

Presenter: Cox, Nicholas

Seminar Date: 2014-03-05

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.86	6.89	6.96	6.99	6.94	6.95	7	6.63	6.75	6.7	6.91	7	6.75	7	0	0	0	E (47.87)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	13	8	0	0	0	0	0	6.62
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Pace was a little fast, but we were short on time, so great job of staying aware of that!

Great speaker. A little fast but to be expected with the amount of material covered

Great enthusiasm

#NAME?

Excellent eye contact. Told a story and made the topic interesting. I almost felt like I was watching a sports caster analyzing strengths and weaknesses of various teams.

Natural style, great projection, and very animated. Sometimes hands were in the pockets.

He seemed very enthusiastic about his topic

Nick was able to cover a lot of information in a short amount of time. It was a little rushed but overall very well done.

The pace felt rushed. Which was expected with the amount of material covered.

Your presentation appeared well rehearsed and I loved that you got the audience involved by asking questions and having them read from the slides.

Great job! The only comment I have about this section was some movement when talking. It was a little distracting. Also, the matieral seemed a little rushed at times. Your speaking was a little fast.

Very strong prescience as a presenter. Perfectly delivered

The presentation felt rushed at times

Your pace seemed a bit rushed at times, but you were trying to get through a lot of material. Overall very good job on presentation style, engaged audience

Nick is a skilled presenter and was well prepared to have a good discussion with the audience with minimal reliance on his notes.

good pace and interaction with the audience

You are a very dynamic speaker which keeps the audience's attention.

Great eye contact and audience engagement. Also very confident in presentation style. Pace was a bit fast so at times it was a little difficult to follow the flow of data presented.

Nicholas clearly presented this topic without reliance on notes.

Dynamic presenter with a loud volume. My one suggestion is that you use a clicker and not the computer to move to the next slide.

I loved that you used a multi factorial approach to audience participation (ask us to read, ask us direct questions and comments, give us a worksheet, ask us thought provoking questions not asking for answers...)

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	16	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.76
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	20	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.9

Instructional Materials Comments

Slides were packed with information, but great job orienting us to the pertinent information.

Good references

Liked the highlighted text to show what was most important

- Did he orient the audience to charts and graphs? Yes. Yes he did. Numerous times. /

Wasn'tt a big fan of your slide layout. Some slides were a little busy especially the conclusions slide. I might break it up into 2 or 3 slides. You did a great job highlighting the pertinent areas with red font and boxes.

Slides were clear and red boxes or color were used to highlight important sections on busy slides which was a nice touch.

He needed to put his references in the slides also do not say why you are orienting us to the chart etc.

Nick did a great job orienting us to all of his graphs and figures.

Without your great orientation to the materials the slides would be difficult to understand.

I loved your use of illustrations and charts to communicate ideas. Also, you highlighted important phrases and words in red, which helped me focus on the most important aspects of the slide.

Greatt job! Slides were easy to read and I really liked the graphs. On some slides there was sometimes too much info on each slide, but otherwise great job!

Excellent materials, I felt the slides were not too wordy because you highlighted the important things one at a time and did well to balance the too much/too little as far as information available on the slides.

Your hand outs had a lot of verbiage on them but you did good to highlight things in red that we're important

Some of your slides had lots of info on them, potentially just writing the key points and use them as speaking cues

Slides were wordy at times but Nick did a great job drawing the audience to the important components of his slides. Occasionally the slides were duplicates of material contained in the handout but he highlighted the important points and only spoke to those, while still providing all information.

slides were a little wordy, but the presentation was executed extremely well.

Handout was a bit lengthy.

Slides were easy to read but the font was a little big...very "in your face". Not sure if that was on purpose or not though...could've just been the screen. Also the slides were very wordy and at times a bit difficult to follow since there was a lot of information. Maybe next time put more information in the handout and leave slides for more succinct points that you can discuss with the audience.

Nicholas clearly oriented audience to each chart and graph used.

Lots of information presented in an insanely organized matter. Consider me impressed!

The handout flowed really well. And you used it and the slides, you didn't rely on them

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Appropriate background information was provided	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Your interest in the topic was very prevalent, and that made it easy to pay attention to you!

Great job building up the controversy and providing the presentation in a story format - this can be very difficult but extremely effective at engaging audiences

could have used a clicker instead of moving to the laptop

#NAME?

Great job presenting the background and history.

Really enjoyed the interest in the topic discussion about Capital day and recent legislation. It brought relevance to the presentation.

His objectives were well stated and had a great measure of what we should learn.

Nick picked a good topic with a good controversy that will need to be addressed by the profession if we are going to expand our role as pharmacists.

Very well organized presentation. One of the best I have seen.

I loved that you established the controversy and importance of the topic by using real life examples (your experiences in interacting with people trying to implement this program at the U). It really drew me in.

Presentation content was great. The only critique is it seems a little choppy at times. There was not a smooth transition between pieces of the presentation.

Very well presented without and clear material. Smooth as can be, but with dynamic speech, so very engaging.

Good back ground on why this is a heated debate

Very good intro and interest in topic. Great job on a good mix of objectives

Great job outlining the controversy and attempting to avoid bias and controversial feelings.

smooth transitions and purpose of seminar topic was clearly discussed.

Background information was good, however it soaked up the first 20 minutes of your presentation leaving a limited amount of time to go over four studies.

Appropriate address and discussion of topic and controversy. Well organized presentation and flow was smooth.

Nicholas clearly explained his experience and background with the Tech-Check-Tech system.

I really liked how the emphasized points were highlighted on the powerpoint slides. I also liked how you clarified certain terms before we saw them and got confused.

Your interest is very easy to get caught up in. I like that you told us your story with the entire seminar.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	6.95
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Great job picking apart the studies and linking all four studies to a focused outcome!

Very good job condensing information from a variety of studies

Great explanation of all the methods and trials

#NAME?

Very thoughtful analysis

I like how the jargon was defined before talking about the studies. The flow chart of the study methods was a nice way to visualize the study set up.

I liked how he combined his studies and how he talked about each portion throughout. I do not remember what he said about the one dropout that did happen in the study.

While all of Nick's studies had different endpoints he was able to report the results of each study in a way that was clear and easy to understand.

I really liked how you would refer back to the objectives throughout the presentation. It helped to solidify what you wanted us to take away from this lecture.

Once again, I liked the illustrations. The flowchart for each study was excellent, and the tables allowed you to contrast the four studies side by side so at a glance you could see strengths and weaknesses for each study.

Great job. Nothing to comment on here

Perfect presentation of the clinical material. I enjoyed the side by side comparison style. Also the presenter understood the studies and all levels humanly possible.

I liked the table use to present the study

I could tell you knew your studies very well and did a lot of research and discussion with professors and experts in drug lit. to have the correct and appropriate information.

Nick did a great job emphasizing the important points in the literature and outlining his comparisons as a system comparison and not a person/job title comparison.

presentation of clinical data was done very well. you were able to explain the studies in an interesting and interactive way.

the charts you used to compare all four studies were very nice and easy to use when comparing the studies.

Great analysis of study data. Presented in a detailed manner that effectively looked at the strengths and limitations of each study. Although the slides were very full, you did a great job of drawing attention to each study with the animations and red boxes...really liked that- awesome job! Trials were also well explained and great job comparing and contrasting the different studies and whether or not they were of statistical significance.

Nicholas clearly presented four studies and what was unique about each study.

I liked the overview ("Format of TCT Studies" slides. The tables were great and I liked how you highlighted boxes on different slides and how that flowed.

I liked that you went through the different meters used in the studies beforehand

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

Your conclusions were supported by the data presented.

I liked the strong conclusions, recommendations, and rhetorical questions. However, it would have been nice for you to answer some of those rhetorical questions from your perspective.

Liked your application of what we should do with the study results

#NAME?

Excellent job discussing the impact on pharmacy

Conclusions were supported by the data. It was not optimal that a few of the studies did not have P-values.

His role of the pharmacist and conclusions were well supported by the data.

Did a great job discussing our role. As the pharmacy technician's role expands our role as pharmacists expands.

I would have liked to hear a more definitive statement about the controversy. Do you personally want to see TCT implemented in hospitals.

I thought the conclusions were well supported by the data. I really liked the "take home message" table, it made the conclusion easy to understand.

Really liked your conclusions and discussion of the role of pharmacists. This presentation and your conclusions made you think

Very appropriate conclusions. Could have been slightly more direct about what your opinions might have been on the direction of the topic.

Good conclusion

Conclusions supported by data. Relevant topic - recent changes in Utah. Changed my view on TCT

Nick effectively presented a very emotionally controversial topic without introducing personal bias.

your conclusions were well thought out and brought good discussion to the topic

Good discussion of how this can help expand the role of the pharmacist.

Conclusions were appropriately presented based on data analyzed. Discussed the impact of the pharmacy profession in regards to topic. Also liked how you said that disclaimer at the beginning in regards to not comparing professions but rather the systems.

Conclusions are detailed including conditions needed to implement this system and further research needed.

Spot-on conclusions that were supported by the evidence. I look at TCT completely differently now, thank you!

Way to use data to convince us of a naturally difficult topic for pharmacists

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

Answered questions quickly and succinctly. Great job thinking on your feet!

Be careful answering a question with yet more questions. It can confuse the original intent and leave the questioner frustrated.

answered all questions thoroughly

#NAME?

Had great answers to ask the questions you were asked.

Great job answering questions and getting the audience involved.

he encouraged participation but again dont state why, remember this is a professional seminar

Very well prepared to field all questions.

The presentation was very interactive. It made it easy to pay attention to the seminar

did a great job encouraging questions from the audience.

Great job at answering questions. You really knew the topic and did an incredible amount of research into the topic

Well done!!! I feel like you may have paid Brad to propose that question on the Hawthorne effect. But seriously a very polished presentation with excellent knowledge base

Your background knowledge showed during questions

Very good job answering questions and involving the audience (ie, having Brad read a slide)

Nick encouraged questions throughout and involved the audience during his presentation. He presented the audience with a worksheet to involve them during the presentation as well.

answered audience questions very well, there were even questions that there wasn't enough time to get to.

Did good at answering questions and not turning it into an argument, even when some audience members got heated.

Able to answer audience questions in a thorough and appropriate manner. Also did well with encouraging questions throughout and at the end of the presentation.

Seminarian had anticipated and had thoughtful answers for questions asked.

Fielded questions like the MVP of the Price baseball team. Well done! We could tell you were prepared.

Excellent job

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

You demonstrated a strong overall knowledge base on this topic, and your enthusiasm was great throughout!

Great knowledge base

Clearly knew a lot about the subject

- Thought very well on his feet and was able to refer to the knowledge of experts on issues he wasn't as familiar with.

Very impressed with your knowledge base. I can tell you put a lot of extra work into it, and consulted with many people. You went above and beyond.

Nicholas showed a knowledge base that extended beyond his talk by explaining personal communications he had with Drs. Tyler and Young.

Nick was very thorough on the information and knew most everything that was asked about him. He also seemed to put a lot of extra work into it.

Knowledge base was great. He relied very little on his slides because he was so familiar with the information.

It was apparent that you performed thorough research on the topic and had a great understanding of the topic

Nick definitely appeared to have a great knowledge and interest in the topic. You could see this in the way he answered questions.

Great job at thinking beyond the studies and coming up with your own conclusions.

Insane depth of knowledge on the subject and studies.

Good background knowledge

Overall knowledge base was apparent.

He succinctly answered questions and recognized he may not always have the best answer but was able to think on his feet and rationalize appropriately.

you conveyed your knowledge base very well

Knowledge base was very good and that showed when you answered questions and throughout your presentation.

Demonstrated great overall knowledge base. Was able to demonstrate a passion for the topic...showed through enthusiasm and confidence. Was able to think on your feet and able to hypothesize application to real life based on study data.

Seminarian clearly had good overall knowledge and had thoughtfully contemplated many aspects of this system.

As stated before, your knowledge base showed throughout the presentation and during the Q&A. Great job!

I like that you were willing to say "this is what I do know, but I cannot completely answer that"

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Your attitude and presentation style were my favorite part of the seminar!

Great story telling

How much enthusiasm you showed during the presentation

I found his presentation style and energy very engaging and interesting. I wish more seminars were this entertaining.

You made it fun by showing your passion for the topic

This seminar provoked discussion.

I really liked how he combined all the studies and mentioned tidbits about each one

He brought good energy to the seminar and was very engaging.

I liked the interaction of the presentation. You as a presenter was very dynamic. The slides also were interactive. I liked how you highlighted the specific information you were presenting.

I loved the use of flowcharts, tables, and other graphics were used to help illustrate ideas. It made it easy for me to grasp the concepts and follow along.

Liked the audience engagement. And like the finishing question about the pharmacist's role

I liked all the things. Very well done and a seriously tough act for the rest to follow.

I really liked how you presented the studies, and the suit

Very interesting topic, kept me interested throughout the whole seminar. You came across very confident and knowledgeable about the topic. I liked your animations of study design

I really liked that the presentation of the methods section was interactive and there was a great review of the stats and why the tests used were appropriate. I liked that you highlight important information in RED.

I was very entertained by the subject matter and your presentation of it.

You are a dynamic individual and your presentation was definitely intriguing.

Very enthusiastic and confident about presentation. Able to demonstrate passion and knowledge about the topic. Kept the audience engaged and answered questions well.

Very dynamic presentation engaging the audience.

I liked how you took a TON of information and condensed it into a great presentation. The powerpoint was well done and you were even better!

I loved the audience participation

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

I wish we would have had more time for this interesting topic because I was left wanting to know more.

With technology drastically changing the landscape of everyday pharmacy practice on a continual basis, I don't necessarily see the relevance of studies that are over 10 years old. Did they even use computers in 1978?

Less text on the slides

Watch the time! Very important.

busy slides

The length was a little long.

he may have been talking really fast and really loud almost like he was sort of attacking the audience at times

Some of the slides were too wordy.

Cut down on the amount of material presented. The pace was very quick and it seemed that you were occasionally flustered when presenting because of the lack of time for the presentation.

Perhaps having an appendix of jargon at the back of the handout (eg. a definition of class B pharmacy) that way you don't have to use up time to answer those questions. Overall, Nick's seminar matched my learning style very well. Great job Nick!

Moved around a little too much. Too much wording on some slides

This is only personal opinion, but be very careful using the term trending. There are a few schools of thought, but some will simply stop listening to you after you say trending. Numbers are numbers, let them speak.

The seminar felt rushed with the 4 studies

Watch time a little more closely, we ended up going over. Practicing your seminar a few additional times may help to get a feel about where you need to be by certain time points

I liked that you discussed the format of Tech-check-tech studies on your slides this information included in the handout in a more visible place might help the audience follow better. I found it eventually in the handout but it was in the footnotes of the studies and by the time I found it, I wasn't able to follow as

well.

too much to discuss in the time allotted

Handout and background information were a bit lengthy and might benefit from a little bit more brevity.

Presentation pace was a bit fast and felt very rushed. It was a bit hard to follow the presentation at times because there was so much information in a very short time. Also felt the slides were a bit crowded. Felt like there was very little time at the end to sufficiently answer all audience questions and time went a bit over.

Overall presentation seemed in favor of the Tech-Check-Tech system rather than presenting pros and cons of the system.

I would have enjoyed a little bit of color on the Powerpoint, but that's just one opinion. Great job!

Lots of material in a small amount of time. You need to really evaluate all of your words and assess if they are needed to get the point accross.

General Comments

Great Job!

Great seminar!

An incredibly well done seminar. There are many aspects of this presentation I wish I could incorporate into my own presentation skills.

Great job!

Excellent job! Great speaking skills.

great job Nick... liked the effort and all the work you put into the seminar

Great job Nicholas William Cox!

Great and very interesting topic! YOu did a lot of outside research into the topic! Well done!

yeppers

Over all good seminar

Great job

Great job overall!

no additional comments

Overall a very impressive seminar.

Overall, great presentation and great job explaining this very controversial topic in a manner that was easy to understand. I felt it gave me a better understanding of this topic in general.

Very Complete and thoughtful presentation of the Tech-Check-Tech system.

What a great seminar to kick-off the semester! You killed it, well done!

Nice job... Borderline arrogance.... And that's what I like to see