

# Presenter: Jensen, Riley

Seminar Date: 2014-03-19

## Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.96	6.83	6.89	6.95	6.99	7	6.98	7	6.63	6.5	6.42	6.63	7	6.6	0	0	0	E (47.27)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Presentation Style Comments

Pausing longer for questions in future presentations would be helpful

Liked your quicker and relaxed pace

Great eye contact with the audience.

Great pace. You hardly looking your notes at all.

some reliance on notes but he had a great pace and everything /

Riley had a good pace and showed confidence and new his material well.

Minimal reliance on notes, great pacing and inflection.

Great job! Excellent eye contact!

Good style some times you would reach for your sleeve cuff, no big tho

smooth, moderate pace

Riley's presentation style was seamless and professional. He had minimal reliance on his notes and presented appropriately for the audience.

very confident seminar. Great pace and enthusiastic about topic.

Pace was good, rely a little less on your slides.

Presented at a good pace and had good eye contact with audience. Displayed confidence and material presented was at an appropriate level for audience.

Riley's fun facts really added to his presentation.

Great pace, very professional and maintained great eye contact!

Good manners, a little slow

## Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	10	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.53
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	6.93
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88

## Instructional Materials Comments

Your materials were clear and easy to read

Great looking slides, some of the figures were a little small

I thought the slides were well put together and displayed the information well.

Your font was a little small. I would have made it bigger and easier to read.

I would have split the two studies up into separate tables for better following and cite studies throughout slides

I would recommend larger font for his slides but other than that his slides were great.

Good use of graphs and tables to present data.

Great orientation to charts! One of the charts was too small to read. Also the font on the slides could have been a big bigger :)

I liked the wide look to your slides it made it easy to read

Slides contained a lot of information, but were not overcrowded. Handout was simple and clean

Overall Riley's materials were appropriate. Avoid citing Uptodate for medical literature and focus more on primary literature.

slides looked really good, not to messy/ busy.

Font on slides was a little hard to read, maybe make it bigger.

Slides were concise and looked "clean". Words could have be a LITTLE bigger but it wasn't a big deal. Handout was clear and well organized.

Riley did a great job presenting his slides, but his font size could have been larger.

I am usually a hater of white text on a dark background, but you made that look good!

Good simple slide design, I like the wide screen slides

## Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	12	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.65
4	Appropriate background information was provided	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Overall Presentation Content Comments

Great job orienting us to the controversy of this unusual topic

Liked your interest and the question slides

I really appreciated the story behind the his interest in the topic. It presented the topic as a real world, applicable scenario.

Your first objective was a little broad and vague. Describing the cellular and humoral immune system is both simple and very complex

He had great flow through out i would have added another objective that was more clinical also double check if they were the same in the handout and slides

I liked that his interest in the topic was relevant to his practice site. This was something that he can use going forward.

Excellent background and loved the side topics presented with each question slide.

very smooth and well presented. The interest in the topic was great!

Good back ground, I forgot most of my immune stuff so it was a good refresher

Really enjoyed your introduction story, gained my attention and interest in topic

Riley's objectives could have been more concrete. He only had three objectives and two were met during the background/introduction sections. It felt like he should have spent a little more time on these objectives if they were a main focus.

more concise objectives next time.

Background information set up the topic well.

Good topic introduction and background. Interesting topic choice and controversy was clearly evident. Information flowed well.

Riley's introduction and explanation of his interest in the topic was well presented and caught the audiences interest.

The content was great, and you didn't rely on your slides which was even better. Well done!

I like that you made your own data tables

## Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

The clinical data you presented was clear and easy to follow/interpret

did not mention the statistics of the study much

I thought Riley picked his studies well and I agreed with his interpretation of the data.

Your presentation of the studies was excellent. You had just the right amount of information, but it was not overwhelming.

he did a great job picking out the pros and cons of the study and breaking down each one

Riley had a good understanding of the results and discussed the appropriateness of the statistics.

Great job recognizing the significant problems associated with the studies (nebulous flu endpoints, etc.)

Great trials! One question was are there studies that should probiotics vs other prevention?

I like how you looked at the dropouts in the 2nd study and discussed how the drop outs put them below their power level

Identified appropriate limitations of studies

Riley provided a thorough analysis of the data presented. He was able to determine appropriate outcomes and conclusions.

discussion of clinical data was done very well

Explained the studies well, handout helped me to really figure out what was going on (only because their outcome measures were a little obscure).

Presented material in a clear and concise way. Mentioned trials but did not into statistical analysis on slides....not sure if this was necessary. This was in the handout thought so ok. Discussed dropouts as well as study strengths and limitations.

Riley did a great job of presenting the important facts in each study without including too much information.

The presentation of complex data was simplified and delivered with precision.

I would like a discussion on why these studies were selected over others



## Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Conclusions Comments

Your conclusions were supported by the data presented

Thought you gave a good explanation of the conclusion and how it is relevant to pharmacy

Fantastic job incorporating the role of the pharmacist. The subject of the seminar was the result of a real pharmacist's intervention, and the Presented conclusions are useful in our daily practice.

I thought your conclusions were appropriate. Personally, I came to the same conclusions based on the evidence you provided

conclusions were made based on the information presented and the data as well

I liked that Riley discussed the importance of respecting our patients and making them feel comfortable to ask any and all questions.

Conclusions were definite and well supported. great job!

Really like how you talked about not being judgemental when dealing with patients

Get tip for a pharmacist on not brushing questions away we may think are off the wall

Good role of pharmacist, including things applicable beyond your specific seminar topic

Riley focused on the importance of the pharmacist role and making appropriate recommendations based on evidence available.

good recommendations for pharmacists.

Very good ideas of how the pharmacist can be involved and help patients.

Discussed conclusions in support of data analysis. Good job discussing role of the pharmacist/recommendations. Also answered questions well that came up in regards to probiotic recommendations in community pharmacy.

Riley's comments about giving patients good information, but not being judgmental were great and very applicable to pharmacy practice.

Conclusions matched the results and it was clear to see, which was due in large part to how you presented it. Nice job!

Conclusions were good, skeptical with an open mind

## Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Question Answer Session Comments

Great job fielding questions. You answered each one quickly and succinctly!

Answered questions thoroughly

I loved the question slides. They drastically increased my interest in the seminar.

You were amazing at answering questions. You were able to answer them all easily and concisely

he should learn to pause a bit more at question slides, but he did well

Riley was well prepared to answer all questions.

Riley did an excellent job answering questions. I could tell he had a good base of knowledge outside the lecture and answered questions with detail while not going off on tangents. great job!

It was almost like you anticipated the questions that were coming! Great job!

Good job at answering questions, you could tell you were well prepared

Great job with questions, and I enjoyed how you had a little side story for your question slides

Riley was knowledgeable and able to appropriately answer audience questions.

you anticipated questions and your answers were appropriate.

Did a very good job at answering questions.

Answered questions well. I liked how you put interesting pictures/facts on the question slides that tied into your seminar topic.

Riley could have allowed more time for questions at break points.

You fielded questions like Cal Ripken Jr. You could tell the background knowledge and confidence was there!

Did very well answering questions

## Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Overall Knowledge Base Comments

It was clear that you know a lot about the topic, and you conveyed this knowledge to the audience as well.

Great seminar

Great job answering questions. When he didn't know the answer, he didn't make things up.

Extremely knowledgeable. You were able to easily answer all questions. Expert on the topic

he was able to think on his feet and he knew his stuff for a "weak" topic

Riley had a broad understanding of the material and seemed comfortable answering questions.

Riley seemed to have an excellent knowledge base outside his topic and it showed in the question/answer sessions and in his fun side topics with each question slide.

Overall great job of distinguishing what and how to tell patients if they are interested in using probiotics as a preventative measure for flu

Good overall basis on probiotics, i liked the theoretical mechanism of actions

Could tell you had a very extensive knowledge base, with thoughtful assessment of your topic

Riley handled questions well. He was prepared and professional. He was able to rationalize and think on his feet. This was in part due to his preparation.

you seemed very knowledgeable about the subject matter and the discussion of each study.

I could tell you had a good knowledge base; it showed throughout your presentation and in your question sections.

Demonstrated a good knowledge base and was able to discuss clinical and statistical significance. Able to think on your feet and anticipated audience questions and answered them in a way that demonstrated knowledge and research beyond the 2 studies.

Riley showed good overall knowledge of this subject.

A great strength to your presentation style is how well you are able to think on your feet. Heck of a job.

Very impressive

## Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Your topic was very applicable to my practice setting. Thanks!

How you talked about the main points and got to the point

I really enjoyed Riley's interaction with the audience. He made good contact and presented the information in an interactive way.

Great job. I felt like I understood all that was presented. Very clear presenting.

I liked his pharmacist role slide where he said to keep a judgment freezone etc

He displayed confidence.

I liked the side topics presented with each question slide. These kept me interested while providing a little extra information pertaining to the topic.

Great question slides and interesting tidbits about probiotics

I really liked the simplicity of your handout, it was straight forward and easy to read, i also loved the random facts of interest during your question slides

Very well delivered and enjoyable presentation

I really enjoyed the fun facts included throughout. It helped keep things interesting and grabbed the attention of the audience.

The subject matter was very interesting and your pace and confidence was good.

Interesting topic that I knew nothing about so I learned some new things.

Slides and handout were very well put together and organized. You seemed very confident when presenting and made sure to answer all audience questions in a thoughtful and succinct manner.

Riley presented a good amount of information and overall flow of presentation made this an interesting presentation to listen to.

The best part of his seminar was how well he answered questions, like a professional.

I liked the adds spread throughout

## Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Pause longer for questions from the audience.

text on slides could be bigger

Have more confidence in your conclusions and firmly state what the literature says.

Some slides and font were too small to read. This is my biggest criticism.

increase the quality of the objectives

Larger font for his slides.

The studies were not great quality due to nebulous endpoints etc., but this was pointed out and there are probably not any great quality studies yet on this topic. Overall, I thought this was a great presentation.

I have gotten numerous people telling me that the font on the slides needs to be at least 20. Making the font bigger would help out a little! But over excellent job

more arrested development references

Larger text on your slides and use a laser pointer rather than your hand

Riley's objectives were complex and I feel like he could have spent a little more time discussing them throughout the presentation.

your did a great job and I am having a hard time identifying something for you to improve on.

The slides were hard to read because of font size and white text on black can be hard to read too.

Words on the slide could be just a tiny bit bigger. Also could have discussed a bit more of the background/pathophysiology as it pertained to your objectives. Maybe touch a bit more on the statistical analysis of studies.

Riley could have perhaps included a little more information about what probiotic products are available and how the ones reviewed fit into the group of overall products available.

Increase the font size of the Powerpoint presentation.

Please explain why the studies were chosen

## General Comments

Great Job!

great seminar

I really enjoyed the presentation. I appreciate that it was useful information, and also easy to understand.

Na

great job riley i really enjoyed the slides with the fun facts etc

Well done Riley Donald Jensen!

Great job with your seminar! I flowed well and you seemed very calm and relaxed. really enjoyed listening to you!

Great job

Noted places within your handout (data tables) lacking consistency, periods after some comments, but not others. Overall, very good job

no further comments

Overall a strong presentation and good information.

Good presentation and demonstrated good overall knowledge base! Great job!

Fun Facts and personal experience helped make the presentation flow and added interest.

I loved this topic and how well you presented it. Great job all around!

Good job