# Package 'survNMA'

January 26, 2025

Network meta-analysis for survival outcome data often involves several studies only involve dichotomized outcomes (e.g., the numbers of event and sample sizes of individual arms). To combine these different outcome data, Woods et al. (2010) proposed a Bayesian approach using com-

plicated hierarchical models. Besides, frequentist approaches have been alternative standard methods for the statistical analyses of network meta-analysis, and the methodol-

Title Network Meta-Analysis Combining Survival and Count Outcomes

Maintainer Hisashi Noma <noma@ism.ac.jp>

Type Package

**Version** 1.1-1 **Date** 2025-01-23

**Description** 

survN	MA-package		The	'sui	rvNN	IA' j	pack	kage	?														
Index																							5
	woods				• •	• •		• •	• •	• •	•		•			•		•	 •	•	 •	•	4
	calcse woods																						3
	calcHR																						2
R top	ics docur																						1
LazyDa	LazyData true																						
Encoding UTF-8																							
License	GPL-3																						
Imports	s stats, netme	ta																					
<b>Depends</b> R (>= 3.5.0)																							
an	gy has been w halysis based of plves some co	on the f	reque	ntist	fran	new	ork i	in N	om	a a	nd	Ma	ıru	o (2	202	5).	Tł	nis				met	a-

2 calcHR

#### **Description**

Network meta-analysis for survival outcome data often involves several studies only involve dichotomized outcomes (e.g., the numbers of event and sample sizes of individual arms). To combine these different outcome data, Woods et al. (2010) proposed a Bayesian approach using complicated hierarchical models. Besides, frequentist approaches have been alternative standard methods for the statistical analyses of network meta-analysis, and the methodology has been well established. We proposed an easy-to-implement method for the network meta-analysis based on the frequentist framework in Noma (2025). This package involves some convenient functions to implement the simple pooling method.

#### References

Noma, H. and Maruo, K. (2025). Network meta-analysis combining survival and count outcome data: A simple frequentist approach. medRxiv: 10.1101/2025.01.23.25321051.

calcHR	Computation of log hazard ratio estimate and its standard error esti-
	mate from dichotomized data

#### **Description**

Log hazard ratio estimate and its standard error estimate are calculated from dichotomized data.

# Usage

```
calcHR(d1,n1,d0,n0)
```

## **Arguments**

d1	The number of events in arm 1.
n1	The number of sample size in arm 1.
d0	The number of events in arm 2.
n0	The number of sample size in arm 2.

#### Value

- TE: The log hazard ratio estimate.
- seTE: Standard error estimate for the log hazard ratio.

#### References

Noma, H. and Maruo, K. (2025). Network meta-analysis combining survival and count outcome data: A simple frequentist approach. medRxiv: 10.1101/2025.01.23.25321051.

Salika, T., Turner, R. M., Fisher, D., Tierney, J. F. and White, I. R. (2022). Implications of analysing time-to-event outcomes as binary in meta-analysis: empirical evidence from the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* **22**, 73.

calcse 3

#### **Examples**

```
calcHR(1,229,1,227)
calcHR(4,374,7,361)
calcHR(3,372,7,361)
calcHR(2,358,7,361)
```

calcse Computation of standard error estimate of a contrast measure from a multi-arm trial

### **Description**

In network meta-analysis, standard error estimates cannot often be obtained for some contrasts of arms in multi-arm trials. This function calculate the standard error estimate of a contrast measure (e.g., log hazard ratio) estimator from partially obtained summary data in multi-arm trials. Without loss of generality, we consider three arms 0, 1 and 2 in the corresponding trial, and suppose the standard error of the contrast measure comparing the arms 1 vs. 2 is not obtained; however, those comparing the arms 1 vs. 0 and 2 vs. 0 are obtained. We can calculate the standard error estimate comparing the arms 1 vs. 2 from the partially available data.

#### Usage

```
calcse(se1,se2,n1,n2,n0)
```

#### **Arguments**

se1	The standard error estimate of a contrast measure (e.g., log hazard ratio) estimator for arms $1\ vs.\ 0.$
se2	The standard error estimate of a contrast measure (e.g., log hazard ratio) estimator for arms $2\ vs.\ 0.$
n1	The sample size of arm 1.
n2	The sample size of arm 2.
n0	The sample size of arm 0.

### Value

The standard error estimate of a contrast measure (e.g., log hazard ratio) estimator for arms 1 vs. 2.

4 woods

woods

Transformed data to log hazard ratio statistics of Woods et al. (2010)

#### **Description**

A network meta-analysis dataset whose dichotomized outcome data was transformed by calcHR.

- id: ID variable of studies.
- study: Study name.
- treat1: Treatment 1.
- treat2: Treatment 2.
- outcome: Type of outcome (HR or count).
- logHR: Log hazard ratio estimate.
- se\_logHR: Standard error estimate of the log hazard ratio estimator.

#### Usage

```
data(woods)
```

#### **Format**

A data frame for network meta-analysis with 5 trials.

#### References

Woods, B. S., Hawkins, N. and Scott, D. A. (2010). Network meta-analysis on the log-hazard scale, combining count and hazard ratio statistics accounting for multi-arm trials: A tutorial. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* **10**: 54.

#### **Examples**

```
library("netmeta")
netmeta(TE=logHR, seTE=se_logHR, treat1=treat1, treat2=treat2,
    studlab=study, data=woods, common=FALSE, ref="Placebo", sm="HR")
```

# Index

```
* datasets
woods, 4
calcHR, 2
calcse, 3
survNMA-package, 1
woods, 4
```