

Presenter: Huynh, Gary

Seminar Date: 2013-12-05

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.9	6.9	6.89	6.97	7	6.97	7	6.5	5.25	6.6	7	7	6.25	6.4	0	0	0	E (46.98)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.83
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	14	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.78
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

You seemed very comfortable with the material.

The pacing was excellent. Your speech was even throughout the presentation which made it easy for the audience to follow.

Great presentation style. Proceeded at a moderate pace, made good eye contact with the audience, was professional. Did have some distracting mannerisms, like saying "um" quite a bit throughout the presentation.

Eye contact throughout, covered quite a bit of material in short time. Good job.

Your pace was great. I liked how it didn't feel rushed, or intentionally slow.

I have not had diabetes in therapeutics yet but felt that I understood everything going on so you nailed the appropriate level for the audience. Also, with 3 big studies it would seem to be hard to get through but I never felt you were rushed or were worried about finishing. Great job.

Very confident and perfect pace.

I would recommend looking at the board less and speaking towards the audience more

I thought that you went at a very good pace

I really liked your pace, it was brisk enough to keep things moving without rushing.

At points during the presentation it seemed that relied on the slides a little.

I thought your presentation style was very comfortable to listen to and easy to follow. I feel like your pace was appropriate and your poise was fantastic.

He said uh and um several times, but was still very professional and confident.

I continue to be impressed with the pace of Gary's presentations. It wasn't rushed, nor slow, just perfect.

Very good and consistent pace.

I thought your pace was relaxed and nice.

Presentation style was relaxed and had a good pace. Just a few filler words once in a while, but did not detract from overall presentation.

Pace was great in terms of enough info in a reasonable amount of time. Maybe step back so you can glance at the computer monitor without turning your head so much (may make it less noticeable-just a suggestion)

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.83
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

Handout was well put together and easy to navigate.

Slides looked great and were easy to read. You forgot to orient the audience to a few of the earlier charts, but you remembered towards the end.

Slides and handout were well organized and well written. Used a lot of charts and tables in the presentation and did a great job explaining them to the audience and highlighting what was important. Cited appropriate references.

I loved the direct-to-the-point nature of the graphs. Easy to read and understand and conveyed the results of the research well. I didn't so much like the anatomical image. It was too small to serve the purpose (at least what I assumed the purpose to be) that was intended.

I liked how your handout matched your slides.

I followed your handout throughout the lecture and liked how I did not need to keep flipping to the back for additional references. It was very well organized. Only suggestion would be to bold the points you had on the powerpoint to match the document.

Great! Slides were very concise and easy to read. I really liked the graphs that were made to display the results.

Some of the slides, especially the inclusion/exclusion criteria were a little busy. However, good job highlighting which criteria were particularly significant

You did a good job making the slides easy to read

You listed the blood pressure goal on your last slide as 140/90 rather than 140/80.

The slides and charts were easy to read and follow. Liked how he further went further to explain the charts during the presentation.

Your slides looked great. The hand out was very easy to follow and added to the success of your presentation. Citations were included and the tools utilized to orient the audience to the graphs were awesome.

The highlighted portions of the slides didn't always show up on the handout, which would have been nice.

Excellent use of graphics to aid understanding (eg, inclusion of Kaplan-Meier curves). The addition of your custom labels on those curves to help us identify each treatment arm was very useful.

I liked the handout. It wasn't too long and contained all the information needed.

Your handout was helpful. Your slides were nicely done.

Very clear and clean handout and slides. Good use of space. There was just one minor slide with the picture on it that had very small wording and a few of the tables were a little blurry.

Found just one error in the handout but one of your end slides said <140/90 instead of 80 (in case you use the presentation again)

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.83
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Appropriate background information was provided	14	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.78
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Slides were not too wordy, help keep focus on you.

I liked that you referred back to your objectives throughout the presentation. That helped the audience remember what was important and what to focus on.

Had clear objectives and a well organized presentation. Went quickly over his interest in the topic, the controversy, and background of the subject - he could have spent more time discussing those points.

The background was good in the handout, but I wouldn't have minded seeing just a little bit more in the presentation. Again, hard to dwell on it when there is so much to cover

Very smooth transitions. You spoke without being choppy.

I thought the interest portion was great. Opening with this let me know that you were very curious about the topic and made me more interested in it as well.

The background information was a little rushed, but he had a lot of data present so that is probably why. I would have liked to hear more about the background on the goals and how they came up with the goals

Seminarian did not highlight the reason why this topic was chosen

Could have talked about your interest a little bit more

Great objectives, you covered your interest, however there was not a whole lot of background provided other than that.

The background and interest during the presentation as pretty brief. I would have liked a little more information.

The purpose of this seminar and the corresponding controversy were communicated very well. Your objectives were very appropriate and all of them were met through your presentation.

I loved how clear and concise the background information was. I also think the controversy in the form of a frequently asked question was very applicable.

I thought a strength of the presentation was revisiting objectives at the end in the patient case (ie, testing our knowledge of what the patient's BP goal should be); this solidified that important takeaway.

Good topic and relevant.

Interesting topic because it looked at the 'why' of the guidelines.

Appreciated the way you step up your studies--they built on one another. Would have like a little more background information regarding your specific outcomes that you wanted to look at in the study (in other words, what does hypertension lead to?) and information surrounding the controversy (how did they end up with the 130 mmHg?)

One suggestion I have been given is to always include a power "statement" not just the power itself (eg, "80% percent power t detect a 12% difference between...)

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.94
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Graphs and charts were easy to read.

Great explanation and analysis of the data. You were able to present the information in a clear way such that the audience could follow your reasoning.

Did a great job taking the audience through the studies. Talked about power, clinical and statistical significance, and did a great job pointing out strengths and weaknesses of the studies. Also explained appropriateness of endpoints thoroughly.

Good choice of studies. There were plenty of strengths as weaknesses of all of them. I liked the analysis of the bias in the first study as it related to poorly addressing adverse events. Good job pointing out the decrease in external validity

I thought you did a thorough statistical workup

I thought your flow through the studies were great, i.e., starting with the higher goals in studies and following the continual drop in blood pressure throughout. Very logical.

I liked how he stated why he chose the studies he did. He also did a great job summarizing them and stating the important strengths and weaknesses.

A comment on power of the studies might be useful

Good job talking about the strengths and limitations of each study

I thought you presented exactly enough information about each study.

I liked how he was able to point out the fundamental differences of each study and how they applied to his seminar.

Your objectives were very appropriate and all of them were met through your presentation. The data from each study was communicated very well and the significance of the data was interpreted by you rather than simply relying on the authors conclusion. / All the statistical interpretations of the data appeared to be appropriate.

I thought age differences in the different studies could have been included in the strengths/limitations sections.

Gary, I thought you did a superb job identifying what an appropriate follow-up time would be based on the given study's primary outcome measure, and elaborating on whether or not the follow-up time was appropriate or not.

I liked that you used the studies the guidelines were based upon.

I liked how big your studies were-jealous! Actually it was good to see the pivotal studies that made up this decision.

Great analysis. Really took apart the study and looked at the strengths and limitations and how the study could be applied to specific patients.

(my power comment was meant for here) Maybe provide us a little more background

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

I liked how you presented your opinion.

Your conclusions were supported by the data and were sound. Great application for pharmacists.

Conclusions were well supported by the data, gave specific recommendations, and discussed the role of the pharmacist. Liked the case at the end of the presentation to emphasize the clinical application of his conclusions and the new guidelines.

This is a very important topic as HTN is so prevalent. The list of the pharmacists role is very good and encompasses many areas of the patient, not just drugs

I like how you presented a case at the end to demonstrate recommendations

I thought you hit on the importance exceptionally well, especially with how passionate you were about the one study not checking blood pressure enough and how this was a disservice to the patients. This is a very important topic and affects several populations, as seen with Dr. Oderda's question about the elderly.

I really liked the case at the end to bring it all together

It was clear how seminarian arrived to his overall conclusions, since they were supported by his 3 studies

Very strong and individualized conclusions

Your conclusions were based on the studies and were appropriately described. I appreciated the case to reinforce the conclusion.

He made appropriate conclusions about the data presented. He also recommended further suggestions for treatment that would improve the outcomes of patients with stroke risks.

Your conclusion was appropriate and in line with the data presented. The logic behind your conclusion was sound and supported.

Including the portion about current and ongoing studies is, I feel, relevant to clinical practice. It gives us

a good place to go in the future.

I thought the overall conclusions were appropriate based on the data presented in the seminar.

Good conclusions. Well thought out.

I agreed with your assessments. I like that this is an area pharmacists can help in-interpretation of the guidelines!

Great conclusions--specific and were supported by the studies presented. Would have liked a little more explanation for the "right" answer with the case. I think explaining your thinking process behind it would have allowed for further reiteration of your conclusion.

I liked the future research component and the reach to non-CAD patient in study three. I was wondering how applicable the first study really is and you mentioned that in your analysis of it.

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

Good job in knowing more about your topic apart from the slides.

There were a lot of questions and your thoughtful answers demonstrated your excellent background knowledge.

Did a great job encouraging questions and did well answering questions that were asked with great composure.

I would have made a brief pause in between studies to ask for questions that related specifically to that study.

You really asked for questions until there were no more.

Definitely encouraged questions and I thought gave adequate answers to all of them and when no answer was available was able to think on his feet to give an appropriate insightful answer.

Great job answering questions. When he didn't know he theorized and did his best to answer the question being asked

There were not that many questions asked, but seminarian seemed prepared and showed extensive knowledge in the subject. Overall, good job!

Very good job encouraging questions

Great job handling the questions.

Answered all question and demonstrated his knowledge of the subject.

Durring the Q&A section it was apparent that you were well prepared. You were able to answer all of the questions from an authoritative/expert position. / You interaction with the audience was very comfortable throughout.

I was fully satisfied with the answers he gave to questions. His answers were clear, concise, and confident.

Excellent job encouraging and answering questions in a professional manner.

Very good job answering questions.

We weren't very helpful in answering questions but thank you for being pesky and asking us again!

Answered question thoughtfully. Utilized overall knowledge base well.

Like the way you gave thought to each question and made bold statements for each answer. You could try a more specific question in the case to encourage the audience to speak up.

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

You used 3 articles, more than we needed, good job.

Great analysis of the studies and your overall knowledge was clear during Q&A.

Very obvious he knew the topic well and the history of the topic. Loved that he told us about studies that are being conducted right now on the subject.

I liked the corroboration between the results of the various studies in that stroke risk was affected but not other CV events. It really tied the whole presentation together. Either good job selecting studies or good job picking out the relevance among the noise

Your conclusions were really in tune with current guidelines. I didn't quite feel your own personal thoughts, but I think in this case that is ok.

Much like the last comment, the ability to think on his feet was there. In a situation like this where the studies are not directly studying the topic I think this skill is absolutely necessary and Gary clearly has this skill.

Great knowledge of the subject. I really liked how he mentioned future data that will be applicable in our future careers

Seminarian discussed future research/studies currently in progress. It was a good addition to the presentation

I could tell you knew a lot about the material

Especially through the questions you were asked, I could tell that you had done your research on this topic. Nice job!

As stated earl in a previous section, he extended his conclusions and suggested ways to improve

outcomes in patients with stroke risks.

You extensive knowledge of the subject material was demonstrated throughout. Especially during the question and answer section.

The answers he gave during the Q&A session showed that he put a lot of preparation into this topic.

Based on the presentation overall and the Q & A session, it was evident that Gary was well-prepared for his seminar and invested sufficient time and effort researching his topic to treat the audience to a top-notch seminar.

You answered questions very well and demonstrated great knowledge of the subject.

I appreciated the context of the studies! Very interesting!

Definitely able to look at the clinical significance of the data presented. Clearly well prepared.

Your answers to questions showed you knew a lot and were prepared.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Great choice of snacks.

The pacing was perfect. Very easy to follow and pay attention.

I enjoyed his style of going over the studies, I thought he did a great job explaining the data thoroughly including results and strengths/weaknesses and its significance.

I thought the eclectic nature of the studies was a strength. Each had it unique design and parameters yet they all made a similar observation.

Good job Gary, I particularly liked the pacing and steadiness of your seminar.

I thought the decision to not spend a lot of time on the background was a great choice. It allowed us to quickly get into the topic and cover the studies.

I really liked the case at the end to sum up all of the information presented

Very thorough analysis of the studies

I liked how you chose such an applicable topic

I really liked how you described each study and presented the findings.

I liked how he was able to take the data from the 3 studies and apply each of the strengths and apply it to his seminar. Also how he also further recommend additions guidelines for at risk patients

I liked your handout because it added value to your presentation and you made it in a way that was easy to follow. / I liked how you explained the rational behind the conclusions you were drawing and the clinical importance of these conclusions.

It was smoother than one of my peach smoothies. (Well, maybe not that smooth, but close.)

Simply put, Gary embodies professionalism and poise. There's just something about his presentation style that commands my complete attention and enhances my mental focus. It's very easy to have a positive and stimulating learning experience when listening to a presenter as poised and captivating as Gary Huynh--I think his tone of voice has a lot to do with his effectiveness as a speaker. Gary was also dressed very nicely and well-groomed, which made it easy to focus on him for the entirety of the presentation. Thank you for an excellent experience surrounding a very pertinent topic to all of our practices regardless of specialty.

Great seminar. Relevant topic and you did a good job presenting it.

It showed an baseline analysis of the reasoning behind the guidelines and what that knowledge means for us.

Thought process behind strengths and limitations in regards to using those to support or not support your conclusions.

I always like topics where we analyze recommendations and really think about where they come from so great job choosing a topic

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

move away from the podium more often

Maybe include more background information about the controversy and why the previous guidelines recommended a certain BP.

I thought his introduction was a bit weak, I wished he had gone over the background of the topic more as well as the controversy.

Again, just pause in between each study to ask for study specific questions

You could have better differentiated your conclusions from the authors, but great job.

The only comment for improvement I really had related to the bolding of certain topics in the handout to reflect those on the powerpoint.

Provide a little more background information to emphasize the importance of the topic and controversy

I would recommend more background info

You could have gone a little more detail into your background

Give a little more background to set up the controversy and topic.

Could have went a little more in depth on the background before moving on to the studies.

One suggestion is working on the excess use of filler-words during pauses of your presentation. I didn't feel like it was to the point that it distracted from your work, but there was a lot of "uhhhs" throughout.

The biggest deficiency was the highlighted portions of his slides not being on the handout.

I believe the only lingering issue that was only mildly resolved was the question about what data the previous guidelines were based on. I thought you appropriately answered this question, but that your response could have been firmer.

nothing

A little bit more about your interest in the topic would be nice.

Utilizing the patient case further--explaining the thought process, paraphrasing audience's answers to

the case.

The only suggestion I have is to tell us in the beginning why this topic matters to you and why you are passionate about it.

General Comments

you looked composed and professional

Excellent job overall.

Overall great presentation, enjoyed the topic and think it will be very useful in future practice.

When I first read the topic I thought it might be kind of boring, but something I have not seen as of yet was seeing true passion in points made throughout the lecture. A few times during the presentation you said things like "I feel..." and this really made it seem to me that you cared about this topic greatly.

Great job! Great analysis of data and awesome seminar

Awesome job Gary!

Nice seminar.

Good seminar that addressed new changes to guidelines for patient with DM. I felt I have learned how these new guidelines will affect me in my future and how I can best address them.

No additional comments. Thank you.

Nice touch with the spritzer. Well done, sir. Well done indeed.

I THOROUGHLY enjoyed your seminar. Thank you for making good use of our time by presenting a top-notch, relevant seminar.

Great presentation, thank you for an interesting seminar!

Great job! Very interesting topic that has great relevance in clinical practice. Appreciated your ability to delineate what should be taken away from the studies.

This was a challenge but very relevant and you met that challenge well.