

Presenter: Cordova, Manuel

Seminar Date: 2013-12-03

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.85	6.77	6.92	6.95	6.88	7	6.97	6.63	6.38	6.6	6.67	6.5	7	7	0	0	0	E (47.43)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	6
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Pace was a bit slow, although you are an animated speaker with a lot of energy (kept our attention). I liked your enthusiasm and the fact that you walked around the room.

Great job! Presentation style is improved from last year. Presentation seemed well-practiced and for the most part was smooth regarding flow and word choice; could be more succinct at times. Practice and additional presentations will improve this. Manuel did a great job moving about the room and seemed genuinely interested in his topic making him very enjoyable to listen to throughout the presentation.

Instructional Materials										
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	5
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

Good use of animation. Chemical structures (thank you) were a little bit too small, some text too small (slide 6). You should also use more of the space on the slide-- some had only half the space used. Figures could be larger. Please number your slides!

Slides were very nice. Parts of the handout were a little difficult to read (eg, Figure 3 and tables had inappropriate breaks across pages).

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
4	Appropriate background information was provided	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Could discuss your objectives as you got to them.

Content was good - areas for improvement include being a bit more succinct to improve flow and stay on time.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Good coverage of clinical data

Good job overall - practice this section a bit more to more concisely explain the studies to stay on time.

Conclusions									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	6
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5

Conclusions Comments

Use of myoinositol comes really from 1 study only. Specific recommendation for pharmacy practice had to be extracted out of you via a question (but you answered)

Conclusions were sound - good job.

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

Great job asking and engaging the audience. This you did better than any of the talks I saw this year. This is a gift you have.

Great job; Manuel did a nice job being sure to wait long enough for questions; had he not, he may not have received any at times.

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Great knowledge of at-risk populations. Very thorough.

Great knowledge base and obvious interest in this topic.

Overall Comments

Great job Manuel!