Presenter: Muehle, Janice

Seminar Date: 2013-10-23

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.76	6.87	6.91	6.84	6.89	6.86	6.86	6.5	6.5	6.8	5.58	6.63	6.75	6.6	0	0	0	E (46.63)

Presentation Style

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	18	5	1	1	0	0	0	6.6
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	18	5	2	0	0	0	0	6.64
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	23	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.88

Presentation Style Comments

Some pictures or graphs would be helpful to understand content better.

Very professional.

A little bit of playing with hands and rings. Pausing a lot, knew what wanted to say but couldn't find the right word. Just need more practice to reduce nervousness.

I feel that janice has really improved in her ability to speak infront of others.

Janice had great eye contact with her audience and only looked at her notes on occasion. She did move her hands around a bit, especially at the beginning of her presentation, which was slightly distracting. But otherwise excellent!!

Great pacing; very few distracting mannerisms (if any)

The presenter lacked distracting mannerisms, was well prepared and organized, but could make improvements by showing more enthusiasm and making thorough eye contact more often.

She looked so comfortable up in front of the class--great style!

Janice did a very good job and seemed very confident throughout her presentation. She rarely looked at her notes and maintained eye contact throughout the entire presentation.

Straight forward, easy-to-follow, presentation

More pictures and color would be nice.

Presentations are one of your strengths. You sound conversational and do not read your slides.

Great pacing

You seemed a little nervous but did a great job with eye contact and didn't rely on your notes.

Well done.

NA

Well paced, high professionalism.

I noticed a couple of your sentences trailed off, but other than that your style was great-

Seemed very comfortable in front of everyone and didn't rely on slides for information

Relied on the slides a bit much at times

Very confident and poised. Spoke clearly and authoritatively

Helpful background information

She went at a moderate pace and had no distracting mannerisms.

Nice work you seemed to have to rush at the end and didn't have time for case 2.

Made good eye contact with audience, steady pace.

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	23	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	22	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.8
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	21	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	6.86
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.92

Instructional Materials Comments

None

I enjoyed the pictures on the slides and the handout was professional and enhanced my understanding.

mostly text. add a few extra stuff in there.

Referencing was appropriate for the presentation.

The slides and handout were really easy to read and follow along with. The handout flowed well with her presentation. I would have liked to see more pictures/diagrams/charts to break up some of the text on the presentation slides.

The order of your data tables was a little confusing. I would have put the "study design" before some of the other stuff.

I did not see any mistakes, or anything that was wrong with the slides or the handouts, but a few more pictures/visuals would make the presentation even more interesting.

The slides were very helpful, and the bullet-point style on the handout made it easy to glance at throughout the presentation

Her slides were very clear and easy to follow. They were not too cluttered.

well-organized slides

Conveniently provided useful extra information in the appendix.

There were many inconsistencies in formatting on your handout. I especially noticed this with your bulleted lists but also with spacing between numbers and units.

Handout was well crafted.

I enjoyed your slides.

Could have had a few more pictures or charts to help clarify explanations

NA

Great emphasis on primary literature. Great slides.

I liked the straightforward design of the presentation; easy to follow-

Slides could've used a little more color or graphics to break them up but other than that, everything looked great

Some citations were incomplete

Great job. Everything made a lot of sense

Consider adding graphs and/or tables

Slides were easy to read and did not have too much information on them

Beautiful work well put together.

Slides were easy to follow and concise.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	20	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.76
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.92
4	Appropriate background information was provided	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	23	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.88

Overall Presentation Content Comments

None

I thought it was interesting subject matter and well presented.

Flowed well. Nice job with including 3 studies.

I would have liked to have seen the controversy detailed in a more defined manner.

I'm not really sure if the first objective of reading a Dexa Scan was achieved or really appropriate for most pharmacists. We saw one picture to compare an obviously bad scan with a good one. I don't think this makes us experts or even qualified to interpret the scan.

Good background information...I was a little unclear what the controversy was exactly. Was it just whether there was any real correlation between the two?

I think that overall, the presentation content was very well organized, clear, at the appropriate level, and paced just right. I wish I there was time for the second case at the end of the presentation.

Content was thorough and relevant

She did a good job giving background information and was well organized.

Clinical data was clearly presented

Was knowledgeable about the topic and answered the questions well.

Controversy was not adequately defined.

The presenter knew the material well.

I thought that your objectives were clear and applicable.

Well done

NA

Great job addressing objectives, stating controversy.

Your objectives are very good, and I found I could answer each of them in the end-

She didn't spend too much time on background and just got right to the point

good background info

Very well thought out presentation. Great job on looking forward to what the audience would expect to hear about next

Controversy wasn't very strong

She provided appropriate background information about osteoporosis, its treatment and SSRIs that made it easy to understand the topic

It was clear you were well prepared and invested in your topic.

Background and introduction was appropriate for audience.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.92
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	20	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.76
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	21	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.8
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	19	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	6.86
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	6.81
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	22	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

None

I thought the studies you selected were interesting. I enjoyed that each study was very different from one another.

Little less detailed because did 3 studies but otherwise good.

some of the studies needed to have some additional analysis on the statistics.

Great job on this. There was a bit of stumbling when she tried to explain statistical analysis.

I thought you handled the statistics portion of your studies really well.

I could not find details about withdrawals and dropouts in the handout, but I think some details were mentioned during the presentation. More details about withdrawals and dropouts would have been helpful.

I felt that her analysis of the strengths/weaknesses of the studies was a strong-point of the seminar

Janice did an excellent job explaining her studies. It was obvious that she really knew and researched them.

Information was concise and informative

Covered three studies concisely and efficiently.

I would recommens discussing trial design first or close to first before other methods.

Clinical data was well presented.

explained the studies clearly

Well organized

NA

The clinical data was my favorite part. Well put togr=ether.

I feel like your data presentation was good, although some of it probably could have used a little more description/detail-

Great job presenting the data- very easy to follow and understand

Were the statistical analyses appropriate for the type of data?

Did not mention much about dropouts or withdrawals but this may not have been an issue in your study

Good analysis of statistical appropriateness

She clearly explained each study, including their strength and weaknesses

Great explanations.

Thought you did a really good job in assessing relevant portions of the clinical data in a timely manner.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	22	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.84
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	22	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92

Conclusions Comments

more information on recommended calcium dose for patient on SSRI or other medications that may increase risk of osteoporosis.

I think the conclusions are reasonable and supported by the evidence.

Nice job with including a case and getting audience participation.

I felt that the conclusions were well supported by the information that was presented.

Great job.

Liked your case study, but would have liked to know what you would have done if the girl seemed to have an increased fracture risk.

I would have liked to have learned more about what to do with the conclusions. Other than monitoring for decreasing BMD and educating patients about calcium and exercise, what do I recommend to patients in regards to SSRIs?

I thought that the case at the end was a great way to tie in the whole presentation

Janice did a good job with her conclusions. They were clinically relevant.

conclusion was supported by data

Conclusion was adequately backed by the presented materials.

I liked your use of the case to drive home key clinical recommendations.

Conclusions were appropriate based on clinical data.

Your conclusions were well supported in the data.

Could have included some calcium supplement guidelines

NA

Conclusions drawn were appropriate.

I wonder about your conclusion that the fracture risk with SSRIs is beyond that with just depression, I don't recall any data on baseline fracture risk-

Good information relevant to clinical practice

Could have had a stronger conclusion

Great job on simplifying the studies to be applicable to us. I took a way a very simple, clear message about what to recommend or counsel on

Conclusions were appropriate for data

She created her own conclusions about each study and overall that was unique from the author's conclusions. Her role for the pharmacist was thoughtful and truly helpful

I liked your independent thinking and how you applied your own conclusions to the data.

Conclusions were appropriate based on the clinical data that you presented. I liked that you presented a case at the conclusion of your seminar, to emphasize the clinical utilization.

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	22	2	0	1	0	0	0	6.8

Question Answer Session Comments

None

Very personable and approachable. Extensive subject knowledge. Very good!

used experience. answered questions well.

Janice was very sharp when answering the questions.

The case at the end really encouraged audience participation.

You answered all of the questions very thoroughly.

The seminarian did a great job answering questions. She answered them confidently and provided answers that seemed correct.

She handled the Q&A with poise and confidence

Janice really knew her material and was able to answer all questions with confidence.

thoroughly answered questions in a timely manner

Answered questions perfectly and demonstrated thorough knowledge.

I did not like the request at the beginning to hold questions for the end. Presentations should be design and timed to allow for questions. If you get too many questions, you can specifically request further discussion at the end, but this generally happens rarely.

Handled all questions well.

Really good!

Did very well

NA

Great job!

I know time was an issue, but sometimes it is beneficial to have questions throughout-

Very knowledgeable about the topic and did a great job answering questions

Seemed to handled questions well

Great job on answering questions. This can sometimes be the most nerve racking part!

Fantastic knowledge base!!

She did an amazing job fielding and answering questions and seemed to thoroughly know her topic

Wonderful

Fielding of questions demonstrated your extensive knowledge base on this topic, beyond what was presented.

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	23	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	21	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.8
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	21	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.8
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	23	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.88
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.92

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

None

Impressive subject knowledge and professional demeanor.

Nice job.

Some of the clinical vs. statistical analysis was very well done.

I liked how she included personal observations from her rotation experiences in her answers to questions and her seminar in general.

Knew a lot about your topic--excellent job

The seminarians knowledge base was impressive. In the future, more emphasis on clinical significance may be helpful.

Again, it was evident that her knowledge base extended far beyond that which she presented

Janice did a good job dissecting the studies and presenting them so the audience could easily follow and understand. She understood and explain the outcomes of the studies.

N/A

Presenter was clearly adequately prepared.

The issue with the TCAs confounding the results needed more development.

Knew the material well.

You did a great job answering our questions!

Good job

NA

Great work here as well.

I don't remember a lot of discussion on the clinical significance of the results/risk--which I would find to be beneficial-

Clear that she had done a lot of research about the topic and it showed during her seminar

No additional comment

Very nice work. Great job on putting it all together congratulations! You're done with seminar presentations!

Excellent background research. Well-versed on the subject

Janice seemed to have a wide knowledge of her topic and additional information that was pertinent

Very well informed and able to answer additional questions.

You were able to think on your feet and fielded questions well, demonstrating a strong knowledge base of the subject.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

The comparison among three different studies was great.

I found the subject matter interesting and as she was presenting time sped by. I felt very comfortable attending the seminar and was drawn into the presentation because she made it seem effortless on her part.

Overall good seminar. Informative topic , well researched and put together.

I felt that this was a topic that we could potentially see in practice.

I like how she referenced her appendices in her handout during her seminar. I also really liked the case presentation at the end. I just wish she would have had time for the second case. =)

Your pace and presentation style was very easy to listen to.

The presentation and handout was very well organized.

I felt that the case was really helpful to solidify the concepts introduced in the seminar

I loved the case at the end. It was a good way to test the audience's knowledge and to get them to participate. I also thought Janice did a great job overall. She seemed very confident and really knew her material.

I really liked the tables describing study information. They were well organized and contained the most pertinent information

The topic was interesting and the present demonstrated thorough knowledge of the material.

The case was excellent and helped me pick out practical considerations.

The handout was well crafted and provided great detail into the topic.

I really enjoyed this topic. I hadn't heard of the SSRIs having an osteoporosis effect and it was good to learn about.

Well organized and provided three trials

She knew the material well and was able to think on her feet when answering questions.

I liked breakdown of the studies: very clear format including outcomes. Excellent breakdown, appropriately identifying weaknesses in study design.

It was easy to follow and straightforward

She got to the point and didn't waste time on background or excessive time for questions

Interesting topic with a relevance to clinical practice

Very well presented and thought out. It was easy for the audience to follow along. It made it very enjoyable.

Strong knowledge base.

I liked the pace and her discussion of the topic. She seemed very confident in her knowledge.

Very informative and well produced.

Janice, I thought you did awesome! I enjoyed seeing the improvement that you have made since your last seminar. You certainly have more confidence in presenting. I really enjoyed your seminar and felt that it was well put together and presented.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

More details on recommended calcium dose.

There was a lot to present in that period of time and she did a great job fitting it all in; I was disappointed that we didn't get to see the final case in her slide presentation.

Has some nervous ticks. Playing with hands/rings and pausing. More practice and experience.

Janice can continue to improve on public speaking comfort.

I think the explanation of statistical analyses could have been a little more refined. She stumbled quite a bit in all of the studies on explaining the tests. Maybe practice this a bit more???

I would have provided some recommendations on what you would have done with certain kinds of patients.

Find a few more ways to captivate the audience. It is difficult to do late in the afternoon, but possible.

Maybe just throw a little more color into the slides :)

I did not see anything that could be improved. She did a great job!

Describe the impact calcium supplementation would have on the outcomes of the studies.

The slides could have used more pictures or color.

Handout needed some proofing and questions should be invited and not discouraged.

No comments.

You seemed pretty nervous, totally understandable, but more practice maybe?

I would have liked a more concrete recommendation on the clinical application of the data

More depth into the trials would have added to presentation.

Establishing controversy could be slightly improved. It was established that a physician had a question about it, but the larger picture of what the controversy is could have been clearer.

At the same time, I feel like we could have delved a little deeper into the results and their significance

Slides could've been broken up with some color or graphics but other than that she did a great job!

It seemed as though you were unprepared to present. Consider practicing so that you don't get nervous on the spot.

Hand motions were a little distracting at times but it wasn't too big of a deal

Add charts and graphs.

Go into a little more detail about the specific studies, statistics and further analyzing the clinical significance.

Better time management.

The only comment that I may have is making the slides more visual, although I know that creating visuals can take a lot of extra time.

General Comments

Overall great presentation.

Excellent! I thoroughly enjoyed the presentation and the presenter was delightful and refreshing!

Great job and way to go first.

Overall, great job on the seminar. It was clearly well-practiced and you knew your studies. Including cases at the end was a really great thing to do and allowed your audience to get involved and made them think. Excellent seminar, Janice!!!

No additional comments.

Great job! I could tell that a lot of effort and time had been put into this presentation. Hopefully you can relax now.

Overall, GREAT job Janice!!

Overall it was a very good presentation.

The case at the end was a good idea to drive the point home and solidify the information we learned today.

Presenter was well prepared.

Thank you for educating us about fracture risk of SSRIs and practical considerations to manage this risk.

Overall very well presented.

Overall, you did a great job!

Overall very well done

NA

Thanks for the presentation, and the cheese, Janice!

Good topic

Strong seminar

Fantastic job

Great job!