Presenter: Stock, Devin

Seminar Date: 2014-03-19

Presenter Scores

, ,					Faculty Survey Data Averages								Final Scores				
	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.89	6.94	6.88	6.93	6.92	6.83		6.63		6.6	6.75	6.63	6.5	6.7	0	0	0	E (47.01)

Presentation Style											
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean			
1 Moderate Pace	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.83			
2 Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.83			
3 Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89			
4 Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7			

Presentation Style Comments

Make sure to speak up. It was difficult to hear you from the back of the room at times.

Good job not relying on notes

Had little reliance on your notes

The introduction and interest ran a little long.

You didn't read the slides, but you looked back at them while speaking often. When possible, try speaking to the audience a little more. Great job though.

he did say um a few times throughout his presentation

Devin displayed confidence throughout his presentation.

I thought you did a great job simplifying the explanation of the MOA.

Good pace and pretty low reliance on notes. I did have to listen carefully to hear you at some points, so always remember to speak up (I do the exact same thing). But great job!

You were a little quiet at times when talking but overall great job!

Good presentation style, you kept your one hand in your pocket, just an fyi

You kept one hand in your pocket for most of the presentation, but overall very good presentation style.

You appeared calm and collected

Devin was well prepared and practiced for his seminar.

pace was a little slow going through the background. Your voice tone wasn't enthusiastic, which makes it difficult to get your message across.

Good moderate pace and eye contact with audience. Presented at a level that was easy to understand and follow along.

Devin did a great job presenting this topic with little reliance on his notes.

Great pace and professionalism!

Good presentation but a little slow a the begining

Instructional Materials											
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean	
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89	
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94	
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94	

Instructional Materials Comments

Your slides were clear and easy to read.

I liked that you had all three studies on the screen with two of them shaded

Liked how you had something to cover up the other tables while presenting each study

There were mixed reviews, but I really liked how all three studies were listed and the ones not currently being discussed were darkened. It provided a good amount of orientation to the data.

I loved your fadeout style of presenting.

cite references throughout if you continue to use them and if you focus on one study only have that info on then

I liked how he showed information for each study side by side.

I really liked the design of the slides. I liked having the info for all 3 studies on the slide, but you highlighted the info being discussed.

I liked the appendix and additional information provided in the handout.

Great slides! The only thing I would say is that some of the charts and studies had too much on one slide. It was a little overwhelming

I liked how you presented the studies in your slides, how on the tables everything was still up to reference you just faded part of it out

Good job with abbreviated citations within slides, and I think your slides worked out well with shading out the studies we were not focusing on at the time.

Devin provided grew orientation to the charts, graphs and pictures on his slides. He used them to better explain complicated topics.

slides were very organized and easy to read.

Slides were well organized. I liked how you grouped the studies together to make it easier for the

audience to compare and contrast the statistics. I liked the "fading out" thing you did with the studies to highlight the one you were talking about. Handout was clear and easy to read. I thought the tables you created were "clean" and all fit on one page. One thing I would have liked better was if the conclusions were included in the tables too...but I understand it wouldn't have been as "clean" so its not a big deal.

Devin's slides were laid out well with a good font size and appropriate amounts on each slide.

I really liked how the presentation had slides with all studies present that was darkened out to highlight what you wanted. Very creative!

Good simple slide design

Overall Presentation Content										
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean		
1 Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94		
2 Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89		
3 Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	15	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.78		
4 Appropriate background information was provided	14	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.78		
5 Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7		

Overall Presentation Content Comments

I liked the content of you presentation, and I believe it was a very applicable topic for clinical practice.

Not sure if the objective of describing the difference between different types of pain was met

thorough background information

Good background information, just a lot of it.

Your background was a little long. You went into a little too much depth, I felt.

spent a good amount of time on the background and just needed some more explicit objectives

The introduction was kind of long but he provided good information.

I felt that the content was very well organized and the subjects transitioned well.

I really liked the personal experience with namenda used to introduce your interest in the topic, it got me interested right away. There was probably too much time and information devoted to the background section. It's always easier to follow an introduction and figure out what is important if all extranous information is stripped away.

Very smooth and well organized. The one comment would be that the objectives could have been a little more explicit

Good work on the background section, I thought you covered that very well

Good intro and interest in topic. Work to have more measurable or specific objectives

Devin's presentation content was well organized and his objectives were clear and concise.

controversy defined and presented very well

Presented a clear background and clear controversy. Background was a TINY bit long but it is understandable because the pathophysiology is pretty complex. I liked the "flow chart" thing you created to try to help the audience understand it better using a visual rather than just have a slide with

words. Awesome job!

Devin's objectives could have been stated and followed through the presentation more strongly.

Great introduction and interest in the topic. I felt the background information was a strength of the presentation.

I like that you walked us through how you would go through the stories before digging in

Р	Presentation of Clinical Data										
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean	
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	16	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.83	
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94	
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89	
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.94	
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Your analysis of the studies was good, but a little more elaboration on the methodology of each study would have been helpful.

Methodology was difficult to follow. Provide additional information for this in the handout.

Good explanation of studies

The data was summarized and evaluated well

Great discussion on various outcomes. You handled a difficult project well

would be good to include in the data tables for the studies the primary outcome or state in outright in them

I felt that Devin interpreted results of the study appropriately.

I felt like the presentation of results bogged the presentation down. I would have liked you to highlight some important results and allow us to read up on the rest in the handout.

I liked the shading in the table used to emphasize the studies you were talking about. I would have also liked to maybe see a flow chart showing the methology of each study individually to help differentiate the three studies.

Great presentation of the statistical data! I would say that it might have been a little too much!:)

Good look at the studies, it seemed hard to interpret the data and i thought you did a good job at it

Good job at presenting the pertinent information without presenting too much information.

Devin did an outstanding job presenting his clinical trials. I liked how he presented two trials that were

very similar together and one separate that was different and then allowed us to compare them afterwards.

you were able to present key trial results and apply to your seminar.

Data was presented in a clear and concise manner and key trials were discussed in a way that was easy to understand. Also discussed the study strengths and limitations.

Great explanation of studies presented with good analysis of strengths and weaknesses.

Great job presenting a lot of different outcomes in a way that we can understand.

I liked the common data table that faded the info in and out as you addressed it

C	Conclusions										
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean		
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89		
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94		
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89		
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94		

Conclusions Comments

Your conclusions were supported by the data presented, but try to be a little more firm in your future conclusions/reccomendations

I like your conclusion - you're not opposed to it, but you wouldn't recommend it. I think this is a great way to sum up the grey results

Could have used a more specific conclusion

I thought the studies were picked well, and showed a good sampling of the data that is out there.

Nice recommendations for a subject that is hard to recommend for.

his conclusion correctly represent his studies

Devin did a good job discussing both the statistical significance as well as the clinical significance.

I liked how you gave use pertinent details and allowed us to draw our own conclusions during the presentation.

I thought you had a good conclusion considering the data and I like that you had a summary of results in table form at the end of the studies. It made it easy to summarize all the data you presented.

Great job! The conclusions were a little wishy washy but overall very good.

I think you could have made your conclusion a little stronger

Conclusions were not a stretch from data presented. Role of pharmacist was strong.

Although Devin's conclusions were not clear cut, he was well supported by the data presented.

good conclusions given the poor studies out there on the subject.

Good conclusion analysis and discussion of significance in pharmacy practice and how pharmacists are impacted by topic.

Devin's conclusions seem supported by the evidence that he presented.

Conclusions seemed to be in line with the studies and you presented them well.

Conclusions were a little weak, but appropriate

Question Answer Session								
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	14	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.78
2 Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89

Question Answer Session Comments

Great job fielding and answering questions. You thought well on your feet.

Well done answering the questions with poise

Asked all questions well

He was asked a question he didn't know the answer to. He made an educated guess, which was probably correct, but it may have been better to say "I don't know"

You seemed a little timid when answering questions. Be confident cause you were definitely the expert.

answered all questions that were asked of him

Devin answered questions well. There was one question that he was hesitant to respond but overall great job.

I would have liked to see more encouragement of participation. You did have question slides, but I would have liked to see you ask the audience specific questions.

I thought you did well with the questions and answer section. Your volume dropped on some of the answers, but good job with some tough questions.

YOu had some hard questions but you did a great job answering them

you had some tough questions and i think you handled them well

Great job with questions, and had good amount of pause to allow people to formulate questions

Devin appropriately answered questions and was well prepared for questions.

you encouraged questions, but had a little trouble answering a few questions. They were tough, but you did a good job with the background knowledge you have.

Answered and anticipated all audience questions and answered them in a clear and succinct manner.

Devin did a great job answering difficult questions that were posed to him.

The only area of improvement needed is thinking on your feet and answering questions. You had to field some very difficult questions.

I like how you can admit when you don't know. I respect that

C	Overall Knowledge Base										
#	Question				В	B-	C+	С	Mean		
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7		
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7		
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94		
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94		
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94		

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Devin demonstrated a very broad knowledge base on the subject, and he did a great job relaying this knowledge to the audience.

You could tell that you had done your research

Clearly knew the subject well

Great job thinking quickly and theorizing.

You discussed clinical differences as well as statistical differences. Great job.

he definitely knew his topic and it showed

Devin's was well rounded in his knowledge of the topic. Pain is a difficult topic to address because it is so subjective but Devin did a great job!

I thought that it was clear that you had a great depth of knowledge on the subject.

You seemed to be knowledgeable in the topic outside of the presentation. Post-surgical pain is a big topic.

YOu were able to think very well on your feet especially with some of the difficult questions

You had to have a broad range of background knowledge to do this, it showed

Could tell you had a great knowledge base in this area and had done a lot of research

Overall great job!

good overall knowledge base and thorough search for relevant studies.

Was able to demonstrate thorough knowledge base, especially through audience questions. Able to analyze between statistical and clinical importance of studies.

Devin demonstrated that has a good overall knowledge of this subject.

I felt you had a great background knowledge base and it showed throughout the presentation.

Impressive

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked your willingness to tackle a tricky subject (i.e. Pain Management)

Display of studies

How the slides were well made and easy to read

I thought the topic was interesting and clinically useful

You were daring and presented 3 studies. I liked how you presented 1 alone, then 2 together.

i liked how he compared and contrasted all three studies at one time

Good overall knowledge.

I like how you drew attention to the important details of the studies and allowed us to make our own conclusions before giving your own conclusion.

I liked the personal experiences used about the use of namenda for pain. Personal experiences always draw me into the topic and show topic relevence.

Great analysis of the clinical data! It was very well done

I really liked how you set up your tables in your hand out, it made it very easy to read

Overall analysis of studies was thoughtful

I really liked the flow chart you used for discussing central sensitization it helped me understand why it was important. Devin also had a good discussion of clinical significance versus statistical significance for reduction of morphine consumption. / I also liked how you stuck to the pharmacist attitude and always asked "what questions do you have for me?":)

The topic is very interesting and your slides beautifully presented the evidence.

Great job designing presentation slides and handout in a clear and concise way. The slides were not bogged down with too many words and had enough interesting visuals keep my attention. I also like that you knew the material and did not have to rely heavily on notes/slides.

Devin had a great presentation style and confidently discussed the studies and information presented.

The way you presented the clinical data with faded out studies to focus on the study you wanted was a strength to me. Very creative and a good way to present!

Great slide design, loved the tables

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Speak up in future presentations or use a microphone so everyone in the room can hear you adequately.

I wouldn't pick a trial that claims significance without providing actual numbers

Hitting mostly the key points of the studies

The presentation ran a little long. Watch the time.

Your background was a little too deep and long

spelling Dextro vs dextro since it is a generic it would be dextro; also review pain categories and avoid including in apart of the group

Shorten the introduction.

Asking the audience questions or finding another way to get more involvement would have made the presentation more dynamic.

I would have liked to see a general methodology flow chart for each study individually to help differentiate the studies in my head. But great job overall!

While you did an excellent job with the clinical analysis, it might have been too much for a 35 min presentation. I wouldn't necessarily cut it down but it was a little overwhelming

Some time your tone comes off a little condescending, keep an eye on it

One of your slides used the word, "terrible." I would try and avoid language like this in a professional seminar as it makes it seem a little too casual.

Great job overall. Try and put some enthusiasm in the presentation next time. It felt a little dry.

be more enthusiastic and inviting with the audience

Possibly show a bit more enthusiasm during the presentation....but you smiled! :)

Overall objectives and conclusions could have been a little more clear.

I would seed questions with the audience before the seminar started to avoid all the difficult questions that followed.

A bit too long on the intro

General Comments

