

# GuideFlow3D: Optimization-Guided Rectified Flow For Appearance Transfer

Sayan Deb Sarkar

Sinisa Stekovic

Vincent Lepetit

Iro Armeni



## Why 3D Appearance Transfer

**Input** Base 3D shape + appearance cue from image, mesh or text.

**Output** Geometry-preserving 3D model restyled with appearance.

**Goal** Accelerate stylized 3D asset creation for gaming, AR/VR and digital prototyping.

Input: Appearance Image / Output: Appearance Text

## Key Challenges

**Why is Appearance Transfer Really Hard?**

- Geometric irregularity** and absence of part-aware grounding disrupt texture alignment and structural consistency.

Input / Appearance / Output

- Large semantic gaps across categories** break matching correspondences causing style leakage and textures that fail to align with object geometry.

Input / Appearance / Output

- 3D appearance transfer cannot be treated as a texture mapping or multi-view rendering problem.

Input / Appearance / Output

## Guided Flow For Appearance Transfer

**Our Approach**

**Differentiable Guidance During Sampling**

$\hat{z}_t = \hat{z}_t + \Delta t \cdot v_0(z_t | c) + \nabla_{z_t} \mathcal{L}(z | c)$   
Guided Rectified Flow

- Training-free framework, interleaving rectified flow sampling and semantic + geometric prior as guidance objective.
- Part-Aware Guidance:** Matches input and appearance latents through part-based co-segmentation and encourage semantically consistent style transfer.
- Self-Similarity Guidance:** Promotes local consistency using geometric clustering without homogenizing appearance globally.

**Part-Aware Guidance**

$L_{\text{appearance}}$

**Self-Similarity Guidance**

$L_{\text{structure}}$

**How does guiding structured latents help?**

- No flow:** unrealistic, distorted outputs.
- No guidance:** style transfer fails.
- w/ GuideFlow3D:** preserve global realism and fine-grained control.

## Experimental Results

Our method shows superior texture fidelity and structural preservation.

Methods	Ranking metrics					
	Fidelity ↓	Clarity ↓	Adaptation ↓	Fidelity ↓	Clarity ↓	Adaptation ↓
<b>Intra-Category</b>						
<b>Simple-Complex</b>						
UV Nearest Neighbor	4.12	3.84	4.43	4.06	3.51	4.17
MambaST [8]	4.94	3.55	4.42	4.87	3.57	4.38
Cross Image Attention [2]	3.56	3.48	3.47	3.54	3.55	3.52
EasiTex [52]	3.18	4.30	4.18	3.25	4.21	4.10
Trellis [72]	2.51	2.58	2.61	2.64	2.85	2.76
<b>GuideFlow3D (Ours)</b>	<b>1.89</b>	<b>2.41</b>	<b>2.28</b>	<b>1.99</b>	<b>2.75</b>	<b>2.45</b>
<b>Inter-Category</b>						

**Failure Case**

Interpreting abstract semantics without ambiguity remains an open challenge.

**Win Rate Evaluation (User Study)**

Input / Appearance / Output

**Furniture-To-Furniture Transfer**

**Text Cond.**

**In-The-Wild Transfer**

Robustly transfers appearance and generalizes to unseen shape categories and object styles, reinforcing the adaptability.

**3D Scene Editing**

Seamlessly stylizes objects while preserving their geometry and spatial layout for interactive context-aware 3D scene restyling.

**Key Takeaways**

- Novel framework for 3D appearance transfer that applies differentiable guidance to a pretrained rectified flow model.
- Training-free approach, generalizable to different appearance representations.

[1] Xiang et. al, Structured 3D Latents For Scalable and Versatile 3D Generation, CVPR 2025. [2] Perla et. al, EASI-Tex: Edge-Aware Mesh Texturing from Single Image, SIGGRAPH 2024. [3] Alaluf et. al, Cross-Image Attention for Zero-Shot Appearance Transfer, SIGGRAPH 2024. [4] Botti et. al, Mamba-ST: State Space Model for Efficient Style Transfer, WACV 2025.