FINAL REPORT

Making Houses More Appealing to Buyers

Abstract

Exploratory Data Analysis and Machine Learning Algorithms on a Housing Dataset to support which home improvement may positively affect the Selling Price

Table of Contents

Introduction	2
Background	2
Proposal	2
Dataset	2
Data Wrangling	3
Checking for errors on categorical columns	3
Cleaning NaN from categorical columns	4
Cleaning NaN from numerical columns	4
Consistency among columns	4
Checking for outliers	4
Exploratory data Analysis - EDA	6
Actionable variables X Selling Price	6
Inferential Statistics	9
Machine Learning Models	10
Conclusion	12
Annexe	13
Machine Learning Models codes	21
3 – LinearRegression	21
5 – LassoCV	21
6 - SVC	22
9 - ElasticNet()	23
13 - RandomForest()	24
15 - GradientBoosting()	25
CODE - Train Test Split – Local Score	25
CODE – important features	26

Introduction

Background

The housing market is the target of several studies and the reasons are simple to understand: it affects an important part of the society and it involves large amounts of money. A house in the market may be just another asset of an investor or a family's entire life worth of savings.

Regardless of the profile, every owner wants to make a good deal when selling their properties. The most significant actions an owner can take to get a better evaluation of their houses are performing house upgrades. But there are so many possible enhancements available, wouldn't it be great if we could identify which services would impact the selling price the most?

Proposal

This study is the first two parts of a more ambitious study that would recommend homeowners and home brokers which home improvements would greatly impact the selling price. The outcome of the complete version of the study would be a "portfolio" of possible home upgrades, alongside with the cost and duration of the project, and the estimated increase in the selling price. This would be presented to homeowners and home brokers, giving them the opportunity to either sell a "harder-to-sell" house faster or to maximize their profits.

As far as this study is concerned, the first part would be to identify which house features are immutable, like location, type of dwelling, etc. and which features can be affected by house projects. The immutable features will be used in the future as a way to classify a house, therefore they will be called "classifying variables". The second group are the ones that the owners can act upon with house projects, therefore they are the "actionable variables".

Our final deliverable is a Machine Learning model capable of providing good predictions for a random house. This way, we could apply the model to a specific house and provide a list of "actionable variables" that have the most positive impact on the selling price.

Out of the scope of this study, but vital to the success of this business, is to identify which home project will affect one or more of the "studied variables". The next step would be to get quotes and time estimates for these home projects. For that, services from a company like Homestars can be used, where home professionals are easily found, as well as quotes and time estimates for home projects.

Some of the "classifying variables" can be used for acquiring the estimates. Once this part is done, the portfolio will be complete and it will be possible to recommend which home improvement will better impact the selling price of a house, taking the time and resources available for home projects into consideration. This is the reason why this part is crucial: it is possible to find that the cost of the upgrade may be greater than the impact on the selling price, voiding the findings of this study.

Dataset

The dataset used for this study is the Ames Housing Dataset, which presents 79 explanatory variables describing several aspects of residential homes in Ames, Iowa, United States. The dataset can be found following the link below:

https://www.kaggle.com/c/house-prices-advanced-regression-techniques/overview

Once this study is finalized, with minor adjustments, the algorithms generated may be applied for different regions.

Data Wrangling

Throughout the report, there will be links to the code executed to accomplish the mentioned actions. They are all listed on the Annexe.

Checking for errors on categorical columns

The document "data_description.txt" shows all the allowed values for each categorical data. Let us list all unique values on each categorical column to look for mistyped data. IMPORTANT: there are 3 categorical columns that are represented by numbers ('MSSubClass','OverallQual','OverallCond'). Please note the highlighted line where we added those 3 columns. CODE 1

Here is a part of the <u>output 1</u>. With a quick inspection, we notice some NaNs that are not "missing data". The highlighted nan, for example, means "no garage", but it could also mean "missing data" in other columns. We will look into that later.

Comparing the output with the data description, we noticed small errors that are very easy to miss if we inspect manually. So, we created a .csv file called "AllPossibleValuesForCatCols.csv", using the data_description.txt as the source, to list all possible values those categorical columns may have. We will import this file as a Dataframe and match the actual values found on the dataset with the possible values for that column. This way we can find typos easier. This is the CODE 2 to find all typos:

Analyzing the Output 2, we noticed some small variations on the text of some columns, compared to the data_description data. To match the values exactly, we changed the following, by running CODE 3:

- Column **BldgType**: "Duplex" should be "Duplx" and "2fmCon" should be "2FmCon". "Twnhs" should be "Twnhs!".
- Column MSZoning: "C (all)" should be "C"
- Column **Exterior2nd:** "Wd Shng" should be "WdShing" because wecan see "Wd Shng" on the data. "CmentBd" should be "CemntBd" and "Brk Cmn" should be "BrkComm"
- Column Neighborhood: "NAmes" should be "Names" (meaning North Ames)

If we run the same code again to check typos, the output is empty, meaning the code ran successfully.

Back to the unique values from all columns, a few other things that caught our attention. Below are the modifications we performed on the columns **MasVnrType** and **MasVnrArea**, performed by <u>CODE 4</u>:

- There are 8 values that are "None" values and NaN on the MasVnrType column. Replace NaN with 'None' on column MasVnrType and replace NaN with 0 on the MasVnrArea column
- when the MasVnrType is None, MasVnrArea should be zero. Analysing this <u>table</u>, generated by code 4, we find values different than zero, which is not what we expected. To deal with that, the two records with Areas = 1.0 will be replaced by Area = 0.0, and the other three values will be replaced by the most common Masonry veneer type, which is type = 'BrkFace'.

This type of consistency will be checked for every column later. For example, if the house has no garage, all columns related to "garage" should show NA.

Cleaning NaN from categorical columns

We already know that missing values are stored as NaN. <u>CODE 5</u> lists the categorical columns with their unique values. Analyzing <u>Output 5</u>, we notice that, for all columns, it makes sense to replace nan with "No Item", except for the column "Electrical", because It doesn't make sense not to have an electrical system.

After replacing all NaN from the above columns with "No Item", CODE 6 perform the following operations on the "Electrical" column

- Find the number of records we're dealing with
- Checks how the price of this house stands against the other types of electrical systems. You can check the plot here
- Replace "No Item" by "SBrkr"

Cleaning NaN from numerical columns

Code 7 performs the following operations:

- Create a DataFrame with only the numeric columns;
- Inspect the NaN with this table, generated by code 7
 - Column GarageYrBIt: Hopefully, those NaN are for houses without garage. Check the unique values
 of the column GarageType when GarageYrBIt is NaN
 - Column LotFrontage: those are legitimate NaNs, too many to discard. Inspecting the columns, we
 found a LotArea. Maybe a <u>scatter plot</u> will show a relationship between those two variables
 - We can easily identify the presence of outliers on both dimensions. To better identify the relationship, let's "zoom in" in the graphic.
 - As suspected, there is a big correlation between those two variables.

Filling those 259 NaN from LotFrontage with values that are proportional to the column LotArea makes sense. To do that, we'll find the equation that best represents the linear regression between these two columns and apply it to replace the NaNs. Excel will be used to find the equation, which is y = 0.0046x + 27.113.

Based on this equation, the NaN from LotFrontage will be filled according to <u>CODE 8</u>. The comparison between before and after code 8 can be seen here.

Consistency among columns

If a house has no garage, all columns related to "garage" should reflect this fact. We've already done this for MasVnrType column on item 1 of this report, now let's take the same approach for garage, Basement, fireplace, pool and miscellaneous features. Please check the <u>CODE 9</u>, which resulted in empty outputs only, meaning no action needs to be taken.

Checking for outliers

As shown on the scatter plot previously presented, both LotFrontage and LotArea has outliers. In this section, we are going through a few relevant numerical columns to inspect outliers and decide whether to keep or discard them.

- LotArea and LotFrontage

When we print a <u>scatter plot</u> of these two variables, we notice that we introduced outliers on our attempt to fill in the NaNs. As lotFrontage almost never surpasses the 200 units, we will limit this dimension to 200 regardless of the lot Area. It is like we are using one regression equation for lotFrontage until 200 and another one for greater than 200.

Using Excel, the equation is:

y = 0.0006x + 19.657

Where x is LotArea

Later in this report, we try to apply LinearRegression(), from Scikit learn, to predict the missing values of LotArea according to the LotFrontage. The equation generated by LinearRegression() is very close to this one generated on Excel spreadsheets.

Here is the full CODE 10, Applying this equation for LotFrontage > 200, followed by the output.

We can see highlighted in yellow the two equations used to get rid of NaNs. Even though it is tempting to just discard the values where LotArea is greater than 50,000, the price of the properties has a strong correlation to the Lot Area, so this data is valuable. The two values inside the red circle, however, they do not bear relation to the house selling price, meaning they can undermine the precision of our future model. We will drop those two values.

- Violin plots for the other variables

We used <u>CODE 11</u> to plot violin plots to spot outliers on the other variables. Inspecting each one of the curves, the following called our attention:

- Pool diagram

Looking back into the data, this behavior is expected as we only have 7 values different than 0. Maybe this data can help predict house selling prices for specific cases, so we will keep this column as-is.

The same argument goes to columns 3SsdPorch, BsmtFinSF2, LowQualFinSF, MiscVal, ScreenPorch and BsmtHalfBath.

MasVnrArea Diagram

This variable has more than 500 samples different than zero, so let us see if we can find outliers in a violin distribution that ignores the zeros. Here is the <u>code and the output</u>.

Now this distribution has only a few outliers that can be useful for some cases and may have a combined effect with other variables, so we will keep it as is.

Exploratory data Analysis - EDA

Our EDA is mainly exposed on a Jupyter Notebook called "Story Telling.ipynb", which is found in the same Github repository. We will show our findings below, but please refer to the original file for more details.

Actionable variables X Selling Price

With the following <u>CODE 12</u> and <u>CODE 13</u>, we generated several charts at once. This way, we were able to visualize the association of all variables with the Selling Price. You may check part of the <u>OUTPUT 12</u>. Following, we present our analysis of the generated outputs.

a. Roof Style and Roof Material


Roof styles are predominantly "Gable" with material "standard Shingle". As we have very few observations of the other types of Roof and types of material, we cannot draw statistically relevant conclusions. As a result, this variable will not be among those we will suggest to be improved.

b. Pool Quality


Not enough houses with pool to draw significant conclusions. Improving the quality of the pool will not be suggested to owners.

c. Central Air

Most of the houses on this sample have central air, as shown below:




which means that it is possible to find houses with several combinations of the other variables that have that feature. In other words, a buyer will either look for another house or make a low offer. We understand it is not a simple service but, comparing a house with central air with a similar one without central air, we notice an increment of \$80,000 on average, as seen below.



d. Price based on number of stories

We were not expecting the average selling price of a 1 story house being more expensive than a one and a half story (finished or unfinished) and more expensive than a two stories house with 2nd level unfinished.




We investigated this and found that:

- 1.5 and 2 stories houses with 2nd level unfinished are located in "poor" neighborhoods


STYLE - % ON POOR NEIGHBORHOODS 1.5Unf - 71.0% 1.5Fin - 73.0% 2.5Unf - 91.0%

- 1.5 and 2 stories houses with 2nd level unfinished are older



Selling prices do not increase along the years

We do not need to take into consideration the inflation over the years, as the average selling price does not change significantly




f. Basement improvement

The jump on the average selling price when the basement finished area goes from any category to Good Living Quarters is enormous. It is almost \$100,000.00. Which means: if the owner is improving their basement, they better make a great job. Otherwise, the basement may fall into an average quality and they will lose the investment.

Difference in price between remodelled houses X non-remodelled houses

We were able to prove that the difference in price of remodelled houses is indeed more expensive than nonremodelled houses, which is the cornerstone of our project. The following plot helps us see that:



Another interesting fact this chart shows is that every house built more then 60 years ago have undergone a house improvement.

Inferential Statistics

The last chart presented in the previous section is by far the most important we produced in this report because it makes the whole project viable. With that in mind, this section will apply hypothesis test to confirm what the data is showing us.

Considering that the smallest difference between the prices was shown for houses in bin 4 (built between 40 - 49 years before selling), if we can prove that the difference in the mean price for houses that were remodelled and houses that were not is statistically significant, the other houses, that fit other bins, will also be significant.

Let us find the p-value associated with the following hypothesis test (1-sided):

For houses built between 40 to 49 years ago:

H0: Mean(remodelled) - Mean(not-remodelled) = 0

H1: Mean(remodelled) - Mean(not-remodelled) > 0

We will estimate the mean and the standard deviation from the sample and use t-statistic.

Using python code,

```
dfBin4 = df[df.BinYearBuilt == 4]
groupRemod = dfBin4[dfBin4['Remodeled?']=='yes']['SalePrice']
groupNoRemod = dfBin4[dfBin4['Remodeled?']=='no']['SalePrice']
import scipy.stats as stats
stats.ttest_ind(a=groupRemod,b=groupNoRemod)
Ttest_indResult(statistic=2.61985113389767, pvalue=0.009547840858910668)
```

we notice that the p-value is less then 1%, confirming that we should reject our null hypotheses and accept that remodelled houses tend to be sold for more.

Machine Learning Models

To confidently suggest home improvements to homeowners, we need to predict the future value of their property after the job is done. In this section, we are analysing a few machine learning models to help us in this crucial matter. It is important to notice that not all models tested are shown in the table for the sake of simplicity, because a lot of parameters tweaking was done to generate this table.

For all models:

- We used all columns: Categorical, numeric and the ones created during visual analysis;
- To use the categorical data, we first added the data from the "test.csv" file to the training data, then we generated dummy columns. Later we removed the test data and trained the model. This way, we guarantee that the columns on both training and testing dataset are the same.


Below is a table that summarizes all our efforts. There are links to the codes in the Annexe, a quick description of each model and their respective scores*.

ID	Model Family	Description	Kaggle Score*	Local Score**
1	LinearRegression()	- The column "LotFrontage" had the missing values filled by an equation determined on Excel; - Data not normalized	0.19883	-
2	LinearRegression()	- The column "LotFrontage" had the missing values filled by an equation determined on Excel; - Data normalized	1.55232	-
3	LinearRegression()	Column "LotFrontage" calculated with LinearRegression();Data not normalized	0.19921	0.09799
4	LassoCV()	CV = 3 Alpha = 100	0.15103	0.87345
5	LassoCV()	CV = 5 Alpha = 110	0.15297	-
6	SVC()	Did not work. Predicted all rows with the same values	-	-
7	LinearSVC()	No parameters tweaking	0.40867	
8	ElasticNet()	-Pipeline with StandardScaler and GridSearchCV - L1_ratio = 0.74	0.15345	0.84387
9	ElasticNet()	- Without StandardScaler - Execution cancelled after 2 hours	-	-
10	RandomForest()	- 'RFmax_depth': 3	0.32349	-
11	RandomForest()	- 'RFmax_depth': 4 GridSearchCV → cv = 5	0.30828	-
12	RandomForest()	- 'RFmax_depth': 7, - 'RFn_estimators': 110 - GridSearchCV → cv = 5	0.25614	-

13	RandomForest()	- 'RFmax_depth': 8,	0.25075	0.01027
		- 'RFn_estimators': 200		
		- GridSearchCV → cv = 5		
14	GradientBoosting()	All parameters default	0.13650	-
15	GradientBoosting()	- 'gradlearning_rage': 0.05	0.13345	0.90036
		- 'gradn_estimators': 1000		

^{*}Score obtained by submitting the predictions to the Kaggle competition. The Benchmark score is 0.40890. For the testing dataset, we do not have access to the actual values of the properties selling prices.

Using the best model (ID=15, GradientBoostingRegressor()), we are now interested to check which features impacts the selling price the most. Using this <u>CODE – important features</u>, these are the most relevant features:



Red and blue columns are the features that affect negatively and positively the Selling Price, respectively.

For our purposes, we can confidently apply this model to potential homeowners willing to sell their property and suggest which home improvement would better increase their selling price.

^{**}R square scores calculated from the training dataset, where 20% of the data was used as the testing dataset, to compare the predictions with the actual selling prices. Please check the CODE - Train Test Split - Local Score for splitting the data, used in all models above.

Conclusion

With the help of charts, we were able to Explore our dataset and expose some interesting findings, the most important of them being the increment on the Selling price of a house after improvement. We were able to prove with 99% confidence that houses that undergo home improvements tend to be sold for more, validating our hypothesis and our project.

Finally, we built a robust Machine Learning model, capable of supporting decisions to homeowners. The model may be applied in specific cases. For example, by simply imputing data about a house, the owner will be able to learn how much the value of his house will increase in case they finish remodelling their basement. With this increment in mind, the owner may take a proper decision regarding hiring home improvement services to finish their basement.

Ideally, the second part of this project would be to contact home improvement service providers, get a quote for generic jobs, and offer the owners a list of home improvement along with their cost and the expected increment on the final Selling Price. As stated on the introduction, this is not part of the scope of this project.

Annexe

Please find in this section all the codes and outputs ran throughout the report.

CODE 1

```
import pandas as pd
 df = pd.read_csv('house_price.csv, header=0, index_col='Id')
 dfnumbers = df. get numeric data()
 catcols = set(df.columns) - set(dfnumbers.columns)
 catcols = catcols.union(set(['MSSubClass','OverallQual','OverallCond']))
 for col in catcols:
     print(col, ' - ',df[col].unique())
Output 1
 GarageQual - ['TA' 'Fa' 'Gd' nan 'Ex' 'Po']
 Foundation - ['PConc' 'CBlock' 'BrkTil' 'Wood' 'Slab' 'Stone']
 CentralAir - ['Y' 'N']
 ExterQual - ['Gd' 'TA' 'Ex' 'Fa']
 BsmtExposure - ['No' 'Gd' 'Mn' 'Av' nan]
MSZoning - ['RL' 'RM' 'C (all)' 'FV' 'RH']
 RoofStyle - ['Gable' 'Hin' 'Gambrel' 'Mansard' 'Flat' 'Shed']
CODE 2
df = pd.read_csv('train.csv', header=0, index_col='Id')
dfAll = pd.read csv('AllPossibleValuesForCatCols.csv', header=0)
dfAll = dfAll.applymap(lambda x: x.strip() if isinstance(x, str) else x)
diffs = {}
for col in dfAll.columns:
    diffs[col] = set(df[col]) - set(dfAll[col])
for key, value in diffs.items():
    if(len(value)>0): print(key, ' - ',value)
Output 2
MSZoning - {'C (all)'}
Neighborhood - {'NAmes'}
```

CODE 3

BldgType - {'Duplex', '2fmCon', 'Twnhs'}

Exterior2nd - {'Wd Shng', 'CmentBd', 'Brk Cmn'}

```
df.BldgType = df.BldgType.replace('Twnhs', 'TwnhsI')
df.BldgType = df.BldgType.replace('Duplex', 'Duplx')
df.BldgType = df.BldgType.replace('2fmCon', '2FmCon')
df.MSZoning = df.MSZoning.replace('C (all)', 'C')
df.Exterior2nd = df.Exterior2nd.replace('Wd Shng', 'WdShing')
df.Exterior2nd = df.Exterior2nd.replace('CmentBd', 'CemntBd')
df.Exterior2nd = df.Exterior2nd.replace('Brk Cmn', 'BrkComm')
df.Neighborhood = df.Neighborhood.replace('NAmes', 'Names')
```

CODE 4

```
df = df.replace({'MasVnrType':{np.nan : 'None'}, 'MasVnrArea': {np.nan : 0}}, value = None)
print(df[['MasVnrType', 'MasVnrArea']][(df.MasVnrType == 'None') & (df.MasVnrArea > 0)])
df.MasVnrArea = df.MasVnrArea.replace(1.0,0.0)
print(df[['MasVnrType', 'MasVnrArea']][df.MasVnrArea > 0].MasVnrType.value_counts())
for index, row in df.iterrows():
    if((row['MasVnrType'] == 'None') & (row['MasVnrArea'] > 0)):
        df.loc[index, 'MasVnrType'] = 'BrkFace'
```

Output table Code 4

	MasVnrType	MasVnrArea
Id		
625	None	288.0
774	None	1.0
1231	None	1.0
1301	None	344.0
1335	None	312.0

CODE 5

```
nulls = df.isnull().sum()
catcolswithnan = set(dfAll.columns) & set(nulls[nulls>0].index)
for col in catcolswithnan:
    print(col, ' - ',df[col].unique())
```

Output 5

```
PoolQC - [nan 'Ex' 'Fa' 'Gd']

Alley - [nan 'Grvl' 'Pave']

BsmtQual - ['Gd' 'TA' 'Ex' nan 'Fa']

BsmtCond - ['TA' 'Gd' nan 'Fa' 'Po']

Electrical - ['SBrkr' 'FuseF' 'FuseA' 'FuseP' 'Mix' nan]

BsmtExposure - ['No' 'Gd' 'Mn' 'Av' nan]

GarageCond - ['TA' 'Fa' nan 'Gd' 'Po' 'Ex']

BsmtFinType2 - ['Unf' 'BLQ' nan 'ALQ' 'Rec' 'LwQ' 'GLQ']

GarageType - ['Attchd' 'Detchd' 'BuiltIn' 'CarPort' nan 'Basment' '2Types']

GarageQual - ['TA' 'Fa' 'Gd' nan 'Ex' 'Po']

GarageFinish - ['RFn' 'Unf' 'Fin' nan]

Fence - [nan 'MnPrv' 'GdWo' 'GdPrv' 'MnWw']

FireplaceQu - [nan 'TA' 'Gd' 'Fa' 'Ex' 'Po']


BsmtFinType1 - ['GLQ' 'ALQ' 'Unf' 'Rec' 'BLQ' nan 'LwQ']

MiscFeature - [nan 'Shed' 'Gar2' 'Othr' 'TenC']
```

CODE 6

```
print(df[df.Electrical == 'No Item']['Electrical'].count())
#plot code 6
df[['Electrical', 'SalePrice']].groupby('Electrical').mean().plot(kind = 'bar')
plt.show()
df.Electrical = df.Electrical.replace('No Item', 'SBrkr')
```

Plot code 6




CODE 7

```
dfNumericCols = set(df.columns) - set(dfAll.columns)
dfNumeric = df[list(dfNumericCols)]
print(dfNumeric.isnull().sum()[dfNumeric.isnull().sum()>0])
print(df[['GarageType', 'GarageYrBlt']][df.GarageYrBlt.isnull()].GarageType.unique())
df[['LotFrontage', 'LotArea']].plot(x = 'LotFrontage', y = 'LotArea', kind = 'scatter')
df[['LotFrontage', 'LotArea']].plot(x = 'LotFrontage', y = 'LotArea', xlim=(0,150), ylim=(0,20000), kind = 'scatter')
```


Table Code 7

```
GarageYrBlt 81
LotFrontage 259
```

Scatter Plot Code 7



Graphic Code 7





CODE 8

```
for index, col in df.iterrows():
    if(np.isnan(col['LotFrontage'])):
        df.loc[index, 'LotFrontage'] = 0.0046*df.loc[index, 'LotArea'] + 27.113
```

Before X After code 8

BEFORE AFTER





CODE 9

```
collist = ['GarageYrBlt', 'GarageFinish', 'GarageCars', 'GarageArea', 'GarageQual', 'GarageCond']
df.GarageType== 'No Item'
myDict = {}
for col in collist:
    myDict[col] = []
for index, row in df.iterrows():
    if(df.loc[index, 'GarageType'] == 'No Item'):
        for col in collist:
            myDict[col].append(row[col])
dfGarage = pd.DataFrame(myDict)
for col in dfGarage.columns:
    print(col, '- ', dfGarage[col].unique())
#Basement
collist = ['BsmtCond', 'BsmtExposure', 'BsmtFinType1', 'BsmtFinSF1', 'BsmtFinType2', 'BsmtFinSF2',
                'BsmtUnfSF', 'TotalBsmtSF', 'BsmtFullBath', 'BsmtHalfBath']
df.BsmtQual == 'No Item'
myDict = {}
for col in collist:
    myDict[col] = []
for index, row in df.iterrows():
    if(df.loc[index, 'BsmtQual'] == 'No Item'):
        for col in collist:
            myDict[col].append(row[col])
dfBsmt = pd.DataFrame(myDict)
for col in dfBsmt.columns:
    print(col, '- ', dfBsmt[col].unique())
#Fireplace
print(df[['Fireplaces', 'FireplaceQu']][(df.FireplaceQu == 'No Item') & (df.Fireplaces != 0 )])
print(df[['PoolArea', 'PoolQC']][(df.PoolQC == 'No Item') & (df.PoolArea != 0 )])
#miscellaneous
print(df[['MiscFeature', 'MiscVal']][(df.MiscFeature == 'No Item') & (df.MiscVal != 0 )])
```

Scatter plot LotFrontage X LotArea

```
df[['LotFrontage', 'LotArea']].plot(x = 'LotFrontage', y = 'LotArea', kind = 'scatter')]

200000

150000
```

700

800


CODE 10

50000

0

```
aux = 0
for index, col in df.iterrows():
    if(np.isnan(col['LotFrontage'])):
        aux = 0.0046*df.loc[index, 'LotArea'] + 27.113
        if(aux>200):
            df.loc[index, 'LotFrontage'] = 0.0006*df.loc[index, 'LotArea'] + 19.657
        else:
            df.loc[index, 'LotFrontage'] = aux

df[['LotFrontage', 'LotArea']].plot(x = 'LotFrontage', y = 'LotArea', kind = 'scatter')
plt.show()
```




400

LotFrontage


CODE 11

```
for col in dfNumericCols:
    ax = sns.violinplot(y=col, data=df)
    plt.show()
```

Pool diagram




MasVnrArea Diagram



MasVnrArea Diagram ignore zeros


ax = sns.violinplot(y='MasVnrArea', data = df[df.MasVnrArea > 0])



CODE 12

CODE 13

OUTPUT 12



Machine Learning Models codes

3 – LinearRegression

```
7 import pandas as pd
 8 from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
10 df_train = pd.read_csv('DatasetTreated.csv', index_col=0, header=0)
11 df_test = pd.read_csv('DatasetTreated_test.csv', index_col=0, header=0)
13 X_aux = df_train.iloc[:, 0:-1]
14 y_train = df_train.iloc[:, -1]
16 #save the number of the last row in the training dataset. Useful to unappend later
17 lastRow = X_aux.shape[0]
19 #append test dataset, so when the dummy columns are generated, any categorical data from the testing dataset will alse be present
20 X_aux = X_aux.append(df_test)
21
22 #aenerate dummy columns
23 X_aux = pd.get_dummies(X_aux, drop_first = True)
24 #X_aux.to_csv("cols_train_all.csv", index=False,
27 X_train = X_aux.iloc[:lastRow,:]
28 X_test = X_aux.iloc[lastRow:,:]
30 #generate csvs to check
31 #X_train.to_csv("cols_train.csv", index=False)
32 #X_test.to_csv("cols_test.csv", index=False)
34 reg = LinearRegression()
35 reg.fit(X_train, y_train)
37 #print(X_train.columns)
38 #print(X_test.columns)
39 #print(reg.predict(X_test))
41 result = pd.DataFrame({'Id':X_test.index, 'SalePrice': reg.predict(X_test)})
42 result.to_csv("submission_Rafael3.csv", index=False)
```

5 - LassoCV

```
7 import pandas as pd
8 import numpy as np
9 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
10 from sklearn.linear_model import LassoCV
 12 df_train = pd.read_csv('DatasetTreated.csv', index_col=0, header=0)
 13 df_test = pd.read_csv('DatasetTreated_test.csv', index_col=0, header=0)
 15 X_aux = df_train.iloc[:, 0:-1]
 16 y_train = df_train.iloc[:, -1]
 18 #save the number of the last row in the training dataset. Useful to unappend later
 19 lastRow = X_aux.shape[0]
 21 #append test dataset, so when the dummy columns are generated, any categorical data from the testing dataset will alse be present
 22 X_aux = X_aux.append(df_test)
 24 #generate dummy column
 25 X_aux = pd.get_dummies(X_aux, drop_first = True)
 26 #X_aux.to_csv("cols_train_all.csv", index=False
 28 #split back to train/test
 29 X_train = X_aux.iloc[:lastRow,:]
 30 X_test = X_aux.iloc[lastRow:,:]
 32 #generate csvs to check
33 #X_train.to_csv("cols_train.csv", index=False)
34 #X_test.to_csv("cols_test.csv", index=False)
 36 #reg = LassoCV(cv=5, alphas=[10, 60, 100, 200, 500, 1000], max_iter=10000, randpm_state=0).fit(X_train, y_train)
 37 reg = LassoCV(cv=5, alphas=[110], max_iter=10000, random_state=0).fit(X_train, y_train)
 39 result = pd.DataFrame({'Id':X_test.index, 'SalePrice': reg.predict(X_test)})
40 result.to_csv("submission_Rafael_LassoCVBest.csv", index=False)
```

6 - SVC

```
5 @author: Rafael
 7 import pandas as pd
 8 from sklearn.svm import LinearSVC
 10 df train = pd.read csv('DatasetTreated.csv', index col=0, header=0)
 11 df_test = pd.read_csv('DatasetTreated_test.csv', index_col=0, header=0)
13 X_aux = df_train.iloc[:, 0:-1]
14 y_train = df_train.iloc[:, -1]
 16 #save the number of the last row in the training dataset. Useful to unappend later
 17 lastRow = X_aux.shape[0]
18
 19 #append test dataset, so when the dummy columns are generated, any categorical data f.
 20 X_aux = X_aux.append(df_test)
 22 #generate dummy columns
 23 X_aux = pd.get_dummies(X_aux, drop_first = True)
 24 #X_aux.to_csv("cols_train_all.csv", index=False)
 26 #split back to train/test
 27 X train = X aux.iloc[:lastRow,:]
 28 X_test = X_aux.iloc[lastRow:,:]
 29
 30 #generate csvs to check
 31 #X_train.to_csv("cols_train.csv", index=False)
 32 #X_test.to_csv("cols_test.csv", index=False)
 34 reg = LinearSVC(max iter=10000).fit(X train, y train)
 36 result = pd.DataFrame({'Id':X_test.index, 'SalePrice': reg.predict(X_test)})
37 result.to_csv("submission_Rafael5.csv", index=False)
```

9 - ElasticNet()

```
5 @author: Rafael
7 import pandas as pd
8 import numpy as np
 9 from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV
10 from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
11 from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline
12 from sklearn.linear_model import ElasticNet
14 df_train = pd.read_csv('DatasetTreated.csv', index_col=0, header=0)
15 df_test = pd.read_csv('DatasetTreated_test.csv', index_col=0, header=0)
17 X_aux = df_train.iloc[:, 0:-1]
18 y_train = df_train.iloc[:, -1]
19
20 #save the number of the last row in the training dataset. Useful to unappend later
21 lastRow = X_aux.shape[0]
23 #append test dataset, so when the dummy columns are generated, any categorical data from the testing dataset will alse be present
24 X_{aux} = X_{aux.append}(df_{test})
26 #generate dummy columns
27 X_aux = pd.get_dummies(X_aux, drop_first = True)
28 #X_aux.to_csv("cols_train_all.csv
30 #split back to train/test
31 X_train = X_aux.iloc[:lastRow,:]
32 X_test = X_aux.iloc[lastRow:,:]
34 #generate csvs to check
35 #X_train.to_csv("cols_train.csv", index=False)
36 #X_test.to_csv("cols_test.csv", index=False)
38 steps = [('scaler', StandardScaler()), ('elasticnet', ElasticNet(max_iter=100000))]
39 pipeline = Pipeline(steps)
41 parameters = {'elasticnet_ll_ratio':np.linspace(0,1,100)}
42 #parameters = {'ll_ratio':np.linspace(0,1,100)}
44 reg = GridSearchCV(pipeline, param_grid=parameters)
                                   Wet(max_iter=100000), param_grid=parameters)
46 reg.fit(X_train, y_train)
48 #print(X_train.columns)
49 #print(X_test.columns)
50 #print(reg.predict(X_test))
52 result = pd.DataFrame({'Id':X_test.index, 'SalePrice': reg.predict(X_test)})
53 result.to_csv("submission_Rafael7.csv", index=False)
```

13 - RandomForest()

```
5 @author: Rafael
 7 import pandas as pd
 8 import numpy as np
9 from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV
10 from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
11 from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline
12 from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
14 df_train = pd.read_csv('DatasetTreated.csv', index_col=0, header=0)
15 df_test = pd.read_csv('DatasetTreated_test.csv', index_col=0, header=0)
17 X_aux = df_train.iloc[:, 0:-1]
18 y_train = df_train.iloc[:, -1]
20 #save the number of the last row in the training dataset. Useful to unappend later
21 lastRow = X_aux.shape[0]
23 #append test dataset, so when the dummy columns are generated, any categorical data from the testing dataset will alse be present
24 X_aux = X_aux.append(df_test)
25
26 #generate dummy columns
27 X_aux = pd.get_dummies(X_aux, drop_first = True)
28 #X_aux.to_csv("cols_train_all.csv", index=False,
29
30 #split back to train/test
31 X train = X aux.iloc[:lastRow,:]
32 X_test = X_aux.iloc[lastRow:,:]
34 #generate csvs to check
35 #X_train.to_csv("cols_train.csv", index=False)
36 #X_test.to_csv("cols_test.csv", index=False)
38 steps = [('scaler', StandardScaler()), ('RF', RandomForestClassifier(random_state=0))]
39 pipeline = Pipeline(steps)
41 parameters = {'RF_max_depth':np.arange(2,10), 'RF_n_estimators':np.arange(100,600,100)}
42 #parameters = {'ll_ratio':np.linspace(0,1,100)}
43
44 reg = GridSearchCV(pipeline, param_grid=parameters, cv=5)
                                  Net(max_iter=100000), param_grid=parameters)
46 reg.fit(X_train, y_train)
48 print(reg.best_params_)
49
50 #print(X_train.columns)
51 #print(X_test.columns)
52 #print(reg.predict(X_test))
554 result = pd.DataFrame({'Id':X_test.index, 'SalePrice': reg.predict(X_test)})
55 result.to_csv("submission_Rafael8diff.csv", index=False)
```

15 - GradientBoosting()

```
5 @author: Rafael
 7 import pandas as pd
8 from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV
 9 from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
10 from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline
11 from sklearn.ensemble import GradientBoostingRegressor
14 df_train = pd.read_csv('DatasetTreated.csv', index_col=0, header=0)
15 df_test = pd.read_csv('DatasetTreated_test.csv', index_col=0, header=0)
17 X_aux = df_train.iloc[:, 0:-1]
18 y_train = df_train.iloc[:, -1]
20 #sa
                                 last row in the training dataset. Useful to unappend later
21 lastRow = X_aux.shape[0]
                               so when the dummy columns are generated, any categorical data from the testing dataset will alse be present
23 #append test dataset,
24 X_aux = X_aux.append(df_test)
26 #aenerate dummy colum
27 X_aux = pd.get_dummies(X_aux, drop_first = True)
28 #X_aux.to_csv("cols_train_all.csv", index=False
29
30 #split back to train/test
31 X_train = X_aux.iloc[:lastRow,:]
32 X_test = X_aux.iloc[lastRow:,:]
34 #generate csvs to check
34 #@enerate csss to check
35 #X_train.to_csv("cols_train.csv", index=False)
36 #X_test.to_csv("cols_test.csv", index=False)
37 steps = [('scaler', StandardScaler()), ('gradient', GradientBoostingRegressor(random_state=0, learning_rate=0.05, n_estimators=1000))]
38 pipeline = Pipeline(steps)
40 #parameters = {'gradient_learning_rate':[0.01, 0.05, 0.1], 'gradient_n_estimators':[500, 1000, 1500, 2000]}
41 #parameters = {'l1_ratio':np.linspace(0,1,100)}
+a +reg = GridSearchCV(pipeline, param_grid=parameters, cv=5, scoring='r2')
44 pipeline.fit(X_train, y_train)|
45
46 #print(reg.score(X_test, y_test))
48 #print(X_train.columns)
49 #print(X test.columns)
50 #print(reg.predict(X_test))
52 result = pd.DataFrame({'Id':X_test.index, 'SalePrice': pipeline.predict(X_test)})
53 result.to_csv("submission_Rafael_gradBoostCV.csv", index=False)
```

CODE - Train Test Split – Local Score

```
1 # -*- coding: utf-8 -*-
3 Created on Wed Apr 15 19:47:24 2020
5 @author: Rafael"""
7 import pandas as pd
8 from sklearn.linear_model import LassoCV
9 from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
11 #read training file
12 df = pd.read_csv('DatasetTreated.csv', index_col=0, header=0)
14 #Separate last column (target)
15 X = df.iloc[:, 0:-1]
16 y = df.iloc[:, -1]
19 X = pd.get_dummies(X, drop_first = True)
21 #train test split
22 X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2, random_state=42)
24 #run the mode
25 #MY MODEL HERE
26 reg.fit(X_train, y_train)
28 #calculate Scor
29 print(reg.score(X_test, y_test))
```

We replaced line 25 by the models being evaluated to generate the column of local errors.

CODE – important features

```
40 df_feat = pd.DataFrame(index=X_aux.columns, data={'Reg_Coef':reg.coef_})
41 df_feat['Signal'] = df_feat.apply(lambda x: 'Pos' if(x['Reg_Coef']>0) else 'Neg', axis=1)
42 df_feat['Abs'] = df_feat.apply(lambda x: np.abs(x['Reg_Coef']), axis=1)
43 df_feat = df_feat.sort_values(by=['Abs'], ascending=False).head(15)
44
45 plt.bar(df_feat.index, df_feat.Abs, color=df_feat.Signal.map({'Pos':'blue', 'Neg':'red'}))
46 plt.xticks(rotation=60)
47 plt.figure(figsize=(20,40))
48 plt.show()
```