

LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS

ROHAN RAMCHAND

Let V, W be two vector spaces over a field F .

Definition 1. A linear transformation is a mapping $T : V \rightarrow W$ such that for $u, v \in V$ and $\lambda \in F$,

$$\begin{aligned} T(u + v) &= T(u) + T(v) & T(\mathbf{0}) &= \mathbf{0} \\ T(\lambda u) &= \lambda T(u) & T(-u) &= -T(u) \end{aligned}$$

Let $T : F^n \rightarrow F^m$ be a linear transformation from an n -dimensional field to itself. Then T can be represented as an m -dimensional vector of linear transformations from F^n to F :

$$T = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m), T_i : F^n \rightarrow F.$$

Further, each T_i is a linear transformation:

$$T_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = a_1^i x_1 + a_2^i x_2 + \dots + a_n^i x_n.$$

Then any linear transformation can be represented as an $n \times m$ matrix:

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} a_1^1 & a_2^1 & \dots & a_n^1 \\ a_1^2 & a_2^2 & \dots & a_n^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_1^m & a_2^m & \dots & a_n^m \end{bmatrix}$$

Example. Let $T(x, y) = (x + y, x - y)$ be a linear transformation from $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$. Then

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example. Let X, Y be finite sets and $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map from X to Y . Let $F(X), F(Y)$ be the field of F -valued functions on X and Y , respectively, and let $\varphi^* : F(Y) \rightarrow F(X)$ be a mapping of fields such that $\varphi^*(f) = f \circ \varphi$. Then φ^* is a linear transformation.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi^*(f + g)(x) &= (f + g)(\varphi(x)) \\
 &= f(\varphi(x)) + g(\varphi(x)) \\
 &= \varphi^*(f)(x) + \varphi^*(g)(x) \\
 \varphi^*(\lambda f) &= (\lambda f)(\varphi(x)) \\
 &= \lambda f(\varphi(x)) \\
 &= \lambda \varphi^*(f)(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, φ^* satisfies both properties of a linear transformation and the proof is complete. \square

OPERATIONS ON LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS

We define two operations on linear transformations, addition and multiplication by a scalar.

Definition 2. Let $T, S : V \rightarrow W$ be linear transformations from a vector space V to a vector space W over the field F . Then

$$(T + S)(v) = T(v) + S(v).$$

Definition 3. Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation from a vector space V to a vector space W over the field F . Then for some $\lambda \in F$,

$$(\lambda \cdot T)(v) = \lambda \cdot T(v).$$

The following theorem is presented without proof.

Theorem 1. Let $T, S : V \rightarrow W$ and $\lambda \in F$ as above. Then both $T + S$ and $\lambda \cdot T$ are linear transformations.

Definition 4. Let V and W be two vector spaces over a field F . Then $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ is the set of linear transformations from V to W .

Theorem 2. Let $+$: $\text{Hom}(V, W) \times \text{Hom}(V, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, W)$ and α : $F \times \text{Hom}(V, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, W)$ the operations on linear transformations as defined above. Then the triple $(\text{Hom}(V, W), +, \alpha)$ is a vector space over F .

$(\text{Hom}(V, W))$ is also referred to as the tensor product of V and W -dual, $V \oplus W^+.$

Proof. $(\text{Hom}(V, W), +)$ is an abelian group by definition. Let $\mathbf{0} : V \rightarrow W$ be the identity function on $\text{Hom}(V, W)$; then $\mathbf{0}_V = \mathbf{0}_W \forall v \in V$. Finally, define the additive inverse as $(-T)(v) = T(-v) = -T(v)$. Then $(\text{Hom}(V, W), +, \alpha)$ is a vector space. \square

Note that $\text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(V, W), V)$ is a vector space, as is $\text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(V, W), V), W)$, etc. This is known as the *self-reducibility of linear algebra*.

Let $T, S : F^n \rightarrow F^m$ be linear transformations. Then, as defined above, $T \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} a_1^1 & \dots & a_n^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_1^m & \dots & a_n^m \end{bmatrix}$ and $S \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} b_1^1 & \dots & b_n^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^m & \dots & b_n^m \end{bmatrix}$. Then $T + S \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} c_1^1 & \dots & c_n^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_1^m & \dots & c_n^m \end{bmatrix}$, where $c_i^j = a_i^j + b_i^j$.

Definition 5. Let $\text{Mat}_{m \times n}(F)$ be the set of all $m \times n$ matrices with elements in F . Let $+: \text{Mat}(F) \times \text{Mat}(F) \rightarrow \text{Mat}(F)$ and $\alpha : F \times \text{Mat}(F) \rightarrow \text{Mat}(F)$; $(\text{Mat}_{m \times n}(F), +, \alpha)$ is a vector space.

With this definition, the following theorem is presented without proof.

Theorem 3. Let $\text{Hom}(F^n, F^m)$ be the set of linear transformations from F^n to F^m , and let $\text{Mat}_{m \times n}(F)$ be the set of $m \times n$ matrices with elements in F . Then $\text{Hom}(F^n, F^m) \cong \text{Mat}_{m \times n}(F)$.

We now define one final operation on linear transformations, composition of transformations.

Definition 6. Let U, V, W be three vector spaces over a field F . Let $T : U \rightarrow V$ and $S : V \rightarrow W$ be linear transformations. Then we define **composition of linear transformations** as $S \circ T : U \rightarrow W = S(T(u)), u \in U$.

Theorem 4. Let $S \circ T$ be the composition of linear transformations S and T . Then $S \circ T$ is a linear transformation.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (S \circ T)(u_1 + u_2) &= S(T(u_1 + u_2)) \\ &= S(T(u_1) + T(u_2)) \\ &= S(T(u_1)) + S(T(u_2)) \\ (S \circ T)(\lambda \cdot u) &= S(T(\lambda \cdot u)) \\ &= S(\lambda \cdot T(u)) \\ &= \lambda \cdot S(T(u)) \end{aligned}$$

Then $S \circ T$ is a linear transformation and the proof is complete. \square

Finally, as above, let $T, S : F^n \rightarrow F^n$ be linear transformations. Then, as defined above,

$$T \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} a_1^1 & \dots & a_n^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_1^n & \dots & a_n^n \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } S \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} b_1^1 & \dots & b_n^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^n & \dots & b_n^n \end{bmatrix}.$$
 Then $S \circ T \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} c_1^1 & \dots & c_n^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_1^n & \dots & c_n^n \end{bmatrix}$, where

$$c_i^j = b_i^1 a_1^j + b_i^2 a_2^j + \dots + b_i^n a_n^j.$$
 Therefore, $S \circ T = TS$. (This is presented without proof.)