

Presenter: Fischer, Diana

Seminar Date: 2014-03-05

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.96	6.95	6.99	6.99	6.93	6.97	6.96	6.63	6.75	6.5	7	6.5	7	7	0	0	0	E (47.71)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.89
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Your pace was great and easy to follow. I also liked how to explained concepts in details.

Good pace, professional demeanor, appropriate level.

Good pace. Knew materials.

Your pace and presentation style was very easy to listen to.

You were professional, calm, and confident throughout the entire presentation. Remember thorough eye contact for future presentations just like you remembered for this presentation. The material was presented at the appropriate level for the audience - for future presentations, your audience may be different and you may need to adjust some of the language, etc. to suit the needs of a different audience.

She kept a really good pace and seemed incredibly professional in front of the class.

I loved your slides. They were in a large font and bullets which made them easy to read. You also made great eye contact.

Excellent pace and confidence.

I thought your pace was excellent, the best I've listened to. You made it easy to follow along. Don't change this!

Your pace was excellent.

Good job referring to notes without reading them, and still keeping eye contact with the audience.

She did not use any notes during her presentation and made good eye contact with the audience during the entire presentation.

High levels of professionalism in appearance and mannerisms; minimal reliance on notes. // Pace was moderate

Diana seemed really comfortable presenting and the pace was really good

Good pacing, good eye contact, and good level of detail presented. I noticed you were playing with your ring or other jewelery throughout the presentation. I don't think it was a big deal, but be aware of it in the future.

You stayed behind the podium and relied on notes. This does not allow you to connect as well with the audience.

Did not go too fast/slow. Perfect pace and great eye contact with audience

Good pace and I liked how you made sure to make eye contact with each part of the room.

Presentation was a great pace, eye contact throughout. One thing that you may consider changing in the future is moving around the room a little bit to help keep the audience engaged.

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.84
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

Your slides with bullets and pictures were easy to flow. The handout also had great information in depth.

I liked the slides, didn't notice any spelling/grammar issues. The handout was a little difficult for me to follow along with the presentation, but I don't know specifically why. I think it may have just been the format. References seemed correct and emphasized appropriately.

Handout a bit more than needed. missing page numbers.

Your slides were GREAT--I so appreciated the visual interest of the pictures and the limited amount of words. Your handout was also a really great length, although I would recommend against using a paragraph-style format for your background. It overwhelms a lot of people and makes it a little less readable.

I like how you included one or more references at the bottom of each PowerPoint slide. I think you should do the same thing for future presentations so that people can easily tell where the information on each slide came from.

My only recommendation: the study tables in the slides didn't match up exactly with the tables in the handout (the order of methods/inclusion/exclusion was flipped)

I really liked how you included images with the MOA's of the drugs to illustrate your speech.

Slides were wonderful. Perfect.

I liked you handout format. I did see a few grammatical issues, especially inconsistent use of hyphens. For example, open-label. Citations should have journal italicized.

Your slides and handout were excellent. You were able to highlight the important data. I only found 1 very small grammar mistake.

Good job orienting the audience to graphs, but some orientation to the clinical pictures that were used would have been helpful (ie. the x-ray of the stomach.)

The slides were easy to read and really aided the project. The handout was full of detail and well detailed.

Slides and handout looked polished and done in a professional manner. // I noticed on slide 32 that a thought was not finished: SAEs as treatment emergent serious (I would have added adverse effects)

Handout was a little hard to read- In the future I would suggest more bullet point lists ect to break it but but I liked the use of pictures on the handouts and the slides were great

I really liked the handout you created. It was very detailed and designed as a reference to your slides. Some people like to have only a printed copy of the slides. I think when you have a handout as detailed and useful as yours was, you can look back at it later for reference or to pick up more information.

Your slides were attractive and mostly easy to read. A few were a bit wordy and it would have helped to highlight specifics on the slide to help us orient ourselves.

slides were very easy to read with large font and not too much information on each slide!!!

The slides had a lot of information on them but you did a good job of highlighting the key concepts.

Your handout was a little difficult to follow at times. Depends on your style preference, bullet points can be more easy to follow.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Appropriate background information was provided	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Overall presentation was smooth and I liked how you kept objective short and simple to understand.

Good opening, helped us to understand why we should care about the subject material. Could have created more audience engagement opportunities but was still engaging. Clear objectives, controversy, and good organization. Background was appropriate for our level of understanding.

Good addition of personal story.

I thought one of your strengths was your ability to synthesize information and give us the relevant information (for both the controversy and the data).

For future presentations you could share a brief personal experience from rotations, etc. that helped you become interested in the topic being presented.

I really did appreciate the personal interest in the topic; it helps to orient and invest the attendees to the topic

The background information was great, because you included diagnostic information and pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment. You thoroughly explained the controversy, so good job there.

Personal short story for the interest in topic was a nice touch.

I liked how you referred to the author's as Dr. so and so or Dr. so and so with colleagues.

Your interest in the subject was obvious. I think that is very important for all of our seminars.

Great job presenting relevant data, and introducing the topic with a personal story.

She had a great opening with the personal story and really grabbed my attention with this start. The seminar flowed and was easy to follow through its transitions.

Excellent background information! I loved the interest section, it definitely improves a presentation. // Maybe it's just me, but I wasn't super clear on the controversy. Increased use of lansoprazole in recent years, is it justified? Well why is its use controversial in the first place?

I really liked the personal story to make your interest in the topic clear

The content was appropriate for the audience and timeline. Something to consider in the future is that 3 of your 4 objectives were covered by background material. You should have at least two objectives covering the new material you present.

I loved your introduction to the topic and especially your personal interest. I would have liked to hear how it turned out in the seminar.

great description of the controversy and told us how it related to you! awesome!! / background was very thorough!

I enjoyed your introduction and how you were interested in the topic. Your transitions were very smooth and it allowed your presentation to flow well.

Great introduction! I liked your personal interest in the topic. Helped to show relevance and peak the audiences interest as well.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

For next presentation, may be provide more depth information on power of the study. Overall good clinical information.

Clinical data seemed appropriate for the seminar, no concerns with study designs, analysis seemed appropriate based on data presented.

good job explaining statistics and why appropriate

Your descriptions of the statistics was stellar and obviously well-researched. Well done!

For future presentations, present key trial results like you did during this presentation - I like how you emphasized the most important outcomes and conclusions of each trial. I like how you underlined some key points on your slides during the presentation.

I've been attending seminar for almost two years now, and I don't think I've ever seen anyone cover the statistics as in depth as Diana (well done!)

You did a great job explaining the appropriateness of the statistical tests used in the studies. Your comments were concise and said in terms the audience could understand.

Pointed out limitations that I also had in mind.

I liked how you explained your reasoning for your statements, especially the appropriateness of the statistics and the strengths, weaknesses.

You did a great job presenting the data from the trials, even the statistics.

Great job describing the appropriateness of the statistics used.

She explained the significance of the statistical tests which was extremely helpful and allowed for my

understanding of what the results meant. This extremely helpful and something that I had not seen done before. She provided good detail on the trials and was able to identify the bias that occurs with open-label trials.

I was impressed at the level of analysis provided of the statistical tests. She seemed familiar and competent with each test performed and gave concise explanations. // In study 2, the efficacy was measured by the number of withdrawals. This seemed like a really big flaw to me because there are potentially huge numbers of confounders that could account for this phenomenon. I would have liked more discussion of this as a trial weakness.

It was really easy to follow the clinical data presented and it was explained very well

Good assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of the studies presented. You covered power, and the basic trial designs well.

You provided very thorough strengths and weaknesses on each trial. This helped me to analyze the trials without having read them.

Great how you explained why the statistical analyses were appropriate!!

I loved your explanation of the statistical tests used and why they were important and appropriate. They helped to bolster your conclusions toward the end.

For the future, consider starting the evaluation of literature by setting the context. Briefly mention the literature out there (if there is any not presented) and why you choose to present the studies that you did.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	16	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.79
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

Your conclusion were very clear and were based on the trials which was great.

Specific conclusion, which I appreciated, good coverage of pharmacist role.

Gave specific recommendations.

I really loved the non-pharm treatments you included (very helpful for practice).

In the future, make a clear distinction between conclusions, recommendations, and role of pharmacist/implications for pharmacy practice. Make separate paragraphs for conclusions, recommendations, and role of pharmacist or implications for pharmacy practice. Make sure that everything listed under conclusions is supported by the studies presented in the seminar. You can then use other sources (e.g. guidelines) if needed to make recommendations. State how you came up with recommendations.

She did a great job of focusing on the clinical importance of her results

I really liked your recommendations to the pharmacist. They were clear and made sense given the results of the studies.

Had good and logical recommendations.

I'm not sure about your conclusions. I think you should have presented more on the approval of esomeprazole in children. I am not convinced there isn't other data supporting the use out there since esomeprazole is approved.

Your discussion about the role of the pharmacist was important and good.

I thought you could have revisited some of the data that was used to draw your conclusions.

The inclusion of the detailed non-pharm treatment was awesome. I felt that I could take these points from the presentation and apply them immediately in my practice.

Excellent job about taking the conservative interpretation of the study results. // In the final conclusions section you stated that PPIs should only be used for cases with esophageal damage, but that was not

supported by the presented trials. I would like to hear the reference from which that recommendation is made at that time, or just focus on what was presented in the studies.

Conclusions were drawn directly from the trials and there was a lot of good information for me as a future pharmacist

Good conclusions based on the data presented. Covered the role of the pharmacist well, including non-pharmacologic treatments.

You provided very specific recommendations for the pharmacist to provide our patients. Very helpful!

Conclusion was supported...I felt like I could walk away from the presentation and make a recommendation

Conclusions were supported by the data I did wonder a little about any information that might have led to the use of PPIs in this age range. Mentioning what trials they used or that there were no trials to support its use would have been great.

We already discussed mentioning where a recommendation came from if not specifically supported by the data presented. Otherwise, your conclusions were well founded. I liked that you presented the study that had the higher incidence of pneumonia and discussed how significant this is, especially in infancy.

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Question Answer Session Comments

Good job in encouraging questions throughout the presentation. I like how you stopped after each trial and encouraged questions.

Answered audience questions with ease and confidence. Clearly cared about the subject selected.

Strong answers of questions.

You performed well during the question and answer session.

I like how you provided several opportunities for the audience to ask questions. I also like how you answered questions with confidence and calmness.

To help engage the audience further, she could put a case at the end of the seminar next time

I liked that you paused for questions throughout the presentation. You did a great job thinking on your feet when answering questions.

Very knowledgeable and quick to answer questions.

Try to encourage audience participation more through a case or question breaks.

I think you did a great job answering all of our questions.

Appeared very comfortable answering questions.

She paused for questions throughout the seminar allowing any questions to be asked. She seemed confident when she answered questions at the end of the presentation.

She appeared very knowledgeable on the topic and responded gracefully to each question. //

Very good job answering all the questions- there wasn't anything that she didn't know

Handled the Q&A session well.

You allowed questions throughout the presentation and provided succinct, but thorough answers.

Very good at answering questions quickly and accurately! knew your stuff!!

You answered questions with confidence and succinctly. I really believed you were the expert.

Question and answer section was strong. When asked a question you replied directly and clearly answered the question. In the future if you do ever need a moment to think about a question before answer you can repeat the question back to the audience.

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	17	1	0	1	0	0	0	6.79
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Overall you did a good job explaining each concept and backed it up with clinical data. For next seminar presentation, please include page numbers on your handout and also discuss clinical significance of your studies.

Thorough knowledge base, appropriate conclusions based on data, answered questions appropriately and confidently.

obviously knew materials well. answered questions well.

I would have appreciated more background on the reserach available on this topic. I think the strongest seminars usually summarize related studies in a table in the handout. You did a great job of looking beyond the author's conclusions, though.

You demonstrated knowledge of the subject beyond the facts pulled from the studies. I like how you emphasized nonpharmacological solutions.

She had a very solid overall knowledge base, and again focused on the clinical significance of her data

Your overall knowledge base was evident due to how much research you did as well as your personal experience with the topic.

Well prepared. Used memory for most of the seminar.

You answered questions well and were well-prepared on your subject.

Your answers to our questions showed you had done much research on this topic.

connected well with the audience and did a great job overall

She provided statistical and clinical significance of this topic, which aided in my understanding of this

topic. She was able to look beyond the conclusions of the papers and understand the bias that occurred in the study.

She appeared to have a strong knowledge base in the topic. / Good interpretation of clinical value of interventions. / Established her own conclusions apart from what the study authors suggested. /

It was clear that she was very knowledgeable about the topic and the personal interest made it especially interesting

Good overall knowledge base. Good job critically assessing the conclusions of the authors compared to your own conclusions.

With your answers, you were obviously very familiar with the subject matter. You were able to easily answer the questions.

it was very obvious that you had prepared and knew all about the topic!! Great job!!

Definitely able to focus on the clinical significance behind your trials and come up with strong conclusions that we can all apply to practice.

Your knowledge base was strong, evidenced by how you asked questions. Part of that was likely your interest in the topic and is a great way to choose a topic. Don't forget to mention, when relevant to your conclusions, other studies or guidelines that support them.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked how the handout had detailed information and slides were organized in bullets with interesting pictures.

I liked that she cared personally about the subject matter and this was evident throughout her presentation.

Great presentation

I loved your slides and your knowledge of the nuances of the statistics.

I really liked how you formatted your slides - the font was large and the color scheme was effective and professional.

I really appreciated that she focused so much on the statistics (no one else really does)

Great job using your own memory and knowledge to supplement and fill in information not included in your slides.

The slides were perfect. Good inclusion of graphics. Concise.

I really like your presentation style. It is unique and you are very easy and engaging to listen to.

I really enjoyed this topic.

I really liked that it began with a personal story

I really enjoyed the inclusion of the detailed non-pharm aspects for this topic. I feel that I can take these recommendations into my practice immediately which was extremely helpful.

I liked how you included the symptom questionnaires for study outcomes in the appendix. / I loved your background section in both the presentation and the handout!

She was very comfortable presenting and made it interesting to the audience

Excellent handout. The handout showed that you spent a lot of time preparing for seminar and knew more information than was presented in class.

You kept a moderate pace and had easy to read slides, which were also pleasant to look at. You gave a thorough and basic presentation of the clinic data and statistics. Very nicely done!

Very easy to understand and presented the information very well!!

I really liked your statistical explanation it was helpful in understanding the studies and helped you to look like an expert.

I was impressed that instead of just saying that the statistics were appropriate, you specifically mentioned why they were in the context of the study.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Discuss more clinical significance of your studies.

I would have liked more audience engagement activities.

encourage more audience interactions

You could improve the context of the studies you chose (summary of related studies and why you chose the ones you did).

Presenting recommendations separately from conclusions and implications for pharmacy practice would improve this seminar.

For next time, make the tables in the handout and slides match up to make it easier for the audience to follow

The handout was a little long-winded and I think the surgical treatments described in the handout could've been omitted, as I don't think they pertain to pediatric treatment.

Handout was a bit daunting.

A literature review would have been appropriate.

It was ok to have notes with you to look at briefly, but don't become too reliant on them.

I would like to hear the end of the personal story - good job relating it during questions.

Since esomeprazole is FDA indicated for treatment of children under 1 for GER/GERD, I would have liked more explanation of how it was approved including some information about these trials and their conclusions. This would have aided in my understanding of why these are actually being used in practice, when in the seminar it was presented that the medication was ineffective for treatment.

Adding page numbers to the handout and adding a patient case would have been improvements.

Breaking up the hand out with bullet points and lists ect to make it more reader friendly

Try to limit the nervous ticks - that is very hard to do. It can be helpful to have a friend record you presenting and watch it afterwards. You may be surprised at how much that can improve future presentations.

Next time, step out from behind the podium and try not to use your notes. You did a great job. I bet you won't need them next time.

I thought you did a great job and can't think of any improvements! Well done!

Maybe increase the font size on a few slides and also make the slides a little less wordy.

Comes with practice and experience (I'm always trying to improve on it), but work on becoming more comfortable with presenting and a little less reliant on notes. Move around the room a little to help the audience to stay engaged.

General Comments

Overall good presentation style, very informative and easy to follow.

Overall, very well done. I learned a lot and was engaged throughout the seminar.

Excellent job.

No other comments.

Staying calm and confident and avoiding distracting mannerisms was a strong point of your seminar. I could tell that you were very prepared and could talk without referring to your slides.

Overall, very, very strong work! That is actually very useful data and I will use it in my future practice as a pediatric pharmacist. Thanks!

You spoke with confidence and poise, so great job. I always like when presenters include their interest in the topic, so thank you.

Overall great seminar. Well done.

Overall, well done!

Overall I think you did a great job on this seminar.

Great job.

The presentation was informative and created an interesting story. The non-pharm presentation was the most helpful information gained from the seminar.

Overall very sharp presentation.

Good job overall. Very impressive for your first seminar.

Keep up the good work!

Fantastic seminar. You obviously prepared and spent a lot of time practicing your execution of the material. I hope your next seminar is as amazing as this one was.

The seminar was well researched and presented. I liked that you were able to tie in a personal interest.
Liked the fancy cookies!