



Kheterpal, Nishant (STAT C8 LAB 111 FOUNDATION DATA SCI) - Fa 2016 (Instructor Version)

Fall 2016 Evaluations

Project Audience 8

Responses Received 6

Response Ratio 75.00%

Subject Details

Name	STAT C8 LAB 111 FOUNDATION DATA SCI
DEPT_NAME	STAT
EVALUATION_TYPE	G
First Name	Nishant
Last Name	Kheterpal

Creation Date Sat, Mar 11, 2017




FOR YOUR INFORMATION: Please note that "Department Average" for each rating question is calculated using all sections in your department. This may include both Faculty and GSIs depending on whether the department has selected a question item to be used for both.

RATING QUESTIONS (QUANTITATIVE)

UNIVERSITY WIDE QUESTIONS: The quantitative items in this section are asked across all courses at Berkeley.


Considering both the limitations and possibilities of the subject matter and the course, how would you rate the overall effectiveness of this graduate student instructor?



Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all Effective	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4-Moderately Effective	1	16.67%
5	0	0.00%
6	1	16.67%
7-Extremely Effective	4	66.67%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	6
Mean	6.33
Median	7.00
Standard Deviation	1.21

Considering both the limitations and possibilities of the subject matter and the course, how would you rate the overall effectiveness of this course?




Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all Effective	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4-Moderately Effective	1	16.67%
5	1	16.67%
6	2	33.33%
7-Extremely Effective	2	33.33%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	6
Mean	5.83
Median	6.00
Standard Deviation	1.17

DEPARTMENT PROVIDED RATING QUESTIONS: Questions in this section were selected by your department for inclusion on this evaluation.

I enjoyed this class



Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	0	0.00%
2	1	16.67%
3	0	0.00%
4-Somewhat	1	16.67%
5	1	16.67%
6	1	16.67%
7-Very	2	33.33%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	6
Mean	5.17
Median	5.50
Standard Deviation	1.94

On average, how many hours per week have you spent on this course, including attending classes, doing readings, reviewing notes, writing papers, and any other course-related work?

Options	Count	Percentage
3 or Fewer	1	16.67%
4-6	3	50.00%
7-9	1	16.67%
10-12	1	16.67%
13-15	0	0.00%
16-18	0	0.00%
More than 18	0	0.00%


Statistics	Value
Response Count	6
Mean	2.33
Median	2.00
Standard Deviation	1.03

How many class sessions did you attend?

Options	Count	Percentage
None	1	16.67%
Fewer than half	0	0.00%
About half	2	33.33%
More than half	1	16.67%
All	2	33.33%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	6
Mean	3.50
Median	3.50
Standard Deviation	1.52

How satisfied were you with your effort in this course?




Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	1	16.67%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4-Somewhat	1	16.67%
5	2	33.33%
6	2	33.33%
7-Very	0	0.00%


Statistics	Value
Response Count	6
Mean	4.50
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	1.87

Please rate your Statistics graduate student instructor (GSI) for each of the following criteria:


1. The graduate student instructor presented content in an organized manner.




2. The graduate student instructor explained concepts clearly.



3. The graduate student instructor was helpful when I had difficulties or questions.




4. The graduate student instructor provided clear constructive feedback.



Please rate your Statistics graduate student instructor (GSI) for each of the following criteria: (continued)

5. The graduate student instructor encouraged student questions and participation.



1. The graduate student instructor presented content in an organized manner.

Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	1	16.67%
4-Somewhat	0	0.00%
5	0	0.00%
6	1	16.67%
7-Very	4	66.67%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	6
Mean	6.17
Median	7.00
Standard Deviation	1.60

2. The graduate student instructor explained concepts clearly.

Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4-Somewhat	1	16.67%
5	0	0.00%
6	1	16.67%
7-Very	4	66.67%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	6
Mean	6.33
Median	7.00
Standard Deviation	1.21

3. The graduate student instructor was helpful when I had difficulties or questions.

Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4-Somewhat	1	16.67%
5	0	0.00%
6	0	0.00%
7-Very	5	83.33%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	6
Mean	6.50
Median	7.00
Standard Deviation	1.22

4. The graduate student instructor provided clear constructive feedback.

Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4-Somewhat	1	16.67%
5	0	0.00%
6	1	16.67%
7-Very	4	66.67%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	6
Mean	6.33
Median	7.00
Standard Deviation	1.21


5. The graduate student instructor encouraged student questions and participation.

Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4-Somewhat	1	16.67%
5	0	0.00%
6	1	16.67%
7-Very	4	66.67%


Statistics	Value
Response Count	6
Mean	6.33
Median	7.00
Standard Deviation	1.21

Please rate this Statistics GSI led section for each of the following:


1. The section was effectively organized.



2. The section developed my abilities and skills for the subject.



3. The section developed my ability to think critically about the subject.



1. The section was effectively organized.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	1	0	0.00%
2	2	0	0.00%
3	3	0	0.00%
4-Somewhat	4	1	16.67%
5	5	0	0.00%
6	6	0	0.00%
7-Very	7	5	83.33%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	6
Mean	6.50
Median	7.00
Standard Deviation	1.22

2. The section developed my abilities and skills for the subject.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	1	0	0.00%
2	2	0	0.00%
3	3	0	0.00%
4-Somewhat	4	1	16.67%
5	5	0	0.00%
6	6	1	16.67%
7-Very	7	4	66.67%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	6
Mean	6.33
Median	7.00
Standard Deviation	1.21

3. The section developed my ability to think critically about the subject.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	1	0	0.00%
2	2	0	0.00%
3	3	0	0.00%
4-Somewhat	4	1	16.67%
5	5	0	0.00%
6	6	2	33.33%
7-Very	7	3	50.00%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	6
Mean	6.17
Median	6.50
Standard Deviation	1.17

INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED QUESTIONS (CUSTOM): If any rating questions appear in this section, they were created by you. If blank, you did not add any custom items to your evaluation. These are viewable only by you and not accessible by other report viewers in your department.

OPEN ENDED QUESTIONS (QUALITATIVE)

DEPARTMENT PROVIDED QUESTIONS: Questions in this section were selected by your department for inclusion on this evaluation.

Please identify what you consider to be the strengths of the course.

Comments
Good complement to the course, was helpful
It taught me such a useful tool and gave me the basics of coding, so I feel more confident attempting other languages and such.
he gave good reviews of concepts we had learned and knew the material well
Nishant was a great GSI who gave 100% all the time and understood the material very well.
The GSI was a very effective and enjoyable teacher to have and was always happy and able to help.

Please identify area(s) where you think the course could be improved.

Comments
None really
labs could be more similar to the hw assigned that week
Workload is very difficult to balance - when projects are assigned, it would be good to either remove the corresponding week's homework or lab so that we can better focus. I found it very difficult to do solid work on my assignments when trying to complete homework, lab, and project material all in the same time frame. Also when worksheets are assigned to lab, it can be hard to complete both the lab and the worksheet in the lab period which is really inconvenient, so I think it would be good to either focus on a more in-depth worksheet OR do a lab, not both
Quite honestly I can't think of anything to improve.

INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED QUESTIONS (CUSTOM): If any open-ended questions appear in this section, they were created by you. If blank, you did not add any custom items to your evaluation. These are viewable only by you and not accessible by other report viewers in your department.