

Presenter: West, Kyle

Seminar Date: 2014-03-25

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
7	6.96	7	6.95	6.91	7	6.96	5.88	5.63	6	5.44	6.13	6.25	5.8	0	0	0	E (45.96)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Awesome job of controlling the pace.

Way to keep your calm despite technical difficulties and a bombardment of questions.

Good preparation was evident in the confidence and flow of your presentation.

Great pace. Was able to stay on track despite so many questions.

Great pace even with heavy questioning.

super

Top notch

Great pace, even after technical difficulties

Excellent confidence and pace

Your pace was really nice. You seemed to feel comfortable presenting.

Very comfortable in front of the audience

Very aware of your pace and time- it seemed like you prepared to work in a bunch of questions so good job!

excellent and well done

Very confident even when technology wasn't working correctly. Nice handling of situation.

moderate pace and good eye contact

Great eye contact with the audience. Sometimes read off the slides a little too much but didn't feel too neglected as an audience member

Kyle had a great pace, very little reliance on reading his notes. Great job remaining in control of seminar despite several questions.

Instructional Materials										
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

The graphs in the slides were inappropriately small.

I didn't like having the numbered references ex. (3) (14) in the handout. I think it looks a lot cleaner when the numbers are superscripts.

The handouts and slides looked good.

Slides were easy to use and appropriate. Didn't notice any grammatical errors.

Graphs needed some touch up to make them easier to read.

.

A lot of info but organized well

Great slides, I liked how you changed the font to really highlight important things for us to notice

Excellent handout, slides a bit wordy at times

I really liked the way you prefaced the data and let us know what to expect. Your seminar was unorthodox but I felt oriented, thanks.

Very clear and easy to follow handouts

Great job

very easy to follow and free of obvious defect

Clear slides, great orientation to charts. I liked how you threw out names of people, but then listed their title because I didn't know who so-and-so was by name.

slides and handouts were easy to read and clear to understand

Very informative slides

I understand that you cannot help that the visual tests were small and not easy to see on the slide, I

think it would have been helpful if you had oriented us to them a bit better and emphasized the importance of just comparing the charts visually, rather than the need to actually distinguish any values from them.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Appropriate background information was provided	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

I loved the topic, I could tell it was important to you

AWESOME controversy. I loved that you did a "hot topic"

There was a good logical progression of ideas.

Topic was definitely interesting and controversial.

Story of brother in law was a good way to show interest and relate to the audience.

Yup

Explained interest/background well

Great flow

Great job covering all applicable aspects of the topic

Great controversy! You did a nice job of presenting both sides of the argument. I was a bit confused by the graph slide, it was hard to read.

showed a very personal interest

Great controversy!! And very intriguing interest- being personally involved always makes a seminar better, in my opinion.

best part was the presentation of pros and cons - very easy to follow and a natural style for this type of discussion

Great flow between slides. Unique and interesting topic. Stellarrrr

very well organized and objectives were clear

Very interesting topic!

appropriate and thorough background to understand what has lead up to the new changes with

hydrocodone

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Good job of explaining the nature of the studies in the seminar.

I'm sure trying to figure out how to present the data was the hardest part; however, you did a great job!

The quality of evidence presented was a little poor, but ethical considerations prevent good studies regarding addiction from being performed. You made it clear that the data did meet the criteria set by the FDA.

Wasn't a traditional seminar in terms of presenting detailed studies but good generalization of multiple studies.

Limitations of the studies were talked about but the audience brought up some of the largest limitations.

.

Explained briefly but very well

Great clinical data, hard to show abuse potential but you did a good job at explaining the situation

Talk to the limitations of data in how they affect this decision

There was not much clinical data to speak of. I was a bit confused by your graph that showed that schedule 2 medications and also highly abused but in your conclusion you felt that making hydrocodone a c2 would reduce overall abuse.

very interesting way to present the data, very new and unique

More or less a void section in your case since you didn't evaluate studies per say but you presented great debate content.

um.....not really any data so can't give any comments

Great presentation of data available, even if it wasn't all mainly in study form

he presented key points only and was able to go through many trials. it was not like other presentations but very interesting and effective

Different format but done very well

liked that you gave us a head's up that your analysis of data would be different than we typically see. Presenting the information in the "for versus against" format was effective.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	12	5	0	0	0	0	0	6.71
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

I disagree with your interpretation of the data. It seems you left the one side of the argument without a voice at the end of the seminar.

Even though I disagree with you conclusion, you stuck to your guns and were able to choose which arguments would back you up.

Conclusions were strong.

Conclusions were well supported by the data presented.

I don't think there was enough good quality data to make a solid conclusion.

Good work

Explained pharmacist role well

Great conclusion

Great job forming strong conclusions based on your evidence

As I said before you concluded that making hydrocodone a c2 would reduce abuse but current c2 medications are abused at rates that are equal to hydrocodone. I think you addresses it that issue well

recommendations were very helpful

This is such a debatable topic- if you asked 10 people what they thought, you'd probably get 5 FOR and 5 AGAINST rescheduling. Good job for taking a stand and making a firm recommendation (especially with the side that is unpopular in the minds of pharmacists, physicians, etc).

agreed with your conclusion. while it will impact medicine and pharmacy greatly it has become necessary and I think your presentatiion supported your conclusions nicely.

Firm conclusions, great build up to the end

clear conclusion.. and well supported by trials

Great conclusions even though it was very difficult to make a conclusion

I feel like you could have expanded on your conclusion a little more, on what particular parts of data pointed you to your conclusion and how you were able to refute the data that is against the change.

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

You kept questions in line.

You handled questions like a boss.

Difficult questions, but they were handled well.

Answered many questions. Had good knowledge of the topic. Well prepared.

Excellent answers to questions and audience involvement.

You got lots.

lots of interaction

Lots of questions but you got through them and answered them well

Excellent job answering questions, facilitating discussion and maintaining control of the presentation

You did vey well with the questions, I liked that the questions felt like more of a debate. None of the questions seemed to flap you.

handled all the many questions with ease

Awesome Q&A- Great confidence and wide array of knowledge. Easy to see you were well prepared.

Lots of questions - great knowledge

Great handling of questions and liked how you paused to ask for questions without actual question slides. Kept the flow going.

he thoroughly answered all questions

Got lots of questions but did very well with all of them. Great job keeping the pace going

Your presentation stirred a lot or interest and questions, you did a good job theorizing answers or actually having the background to answer them.

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

You helped me understand the issue.

It was obvious that you were very knowledgeable on the topic despite limited work experience in a community setting.

It was clear you know the subject well.

Demonstrated good knowledge on the topic. Was well prepared.

Kyle had to think on his feet due to all the questions. He did a great job.

good work

Good background knowledge

You could tell you knew your stuff

Clearly demonstrated extensive knowledge and research

It was clear that you knew the topic well.

very comfortable on your feet

Great job

lots of questions and great knowledge - thought beyond the seminar and had a lot of information prepared

Really demonstrated knowledge beyond what was in the slides. Contributed additional stats and statistics to keep our attention.

he demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented on the slides.

You obviously knew lots about the topic so you could supplement knowledge as you went throughout the seminar

it was clear kyle had done a lot of research on his topic and had a good understanding of the overall picture, which is after all the point of seminar

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

The topic choice was the strongest point of the seminar.

Great topic and awesome at answering questions.

Great job of gaining, then holding, our attention the whole time.

Very interesting topic on drug scheduling.

I really enjoyed the topic. Interesting and politically charged.

Very interesting topic

Good control of room

Great topic and format for your seminar

Difficult topic to do a seminar in, but very interesting

I liked the unorthodox approach topic. Thank you for prefacing your data and orienting the audience to your information prior to exposing us to it.

the topic

Genius topic. So glad to get a review of this up and coming debate since I haven't had time to do it myself.

Flow and presentation with the pro/con setup

Very unique presentation for seminar. Interesting topic and presented both sides well.

comparing pros vs cons

Great topic. It made it very interesting to talk about. It was also something that we as pharmacists need to be aware of. Also liked the way you incorporated the role of the pharmacist

I really liked the professionalism Kyle presented with and how well he was able to command control, even when discussion from questions took us off course a little.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Based on the info you presented, I disagreed with your conclusion. Either you didn't present all of the information you used to draw your conclusion, or you misinterpreted the data for us.

Use superscripts for your references in your handout.

I gave nothing more to add here.

More detailed info on some of the studies.

Pull in different types of data to make a case for or against the change to schedule II. Basic scientific research may help to make the argument..

.

Slides wordy

Nothing

Talk more to the limitations of the data and how that influenced your conclusion

Break the slide with all the graphs into 2 on three slides.

more clinical data

No suggestions.

Better explanation of abuse potential measurements as clearly the audience got side tracked without a more clear and detailed explanation

Capitalize the titles of slides, I think there was one slide without capitalization that looked a bit odd so much information.. maybe condense a little more??

Seemed a little nervous.

Stronger reasoning behind conclusion.

General Comments

Awesome control of the audience.

Good job!

Great seminar.

Great job especially presentation style.

Kyle did an excellent presentation. Really fun topic and presentation style.

Good work

N/A

great job

Solid work

I have no additional comments.

amazing job tackling a big topic

.

great job

Strong work Kyle!

overall, it was great.

thank you for helping us all understand the different points of view on this topic and know where things are in the process of changing HCP's to CII.