

Presenter: Hill, Cameron

Seminar Date: 2014-03-27

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.98	6.98	6.96	6.99	6.91	6.97	6.99	6.88	6.75	6.9	7	6.88	6.25	6.8	0	0	0	E (47.65)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Had minimal reliance on notes.

Great presentation style, I liked that you moved around.

Pace was excellent. You have a great presenting style that is comfortable and engaging.

Presented at a good pace, did not rely on notes, and had a confident presentation style.

I liked how you stood in front of the desk not behind it. I made you look confident

Great pace and didn't need to rely on his notes at all. Well prepared.

Very professional. I could tell you had practiced it by the minimal reliance on notes.

You seemed a little nervous at times, but overall great pace and eye contact

You came off as confident and the pace was great!

He did not look at his slides at all and knew what was on his slides

Really smooth and well rehearsed. Great style and flow.

He was very confident.

Great eye contact, very well spoken. Speech was clear and calm and sounded confident. Only

improvement: the objectives were slightly stumbled through- likely nerves. Other than that it was excellent.

Great presentation style. Confident. Able to draw in personal stories to emphasize points within the presentation.

A lot of slide reading. Try to own the material more. Good pace

good eye contact and confidence. /

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

Handout had a ton of extra details from other studies. Well researched topic. I liked it.

You did a great job in orienting us to your studies, particularly between those two graphs.

The slides were clean and very easy to read.

His handout was easy to read and cited many, many appropriate references. The content of his slides were clear, but they flickered a lot during the presentation and were difficult to read.

You had some busy slides but you oriented us to them well. good job

Great handout and one of the best referencing jobs I've seen.

Your slides and handout were awesome! Very easy to read and great information in the handout.

I was impressed with how many references you had! Slides were well composed and easy to read

Materials were fantastic. I could read all the slides with ease and your handout was easy to follow and had tons of good information.

He did a good job explain graphs and how they differed from one another.

All instructional material were easy to use and without errors.

His handout was very well formatted, but I did find a grammatical error or two.

Slides and handout were very easy to read and follow. Thorough orientation was given to all charts and graphs. Handout was referenced throughout presentation.

Slides and handout were clear and concise. The animations and spatial orientation of the slides helped to enhance the overall presentation. Great job on orienting graphs and pointing out discrepancies within the graphs.

No need for improvement here. Very impressed

Handout looked good

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Appropriate background information was provided	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Presentation was well organized.

I thought your controversy was very real. I can see myself speaking to patients about this.

Overall presentation was excellent. Great explanation of the background and controversy.

He described the background of his presentation well and had smooth transitions. The objectives were clear.

You had a great definition/explanation of the controversy, ie, 1st seizure treatment or not

I thought the background was great in letting us know how this particular piece of music was used in the past. Also, the background on why this is important was nice as well.

Very interesting topic!

Great seminar topic, it was very interesting to listen about it. Controversy was well presented. As was mentioned in class, it would have been nice if you could let us listen to Mozart for a little bit :)

The amount of background was great and I loved how you were able to allude to previous studies without going terribly in depth into them.

There was good transition from one part of presentation to next. smooth flow.

Really interesting topic and background information presented was appropriate.

The flow was mostly good, but he seemed to move on too abruptly from a couple of slides.

Great interest in topic. Background was very thorough but not overdone. Controversy was well laid out. Great flow. Great info on the first seizure just prior to study 2- pertinent info at a pertinent time in presentation.

Great flow--very logical. Appreciated interest in topic and ability to bring topic back to your interest throughout the seminar. Controversy set up well. Able to really reemphasize why we are taking a closer look.

The controversy slide had a lot. Maybe zero us in on the controversy you are going to address
good introduction. interesting topic

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Good discussions of studies and how they were conducted.

Your studies were real small, but you broke down their strengths and weaknesses really well.

You did a great job explaining the studies and breaking them down.

He explained the studies well and he specifically addressed power. He provided a thoughtful analysis of strengths and limitations and even suggested ways he thought the authors' could have improved.

Discussed power, sample size, and relevance. found a difference. Good review to all of seminar as to what power is .

Cam nailed the clinical data section and was able to speculate beyond just the numbers. Excellent job.

I really liked the way you had a pictorial representation of how the study was designed. It made it very easy to understand and follow what went on

Very thorough analysis of the studies

You knew the studies really well, had extensive strengths and limitations, and were able to recommend what the authors could have done differently. Fantastic job!

Made the slides relevant by explain the data well. Did good job on explain the strengths and weaknesses of studies.

Presentation of clinical data was well thought out and good discussion points were given.

His orientation to the results and his explanations of what those results mean was outstanding.

Very thorough study explanations and analyses. Strengths and weaknesses were thorough and went

further than the typical seminar. Great thoughts on how you'd improve the study design. Also, great table of the current literature on the Mozart effect. Wonderful review of power and how it related to your studies.

Put a lot of thought into the strengths and limitations. Really appreciated ability to move beyond presenting the limitations of the study, but coming up with possible solutions. Good review of power.

The idea of "music placebo" doesn't resonate very well. Maybe change that to white noise as mentioned in class

Well thought out strengths and weaknesses /

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	13	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Conclusions Comments

Conclusions were good. Good on mentioning role of pharmacist.

I like that you spoke to us about our role, but I think you could have used a cast to give us a good example.

Good conclusions based on the data.

His conclusions were supported by the studies and he discussed the role of pharmacists effectively.

I was skeptical of your topic, but you handled it well. I liked the pharmacist recommendations

Only comment would be to make a more specific recommendation about this topic.

Good conclusion based on facts presented. Great job explaining the pharmacist role in this topic.

For next time, I would suggest listing more specific pharmacist recommendations. Otherwise, good job!

I liked how you gave fairly specific recommendations that were completely based on the evidence you provided.

Discussed how pharmacist can make an impact with patients that are on difficult regimens.

You needed to be prompted about what your exact recommendation would be.

Though this type of topic is harder to apply to us druggists, he did a great job at explaining our place in the treatment process.

Conclusions were based on evidence. Great specific recommendation in regards to a patient population like the second study. You definitely discussed clinical vs statistical significance in both studies. Role of pharmacist was very clear.

Conclusions appropriate based on the studies presented. Specific recommendations for clinical practice were not clear at the end of the presentation, but became more evident what you have them to be during the question and answer session.

Make a recommendation for practice that is specific (you did this in Q&A but add it to the presentation)

Nice bringing back a non-pharm treatment back to what a pharmacist can do.

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Question Answer Session Comments

I thought you handled questions well. I liked the extra slides you had ready to answer questions with

Lots of questions, you had a good topic.

You got some difficult questions that were handled very well. Great job!

He encouraged questions and answered them well, and he even anticipated some questions.

Good answering of questions and discussion on how you'd improve the studies in the future

Anticipated a question which was amazing. Nice job.

Great job answering questions

It felt like you were bombarded with questions, but you were well prepared and answered all of them without problems

Nice thorough answers that were confidently presented.

Answered questions very well. Kudos to have supplementary slides for the question answer.

Lots of questions and you answered them thoroughly and succinctly.

His answers were complete, and he made sure those asking questions understood.

Great Q&A, you were able to think on your feet very well and even anticipated certain questions. Great having slides Ready to answer those questions.

Great job answering questions. Able to anticipate questions and formulate appropriate slides.

Find an attention grabber to begin with

answered all the questions well.

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

i thought you distinguished between statistical and clinical significance well.

This was the first I heard of this therapy, but you did a great job in presenting it.

You really knew your stuff! Very well done.

He knew a lot on the topic, which was obvious from his reference list. He was able to make his own conclusions and think on his feet.

I loved the detail in your handout. YYou included stuff not in the presentation that we could look into if we had more interest. I liked the anticipation of certain questions

Probably the most prepared student seminar I've seen. His thorough knowledge really showed.

Great knowledge base. I really liked how you put a summary page of previous studies that have been done in the appendix

Especially during the Q&A session it was clear how large your knowledge base was. You put a lot of work into preparing this seminar and it showed.

You seemed to know the topic extremely well and it definitely showed in your presentation!

He did a good job about explaining the significance of the clinical aspects of the data.

I think you could have looked for other data regarding other music

He obviously prepared well, and I have full confidence in his conclusions.

It was absolutely evident that he knew his material in detail. He clear thought through the topic, so he was able to theorize and think on his feet very well.

Definitely showed knowledge beyond the two studies presented. Able to really analyze the studies and make sense of how we would apply the results clinically. Often mentioned referral to Appendix A for other studies, maybe a summary slide of what those studies found (same or different results?) and how those would support or not support your seminar conclusion and recommendations for practice.

You knew a lot about the topic which was great and evident in the number of references you included good knowledge and anticipation of questions that would be asked.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked how you pointed out all the strengths and weaknesses of the studies and put them in context.

Great open and relaxed feel to your presentation.

The whole seminar was just good. From start to end. Your presentation style made it easy to follow the points you were making.

He had a confident presentation style, and he explained the strengths and limitations of the studies well.

You handled a "non-drug" topic well. I was worried, but you still made it relevant to pharmacy

I liked how prepared he was and was able to comment on how the trials could have actually have been better.

It was a very interesting topic and you had great slides and handout.

I really liked the topic

Having a background in music, I thought this was such a neat topic. While I had never heard of this, I feel I can definitely bring these recommendations to my practice in pediatrics.

He did a good job of explaining the data of each trial and how it applied to the patients.

Really interesting topic and your presentation style were excellent.

I love how he simulated a seizure by the projector. That effect really brought home the point!

This was by far the best seminar so far this seminar. The best things about it were confident speaking, solid knowledge base, thorough study evaluations.

Good pace. Interesting topic. Strong analysis of studies.

You owned the material in such a way that were indeed the expert in the room

Very interesting topic.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

A little more explanation on specific recommendations would have been nice.

I would have playing the piece or a small part of it.

Play the Mozart piece in the background, so that the audience can benefit from some passive seizure protection.

It was distracting during the presentation when the screen/slides would flicker.

You turned your back on the audience a few times walking back to the desk.

I think making a more specific recommendation is the only area I saw for improvement

Make a more clear conclusion.

I wish we could hear some Mozart

N/A

He could have looked into if there are any studies about if any other music made a difference in the outcomes.

I think additional research regarding other musical pieces for this indication would have been interesting to see.

He seemed to forget about the objective he had to explain what specific groups K.448 would be beneficial for.

Just that tiny stumbling during your objectives showed your nerves. If you can work on that, your presentation style will be flawless.

Specific recommendation regarding what patient populations would benefit or not benefit from the auditory stimulation. Would have appreciate use of other studies in Appendix A to support conclusion.

Try to polish the presentation because the knowledge was absolutely there

nothing comes to mind. Great job.

General Comments

You did a good job, way to stay calm.

Very well done!

Very different topic than usual and interesting to learn about.

Overall great job. Most interesting topic combined with being prepared made this the best seminar of this section thus far in my opinion.

Great job! Very interesting!

Great job!!!

Awesome seminar!

Great presentation. Very well presented and easy to follow.

N/A

Nada.

Amazing job! As I mentioned above, you presented the best seminar this semester so far. Excellent work!

Great job overall! Strong seminar and analysis. Great use of slides to emphasize key points. Could tell you put in a lot of work.

Interesting topic. something I had never thought of.