

Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$ . Then the **dual** of  $V$  is defined as

$$V^* = \text{Hom}(V, F).$$

For some basis  $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ , the **dual basis** is defined as

$$B^* = \{v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*\}.$$

Let  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation. Then the **dual map** is given as

$$T^* : W^* \rightarrow V^*$$

where

$$T^*(\alpha) = \alpha \circ T$$

for some  $\alpha \in W^*$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $V$  be a vector space and  $U \subseteq V$ . Then

$$U^\perp = \{a \in V^* \mid \alpha(u) = 0 \forall u \in U\}.$$

**Theorem 1.**

$$\dim U + \dim U^\perp = \dim V = \dim U^*$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation. Then

$$\ker T^\perp = \text{Im } T^*$$

and

$$\text{Im } T^\perp = \ker T^*.$$

Then  $T$  is injective iff  $T^*$  is surjective, and  $T^*$  is injective iff  $T$  is surjective.

*Proof.* To prove this theorem, we will rely on the proof that  $\text{Im } T^* \subseteq \ker T^\perp$  and that  $\ker T^* \subseteq \text{Im } T^\perp$ . (The proof of the latter is left as an exercise.)

By definition,  $T : V \rightarrow W$  and  $T^* : W^* \rightarrow V^*$ . Let  $\alpha \in \text{Im } T^*$ ; we want to show that  $\alpha \in \ker T^\perp$ . Then

$$a \in \text{Im } T^* \rightarrow \alpha = T^*(\beta), \beta \in W^*.$$

Let  $v \in \ker T$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(v) &= T^*(\beta)(v) \\ &= \beta(T(v)) \\ &= \beta(0) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\alpha(v) = 0$  for all  $v \in \ker T$  and the proof is complete.

(We will assume here that it has been proven that  $\ker T^* \subseteq \operatorname{Im} T^\perp$ .)

Therefore,

$$\dim \operatorname{Im} T^* \leq \dim \ker T^\perp$$

and

$$\dim \ker T^* \leq \dim \operatorname{Im} T^\perp.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \operatorname{Im} T^* + \dim \ker T^* &= \dim W^* \\ &\leq \dim \ker T^\perp + \dim \operatorname{Im} T^\perp \\ &= (\dim V - \dim \ker T) + (\dim W - \dim \operatorname{Im} T) \\ &= \dim V + \dim W - (\dim \ker T + \dim \operatorname{Im} T) \\ &= \dim V + \dim W - \dim W \\ &= \dim W \end{aligned}$$

Then, since  $\dim W^* = \dim W$ ,  $\dim \operatorname{Im} T^* = \dim \ker T^\perp$  and  $\dim \ker T^* = \dim \operatorname{Im} T^\perp$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Definition 2** (Transpose). *Let  $T : V \rightarrow V$  be a linear transformation from a vector space  $V$  to itself. Let  $B = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  be an ordered basis of  $V$ . Let  $T \cong M_T \in F^{n \times n}$ , where*

$$M_T = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Let  $T^* : V^* \rightarrow V^*$  be the dual map, and let  $B^* = (v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*)$  be the dual basis of  $B$ . Then  $T^* \cong M_{T^*} \in F^{n \times n}$  as above, where*

$$M_{T^*} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & \dots & b_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & \dots & b_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Then  $a_{ij} = (T_{v_j})_i = v_i^*(T_{v_j})$  and  $b_{ij} = (T^*(v_j^*))_i = T^*(v_j^*)(v_i) = v_j^*(T v_i) = a_{ji}$ . Therefore,*

$$b_{ij} = a_{ji}$$

*and  $M_{T^*}$  is defined as the **transpose** of  $M_T$ , where*

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{n1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1n} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = A^T.$$

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \cong T : V \rightarrow V$$

with the columns of  $A$  denoted  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ . Then if  $T$  is injective, this is equivalent to saying  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are linearly independent. If  $T$  is surjective, this is equivalent to saying  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are spanning.

As above, if  $T \cong A$ , then  $T^* \cong A^T = B$ . Then the columns of  $B$  are equal to the rows of  $A$ . Then, since  $T$  is injective iff  $T^*$  is surjective, **if the columns of  $A$  are linearly independent, the rows of  $A$  must be spanning, and if the rows of  $A$  are linearly independent, the columns of  $A$  are spanning.**