EQUIVALENCE CLASSES

ROHAN RAMCHAND, MICHAEL MIYAGI

Let X be a set. Then for some $x \in X$, the **equivalence class of** x is defined for some equivalence relation $\sim \in X \times X$ as

$$C(x) = \{ y \in X \mid x \sim y \}.$$

For an equivalence class C(x), x is referred to as the **representative of** C.

Theorem 1. Let C(x) and C(y) be equivalence classes for some $x, y \in X$. Then either

$$C(x) = C(y)$$

or

$$C(x) \cap C(y) = \varnothing$$
.

Proof. Assume $z \in C(x) \cap C(y)$, where we assume by contradiction that $C(x) \cap C(y) \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$z \in C(x) \to z \sim x$$

and

$$z \in C(y) \to z \sim y.$$

Then for some $a \in C(x)$,

$$a \sim x$$
 $\land x \sim z$ $\rightarrow a \sim z$ $\land y \sim z$ $\rightarrow a \sim y$ $\rightarrow a \in C(y)$

Then $C(x) \subseteq C(y)$. The proof of $C(y) \subseteq C(x)$ follows from a similar argument and is left as an exercise. Then C(x) = C(y) if $C(x) \cap C(y) \neq \emptyset$ and the proof is complete.

Continuing from above, for some set X and equivalence relation \sim on X, we define a partition on X as

$$X/_{\sim}=P_{\sim}=\{C(x)\,|\,x\in X\}.$$

By definition of equivalence classes, this is a valid partitioning of X, and therefore no proof is provided.

Continuing in the opposite direction, let P be a partition of X. Then

 $\sim_P: x \sim_P y \Leftrightarrow x, y \text{ are in the same class in } P.$

Example. Let
$$X = \{1, 2, 3\}$$
 and let $\sim = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3)\}$. Then $P_{\sim} = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}\}$

and

$$C(1) = \{1\}, C(2) = \{2\}, C(3) = \{3\}.$$

Example. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and let $\sim = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (1, 2), (2, 1)\}$. Then $C(1) = \{1, 2\}, C(2) = \{2, 1\}, C(3) = \{3\}$

and

$$P_{\sim} = \{C(1), C(2), C(3)\} = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}.$$

Properties of \sim_N

We will now revisit the partitioning of \mathbb{Z} from above. Recall that $a \sim_n b \Leftrightarrow n|(b-a)$. Then

$$\mathbb{Z}/_{\sim_n} = \{ C(a) \, | \, a \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$

where C(a) is denoted \overline{a} . Note that $b \sim_n a \Leftrightarrow b = a + kn$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and therefore

$$\mathbb{Z}/_{\sim_n} = \{C(0), C(1), ..., C(n-1)\}$$

since C(n) = C(0). Finally, for $i, j : 0 \le i \le j \le n - 1$,

$$C(i) \cap C(j) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow i \sim_n j.$$

Operations on $\mathbb{Z}/_{\sim_n}$. Let C_1 and C_2 be equivalence classes. Then if $a \in C_1$ and $b \in C_2$, then

$$C_1 + C_2 = C(a+b).$$

Note that this sum doesn't depend on the choice of a and b. This is proven below.

Proof. Let $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{Z}/_{\sim_n}$ such that $C_1 = C(a)$ and $C_2 = C(b)$. Furthermore, let $C_1 = C(a')$ and $C_2 = C(b')$. Then

$$C(a) = C(a') \rightarrow a \sim_n a'$$

$$C(b) = C(b') \rightarrow b \sim_n b'$$

$$\rightarrow b' = b + jn$$

$$\rightarrow a' + b' = a + b + (j + k)n$$

$$\rightarrow a' + b' \sim_n a + b$$

$$\rightarrow C(a' + b') = C(a + b)$$

Then the operation of addition on equivalence classes does not depend on the choice of representatives a, b.

An operation on equivalence classes that does not depend on the choice of representative is called **well-defined**; by the proof above, addition of equivalence classes is well-defined.

Like addition, multiplication can also be defined on equivalence classes. As above, let $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{Z}/_{\sim_n}$ such that $C_1 = C(a)$ and $C_2 = C(b)$. Then

$$C_1C_2 = C(ab).$$

Multiplication is well-defined; this is proven below.

Proof. Let $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{Z}/_{\sim_n}$ such that $C_1 = C(a)$ and $C_2 = C(b)$. Furthermore, let $C_1 = C(a')$ and $C_2 = C(b')$. Then

$$C(a) = C(a') \rightarrow a \sim_n a'$$

$$C(b) = C(b') \rightarrow b \sim_n b'$$

$$\rightarrow b' = b + jn$$

$$\rightarrow a'b' = ab + (aj + bk)n + jkn^2$$

$$= ab + (aj + bk + jkn)n$$

$$\rightarrow a'b' \sim_n ab$$

$$\rightarrow C(a'b') = C(ab)$$

Then the operation of multiplication on equivalence classes does not depend on the choice of representatives a, b.

For sufficiently small n, it is possible to calculate all possible results of addition and multiplication, since no product or sum of equivalence classes in $\mathbb{Z}/_{\sim_n}$ can exceed n itself. This is usually represented as a table; an example, using $\mathbb{Z}/_{\sim_4}$, is shown below.

+	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{3}$		$\overline{0}$			
$\overline{0}$	0	1	$\overline{2}$	3	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	0	0	0
$\overline{1}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{3}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{3}$
$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{3}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{2}$
$\overline{3}$	$\overline{3}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \overline{1} \\ \overline{2} \\ \overline{3} \\ \overline{0} \end{array} $	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{3}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{3}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{1}$

Note that under multiplication, $\overline{2}$ does not admit an inverse – that is, since $\overline{1}$ is the multiplicative identity, there is no \overline{n} such that $\overline{2} \times \overline{n} = \overline{1}$. This is a result of an important theorem, given here without proof. (This theorem is proven in many number-theoretic books.)

Theorem 2. Let $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of equivalence classes of \mathbb{Z} under \sim_n . Then every $\overline{x} \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ admits an inverse under multiplication if and only if n is prime.

Properties of + and \times on $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$

We will now prove several properties of the operations defined in the previous section. The relevance of these properties will become apparent in the next section.

Theorem 3 (Associativity of Addition). Let $\overline{a}, \overline{b}, \overline{c} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\overline{a} + (\overline{b} + \overline{c}) = (\overline{a} + \overline{b}) + \overline{c}.$$

Proof.

$$\overline{a} + (\overline{b} + \overline{c}) = \overline{a} + \overline{b + c}$$

$$= \overline{a + b + c}$$

$$= \overline{a + b} + \overline{c}$$

$$= (\overline{a} + \overline{b}) + \overline{c}$$

Theorem 4 (Identity and Inverse of Addition). For any element $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\overline{a} + \overline{0} = \overline{0} + \overline{a} = \overline{a}.$$

Then $\overline{0}$ is the identity element of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ under addition. Furthermore,

$$\overline{-a} + \overline{a} = \overline{a} + \overline{-a} = \overline{0}$$

 $and\ therefore\ +\ is\ closed\ under\ inversion.$

Proof.

$$\overline{a} + \overline{0} = \overline{a+0}$$

$$= \overline{a}$$

$$\overline{0} + \overline{a} = \overline{0+a}$$

$$= \overline{a}$$

Then $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} = \overline{0}$.

$$\overline{a} + \overline{-a} = \overline{a + (-a)}$$

$$= \overline{0}$$

$$\overline{-a} + \overline{a} = \overline{(-a) + a}$$

$$= \overline{0}$$

Then for any $\overline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, there exists an inverse element in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then + is closed under inversion.

Theorem 5 (Commutativity of Addition). Let $\overline{a}, \overline{b} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\overline{a} + \overline{b} = \overline{b} + \overline{a}.$$

Proof.

$$\overline{a} + \overline{b} = \overline{a+b}$$

$$= \overline{b+a}$$

$$= \overline{b} + \overline{a}$$

Therefore, + is commutative.

The following theorems on multiplication are stated without proof, which is left to the reader as an exercise. (The proofs all follow the same structure as those for addition.)

Theorem 6 (Associativity of Multiplication). Let $\overline{a}, \overline{b}, \overline{c} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\overline{a} * (\overline{b} * \overline{c}) = (\overline{a} * \overline{b}) * \overline{c}.$$

Theorem 7 (Identity and Inverse of Multiplication). For any element $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\overline{a} * \overline{1} = \overline{1} * \overline{a} = \overline{a}.$$

Then $\overline{1}$ is the identity element of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ under addition. Furthermore, if n is prime,

$$\exists \, \overline{b} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} : \overline{a} * \overline{b} = \overline{1}.$$

(Note that the second part of the above theorem holds if and only if n is prime, by the theorem stated above.)

Theorem 8 (Commutativity of Multiplication). Let $\overline{a}, \overline{b} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\overline{a} * \overline{b} = \overline{b} * \overline{a}.$$

Theorem 9 (Distributivity of Multiplication over Addition). Let $\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\overline{a} \times (\overline{b} + \overline{c}) = \overline{a} \times \overline{b} + \overline{a} \times \overline{c}.$$

Finally, let

$$(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, +, \times, \overline{0}, \overline{1})$$

be the 5-tuple consisting of the set $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, the operations $+, \times : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, and the identities $\overline{0}$ under addition and $\overline{1}$ under multiplication. This is referred to as the **ring** of integers (mod n), and if n is prime (and therefore multiplication admits an inverse), it is called the **field of integers** (mod n). (We shall delve more deeply into the definitions of rings and fields later on.)