

# Presenter: Hellinga, Robert

Seminar Date: 2013-11-06

## Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.58	6.18	6.72	6.45	6.6	6.69	6.79	6.38	5.63	6.1	6.25	5.25	6	5.5	0	0	0	E (45.74)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	13	6	2	0	0	0	0	6.52
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	13	6	2	0	0	0	0	6.52
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	15	3	3	0	0	0	0	6.57
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	16	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.71

## Presentation Style Comments

Pace was very fast with A LOT of information

Presented at appropriate level /

none

Good pacing. Eye contact could use some work

You were a pro, especially at the beginning, at maintaining eye contact and not relying on notes. Seemed very prepared and organized and familiar with your topic.

good pace. Very confident.

Good pace and eye contact.

I didn't think that it was very professional to include a picture of yourself in a speedo as part of your presentation.

NA

Overall you did a great job on pacing. Some reliance on your notes, but well done.

Great job. Looked at notes a little too much but overall good! /

good confidence and eye contact

it felt a little rushed at times

Good pace, a little overwhelming at times to cover all the information you had

Overall RC's presentation style was good. His pace was appropriate with minimal reliance on his notes. Parts of his presentation he lacked professionalism but it was not horribly distracting.

The pace was too fast.

Pace was a little rushed because the amount of material trying to cover.

Good moderate pace and eye contact with audience

Good pace - Information on experience with asthma interesting.

My one suggestion is that you stabilize the laser pointer when you use it.

Good pace, but you did fumble your words a bit and more practice might help that

## Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	5	9	7	0	0	0	0	0	5.9
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	6	5	8	1	1	0	0	0	5.67
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	9	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	6.4
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	17	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.76

## Instructional Materials Comments

Slides were jam packed with info. Consider trimming slides

Slides too wordy - was this copy/pasted from the handout?

Slides had a little too much information on them, could have cut it back a bit

Wordy slides, a few grammatical errors throughout.

My biggest complaint was your busy slides. It was hard to fully grasp what the studies were about, what key information was. Consider simplifying your slides.

Slides were too busy especially when there were tables. I would recreate larger tables to include only pertinent information or just zoom in on pertinent information. Some slide and parts of the hand out had grammatical and spelling errors. Make sure to have other people look at them to proofread.

A number of grammatical errors in the handout.

There was too much information on each slide. Stick to the pertinent information for the slides and put the details in the handout.

NA

Slides were a little "word dense" at times. Maybe including a few more tables and charts to speak on would help illustrate ideas more simply, but overall great slideshow.

Some spelling errors, maybe add more charts/graphs/figures to make it more understandable?

Very informative handout and slides, some slides needed fewer words

d

Slides were slightly wordy/busy

There were some spelling and grammar errors in the handout. Particularly on the conclusions section in the handout the sentence "the study that the black box warning stems from, did not properly follow guidelines that had previously stated that salmeterol, ..." this sentence was a fragment.

slides were really wordy and it seemed that there were too many slides for the time.

Handouts had many grammatical errors and spelling and formatting errors. Have someone proof read your document before finalizing it.

Slides and handout were easy to read and understand

Some slides had a lot of information on them.

Under the "Risk Factors" slide: Gender preferred over "Sex" and "Smoking" instead of Smokers as people who smoke aren't risk factors, but carry the risk factor of smoking.

There was some editing needed, and sections on the handout were cut from page to page.

## Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	20	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	17	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.76
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	15	5	1	0	0	0	0	6.67
4	Appropriate background information was provided	15	4	2	0	0	0	0	6.62
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	15	5	1	0	0	0	0	6.67

## Overall Presentation Content Comments

Showed great interest in the topic

Interest was clear /

Liked that you were able to bring in your own personal experience during the seminar

Perhaps too much information. Speedo pictures seemed unprofessional.

Great job about relating the topic to yourself. Enjoyed your passion on the controversy, and you explained the controversy well.

Really liked that you made it personal with your own experiences as an asthmatic.

I think the controversy and how the articles relate to the controversy could have been better defined.

I liked that you chose a topic that was interesting and applicable to your situation.

NA

I liked that you could personalize the topic to yourself.

Good flow. Very knowledgeable. Maybe cut down information in the slides and only state the pertinent facts

excellent flow

I liked how you chose to go after the black box warning

Effective interest in topic

A lot of the information presented was not needed. Include this information in the handout and present the pertinent info. Then allow us to ask questions on any unclear information.

I liked the personal background and interest in the topic

Showed good interest in the topic.

Good flow. Good introduction and reason for interest in topic. Familiarity with disease state made it easier to relate and answer questions

Clear introduction, interest in topic and the purpose behind interest in LABAs safety clearly explained.

Your interest and real-life application of the topic was a strength of your presentation.

Flow was good, you probably gave too much background on a topic of which we are already familiar.

## Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	9	8	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.24
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	11	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.38
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	12	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.43
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	12	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.43
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	13	6	1	0	0	0	0	1	6.6
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	16	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.62

## Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Too many studies were included. Make sure you have enough time in your presentation to address each study appropriately. If not, reduce the number of studies you address

Studies powered for efficacy not safety

Overall a little confusing as to the main point you were trying to make

It would have been nice if there were some flow charts to help visualize the study layouts.

Again, you weren't as concise with your studies, and as a result, your information became confusing.

Great analysis of the studies

The seminar topic was safety and efficacy, the controversy was safety, and the studies were safety and efficacy. Some of the efficacy studies were used to emphasize safety even though they were not powered for safety as a primary outcome.

I would have liked your explanation of each trial to be a little more concise and less wordy.

NA

Overall, great presentation of the data and results. I did have a hard time knowing if the last few trials were sufficiently powered to draw conclusions about LABA + corticosteroid safety, as the primary endpoint for which they were powered was efficacy.

Again great job. But a little too much info on each clinical trial.

A flow chart would have better directed the audience for better differentiation of the treatment arms of the individual studies. Very good job overall

d

Thoughtful analysis, were able to analyze data well

Perhaps using flow charts to visually represent some of the information might help clear up some of your slide density.

I would have liked more discussion about the significance of the results rather than what there result number were.

Some of the studies were only using adults and this seminar was about pediatric use??

Good analysis of data and presentation of material

Each study reviewed was clearly explained.

Your clinical presentation of the data was spot-on!

I needed more clarification between efficacy and safety.



## Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	12	5	4	0	0	0	0	6.38
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	13	7	1	0	0	0	0	6.57
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	17	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.76
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	16	3	2	0	0	0	0	6.67

## Conclusions Comments

Conclusions were supported by the data presented

Good recommendations

none

The included studies were appropriate and informational.

Your conclusion was the best part of your presentation, I felt. You summarized things well and brought everything to the focus. Some things were a little unclear before the conclusion, but you wrapped things up well.

good recommendations

The conclusions were supported and recommendations for practice were discussed.

I liked how you analyzed the study implied in the Black Box warning so that you can properly educate patients about the specifics of that warning.

Great job

I thought your conclusions were good, but that the studies may not have been appropriately powered to draw conclusions about safety. Still, your conclusions were consistent with the overall strengths and weaknesses of the studies and logical.

Great job with overall conclusions

Mostly supported

f

Strong conclusions supported by the data

Overall the conclusions were well presented. However, I was unclear if the info presented was strong enough to make the conclusions stated.

strong conclusion

Seminar was very leading to the conclusion you wanted to make from the very start, even though the data may not have been super sound to provide such a conclusion.

Good tying back into pharmacy

Conclusions didn't seem to be a clear cut as they were presented to be based on small sample sizes.

I felt apples and oranges were compared between SMART and Guidelines due to the age of the patients. I would have been interested to know more about the 13 who died in the SMART trial and how many were pediatric patients.

I think the recommendation was right but a little strong considering the studies were not powered specifically to find safety data /

## Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	15	4	2	0	0	0	0	6.62
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	17	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.76

## Question Answer Session Comments

Good job answering questions

Good job

none

The seminarian is extremely knowledgeable.

Consider have a case, more questions, to involve the audience more.

Great job answering questions.

Robert always does a great job answering questions.

Overall, I thought you were very knowledgeable and fielded questions very well.

You could have gone without admitting your non-adherence to your medications.

You did a good job answering questions.

Lots of questions at the end. Great job answering them

the important clarification of the controversial trial came out here in the QandA. It might have been better said during the presentation of the trial itself.

great background knowledge helped you answer questions better

Answered questions well and added personal experience

RC handled questions well.

great interaction with the audience

did a very good job of encouraging and answering questions.

Answered questions well. Helpful that you were so familiar with disease state

Presenter should good knowledge of the subject and answered questions thoroughly.

Questions were answered confidently. Well done!

I don't think you need to state the "I looked that up"... we get that by the way you response

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	19	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.86
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	16	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.71
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	18	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.81
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	18	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.81
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	17	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.76

### Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Very strong knowledge base and it showed

Very smart /

Like that you had personal knowledge in the subject

Fantastic job; able to incorporate personal experience into clinical knowledge.

You were extremely knowledgeable about this topic. That was definitely obvious.

Could tell you were really knowledgeable on the subject and you had unique insight suffering from the disease yourself

Robert does a great job thinking on his feet and theorizing to come up with a reasonable answer.

Had a great knowledge base and interest in the topic and was very well informed.

NA

I thought you demonstrated an obvious interest in the topic and it was also apparent you had done quite a bit of research on it. You also had your personal experience to draw upon. Good job!

Liked that fact that you did conclusions for each study.

Very informed on the subject and presented as such

great background knowledge

Good discussion evoked from your seminar

Overall good job!

very knowledgeable with person experience with the topic

Good knowledge of the subject.

Good overall knowledge

Presenter showed good overall knowledge.

Great knowledge base! Thanks for enlightening us.

I liked your enthusiasm and your intro. You do know a lot about this.

## Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I like how interested you were in the topic based on your own experiences

Good pharmacist role

Personal stories

I appreciated his passion for the topic and found the results to be more meaningful.

I enjoyed your passion in the topic. You were extremely thorough in organizing and analyzing all the various components of your study.

Great job making it personal

Great job answering questions!

I liked your interest in the topic and the personal relevance.

I liked the subject of the topic. It is a topic that we can all apply and is very important for our practice.

I like the interest that you had in the topic and it was obvious that you had done serious research in this area. This helped compel the audience to listen to you,

Extremely knowledgeable. Since he has the disease and has lived with it for some time he can relate and knows his stuff.

I thought the personal touch given to the seminar was excellent and relatable.

you knew alot about this topic and showed real passion for it

Interesting topic, liked the personal experiences

I liked that RC showed personal interest in the topic.

The personal connection to the topic and the enthusiasm displayed throughout the presentation.

Had obvious interest and experience with the subject.

Good moderate pace and eye contact with audience. Good Q/A session

Personal experience enhanced seminar presentation.

His interest in the topic fueled great understanding.

I liked the personal touch and your personal experience.

## Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Slow down and reduce the amount of material you talk about

Take more in making the powerpoint a supplement to the handout. Make it less about checking off a box.

Less information on the slides, more focused on specific points

Perhaps fewer personal pictures.

Avoid involving pictures of "speedos" in the future. This seems a little unprofessional.

Better proofreading of slides and handout. Less words on slides/tables.

Personalizing a presentation can be a strength when used appropriately but it can detract when made too personal. The presentation becomes about you and distracts from the topic.

No speedos next time.

I would suggest more interaction with the audience throughout the presentation.

I think just taking into account the effect the lack of power had on determining the safety outcome of combination LABA + corticosteroid. Overall though, it was a fine job.

There was a little too much info on the slides. Stick to the pertinent facts/impt facts and if people want more info look at the handout.

A flow chart for the treatment arms of each study might have added some clarity, but very very good job overall

Maybe focus more on fewer studied. It seemed like we rushed over alot of details that might have helped prove your point better

Proof read slides/handout to minimize spelling/grammar errors

Pictures of you in a speedo are not only unprofessional, but uncomfortable as well.

too many slides and too fast paced

Handouts had lots of grammatical and spelling errors that should have been fixed before final printing.

Some mistakes on slides.

Conclusions seemed to not be as absolute as was presented.

There were a few grammatical and typo errors in the slides and handout, but overall they were fantastic.



Maybe a little less focus on the key study mechanics we were taught in drug lit and a little more on what each study actually adds to the controversy.

## General Comments

Good Job!

Fantastic job overall.

N/A

Great job

Robert does a good job presenting and answering questions.

Great job.

Great job! Interesting topic.

yeppers

great seminar

Great job, enjoyable seminar

.

Good seminar.

Good overall presentation

Good Job!

Great seminar! Thanks!

Please no speedo pictures.