WIND CHAPTER

PERCY LINK

1. Methods

The sensitivity of Solano wind forecasts to soil moisture is tested using experiments with a regional atmospheric model, the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model. The WRF model, described in detail in Skamarock et al. [2008], is a three-dimensional, non-hydrostatic regional atmospheric model with terrain following vertical coordinates near the surface that transition to *flat* vertical coordinates at high altitude. WRF solves the discretized equations for conservation of momentum, mass, energy, and scalars like water vapor, and it has a range of parameterization options for radiation, planetary boundary layer (PBL) turbulence, cloud microphysics, convection, bottom boundary fluxes of water vapor and heat, and lateral boundary forcing.

1.1. **Model setup.** We run WRF with two two-way-nested domains centered on the Solano wind farm (Figure 1); the domains are described in Table 1. The finest resolution presented here is 2.7 km; preliminary tests with a third finer grid (0.9 km) showed little change in the forecasted winds, similar to the results of Marjanovic et al. [2014]. The domain has 45 vertical levels, with a minimum spacing of **XX** near the surface and a maximum spacing of **XX** at the top of the model domain; wind forecasts are not very sensitive to vertical resolution beyond about 40 levels [Marjanovic et al., 2014, and references therein].

Date: 08:28 Monday 15th September, 2014.

2 PERCY LINK

The atmospheric model is coupled to the Noah land surface model with USGS land use and soil classifications. The observed distribution of land use and soil types are used, as are the default vegetation water-use parameters for each land use type, in order to simulate as closely as possible the real present day sensitivity of Solano winds to soil moisture. Uncertainties associated with errors in the model representation of water movement in the subsurface and plant water use are addressed in the Discussion.

The ACM2 PBL scheme is used, following the recommendations of Marjanovic et al. [2014] for a locally forced simple terrain case in California. The model is forced at the lateral boundaries with AWIP **re**analysis at **XX km** resolution [CITATION]. Other parameterization schemes and settings are listed in Table 2. Model variables are output every 30 minutes.

All experiments are run for the period 2009-07-01 00:00 UTC to 2009-07-08 00:00 UTC, and the first 24 hours are discarded as model spin-up. This period was chosen for several reasons: (1) it contains a range of synoptic conditions (weak background wind July 1-5, and strong background wind July 6-7), (2) turbine-level wind speeds in this region are highest in the spring and summer [WIND CLIMATOLOGY THESIS, WHARTON], and (3) the sensitivity to soil moisture is expected to be strongest in the warm season, because radiation incident to the land surface is greatest in the warm season, so changes in the relative partitioning between evapotranspiration and sensible heat flux have the largest absolute magnitude then.

1.2. Soil moisture experiments. The model experiments are listed in Table 3. In the first set of experiments, we test the sensitivity of Solano winds to soil moisture in different large-scale regions of California. In cases dryCR, dryCV,

| 104 | 0.1 | 0 1 | | | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----------|
| d01 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 96 | 99 | 45 | 45 | $2 \min$ |
| d02 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 175 | 175 | 45 | 15 | $2 \min$ |

Table 1. Model domains

and drySN, the background volumetric soil moisture (model variable SMOIS, m³ water/m³ total volume) is set to 0.25, and the soil moisture of the test region (respectively, the Coast Range, Central Valley, and Sierra Nevada, shown in Figure 1b) is set to 0.1. In cases wetCR, wetCV, and wetSN, the background soil moisture is set to 0.1, and the soil moisture of the test region is set to 0.25.

The next set of experiments tests how the Solano wind response scales with soil moisture in the Central Valley, in both a normal-to-wet background (mountain) scenario and a dry background scenario. In cases CVXX (where XX is a numeric value), the Coast Range and Sierra Nevada regions' soil moisture is set to 0.2, and the Central Valley soil moisture is set to the value specified by XX. In cases CVXXdry, the Coast Range and Sierra Nevada regions' soil moisture is set to 0.1, and the Central Valley soil moisture again is specified by XX.

1.3. Other WRF background - include somewhere?

- List use in wind energy research and operational forecasting ...
- Previous studies how well has WRF done in simulating turbine-height winds (Marjanovic, BAMS article, ...), and major factors on which performance depends (resolution, PBL scheme, lateral forcing, soil moisture **)

| Scheme | Setting | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| WRF version | 3.6 | | |
| Grid nesting | two-way | | |
| Lateral boundary conditions | AWIP | | |
| Soil levels | 4 | | |
| Land use and soil categories | USGS | | |
| Land surface model | Noah | | |
| Surface layer | MM5 Monin-Obukhov | | |
| Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL) | ACM2 | | |
| Microphysics | WSM 3-class simple ice | | |
| Longwave radiation | RRTM | | |
| Shortwave radiation | Dudhia | | |
| Cumulus | Kain-Fritsch (new Eta) | | |
| Turbulence closure | Horizontal Smagorinzky first order | | |
| Momentum advection | 5th order horizontal, 3rd order vertical | | |
| Scalar advection | Positive definite | | |
| Lateral boundary | 5 grid points | | |

Table 2. WRF parameterization options. See ARW USERS' GUIDE for description of schemes.

| Run name | Background SMOIS | Perturbed SMOIS region | Perturbed SMOIS value |
|-----------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| CA-0.1 | 0.1 | none | n/a |
| CA-0.2 | 0.2 | none | n/a |
| CA-0.25 | 0.25 | none | n/a |
| dryCR | 0.25 | Coast Range | 0.1 |
| dryCV | 0.25 | Central Valley | 0.1 |
| drySN | 0.25 | Sierra Nevada | 0.1 |
| wetCR | 0.1 | Coast Range | 0.25 |
| wetCV | 0.1 | Central Valley | 0.25 |
| wetSN | 0.1 | Sierra Nevada | 0.25 |
| CV0.05 | 0.2 | Central Valley | 0.05 |
| CV0.1 | 0.2 | Central Valley | 0.1 |
| CV0.15 | 0.2 | Central Valley | 0.15 |
| CV0.25 | 0.2 | Central Valley | 0.25 |
| CV0.3 | 0.2 | Central Valley | 0.3 |
| CV0.35 | 0.2 | Central Valley | 0.35 |
| CV0.05dry | 0.1 | Central Valley | 0.05 |
| CV0.15dry | 0.1 | Central Valley | 0.15 |
| CV0.2dry | 0.1 | Central Valley | 0.2 |
| CV0.25dry | 0.1 | Central Valley | 0.25 |
| CV0.3dry | 0.1 | Central Valley | 0.3 |
| CV0.35dry | 0.1 | Central Valley | 0.35 |

Table 3. Model experiments