

# Derivation of MHD/drift-kinetic hybrid model

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Drift kinetic equation one species</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Equation . . . . .	1
1.2	Energy conservation . . . . .	2
1.3	Fluid limite model . . . . .	3
1.3.1	Motion equation . . . . .	3
1.3.2	Momentum equation . . . . .	3
1.3.3	Energy equation . . . . .	4
1.3.4	Model . . . . .	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Reduced MHD</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Two species bulk plasma . . . . .	7
2.2	Derivation of MHD + Kinetic model . . . . .	7
2.2.1	Elliptic equations . . . . .	7
2.2.2	Mass and momentum equation . . . . .	8
2.2.3	Equation on the poloidal Flux . . . . .	8
2.2.4	Pressure equation . . . . .	8
<b>3</b>	<b>Coupling Three species model</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1	Two species bulk plasma+ kinetic equation . . . . .	11
3.2	MHD + kinetic model . . . . .	12
3.2.1	Mass and momentum equation . . . . .	12
3.2.2	Equation on the poloidal Flux . . . . .	13
3.2.3	Pressure equation . . . . .	13

## 1 Drift kinetic equation one species

### 1.1 Equation

We start from the drift kinetic setup that can be found in [1]. Meaning we take a constant external magnetic field in the toroidal direction

$$\mathbf{B}_0 = B_0 \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{e}_\phi,$$

**Remark 1.1** *In what follows we will consider a cylinder (we neglect the  $1/R$  term in Jorek framework).*

We can express the vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  (see [1]) as

$$\mathbf{A}(x, t) = \mathbf{A}_0 + A_{\parallel}(x, t)\mathbf{b}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\nabla \times \mathbf{A}_0 = B_0\mathbf{b}$ ,  $A_{\parallel}$  is the vector potential in the parallel direction. Meaning

$$\mathbf{B}(x, t) = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = B_0\mathbf{b} + \nabla A_{\parallel} \times \mathbf{b}. \quad (1.2)$$

We then start from the drift-kinetic equation obtained from this framework, and found in [1]

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla f + v_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla f - \frac{q}{m} (\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel}) \partial_{v_{\parallel}} f = 0 \\ -\Delta A_{\parallel} = J_{\parallel} \\ -\Delta \Phi = \rho. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$\mathbf{b}^* = \mathbf{b} + \frac{\nabla A_{\parallel} \times \mathbf{b}}{B_0} = \frac{\mathbf{B}}{B_0} \quad (1.4)$$

**Remark 1.2** For simplicity of notation and because the only direction of interest while considering drift-kinetic model is the parallel direction we will denote  $v_{\parallel}$  by  $v$ , and later on,  $u_{\parallel}$  by  $u$ .

## 1.2 Energy conservation

### Lemma 1

Assuming that all the quantities are equal to zero at the boundary, the total energy satisfies

$$\partial_t \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \frac{|\nabla A_{\parallel}|^2}{2c} + \frac{|\nabla \Phi|^2}{2} \right) = 0$$

*Proof.* We multiply by  $q$  and we integrate on the velocity space to obtain the continuity equation

$$\partial_t \rho + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \rho + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla J_{\parallel} = 0$$

with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_h = \rho$  and  $q_h \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_{\parallel} f_h = J_{\parallel}$ .

We multiply the kinetic equation by  $\frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2$  and we integrate with respect to space and velocity

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \int_{\Omega} \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h \right) + \int_{\Omega} v_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h \right) \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} q_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \partial_{v_{\parallel}} f_h = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\nabla \cdot (\frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi)) = 0$  and  $\nabla \cdot (v_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}^*) = 0$  we obtain that the second and third terms can be written in the divergence form. Using the flux theorem and the null boundary condition we

obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h - \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} q_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \partial_{v_{\parallel}} f_h = 0 \\
& \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \int_{\Omega} \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_h \partial_{v_{\parallel}} \left( \frac{1}{2} q_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 \right) = 0 \\
& \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \int_{\Omega} \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_h q_h v_{\parallel} = 0 \\
& \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \int_{\Omega} \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) J_{\parallel} = 0 \\
& \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi J_{\parallel} + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} J_{\parallel} = 0 \\
& \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \Delta A_{\parallel} - \int_{\Omega} \Phi \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla J_{\parallel} = 0 \\
& \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla A_{\parallel}|^2}{2c} + \int_{\Omega} \Phi \partial_t \rho + \int_{\Omega} \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \rho = 0 \\
& \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla A_{\parallel}|^2}{2c} - \int_{\Omega} \Phi \partial_t \Delta \Phi + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \rho \right) = 0 \\
& \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla A_{\parallel}|^2}{2c} + \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla \Phi|^2}{2} \right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

■

### 1.3 Fluid limite model

In this section we write the fluid model associated with the kinetic equation.

#### Notations

- $n = \int f(x, v, t) dv$
- $nu = \int v f(x, v, t) dv$
- $\rho = mn$

#### 1.3.1 Motion equation

We integrate the first equation of (1.3) with respect to  $v$  and multiply it by  $m$ , we get

$$\partial_t \rho + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \rho + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla (\rho u) = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

#### 1.3.2 Momentum equation

We integrate (1.3)  $\times v$  with respect to  $v$  and multiply it by  $m$

**Step 1** We take  $k = v - u$

$$\begin{aligned} m\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \int \nabla v^2 f dv &= m\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \int (k + u)^2 f dv \\ &= m\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \int k^2 f dv + \int 2(ku) f dv + \int u^2 f dv \end{aligned}$$

But since  $u$  does not depend on  $v$

$$\int (ku) f dv = \int (v - u) u f dv = u \int v f dv - \rho u^2 = \rho u^2 - \rho u^2 = 0.$$

We define

- **Parallel pressure**  $P = \int m k^2 f dv$

Hence, we obtain,

$$m\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \int \nabla v^2 f dv = m\mathbf{b}^* \cdot (\nabla(\rho u^2) + \nabla P) \quad (1.6)$$

**Step 2**

$$\begin{aligned} \int m v \frac{q}{m} (\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel}) \partial_v f dv &= \int v \cdot \partial_v \left( q (\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel}) f \right) dv \\ &= - \int \partial_v(v) q (\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel}) f dv = - \frac{q}{m} \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho. \end{aligned}$$

which completes our computation and establishes the equation of motion

$$\partial_t(\rho u) + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla(\rho u) + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(\rho u^2) + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P + a \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho = 0 \quad (1.7)$$

### 1.3.3 Energy equation

We integrate (1.3)  $\times |v|^2/2$  with respect to  $v$  and multiply it by  $m$

**Step 1** The first term leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int m \frac{|v|^2}{2} \partial_t f &= \partial_t \int m \frac{|v|^2}{2} f dv \\ &= \partial_t \int m \left( \frac{|k|^2}{2} f + k u f + \frac{|u|^2}{2} f \right) dv \\ &= \partial_t P + \frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 \\ &= \partial_t(\rho \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

The same computations lead to  $\int m \frac{|v|^2}{2} \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla f = \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \Phi) (\rho \epsilon).$

### Step 2

$$\begin{aligned}\int m \frac{|v|^2}{2} v \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla f &= \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \int m \frac{|v|^2}{2} v f dv \\ &= \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \left( \nabla \int m \frac{|v|^2}{2} k f dv + \nabla u \int m \frac{|v|^2}{2} f dv \right),\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \int m \frac{|v|^2}{2} k f dv &= \nabla \left( \int m \frac{|k|^2}{2} k f dv + \int m (ku) k f dv + \int m \frac{|u|^2}{2} k f \right) \\ &= \nabla Q + \nabla(Pu),\end{aligned}$$

because  $\int u^2/2(v-u)f dv = u^3\rho - u^3\rho f = 0$ , and with  $Q = \int k \frac{|k|^2}{2} f dv$  **parallel component of scalar heat flux ?**. Which gives

$$\int m \frac{|v|^2}{2} v \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla f = \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(\rho u \epsilon) + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla Q + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(Pu)$$

### Step 3

$$\begin{aligned}\int q \left( \frac{|v|^2}{2} \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \partial_v f \right) dv &= - \int q \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) f \cdot v dv \\ &= -q \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \int v f dv\end{aligned}$$

Which finally gives the equation of momentum

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t(\rho \epsilon) + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla(\rho \epsilon) + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(\rho u \epsilon) + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla Q + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(Pu) + \\ + a \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho u = 0\end{aligned}$$

Now we assume that  $Q = 0$ .

$$\partial_t(\rho u) + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla(\rho u) + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(\rho u^2) + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P + a \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho \partial_t u + \rho \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla u + \rho u \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u + u \left( \partial_t \rho + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \rho + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(\rho u) \right) \\ + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P + a \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho = 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\rho \partial_t u + \rho \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla u + \rho u \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P + a \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho = 0$$

We have the non conservative equation on the velocity. Now we consider the energy equation. For this we multiply by  $u$  and the density energy by  $u^2/2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & u\rho\partial_t u + u\rho\frac{c}{B_0}(\mathbf{b} \times \nabla\Phi) \cdot \nabla u + u\rho u\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u + u\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P + ua \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla\Phi + \frac{1}{c}\partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho \\ & + \frac{u^2}{2}\partial_t \rho + \frac{u^2}{2}\frac{c}{B_0}(\mathbf{b} \times \nabla\Phi) \cdot \nabla \rho + \frac{u^2}{2}\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(\rho u) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\partial_t(\rho\frac{u^2}{2}) + \frac{c}{B_0}(\mathbf{b} \times \nabla\Phi) \cdot \nabla(\rho\frac{u^2}{2}) + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(\rho\frac{u^2}{2}u) + u\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P + a \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla\Phi + \frac{1}{c}\partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho u$$

we subtract this equation to the energy equation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t(\frac{P}{\gamma-1}) + \frac{c}{B_0}(\mathbf{b} \times \nabla\Phi) \cdot \nabla\frac{P}{\gamma-1} + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(\frac{P}{\gamma-1}u) + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(Pu) - u\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P = 0 \\ & \partial_t(\frac{P}{\gamma-1}) + \frac{c}{B_0}(\mathbf{b} \times \nabla\Phi) \cdot \nabla\frac{P}{\gamma-1} + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(\frac{\gamma P}{\gamma-1}u) - u\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P = 0 \\ & \partial_t(\frac{P}{\gamma-1}) + \frac{c}{B_0}(\mathbf{b} \times \nabla\Phi) \cdot \nabla\frac{P}{\gamma-1} + u\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(\frac{P}{\gamma-1}) + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}P\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u = 0 \end{aligned}$$

### 1.3.4 Model

We then have the total conservative model

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \frac{c}{B_0}(\mathbf{b} \times \nabla\Phi) \cdot \nabla \rho + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(\rho u) = 0 \\ \partial_t(\rho u) + \frac{c}{B_0}(\mathbf{b} \times \nabla\Phi) \cdot \nabla(\rho u) + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(\rho u^2) + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P + a \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla\Phi + \frac{1}{c}\partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho = 0 \\ \partial_t(\rho \epsilon) + \frac{c}{B_0}(\mathbf{b} \times \nabla\Phi) \cdot \nabla(\rho \epsilon) + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(\rho u \epsilon) + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(Pu) + a \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla\Phi + \frac{1}{c}\partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho u = 0 \\ -\Delta A_{\parallel} = J_{\parallel} \\ -\Delta \Phi = \rho. \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

and the non-conservative form is given by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \frac{c}{B_0}(\mathbf{b} \times \nabla\Phi) \cdot \nabla \rho + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(\rho u) = 0 \\ \rho\partial_t u + \rho\frac{c}{B_0}(\mathbf{b} \times \nabla\Phi) \cdot \nabla u + \rho u\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P + a \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla\Phi + \frac{1}{c}\partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho = 0 \\ \partial_t(\frac{P}{\gamma-1}) + \frac{c}{B_0}(\mathbf{b} \times \nabla\Phi) \cdot \nabla\frac{P}{\gamma-1} + u\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(\frac{P}{\gamma-1}) + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}P\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u = 0 \\ -\Delta A_{\parallel} = J_{\parallel} \\ -\Delta \Phi = \rho \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

## 2 Reduced MHD

### 2.1 Two species bulk plasma

Let us consider for the bulk plasma two species, denoted by 1 for the bulk ions and 2 for the bulk electrons, we got

$$\partial_t \rho_1 + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \rho_1 + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla (\rho_1 u_1) = 0 \quad (2.10)$$

$$\partial_t \rho_2 + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \rho_2 + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla (\rho_2 u_2) = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

$$\rho_1 \partial_t u_1 + \rho_1 \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla u_1 + \rho_1 u_1 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u_1 + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P_1 + a_1 \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho_1 = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

$$\rho_2 \partial_t u_2 + \rho_2 \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla u_2 + \rho_2 u_2 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u_2 + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P_2 + a_2 \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho_2 = 0, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\partial_t \left( \frac{P_1}{\gamma - 1} \right) + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \frac{P_1}{\gamma - 1} + u_1 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{P_1}{\gamma - 1} \right) + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} P_1 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u_1 = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\partial_t \left( \frac{P_2}{\gamma - 1} \right) + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \frac{P_2}{\gamma - 1} + u_2 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{P_2}{\gamma - 1} \right) + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} P_2 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u_2 = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

$$(2.16)$$

with  $a_s = q_s/m_s$ .

#### Lemma 2

Assuming that all the quantities are equal to zero at the boundary, the total energy satisfies

$$\partial_t \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho_e u_e^2 + \frac{P_e}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_i u_i^2 + \frac{P_i}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{|\nabla A_{\parallel}|^2}{2c} \right) = 0$$

### 2.2 Derivation of MHD + Kinetic model

#### 2.2.1 Elliptic equations

We take the equation of Maxwell which gives

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J}$$

by definition of the magnetic field we obtain that

#### Parallel current

$$-\Delta A_{\parallel} = \mathbf{J}_{\parallel} = \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{e}_z = \sum_i a_i \rho_i u_i, \quad (2.17)$$

Then, we take the equation on the electric field and the charge density

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \sum_i a_i \rho_i, \quad \partial_t \left( \sum_i a_i \rho_i \right) + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \left( \sum_i a_i \rho_i \right) + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla (J_{\parallel}) = 0$$

Now we assume the **quasi-neutrality**  $n_2 = n_1$ . Consequently  $\sum_i a_i \rho_i = |q| (n_1 - n_2) = 0$ . We obtain

### Continuity charge equation

$$\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(J_{\parallel}) = 0$$

### 2.2.2 Mass and momentum equation

We neglect the electron inertia by taking the limit  $m_2 \rightarrow 0$ . That leads us to use the notations

$$u = \frac{\rho_1 u_1 + \rho_2 u_2}{\rho} \approx u_1, \quad \rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2 \approx \rho_1. \quad (2.18)$$

We sum equations (3.36) and (3.37) we obtain the equation on the full density. Using the quasi-neutrality we obtain

### Mass equation

$$\partial_t \rho + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \rho + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(\rho u) = 0$$

### Momentum equation

$$\rho \partial_t u + \rho_1 \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla u + \rho u \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P = 0$$

### 2.2.3 Equation on the poloidal Flux

Now we propose to compute the equation on the magnetic flux. For this we take the momentum equation on the electron and multiply by  $q_2$

$$m_2 \left( \partial_t J_2 + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla(J_2) + u_2 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(J_2) \right) + q_2 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P_2 + q_2 a_2 \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho_2 = 0,$$

$$\frac{m_2}{a_2 q_2 \rho_2} \left( \partial_t J_2 + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla(J_2) + u_2 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla(J_2) \right) + \frac{1}{a_2 \rho_2} \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P_2 + \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) = 0,$$

we neglect the the term linked to  $m_e$ , we use  $n_2 \approx \frac{\rho}{m_1}$  and we take  $P_2 = \frac{1}{1+T_1/T_2}$  to obtain

### Magnetic flux equation

$$\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} = -\frac{\tau}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P$$

with  $\tau = \frac{m_1}{q_2(1+T_1/T_2)}$ .

### 2.2.4 Pressure equation

To compute the energy equation we sum the two pressure equations. Using the definition of the current, the quasi-neutrality  $n_2 = \frac{\rho}{m_1}$  we obtain that

$$u_2 = u_1 + \frac{m_1}{q_2 \rho} J_{\parallel}.$$



Additionally to the classical pressure equation we have

$$\frac{\tau}{\rho} J_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{P}{\gamma-1} \right) + \tau \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} P \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{J_{\parallel}}{\rho} \right) = 0 \quad (2.19)$$

$$\frac{\tau}{\rho} J_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{P}{\gamma-1} \right) - \tau \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \frac{J_{\parallel} P}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \left( \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \right) = 0 \quad (2.20)$$

$$\frac{1}{\gamma-1} \frac{\tau J_{\parallel}}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \left( \nabla P - \gamma \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \right) = 0 \quad (2.21)$$

$$(2.22)$$

#### MHD model

$$\partial_t \rho + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \rho + \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla (\rho u) = 0 \quad (2.23)$$

$$\rho \partial_t (u) + \rho \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla u + \rho u \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla u + \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla P = 0 \quad (2.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left( \frac{P}{\gamma-1} \right) + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \frac{P}{\gamma-1} + u \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{P}{\gamma-1} \right) + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} P \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla u \\ + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \frac{\tau J_{\parallel}}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \left( \nabla P - \gamma \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \right) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

$$-\Delta A_{\parallel} = J_{\parallel} = \sum_i a_i \rho_i u_i, \sum_i a_i \rho_i = 0 \quad (2.26)$$

$$\frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} + \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{\tau}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla P = 0. \quad (2.27)$$

#### Lemma 3

Assuming that all the quantities are equal to zero at the boundary, the total energy satisfy

$$\partial_t \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \frac{P}{\gamma-1} + \frac{|\nabla A_{\parallel}|^2}{2c} \right) = 0$$

*Proof.* We multiply the first equation by  $\frac{u^2}{2}$  and the second one by  $u$ . We obtain

$$\partial_t \left( \rho \frac{u^2}{2} \right) + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \left( \rho \frac{u^2}{2} \right) + \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{u^2}{2} u \right) + u \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla P = 0$$

we sum with the pressure equation to obtain

$$\partial_t \left( \rho \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{P}{\gamma-1} \right) + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \left( \rho \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{P}{\gamma-1} \right) + \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \left( \left( \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{P}{\gamma-1} \right) u \right) \quad (2.28)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \frac{\tau J_{\parallel}}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \left( \nabla P - \gamma \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \right) = 0 \quad (2.29)$$

we then multiply 2.27 by  $\Delta A_{\parallel}$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\parallel} \left( \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{\tau}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla P \right) &= 0 \\ -\Delta A_{\parallel} \left( \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{\tau}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla P \right) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and integrate this equation, using an integration by parts we obtain

$$\int \left( \partial_t \frac{(\nabla A_{\parallel})^2}{2c} + J_{\parallel} \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{\tau}{\rho} J_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla P \right) = 0 \quad (2.30)$$

we integrate (2.31) and subtract (2.30)

$$\begin{aligned} \int \partial_t \left( \rho \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{P}{\gamma-1} + \frac{|\nabla A_{\parallel}|^2}{2c} + \frac{|\nabla \Phi|^2}{2} \right) &+ \int \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \left( \rho \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{P}{\gamma-1} \right) + \int \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \left( \left( \rho \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{P}{\gamma-1} \right) u \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \int \frac{\tau J_{\parallel}}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \left( \nabla P - \gamma \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \right) + \int J_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \int \frac{\tau}{\rho} J_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla P \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

In the classical case, where  $\mathbf{b}^{\star}$  is a divergence free vector field, we do

$$\int \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \left( \left( \rho \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{P}{\gamma-1} \right) u \right) = \int \nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{b}^{\star} \left( \rho \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{P}{\gamma-1} \right) u \right) = 0$$

using the flux-divergence theorem and the boundary conditions. As  $\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \phi)$  is also a divergence free vector fields,  $\frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \left( \rho \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{P}{\gamma-1} \right)$  vanishes as well. As

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\gamma-1} \int \frac{\tau J_{\parallel}}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \left( \nabla P - \gamma \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \right) + \int \frac{\tau}{\rho} J_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla P \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \int \frac{\tau J_{\parallel}}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \left( \nabla P - \gamma \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \right) + \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma-1} \int \frac{\tau}{\rho} J_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla P \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \int \frac{\tau J_{\parallel}}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla P - \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \int \tau J_{\parallel} P \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho^2} \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \int \frac{\tau J_{\parallel}}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla P + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \int \tau J_{\parallel} P \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \int \tau J_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{P}{\rho} \right) \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \int \tau \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \left( J_{\parallel} \frac{P}{\rho} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

using the flux-divergence theorem and the boundary conditions. Now we have

$$\int \partial_t \left( \rho \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{P}{\gamma-1} + \frac{(\nabla A_{\parallel})^2}{2} \right) + \int J_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \Phi = 0 \quad (2.32)$$

$$\int \partial_t \left( \rho \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{P}{\gamma-1} + \frac{(\nabla A_{\parallel})^2}{2} \right) - \int \Phi \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla J_{\parallel} = 0 \quad (2.33)$$

$$\int \partial_t \left( \rho \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{P}{\gamma-1} + \frac{(\nabla A_{\parallel})^2}{2} \right) = 0 \quad (2.34)$$

$$(2.35)$$

This result is obtained using the continuity equation. ■

### 3 Coupling Three species model

#### 3.1 Two species bulk plasma+ kinetic equation

Let us consider for the bulk plasma two species, denoted by 1 for the bulk ions and 2 for the bulk electrons, we got

$$\partial_t \rho_1 + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \rho_1 + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla (\rho_1 u_1) = 0 \quad (3.36)$$

$$\partial_t \rho_2 + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \rho_2 + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla (\rho_2 u_2) = 0 \quad (3.37)$$

$$\rho_1 \partial_t u_1 + \rho_1 \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla u_1 + \rho_1 u_1 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u_1 + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P_1 + a_1 \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho_1 = 0 \quad (3.38)$$

$$\rho_2 \partial_t u_2 + \rho_2 \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla u_2 + \rho_2 u_2 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u_2 + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P_2 + a_2 \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho_2 = 0, \quad (3.39)$$

$$\partial_t \left( \frac{P_1}{\gamma - 1} \right) + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \frac{P_1}{\gamma - 1} + u_1 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{P_1}{\gamma - 1} \right) + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} P_1 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u_1 = 0, \quad (3.40)$$

$$\partial_t \left( \frac{P_2}{\gamma - 1} \right) + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \frac{P_2}{\gamma - 1} + u_2 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{P_2}{\gamma - 1} \right) + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} P_2 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u_2 = 0, \quad (3.41)$$

$$\partial_t f_h + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla f_h + v_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla f_h - \frac{q}{m} \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \partial_{v_{\parallel}} f = 0 \quad (3.42)$$

$$- \Delta A_{\parallel} = J_{\parallel} = \sum_i q_i \int v_{\parallel} f_i q_h \int v_{\parallel} f_h \quad (3.43)$$

$$- \Delta \Phi = \rho = \sum_i q_i \int f_i + q_i \int f_h \quad (3.44)$$

$$(3.45)$$

with  $a_s = q_s/m_s$ .

We write the equation on the charge density  $\sigma = \sum_i a_i \rho_i + a_h \rho_h$

$$\partial_t \left( \sum_i a_i \rho_i + a_h \rho_h \right) + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \left( \sum_i a_i \rho_i + a_h \rho_h \right) + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla (J_{\parallel}) = 0$$

Now we assume the **quasi-neutrality** therefore we have  $q_2 n_2 + q_1 n_1 + q_h n_h = 0$ . We obtain

Continuity charge equation

$$\mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla (J_{\parallel}) = 0$$

**Lemma 4**

Assuming that all the quantities are equal to zero at the boundary, the total energy satisfies

$$\partial_t \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho_e u_e^2 + \frac{P_e}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_i u_i^2 + \frac{P_i}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \frac{|\nabla A_{\parallel}|^2}{2c} \right) = 0$$

*Proof.* Using the same computation as in the first part

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \int_{\Omega} \left( \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_h q_h v_{\parallel} &= 0 \\ \partial_t \int_{\Omega} (\rho_2 \varepsilon_2) + \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla (\rho_2 \varepsilon_2) + \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla (\rho_2 \varepsilon_2 u_2) + \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla (P_2 u_2) + a_2 \left( \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho_2 u_2 \right) &= 0 \\ \partial_t \int_{\Omega} (\rho_1 \varepsilon_1) + \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla (\rho_1 \varepsilon_1) + \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla (\rho_1 \varepsilon_1 u_1) + \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla (P_1 u_1) + a_1 \left( \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho_1 u_1 \right) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since the magnetic field is divergence free and also  $(\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \int_{\Omega} \left( \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_h q_h v_{\parallel} &= 0 \\ \partial_t \int_{\Omega} (\rho_2 \varepsilon_2) + \int_{\Omega} \left( a_2 \left( \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho_2 u_2 \right) &= 0 \\ \partial_t \int_{\Omega} (\rho_1 \varepsilon_1) + \int_{\Omega} \left( a_1 \left( \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho_1 u_1 \right) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

We sum the three equation and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + (\rho_2 \varepsilon_2) + (\rho_1 \varepsilon_1) \right) + \int_{\Omega} (a_1 \rho_1 u_1 + a_2 \rho_2 u_2 + a_h \rho_h u_h) \left( \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) &= 0 \\ \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + (\rho_2 \varepsilon_2) + (\rho_1 \varepsilon_1) \right) + \int_{\Omega} \left( \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) J_{\parallel} &= 0 \\ \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + (\rho_2 \varepsilon_2) + (\rho_1 \varepsilon_1) \right) + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \Phi J_{\parallel} + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} J_{\parallel} &= 0 \\ \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + (\rho_2 \varepsilon_2) + (\rho_1 \varepsilon_1) \right) - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \Delta A_{\parallel} - \int_{\Omega} \Phi \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla J_{\parallel} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Integrate by part the second term and using the continuity equation we conclude. ■

## 3.2 MHD + kinetic model

In this section we propose to replace the 2 fluid model ion-electron by the MHD model associated.

### 3.2.1 Mass and momentum equation

We neglect the electron inertia by taking the limit  $m_2 \rightarrow 0$ . That leads us to use the notations

$$u = \frac{\rho_1 u_1 + \rho_2 u_2}{\rho} \approx u_1, \quad \rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2 \approx \rho_1. \quad (3.46)$$

We sum equations (3.36) and (3.37) we obtain the equation on the full density. Using the quasi-neutrality we obtain

#### Mass equation

$$\partial_t \rho + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \rho + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla (\rho u) = 0$$

#### Momentum equation

$$\rho \partial_t u + \rho_1 \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla u + \rho u \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P - a_h \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho_h = 0$$

### 3.2.2 Equation on the poloidal Flux

Now we propose to compute the equation on the magnetic flux. For this we take the momentum equation on the electron and multiply by  $q_2$

$$m_2 \left( \partial_t a_2 J_2 + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla (a_2 J_2) + u_2 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla (a_2 J_2) \right) + q_2 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P_2 + q_2 a_2 \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho_2 = 0,$$

$$\frac{m_2}{a_2 q_2 \rho_2} \left( \partial_t a_2 J_2 + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla (a_2 J_2) + u_2 \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla (a_2 J_2) \right) + \frac{1}{a_2 \rho_2} \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P_2 + \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) = 0,$$

we neglect the the term linked with  $m_e$ , we use  $n_2 \approx \frac{\rho}{m_1}$  and we take  $P_2 = \frac{1}{1+T_1/T_2} P$  to obtain

#### Magnetic flux equation

$$\frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi = -\frac{\tau}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P$$

with  $\tau = \frac{m_1}{q_2(1 + T_1/T_2)}$ .

### 3.2.3 Pressure equation

To compute the energy equation we sum the two pressure equations. We assume that  $n_h \ll n_1 \approx n_2$  consequently using the quasi-neutrality  $q_1 n_1 + q_2 n_2 + q_h n_h = 0$  and  $m_2 \ll m_1$   $n_2 \approx \frac{\rho}{m_1}$  we obtain that

$$u_2 = -\frac{a_1 \rho_1}{a_2 \rho_2} u_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 \rho_2} J_{\parallel} - \frac{a_h \rho_h}{a_2 \rho_2} u_h$$

$$u_2 = \left( \frac{a_2 \rho_2}{a_2 \rho_2} + \frac{a_h \rho_h}{a_2 \rho_2} \right) u_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 \rho_2} J_{\parallel} - \frac{a_h \rho_h}{a_2 \rho_2} u_h$$

$$u_2 = u_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 \rho_2} J_{\parallel} - \frac{a_h \rho_h}{a_2 \rho_2} (u_h - u_1)$$

$$u_2 \approx u_1 + \frac{\tau}{\rho} J_{\parallel} - \frac{\tau}{\rho} a_h \rho_h (u_h - u_1)$$

$$u_2 \approx u + \frac{\tau}{\rho} J_{\parallel} - \frac{\tau}{\rho} a_h \rho_h (u_h - u)$$

At the end we obtain that

Coupling MHD Kinetic model

$$\partial_t \rho + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \rho + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla (\rho u) = 0 \quad (3.47)$$

$$\rho \partial_t (u) + \rho \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla u + \rho u \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P - a_h \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho_h = 0 \quad (3.48)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left( \frac{P}{\gamma - 1} \right) + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \frac{P}{\gamma - 1} + u \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{P}{\gamma - 1} \right) + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} P \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla u \\ + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \frac{\tau J_{\parallel}}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \left( \nabla P - \gamma \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

$$- \frac{\tau a_h \rho_h (u_h - u)}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{P}{\gamma - 1} \right) - \tau \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} P \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{a_h \rho_h (u_h - u)}{\rho} \right) = 0 \quad (3.50)$$

$$\partial_t f_h + \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla f_h + v_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla f_h - \frac{q_h}{m_h} \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \partial_{v_{\parallel}} f_h = 0 \quad (3.51)$$

$$- \Delta A_{\parallel} = J_{\parallel} = \sum_i a_i \rho_i u_i + a_h K, \quad \sum_i a_i \rho_i = 0 \quad (3.52)$$

$$\frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} + \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{\tau}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla P = 0 \quad (3.53)$$

**Lemma 5**

Assuming that all the quantities are equal to zero at the boundary, the total energy satisfies

$$\partial_t \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \frac{P}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{|\nabla A_{\parallel}|^2}{2c} \right) = 0$$

*Proof.* Using the same proof as for the non coupled case we obtain

$$\partial_t \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \frac{P}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{|\nabla A_{\parallel}|^2}{2c} \right) - \int_{\Omega} a_h \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \rho_h u = 0$$

We multiply the kinetic equation by  $\frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2$  and we integrate with respect to space and velocity

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \int_{\Omega} \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h \right) + \int_{\Omega} v_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h \right) \\ - \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} q_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 \left( \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \partial_{v_{\parallel}} f_h = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\nabla \cdot \left( \frac{c}{B_0} (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi) \right) = 0$  and  $\nabla \cdot (v_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}^*) = 0$  we obtain that the second and third terms can be written in the divergence form. Using the flux theorem and the null boundary conditions we

obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h - \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} q_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 \left( \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \partial_{v_{\parallel}} f_h = 0 \\
& \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \int_{\Omega} \left( \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_h \partial_{v_{\parallel}} \left( \frac{1}{2} q_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 \right) = 0 \\
& \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \int_{\Omega} \left( \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_h q_h v_{\parallel} = 0 \\
& \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \int_{\Omega} \left( \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) a_h \rho_h u_h = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Now we sum this last term with the energy balance of the MHD

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \frac{P}{\gamma-1} + \frac{|\nabla A_{\parallel}|^2}{2c} \right) + \int_{\Omega} \left( \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{\parallel} \right) a_h \rho_h (u_h - u) = 0 \\
& - \int \frac{\tau a_h \rho_h (u_h - u)}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{P}{\gamma-1} \right) - \int \tau \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} P \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{a_h \rho_h (u_h - u)}{\rho} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \frac{P}{\gamma-1} + \frac{|\nabla A_{\parallel}|^2}{2c} \right) - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tau}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla P a_h \rho_h (u_h - u) = 0 \\
& - \int \frac{\tau a_h \rho_h (u_h - u)}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{P}{\gamma-1} \right) - \int \tau \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} P \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{a_h \rho_h (u_h - u)}{\rho} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \frac{P}{\gamma-1} + \frac{|\nabla A_{\parallel}|^2}{2c} \right) \\
& \int - \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \frac{\tau a_h \rho_h (u_h - u)}{\rho} \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla P - \int \tau \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} P \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{a_h \rho_h (u_h - u)}{\rho} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\partial_t \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} m_h |v_{\parallel}|^2 f_h + \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \frac{P}{\gamma-1} + \frac{|\nabla A_{\parallel}|^2}{2c} \right) - \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \int \mathbf{b}^{\star} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{\tau a_h \rho_h (u_h - u)}{\rho} P \right)$$

Using the flux divergence theorem we conclude. ■

## References

- [1] Shinji Tokuda, Hiroshi Naitou, and William Wei-li Lee. A particle-fluid hybrid simulation model based on nonlinear gyrokinetics. *submitted to J. Plasma and Fusion Research*, 1998.