

Presenter: Wilson, Kenneth

Seminar Date: 2014-04-02

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
7	6.85	6.95	6.99	7	7	7	6	6.38	6.7	6.83	6.63	6.75	6.9	0	0	0	E (47.42)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

There were times I noticed you were talking fast due to time constraints. May be for next time have moderate pace.

Great confidence! Impressive! Very professional in appearance and approach. Engaged with audience-walked out from behind the podium-it was awesome. Wow! No use of your notes!

good pace. little reliance on slides.

Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience.

Really great and engaging presentation style.

During the background section of your presentation, it seemed like you were talking too quickly, but your pace was just right throughout the remainder of the seminar. Next time you could cut down on the amount of background material so that you don't feel like you have to rush through it to get to the studies.

You did not rely on notes and did a good job maintaining eye contact. You seemed very confident and professional.

You made fantastic eye contact with the audience. I hardly saw you ever look at your slides so great job! It's obvious how much you prepared for the presentation.

Very little reliance on slides/notes. Good eye contact with the audience all the way throughout.

Great eye contact with audience. Would have liked less detail on diabetes and more on controversy.

Very well prepared with little to no reliance on notes.

Perfect presentation style, one of the best seminars this semester.

Your pace was appropriate and you did not rely on notes. Good work.

Seemed very confident and relaxed. However, I hate to say it, but it almost seemed too practiced. This made the pace very quick and didn't feel conversational.

The pace was really good, did a great job not relying on notes

He maintained eye contact throughout the presentation. He did not rely on his notes during his presentation. Both of these aspects really added to his presentation.

Excellent job avoiding any reading the slides or losing eye contact with the audience. / I felt at times in the background section your pace was a little too fast. It started moderate and ended with you speaking pretty quickly.

Kenny, you seemed like a natural. Very well presented-

Seemed really comfortable and got through information quickly

Great pace. At no time did I see you read from the slides, very professional.

You are an excellent presenter! You kept a pleasant pace, did not look at notes or the screen, did not have any unusual mannerisms, and spoke at an appropriate level for the audience. I like it when presenters come in front of the podium.

You made eye contact with the audience throughout the entire seminar. I think you may have looked at your slides a few times but usually only to explain the results, charts, and graphs. Awesome job!! Great presenting style.

I was very impressed by your confidence throughout the presentation and professional manner in which you presented.

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	21	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.87
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	15	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	6.52
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

You had great charts and content in your presentation.

Overall things were really easy to read in the slides and handout.

easy to read. good explanations

Slides and handout were clear/easy to read with no errors or issues.

The handout had quite a few typos.

I really like the graphics and figures. Also, you didn't use too much text on your slides. Next time proof read your handout from start to finish before printing. You could use more bullet points in your handout - the Seminarian's Conclusions section is good, but a lot of text to read through.

You slides were not too wordy and were easy to read. Your handout had a few spelling errors. Also, try to format your study tables so that the sections stay on one page (e.g. your statistics row goes onto the next page but could easily have been formatted to all be on the next page). It is easier to read that way.

I really appreciated the large font on your slides and the handout. Great explanation of the tables. It's impressive that you made some of those.

Title spelling error! But hardly noticeable.

Very well done slides and handout. Moderate content on slides and handout followed well.

I liked the bullet points in your handout. I think they made it easier to read.

Very thorough handout and slides.

Your handout was very good and readable. Very few spelling/grammar errors.

Everything was easy to read. Referred to handout when we may want additional information.

Did a good job of keeping the slides simple and not too wordy. Be sure to proofread slides

The slides were easy to read. There was a few grammatical errors, so if these were removed the

handout would have been improved.

Beautiful slides! Good color scheme, clear, simple, and easy to read. / I thought I noticed at least one statistical fact presented in the background section without a reference (90% of diabetics are T2DM). /

You materials were very easy to follow, and they had just the right amount of information-

Small typo on the cover but other than that, great job

Good handout and slides. One thing for you to consider in the future is there were a few slides you had material that was not in the handout. I would prefer to have the same material in the handout as was in the slides, but if you do have something extra in the slides, I would mention that this information is not in your handout.

Slides were uncluttered and well organized. You did have a few spelling mistakes, however. Your references were too small on the bottom of the slides. I couldn't read them.

You took the time to go through the charts and graphs to make sure we understood what they were saying and what conclusions to draw from them which is awesome.

A few errors in the handout. Next time just have someone proofread for you.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	20	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.83
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Appropriate background information was provided	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Overall content was easy to understand and objective were well-thought.

Contraversy was well explained, clear objectives, very thorough background information, organization of the presentation was good with a couple of breaks for questions.

good background. appropriate flow

Appropriate background information was provided an helped to facilitate the rest of the seminar

I think you should make sure you get to the controversy in the first few minutes. I think you could have introduced the controversy before a lot of the background information. It just seemed to take a while to get to the crux of the issue.

I really like how you transitioned in to the controversy using the timeline. This was an effective technique you could use again in the future.

Your objectives did not use measure able terms. Terms like "understand" are not measurable. Instead use words like "list," "state," and "describe." You did a great job defining the controversy.

Excellent explanation of the controversy. It was very detailed and informative.

Great job laying out the controversy. Gave just enough information to get us interested.

Would have liked to hear your interest in topic.

I liked how you didn't spend too much time on diabetes background, but I wish you had done a little bit more background on DPP-4 inhibitors in the presentations.

Presentation content was well rounded and explained in great detail.

I think your controversy was interesting, though could have been explained just a little more clearly.

Controversy was well defined, but with the interesting timeline, it would have been nice to learn more about it.

Start with an introduction, there was no Aston of interest stated.

The presentation was well-organized and flowed. He stopped occasionally to field questions from the audience so this really added to the presentation.

Objectives were clear and well-crafted, appropriate background information was provided. / I would have liked more time spent on the controversy over the background section, perhaps another reminder slide summarizing the controversy elsewhere in the presentation.

The intro and background were great, but I wonder if the diabetes/diabetes treatment background could have been even more succinct--as we are all very familiar with the topic...

The presentation flowed really well

Good intro, good explanation of the controversy, good objectives, detailed background info, very smooth transitions. One thing I would consider changing is to tailor the background info to the audience. Your background was great for a presentation of healthcare professionals, but when presenting to 3rd and 4th year pharmacy students and faculty, I would focus less on general information about diabetes and more on the controversy.

The question slides were properly spaced and allowed for question time throughout the presentation. The history of the gliptins and the review of diabetes were helpful. Maybe a little less time on the review and a little more time on the controversy would have been helpful. I liked your use of foreshadowing throughout the presentation. Nice touch.

The background information was very helpful. I would have liked a little bit more discussion of the drug specifically as well as its mechanisms for cardiotoxicity as that was the controversy. Very smooth transitions and you had great flow throughout the presentation.

Most of the background information was well known to the audience, could have focused on the controversy sooner in your presentation.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Your statistical analysis about sample size and power was very clearly stated during presentation.

Studies were thoughtfully presented and discussed in appropriate depth. Analysis of studies was extremely thorough.

spend more time on controversy.

Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations that was helpful for drawing clinical conclusions regarding the data.

I loved your detailed and thoughtful analysis. Your conclusions were truly your own. The only thing I would suggest it to make your written conclusions (in your handout) more succinct and perhaps bulleted (if you have several components to your conclusion). The long paragraphs were difficult to read, although they contained good information.

I really like how you pointed out the most important points and included a lot of your own thoughtful insights when discussing the strengths and limitations of the study.

You did a great job explaining the statistics and results. You explained why your limitations and strengths were listed as such.

Great explanation of the meta-analysis results and statistical findings, especially on the hazard and incidence ratios. Thank you for explaining the statistical issues that lent to the study limitations.

Limitations were well thought out and had explanations for each one.

I think objectives should be more precise. Understand is generally too vague. Just my opinion!

I really liked how you had take home points for the results of each study where you emphasized the important results.

Very broad overview of the relevant clinical data.

Your clinical data was well presented and you seemed to have a good grasp of the statistics. There's always room to learn more about the stats though.

Thoroughly interpreted results as to how they relate to clinical practice. Great analysis of strengths and weaknesses of each study.

Did a good job reporting relevant data, especially using a meta analysis

He explained the studies in details. He explained why the strengths were strengths and why the weaknesses were weakness so this really aided in complete understanding of the presentation.

Excellent breakdown of the trials. / I noticed there were no p-values on the results of the first study, but you accounted for this.

The first study did not discuss power, but they still found some significant differences, so I wonder if it did have adequate power for those instances-

Very detailed when describing the study and made it easy to understand

Great job of assessing the study design, strengths, and limitations of the studies.

You gave thoughtful, detailed analysis of the strengths and limitations of the studies. They were obviously your own ideas and opinions. A take-away slide after each section would have been helpful.

You did a great job explaining the statistics and why they were appropriate. I liked that you went into detail as to why the study had strengths and limitations. It shows that you truly analyzed the results and design of your studies.

Presentation of clinical data was impressive. You went into thorough detail on the outcomes and statistics and helped us to really understand the results.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

You had good points about pharmacist role in making recommendations on saxagliptin.

Clear conclusions that were logical based on evidence presented. Role of the pharmacist was discussed and good recommendations were made that we can take into our future practice sites.

well thought out conclusions

Conclusions were supported by data presented in the seminar. Someone had asked a questions that seemed like almost a "gotcha" type question. Kenny stuck with his conclusions and presented a strong response.

I thought your conclusions were very well-supported

You provided some specific recommendations that we could actually use in practice - there was a take home message - do this again in future seminar presentations.

Your conclusions were supported by the data and you were able to think outside of the box to elaborate on the conclusions.

Your conclusions were clear and well-thought out. They were backed by the study results, which you thoughtfully analyzed.

Great job identifying when more information or evidence is needed. Conclusions matched the evidence presented.

Great job discussing clinical importance, especially with minimal clinical experience at this point.

Good job!

The student did a fantastic job of making the conclusions his own rather than agreeing with the authors.

I think you had good conclusions and recommendations for us as pharmacists.

Developed own conclusion with specific recommendations.

Really good strong conclusion that were in line with guidelines and supported by data, though not

necessarily recommended by guidelines.

His conclusion were clearly well thought out and well organized. His recommendation for pharmacists role was reasonable and help as I move forward in my career.

Conclusions were well-supported by the data presented in the seminar. / I would have liked a more concise conclusion paragraph in the handout.

Nice recommendations. It is good to stay current on all of the risks and benefits of the drugs we see every day-

Great job making your own conclusions!

Good conclusions based on the data presented in seminar.

The conclusions were obviously your own conclusions. I don't remember seeing the author's conclusions on a slide, but I may have missed it.

Your conclusions were your own and very thoughtful but supported by the data presented. You did have recommendations for what we should do and take to our clinical practice which was nice.

Conclusions were well supported. A strong part of your seminar is that you didn't just replicate the authors conclusions, you made your own based on the data.

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

You were able to think on your feet and had well-thought answers.

Fabulous job answering the questions-professional and thoughtful approach.

did great job on questions

Audience interaction was not really needed due to the quality of the presentation.

You did well with all of the questions you got.

I like how you gave us plenty of opportunities to ask questions. I was impressed by the succinct and thorough answers you gave to the questions. You answered the questions with confidence and authority (since you knew the material like the back of your hand).

Great job with the questions section! You really knew the material and it showed.

I liked that you asked questions throughout. Great job answering questions, as well. It was obvious you knew your stuff.

Answered all the questions thoroughly and confidently.

I liked how you walked over to where the person asking the question was sitting. Awesome!

Your answers were very thorough.

Questions were handled professionally and really demonstrated the student's vast knowledge base.

Your answers were well thought out and appropriate.

Allowed time for audience to ask questions.

Able to succinctly answer questions with a Very strong knowledge of correlating studies

He encouraged questions throughout his presentation. He answered the questions with confidence and asked the audience member to rephrase the question if he did not understand the question the first time.

Audience questions were answered skillfully and succinctly. / The final Q and A session was almost closed prematurely, make sure you allow enough time for the audience to formulate questions before closing.

You didn't hesitate when asked questions; you answered them well. /

VERY thorough with answering questions and paused for questions frequently

The Q&A session showed how much time and effort you have put into seminar. You clearly knew a lot more than you had time to present in seminar.

Fabulously done! You obviously studied the appropriate studies and material. You listened to the questions and repeated if you did not understand.

Great job at answering questions from the audience. You took the time to answer them completely and provided information that you had acquired throughout your research on the topic...awesome!

Appropriate pause for questions thorough out the seminar. You took the time and really tried to fully answer each question.

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Very well thought analysis on distinguishing between clinical and statistical significance.

Amazing knowledge base was obvious during the presentation and the Q&A session. Kenny knew those studies inside and out.

obviously knew materials and answered questions well.

Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar by discussing things off the cuff and relevant to the seminar.

Definitely one of your strengths: you were able to refer to key diabetes trials and to look beyond the author's conclusions. Well done!

You offered a lot of your own insights and knowledge beyond the author's conclusions and demonstrated that you were well prepared, had a strong knowledge base, and were able to defend your conclusions. Prepare thoroughly for future seminars and you will be successful like you were in this seminar.

You really knew the material. You were able to answer the questions and could theorize if you were not sure of the exact answer.

Overall knowledge base was obviously vast. Your explanation of the pathophysiology was thorough, detailed and fluid. It is obvious you were a previous teacher. Amazing job!

Demonstrated a wealth of knowledge. Clearly did his research and was very well prepared.

You knew much more than what was in your presentation and could answer questions in great detail. Keep up the good work!

You had a lot of information on other studies, especially ones that are in progress, which gave us

something to look for in the future.

The student put much effort and thought into this seminar and it was reflected through the overall presentation.

I think you absolutely demonstrated a knowledge of this subject beyond what you presented in your slides and handout.

Able to answer very specific questions as well as hypothesize an answer for how guidelines could be changed.

Had a great knowledge base, really liked how little the slides were needed to remember info

He demonstrated a knowledge much larger than the studies that he presented. This really added to the presentation.

Wonderful display of knowledge of subject outside of the facts presented in the seminar. / No criticisms.

Kenny, you were well prepared and it came across that you knew what you were talking about-

Knowledge base was very clear and evident

Great overall knowledge base

You were obviously well read on the topic. You would theorize answers when appropriate. A slide about the role of gliptins in the guidelines at the end would have been interesting.

It was very obvious that you were very knowledgeable and had done a lot of research on the topic. Not only did you analyze your own studies but you also looked a lot into other studies that had come out recently and are to come out soon.

A strong part of your seminar was the confidence that you had in answering questions and ability to theorize.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Use of charts and graphs throughout the presentation and I liked how you memorized all your slides.

I liked Kenny's confidence and audience engagement.

good topic. thoroughly informed about topic

I like the presenters ability to use the slides as a supplement to what he was discussing and not a crutch to rest on.

Loved your well-thought-out conclusions and your extensive knowledge base.

I really like how you maintained good eye contact and didn't look at the PowerPoint slides throughout the presentation.

You did a great job explaining the statistics. You did a good job maintaining eye contact and remaining confident throughout your presentation.

I really liked your explanation of the statistics, it was very informative and I appreciate the review.

What I liked most was how much knowledge you displayed about the subject. You clearly were the expert in this topic. Great job.

Engaging speaker

I really liked your take home points because they summarized the results really well.

The conclusions were very strong and showed the student's ability to think on his own rather than just agreeing with the authors.

You had a great presentation style and pace.

Ability to thoroughly evaluate studies.

I liked the pace and strong conclusions

The flow of the seminar really added to the presentation. I was able to easily follow what was going on and my knowledge of the subject as I went forward.

I liked the quality and quantity of information presented in the seminar. I felt the background section provided a broad scope of the issue, giving the audience tools to assess the studies and make personal conclusions about clinical implications.

Easy to follow; clear and well-presented.

Provided complex data and simplified it enough for everyone to understand

Very professional presentation. Outstanding job. One of the best seminars I have seen.

You are an excellent presenter. You are poised, well spoken, and professional. A few minor adjustments and you would be golden.

You had great relaxed presentation style, made it seem like you were just talking to us rather than lecturing which I liked.

An interesting topic, definitely a hot topic right now. You did an excellent job in presenting the information at an appropriate pace and level.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

For next time make sure your references font size is not too small. It was hard to read.

There were a couple of slides that were charts/graphs that I wanted to see in the handout-a few of them were in the appendix but a few of them weren't available and they were a little more difficult to see as a slide. One example was a patient criteria list. No reference was made during the seminar that we could find the presented charts/graphs on the power point in the appendix and that would have been helpful to me, too. Overall it was amazing though.

there was a spelling mistake on the title :P

Involve the audience to facility more questions.

The handout contained quite a few typos, which made it less readable. Also, I would suggest shortening your concluding statements (after each study) in the handout. m

Reduce the amount of background material so that you don't have to talk too quickly to get through it.

Work on the formatting of your handout and watch for spelling errors.

More explanation on the mechanism of action of the cardiotoxicity of saxagliptan.

One spelling mistake!! That's literally all I could see to improve. Very well done.

Discuss interest in topic

I don't think you should say what the conclusions of the studies are before going through the studies. That can introduce bias in your audience.

Besides one spelling error, I personally feel as if this seminar could not have been better.

The controversy was interesting but could have been a little more defined.

Too practiced. Would like a more conversational tone.

Be sure to proof read slides and handout

There were a couple grammatical errors in the handout. While they did not greatly detract from the presentation, their removal would have added to the presentation.

I would say, slow down the pace a little bit, simplify the information as much as possible.

Emphasize even more the most important part of the seminar, the studies/evidence.

Page numbers on handout but this is just a personal opinion. Otherwise great work

There were a few typos and formatting issues in the handout, but no big deal.

Not much to say in this area. Make sure you have proofread the entire presentation and handout. Also, enlarge the references for easier reading.

Focus a little on the controversy, for example info about the drug and why it can/may cause cardiotoxic effects.

The references on your slides were in very small font. For your next seminar, consider increasing the font to make readable to the audience.

General Comments

Overall very well-prepared presentation.

Overall, Kenny presented in a very confident and professional manner and engaged us as an audience. He gave us a thorough background of the subject, strong understanding and presentation of the clinical data with an easy to read/understand handout and slide presentation. The conclusions he presented were appropriate and gave us the tools we need to make recommendations on saxagliptin in our future practice sites. Overall this was quite an amazing presentation. I told Kenny afterward that I was glad I didn't have to present with him yesterday-he did an incredible job. Strong work! I was very impressed.

good job.

The best so far that i have attended this semester.

You did it!

I was amazed at how you were able to speak to us throughout the presentation without referring to the PowerPoint. Furthermore, it was impressive that you didn't stumble on your words, say "um," or have to correct yourself.

Overall, you did a good job presenting the material and keeping the audience interested.

Great use of time and managing the most pertinent points.

Excellent work Kenny! You should be very proud.

Your seminar was great!

You were very well prepared, and you did a great job!

Overall one of the best seminars of the semester. Strong work

Overall you did a great job!

Great job overall

Seminar flowed and provided plenty of time for questions. Both of these features really added to the presentation. He had an impressive understanding of the meta-analysis and explained all the studies in detail.

I have been absolutely nitpicking throughout this review. Overall, an amazing performance. / Seriously, I would consider this the best seminar given Spring 2014 semester in our section. / I can tell that a lot of work went into crafting this presentation.

Kenny, great job on the seminar. It was very well done and presented. Remember not to hesitate about spending more time in the meat of the seminar-

Good jobs. One down, one more to go.

Your written conclusions in the handout were lengthy. Use bullets next time to allow for easier reading.

Overall you did an absolutely amazing job!!! Great presentation. It was very obvious you knew your stuff!!!

Excellent seminar! Thanks for the Krispy Kremes!