

Presenter: Buxton, Emily

Seminar Date: 2013-10-23

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.95	6.97	6.99	6.97	6.96	6.98	7	6.5	5.75	6.7	6.83	7	6.75	6.9	0	0	0	E (47.52)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	22	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.84
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Presentation with colors and graphs/pictures was great.

Great audience interaction and looked lovely.

Got a little fast sometimes.

Great pace. almost no reliance on notes.

Emily did her presentation basically from memory and didn't have to look at her notes hardly at all. She was very excited and enthusiastic about her topic which made it more interesting, but unfortunately she also started talking really fast when she got excited.

Presenter did a great job engaging the audience, speaking with enthusiasm, poise and confidence, and looking at the audience instead of notes or slides.

As usual, Emily was an excellent presenter; her enthusiasm for the topic really shines through in the presentation

Emily did not use her notes at all and maintained eye contact the entire time. She seemed very confident and did an excellent job presenting the material.

Talked a little too fast

Presented with little help from the slides. Was confident.

You excel in this area by your enthusiasm and ability to relate to the audience.

You had great poise and were very calm throughout the presentation.

Fantastic pacing, at times the presenter seemed to have more information than the allotted time would allow.

You were very professional and had amazing eye contact with the audience.

Ended some thoughts mid sentence

NA

Very high performance in this area.

Really good style and professionalism-

Emily was very comfortable presenting and did a great job!

Perfect pace, excellent presentation style

Extremely confident with presenting. Made it very enjoyable

Very professional and confident. Strong presentation style.

She was very confident and excited about the topic she was presenting. Had great eye contact and did not rely on notes

Very well paced but at times talked a little fast.

Hardly any reliance on your notes, you really knew your stuff.

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	23	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.88

Instructional Materials Comments

none

Amazing handouts and very nice slide presentation!

Nice side by side study slides.

Slides looked very professional.

There was some citing missing from her handout in the first few pages. Not sure where the data came from.

The slides and handout were very professional looking.

I would have liked just a little more background information in the handout; otherwise, everything was helpful and easy to look at

The slides and handout were simple (not too cluttered) and clear. I liked how the two studies were different colors.

Utilization of slide format and options was good. Good colors and graphics

Slides and handout were well organized and clever use of colors.

References were thin at the beginning. In general, information should come from 3 unique sources. Information near the end was excellently cited with primary sources.

You did a really good job at simplifying a complicated disease state and the charts to emphasize what was important for your topic.

Handout was very well crafted.

I absolutely loved your handout and I might just copy the format.....

Liked the side by side comparison

NA

Great job on slides/ handout. I liked the textured paper.

Good use of pictures and diagrams-

The diagrams in the background section were concise and to the point

I like the simple handout, it is more reflective of what is expected and done clinically, and doesn't divert attention away from the presentation.

Absolutely loved your slides. Very fun and kept it interesting.

Slides colorful, easy to read, and informative

Her slides were great but sometimes it got a little confusing with the two studies next to each other since they weren't super similar. Otherwise awesome!

Well put together

Information in handout and slides was concise and easy to follow.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Appropriate background information was provided	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

great content and very detailed information.

Very interesting topic!

well put together slides.

Controversy was very well framed and it showed that there is still a lot to consider with diabetes.

Really great job on this section. Background information was short and concise. I also thought all of the objectives were appropriate and accomplished by the end of the seminar.

The overall presentation content was well organized and all the criteria were met.

Logical, easy to follow, and entertaining content

Emily did an excellent job covering the background information. She is very knowledgeable on the subject.

Some information seemed rushed

Slides and presenter had excellent flow.

Background information was uniquely represented. It was adequate yet minimal making it easy to follow.

You had very good transitions, which made the presentation very smooth.

The content presented was very clinically significant and was presented in a manner that focused on the importance of the information for pharmacist's.

I could tell you were very interested in the topic and that carried over to the audience.

Included everything it needed to

NA

Nice work!

The controversy did not seem the most strong to me, but it worked-

Emily's interest in the topic was clear and she was very enthusiastic about the topic

Excellent presentation. I was worried you were going to be short, but you timed it well.

Extremely impressed with presentation content. Everything was very new. Flow was very smooth. You had a great knowledge of the information that made it very easy to talk about your topic.

Helpful and informative background, excellent job.

You could see her love for the topic and her interest. Made me actually want to learn about it. Her background information was thorough yet simplified so it was easy to understand

Very clear you are passionate about your topic well done.

Loved your enthusiasm for the topic!

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

none

I thought both cases were interesting; I liked that they were similar enough to do a side by side comparison.

really liked the comparisons between the slides

Some of the power and size statistics needed to be elaborated on.

I liked the side-by-side comparisons of the trials. I also liked that the data on the slides was brief but the data tables in the handout provided a lot more detail.

The presenter did a great job pointing out the most interesting and important findings of each study.

She did an excellent job of tackling the clinical data; I like the side-by-side presentation of the studies

She did a good job covering the studies. At first I was not sure having the studies side by side would work but I think she did a great job explaining the differences.

Clinical data was clearly explained

Providing several clinical recommendations was very helpful and clever.

Withdrawals were different between the two studies and it was not clear how they might have influenced results. I would have liked more discussion on this.

Your analysis of power was very thorough, and it was a good review of its importance.

This is one area where the presenter definitely shined. She clearly knew the material very well.

Objectives were clear and great!

Well done

NA

This was pretty good.

I liked the study presentation, it made for ease of comparison-

I was a little unclear about why the high drop out rates in the studies but other than that, great job!

I would have like to have had a blurb about the stats, but that's just my preference.

Very knowledgeable about the ins and outs of your studies. Didn't even need the slides to help you remember it. Great job!

Knowledgeable about the statistics, able to clearly explain tests and information.

Did a great job about going into detail about each study. She was well informed about the specifics of each and you could tell she had gone over the studies.

Great objectives and you met them all.

I liked the side by side assessment of studies.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	24	0	0	1	0	0	0	6.88
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

none

I liked all the optional conclusions and found each of them appropriate.

nice job on different type conclusions.

Very nice conclusions.

While I liked how she broke down different ways of interpreting the study data by personality type, I was unclear on what her personal stance was. It didn't really help me to make a decision as to what she would recommend for her patients. I did like how she explained the difference between clinical and statistical significance in one of her trials. Great job!

I really liked how the conclusions included a conservative approach and pioneering approach to using the treatment in practice. Recommendations for future research would have also been nice to see.

LOVED the fact that she gave the different options for conclusions "progressive approach, conservative approach, etc"

I liked how Emily had conservative and progressive conclusions. Not all pharmacists think the same so it was nice to cover both bases and relate clinical conclusions to both sides. Good job!

interesting and unique take on discussing the pharmacist's role.

Clinical recommendations were well thought out.

I loved your recommendations for the conservative, progressive, and dispensing pharmacist. Clever way to relate to everyone in your audience.

I liked how you had 3 specific conclusions that depended on the type of pharmacist.

Conclusions were absolutely fantastic. The presenter made conclusions from different viewpoints (i.e. liberal vs conservative practitioner).

I loved the tiered approach. It seems a little more reasonable that saying what one should do when everyone will think differently.

Well done

NA

Seemed to draw conclusions of superiority from both trials, where I believe one was only a non-inferiority trial.

I like the different levels of recommendation--which kind of reflect the uncertainty of Invokana's role-

I really liked how Emily separated the different conclusions- it was clear that she put a lot of thought into how this medication would fit into pharmacy practice

I like the different conclusions you had. Outstanding seminar.

Loved the multiple-conclusion approach. I think it worked wonderfully for this topic. Made it a little more realistic.

Really liked the conservative vs progressive pharmacist conclusions

She provided specific clinical recommendations which I thought were very insightful and helpful for practice.

Impressive how you came to your own conclusions and didn't let the trials conclusions sway your opinion.

I thought it was very inventive to describe conclusions based on an individual clinician (conservative vs. progressive).

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Question Answer Session Comments

The presenter was very well prepared to answer audience question.

Great audience rapport.

knew the materials to give thoughtful answers.

Was very well poised and i enjoyed the quote.

Remarkable job on the Q & A session, Emily! You answered all questions to the best of your ability. It was clear that you knew a lot more about this topic and the studies than what you presented to the class.

Presenter answered questions with confidence.

Handled questions with poise

Emily is very knowledgeable and was able to answers all questions with confidence.

Confidently answered questions and was prepared.

Answered questions well and demonstrated thorough knowledge of the material.

I would have liked some pauses and invitations for questions throughout.

You did a great job at theorizing the mechanism of renal protection.

The student's overall knowledge based was established during the Q and A and it is almost a shame that she did not have the time to present in greater detail. She clearly put in a lot of work.

Amazing job answering the questions.

Showed thorough knowledge

NA

Great job here.

Nice job with questions

Really knowledgeable about the topic and that showed when she answered questions

Annihilated questions with superb pharmacotherapy knowledge.

Great job! Questions can sometimes be a little intimidating, but you nailed it!

Poised and strong information

She did a great job of clearly answering questions and seemed to know or be able to infer the answers.

Very capable at fielding additional questions.

Fielding of questions demonstrated your extensive knowledge of the subject.

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

none

Very knowledgeable of the subject matter.

knew and understood materials well.

Based on seminar and Q&A it was obvious that emily's knowledge went far beyond what was covered.

It was clear that you knew your studies thoroughly and you had a lot of background in this topic. I liked how you were able to discuss clinical vs statistical significance in one of your studies.

I could tell that the presenter knew a great deal about the topic.

It was truly evident that her knowledge base went much deeper than what she presented (and it showed)

Emily is very knowledgeable. She was able to draw from her knowledge and research to support her conclusions and answer questions.

Very knowledgeable and genuinely interested in topic

Presenter was clearly well prepared.

I thought your discussion of mycotic infections showed a thorough understanding of issues with the use of this drug. Some would have stopped after the discussion of efficacy.

I thought it was really interesting how you included data from recent abstracts that haven't been published yet. That added a lot to the conclusions and recommendations you gave.

The half hour time frame for the seminar was clearly only scratching the surface of what the student actually knew about the topic. Very well done!

Answered questions about everything!

Very good

NA

Great job with the questions.

Your knowledge comes across in your presentations

She was obviously very well prepared and it was obvious that she had put a lot of time researching the topic. She was very comfortable answering questions, even when she wasn't sure what the answer was she came up with a reasonable response

Great knowledge base

Very knowledgeable! Loved the enthusiasm

Obviously passionate about the subject and informed

She was very informed about diabetes treatment and specifically invokana. She did a great job of relating it to clinical practice.

Very impressive knowledge base.

You think well on your feet, were able to answer all questions thorough, showed extensive knowledge base.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

The presentation was very organized with charts and colorful graphs. The conclusion breakdown on progressive and conservative approach was also great.

I enjoyed the subject matter, her presentation, slides and handout. She was very professional in her approach and yet fun.

Well researched and informative. Nice to have some insights on new drugs.

Emily's enthusiasm was contagious.

Emily was extremely well prepared for her seminar. She had great eye contact throughout the entire presentation and was genuinely enthusiastic about her seminar topic.

I liked how the presenter was enthusiastic, confident, and relaxed.

The conclusions--everything about it. Pharmacy is rarely "black and white", and as I've learned on rotations, it can sometimes depend on what mood the attending physician is in (progressive, conservative, etc)

I liked her confidence and enthusiasm. When someone is enthusiastic about their presentation it makes the presentation more interesting and enjoyable. She did a great job!

I really liked the way the two studies were compared side by side in the handout. It made for a quick and easy reference to find the differences and similarities of the studies.

Energetic and confident. Demonstrated thorough knowledge of the material.

Your seminar was unique and showed creativity and original thought. I liked your recommendations for various types of pharmacists and the way you simplified complicated concepts.

I thought it was really good that you brought in other studies of sitagliptin that showed that sitagliptin had similar lowering in the study comparing it to conagliptin.

The conclusions were fantastic and the overall flow and content of the seminar was terrific.

I loved your enthusiasm and handout.

Well put together. I liked the different conclusions/recommendations

Did a great job. Was confident with the material.

Very professional dress, demeanor and presentation. Presenter was enthusiastic about the topic. Overall excellent presentation.

Easy to follow, and interesting

Emily was very comfortable in front of the audience and very enthusiastic

Very confident presenter and good conclusions

Loved, loved, loved your ease in presenting. It made it the topic so much more interesting because you were so into it. I loved how you talked to everyone in the audience professionally, yet not too stiff and straight.

Colorful slides with informative conclusions which can be put to actual use

She made it easy to pay attention and be interested in the topic. Great job!!

Beautifully executed your presentations skills were top notch.

Thoroughly enjoyed your seminar. I like the way you simplify things and bring a very practical approach.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

May be slow down a little while presenting. The verbal pace was fast and was sometime hard to understand.

She speaks quickly in general, so at times during the presentation it was a little difficult for me to catch all that she was explaining.

Slow down a little. Otherwise I was pleased with the seminar.

Slowing down for some of the points would have been helpful.

Try to keep your excitement level down a bit so you don't start rushing through your seminar. Also, don't forget to cite your references in your handout.

The presenter could have stated whether or not Invokana should be prescribed as part of a "shotgun" approach near the initiation of diabetic therapy.

Maybe put in just a little more background info in the handout

I did not see any room for improvement.

Describe what would make for a good study of Invokana in the future?

Perhaps said "like" a tiny bit too much. Although, it wasn't really distracting to me.

Some abbreviations in the handout were not defined and some references were missing or inadequate. In my opinion, studies were not similar enough to be discussed together.

The side-by-side presentation of studies went well initially; however, I thought it got a little confusing during the results sections.

No comments.

Learn your statistical analyses for different types of trials. ;)

Maybe your views on the place of this drug in future therapy regimens

The side by side study comparison was confusing at times.

Its seemed like some of her conclusions did not follow from the data in the studies presented. I mentioned non-inferiority cannot determine superiority if not set up to do so. Also, the safety, efficacy, and cost were stated to be superior in the conclusions, but I didn't notice that data in the presentation. She seemed to explain away the high dropout rate due to hyperglycemia, but dropout is not random and should be considered a study weakness.

I agree with the conclusions, but they leave me wanting a more definitive answer-

Emily spoke a little fast at times but overall it really wasn't an issue- I just can't think of anything else that needs improvement. Great job!

Maybe additional discussion about going from a non-inferiority trial to superiority. Not needed, but I have to give you something to improve upon.

Sometimes started talking a little bit to fast, but it's just cause you were excited

None

Make the handout go along with the slides a little better so its easier to follow along.

Spoke a little fast at times but everyone understood what you were saying.

Nothing that I can think of.

General Comments

A great presentation overall.

Fantastic! I thought it was a great seminar overall.

Great job and way to go on the first day.

One of the best seminars I've seen. I think you did an excellent job and deserve an A+++++. =)

The presentation was excellent!

Great, great, great seminar!

Overall this was a great presentation. The slides were clear and easy to understand and Emily

presented the material very confidently.

I really liked the emphasis on the cost effectiveness of the new drug. It's a really important factor to consider when prescribing this or suggesting it 's use.

Did an excellent job and was a joy to listen to.

Great work.

I really liked how you presented 3 options that are acceptable based on the data, but you still had an opinion, which made you not seem wishy-washy in your conclusions.

Overall one of the strongest seminars I have attended to date. Fantastic job!!

You did an absolutely amazing job. Good work!

Well done overall

NA

Thanks for the cookies Emily!

Very well done.

None

great Job!!

Great work A+

Great job!