

Presenter: Bastow, Travis

Seminar Date: 2014-04-09

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.91	6.94	7	6.97	6.99	6.95	6.97	6.5	6.5	6.7	6.75	6.88	6.75	7	0	0	0	E (47.65)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	18	2	2	0	0	0	0	6.73
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Your pace was good and easy to follow.

I thought the pacing was good and he taught to our level.

Great pace and I noticed no distracting mannerisms.

Your pace was just right. During the intro/background section you had to rely on notes, but not as much during the other sections of your presentation. In the future, you could memorize your introduction.

Excellent presentation style, he seemed really comfortable in front of the class

Travis presented his material at a great pace. He was very professional during his presentation.

You had a good pace and did not have any distracting mannerisms. In the beginning of your presentation you did not make any eye contact but you got better towards the end.

Good pacing and flow. The material was presented in a way the audience could understand.

Went slightly long but all of the information presented was relevant.

I loved your pace and amount of data presented. It was very easy to follow along with you.

You had a good pace and good confidence.

Presentation style was easy to follow and the seminarian made good use of graphics

Great pace and good eye contact as well. Could have relied less on notes on some portions of the presentation.

Relied a little bit on notes and slides, find a place to stand where you can glance at the notes and still speak to the audience

Excellent job keeping a moderate pace. / It seemed like you were doing a lot of reading of the slides/ relying on notes, more eye contact with audience would have helped the presentation.

Travis, you did an awesome job; the presentation was easy to follow-

Really good job keeping a moderate pace and keeping the information simplified enough that we could get everything

A bit too much of reading slides/handout

Pleasant pace and very smooth transitions. I appreciated how you kept your material simple and to the point.

Great pace that was easy for us to follow and learn. Try to rely a little less on notes and slides. but overall great!

The pace was good but you had more information than you did time to present. I would have cut your background section down a little to fit the appropriate time frame.

The pace was easy to follow. As far as improvements for next time, I would suggest speeding up a little or work on cutting presentation down to time requirements.

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	19	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Instructional Materials Comments

I really enjoyed the pictures in your presentation.

All the slides and presentation materials were clear and easy to read.

I loved your clear and accurate citations.

Very effective PowerPoint format and handout. I think you provided orientation to most of your graphs, but you could have explained the meaning of "Postmenstrual Age" on the x axis of your graph on page 2 of your handout when you referred to it to answer a question.

His slides and handout were so well put together; I will actually keep the handout as reference for my residency next year!

His slides and his handout were very clear and easy to read. I liked the pictures/graphs he used in his handout as well as the pictures he used in his slides. They were eye-catching and kept the audience focused on his slides.

You slides were not too wordy and were really easy to read. Some of your graphics were a little blurry so it might help to blow them up or have them be on a separate slide.

Great format on the handout. The pictures/tables were well placed. There were no spelling or grammar errors-well done.

Slides had a good amount of text. Knew a lot beyond his slides.

Handout and slides were well made and complimented each other well.

The pictures on your slides were very applicable, appropriate, and helpful.

Interesting handout, more emphasis on the meta-analyses in the handout would have been helpful.

Both the slides and handout were very well done and easy to read.

Did a great job presenting the relevant data as it applied to NEC

Handouts and slides were well-formatted and easy to read. / I didn't see citations on some of the slides

that presented factual information.

Some of the charts, while in the appendix, were too small for the slides-

One suggestion I would have for next time is make sure the references in your handout are in numerical order for how they appear in the handout. ie: the first thing you reference should be reference #1

Good handout and slides

Your objectives were clear and the style of your slides was easy to read. You kept everything simple and to the point.

Try to orient us a little more to charts and graphs.

The slides were a little wordy. I needed to move to the front row in order to see the small font. It would be good to increase the font size and get rid of unnecessary info.

The slides were very concise and clean. Something that I appreciated about your references is that they included in year in the handout and slides.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Appropriate background information was provided	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

You provided enough information on background to help understand the topic.

Good background since we don't have extensive experience with NEC.

You did a fantastic job presenting the background information in a way that would make sense to an unspecialized audience.

I like the way you presented the controversy. The organization of your presentation and handout were also very effective.

Truly an awesome explanation and buildup of the controversy...well done!

He did a good job providing the audience with good background information.

You did a really good job defining the controversy and explaining the background information.

The background provided was just the right amount to be relevant to the topic.

Transitions could have used some help in places. Quick introduction.

Your objectives were useful for self-assessment. The presentation overall flowed smoothly.

Your controversies were very strongly presented, and were well supported with case reports and studies.

Controversy was clearly defined and the seminarian did a great job at choosing relevant studies.

Your introduction was great, and the controversy was very interesting.

I was unclear at what the interest was in the topic, using a story may be a good way to make it personal

The background section was ample in helping us get an understanding of NEC. / An interest section at the beginning, and a reading of the objectives aloud would have improved the presentation.

You may have mentioned what your interest is, but I don't recall...otherwise, good background information-

I liked that the background information was kept minimal but still helpful

Good background info, clear objectives. You didn't mention your interest in the topic.

You gave us a simple overview of the background and controversy. I didn't hear you mention your reason for choosing the topic. I find that is helpful to draw in your audience.

Great background information, felt like I knew a fair amount before going into the studies.

The controversy was clearly presented and the flow was smooth until we got to the end your conclusion section was a little confusing I think you were answering different questions and trying to address them one at a time. It just was not clear that was what was happening.

Interest in the topic was not discussed. Consider tying that in the future, shows your interest or can illustrate the impact of the topic.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Analyzed both studies in depth

Very thorough analysis of the studies; presented the information very well.

You conveyed difficult ideas and synthesized difficult concepts extraordinarily well.

You effectively pointed out key trial results and didn't focus too much on details that we didn't really need to know. You should do this again in the future.

Very thorough discussion of his studies, and incredibly thoughtful analyses of the strengths and weaknesses of each

He did a great job explaining the methods of his studies and identifying their strengths and weaknesses.

You explained the strengths and weaknesses of the studies which was helpful. I really liked how you circled the important results.

Strengths and limitations were thoroughly explained and so were the results. Great job interpreting the results and relating them to your conclusions.

Evidence was presented thoroughly. Paused for questions between sections.

Your analysis was very thoughtful. The data presented was relevant.

Good assessment of strengths and limitations.

The clinical data was well presented and all graphics and charts were explained thoroughly. More emphasis on the meta-analyses in the handout would have been useful.

I think your analysis of the studies was good. I wish you had included more information about the meta

analysis though.

Good presentation of data, especially presenting the safety data

Key results with p-values were presented. / I thought the methodologies for the studies could have been explained more concisely, the pace seemed a little slow at these times.

It would have been nice if there were more information provided on the meta-analysis-

The studies were presented very well- not too much detail but just enough to understand the results

Overall you did a good job assessing the strengths and limitations of your studies.

You presented one study about probiotics in NEC and then a follow-up of that trial. Were there any other trials about probiotics in NEC rather than a follow-up? That would have been helpful to see.

I was still a little confused on one of the results as the p value indicated it was significant but it was stated in class that none of the results were significant. Maybe I misheard but overall great detail when going over studies.

I thought the analysis was good and you covered a lot of the same questions I would have had about the study.

Analysis of clinical data was a strong portion of your presentation. In my opinion it may have been more useful to discuss the meta analysis in depth and less emphasis on follow up study from the first study you presented. I did appreciate that you mentioned that study and oriented us to and discussed the results of the forest plots.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

May be expand more on your conclusions for next time.

Conclusions were appropriate based on the data presented. Pharmacist role was defined.

I would have strengthened your recommendation by recommending a product, dosage, etc. The audience likes specific conclusions.

I like how you included some details in your recommendations (e.g. "34 weeks gestation or less than 1500g") that help guide decisions about when to use probiotics.

His conclusions were very sound and truly rooted in his studies

He provided good recommendations for pharmacy practice.

I was not clear on the results of the second trial. You said that none of the results were statistically significant yet death appeared to be statistically significant.

The conclusions were firm and specific, despite the lack of evidence on safety. Good job.

Conclusion was well thought out and was supported by the presented data.

I liked your thorough list of how the pharmacist can impact treatment of NEC.

Good pointing out the lack of power in the study. That helped us assess the authors' conclusions better.

Conclusions were well done, however specific recommendations for the pharmacy profession would have been useful.

I think your conclusions were supported by the data presented, but could have included a little more information on specific doses/brands of probiotics.

conclusions were very appropriate, and were individualized and based on the data and safety profile

Recommendations for pharmacy practice were useful. / I thought that the conclusions drawn about how efficacious probiotics are for NEC was a little over-optimistic, or a little too definitive, based on the evidence presented.

I like the points you bring up in the pharmacist role about staying abreast of the current research and quality of products-

Great job making recommendations

Good conclusions based on the data you presented. I would have liked to have seen you discuss which product you would recommend in your conclusion, since the product studied is not available in the US.

Your conclusions were supported by your thorough and appropriate review of the statistics. You provided specific and useful recommendations for us to use in our practice.

Conclusions were backed up by the studies but were well thought out.

The conclusions were supported by the data but I am curious about stratifying for cesarean vs. vaginal birth. I thought Dr. Davis brought up a good point.

I thought the conclusions were well supported by the data presented. It was a strong part of your presentation that you were able to take a topic with potential risks on both sides, weigh those risks and make a firm conclusion.

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Question Answer Session Comments

Provide more detail on your answers rather than just referring to handout.

Very thorough knowledge base and overall I was impressed with the amount of research that went into this seminar.

Answered questions well.

You did an excellent job answering all the questions. You backed up answers with facts.

He handled his questions very well; it was evident that he knew his material through and through

Travis did an excellent job encouraging and answering audience questions.

I like how you referred to the handout to answer questions. You were able to answer questions and were able to think on your feet.

Great job answering questions quickly, your time spent researching was obvious.

Answered questions concisely and while demonstrating thoroughly knowledge of the topic.

I liked how you encouraged participation and interacted with the audience.

Your answers were well thought out and clearly stated.

Questions were handled well and the seminarian had a thorough background of the subject.

Good answers to our questions. Very good job directing us to answers in your handout and drawing on further evidence.

Be sure the question is understand and try to answer the questions a little more directly

Questions were encouraged, and answers were succinct and thorough.

Nice job soliciting and responding to questions-

Very well done- it was clear he was very knowledgable about the topic

You handled questions well

Very nice question and answer. You answered the questions specifically and showed you had a grasp

of the material.

Make sure you answer the audience member's question before moving on. Great that you encouraged questions throughout.

Good job answering questions you had a lot but you answered them all clearly and in a calm manner.

Questions were encouraged back through out the presentation. One thing to consider in the future is repeating the question back to the audience.

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

You seemed very knowledgeable about your topic.

Very thorough knowledge base. Excellent approach to answering the questions posed.

It was clear that you knew a lot more about this topic than what you presented. It was the best seminar I've ever seen! And I've seen almost 50!

I could tell that you are very knowledgeable on this topic and knew a lot more than the facts you presented. You looked beyond the author's conclusions and presented well thought out insights.

Again, a truly insightful and well-done seminar; great job Travis!

It was clear that Travis was well-prepared for his seminar. He was very knowledgeable about his topic.

You could tell that you did a lot of research on the subject. You were able to think on your feet and theorize if you were unsure of an answer.

Not only was your knowledge about the topic clear, but your knowledge of the studies as well. Great job explaining them and answering questions about them.

Was very well prepared. Good work!

You had an appropriate depth of knowledge on the subject.

I liked your chart that discussed risk and benefit of various proposed treatments for NEC.

Overall knowledge base was shown through how the seminarian handled questions.

I think you absolutely showed a good knowledge of your subject beyond the presentation through answers to our questions.

seemed to have a great understanding of the topic, use that knowledge to directly answer questions.

I thought a knowledge of the subject beyond what was presented in the seminar was adequately illustrated. / The difference between statistical significance and clinical significance did not seem to be addressed.

I like how you applied the information to practice at the U Hospital

Did a great job answering questions

Your answers in the Q&A session demonstrated a good overall knowledge base

You obviously had a reasonable grasp of the information in this area. You provided information about both clinical and statistical significance. You also discussed findings in the meta-analysis, which was helpful. I would have liked information about that analysis in the handout.

Seemed to have a little trouble recalling some information when asked questions, so maybe prepare a little bit more there but other than that it was obvious you did your research on the topic.

You were able to reference other studies you had read outside of the articles you presented on it gave you the feel of an educated and prepared presenter.

Very calm and collected when questions were answered. You were able to reference other studies and your handout to support your answers and I feel that it brought reputability to your answers.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Pictures made your presentation very interesting to follow.

I thought Travis was professional in his demeanor and approach. I liked that he made it real for us by telling us about what is going on at the U Hospital right now regarding probiotics for NEC prevention.

The way you synthesized the information and conveyed it verbally to your audience. It was engaging, informative, not confusing, just all together great. Best seminar I've witnessed.

I was very impressed by how effectively you answered the questions.

I really liked and appreciated the logical progression of the seminar; he built it up very well and the presentation flowed with ease

I liked his slides. They were clear and easy to read.

You had a good mix of pictures in your slides and handout. Your pace was just right and you could tell you were very knowledgeable on the subject.

I really liked the handout. The formatting, layout, and length made it one of the best I've seen

I thought the topic was very interesting. It's good to see new things to use probiotics for.

Great pace

I thought your presentation was laid out well, which made it very easy to follow. Continue to use good organization in planning your seminars because it works really well.

The studies chosen were relevant and explained very well. The use of graphics in the presentation was well done.

Great and interesting topic.

Liked the topic and all the safety data provided

I thought your slides looked very sharp and concise. The use of plenty of images helped your presentation.

Very well presented and easy to follow

Travis provided enough detail so we could understand the background but also kept it simple enough for the purpose of the seminar. Good Job!

Good topic, appropriate studies, and you were able to come to a conclusion based on the data you presented.

Very pleasant pace with uncluttered, yet informative slides. Very interesting to listen to. Your analysis of the statistics was thorough, but not boring.

Great pace throughout. Felt like I learned a lot.

The pace was easy to follow.

I enjoyed your seminar. You did an excellent job at presenting at an appropriate level and describing statistics and concepts on our level.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Provide specific answer to questions.

I would have liked to be referred to the appendix for some of his charts/graphs that were presented. I was rifling through the handout trying to find them and that would have been good direction.

Everyone always like specific conclusions. Don't shy away for it.

You could practice your introduction and background section until you can present without referring to notes/slides.

Honestly, I cannot think of one thing to change. It was truly an excellent seminar, and you should keep that up next year

He included some information from a meta-analysis but didn't evaluate this meta-analysis like he evaluated his other two studies. Perhaps it would have been good to evaluate the meta-analysis like he did the other two studies and include that information in his slides and handout.

Your seminar went a little long. You did a good job covering the background and controversy but maybe you could cut a little out.

Some of the tables in the Power Point were hard to read. It might be better to explain the main points and defer us to the handout if needed.

It ran a little long. Try to cut down some of the less important information if you can find any.

More interaction with audience

I have a hard time reading charts that have been copied directly onto slides from the article because the writing is too small. It can be helpful to include those charts in appendices but make a summary chart with less data and larger font to include in slides.

More emphasis on the meta-analyses in the handout given the differing probiotic regimens as discussed.

Consider providing more information/ using more detailed studies such as the meta analysis next time.

Keep better eye contact with the audience

More delving into the clinical significance vs. statistical significance of the results and more conservative conclusions.

Being a little more thorough on some of the more pertinent information, like the meta-analysis

Next seminar, check for typos and make sure to reference things in numerical order in your handout

A few typos and formatting issues in the handout, nothing major. Also work on reading less from the slides/handout

Please provide the meta-analysis in the handout next time.

Make sure to orient to graphs, especially when telling us to look at them in handout.

Trim down the text on your slides.

One thing that I would work on in the future is meeting the time requirement.

General Comments

Overall good presentation.

Fabulous seminar on an interesting topic. I learned a lot about NEC and the benefits/risks (well there were no real risks found) of probiotic use for prevention. Thank you!

So great.

Overall, your seminar was very effective. Your preparation and knowledge of the subject paid off.

Great job Travis!!!

Great job Travis!

Overall you did a great job on your seminar. It was very interesting.

You did really well setting up and explaining the controversy and it was an interesting topic.

Great seminar. Very well prepared.

Very interesting topic.

This was one of the best seminars I've seen. You were very well prepared and well organized.

Overall a great seminar and easy to follow.

Overall you did a great job!

great job overall

Great job!

Great job on your first seminar Travis, you'll do well next fall-

Good job overall, think about what you would like to do better next time because you probably are your worst critic.

You are well on your way to becoming an excellent orator.

Overall great job.

Good job your presentation was polished and you could tell you spent time rehearsing your presentation.

Enjoyed your seminar. Thanks for the treats :)