

Presenter: Adams, Trent

Seminar Date: 2014-04-22

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.86	6.94	6.95	6.96	6.89	6.78	6.96	6.75	6.73	6.8	6.83	6.67	6.33	6.87	0	0	0	E (47.42)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.81
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	13	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.75
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Presentation Style Comments

You were very professional and confident

Looked prepared and at ease in front of an audience.

Good pace and you seemed comfortable up there presenting.

Great presentation style, you spoke loudly and clearly

Amazing skills to fit everything in a short time frame

Your presentation pace felt a bit rushed. Slow it down a bit in order to let the audience digest what you're presenting.

Trent was very professional during his seminar and presented the information at an appropriate level for the audience.

Great pace given that you had 3 studies.

He tended to read off of his slides quite a bit and didn't have very much eye contact. He went at a good pace though and was very professional throughout the talk.

I thought you presented with great confidence, I could hear you well, and you didn't have to rely on your slides too much.

The seminar was professional and had great pace throughout the presentation.

Talked very quickly at the beginning. Pace improved as the seminar went on.

excellent presentation style, thanks for speaking up in the larger room

He had very little reliance on his notes and was able to speak towards the audience almost throughout his entire presentation

Good pacing. Good eye contact. No distracting mannerisms. Material was appropriate for the audience.

he was confident and presented in moderate pace

Instructional Materials										
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Instructional Materials Comments

Your slides and handout were clear and easy to read

Really like the slides. Could have included a couple pictures within the handout but I did appreciate the font size and the tidy appearance and uniformity. Very easy to follow along.

The slides and handout looked clean and easy to read. Both followed each other making it easy to follow along during your presentation.

Very clean and clear slides and handouts

Maybe more graphs?

Some of the slides had a bit too much info on them--especially the result slides. However, you were able to orient the audience well and go through each relevant point.

His handout was very well done and it was easy to follow along with his presentation.

Nice handout and slides, easy to read and follow. Try not to break sections of your result tables onto other pages though.

His slides and handout were easy to read and he oriented the audience well to pictures and diagrams.

I really liked both your slides and handout. They were very professional.

The slides and handout were easy to follow.

Slides and handouts were clear and easy to read.

I loved that the handout followed the presentation so I could follow along.

His handout was very well put together and easy to read and understand

Slides and handout were easy to read with little, minor grammatical errors that were hardly noticeable.

slides and handouts were easy to follow along, references were provided

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
4	Appropriate background information was provided	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Your seminar was well-organized

I think the objectives were relevant to use today especially in community practice and I liked the use of case example to draw us into the topic.

It was a very applicable topic to all pharmacists and I liked how you came up with the topic.

Great job at defining the controversy and sharing your personal story of your wife and the patient that came to the pharmacy

I like the case but the question for the case was a little broad, maybe it was your intention to make people think. Big mistake.

Your seminar focused on the effect of DHA on birthweight. However, you could have mentioned the effect of overall diet on birth weight. In other words, including a slide on how specific health eating habits/diets during pregnancy (not just DHA supplementation) contribute to birth weight.

His topic was interesting and he did a good job explaining his interest in it.

Good interest and controversy. I hear a lot of yeah it's good, but no specifics as well so it was interesting to hear.

He provided appropriate background however I would have liked to know a little bit more about DHA statistics and epidemiology of use beforehand. His objectives were clear and useful.

Background was very appropriate and I enjoyed the case you utilized.

The seminarian did a great job at presenting the background information

Background was very well presented.

Very interesting topic. good flow especially to cover 3 studies in the allotted time.

Provided a good background and explanation as to why this topic is controversial and how it may affect our future practice

Clearly discussed the interest and controversy. Enough background information was provided.

well organized seminar, objectives were clear

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

I liked that you calculated the NNT

Great job on statistical analysis and going above and beyond to calculate the NNT. I think that gave a realistic perspective of how useful/beneficial this product would be to the average pregnant woman.

You knew the studies well and their limitations and strengths.

Liked how you laid out all of the results, but honed in on which of the results actually mattered and why or why not

you knew your content pretty well and that allowed you to show you felt comfortable with the material presented

You did a nice job presenting all the important study outcomes.

He did a good job identifying strengths and weaknesses of his study. He also did a good job calculating the NNT himself.

Great job presenting all the information in a clear, quick fashion. I really liked how you calculated the number needed to treat.

He provided a detailed and thoughtful analysis of the study strengths and limitations.

You definitely could differentiate between clinical and statistical significance. Overall you presented the clinical data really well and had a great grasp on the details of each trial.

The data was presented very well.

Study data was presented well and succinctly.

I liked how clearly presented the studies were and summary you gave for each

He did a good job analyzing the data that is available and presenting key points

Great analysis of the studies. There was an adequate discussion about the studies strengths and limitations.

provided authors and his own interpretations about three studies

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.81
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88

Conclusions Comments

I liked that you were confident in your conclusion

Like the specific recommendation for >600 mg/day to realize a potential benefit. I never would have known this so I will definitely be able to use that.

I liked your pharmacist's role and what you would recommend.

Great job in discussing the pharmacist's role and what you would do in a particular situation.

good job

The clinical application of the studies was emphasized.

His conclusions were appropriate and were supported by the data he presented.

Great job coming up with your own conclusions and great role of the pharmacist.

Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar. His recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice were vague and not specific. He could work on those more for next time.

Your conclusions were based on the studies you presented, although the second one was difficult to draw much from. I really liked how you included price information and demonstrated how the different products compare in content to what was studied.

The seminarian did a great job at making specific recommendations for the pharmacist's role.

Role of pharmacist could have been more specific.

Excellent recommendations for pharmacists and seems like something we can actually use in practice.

His conclusion was supported very well by his clinical data.

Conclusions were supported by the data presented, which was wrapped up succinctly through the case at the end.

conclusion was clearly presented and objectives were all covered

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	11	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.63

Question Answer Session Comments

I would suggest leaving slides during the presentation for Q & A next time

Nice work answering questions. I would have preferred to be given a couple of opportunities to ask questions throughout the presentation though. I may not be able to speak for everyone, but for me it can be challenging to remember a question if we move on for too long without answering it.

Have question slides throughout the presentation or tell the audience that you will take questions at the end.

Great job answering questions concisely

the speed of the presentation potentially could have decreased participation

Questions were handled well.

He did a great job answering audience questions. He seemed confident and knowledgeable.

great job with question and answers. Would have been nice to have a few more opportunities to answer questions.

He answered questions well during his presentation.

You received many questions and handled them all really well. I appreciate how open you seemed to be to getting asked more questions.

The seminarian answered questions very well.

Only gave a few opportunities for questions.

great answers to questions.

Did a good job answering a variety of questions

Answered all questions well. Maybe more interaction with the audience.

encouraged questions during his seminar

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	15	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.88
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

You knew a lot about the topic

Great job fielding questions. I think that spoke to the extent of your knowledge base very well.

Through questions and the throughout the presentation it was apparent that you had a broad knowledge base on this subject.

Offered a lot of insight and information showing that you put a lot of thought into the results of the various studies

well prepared

Good overall knowledge base.

Trent was very knowledgeable about his topic, and it was clear that he spent a lot of time preparing for his seminar.

Could tell that you had a strong overall knowledge base and knew more than what you were presenting on.

He discussed power in the second study but it wasn't important because he was looking at the secondary outcome not the primary one so he didn't need to mention power (the study was only powered for the primary outcome). I liked how in the first study he mentioned that although the weight difference was statistically significant, it was likely not clinically significant.

It was clear you had done your research and knew the studies really well. I also appreciated how you were able to share how much seafood the FDA recommends pregnant women should consume and how much DHA that provides.

Demonstrated great knowledge of the topic

Good job.

I was impressed with your depth of knowledge and felt like I actually learned something from your presentation. Thanks.

His ability to answer questions clearly demonstrated his strong knowledge base on his topic

Demonstrated clear knowledge base as evident through answering the questions and providing extra information.

overall knowledge was impressive

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked that you were able to get through 3 studies quickly

Like the handout and its easy readability. Also like the topic because of the relevance in community practice; I think I will be able to answer questions about DHA pretty easy now and make strong, reliable recommendations.

I liked the subject and how well you knew the strengths and weaknesses of each study and could compare them to each other.

Very relevant topic and something that we will see in practice, especially in the community setting

Good synthesis skills to present three studies

Good orientation/presentation of important points.

Trent has a good presentation style. He moved through the material quickly but not too quickly and seemed confident and knowledgeable.

You really did a great job with presenting the evidence and I liked how you calculated the number need to treat.

He was very professional and explained things well.

Great topic that I learned a lot from.

The seminarian provided great recommendations on the roles of the pharmacists.

It was a very well presented study.

One of the most interesting topics of the semester, I felt it is very applicable to practice.

His handout was very well put together and clean

I liked the case at the end that wrapped up the presentation.

interesting topic

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Any time a topic is about pregnancy/lactation it never hurts to call the pregnancy risk line and see what data they have on the drug or herbal product

Offer more chances to ask questions.

Provide more opportunities for questions or say at the beginning that if there are any questions feel free to interrupt me or something to that extent.

Can't really think of anywhere to improve!

I already mentioned my opinion

The pace was a bit rushed. The importance of diet (and how the researchers controlled for differences in diet among the study groups) could have been emphasized more.

No suggestions for improvement.

Have more opportunities for questions or audience involvement.

He could have provided more detailed and specific recommendations for pharmacy practice.

Maybe leave out a study if it wouldn't add much to your conclusion.

Could have allowed more time to ask questions.

Work on pacing.

It was well done, no comment

Leave a little more time for questions

Maybe allow more time for questions, or state at the beginning that you will hold all questions until the end.

nothing really

General Comments

Great job

Great job overall!

Overall, good job.

Congrats on being done! You did great!

Great job

Thank you.

Great job Trent!

3 studies is a lot to cover in such a short time, but you did a good job with it even if it went a bit long.

Overall, this was a great presentation!

Awesome job on your seminar!

Overall, the seminarian was very knowledgeable about the topic and presented it very well.

Good job overall.

I had a bad attitude where we had an extra seminar that was not planned but I thank you for making it worth my time to stay extra to learn about this important topic.

Overall very good presentation that is relevant to our practice

Overall, a great presentation. I have learned a lot.

great seminar, useful information was obtained