

# Algebra 2 Assignment 3

BM Corser

February 25, 2018

1. (a) Let  $A, B \in T$  with  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ d & c \end{pmatrix}$ . Using the subring criterion

(i)  $A + B = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ d & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a+c & 0 \\ b+d & a+c \end{pmatrix} \in T,$

(ii)  $-A = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0 \\ -b & -a \end{pmatrix} \in T,$

(iii)  $AB = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ d & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ac & 0 \\ bc+ad & ac \end{pmatrix} \in T.$

Hence  $T$  is a subring of  $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

- (b) For  $T$  to be an ideal of  $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$ , for all  $r \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $s \in T$  it must hold that  $rs \in T$  and  $sr \in T$ . Let's test this

$$rs = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ax+yb & bx \\ cx+yd & dx \end{pmatrix} \notin T.$$

As such,  $T$  is not an ideal of  $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

- (c) As shown in 1.(a)(iii) the product of any two elements of  $T$  has the form  $AB = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ d & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ac & 0 \\ bc+ad & ac \end{pmatrix}$ . As such the zero divisors of  $T$  are those elements for which  $ac = 0$  and  $bc + ad = 0$  with at least one of  $a, b$  nonzero and at least one of  $c, d$  nonzero.

Let  $a = c = 0$  and  $b, d$  be nonzero, now we have  $AB = 0$ . Neither of  $A$  or  $B$  are zero and we have that  $A$  is a zero divisor. Therefore the zero divisors of  $T$  are  $\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T : x > 0 \}$ .

(d) Let  $A, B \in T$ ;

(i)  $T$  is commutative, we have

$$\begin{aligned} AB &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ d & c \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} ac & 0 \\ bc + ad & ac \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} ca & 0 \\ da + cb & ca \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ d & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \\ &= BA, \end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $T$  is a ring with identity, we have  $I_2$  the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix in  $T$  and therefore  $I_2 A = A = A I_2$  for all  $A$  in  $T$ ,

(iii)  $T$  is not a division ring. To see this, let  $B$  be  $A$ 's inverse and write  $AB = \begin{pmatrix} ac & 0 \\ bc + ad & ac \end{pmatrix} = I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , giving  $ac = 1$  and  $bc + ad = 0$ . These equations hold when  $c = \frac{1}{a}$  and  $d = -\frac{b}{a^2}$ . Now suppose  $a = 0$ . In this case  $B$  is undefined and  $A$  does not have an inverse. QED

Lemma, zero divisors of  $T$  have no inverse.

2. (a)

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= f(x) - xg(x) \\ &= -x^3 + x^2 - x + 1 \\ q(x) &= g(x) + p(x) \\ &= 3x^2 + 3 \\ r(x) &= p(x) + \frac{1}{3}xq(x) \\ &= x^2 + 1 \\ s(x) &= q(x) - 3r(x) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\gcd(f(x), g(x)) = r(x) = x^2 + 1$ .

(b) By long division we know that,  $\frac{f(x)}{x^2+1} = x^2 + x + 1$  and  $f(x) = (x^2 + x + 1)(x^2 + 1)$ . These two quadratic factors are irreducible because they have complex roots and therefore factors of the form  $(x - z)$  where  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  so  $(x - z) \notin \mathbb{R}[x]$ .

3. (a) *Proof.* If  $a$  is a zero divisor of  $R$ , there must be some element  $z \in R$  such that  $az = 0$ . Since  $a$  is nilpotent, we know there exists some positive integer  $n$  such that  $a^n = 0$ . Let  $z = a^{n-1}$ , it is easy to see that  $az = aa^{n-1} = a^n = 0$ , therefore  $a$  is a nilpotent element of  $R$  if and only if  $a$  is a zero divisor of  $R$ .  $\square$
- (b) Clearly,  $0$  is a nilpotent element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  because  $0^n = 0$  for all  $n$ .  $6$  is also a nilpotent element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ , because  $6^2 = 36 = 0$ . By inspection, there are no other nilpotent elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ .
- (c) *Proof.*  $0^n = 0$ , so certainly  $0$  is a nilpotent element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{967}$ . Let  $a \neq 0$  be a nilpotent element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{967}$ , by the proof in 3.(a),  $a$  is a zero divisor. Because  $967$  is prime, by Lemma 3.3.4,  $\mathbb{Z}_{967}$  is a field and therefore every element is a unit. Now by Lemma 3.3.12 we have a contradiction. Hence  $0$  is the only nilpotent element  $\mathbb{Z}_{967}$ .  $\square$