Category	Description	Reviewers Comment	Action
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	During the active review, we used the designer's machine and followed the steps provided, they worked.	N/A, maybe include a line about how it uses server data?
	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	Relatively straight forward. Specifically, Helpers.py had methods where we could pinpoint functions, the file itself was well managed with logical variable names.	N/A, if a stretch goal is needed, consider a readme that explains what code you did, otherwise do not stress.

	is it shorter/easier/faster/cle aner/safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	If the code is completely understood, most likely yes, the idea of what it needs to achieve is relatively straight forward. The main limiters would be what the client provided data would be, how their server is setup, and whether the same libraries provided here could be used.	N/A, at the end of the project, consider talking about the limitations of your design and why you made certain library decisions.
Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	The only "bug" was that places with 0 occupancy are being noted as full.	Add a new color to represent places with max occupancy of 0 and maybe an "about/conditions" box with some information in it (maybe occupancy refresh rate?)
Requirements	Does the code fulfill requirements ?	Looking at the requirements, it looks to me that everything is filled out. They were using dummy data to achieve their current results. From my perspective, the Web-ui was logical and worked fine.	None

Maintainability Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?		Create API tests using Postman / add that to the github repo.
--	--	---