

Presenter: Chow, Keith

Seminar Date: 2014-04-02

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.96	6.81	6.81	6.99	6.96	6.92	6.98	6.63	6.5	6.4	6.75	6.75	6.5	6.6	0	0	0	E (47.19)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Presentation Style Comments

Pace was a little fast... Try to slow down for future presentations, as you finished early and had plenty of time to spare.

Good pace. Skipped over MOA for propofol

Minimal filler words; good pace; did not rely on notes; very professional

Despite what was said, you definitely didn't rely on your slides! Great job!

Excellent pace and eye contact.

Thought he had great pace but some of the medications and author's name may have been pronounced wrong

Relied very little on notes. Good job!

The pace of the presentation was perfect.

Great overall pace. Did a great job not relying on your slides as much

Great speech and smooth transitions

Very good style

very good job on not relying on notes

Keith presented his topic in a very professional manner

Pace was appropriate, some reliance on notes/slides.

Pace and transitions were very smooth.

Good pace and eye contact with audience. Information was presented in a way that was easy to follow and understand.

Keith had a great pace and clearly presented topic.

You talked a little quick at times. I would recommend a short pause between sentences to break-up the information for us slower folk.

Pace was a little slow

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	12	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.63
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	15	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.74
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	6.94
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Instructional Materials Comments

I found many typos in your handout. Make sure to proofread your materials before finalizing them.

Hard to follow with three studies all in the same table running between pages

Put page numbers on handout; there was a lot of info on the study slides

Your slides had some spacing issues (words weren't separated by a space). I think it's because you printed at the school's lab (maybe).

The slides were nice and clear.

a few things is keep all references the same Author et al. Journal and date. I think there was some consistency issues. Also lots of grammar issues especially with possessive words: Pharmacists' Role or patients' point of view etc.

I liked how you highlighted certain things on your charts and tables that you want to focus on.

I would have liked to see a bold line dividing the studies on the handout. It would have made it easier to discern between the different studies.

Great job with slides. Handout was clear.

Slides were well done; lots of info, but very well displayed for the audience

I liked the slides they were easy to read, the hand was difficult to read the table because it was on three pages

title your tables in your handout. Tables should be able to stand on their own, so a title is a good idea. Include page numbers on your handout. Also, not all of the journals and titles were capitalized in your reference section. I have noticed that this is a common problem when I use EndNote to do my citations. Luckily it is an easy fix. You just make sure to capitalize this information once you have finished your handout, or there is an option to download a patch that fixes the issue for JAMA reference style.

Using large outlines on charts to identify the important information helped me follow the presentation more.

slides were easy to read and orientation to graphs provided.

Some text on the slides was a little hard to read.

Slides and handout were clear and easy to read/follow along. Handout could have used page numbers.

Slides were well done and not overcrowded.

I liked how you highlighted the p-values, and also liked the box for RASS scores to focus our attention to the sedation scores we should focus on.

Very good handout

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.84
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.84
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	11	8	0	0	0	0	0	6.58
4	Appropriate background information was provided	15	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.79
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Focus on more measurable objectives in future presentations, and be sure to re-emphasize each objective when you come to it during your presentation.

First objective was too broad. Background was somewhat general/vague

Great introduction and interest in the topic

You really focused on efficacy, but it wasn't mentioned on your objectives.

You talked a fair amount about efficacy but it was not mentioned in the objectives.

Background information was very quick although he should have reviewed the MOAs of benzos and propofol more thoroughly. Also thought he could have widen some of his objectives to make them more measurable.

I liked how you chose a topic that you have had experience with.

The background was concise and informative. The background was enough info to understand the presentation.

The objectives were clear. You have liked to see efficacy put in the objectives as well as the safety fantastic flow and well organized, could have been more narrow in topic discussion though.

Very good flow, I didn't realize we had gotten to the end

Good topic with smooth, calm flow

For your next seminar make sure your objectives match with the information presented and that they are measurable objectives.

Intro to topic was good, but there seemed to be little interest in the topic.

Your first objective was not fully explained in the presentation, modify the objective or explain in your presentation.

Good presentation of interest in topic and background information. Presentation flowed well.

Some of the objectives could have been followed throughout the seminar more clearly.

Your interest in the topic was great and felt like you did a good job establishing a credible controversy.

Were these trials how Dex became indicated for this, or were they after

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Good job finding and analyzing studies from REPUTABLE journals.

The results were listed more as a set of numbers and statistics rather than their relevance to the question at hand

Were all the objectives met? Were all of the mechanisms of action explained?

Great discussion on drop-outs. Well handled

Great job on presentation of results in a clear concise manner.

he had a great analysis of the data and presented it very well. He provided great strengths and limitations of the studies.

Presented trial results in a way that was easy to follow and understand.

The presentation of the data was great. You made sure to emphasize the important points.

Great analysis of the limitations and the strengths. The trials were presented well

appropriate evaluation of the studies.

Good analysis of the studies, knowing the scale rating before hand helped with digestion of the study

Effective presentation of clinical data.

Strengths and limitations were well thought out and I appreciated your analysis of the studies to help me understand.

study data was presented in a concise and professional manner

Presentation was concise and thorough.

Concise explanation of studies and outcome measures. Provided thoughtful analysis of study's strengths and limitations

Good job of presenting studies in a concise manner.

We really liked your strengths/weaknesses of the study. A few of the objectives could have been worded a little bit better to what you presented. You did a killer job in this section, well done!

Good job

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

Your conclusions were supported by the data presented. I agreed with you final conclusions.

Good conclusions

It was fantastic that he provided an overview at the end on what populations would be affected by dexmedetomidine.

I personally didn't like your final conclusion. It seemed vague ("Help patients.")

Good job talking about who you would and would not use the medication in.

He clearly defined his population in who he would recommend dexmedetomidine to his patients.

I agreed with your conclusions.

I thought the conclusion was fantastic. I really liked how you outlined which patients would be a candidate for this therapy.

Great strong conclusions. You went beyond the information provided

I liked the conclusions and felt that you did not step outside the data to make them

I liked the conclusion, how you broke it down for who this would work for and not

Conclusions were supported by data presented.

Conclusions were well supported by data. Next time try to focus on the clinical implications of your topic such as why this information would be useful for me in practice.

conclusions are appropriate from the given study info

Good conclusions that are supported by your data.

Provided good recommendations for pharmacy practice and role of the pharmacist using data from trials

Keith clearly defined how this topic is applicable to pharmacists.

Your conclusions fell right in line with the data and you presented it in a way that we were all in agreement.

I like that you defined the patient where you would use it

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89

Question Answer Session Comments

Try to anticipate questions you will receive before your presentation, so you can prepare for them accordingly. It can be hard, but you never want to get stumped by a question on a topic that you have spent months researching.

Succinct yet thorough

Did his best to answer questions; did not make up answers or say, "I'll get back to you"

You only stopped once for Q's (that I noticed). Stop and encourage more questions throughout.

Questions were encouraged throughout.

He answered all questions if he knew and although he may not know all the questions it is still best to say I can get back to you on that if you would like me too or something to that extent.

Well prepared to answer questions.

You answered questions well.

Great job fielding hard questions and answering them thoroughly

thoughtful answer session

You handled questions like mr chow, Leslie chow

Great job with questions and answers indicated you had a good background knowledge

You were able to answer questions well.

answered questions that were asked, but more encouragement of questions throughout the presentation would have prompted more questions.

Did a good job answering questions.

Was able to answer questions thoroughly and appropriately

Keith did a great job in responding to questions and not hesitating with his answers.

Your Q&A was a strength of the seminar. You fielded difficult questions and showed your knowledge. Well done.

Fielded questions very well

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Keith demonstrated a strong overall knowledge base on the subject throughout the entire presentation.

Knowledgeable

Did his best to think on his feet.

Great job thinking on feet and handling hard Q's. Clearly prepared.

Seminarian was able to answer a wide variety of questions.

He seemed like he was able to think on his feet and that he knew his topic beyond the facts

Overall, good knowledge base of the topic.

Great topic knowledge.

Great job thinking on your feet and looking beyond the studies conclusions

Clearly you had a strong knowledge base on the subject, well done

Very good overall knowledge

Overall knowledge base was apparent and theorized as necessary

It was clear that Keith knew about the topic and had done extensive research on it.

good knowledge of the subject, but double check the pronunciation of medications.

Demonstrated good knowledge of the subject.

Demonstrated good overall knowledge beyond what the seminar discussed. Was able to answer

questions well

Keith demonstrated a good knowledge of this subject.

I felt like you had great background knowledge and dived deep into the studies. Well done!

Would have liked you to have spoken to adult critical care people about its current use

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked that you weren't afraid to tackle a difficult subject (i.e. anesthesia drugs)

Thoughtful analysis of the study strengths/limitations

I liked the pace. He ended on time, but was still able to convey a lot of quality, important information.

Great, concise, well-prepared presentation. I'm impressed!

Smooth style, professional, and very well thought out.

I really enjoyed this topic as I had never heard of this agent until on rotation and his seminar and it seems like it will be a relative topic for years to come now

I liked Keith's confidence.

The conclusion was fantastic. You really gave a great criteria for evaluating which patients qualify for the therapy.

Really good job keeping it short and succinct and staying within time but presenting the material that you needed within that time frame

I liked the overall feel of the seminar; well presented, excellent slides, and great conclusions

Your in depth conclusion was very good

Great topic, smooth presentation

The topic was very interesting and it was not something that I had thought about before. I liked that it made me reevaluate my practices.

Interesting topic and pace was good for the amount of material presented

Seminar had good pace and was easy to follow.

Good job providing detailed but succinct information. Was able to answer questions well.

This seminar was presented in a very concise manner.

I really liked how you presented the information and you didn't rely on the slides and instead spoke to us in the audience.

I liked the conclusions

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Proofread your materials before finalizing them!

Provide results more as an answer to the question rather than a list of numbers and statistics

Add page numbers to the handouts so it is easier to follow along.

Clean up your objectives and conclusions. Other than that, great job!

Could have addressed withdrawals.

Look over handout and slides multiple times and send it to multiple people as well get others opinions. Remember first word can be capitalized on slides 'Anxiety/aggravations...' next word should be lower cased also spacing "great (vs great(" there should be a space.

At times you would stand in front of the screen then look back and read off the slides. Only happened a couple times.

The handout table could have been formatted differently to separate the studies and make them easier to read.

More on the cost of the medications that they currently use and the cost of dex

not a fan of the word "trending"

Having the tables on three pages made it had to follow along with you

Make sure using accurate information when stating results about secondary endpoints. A trial is not powered for or designed around secondary endpoints. Also, you used the term non-inferior when presenting results, however from what I could gather these were not non-inferiority trials. So stating that a product was non-inferior would not be an accurate statement in that situation. Overall great job though in presenting results.

In the future if applicable looking at pediatric studies that are available might be good especially if your interest in the topic lies with your experience at primary childrens.

encourage more questions from the audience/more interaction

Make sure your objectives are covered in your presentation or modify objectives.

Slides and parts of handout could have used more "dividers" instead of having the information "floating around" on the slide and in the handout. Add page numbers to handout next time.

Cost information could have been presented at the beginning of the seminar.

A little bit slower speech would allow the audience to follow you better.

Speed up the front end a little

General Comments

Great Job!

I thought your introduction suggesting that you "settled" for the University of Utah College of Pharmacy was unprofesional, slightly arrogant, and disrespectful to the institution.

Wonderful job.

Great job.

Great presentation. Definitely one of the best.

great job Keith.

Well done!

Overall great job. Seemed confident. You did a lot of other research!

yep

Over all wonderful job

Great job, enjoyable seminar

good job!

Overall a strong and informative seminar.

Overall, great job!

Seminare very well presented.

What a great seminar! Thanks for being prepared and for delivering a powerful seminar. Well done!

Great job