substituting this value into  $p_0$ , one obtains

$$(6.5) \quad p_0 = \frac{\Delta r_0}{\Delta r_1} = \frac{r_0 \Delta r_1}{r_1 + \Delta r_1} * \frac{1}{\Delta r_1} = \frac{r_0}{r_1 + \Delta r_1}$$

Let  $TVL = r_0 * p_0 + r_1 * p_1$ , in this case, we may parametrize  $TVL$  in terms of  $\Delta r_1$  and  $r_0, r_1$ ,

$$(6.6) \quad TVL = r_0 * p_0 + r_1 = r_0 * \frac{r_0}{r_1 + \Delta r_1} + r_1$$

## 7. MATH

**Theorem 7.1.**  $\forall x_1, x_2, x_1 \leq x_2 \rightarrow f(x_1) \leq f(x_2), f(f(x)) = x$  implies that,  $f(x) = x$ ,

*Proof.* □

**Theorem 7.2.** Let  $E$  be a non-empty subset of an ordered set; suppose  $\alpha$  is a lower bound of  $E$  and  $\beta$  is an upper bound of  $E$ . Prove that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .

*Proof.* Denote  $\leq$  the ordering over  $E$ , that is,  $\leq$  a transitive relation. As such, fix  $e \in E$ . Notice, as  $\alpha$  is a lower-bound of  $E$ , it follows that  $\alpha \leq e$ , furthermore,  $e \leq \beta$ , combining the relations, and applying the transitivity of  $\leq$ , obtains  $\alpha \leq \beta$ , as was to be shown. □

**Theorem 7.3.** Let  $A$  be a non-empty set of real numbers which is bounded below. Let  $-A$  be the set of all numbers  $-x$ , where  $x \in A$ . It follows

$$(7.1) \quad \inf A = -\sup(-A)$$

*Proof.* The least upper bound (greatest lower bound) property of  $\mathbb{R}$  indicates that  $\inf(A) = \alpha$  exists ( $A$  is non-empty and bound below). Furthermore, Let  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  be a lower bound for  $A$ , that is,  $\forall x \in A, x \geq l$ , thus  $\forall x \in A, -l \geq -x$ , and  $-l$  is an upper-bound of  $-A$ , as  $-A$  is non-empty and bound above  $\sup(-A) = \beta$  exists in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $-\beta > \alpha$ , as  $-\beta$  is a lower bound of  $A$  ( $\forall x \in -A, x \leq -\beta \rightarrow \forall x' = -x \in A, x \geq \beta$ ), this contradicts  $\alpha = \inf(A)$ . A similar proof follows for the other direction. Thus  $-\beta = \alpha$ .  $\square$

Fix  $b > 1$

(6a) If  $m, n, p, q$  are integers,  $n > 0, q > 0$ , and  $r = m/n = p/q$ , prove that

$$(7.2) \quad (b^m)^{1/n} = (b^p)^{1/q}$$

*Proof.* Notice  $(b^{1/n})^m = (b^{1/q})^p = b^{m/n} = b^{p/q} = b^r$   $\square$

(6b) Prove that  $b^{r+s} = b^r b^s$  if  $r$  and  $s$  are rational.

*Proof.* Let  $r = m/n$  and  $s = p/q$ , thus  $b^{r+s} = b^{m/n+p/q} = b^{\frac{mq+np}{nq}} = (b^{mq}b^{np})^{\frac{1}{nq}} = b^r b^s$   $\square$

6c If  $x$  is real, define  $B(x)$  to be the set of all numbers  $b^t$ , where  $t$  is rational and  $t \leq x$ . Prove that

$$(7.3) \quad b^x = \sup(B(x))$$

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , thus  $x = m/n$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Consider  $B(x)$ , naturally  $B(x)$  is non-empty, furthermore,  $B(x) \subset \mathbb{R}$ , finally,  $B(x)$  is bound above by  $b^x$ , and thus  $\alpha = \sup B(x)$  exists. Suppose,  $\alpha \neq b^x$ . WLOG (the other direction guarantees a similar maximal / minimal element), suppose  $\alpha > b^x$ . Notice, the archimedean property of real numbers guarantees  $\square$

7d If  $w$  is such that  $b^w < y$ , then  $b^{w+(1/n)} < y$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .

*Proof.* Via 7c, it suffices to show that  $\frac{b-1}{yb^{-w}-1} < n$ , for some  $n$ . Thus,  $b-1 < n(yb^{-w}-1)$ , for some  $n$ . Notice, as  $b, (yb^{-w}-1) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , there exists,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $b-1 < n(yb^{-w}-1)$ .  $\square$

7e If  $b^w > y$ , then  $b^{w-1/n} > y$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .

let  $A$  be a set, then  $A$  is infinite, if  $A$  is equivalent to one of its proper subsets.

**Theorem 7.4.** Every infinite subset of a countable set  $A$  is countable

*Proof.* Suppose  $E \subset A$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow E$ , as follows. Denote  $f(1) = e_1$ , where  $e_1 \in E$ , and for all  $e \in E, e > e_1$ , set  $f(i)$  to be the smallest  $e_i \in E$ , such that  $e_i > f(i-1)$ . Suppose  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $i \neq j$ . WLOG,  $i < j$ , in which case,  $f(i) < f(j)$ , thus  $f(i) \neq f(j)$ , and  $f$  is injective. Suppose  $\exists e \in E \subset A$ , for which, no pre-image exists in  $\mathbb{N}$ , this is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.5.** Let  $A$  be a countable set, and let  $B_n$  be the set of all  $n$ -tuples of  $A$ , that is  $A^n$ .

*Proof.* The hypothesis holds trivially for  $n = 1$ , as  $A^1 = A$  which is countable. Suppose the theorem holds for  $n-1$ , then  $x \in B^n, x = (b, a), b \in B^{n-1}, a \in A$ , notice, for all  $b \in B^{n-1}$ , the set  $(b, a), a \in A$  is countable. Thus  $B^n = \cup_{b \in B^{n-1}} (b, a)$ , this is a countable union of countable sets, and is countable by (15). Thus  $B^n$  is countable. The proof follows by induction.  $\square$

**Definition 7.6.** Let  $A$  be a set, a function  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is a metric function if

$$1 \quad p, q \in A, d(p, q) = 0 \iff p = q,$$

$$2 \quad p, q \in A, d(p, q) = d(q, p),$$

$$3 \quad d(p, q) \leq d(p, r) + d(r, q)$$

A metric space, is a tuple  $(A, \sigma)$ , where  $A$  is a set and  $\sigma$  is a metric function over  $A$

**Definition 7.7.** A subset  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  is convex, if for all  $x, y \in E$ ,  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in E$ .

**Theorem 7.8.** Balls are convex

*Proof.* Fix a ball  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  with center  $z \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . Fix  $x, y \in E$ , fix  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , Thus,

(7.4)

$$|z - (\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)| = |\lambda(z - x) + (1 - \lambda)(z - y)| \leq \lambda|z - x| + (1 - \lambda)|z - y|$$

(7.5)

$$< \lambda r + (1 - \lambda)r = r$$

Thus  $(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \in E$ , and  $E$  is a convex set.  $\square$

Let  $X$  be a metric space,

- (a) A neighbourhood of  $p$ ,  $N_r(p) := \{q \in X : d(q, p) < r\}$
- (b) A point  $p$  is a *Limit Point* of the set  $E$  if,  $\forall r, \exists(q)(q \neq p) \in N_r(p), q \notin E$
- (c)  $p \in E$  is an *Isolated Point* of  $E$ , if  $p$  is not a limit point of  $E$
- (d)  $E$  is *closed* if every limit point  $p$  is an element of  $E$
- (e) A point  $p \in E$  is an *interior point* of  $E$ , if  $\exists r, N_r(p) \subset E$
- (f)  $E$  is *open* if every point of  $E$  is an interior point of  $E$
- (g) The *complement* of  $E$ ,  $E^c := \{p \in X, p \notin E\}$
- (h)  $E$  is *perfect*, if  $E$  is closed, and every point of  $E$  is a limit point of  $E$
- (i)  $E$  is *bounded* if  $\exists M \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $q \in X$  such that,  $d(p, q) < M, \forall p \in E$
- (j)  $E$  is *dense* in  $X$  if every point of  $p \in X$  is a limit point of  $E$  or  $p \in E$ .

**Theorem 7.9.** If  $X$  is a metric space, and  $E \subset X$ , then

- (a)  $\bar{E}$  is closed
- (a)  $\bar{E} = E$  iff  $E$  is closed
- (c)  $\bar{E} \subset F$  for every closed set  $F \subset X$  such that  $E \subset F$ .

*Proof.* For (a), let  $p \in \bar{E}^c$ , that is  $p \notin E \wedge p \notin \bar{E}$ , as such,  $\exists r > 0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , where for all  $q \in N_r(p)$ ,  $(p \neq q), q \notin E$ . If  $N_r(p) \cap \bar{E} = \{x.. \}$ . Then  $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}, \exists x \in N_r(p) \cap \bar{E}$ , thus,  $x \in \bar{E}$ ,  $x \notin \bar{E}^c$ . As such,  $\forall x \in \bar{E}^c, \exists r \in \mathbb{R}, N_r(x) \subset \bar{E}^c$ , and  $\bar{E}^c$  is open, thus  $\bar{E}^{c^c} = \bar{E}$

is closed.

For (b). Suppose  $E$  is closed, then  $x \in E' \subset E$  implies that  $x \in E$ ,  $\bar{E} = E' \cup E = E$ . Suppose  $\bar{E} = E$ , then suppose  $x \in E' \subset \bar{E} = E$ , and  $x \in E$ , therefore,  $E$  is closed. For (c),  $\square$

**Definition 7.10. Open Cover** - Let  $X$  be a metric space,  $E \subset X$ . Then an Open Cover of  $E$ , is  $\{G_\alpha\}, \forall \alpha, G_\alpha \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ , and  $E \subset \cup_\alpha G_\alpha$

**Definition 7.11. Compactness** - A set  $E$  of metric space  $X$ , is Compact if every open cover of  $E$ ,  $\{G_\alpha\}_\alpha$ , has finitely many indices  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ , where  $E \subset \cup_i G_{\alpha_i}$

**Definition 7.12.** Suppose  $K \subset Y \subset X$ . Then  $K$  is compact relative to  $X$  iff  $K$  is compact relative to  $Y$

*Proof.* Suppose  $K$  is compact in  $X$ , then  $K \subset \cup_{i=1..n} G_{\alpha_i}$ , where  $\{G_\alpha\}$  are open relative to  $Y$ . As such,  $G'_\alpha \cap Y = G_\alpha$  where  $G'_\alpha$  are open in  $X$ , and  $K \subset \cup_i G'_{\alpha_i}$ , as  $\{G'_{\alpha_i}\}$  is an open cover of  $K$  in  $X$ , there exists  $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$ , where  $K \subset G'_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup G'_{\alpha_n}$ . As such,  $K \cap Y = K \subset (G'_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup G'_{\alpha_n}) \cap Y = G_{\alpha_1} \dots G_{\alpha_n}$ , and every open cover relative to  $Y$  has a finite subcover, thus  $K$  is compact in  $Y$ .

Suppose  $K$  is compact in  $Y \subset X$ , then for every open cover  $\{G_\alpha\}_\alpha$  in  $Y$ , there exist  $G'_\alpha$  open in  $X$ , where  $G'_\alpha \cap Y = G_\alpha$ . And,  $K \subset \cup_\alpha G_\alpha \subset \cup_i G'_{\alpha_i}$ , and  $K$  is compact in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Definition 7.13.** Compact subsets of metric spaces are closed.

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be a compact subset of a metric space  $X$ . Fix  $p \in K^c$ , and  $q \in K$ , let  $V_q$  be a neighbourhood of  $p$  with  $r < 1/2d(p, q)$ , notice  $V_q \cap W_q = \emptyset$ . Notice,  $K \subset \cup_{q \in K} W_q$ , as  $K$  is compact,  $K \subset \cup_{i=1..n} W_{q_i}$ , furthermore,  $V = \cap_{i=1..n} V_{q_i}$ ,  $V \cap W = \emptyset$ , and  $r = \min_{i=q..n} (d(p, q_i))$ ,  $N_r(p) \subset V$ , thus there exists  $N_r(p) \subset K^c$ , for all  $p \in K^c$ , and  $K^c$  is open.  $\square$

**Definition 7.14.** Closed subsets of compact sets are compact

*Proof.* Let,  $L \subset K \subset X$ , where  $X$  is a metric space,  $K$  is compact, and  $L$  is closed. Fix  $V_\alpha$ , an open cover of  $K$ , notice  $(\cup_\alpha V_\alpha) \cup L^c$  covers  $K$ , thus there exists a finite-subcover  $V_{\alpha_i} \cup L^c$ , as  $L \not\subset L^c$ ,  $V_\alpha$  has a finite subcover covering  $L$ , and  $L$  is compact.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.15.** If  $F$  is closed and  $K$  is compact, then  $F \cap K$  is compact.

*Proof.* Notice,  $F \cap K \subset K$  is closed, thus,  $F \cap K$  is compact.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.16.** If  $\{K_\alpha\}$  is a collection of compact sets of metric space  $X$ , such that, the intersection of every finite subcollection of  $K_\alpha$  is non-empty, then  $\cap K_\alpha$  is not empty.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\cap_\alpha K_\alpha = \emptyset$ , then  $\cup_\alpha K_\alpha^c = X$ , as such, there exists  $K \in K_\alpha$ ,  $K \subset \cup_\alpha K_\alpha^c$ , notice,  $\{K_\alpha^c\}$  is an open-cover of  $K$ , and  $K \subset \cup_{i=1..n} K_{\alpha_i}^c$ , however,  $K \cap (\cap_{i=1..n} K_{\alpha_i}) \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.17.** Let  $\{I_n\}$  be an infinite collection of intervals in  $\mathbb{R}^1$ , where  $I_{n+1} \subset I_n$ , then  $\cap_i I_i \neq \emptyset$

*Proof.* Let  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ , let  $E = \{a_n \in \mathbb{R} : I_n = [a_n, b_n]\}$ , then  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and is bound above, namely by  $b_1$ . Fix  $\sup(E) = x$ . Fix  $n$ , then  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ , naturally,  $a_n \leq x$ . Suppose  $b_n < x$ , then there exists,  $a_m \in E$ ,  $a_m > b_n$ , and,  $I_m \cap I_n = \emptyset$ , this is impossible, and  $x \leq b_n$ , thus  $x \in I_n$ , and  $x \in \cap_i I_i$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 7.18.** Suppose  $\{I_n\}$  is a seq. of  $k$  - cells, where  $I_{n+1} \subset I_n$ , then,  $\cap_i I_i \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* For  $I_n$ , let  $I_{n,i} = [a_{n,i}, b_{n,i}]$ , where  $I_n = \times_{1 \leq i \leq k} I_{n,i}$  then, for each  $\{I_{n,i}\}$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , there exists,  $x_i \in \cap_{1 \leq i \leq k} I_{n,i}$ , let  $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ , then  $\vec{x} \in \cap_i I_i$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 7.19.** Every  $k$  - cell is compact

*Proof.* Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ , where  $I = \times_{1 \leq i \leq k} I_i$ , where  $I_i = [a_i, b_i]$ . Fix

$$(7.6) \quad \delta = (\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} (a_i - b_i)^2)^{1/2}$$

as such, for  $x, y \in I$

$$(7.7) \quad |x - y| = (\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} (x_i - y_i)^2)^{1/2} \leq \delta$$

Fix  $c_j = (a_j + b_j)/2$ , notice,  $I_j \subset [a_j, c_j] \cup [c_j, b_j]$ , as such, we have  $Q_i$ , a set of  $2^k$   $k$ -cells, where  $\cup_i Q_i \supset I$ . If  $I$  is not compact, then for open-cover  $\{G_\alpha\}_\alpha$ , there exists  $Q_i$  such that for any finite subcollection  $\{G_{\alpha_i}\}_{\alpha_i}$ ,  $\cup_i G_{\alpha_i} \not\supset Q_i$ , continue this process indefinitely, and one obtains,  $\{I_n\}$ , where  $I_n \supset I_{n+1}$  (where  $I_n$  is the  $k$ -cell obtained from the  $n$ th round of this subdivision process). Furthermore, for  $x, y \in I_n$ ,  $|x - y| \leq 1/2^n \delta$ , and  $I_n \not\subset \cup_{\alpha_i} G_{\alpha_i}$ . Notice, that 7.18 leaves  $x \in \cap_i I_i$ , there exists  $G_\alpha$  where  $x \in G_\alpha$  ( $\{G_\alpha\}$  is an open cover of  $I$ ). For  $n$  large enough,  $I_n \subset G_\alpha$  (some neighbourhood of  $x$  is contained in  $G_\alpha$ ), this is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.20.** Any infinite subset  $L \subset K$ , where  $K$  is compact, must have a limit pt.  $x \in K$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $L \subset K$  is infinite, and no limit point of  $L$  exists in  $K$ , that is, for all  $k \in K$  for any neighbourhood of  $k$ ,  $V_k \setminus \{k\} \cap L = \emptyset$  consider the open cover of  $K$ ,  $\{V_k\}_{k \in K}$ , no finite subcollection of  $\{V_k\}$  covers  $L \subset K$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.21** (Heine-Borel). For, metric space  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ , and  $E \subset X$  the following statements are equivalent

- (a)  $E$  is closed and bounded.
- (b)  $E$  is compact.
- (c) Any infinite subset of  $E$ , has a limit point in  $E$ .

*Proof.* For (a)  $\rightarrow$  (c), if  $E$  is closed and bounded, then  $E \subset I$ , where  $I$  is a  $k$ -cell. As  $I$  is compact, and  $E$  is closed, it follows that  $E$  is compact. (b)  $\rightarrow$  (c). For (c)  $\rightarrow$  (a), suppose  $E$  is not bounded, then let  $E' = \{|x_n| > n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ ,  $E' \subset E$ . Suppose  $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is a limit point of  $E'$ , then for all  $r > 0$ ,  $N_r(x) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ , fix  $n', n' + 1$ , where  $|x_{n'}| < |x|$ , and  $|x_{n'+1}| > |x|$ , then set  $r < \min(|x_{n'} - r|, |x_{n'+1} - r|)$ , and  $N_r(x) \cap S = \emptyset$ , a contradiction, thus  $E$  must be bounded. Suppose  $E$  is not closed, fix  $x_0$  a limit pt. of  $E$ , where  $x_0 \notin E$ . Let  $S = \{x_n \in E : |x_n - x_0| < 1/n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Naturally  $S$  is infinite, furthermore if  $y$  is also a limit pt. of  $S$ , then

$$(7.8) \quad |x_0 - y| \leq |x_0 - x_n| + |x_n - y| < 1/n + |x_n - y|$$

If  $|x_0 - y| = \epsilon > 0$ , then for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $1/n < \epsilon$ ,  $r < \epsilon - 1/n$ ,  $N_r(y) \cap S = \emptyset$ , otherwise,  $|x_n - x_0| < 1/n$ , and  $|x_n - y| < r$ , a contradiction. Thus  $x_0 = y$ , and  $E$  must be closed.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.22.** Let  $P$  be a non-empty perfect set in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Then  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is un-countable.



*Proof.*  $P$  is non-empty, and has a limit-point, thus  $P$  is infinite. Suppose  $P$  is countable, label  $P = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ , construct neighbourhoods  $\{V_n\}$ , where  $\bar{V}_{n+1} \subset V_n$ ,  $x_n \notin \bar{V}_{n+1}$ , and  $V_n \cap P$  is non-empty, for  $V_1$ , fix some neighbourhood of  $x_1$ . Naturally,  $V_1 \subset P$ , and  $\bar{V}_1 \subset P$ , as  $P$  is closed, and  $V_1 \cap P \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose  $V_n$  exists where  $V_n \cap P \neq \emptyset$ . Notice, if  $x_{n+1} \notin V_n$ , let  $V_{n+1} = N_{r/2}(x_n)$ , where  $V_n = N_r(x_n)$ , otherwise, a similar (yet with diff. radius) neighbourhood can be constructed around  $x_{n+1}$ , so that,  $x_n \notin V_{n+1}$ . Set  $K_n = \bar{V}_n \cap P$ ,  $\bar{V}_n$  is closed and bounded, thus compact, and  $K_n$  is the inter. of a closed and compact set, it is itself compact. Furthermore,  $K_{n+1} \subset K_n$ , furthermore  $\bigcap_i K_i \cap P = \emptyset$ , this is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition 7.23.** *The Cantor Set - A perfect set in  $\mathbb{R}^1$  which contains no segment. Let  $E_n = \bigcup_{0 \leq i < \lfloor n^2/2 \rfloor} [\frac{2*i}{n^2}, \frac{2*i+1}{n^2}]$ . A few properties*

$$(a) E_1 \supset E_2 \supset \dots \supset E_n$$

Finally, the Cantor Set is  $\bigcap_n E_n$

As  $E_1$  is compact,  $E_n \subset E_1$ , is a closed subset of a compact set, and is itself, compact. Furthermore, as  $E_i \neq \emptyset$ , and the intersection of any finite collection of  $\bigcap_i \{E_i\} = E_{i'}$ , where  $i' = \min\{j \in \mathbb{N}, E_j \in \{E_i\}\}$ ,  $\bigcap_n E_n$  is non-empty.

**Theorem 7.24.** *The Cantor Set is perfect.*

*Proof.* The Cantor Set contains no segment.  $\square$

**Definition 7.25.** *Separated Set - Let  $A, B \subset X$ , where  $X$  is a metric space, then  $A, B$  are separated iff,  $\bar{A} \cap B = \bar{B} \cap A = \emptyset$*

**Definition 7.26.** *Connected Set -  $E \subset X$ , a metric space.  $E$  is connected iff,  $E$  is not the union of two connected sets.*

- (1) Prove that the empty set is a subset of every set.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\emptyset \not\subset A$ , in which case,  $A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

- (2) Prove that the set of algebraic numbers is countable.

*Proof.* For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote  $A_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : P(z)_n = 0\}$ , where  $P_n(z) = a_0 z^n + \dots + a_{n-1} z + a_n$ . Notice, there are at most  $|\mathbb{Z}^n|$ , polynomials of degree  $n$ , as such,  $\bigcup_n A_n \subset \bigcup_n P_n$ , thus  $\bigcup_n A_n$  is countable, as it is at most an infinite subset, of a countable set.  $\square$

- (3) Prove that there exist real numbers which are not algebraic.

*Proof.* Suppose otherwise, then  $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{A}$ , and  $\mathbb{R}$  is countable.  $\square$

- (4) Is the set of all irrational real numbers countable?

*Proof.* Notice,  $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Q} \cup \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable, thus  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  is un-countable.  $\square$

- (5) Construct bounded set of real numbers with exactly three limit points.

*Proof.*  $\{0 + 1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{2 + 1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{4 + 1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , notice,  $0, 2, 4$  are the only limit points.  $\square$

- (6) Let  $E'$  be the set of all limit points of a set  $E$ . Prove that  $E'$  is closed. Prove that  $E$  and  $\bar{E}$  have the same limit points. Do  $E$  and  $E'$  have the same limit points?

*Proof.* Let  $p \in E'^c$ , thus there exists  $N_r(p)$ , where  $N_r(p) \cap E = \emptyset$ . Suppose  $m \in N_r(p) \cap E'$ . Then  $d(m, p) < r$ , furthermore, for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $N_\epsilon(m) \cap E = \emptyset$ , denote,  $p_\epsilon \in N_\epsilon \cap E$ . As,  $d(p, m) + d(m, p_\epsilon) > d(p, p_\epsilon)$ , it follows that,  $d(m, p_\epsilon) > d(p, p_\epsilon) - d(p, m)$ , thus,  $0 < d(p, p_\epsilon) - d(p, m) = \mu$ ,  $d(m, p_\epsilon) > \mu$ , for all  $\epsilon$ . This is a contradiction, and  $N_r(p) \cap E' = \emptyset$ , and  $E'^c$ , is open. Thus  $E'$  is closed.

(6a.) *Proof.* Let  $p \in E'$ , then there exists  $r > 0$ , such that,  $N_r(p) \cap E' \neq \emptyset$ , let  $p' \in N_r(p) \cap E'$ , Notice,  $N_r(p)$  is an isolated point, thus there exists  $N_{r'}(p') \subset N_r(p)$ . As  $p' \in E'$ , there exists  $s \in E$ ,  $s \neq p'$ , where  $s \in N_{r'}(p') \subset N_r(p)$ , and  $p \in E'$ , thus  $E'' \subset E'$ , and  $E'$  is closed.  $\square$

(7) Prove that  $E$  and  $\overline{E}$ , always have the same limit points.

*Proof.* Fix  $p \in \overline{E}'$ , as  $p \in \overline{E}$  is closed,  $p \in \overline{E}$ , thus  $p \in E$  or  $p \in E'$ , in either case,  $p$  is a limit point of  $E$ . Suppose  $p \in E'$ , then  $p \in \overline{E}$ , and is a limit point of  $\overline{E}$ .  $\square$

(8) Do  $E$  and  $E'$  always have the same limit points?

*Proof.* let  $p \in \overline{E}'$ , then  $p \in E'$ , and  $p$  is a limit point of  $E$ . The reverse is obvious.  $\square$

(9) Is every point of an open set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  a limit point of  $E$ . What about for closed sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

*Proof.* Yes, no.  $\square$

(10) Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be subsets of a metric space. If  $B_n = \bigcup_n A_n$ , then  $\overline{B_n} = \bigcup_n \overline{A_n}$ .

*Proof.* Notice,  $B \subset \bigcup \overline{A_n}$ . Suppose  $p \in \bigcup \overline{A_n} \setminus \overline{B_n}$ , then  $p \in B'_n$ , and  $p \in \overline{B_n}$ , thus  $\bigcup \overline{A_n} \setminus \overline{B_n} = \emptyset$ , and  $\bigcup \overline{A_n} \subset \overline{B_n}$ , furthermore,  $\bigcup \overline{A_n}$  is closed. As such,  $\bigcup \overline{A_n} = \overline{B_n}$ .  $\square$

(11) , if  $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ , prove that  $\overline{B} \supset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{A_i}$ . Show by example that the inclusion can be proper.

*Proof.* let  $p \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{A_i}$ , and  $p \notin \overline{B}$ , then there exists  $\epsilon_{>0}$ , where  $N_\epsilon(p) \cap B \subset \{p\}$ . Thus, for all  $i$ ,  $A_i \cap N_\epsilon(p) \cap A_i \subset \{p\}$ , thus  $p \notin \bigcup \overline{A_i}$ , a contradiction  $\square$

(12) Show, by an example that the inclusion can be proper.

*Proof.* Let  $A_i = [-\infty, 1 - 1/i] \cup [1 + 1/i, \infty]$ ,  $B = \bigcup_i A_i$ , then  $1 \in \overline{B}$ , is not a limit pt. of  $A_i$   $\square$

(13) Let  $E^\circ$  denote the set of all interior points of a set  $E$ . Prove that  $E$  is always open.

*Proof.* Let  $D = (E^\circ)^c$ , suppose  $p \in D'$ , then for all neighbourhoods  $V$  of  $p$ , there exists  $l \in D$ , thus  $V \not\subset E^\circ$ , and  $p \in D$ , thus  $D$  is closed, and  $D^c = E^\circ$  is open.  $\square$

(14) Prove that  $E$  is open iff  $E^\circ = E$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $E$  is open, then  $p \in E$  implies that  $p \in E^\circ$ , and  $E \subset E^\circ$ , that  $E^\circ \subset E$  holds unilaterally. Suppose  $E^\circ = E$ . Then  $p \in E$ , means that  $p$  is an interior point, thus  $E$  is open.  $\square$

(15) If  $G \subset E$  and  $G$  is open, prove that  $G \subset E^\circ$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p \in G$ , then every neighbourhood of  $p$ ,  $V_p \subset G \subset E$ , thus  $p \in E^\circ$ . As such,  $G \subset E^\circ$ .  $\square$

(16) Prove that the complement of  $E^\circ$  is the closure of the complement of  $E$ .

*Proof.* Let  $D = (E^o)^c$ , notice  $D$  is closed, and  $E^c \subset D$ , thus  $\overline{E^c} \subset D$ . Suppose  $p \in D$ , then either,  $p \in E^c$ , or  $p \in D \setminus E^c$ , then for all neighbourhoods of  $p$ ,  $V_p$ ,  $V_p \cap E^c \neq \emptyset$ , thus  $D \subset \overline{E^c}$ , and  $D = \overline{E^c}$ .  $\square$

- (17) Do  $E$  and  $\overline{E}$  always have the same interiors.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\overline{E^c} = \overline{E^c}$ , naturally  $\overline{E^c} \subset \overline{E^c}$ . Suppose  $p \in \overline{E^c}$ , then  $p \in E'$ , or  $E^c \setminus E'$ , if  $p \in E'$ , then  $p \in (\overline{E^c})'$ , and in the other case,  $p \in \overline{E^c}$ , thus  $\overline{E^c} \subset \overline{E^c}$ , and  $\overline{E^c} = \overline{E^c}$ .  $\square$

- (18) Do  $E$  and  $E^o$  always have the same closures?

*Proof.* No, consider  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ , or any finite set.  $\square$

- (19) Let  $X$  be an infinite set. For  $p \in X$  and  $q \in X$ , define

$$(7.9) \quad d(p, q) = \begin{cases} 1, & (p \neq q) \\ 0, & (p = q) \end{cases}$$

Prove that this is a metric. Which subsets of the resulting metric space are open? Which are closed? Which are compact?

*Proof.* Consider  $p, q, r \in X$ , then  $d(p, r) \leq 1 \leq d(p, q) + d(q, r)$ , furthermore,  $d(p, q) = d(q, p)$ ,  $\dots$ . Let  $E \subset X$ , and  $E$  is not empty. then,  $E$  is open. To see this, fix  $p \in E$ , then there exists  $N_r(p) \subset E$ , where  $r < 1$ . Furthermore, for  $E \subset X$ , let  $p \in E'$ , then for all  $r > 0$ ,  $N_r(p) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , however, for  $r < 1$ , this set is empty. Thus for  $E \subset X$ ,  $E' = \emptyset$ . Naturally  $E' \subset E$ , and  $E$  is closed. Only finite sets are compact. Otherwise, if  $E$  is infinite, let  $\{p_i\}$ , where each  $p_i \in E$  is an open-cover, and not subset of  $\{p_i\}$  is an open cover of  $E$ .  $\square$

- (20) Is  $d(x, y) = (x - y)^2$  a metric?

*Proof.* No, for  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $y > x$  and  $y > z$   $\square$

- (21)  $d(x, y) = \sqrt{|x - y|}$

*Proof.* Yes  $\square$

- (22)  $d(x, y) = |x^2 - y^2|$

*Proof.* Yes  $\square$

- (23)  $d(x, y) = |x - 2y|$

*Proof.* No  $\square$

- (24)  $d(x, y) = \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|}$

*Proof.*  $\square$

- (25) Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  consist of 0 and  $1/n$ , where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Prove  $K$  is compact from the definition.

*Proof.* Notice  $[0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$  is compact, and  $K \subset [0, 1]$  and is closed, thus it is compact.  $\square$

- (25a) *Proof.* Fix  $A_i$ , an open cover where for  $i \neq j$ ,  $A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$  (a set  $A_i$  can be obtained for every OC of  $K$ ). Fix  $0 \in A_{\alpha_0}$ , then as  $A_{\alpha_0}$  is open, let  $r > 0$ ,  $N_r(0) \subset A_{\alpha_0}$ , then fix  $\min_{n \in \mathbb{N}, r < 1/n}$ , subsequently, there exists  $A_{\alpha_i}$ , where  $1/n \in A_{\alpha_i}$ , the process may be repeated, to obtain a finite open cover  $\{A_{\alpha_i}\}$   $\square$

- (26) construct a compact set of real numbers whose limit points form a countable set.

*Proof.*  $A = \{0\} \cup \{1/n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{1/m + 1/n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , notice,  $A \subset [0, 2]$ , and is closed, as such, it is compact. Furthermore, its limit points are 0,  $1/m$ ,  $1 + 1/m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

- (27) Give an example of an open cover of  $(0, 1)$  which has no finite subcover.

*Proof.*  $A_i = N_{1/2^i}(1 - i), i \in \mathbb{N}$  □

- (28) Show that theorem 2.36, and its corollary become false if the word “compact” is replaced by “closed” or “bounded”.

*Proof. bounded:* Let  $K_i = [-1/i, 0) \cup (0, 1/i]$

*closed:* □

- (29) Regard  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,

- (30) If  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint closed sets in some metric space  $X$ , prove that they are separated.

*Proof.* Notice,  $\emptyset = \bar{A} \cap \bar{B} \supset \bar{A} \cap B = \emptyset$ , a similar proof exists that  $A \cap \bar{B} = \emptyset$ . □

- (31) Prove the same for disjoint open sets.

*Proof.* Let  $A, B \subset X$ , be disjoint open sets. Suppose  $b \in \bar{A} \cap B$ , then  $b \in A' \cap B$ , thus  $b \in B$  and is not an interior pt. of  $B$ , a contradiction. □

- (32) Fix  $p \in X, \delta > 0$ , define  $A$  to be the set of all  $q \in X$  for which  $d(p, q) < \delta$ , define  $B$  to be the set of all  $l$  where  $d(p, l) > \delta$ . Prove that  $A$  and  $B$  are separated.

*Proof.*  $A, B$  are open sets, the proof follows from 32. □

- (33) Prove that every connected metric space with at least two points is uncountable

- (35) Let  $A, B$  be separated subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , fix  $a \in A, b \in B$ , and define

$$(7.10) \quad p(t) = (1 - t)a + tb$$

where  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , put  $A_0 = p^{-1}(A), B_0 = p^{-1}(B)$ . Prove that  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are separated subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $l \in \bar{A}_0 \cap B$ , then  $p(l) \in B$ , and, for all  $\epsilon, l + \epsilon \in A_0$ , thus  $p(l + \epsilon) = p(l) + \epsilon * (a + b) \in A$ , however, there exists some  $N_\delta(p(l)) \cap A = \emptyset$  as  $p(l) \notin A'$ , thus  $d(p(l), p(l + \epsilon)) > \delta, \epsilon * \|a + b\| > \delta$ , and  $\epsilon > \delta / \|a + b\| > 0$ , contradicting that  $l \in \bar{A}_0$ . A similar proof holds that  $\bar{B}_0 \cap A_0 = \emptyset$ . □

- (36) Prove that there exists  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $p(t_0) \notin A \cup B$