

Presenter: Ajwani, Nancy

Seminar Date: 2014-04-16

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
7	6.98	6.93	6.95	6.9	7	6.97	6.75	6.86	6.9	6.91	7	6.75	7	0	0	0	E (47.97)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Appropriate pacing, fabulous eye contact!

good pace. no note reliance /

Great pace and presentation style.

I like how you talked to us and not at us. You presented the material in a way that helped us learn - not rushed, not over rehearsed, just right.

Nancy was very composed and very comfortable, very professional in front of the class

You maintained a good pace and did a great job maintaining eye contact. You seemed confident and professional.

You made great eye contact with the audience

Great transitions and organizational flow. Segue of why we are talking about this and why it is important.

Excellent job interacting with audience. You had many questions and I think it was because of your conversational tone. Your pace was right on and you had minimal reliance on your notes.

Presentation style was smooth and easy to follow

Your style was very impressive. I did not notice your relying on notes very often and you had good eye contact.

Could hear nervousness in voice, but did not rely on slides or have distracting mannerisms.

Very good pace, excellent eye contact throughout

She had a good pace and did not rely on the slides for guidance.

I liked the moderate pacing. however, it did go a little long (3:10-3:55) / I recommend not reading the information on the slides, but rather summing up the most poignant points in own words.

Nancy, you kept a great pace that made it easy to follow

really great job presenting and looking comfortable in front of everyone

Decent pace, no reliance on notes, very professional mannerism

You knew your slides very well which means an extra level of prep. Good job

Your presentation style was professional and pleasant to listen to.

Great pace. Did not rely much on slides.

Really good pace and strong transitions. Some people wanted less info on the slides but I think that was more of a preference.

Very professional presentation style. Your pace was easy to follow.

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

Beautiful slides, very clear handout.

slides seem a bit hard to read. font or size could be better

The slides were interesting to look at, which is good.

For the most part, your slides and handouts were easy to read. There were a couple of slides where the font was a bit small, but I could read it - in the future you could increase the font.

Her slides/handouts were excellent. Easy to follow, no glaring typos

Most of your slides were clear and easy to read. Your results slides got a little wordy. It may be helpful to break them up to multiple slides in the future.

Great use of bullets and spacing in the slides. They were easy to read, not too cluttered and had a pretty design.

Handout and slides were very clean and well organized. Knew a lot of information beyond what was on the slides.

I loved your slides as they were pleasing to look at and unique. Handout and slides went together well.

Instructional materials complemented the slides very well. The student did a good job of following the order of the handout.

Your handout was excellent and I did not see any spelling or grammatical errors.

Title on slide could have a smaller font so that body of slide has bigger/easier to read font.

Slides were very aesthetically pleasing, good amount of white space

The slides and handout were easy to read and following. I did not notice any glaring mistakes.

Slides were clear and beautiful. / I thought it would be nice to see the study results in diagram format, were there any figures you could include?

Good use of pictures in the background information on osteoarthritis

One suggestion for next time is to limit the information on the slides to just key talking points, rather than trying to include too much on the slides (it makes it hard to read)

The handout was a good reference and provided relevant details. You also made good use of the handout as a reference in your presentation, which I find to be a great demonstration of your level of effort put into preparing for seminar.

Liked the simplicity of the slide design

Your slides were overly crowded, which made them difficult to follow. Keep your slides basic and verbally state the other information you would like to convey, or you can put it in a chart or in the handout.

Your handout is great and very well organized and easy to read!

Slides were easy to read and data that was important was in red nice work.

Slides and handout were fabulous! They were nicely organized and formatted.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Appropriate background information was provided	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.74

Overall Presentation Content Comments

I liked your introduction of the topic, it added interest for the audience-I liked that you got a little personal and told us about the common use of tumeric in your family. Objectives were clear with appropriate background information (I didn't know much about turmeric), presentation was well organized.

good background information. good non-pharm

I liked your personal connection with the controversy

The presentation flowed very well, was well organized and was easy to follow.

She really engaged her audience, and kept her presentation interesting. Well done!

I liked your interest in the topic. It really grabbed my attention. You provided a good amount of background information. The nonpharm and conventional treatments sections were really helpful.

The background was very informational, including the non-pharm treatment of OA. I liked that you put in the mechanism of action of tumeric.

Great introduction with a personal story for the interest in topic. Way to pull the attention of the audience early. Very effective.

I liked how you put important points in bold and in red.

Interest in the topic was very personal and strengthened the student's presentation of the material.

I thought you did a good job introducing the topic, though, as a rule, I am not very impressed with herbal supplements for seminar topics because of the weak evidence and lack of real 'clinical' controversy.

Background was very helpful.

I really liked the personal story of your mother

Her introduction was interesting caught my attention.

Objectives were clear. / The controversy was a little unclear to me; perhaps another reminder or two of what the controversy was.

Good intro with the family tie, and good background info on the turmeric and disease state--very thorough-

I really liked the topic and the introduction about why you were interested in the topic

Good intro, relevant objectives and good summary of the controversy.

Might look for a more controversial topic for your next seminar. Herbal meds almost automatically become controversial

Thorough background without spending too much time. I really enjoyed your personal interest in the story.

I loved your intro and interest in the topic! It got our attention right away.

You were very clear about the controversy and the story about your mom was a nice touch.

Your transitions were excellent! The controversy was clearly outlined. In the future some would recommend incorporating "higher order" learning objectives.

<http://www.celt.iastate.edu/pdfs-docs/teaching/RevisedBloomsHandout.pdf>

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

The study analysis of both studies was thorough and detailed to an appropriate degree.

explained studies well.

You analysis was very thoughtful

I like how the study objectives were concise. I like how you bolded the main results and used red font for ANOVA results. You also pointed out the most important points.

Though it's very tough to tackle poor-quality herbal studies, she did a great job dissecting the literature and drawing meaningful conclusions

I liked that the important results were bold it made it easy to see. You did a good job explaining why each strength and limitation was listed as such.

You did well paraphrasing the info in your slides. The studies' limitations were detailed, well thought out, and relevant to your conclusions. You did really well evaluating your studies.

Great lists of strengths and weaknesses with explanations for everything.

Background was at the right level. Your analysis of each study was succinct but thoughtful.

While the evidence is lacking, the student did a good job of working with the limited data available.

Good information on each of the studies was provided, though they were fairly weak overall.

Thoughtful analysis of strengths and weaknesses of each study.

Great dissection of the limitations of the first study

She explained the strengths and limitations of the studies in detail which added to the seminar.

Thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations provided. / More information on what the outcome measures were and their significance would have been very helpful.

I feel like you could have emphasized a little more about the lack of good safety outcomes in the studies, and maybe drawn on some other resources for that information-

Great job presenting the trials

Great breakdown of the strengths and limitations of the studies presented.

Give more detail on the outcomes, especially on the safety data

You organized the statistical and clinical data so we could see it and you explained why it was significant. I like how you colored the significant findings in red, which made them easier to view. You obviously understood the statistics. However, I don't remember you mentioning on the second side that they may not have been powered to find a difference and that could be why they didn't find a difference. You provided a thorough review of the strengths and limitations, but the slides were crowded and difficult to follow.

Great discussion of the primary endpoints and their importance. Went through the strengths and limitations well.

Great job addressing the drop out population and overall strong statistical analysis.

Strong part of your presentation was the analysis of clinical data. You knew your statistics and how to interpret them in the context of your studies.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	20	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.87
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	21	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.87
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Conclusions Comments

The conclusions you presented were appropriate. Because turmeric is used by a large number of people globally and it is likely we will encounter supplement use during our career, you adequately discussed our role as future pharmacists and touched on the level of respect we need to assume in talking with potential turmeric supplement users.

conclusions were supported by data.

Good recommendations.

In the future you could provide more specific recommendations (e.g. dosing if patient insists on using it).

She had real-world conclusions that were based in her studies.

It would have been nice if you provided specific recommendations. I was not sure if you would recommend turmeric and if so who would you recommend it for.

Your conclusions were well-based on your evaluations of the studies. I liked that you were specific with the use of turmeric.

Specific recommendations would have been helpful. When to use and when not to use.

I agreed with your conclusions and thought they were supported with the data you presented. You convinced me.

The student came up with good conclusions based on the limited data.

Your conclusions were based on the evidence with a healthy dose of common sense.

I am a bit concerned with conclusion that this is a safe medication, especially after the theorized mechanism of action. I would not conclude that this is safe and that there are not interactions.

Remember to make a firm conclusion. I felt like the conclusion was in the pharmacist role section

Her conclusions were well supported by the studies and evidence presented, they were logical which enhanced the seminar.

Good information regarding the role of the pharmacist. / A stronger conclusion would have been better. I felt like she was just reiterating the authors conclusions.

Nice job explaining your stance, and our role providing information and respect for the patients-

clear recommendations from the data- good job explaining why this will impact us as pharmacists

Good overall conclusions based on the data presented. You were able to look beyond the conclusions of the authors and formulate your own conclusions.

Good conclusions as far as efficacy working with hard data

You were very specific about clinical and statistical data. However, I did not agree with your assessment of safety. Considering the possible mechanism of action, I would be uncomfortable recommending this supplement to any patient with a bleed risk, NSAID use, recurrent ulcers, etc without an evaluation of its safety specifically.

Very thoughtful conclusions. Great discussion of the implication on the practice of pharmacy.

The data was scant and you did a good job not over reaching with your conclusions.

Conflicting data, thought that you provided an excellent discussion of know risk vs. benefit.

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

I appreciated your answers to my questions and your general approach to answering all the questions posed.

answered questions well.

Did a great job with the questions.

You did an excellent job of answering questions. Specifically, I like how you were thorough, knowledgeable, confident, calm, and succinct.

She got a lot of questions, and handled them extremely well. Good job!

You really knew the material and it showed when you answer the audience's questions.

Great job answering questions. It was clear you knew the methods of your studies.

Answered questions fully and in detail. Should allow people asking the questions to finish all of their thoughts before answering the question. Don't interrupt.

You really shined during q and a.

The Q and A session really demonstrated the student's overall knowledge base.

Very good answers to our questions. It was obvious you knew your stuff.

Able to answer questions thoroughly.

All questions were answered completely

She did a good job fielding questions throughout the seminar and was able to answer then succinctly.

Overall good job here; when Dr. Schmidt asked a question about controlling for cooing with turmeric in the study, the answer to that question was not addressed, rather circumvented.

You had quite a bit of questions, which meant that we were understanding and we were interested to know more. You answered them well-

Lots of questions throughout and she did a great job answering all of them- nothing stumped her!

Handled questions well, and encouraged questions through out the presentation

Your answers were informed and well thought

You received a wide range of questions and answered them all with ease. Very nicely done!!

Great job answering questions!

Good work some of the questions were off topic but your answers were thoughtful and demonstrated a great deal of background knowledge.

Encouraged questions throughout. One thing to consider in the future is to repeat the question back to the audience.

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	21	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.87
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

It was clear that you possess a strong knowledge base of the subject both clinically through your research and practically through your own use of the product. I liked that you were able to come to conclusions based on research without bias toward your own family's use and beliefs about the product.

well knowledge of materials.

I liked that you seem to have read pretty extensively on the topic. You cited other literature you had read in answering a couple of the questions which is really awesome.

The way that you distinguished statistically significant from clinically significant results was very helpful. You explicitly stated in your slides and orally which results were clinically and/or statistically significant.

Again, she got a lot of questions, and was able to answer them with ease, which spoke to the fact that she had so much background knowledge

You were very knowledgeable on the subject. Again, it would have been nice to have a specific conclusion to the seminar.

Based on how you answered questions, it was clear you knew a lot about tumeric and your studies. Your background information was detailed and complete, which shows you did a lot of research.

Demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject and was very well prepared.

I liked how you had read extra yet relevant material to bring in to your answers.

The student clearly researched this topic in more depth than the time limit would allow. Very well done

Very good answers to our questions. It was obvious you knew your stuff and had done your research about this topic.

Able to answer many questions that seemed to be off-topic. Clearly did research in all areas of the seminar.

Knowledge base was excellent I could tell you were very familiar

She demonstrated a knowledge greater than the information that she presented this enhanced the seminar.

Conclusions were discussed in the context of previous research.] / I think that she did not quite distinguish what clinically significant was in the study. She said measures were "statistically and clinically significant" but there was no talk explaining what that outcome was or what it meant to us clinically.

The fact that you could respond to all of the questions shows that you knew your material-

Really great job thinking on your feet with the questions

The Q&A session showed you have a good overall knowledge base

You knew a lot about the seminar topic and even some fun details to share

Since you received a wide range of questions in different areas, you were obviously well prepared on your topic.

It was very obvious that you had done a ton of research on the topic and knew a lot about it. Knew a ton of information beyond your seminar.

Your conclusions were thoughtful and your own it was not a copy and paste of the authors thoughts.

I don't recall you mentioning if there was other literature available on this topic and why you choose to present the studies that you did. Always good to place studies presented in context.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked the overall seminar approach. Nancy brought good energy to the seminar and made me care about a subject I really knew nothing about prior. I appreciated her audience engagement and overall demeanor.

like personal story with mom

I really liked the personal connection to the controversy and your extensive background knowledge on the topic.

I liked how you personalized the topic by talking about how your mother uses turmeric all the time and describing how she uses it.

I really liked how interesting Nancy made her presentation. So often presenters can lose their audience in the dull of the studies, but her pace and slides kept everyone drawn in

You were very confident and professional when presenting the material. Also, your slides and handout were easy to read. It was really helpful how you made the important results bold.

You did a really great job identifying the differences of the two studies you presented and how they affected your conclusions.

The personal story was very interesting and had good organizational flow. Transitions were excellent and foreshadowed the slides well.

Interaction with audience and poise answering questions

The student tied her personal interest in the topic into the seminar presentation.

I enjoyed your style and presentation.

Comfort in presenting without relying on slides.

Great personal connection

Her introduction caught my attention and got me interested in the seminar topic.

Very nice presentation style.

I liked the personal connection, and the topic is interesting

I really liked how you had a personal connection to the topic and shared information from your mother's personal experience with the product with the audience

Interesting topic, and not a lot of people do seminar on an herbal product, kudos to you

You knew your material very well and presented it in a clear and concise manner

Very thorough and helpful background and interest in the topic. This helped pull in the audience and keep them interested throughout the seminar. Next time, add a follow-up at the end of what your mother said to this information.

I thought you were very engaged with the audience and that was great!

Wonderful job your presence was commanding and you showed lots of confidence

Very professional presentation style. Presented at level appropriate for audience. Q & A was strong.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

I appreciated the extra information in the appendix but it was tough to have to keep going back to the appendix and holding my place in the handout where we were in the presentation. I don't know how to alleviate the issue other than putting information directly into the handout in the order it is being presented and it may just be a personal issue that I have.

improve slide readability

Try not to put too much stuff on the slides.

In your answer to the bioavailability question, you could have explained while you were using time to describe bioavailability instead of percent.

Honestly, I think you did an incredible job.

Overall you did a good job. It would have been nice to finish up a strong seminar with a clear and specific conclusion.

Provide a little bit more detail as far as when and why to recommend using tumeric.

More specific recommendations on when to use and when not to use would have been nice.

I would have liked to see you do something that has more impact on patient care with more robust literature only because I think you would be great at it.

A more concise recommendation for pharmacists to follow would have been helpful.

The topic was rather weak and I would suggest picking something a little more clinical or with stronger studies to back you up.

Would have a more solid conclusion that has supportive evidence.

Remember a firm conclusion

A few of the slides were a little wordy. Decreasing this would have added to the seminar.

More info on what the outcomes are and what they mean clinically please.

A little more scrutiny of the data--these trials are obviously very limited-

My only suggestion is to cut down on the information that you include in the slides to just a couple bullet points with main points that you want to bring up but GREAT job!

Just a few minor typos, try to catch those next time

Consider that the studies did not evaluate safety. You mentioned at one point that this would be a safe option which was not supported by the data. Just something to think about.

Your slides were busy and difficult to follow at points. Keep them clean and clear by providing information verbally or in the handout.

Just pay a little attention to time. Went a little over but not by much. Not much to criticize

Maybe a little clean up on the slides.

In the future consider setting the studies presented in the context of other literature.

General Comments

Very impressive! I enjoyed Nancy's presentation style, energy and approach. Her handout and slides were very esthetically pleasing, clear and well organized. The data presented was well analyzed by Nancy and she drew appropriate conclusions based on the data. Nancy answered the questions posed with confidence and enthusiasm; she had a thorough knowledge of the subject both clinically and practically. Strong work, Nancy! I learned a lot! Thank you!

good job.

Great work!

Your seminar was successful. I could tell that you knew the material and were enthusiastic about the topic. In the future, you should find another topic that is interesting to you so that it will be successful like this one.

Great job Nancy!

Overall you seminar was very interesting.

It was a very interesting topic and interesting to hear your experience and background with it.

Great job Nancy! Very well done and professional seminar.

Very well done.

Very well done!

Overall, you did an amazing job for your first go around with the seminar!

Great job

Interesting seminar and studies were well presented, I feel that I am able to take this seminar information into future practice.

Wonderful work!

Nancy you did great! Keep up the good work and have fun next semester-

Good job. One down and one more to go

Well done!! You were poised and seemed comfortable during your seminar.

great job!

Fantastic job I enjoyed your seminar and I hope your second is just as good.

Enjoyed your seminar. Thanks for the treats!