

# Bridging Scales: Energy-Flow Cosmology and the Free Energy Principle as Complementary Entropy Frameworks

Morten Magnusson  
Independent Researcher  
ORCID: 0009-0002-4860-5095

January 2026  
Version 1.0

## Abstract

The Free Energy Principle (FEP) describes how biological systems maintain their existence through variational free energy minimization, while Energy-Flow Cosmology (EFC) formalizes entropy dynamics across cosmic scales. We propose a formal bridge between these frameworks, demonstrating that variational free energy  $F$  can be expressed as a blanket-integral of the cosmic energy-flow field  $\mathbf{E}_f$ . This unification yields three principal results: (1) a dimensional framework grounding Markov blankets as physical energy-flow surfaces with dimension [W/m<sup>2</sup>]; (2) a universal emergence threshold  $R_c = 0.37 \pm 0.05$  derived from galactic halo stability and predicted to apply to neural systems; and (3) six falsifiable predictions with explicit decision criteria. We distinguish core claims (falsifiable) from auxiliary hypotheses (adjustable) following Lakatos, and provide concrete experimental protocols for validation.

**Keywords:** Free Energy Principle, Energy-Flow Cosmology, Markov blankets, entropy, emergence, active inference, thermodynamics

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 The Problem of Scale

The Free Energy Principle, developed by Karl Friston and collaborators over the past two decades, provides a powerful account of how self-organizing systems maintain themselves against entropic dissolution [Friston, 2010, Friston et al., 2023]. The principle posits that any system with a Markov blanket—a statistical boundary separating internal from external states—will appear to minimize variational free energy, which bounds the surprise of sensory observations.

Despite its theoretical elegance, FEP faces a persistent criticism: its physical grounding remains abstract. Variational free energy  $F$  is an information-theoretic quantity, and Markov blankets are defined statistically rather than physically. While Friston [2019] attempted to connect FEP to physics via “A Free Energy Principle for a Particular Physics,” critics have noted that this connection remains more philosophical than predictive [Aguilera et al., 2022].

Energy-Flow Cosmology (EFC), developed in parallel, formalizes entropy dynamics across cosmic scales [Magnusson, 2025a,b]. EFC introduces an energy-flow field  $\mathbf{E}_f$  that couples entropy gradients to spacetime geometry, providing a continuous description from galactic halos to consciousness emergence.

### 1.2 Core Thesis

We propose that these two frameworks are not merely analogous but formally connected:

**Thesis:** Variational free energy  $F$  is the blanket-integral of the energy-flow field  $\mathbf{E}_f$ , and Markov blankets are physical surfaces where probability flux divergence vanishes—coinciding with energy-flow gradient maxima.

This connection yields:

1. A physical interpretation of  $F$  as energy flux through boundaries
2. A universal emergence threshold  $R_c \approx 0.37$  applicable across scales
3. Testable predictions that neither framework alone provides

## 2 Formal Definitions

All subsequent claims inherit these definitions. Reviewers should evaluate the framework against these specifications.

### 2.1 The Energy-Flow Field

**Definition 2.1** (Energy-Flow Field). The energy-flow field is:

$$\mathbf{E}_f(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{P_0}{L_0} \phi(S) \nabla S \quad [\text{W/m}^2] \quad (1)$$

where  $P_0$  [W] is characteristic power,  $L_0$  [m] is characteristic length,  $\phi(S)$  is a dimensionless flow function, and  $S \in [0, 1]$  is normalized entropy.

**Dimensional verification:**

$$[\mathbf{E}_f] = \frac{[\text{W}]}{[\text{m}]} \cdot [1] \cdot \frac{[1]}{[\text{m}]} = \frac{\text{W}}{\text{m}^2} \quad \checkmark$$

### 2.2 Blanket-Integral Free Energy

**Definition 2.2** (Blanket-Integral Free Energy). The blanket-integral free energy is:

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = -\frac{1}{k_B T_{\text{eff}}} \frac{d}{dt} \oint_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{E}_f \cdot d\mathbf{n} \quad [1] \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}$  is the Markov blanket surface and  $T_{\text{eff}}$  is effective temperature.

### 2.3 Effective Temperature

**Definition 2.3** (Effective Temperature). Effective temperature is defined operationally via the fluctuation-dissipation relation:

$$T_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\text{Var}(\mu)}{\chi_\mu} \quad (3)$$

where  $\text{Var}(\mu)$  is variance of internal states and  $\chi_\mu$  is susceptibility.

### 2.4 Emergence Threshold

**Definition 2.4** (Resonance Parameter).

$$R = \frac{|\mathbf{E}_f^{\text{int}}|}{|\mathbf{E}_f^{\text{ext}}|} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + |\nabla S|/S_c} \quad (4)$$

**Empirical value:**  $R_c = 0.37 \pm 0.05$  (from SPARC galaxy fits).

## 2.5 S-Duality

**Definition 2.5** (S-Duality). The flow function satisfies:

$$\phi(S) = -\phi(1 - S) \quad (5)$$

valid for  $S \in [0.1, 0.9]$ .

## 3 The Core Correspondence

**Proposition 3.1** (EFC-FEP Correspondence). *At quasi-steady state:*

$$\boxed{\mathcal{F} = F + O(\epsilon)} \quad (6)$$

where  $\epsilon$  measures deviation from stationarity.

**Physical interpretation:**

- The surface integral  $\oint_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{E}_f \cdot d\mathbf{n}$  measures total energy flux through the Markov blanket
- The time derivative captures rate of change of this flux
- The prefactor  $-(k_B T_{\text{eff}})^{-1}$  converts to information units

## 4 Markov Blankets as Energy-Flow Surfaces

**Theorem 4.1** (Zero-Flux Factorization). *Under Langevin dynamics with sparse coupling, at NESS there exists a surface  $\Sigma$  such that:*

1.  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}|_{\Sigma} = 0$  (zero flux divergence)
2. The NESS density factorizes:  $p^*(\mu, \eta|\Sigma) = p^*(\mu|\Sigma) \cdot p^*(\eta|\Sigma)$

**Conjecture 4.2** (Energy-Flow Coincidence). *The zero-flux surface  $\Sigma$  coincides with  $\arg \max |\nabla \mathbf{E}_f|$ .*

**Proposition 4.3** (Stability Condition). *A Markov blanket  $\mathcal{M}$  is stable iff  $R > R_c$ .*

## 5 Empirical Tests

Test	Prediction	Criterion	Timeline
P1	Dimensional consistency	$\chi^2$ ratio $\in [0.95, 1.05]$	Now
P2	Blanket-integral correlation	$\text{Corr}(F, \mathcal{F}) > 0.90$	Now
P3	Cross-scale $R_c$	$ z  < 3$	6–12 months
P4	$T_{\text{eff}}$ -precision scaling	$r^2 > 0.30$	6 months
P5	Metabolic gradient ratio	$> 1.2$ at blankets	12 months
P6	Symmetry breaking	Deviation $> 10\%$ at $S < 0.1$	24+ months

Table 1: Locked predictions with decision criteria.

## 6 Lakatos Classification

### Hard Core (Falsifiable):

1.  $F \leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}$  correspondence
2.  $R_c$  universality
3. Blanket energy signature

### Protective Belt (Adjustable):

1. Specific  $R_c$  value
2.  $T_{\text{eff}}$  operationalization
3. Symmetry-breaking threshold

## 7 Conclusion

We have proposed a formal bridge between Energy-Flow Cosmology and the Free Energy Principle. The core claim is that variational free energy  $F$  equals the blanket-integral of the energy-flow field  $\mathbf{E}_f$ , up to quasi-steady corrections. This identification:

1. Gives FEP a physical interpretation in terms of energy flux
2. Reinterprets Markov blankets as zero-flux surfaces with energetic signatures
3. Predicts a universal emergence threshold  $R_c \approx 0.37$

We have specified the framework precisely enough to be falsified: six predictions with explicit decision criteria. Whether the bridge holds is an empirical question we have made answerable.

## Acknowledgments

This work builds on the EFC framework developed since 2024 and benefits from ongoing dialogue with the broader FEP community.

## References

- Miguel Aguilera, Beren Millidge, Alexander Tschantz, and Christopher L Buckley. How particular is the physics of the free energy principle? *Physics of Life Reviews*, 40:24–50, 2022. doi: 10.1016/j.plrev.2021.11.001.
- Karl Friston. The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11(2):127–138, 2010. doi: 10.1038/nrn2787.
- Karl Friston. A free energy principle for a particular physics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.10184*, 2019. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.10184>.
- Karl Friston, Lancelot Da Costa, Noor Sajid, Conor Heins, Kai Ueltzhöffer, Grigoris A Pavliotis, and Thomas Parr. The free energy principle made simpler but not too simple. *Physics Reports*, 1024:1–29, 2023. doi: 10.1016/j.physrep.2023.07.001.
- Morten Magnusson. Energy-flow cosmology (efc v2.1): Unified thermodynamic framework. Figshare preprint, 2025a. Preprint.
- Morten Magnusson. Applied energy-flow cosmology v2.2 – cross-field integration summary. Figshare preprint, 2025b. Preprint.