

Presenter: Kast, Tyler

Seminar Date: 2014-03-19

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.54	6.84	6.85	6.75	6.83	6.7	6.78	6.13	6	6	5.91	6.63	6.25	5.8	0	0	0	E (46.17)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	13	6	3	1	0	0	0	6.35
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	18	3	2	0	0	0	0	6.7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	14	5	2	1	0	1	0	6.26
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	22	0	0	1	0	0	0	6.87

Presentation Style Comments

There were times I felt you were going too fast with your slides. So for next time, aim for moderate pace.

Tyler had a lot of slides to get through during his presentation and the pace seemed slightly hurried in some parts but overall pacing seemed appropriate for my level of understanding. Tyler came in with a great energy and enthusiasm and allowed his personality to come through during his presentation-that made it fun and engaging without becoming an overly stuffy presentation.

Don't chew gum.

You seemed really nervous and rushed through some of your slides and almost skipped others. If they weren't that important maybe cut them out of your presentation so you can speak slower and have more time for the rest of your slides. You also seemed to be a little tongue tied at times.

Your presentation style was confident and well-rehearsed. You spoke a little fast, but it kind of helped keep my interest.

You were confident and seemed relaxed most of the time - do this again in the future. // Your pace was too fast during parts of the seminar - reduce the amount of material to be covered in the future.

At times, it seemed that the presentation style got a little too comfortable, and professionalism may have been a little sacrificed

You seemed very confident giving your presentation. At times you went too fast and skipped slides which made it feel like we were missing information. Either eliminate those slides or take the time to go

over them. I liked that you made jokes throughout the presentation.

I liked that you didn't read the slides word for word. Great job paraphrasing and elaborating on the information.

Good pace.

Presentation style was professional with minimal reliance on notes. Some slides were skipped over during the presentation, for future presentations it may be less distracting to exclude the slides altogether instead of skipping over them.

Your pace was great.

Had a little bit of an itchy trigger finger, kept moving slides forward and back

The seminar was very relaxed, almost too much at some points. If he would have been a little more serious during some portions, it would have added to the seminar.

Nice presentation style, you said a lot that wasn't on your slides - kudos - hard to remember for me when it isn't on the slide!!

Presentation pace and level were appropriate. / Some distracting mannerisms such as skipping through slides. Professionalism could always be improved.

Tyler, as has been mentioned, your presentation felt a little rushed at times. Don't pack too much in, and relax...

Tyler did a great job presenting- it was a complex topic and he did a great job presenting information

Pace was too fast for seminar. Try cutting some of the background info out so that yo aren't so rushed to get through everything.

You went too quickly through some of your slides. I didn't get a chance to read them before you had moved on.

One tip: don't stand completely in front of the podium, sometimes it was awkward when you turned around to look at the screen

Your presentation style was relaxed and made us all feel comfortable, but the pace was a little fast at time.

Always difficult in cutting down the information you have researched. In the future try to streamline information to allow for a more moderate pace. Some mannerisms that can be a little distracting (I have them too). In the future consider video taping yourself or asking friends to note them during a practice run through.

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	21	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.87
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	18	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.65
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91

Instructional Materials Comments

Slides were clear to follow and handouts was very detailed.

Tyler's handout was one of the best I have ever seen-perfect length, good use of white space, very clear and easy to read. His slides were nothing short of beautiful-great use of color, fabulous use of pictures, graphs, font size, not overly busy (which was why he had a lot of slides to get through), good reference notations. I was thoroughly impressed by the esthetics of his instruction materials.

like the bolded on slides. handout easy to read.

Slides were nice and clean. Handout flowed well with seminar. Watch the spacing on your handout so charts aren't split up in awkward places.

Your handout was one of the best I've seen: it was concise, easy to read, and really nice looking. Way to go!

You could take a bit more time to orient the audience on slides that have graphs. There might have only been one or two graphs in the presentation, but I don't remember you telling us what the x and y axes represented.

For next time, don't include slides if you plan to skip past them during the presentation anyway (leaves the audience wondering). Besides that, the slides were actually really excellent and highlighted main things that you were covering

Your slides were excellent. They were very easy to read, especially when you made the important information bold. You had graphs but did not explain what they were showing. It would be helpful to give a brief description of the graph and what it shows. You cited the guidelines which showed you knew the information.

Great slides! Very "masculine". The layout on the handout was well thought out.; wise use of space.

I liked the graphs and charts you had.

Slides were well put together and easy to follow with the presentation. More explanation of the statistical data would have been helpful during the presentation.

I did not notice many grammar or spelling errors, though on the handout some of the titles/headings for the clinical data were not formatted to be on the same page as the data.

The handout was very well organized and provided good detail

The slides were well put together. The bolding on the slides allowed me to focus on what was most important. The handout was free of grammatical errors and was very easy to read through.

Slides were good, forced us to delve into the handout which was very nicely done.

Handout was well formatted with useful tables and graphs. / Slides font had distracting forms of the letter "r"; would have enjoyed more images in the slide show. Please number your slides so I can reference them.

Very nicely done, stylin' slides-

I loved the slides and handout. They were kept very simple and easy to follow!

There were some formatting issues in the handout. Don't have a new paragraph starting at the bottom of a page. Add some extra lines to make new paragraphs start on a new page rather than splitting one or two lines. This looks much cleaner and more professional. The testosterone level charts overlapped each other and the page number.

Slides were almost too simple at times, which is why you hurried through some of them. I did like that you bolded some of the key points. That was helpful.

Awesome job, but go a little slower through the slides and orient.

All materials were in large enough font to read with ease. And all slides were cited appropriately.

Your slides and handout style were some of the best I have seen. Very polished and clean looking. I liked that you bolded things you wanted to highlight.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	21	1	0	0	0	1	0	6.74
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.91
4	Appropriate background information was provided	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	19	2	2	0	0	0	0	6.74

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Provided controversy in clearly and background information was also detailed.

Super interesting and timely topic. Appropriate objectives, good background information-which was needed because we have not had much education on this particular subject, very well organized presentation with good Q&A breaks and smooth transitions between seminar sections.

Skipped slides, why have them?

Good job in defining the controversy. The FDA investigation made your topic extremely relevant. I also appreciated how you talked about a topic that we somewhat brush over in class.

I thought your interest in topic/introduction was great. I applaud you for choosing such a controversial and talked-about topic

The presentation was very well organized and the road map slides were helpful - do this again in the future. / I like how you made your objectives very specific.

For the "mini therapeutics lecture", I thought he did a great job summarizing the background

The controversy was very clear. This is a very interesting topic that is important for pharmacy to pay attention too. Your presentation was well organized and easy to follow.

I liked how you lead up to the controversy of the topic and recent FDA safety statement.

I think you spent a lot of time on the introduction, but I learned a lot about a disease state that isn't covered well in school.

Objectives and controversy were well stated during the presentation. Transitions were smooth for the most part, however some slides were skipped over.

Great introduction and background.

Grat job having clear objectives, including an excellent review of the disease state

The background of the presentation allowed for me to understand why the subject was controversial.

The presentation was well organized and the transitions through each section were very smooth.

It was a nice presentation.

Entertaining introduction, controversy was clear. / I didn't notice a citation on the controversy: mortality evidence slide. I would have liked a "make a recommendation" type of objective.

I liked the intro; about time we learn something about men's health-

I liked how he explained why he was interested in the topic

You could have had a much more powerful intro if you had started with the image of the fit old guy and said who wouldn't want to look like this guy when they are 65? All of your objectives were covered in the background info. You should have no more than 1/2 of the objectives based on background info. The point of seminar is to present evidence supporting or refuting an idea. An alternative objective could be something like evaluate the data presented to determine if a cardiovascular risk is present with TRT.

Didn't feel you had a strong interest in the topic. Your objectives were clear and easy to follow.

Objectives were easy to use to see what we learned throughout the seminar. Appropriate background!!

It was a very interesting topic as was apparent by the numerous questions. Very well organized and smooth transitions.

You framed the discussion of benefit vs. risk really well. I like that you highlighted those areas for testosterone replacement that have evidence and those that do not prior to proceeding in to a discussion of risks. You did a great job in choosing a topic not only of interest to you, but currently of interest to many pharmacists.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	20	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	6.7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	20	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	6.74
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6.74
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	17	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	6.68
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	21	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	6.7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Very thoughtful analysis and had very good analysis on strengths and limitations.

Clinical data presentation was well done with good coverage of all of the most important aspects of the studies. Tyler was thoughtful in his approach and analysis of the data and presented it well to the audience.

good job on strength and limitations

First off I applaud you for covering four studies. I would have liked to see the objectives or primary outcomes of the studies at least in your handout if not in your slides. Very good analysis of strengths and weaknesses.

I thought you did a really good job analyzing your studies.

You did a good job of putting your own thoughts and analyses into the evaluation of the studies. / In the future you could describe the appropriateness of outcome measures.

He did provide a very thoughtful analysis of the strengths and weaknesses; perhaps more so than most other students

Again, I liked how you highlighted the important results. You did a good job explaining the statistics and why they were appropriate. For your first trial you said one of the strengths maybe wasn't a strength; either don't list it or don't say anything about it as a weak strength. It made it seem like you just put it down to have a strength. It's ok if there are not many strengths on a trial.

Great job analyzing the studies and thoroughly explaining the weaknesses.

It was very thorough of you to calculate percentages and find the inconsistency in the Vigen study.

Clinical data was presented well, however more detailed data on the statistical methods used to assess

risk would have been useful. The seminarian demonstrated good initiative in noting discrepancies in the data, however further research as to why those discrepancies exist would have been useful.

Your clinical data was presented well, even if it wasn't that great of evidence.

Clinical data was clear, there was good overview data in the slides and great specifics in the handout

He did a detailed job of analyzing the studies. He discussed the strengths and weaknesses of the trial which allowed the audience to understand the limited generalizability of the data.

Thought it went well.

Good analysis of studies' strengths and weaknesses. / I would have liked more commentary on why particular statistical tests were appropriate or not.

Nice job on the data, although it would have been nice to know why the study 1 data was messed up...

I think it was a great idea to choose the two trials that the FDA were reviewing. He did a great job drawing his own conclusions from the studies

There is much more data for your first study available in the original article in JAMA than you presented. They also provide the reason that their primary outcomes don't appear to show to the results they state in the conclusions. This information is important to include when assessing the validity of the studies you present. By not doing so, you cannot determine the external validity (and internal validity) of the results and also cannot assess the strengths and weaknesses of the study. A more thorough assessment of the studies presented should be done in your next seminar.

At times, I felt you were a little scattered on presentation of the clinical data. You provided a useful review of the strengths and weaknesses of the studies.

Great discussion of the strengths and weaknesses of each study!!

Data was presented in an easy to read format and your explanation was very clear.

Helped in analysis/presentation that you bolded important information. I don't remember if you mentioned why you choose to present these two studies in particular. Always something to consider, we want to know why this is a good representation of literature if there is more out there.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	20	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.87
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	21	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.87
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	20	1	2	0	0	0	0	6.78
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	20	1	2	0	0	0	0	6.78

Conclusions Comments

The role of pharmacist was analyzed in regards to the evidence based medicine.

Tyler came to clear and appropriate conclusions based on the data and his analysis-he gave us good guidance on how to approach this subject in a clinical setting as future pharmacists.

like the risk/benefit statement

I felt the conclusions were supported by the clinical data presented but could have been a bit more fleshed out. Some of the clinical roles of the pharmacist like controlling comorbidities may not be realistic. We can help patients and guide them with therapy but controlling them takes more work on the patient's part than ours.

I liked your clear and specific recommendations.

Your recommendation section seemed more like a conclusion section - you could change the wording or add specific recommendation details in this section in the future to distinguish it from the conclusion section.

His conclusions did support the data that he presented

The pharmacist's role was very helpful and informative. At times you didn't sound super confident in your conclusions. It is ok to go out on a line and take a stance. It is your conclusion after becoming an expert in the material.

The recommendations were clear and specific. Pharmacist's role was also thoughtful and helpful.

I think you went over your conclusions and recommendations for pharmacists a little too fast.

The student was able to pull out valid strengths/weaknesses for the studies and come up with good conclusions based on the data, well done.

Your conclusions were a little iffy, though that's only because the data is pretty lacking.

Good job identifying specific groups where treatment may be harmful

The conclusion was somewhat confusion. While it was determined that the product had adverse effects,

there was no answer to who we should be giving this too and who we should be avoiding this in. This was probably due to the limited study population but a more exact conclusion would have been helpful.

I would've liked to have seen more information on the pharmacist's role. I saw that you had making sure their levels of testosterone were adequately treated but you forgot to put in when it is overtreated. I see people in the hospital with that somewhat more frequently than I think I should. Conversely, I don't ever see anyone in the hospital for undertreatment.

Good suggestions for the role of the pharmacist. / Your recommendation was that the risks were greater than benefits for men with comorbidities; it implied to me that for other groups the benefits were greater than the risks, but this conclusion was not based on the evidence presented.

Given the lack of stronger data, I think the conclusions are fine-

He did a good job with the conclusions and bringing it all together at the end

Your conclusion could be more clearly stated.

You didn't provide a specific, definitive recommendation for what we recommend in our practice. The risk-benefit statement was useful as a wrap-up conclusion.

Good conclusions that were relevant to the studies and topic!!

Your conclusions were appropriate for the data presented you did not over reach with your conclusions even though they differed from the authors.

Firm conclusions that were supported by the data presented. One strong portion of the pharmacist's role section is that you describe some important considerations in dispensing different formulations of testosterone.

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	15	5	2	1	0	0	0	6.48
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91

Question Answer Session Comments

Encouraged questions throughout the presentation.

Wow! Tyler received a lot of questions and answered them with thoughtfulness and consideration. Because of the subject and its controversial nature, he was asked to hypothesize a great deal, which can be difficult; I thought it did a great job for his first seminar and I look forward to his seminar next year.

feel free to express opinion more.

You maybe could have told the audience when to ask questions at the beginning of the seminar. You also floundered a bit on some of the questions like improvement on symptoms. Otherwise good job.

I think you did a good job with all the questions. Sometimes it seemed like you were a bit defensive--I would challenge you to view the questions not as a critique of your presentation, but as people asking you, a kind of expert on the topic, for your opinion. It's okay to say you don't know, but it's better to say you have a theory. It's okay to take a second to think about a tough question. I would also consider, especially with a high-profile topic like this, getting some feedback from peers about questions they would ask if they were in your seminar (to kind of prep you for the kinds of questions that might be asked).

You encouraged questions throughout your presentation - do this again in the future. / On a couple of the questions you could have used your own reasoning/judgment call when you didn't know the answer and then explained that you would have to do a literature search to double check.

He got a lot of questions, which I felt he handled pretty well. Like was mentioned in class, feel free to make some hypotheses on the answers that you don't know (say, "well, from what I researched, I think it may be this"...instead of simply saying "i don't know, let me get back to you")

You got a lot of questions because people were interested in the topic which means you did a good job generating interest. Some questions were hard but I thought you did a good job trying to think on your feet and theorize. I liked that you had question breaks throughout your presentation.

Great job interacting with the audience and answering questions quickly and thoughtfully.

You seemed very unsure of your answers to questions, which makes it hard for us to believe you. You are the expert in your seminar, so just show more confidence.

The student did well in answering questions and referenced several sources of information not presented. Some questions were more difficult for the student to answer and follow up for these

questions would be useful for the audience.

You absolutely encouraged questions from us.

Need to be more confident in answer, don't add words like "probably" at the end of an answer

He did a pretty good job answering questions. He was unsure of the details of other trials which would have been helpful and at some points struggled to give an answer.

Good handling the questions. Like Emily said, having a lot of questions means we were really interested!

Did not supply false information. / Did not elaborate or speculate, in response to questions without a clear answer to his knowledge.

You had many questions, be sure to allow the time and attention to them all-

I liked that he paused after each section for questions and encouraged interaction

You did have a lot of questions, but that happens when you pick interesting topics. Don't hesitate you say what you think based on your research. You know more about your topic than anyone else in the room, including faculty.

You provided plenty of opportunities for the audience to ask questions. When you answer questions, you are the expert. Be more definitive.

good job answering questions. As is hard to do but maybe make sure that you have answered the person's question completely before moving on.

You had a lot of tough questions you answered them with confidence and with what appeared to be a broad knowledge base.

Encouraged interaction and questions throughout. One style consideration for the future is to repeat the question back to the audience. This will ensure that you understand the question and give you a little more time to think about it.

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	19	3	0	1	0	0	0	6.74
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	21	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.87
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	21	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.87
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	21	1	0	1	0	0	0	6.83
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	17	4	1	1	0	0	0	6.61

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

The presenter was very knowledgeable about his topic.

Tyler did a great job distinguishing between which of his studies were appropriately powered and analyzed for valid results. He has a solid knowledge base of the subject and even discussed upcoming trials and data that are currently in progress.

knew the materials.

I think it was clear that you looked into more studies than what you presented. You also gave us a bit more details in the background section. Good job.

I would challenge you to be more confident in some of your opinions. It's okay that you don't specifically know all the answers, but remember that you HAVE read a lot about this. What do you think based on all your research?

You demonstrated knowledge beyond the facts presented, but you were not sure of the answer to a couple of the questions. However, after saying you were not sure, you did demonstrate your ability to think on your feet and came up with some reasonable answers.

Overall, he did seem to have a very solid knowledge base

You quoted the guidelines and knew about future studies which showed you did a lot of research on the subject.

Overall knowledge base was evident and clear. Insights into the studies were interesting and explained well.

I liked how you knew about the study that was still in the pipeline.

The student did a good job overall in identifying strengths/weaknesses, however a little more insight

into why certain patient populations were chosen for the studies presented would have been useful.

I think you absolutely were able to think on your feet as you answered our questions.

Knowledge base very apparent in background discussion

He demonstrated an adequate knowledge of the subject but failed to know the details of the other trials that are in the works. Since the subject is so new, it would have been helpful to know what is up and coming so we could have a better general understanding.

I would like to know which patient population we could safely recommend testosterone in.

Demonstrated understanding of statistical vs. clinical significance. / Improvements could be made in overall knowledge base - demonstrated in Q + A.

You may have relied too heavily on the studies and their data, without reaching more of your own conclusions and showing more of what you know about the subject-

I liked that he ran the numbers himself so that his conclusions were more informed

A few questions seemed to trip you up. Don't let a question that you don't know the answer to carry-over into problems with other questions.

This is a difficult topic without definitive conclusions, but you had a decent understanding. Don't be afraid to give your opinion. Just state it as such.

Knew more detail that was provided in the handout and slides. Just be able to pull all that info together

Great job talking about a very difficult topic. Your insights brought additional questions to my mind and have truly demonstrated the depth of thought you put into this seminar.

Knowledge base was strong, well researched topic evident through slides and handouts. Really impressive. You knew your stuff, in the future be confident in that when asked questions that require you to theorize or state your opinion.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked how your handout was very detailed and powerpoint was easy to follow along.

I loved Tyler's approach and presentation style-he was smiling, he had memorized his entire opening sequence, and made it fun. He created amazing audience engagement and brought a great positive energy to his presentation. He had beautiful slides and a fabulous handout.

interesting topic

I really liked the Finkle Results Table. I also liked how you boxed talking points on your slides.

Your handout was fantastic.

I really like how you were able to stay calm and happy during the many questions that the audience asked.

His slides were actually really awesome--the perfect amount of text that wasn't too wordy or too vague.

Your handout was well laid out and informative. I liked that you made the important results and information bold so they were easy to pick out. Your slides were not too wordy and were easy to follow.

Your conclusions were thoughtful and supported by the data. Thanks for outlining the risks and benefits of testosterone.

I really liked how you came back to your recommendations at the end of the seminar.

Good background information and minimal reliance on notes made for a very enjoyable seminar.

Great introduction and presentation style.

Really liked how well the handout was put together

The seminar was well put together. The slides and handouts were easy to read and free of errors. Both of these items really enhanced the presentation.

Interesting topic!

I liked his confidence and presentation style. Also great work on the handout and the slides.

The topic, very current and interesting-

I thought you picked a great topic and it was really interesting to the whole audience

Interesting topic and a topic without much research

You kept eye contact with the audience and didn't use notes.

Overall great job! good handout and slides

I liked your presentation style sometimes I feel we take seminar a little too seriously. Well done.

Tyler, I was impressed by the research and background that was in your handout and slides. It was clear that you had done a lot of research into this topic and put a lot of time into it.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

For next time, do not includes slides if you are not planning on discussing the slides to audience.

Improved confidence when answering audience questions-however, I can understand this since we had a few experts in the room (that can be a daunting).

was a little fast. skipping slides. don't chew gum

Slow down and don't rush through your seminar or your slides.

Be more confident in your knowledge about your topic. It's okay to say more of your opinion (as long as you identify it as such). Perhaps consider what questions you would have about your topic if you hadn't researched it (just looking at it from an outsider's perspective). It's easy to lose focus of some big-picture stuff when you have to spend so much time on the nitty-gritty of a study's statistics and power.

Allow yourself more time to spend on each slide by cutting down the amount of material in the presentation.

If you're going to put a slide in the presentation, don't just skip over it during the actual presentation (just leave it out of the presentation if you don't want to talk about it)

You went a little too fast at times making it difficult to follow. Try to make sure to orient us to the graphs or leave them out all together. At times you did not seem super confident in your conclusions, you did the research and are the expert in the subject so you should feel more confident about your conclusions. If you are not confident about them, the audience won't be either.

Speak a little but slower and always describe each slide.

I think you could spend more time on contraindications and clinical information and less time on dosage forms. It seemed like you tried to go really in depth about dosage forms when you could have just stated what the options were and moved on.

Information into why certain populations were chosen for the studies would have been helpful in understanding and interpreting the data.

The topic was interesting, but there wasn't much data to support.

Don't flip ahead on the slides so quickly

The seminar was too relaxed at some points and he was unaware of the details of other studies. Without knowing what else is going on in the subject it is hard to get a full picture of what is really going on.

Know about the dangers of overtreatment.

Perhaps a stronger emphasis on the studies and recommendation in your objectives.

Pace, slow down and make it more of a discussion-

Cut down the number of slides so you're not rushed but otherwise great job!

You really need to know the methods and analysis of your studies better

You seemed a bit scattered at times and hurried through some of the slides. Slow down and take out slides or information you are not going to cover in your presentation.

Slow down a little and spend more time making sure we got the major details out of what you are saying.

Watch your pace and make sure if it is on your slides to address it.

Very interesting and applicable seminar. In the future, just a few um's and nervous behaviors to improve on.

General Comments

Good presentation and topic was very interesting.

Overall this was a super interesting topic and a great presentation where I learned a great deal about a controversial and timely subject. Very well put together, well presented and really just fun. Strong work, Tyler!

good job.

Good job overall. You know your material so be confident and try not to rush.

Great job!

The format of the presentation was very well done. The topic was very interesting and your personal analysis and evaluation of the studies greatly added to the success of the presentation.

Good job!

Overall, this seminar was very informative. You picked a very interesting topic that required a lot of research. Just work on your pace a bit and your seminar next semester will be fabulous.

This was a very entertaining presentation. It was an interesting topic and you made it fun!

Presenting gets easier, and the more prepared and confident you act the better it will come across because you are making yourself the expert in whatever topic you choose for your seminar.

Overall very strong presentation. Fantastic job!

Overall, you did a great job!

Great job, very interesting controversy

The seminar was very interesting in all. The subject is so new and it is great to gain knowledge. I feel that I can use this going forward in my pharmacy practice.

Great job!

On the first trial, the results may have been interpreted incorrectly. In one slide he explained that the percent mortality was smaller, but then in the next slide he showed us the figure from the trial where the opposite effect was observed.

Nice job Tyler, you will do well next year-

You seemed relaxed in front of the crowd. That is a good presentation, but don't be too relaxed.

The risk-benefit statement at the end was useful.

Nice work and bravo for choosing such a bold topic it is not easy to present on something that everyone is going to take an interest in and have questions about.

Great presentation, very interesting topic. Thanks for the treats!