	TASK TIMELINE							
				NE	TASK	TOTAL		
	1	R1		R2	ASSIGNMENTS		(3)	\$
TASK TITLES	1 2	3 4	1 2	2 3 4	id #wks	\sum	(a)	•
A Refine the distance to UGC 7346			H					
A1 Generate model-subtracted images free of large-scale residuals						0.03	0.01	
					pm 1; ab 0.5			0.02
A2 Photometry on resolved stellar pop to compute distance via tip					1l- 0.0	0.02	0.00	0.02
of RGB					pm 1; ab 0.2			0.02
A3 Derive point spread function using resolved stars					ab 0.5; T 0.3	0.04	0.04	0.01
A4 Stellar pop analysis using IFU data					ab 0.5, 1 0.5	0.03	0.03	0.01
At Stellar pop analysis using it o data					pa 1; jk 0.2; jr 0.2	0.00	0.00	0.00
A5 Construct spatial power spectrum and compute inferred distance						0.05	0.02	
					pm 1.5; ab 1; jk 0.1	0.10	0.10	0.03
A6 Paper 1: An accurate distance for UGC 7346: Virgo Cluster					pa 3; pm 2.5; ab 1;	0.16	0.12	0.05
member?					T 1.5			0.00
B Derive globular cluster (GC) luminosity function					11.0			
B1 F814W-F606W colors to identify GC candidates in						0.03	0.03	
model-subtracted maps			П		pa 1; jr 0.2; jk 0.1			0.00
B2 Use TINY TIM HST PDF models to deconvolve images						0.02	0.02	
			Ц		ab 0.5 ; pa 0.2 ; jr 0.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
B3 Fit 2D King models using GALFIT to derive core radii for GC					pa 1; jr 0.5; jk 0.1	0.03	0.03	0.00
candidates					pa 1, ji 0.0, ji 0.1	0.05	0.05	0.00
B4 Bayesian statistical analysis to reject interlopers with unphysical					pa 1.5; ab 1; jk 0.2	0.05	0.05	0.00
color/size B5 Compute GC luminosity function using validated GCs					F-0, 5	0.02	0.02	
B5 Compute GC luminosity function using varidated GCs					pa 0.5; jk 0.2; ab 0.2	0.02	0.02	0.00
B6 Paper 2: Tracing the full luminosity function of UGC 7346			П			0.13	0.12	
		Ш	П		pa 3; jk 3; pm 1			0.02
C Perform spatial structural analysis						0.00	0.00	
C1 Identify morphological features indicative of galaxy merger					pa 0.5; sc 0.2; rp 0.2	0.02	0.02	0.00
C2 Use IFU data to derive kinematics of central region of galaxy						0.04	0.04	5.00
					pa 1.5; rp 0.5; sc 0.1			0.00
C3 Spatially correlate kinematics with features					1 0.0 0.1	0.03	0.03	0.00
CA Demon 2. Is CC greaters in oat of a line at 2					pa 1; sc 0.2 ; rp 0.1	0.00	0.09	0.00
C4 Paper 3: Is GC system in act of collapsing?					pa 2; rp 2; jk 0.5	0.09	0.09	0.00
			_					

Table 1. Resource-loaded project schedule, where: \mathfrak{S} =Not funded by this grant, Σ =funded + unfunded; Tasks are listed (left side), with duration of task activity indicated in blue-colored timelines that measure quarter-years (1,2,3,4). Task assignments identify specific team members responsible for implementation with associated work weeks, where color indicates institutional affiliation (blue=funded/U.S., black=not funded/U.S., red=international). "Total FTE" (right side) are integrated work-weeks converted into FTE per task (1 FTE=12 months), displayed as "total", "unfunded by this grant", and "funded by this grant", resp. Assignment identities: **pa**: Pablo Sanchez Alarcon, **pm**: Pamela Marcum, **ab**: Alejandro Borlaff, **sc**: Sebastien Comeron, **jk**: Johan Knapen, **rp**: Reynier Peletier, **jr**: Javier Roman.