

# Presenter: Angelos, Lisa

Seminar Date: 2014-04-23

## Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.99	6.97	6.94	6.96	6.96	6.98	6.95	6.75	7	6.9	6.75	7	6.75	6.8	0	0	0	E (47.82)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Presentation Style Comments

One of the best pharmD seminars I have participated in. Good discusssion and background, use of humor, excellent visuals to explain the data, and clear knowledge base of a complex subject.

I thought you had a good moderate pace and a good tone. I could tell you were nervous. You avoided the vocal pauses, but I noticed a few longer pauses between thoughts. That's ok, just keep working out the nerves. Your personal appearance was exceptional. I thought you looked great!

Instructional Materials										
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

### Instructional Materials Comments

Nice introduction with good graphics, for example outlining costs of hypertension and diabetes or data on landmark trials.

I thought your slides were very nice. Your handout looked really nice as well. I thought the HOT subgroup table was a little too small and bit hard to read.

## Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Appropriate background information was provided	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5

## Overall Presentation Content Comments

Good use of humor. Excellent summaries of clinical data.

I loved the science fair slide. Very funny! Your interest in the topic and the controversy were clear. Overall, I thought the transitions were ok. One thing that really stood out was referring to patients and investigators as "they". It should be clear to whom you are referring, and at times it wasn't. Sub "the investigators" or "the subjects".

## Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Nice use of colored instructional materials, graphs, and visualizations.

The overview of the studies was good. If you are going to present statistical methods, (parametric, nonparametric, specific tests), explain them and why they were/were not appropriate. Otherwise just don't mention them. Also, ABCD power, you mentioned the trial was not adequately powered to detect CV events, but there was a statistically significant difference. If there is a statistically significant difference, it was adequately powered. Also, multiple sites in HOT trial could lead to interviewer bias, not investigator bias. They are different.

Conclusions									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

### Conclusions Comments

Good questions with clear demonstrated knowledge base of complex subject.

I thought you did a really nice job bringing it all together.

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

### Question Answer Session Comments

Excellent Q&A.

I thought it was evident that you put a lot of work into this. I'm not sure you really answered my questions about why it was good or bad that observational studies were excluded in JNC8.

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

### Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Better explanation of statistics, good discussion of pharmacist roles.

This was really a tough seminar topic for a first try. You did a nice job combing through a lot of literature to come up with a conclusion that was yours.

## Overall Comments

Excellent!

Overall, I thought you did a great job!