Package 'survNMA'

January 30, 2025

Title Network Meta-Analysis Combining Survival and Count Outcomes

Type Package

Version 2.1-1
Date 2025-01-30
Maintainer Hisashi Noma <noma@ism.ac.jp></noma@ism.ac.jp>
Network meta-analysis for survival outcome data often involves several studies only involve dichotomized outcomes (e.g., the numbers of event and sample sizes of individual arms). To combine these different outcome data, Woods et al. (2010) proposed a Bayesian approach using complicated hierarchical models. Besides, frequentist approaches have been alternative standard methods for the statistical analyses of network meta-analysis, and the methodology has been well established. We proposed an easy-to-implement method for the network meta-analysis based on the frequentist framework in Noma and Maruo (2025). This package involves some convenient functions to implement the simple synthesis method.
Depends R (>= $3.5.0$)
Imports stats, netmeta
License GPL-3
Encoding UTF-8
LazyData true
R topics documented: survNMA-package
calcse
pairwiseHR
woods1
Index

2 calcHR

e	
---	--

Description

Network meta-analysis for survival outcome data often involves several studies only involve dichotomized outcomes (e.g., the numbers of event and sample sizes of individual arms). To combine these different outcome data, Woods et al. (2010) proposed a Bayesian approach using complicated hierarchical models. Besides, frequentist approaches have been alternative standard methods for the statistical analyses of network meta-analysis, and the methodology has been well established. We proposed an easy-to-implement method for the network meta-analysis based on the frequentist framework in Noma and Maruo (2025). This package involves some convenient functions to implement the simple synthesis method.

References

Noma, H. and Maruo, K. (2025). Network meta-analysis combining survival and count outcome data: A simple frequentist approach. medRxiv: 10.1101/2025.01.23.25321051.

calcHR	Computation of log hazard ratio estimate and its standard error esti-
	mate from dichotomized data

Description

Log hazard ratio estimate and its standard error estimate are calculated from dichotomized data.

Usage

```
calcHR(d1,n1,d0,n0)
```

Arguments

d1	The number of events in arm 1.
n1	The number of sample size in arm 1.
d0	The number of events in arm 2.
n0	The number of sample size in arm 2.

Value

- TE: The log hazard ratio estimate.
- seTE: Standard error estimate for the log hazard ratio.

References

Noma, H. and Maruo, K. (2025). Network meta-analysis combining survival and count outcome data: A simple frequentist approach. medRxiv: 10.1101/2025.01.23.25321051.

Salika, T., Turner, R. M., Fisher, D., Tierney, J. F. and White, I. R. (2022). Implications of analysing time-to-event outcomes as binary in meta-analysis: empirical evidence from the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* **22**, 73.

calcse 3

Examples

```
calcHR(1,229,1,227)
calcHR(4,374,7,361)
calcHR(3,372,7,361)
calcHR(2,358,7,361)
```

calcse

Computation of standard error estimate of a contrast measure from a multi-arm trial

Description

In network meta-analysis, standard error estimates cannot often be obtained for some contrasts of arms in multi-arm trials. This function calculate the standard error estimate of a contrast measure (e.g., log hazard ratio) estimator from partially obtained summary data in multi-arm trials. Without loss of generality, we consider three arms 0, 1 and 2 in the corresponding trial, and suppose the standard error of the contrast measure comparing the arms 1 vs. 2 is not obtained; however, those comparing the arms 1 vs. 0 and 2 vs. 0 are obtained. We can calculate the standard error estimate comparing the arms 1 vs. 2 from the partially available data.

Usage

```
calcse(se1,se2,n1,n2,n0)
```

Arguments

se1	The standard error estimate of a contrast measure (e.g., log hazard ratio) estimator for arms 1 vs. 0.
se2	The standard error estimate of a contrast measure (e.g., log hazard ratio) estimator for arms 2 vs. 0.
n1	The sample size of arm 1.
n2	The sample size of arm 2.
n0	The sample size of arm 0.

Value

The standard error estimate of a contrast measure (e.g., log hazard ratio) estimator for arms 1 vs. 2.

References

Noma, H. and Maruo, K. (2025). Network meta-analysis combining survival and count outcome data: A simple frequentist approach. medRxiv: 10.1101/2025.01.23.25321051.

Woods, B. S., Hawkins, N., Scott, D. A. (2010). Network meta-analysis on the log-hazard scale, combining count and hazard ratio statistics accounting for multi-arm trials: a tutorial. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* **10**, 54.

Examples

```
calcse(0.096,0.092,1521,1534,1524)
```

4 combine2

combine2

Merging two dataset for the analysis by "netmeta"

Description

Merging two dataset for the analysis by *netmeta* package (e.g., an output object by *pairwiseHR* for dichotomized outcome dataset and the survival outcome dataset). The output object can be straightforwardly applied to the *netmeta* function.

Usage

```
combine2(data1, data2)
```

Arguments

data1 Dataset 1.
data2 Dataset 2.

Value

- studlabID variable of studies.
- treat1The treatment of arm 1.
- treat2The treatment of arm 2.
- TE: The effect measure estimate.
- seTE: Standard error estimate for the effect measure estimator.

References

Noma, H. and Maruo, K. (2025). Network meta-analysis combining survival and count outcome data: A simple frequentist approach. medRxiv: 10.1101/2025.01.23.25321051.

Examples

```
data(woods1)
data(woods2)
woods3 <- pairwiseHR(treat, studlab=study, event=d, n, data=woods2)
combine2(woods1, woods3)</pre>
```

pairwiseHR 5

pairwiseHR	Computation of log hazard ratio estimate and its standard error estimate from dichotomized dataset

Description

Log hazard ratio estimate and its standard error estimate are calculated from dichotomized dataset simultaneously.

Usage

```
pairwiseHR(treat, studlab, event, n, data)
```

Arguments

treat The treatments of individual arms.

studlab ID variable of studies.

event The number of events of individual arms.

n The number of sample size of individual arms.

data The dataset object.

Value

- studlabID variable of studies.
- treat1The treatment of arm 1.
- treat2The treatment of arm 2.
- TE: The log hazard ratio estimate.
- seTE: Standard error estimate for the log hazard ratio.
- n1The sample size of arm 1.
- n2The sample size of arm 2.

References

Noma, H. and Maruo, K. (2025). Network meta-analysis combining survival and count outcome data: A simple frequentist approach. medRxiv: 10.1101/2025.01.23.25321051.

Salika, T., Turner, R. M., Fisher, D., Tierney, J. F. and White, I. R. (2022). Implications of analysing time-to-event outcomes as binary in meta-analysis: empirical evidence from the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* **22**, 73.

Examples

```
data(woods2)
pairwiseHR(treat, studlab=study, event=d, n, data=woods2)
```

6 woods2

woods1

Hazard ratio statistics of two trials directly extracted in Woods et al. (2010)

Description

A network meta-analysis dataset summarized in hazard ratio statistics provided in Woods et al. (2010).

- studlab: ID variable of studies.
- treat1: Treatment 1.
- treat2: Treatment 2.
- TE: Log hazard ratio estimate.
- seTE: Standard error estimate of the log hazard ratio estimator.
- n1: Sample size 1.
- n2: Sample size 2.

Usage

data(woods1)

Format

A data frame for network meta-analysis with 2 trials.

References

Woods, B. S., Hawkins, N. and Scott, D. A. (2010). Network meta-analysis on the log-hazard scale, combining count and hazard ratio statistics accounting for multi-arm trials: A tutorial. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* **10**: 54.

woods2

Dichomotized dataset of three trials provided in Woods et al. (2010)

Description

A network meta-analysis dataset reported as dichomotized data provided in Woods et al. (2010).

- study: ID variable of studies.
- treat: Treatment.
- d: The number of events.
- n: Sample size.

Usage

data(woods2)

woods2

Format

A data frame for network meta-analysis with 3 trials.

References

Woods, B. S., Hawkins, N. and Scott, D. A. (2010). Network meta-analysis on the log-hazard scale, combining count and hazard ratio statistics accounting for multi-arm trials: A tutorial. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* **10**: 54.

Index

```
* datasets
woods1, 6
woods2, 6

calcHR, 2
calcse, 3
combine2, 4

pairwiseHR, 5

survNMA-package, 2

woods1, 6
woods2, 6
```