

# Presenter: Clark, Christian

Seminar Date: 2014-04-16

## Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.82	6.88	6.85	6.84	6.82	6.9	6.93	6.25	6	6	6.33	5.88	6	5.8	0	0	0	E (46.09)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	15	5	1	0	0	0	0	6.67
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	15	6	0	0	0	0	0	6.71
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

## Presentation Style Comments

Your pace was perfect, and it made it easy for me to follow you right from the beginning.

Good pace, a little reliant on notes

Smooth transitions and pace

Minimal reliance on notes, especially at the end. Great pace.

Try to rely on the slides less. You did improve as the presentation went on.

Nice flow and professionalism.

He had great pace, some reliance throughout the beginning but lessened. Also stay away from the desk (do not lean on it)

Seemed a little nervous at times but it was a great presentation.

Great pace. YOu did look at your notes a fair amount but got better towards the end

once relaxed the pace was great

Great presentation style

Good style, I liked the multiple colors you had in your slides

Good pace and you had better eye contact as the presentation carried forward

Overall Christian presented professionally, and at an appropriate level for the audience.

Some reliance on notes but overall your presentation style was good.

some reliance on notes, but as the seminar progressed there was more confidence and less reliance on notes.

Pace and transitions were comfortable.

Maintained good pace and eye contact throughout.

There was a fair amount of reliance upon notes.

Started off a little nervous and reading from the slides --> more confidence and less reading as time went on. Great job!

Great graphs and tables

## Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	16	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.76

## Instructional Materials Comments

Consider a short video clip to help grab the audience's attention in the future.

Easy to read slides

Liked the slides and the background story

Great clear slides. Very concise. Great orientation to graph with the highlighting.

Loved the colored squares on the slide during the orientation of the bar graph. Really helped to clarify what was being illustrated.

Instruction materials were clear and easy to follow.

Some things include the journal name in your citation, page #s, explain diagrams etc more in depth.

Kept slides simple but very clear and easy to follow.

Really enjoyed your slides and highlighting important information!!!!

more scales in the handout to refer too

Good slides and handout

I loved the tables in the handout, good job on keeping them on one page

handout was good, ensure citations are all present in appropriate form

Make sure you put references on everything. Particularly doses so we can evaluate appropriateness for ourselves.

You provided good orientation to the results through using boxes and arrows

slides were great and added to the seminar

Make sure you are consistent with the usage of capital and lower case omega.

Clear slides and handout. Tables were clean and easy to read. Next time, include page numbers. Also cite appendixes

Great job with slide preparation.

I appreciated how you organized the data, and how you put boxes and color in the charts to draw our eyes to what was important.

Loved the graphs and tables

## Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	13	8	0	0	0	0	0	6.62
4	Appropriate background information was provided	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	18	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.81

## Overall Presentation Content Comments

You entire seminar flowed very well, and your tansitions between sections was seamless.

Appropriate background. Good job discussing interest - it made me want to watch the video!

Good explanation of the controversy

Clear definition. I liked your scales in the appendices for how they come up with outcomes.

Background information was just enough to understand the study contents. Transitions were smooth and the presentation was well organized.

The objectives would be stronger if they were measurable.

Some of the flow was interrupted, but overall decent, also use more measurable objectives.

Maybe have more measurable outcomes.

The objectives could be a tad more measurable

great flow in second half

Good background

objectives could have been a bit stronger

Interesting topic and background was good and pulled my interest

Christian's presentation was smooth and flowed appropriately. The objectives were appropriate but may have been more useful if they were more measurable.

Make sure your objectives are measurable and that you cover them in your presentation

great intro, more clear objectives next time

interest in the topic was well defined and controversy was set up well.

Good flow throughout presentation. Interesting background and topic of interest discussion.

It may have been useful to include treatment and prevention in objectives.

I appreciated the interest in the topic and the great background. I could tell you put a lot of time into it.

Did a great job

## Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	20	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	17	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	6.84
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	18	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	6.85
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	12	7	1	0	0	0	0	1	6.55

## Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Your studies included very small sample sizes. Consider addressing the implications of this in future presentations.

More thoughtful analysis of strengths/weaknesses of studies would be good

Could have used a little more explanation of withdrawals or dropouts

You could've put more thought into strengths and limitations of your studies.

Put more thought into strengths and limitations.

I like that both studies had the same outcome. I mention the impact factor of the journals.

I am not sure, but to me there seems like power and sample size definitely needed to be talked about as well as withdrawals and dropouts.

Presented study results in a simple manner that was easy to follow.

Great job with the studies. Very interesting data

strong review of the data, i also enjoyed the studies chosen.

Good choice of data

Good evaluation of the data, maybe go a little bit more in depth of the strengths and weaknesses

Presentation of clinical data was good. I would have liked to have heard a little more demographic information about the study populations

Material presented was included in the handout. However, the first study only the secondary outcomes

were presented and included in the handout. Unfortunately most studies may not be powered appropriately, or designed specifically to draw appropriate recommendations on the secondary outcomes. Make sure you include all results data in future presentations.

When presenting long exclusion criteria or inclusion criteria only present the key ones and the others can be in the handout for reference if needed. By focusing on the major ones you will cut down on the information in your slide and really focus your audience into the key patient population that you can apply the results to.

presented clinical data in a way that was easy to remember and follow

Presented the studies and information we needed to know in a concise manner. perhaps give some more thought to the strengths and weaknesses of each study.

Good discussion of study design and methods. Maybe more in depth analysis of study strengths and limitations.

Great job explaining withdrawals and dropouts.

I thought if there was any room for improvement, it would be a more detailed analysis of study strengths and weaknesses.

While the results looked good, you need to be a little more skeptical about it



## Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	19	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.86
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	15	6	0	0	0	0	0	6.71
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86

## Conclusions Comments

Your conclusions were supported by the data presented, and I agreed with your overall conclusions.

Good conclusions, however it would have been good to mention that this is in the context of very few people

Good conclusion supported by the data

I LOVED how you took a stand and didn't just say "We need more research" Great job!

Nice strong conclusion and pharmacist role. Would like clarification on what dose should be used.

I felt like the conclusions were appropriate but the recommendations was strong given the small sample sizes. Should require a lot more data to recommend a supplement that is not FDA approved to treat Schizophrenia.

his conclusions were based upon the data but they might have been too stong due to the sample size and study quality.

I agree that studies concluded that omega fatty acids showed improvement over placebo but what is the clinical relevance.

Really liked that you gave counseling points when discussing the role of the pharmacist

interesting idea, dont be afraid to defend your conclusion and be definitive.

Great conclusions

your conclulsion agreed with the data but not sure with the small sample size if i would change practices

Your conclusions were bold based solely on these two trials (which looked at different populations for different indications)

The conclusions and recommendations were appropriate for the data presented but may not generalizable to our populations. Is there any data based in the US? and larger sample sizes? Also, make sure when presenting that if you are drawing strong conclusions, state based on the data, in

these situations, I would recommend...

Your conclusions were supported by the data and your pharmacist role was very applicable to practice.

conclusions were supported by the data, but maybe more significance relating to real world scenarios.

Conclusions were strong according to the evidence you showed, however addressing the small sample size and the limitations of the study more in your recommendation might provide a stronger recommendation with a sound background.

Great discussion of pharmacist role and went into detail about specific recommendations as well as brief discussion of cost.

Great job with conclusions. They were strong and supported by evidence.

I felt that while your conclusions were strong, the evidence matched and I was enlightened. Way to stick to your guns and for teaching us!

Careful. I do like that you took a stand, but that was a little too bold considering your data

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

### Question Answer Session Comments

Great job fielding and answering questions quickly and succinctly. Consider anticipating the questions you will receive and prepare for them in advance.

Good job answering questions. Make sure to get back with those people who asked questions you were unable to answer

Answered some hard questions

Great job fielding quesitons. No issues I felt at all.

great job answering questions

There needed to be more audience involvement.

answered questions effectively and gave time for us to think of some, but could have interacted with us a bit more

Fielded questions very well.

Great job answering questions

great thinking on the spot, you knew the studies well

Good job answering questions

Good work with the questions i though you fielded them nicely

You did a good job with Q & A and if you did not know an answers identified it as such

Christina was able to think on his feet and encouraged questions with the audience.

Great job answering questions. You seemed confident in all of your answers.

a little trouble succinctly answering questions

You answered questions succinctly and with confidence.

Answered questions well and was able to draw on study analysis to discuss answers.

Great job answering questions that were posed.

I appreciated your confidence in your answer to the question you received about the ideal patient you'd see benefit in omega-3 therapy.

Great job

## Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	19	1	0	1	0	0	0	6.81

## Overall Knowledge Base Comments

You demonstrated a strong overall knowledge base on this topic, and this made it easy for me to follow along and be interested in what you were saying.

Strong knowledge base evident

Good knowledge base

You seemed very knowledgeable and prepared. Great job in looking critically at the studies

Would have liked more discussion on statistical versus clinical significance. What do the changes in PANSS scores really mean to patients?

Good job answering related questions.

he demonstrated throughout the whole seminar that he knew the basics of his topic and knew outside of it as well.

Great overall knowledge base.

Great job with the conclusion - very strong

clearly you had excellent knowledge base

Good subject knowledge

Good overall knowledge, your undergrad work in psych

Good overall knowledge base and answering questions with the over-arching knowledge

I liked that you had a strong recommendation, but be hesitant if the external validity is weak. I also liked that you gave us counseling points for future use.

It was clear that you had done a lot of reading on the topic and knew a great deal.

good background knowledge and conveyed well in the seminar

Overall knowledge base was good and it showed when you answered questions.

Was able to demonstrate good knowledge base by discussing conclusions and answering audience questions.

Showed good knowledge of the subject.

Knowledge showed throughout the presentation and especially during the Q&A. Great job!

Great Job

## Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I like the pace and flow of your presentation. It was easy to follow.

good job on orienting the audience to results slides

Really liked the story about the subject and your interest

Psychological studies are difficult, and you explained them well, clearly, and have organized materials to explain your studies.

Interesting topic. I had never heard about using omega 3's for schizophrenia.

I enjoyed the topic because supplements are so huge in Utah and patients ask questions about them all the time.

i really liked his diagrams, tables, charts, and graphs that he incorporated into the slides

Great pace and great understanding of topic.

Great job! Good pace and what I really appreciated was having the different tests and scales in the back of the handout!

great topic, thought provoking

Interesting topic. Great seminar!

I could tell you had genuine interest in the topic

Really neat topic and important

I likes that you included information on the PANSS score in the handout and discussed it as well. It helped highlight key details in the results.

The subject matter is very applicable to practice and was presented in a way that I can easily understand and incorporate when I talk to patients about potential uses of fish oil.

great introduction and presentation of background info

Interesting topic with some good information.

I liked how the study tables looked and you were able to fit everything on one page- made it look clean

Great job making strong conclusions supported by the evidence.

What I enjoyed about this seminar was the layout of the slides, the boxes and color surrounding the data for us to focus on, and your Q&A.

I liked the counseling points at the end

## **Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar**

Consider using an "attention grabber" or something in the beginning of the presentation to get the audience interested more.

stronger analysis of strengths/weaknesses of each study

Citing information on the slides

You kind of skimmed over the conclusion section (spent only like 1 min on that slide) and I felt that was your most important slide.

More quantifiable objectives, and more clarification about what dose you would recommend.

Need more data especially for a supplement recommendation.

Try to use approved nomenclature or define abbreviations before hand

Just be more confident but otherwise great.

YOU looked at your notes a fair amount.

first study was interesting but not sure if it fit in the flow of ideas

nothing

in your slides and handouts there were some inconsistencies in how you had omega-3, just try and keep it consistent

Critically assess the articles, think of additional strengths and limitations

Make sure your referencing all of your material so the audience can validate the information provided if needed.

Remember when you are trying to orient your audience to the diagram or chart on the screen always point or use the laser at the screen they can see. You tended to point to the screen on the desk you were looking at instead.

more confidence

Larger trials would be helpful or a better look at the strengths and weaknesses of the small studies used would help make a sound recommendation.

Next time, use page numbers and cite the appendixes



May have been able to expand upon strengths and weaknesses of the studies.

"Vascepa" - pronounce this with confidence :)

Careful with your recommendations.

## General Comments

Great Job!

Great seminar

Great job.

great job!

Nice work on an interesting and controversial topic.

Good job Christian

Well done.

Overall great job! Very interesting topic!

yeppers

good job overall

Great job, enjoyed your seminar

Great Job!

Overall your seminar was very good.

Overall, great job!

Great Job!

A great first seminar! Thanks for all the time and effort you put into this.

Great Job