Presenter: Cowart, Tyler

Seminar Date: 2013-11-21

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.94	6.9	6.86	6.95	6.9	7	6.98	7	5.75	6.5	3.36	6.5	7	5.9	0	0	0	E (45.85)

Presentation Style								
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Good professionalism

Nice Job

Good pacing overall.

Maintained a moderate pace and made great eye contact with the audience, had some topics completely memorized.

It was a little slow

Great pace

I really liked that he didn't just stand up and read off the slides. He verbalized more information than what was on his slides which I really liked

Your style was very appropriate. You spoke to the audience rather than your slides most of the time.

Good pace and balanced between background and studies.

Great presentation. This was an exciting upbeat presentation that was fun to listen to.

You went at a great pace but relied on the notes a little more than average

His pace was great, and he was very professional.

The presentation was strengthened because Tyler had a good portion of his presentation committed to memory, allowing him to maintain eye contact with the audience, which kept us all engaged even though the seminar ran long.

Nice pace!

Great eye contact with the audience.

The interest section was too long but very interesting

Great Eye contact/ lack of reliance on notes /

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	13	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.76
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88

Instructional Materials Comments

Slides were good

Nice Job

Slides were good overall. I would have liked a slide with the strengths/weaknesses.

Slides and handout were clear and provided helpful orientation to charts and graphs.

na

Great orientation to everything that was shown

The slides were very concise!

Your references appeared out of order in your handout. Make sure to double check the accuracy of your citations

Easy to read and understand.

This was a great PowerPoint slideshow and the handout was easy to follow along with.

I really liked the activity where we identified the pills so that you could show how common the medications are prescribed

I noticed a couple grammatical errors on the handout.

The slides were very clean and crisp-not too busy.

nice handout, nice slides

A few typos in the handout and spacing with citation.

liked the slides with drug images

great orientation to charts and graphs

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	13	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.71
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	14	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.76
4	Appropriate background information was provided	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Good background on your interest in the topic

Nice Job

The title/objectives were either too specific or the studies were too vague.

Did a great job discussing his interest in the topic at the beginning of the presentation and defining the controversy. He gave appropriate background and his presentation had good flow.

There was a lot of background information presented, it felt a little too emphasized

I felt limiting the title to seizures didn't reflect the content

I really enjoyed his introduction to the topic. At the very first of the presentation he emphasized the importance of his topic and why it was applicable to us in practice

I think you could have better covered how the mechanism of benzos relates to the occurrence of seizures. You also didn't cover what exactly a seizure is and what complications go along with them. I also was curious how "rare" seizures actually were. You mentioned that you had read some studies, however it would have been good to give us the numbers.

Had a personal interest because of personal experiences in rotation.

Your interest and introduction to the subject was great. Really grabbed my interest and you thoughts were well organized and easy to follow along.

I was a bit unclear on the controversy as you tied two seemingly different, although related, ideas together

The controversy seemed obvious, but I felt it was somehow still unclear.

Excellent introduction regarding personal interest in the topic.

Interesting topic and so timely when we had Joel's on the narcan

Great explanation of the relevance to both hospital and community pharmacy. Controversy was a little hard to follow in terms of the studies examined and the way you had set up the controversy.

Maybe mention something about power and/or stats.

not sure how early fills fit in with topic

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	12	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	6.8
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	6.93
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Good handling of smaller trials

Nice Job

Great job explaining the studies.

Did a great job giving us an overview of the studies and discussing their importance and limitations.

You chose some difficult studies, I'm not sure they would have been the best for the purposes of seminar. Kudos for being intrepid, but an n of 26 doesn't leave much room for good data

Great analysis of the complicated studies

He had some pretty complicated studies and for the most part I thought he did a good job explaining them.

n/a

Was able to evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of each study and present them to us.

Your studies were selected well and you personal interpretation of the data was really good. You obviously were very familiar with the studies and prepared.

The studies were difficult ones to analyze, but you did a good job at boiling down the data and presented it well

I didn't notice much on how well the studies were powered. Maybe that was not relevant.

Tyler's method of presenting the clinical data was clear and easy to follow.

fun data and interesting

Good discussion of the strengths and limitations. Sometimes was hard to follow since there wasn't a slide on these.

Add references on the top 200 drugs

good presentation /

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	13	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.71
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

Recommendations I thought werre pretty good.

Nice Job

The conclusions were more strong following Q&A. Overall the conclusions were solid.

Gave very complete and thorough conclusions with important caveats. Discussed the role of the pharmacist and how important it is to counsel about benzodiazepines.

I found myself agreeing with your conclusion

Conclusions were appropriate

I liked the overall conclusion with the exceptions.

You had a great role of the pharmacist section. Your conclusion makes sense, however, your studies did not provide conclusive evidence to support your conclusion.

Studies were presented as to whether the drug worked to reverse overdose of benzodiazepines and didn't address whether there is a link to the drug and seizures.

There was some concern in the Q&A portion that your conclusion was inappropriate because the main concern and primary treatment is intubation. I think that your conclusion was appropriate and within the scope of your presentation and the focus of your topic. Great job.

I really liked how the case studies served to solidify your conclusions

The recommendations could have been more specific.

I always like when the seminarian provides very specific recommendations for clinical use--In my opinion, Tyler could not have done this better. Well done, sir.

Very interesting and applicable conclusions- I know the instructors appeared unhappy with your recommendations but sometimes there isn't a chemical pharmacologic answer, the answer is in HOW we deal with the potential for problems. We are first and last line in this defense.

Appreciated your specific recommendations.

data was good but the cases did not match the presentation content. Just a thought

felt the conclusions fit the data

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

Good Q&A session

Nice Job

Great job answering the questions.

Answered questions very well.

good job engaging the professors

Answered dosing question very well

Good job answering the questions

Good job handling the questions.

Encouraged questions.

You did a great job fielding all the questions and making them relevant to your presentation. Also, you pulled off-topic questions back to subject.

Good job answering the questions without hesitation

Great job in this area.

The student was able to answer all questions in an apropriate manner. I like that Tyler took the opportunity in the Q&A to clarify some significant points that were not discussed within the body of the seminar. This was a manifestation to me that he had a firm understanding of his extensive knowledge base of the subject.

Awesome QA session! I hope you felt like we were very interested! Great on your feet answers!

Great job answering questions. Really tried to think through each question asked.

Handled questions well

good job with questions

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Knowledge of subject was good.

Nice Job

Your knowledge base was evident in the Q&A. Nice job!

Had a substantial knowledge base and was obvious he knew the literature and could talk about the subject at length.

Overall good, I would be hesitant to say that "BZD effects are limited to relatively short half-lives" active metabolites make some benzos have a rather long duration and some of the toxic effects (eg) seizures often don't happen until quite some (days) time after the last ingestion of the drug.

I liked the way you brought in the case examples

Great knowledge of the subject

It was apparent that you knew the topic very well.

Answered all questions and demonstrated a good knowledge.

It was obvious that you were well prepared. This was especially evident during you background section.

You presented additional data regarding a specific patient population, which is nice to see you went above and beyond

More on this in the next section.

Tyler was able to look beyond the author's conclusions when arriving at his own. Having his own specific conclusions demonstrated that he took sufficient time to dwell on the data and process the results of the trials.

I loved your conclusions - spot on from what I see.

Great overall knowledge. Strong ability to apply study results.

You knew so much which is obvious in the breadth that you covered

good knowledge base. I would have liked to have seen the LD 50 of benzos

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked that there was little reliance on notes. Great job on memorization.

Nice Job

You knew the slides well and did not have to read the slides during the presentation.

His story at the beginning of how he got interested in the topic made it more interesting to me as an audience member and drew my attention. He knew his presentation very well and did not rely on notes at all.

It was an interesting topic and treated appropriately

I thought your case examples were great

I really liked how he didn't just read the slides. I could tell he had practiced it a lot and there was very little reliance on his notes.

Benzo use is very prevalent, so your topic had a great relevance to it.

His strong interest in this seminar and presentation.

You were well prepared and excited about your subject and that came across in your presentation.

The cases and the interactive pill identification slides were awesome and useful in my learning

He was very prepared, and it showed in his confidence.

Very personal, easy-going, presentation style while still maintaining an exemplary level of professionalism. Also, great way to start of the seminar with a "grabber" (ie, the "guess what pill this is" game). Nice touch.

Fabulous topic and timely

Good audience eye contact. Appreciated your patient cases.

Your passion for the subject was obvious which added to our interest as the audience

good info to know

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

I found a few words without spaces between them on the handout. That was it.

Great Pace.

Maybe consider changing the title to be more inclusive of the withdrawal symptoms of benzos or include more studies that show seizure risk upon flumazenil administration.

His title focused on seizures though his presentation focused on adverse effects, which was confusing. He could have set up the topic a little broader so we knew his train of though better.

see below

I think changing the title to something that was more general would have improved the expectations from the presentation

I don't have any suggestions. I thought it was great

You could have included slides on strengths and limitations rather than just stating them.

Did not always stay focused on whether the flumavenil caused seizures but rather whether they worked as a benzodiazepine antidote.

The relevance of the studies you selected to your primary topic could be and issue.

N/A

I couldn't tell at times in which direction he was going.

The only thing that comes to mind is that it ran just a little too long.

Not a thing

Sometimes you would have advanced your slide, but still be talking about points on a previous slide or information not on the slide.

It was pretty long. Might cut down on the intro with your interest and only use one of the cases

Just wondering how the early refills fit in.

General Comments

Great seminar.

Nice Job

Great job!

Great presentation overall with thorough conclusions and great dissection of the studies.

I don't feel that I necessarily took much home from it, in that, the conclusion supported prevailing

medical opinion. I know the data don't always report what we want them to report, but I still want to gain some new insight from the seminars.

Great job on a topic that is hard to find studies for

Awesome job!

Overall, good job!

Good presentation overall.

Overall great job.

N/A

Nothing.

Well done, and thank you for feeding the Banbury Cross addiction.

Very well done, thank you

Great job overall. Interesting topic and discussion.

Strong work and great memorization/dominance of material

Great job. great preperation