Presenter: Angelos, Lisa

Seminar Date: 2014-04-23

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.99	6.97	6.94	6.96	6.96	6.98	6.95	6.75	7	6.9	6.75	7	6.75	6.8	0	0	0	E (47.82)

Presentation Style

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

You had good eye contact throughout your presentation.

good pace. good eye contact.

Very we'll prepared

Good pace. Calm and confident demeanor. Good job!

Great pace and very conversational style.

Very professional, thorough eye contact, confident, pace just right. I can't think of anything you need to work on.

Lisa was excellent in front of the class; truly one of the most comfortable presenters I've seen all year!

very comfortable/confident introduction/background

You were very confident and did an excellent job of making eye contact. I did not see you using any notes.

Great eye contact and pacing was perfect. You were very articulate and professional.

Great pace and very appropriate topic.

Your style is awesome. Your are able to really connect with your audience.

You were very well prepared and seemed relaxed throughout the presentation. I liked how you didn't seem to have to ease into it before getting comfortable.

Good pacing and style, no improvements needed

Your presentation was amazing. The pace was good and I never noticed you relying on notes.

Her pace was great and she did not rely on her notes during the presentation.

She displayed high levels of professionalism. / Improvements: Cut down a little bit of information so that you don't go over (it was only ~4min over), come out from behind podium, memorize a little more so you can look at the slides a little less.

Lisa did a wonderful job presenting with poise and an even pace.

Lisa, you are an awesome presenter; purely professional!

Seemed really comfortable presenting and was very interactive with the audience

Good pace and personable presentation style.

Very well poised. You did not read anything from your slides. No pauses or filler words like "Ummm." Excellent!

You had great eye contact throughout! I thought your slides and handout were well put together!

Good pace and very well poised.

Very professional, comfortable with presenting and easy to follow.

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.92

Instructional Materials Comments

Your charts were easy to understand and slides were clear. However, I felt the font was too big.

nice handouts. clear slides.

Provided orientation to key info.

The background section in the handout section should be referenced. Some of the tables were hard to see from the back of the room but I appreciated the red boxes around the important info to draw focus to them.

Your slides were fantastic---I especially loved the timeline.

Slides and handout were easy to read and visually appealing. I did not see any errors. The high quality of paper and color images made your handout extraordinary.

I LOVED all of the figures and diagrams; it really helped to keep the attention of your audience. Keep it up!

good orientation of graphs and demographics

I really liked your slides. They were colorful, never too wordy and very easy to read. Your handout was very professional as well.

Excellent slides. All of your graphics and use of boxes, bullets and colors made them really interesting to look at.

Handout was beautiful. Color and very nice paper.

YOur handout and slides were very well put together with good flow.

I loved the timeline you made of the important studies that have come out about blood pressure goals in diabetes.

Handout and slides were beautifully crafted and informative.

No errors or problems in your handout that I could see.

Her handout was well done and the color of the graph really added to the seminar.

Slides looked great, no spelling or grammar errors that I could see, appropriate citations provided. / I thought more use of abbreviations in her slides (and while speaking) could have made things more concise.

Lisa's slides and handout were very well prepared with beautifully colored graphs and diagrams.

I liked the different slide layouts and diagrams that you used. It keeps the listener more engaged-

It was clear that Lisa put a lot of effort into making her slides and graphs on the slides. I liked that it didn't follow the same format as everyone else's and she made the slides and handouts unique

Slides were easy to read and the language was appropriate for the audience.

Your slides were original and very easy to follow. I loved the boxes for everything from the objectives to the role of the pharmacist. /

Oops. Slides and handout were well put together! I loved that you added your own graphs and charts to make the studies easier to visualize and understant.

Slides were clean and easy to read. All sources were present /

I appreciate all of the visual graphics that you made for your studies. Must have taken a lot of effort to create, but was very helpful in keeping everything straight. Very well done.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	22	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.84
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Appropriate background information was provided	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Very clear and applicable to pharmacy practice objectives.

focused on key parts.

Controversy could have been addressed more at first

Felt the background and intro section were a bit long and could have been shortened to have more time to focus on the trials.

I loved your review of the relevant hypertension studies in diabetes.

The entire presentation was very well organized and included all of the required points.

She chose an incredibly relevant topic, and you could tell that she was genuinely interested. Excellent, excellent topic!

i really liked your introduction

Your interest in the topic really grabbed my attention. You did a very good job of clearly defining the controversy.

I liked that you did go over a ton of background info on HTN and DM, because we know that stuff already. I felt like you really knew your audience and tailored your presentation appropriately.

Topic was very relevant and appropriate. It was great to look more into depth about the studies we've been learning about this year.

Your background was at an appropriate level. You defined the controversy well.

Your intro was very thorough.

This was a broad topic and the seminarian did a great job of condensing the details down.

I think your introduction was really good. I really enjoyed the presentation and the controversy was very appropriate.

Her introduction was awesome and the poster made me laugh. Her seminar was well organized and there was flow throughout.

Objectives were very clear and useful. / I thought having more frequent reminders about what the controversy was would have been nice.

Lisa stated her interest in the topic and defined her controversy well, although I was very confused how the HOT and ABCD trial analyses directly answered the question of which recommendation, JNC8 or ADA, was most appropriate. I guess I was expecting an analysis of the studies that JNC8 and ADA used to build their arguments for their recommendations.

I liked the trials timeline; again good job on content-

I thought it was good that she didn't spend too much time on background information and just got right to the point

Great job covering the background information of how JNC 8 came about

You got our attention at the beginning with the science fair project and your process of finding an appropriate topic. Loved the timeline of trials at the beginning. Showed us the long history behind hypertension guidelines and helped us put these trials into perspective.

Great discussion of the controversy. I loved that you started off talking about the ACCORD trial and the history of guidelines to show what the controversy is.

I liked your interest in the topic and your objectives were clear and met.

Very strong part of your presentation was your consideration in choosing a topic that would be clinically useful and applicable to all of us. This is a great way to think not only for seminars, but as you enter rotations.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.92
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.96
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.92

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

You provided a very thorough analysis on strengths and limitations of each study.

detailed and clear data.

More info on why or why not statistics were good.

I felt you did a really good job with presenting your studies. I liked all the charts and diagrams. I appreciated the extra effort you put in to make slides to help those of us who are visual learners.

Great analysis of power and very thoughtful analysis of studies.

You did an excellent job presenting key points and guiding us through two large clinical trials. In the future you could spend a bit more time explaining the statistical analyses and the appropriateness of the outcome measures used.

Excellent presentation of clinical data; again, her graphs and diagrams were excellent aids.

good job interpreting the data and good job on your summaries of older trials

It was helpful how you made the significant results bold. You really explained why each strength and limitation was listed as such.

Good job explaining the appropriateness of the outcome measures and why they were relevant.

Very excellent job finding the relevant information in each study and summing up the important points.

You succinctly reviewed the methodology in an easy to follow manner by picking out the most important information for us to know.

You explained the trials very clearly.

Clinical data was well presented, one trial evaluated a secondary outcome, however there may have not been better data available.

You had a somewhat nontraditional seminar, but you also did very well.

Her objectives were concise and she presented the key trials that where important to the topic.

Great breakdown of studies' objectives, strengths and limitations. / I would have liked more inout on which statistical tests were used and why.

Lisa provided a great explanation of the statistical analyses of her studies.

With all of the different trials, things got a bit harder to follow, but you did a good job at keeping things simple-

Lots of information to present but she did a good job just bringing out the key points of each study

Student did a great job with analyzing the studies.

You gave a thorough review of the studies without overwhelming the audience with details. I know these studies have a lot of extraneous information which would have bogged down the presentation.

Great discussion of the clinical data and explanation of your studies. You pulled out all of the relevant information that was necessary for us to understand what info is out there.

Statistical analysis was very well done and your thoughts were detailed and insightful.

In the future may consider briefly mentioning why the statistics your studies are useful or if they are appropriate.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.92
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

The study was well analyzed and supported by conclusion.

well supported and relevant. good pharmacist roles

Well defined roles for pharmacy.

I appreciated you separating pharmacist role in 4 sections but would have liked to see the individual recommendations on your slides as well.

Well-supported conclusions; great wrap-up!

I like how you boiled all the controversy and complexity down to one main recommendation for patients with hypertension and diabetes.

Her conclusions were again very relevant and true to the data that she presented.

good summary slide of the pharmacist role, but maybe adding sub bullets might be helpful

You discussed the clinical significance and made specific and clear recommendation. I found this really helpful for future practice.

Overall conclusions were taken right from the study results, which increases their validity and relevance. Thanks for the strong conclusions and recommendations.

Conclusions were supported by the evidence. Very interesting to see JNC8's randomness in selecting a diastolic BP.

Your conclusions were supported by the data you reviewed.

I liked how you had recommendations for pharmacists that were both specific and generalizable based on practice type.

Conclusions were very strong with the data presented.

I think your conclusions were very well founded in the studies you presented.

Her conclusions were based upon the evidence that she presented. Her incorporation of the pharmacists role was great how she slipt it into four categories.

Good job discussing the role of the pharmacist. / I didn't notice seminarian's conclusions for each trial, then at the final conclusions, they seemed a bit wordy/ confusing.

Lisa had well prepared roles for the pharmacist and solid recommendations, although I didn't think they directly answered the question of JNC8 vs. ADA.

It is hard to argue with the stats/results, but I wonder about the clinical significance of a few less BP points...A little discussion of the real-world significance/practice may have been good-

This topic is important to all of us as future pharmacists and she did a great job relating the conclusions to everyone

Good job providing a strong recommendation of blood pressure in diabetic patients.

Very sound conclusions and simple conclusions, which gives us something to take into our practice.

Amazing conclusions! Well supported by the data and you gave specific recommendations of what we should use as guidelines. I loved your discussion of the pharmacist's role and how we can impact patients' lives.

Your conclusions were strong, your own and supported by the data.

The whole seminar was very well presented. A strong part of it is that you made clear, firm conclusion, well supported by data presented.

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

Encouraged questions with the audience. Good job.

answered questions well.

Was well prepared for questions.

Really felt you did a good job with the questions. I especially thought you did a good job with the questions at the end of the seminar. You added a lot of additional info you found into finding them.

Great job with questions and I liked that you had "question time." Helped ensure you would finish on time.

I like how you asked the audience to hold their questions until given the opportunity to ask questions. You provided opportunities to ask questions throughout your seminar, but tactfully avoided being interrupted at the wrong times.

She handled all of her questions with confidence and ease.

good job encouraging questions

You could tell that you really went above and beyond when researching for your seminar by the way you answered the questions.

You very thoroughly answered questions and din't dance around them, so good job.

Answered all of the questions really well and in detail.

You handled questions well and did a great job encouraging participation.

You had good, thorough answers to questions.

Questions were handled very well

You answered our questions very well.

She encouraged the everyone to ask questions. Her answers were succint and answered the questions that were asked.

Some good thoughts in the Q+A. / Answers to questions could have been much more succinct.

Lisa thoroughly answered questions posed by the audience.

I appreciate how you handled questions easily without becoming flustered or off-track-

Good job answering all the questions

Student encouraged audience questions and answered them to the best of her ability.

Try repeating the question back to the asker to ensure you are answering the question. You answered very broad and speculative questions very well.

Great job answering questions. You went into great detail and made sure that you adequately answered their questions.

Excellent on your feet thinking and well thought out answers.

Q&A was handled very well. In the future, especially if you are being recorded, helpful to repeat the question back to the audience so that everyone (including those listening remotely) hear the question.

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	23	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.88
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

I thought you were able to distinguish well between clinical and statistical significance.

obviously well knowledged.

Thought on feet and anticipated questions.

It was clear that you spent a lot of time researching your topic not only from the studies presented but from the way you answered questions. Good job.

You did a great job with CONTEXT.

It was very evident that you had read through many studies and had a very solid knowledge base.

It was evident that her knowldege base on the topic ran much deeper than just the information that she presented.

good job answering questions and citing from guidelines

You are extremely knowledgeable on the subject. You were able to look past the author's conclusions and come up with your own conclusions and recommendations.

It was obvious how much you researched and prepared based on your slides, handout, study analyses, and answering of questions.

Very clearly well prepared. You obviously read through a lot of material.

Great job reading on the subject and sharing your knowledge and opinions with us.

The timeline of studies was very thorough, and made it clear that you had looked into a lot more than you presented.

The seminarian researched the topic far more than the 30 minuted time slot allowed.

I think you absolutely demonstrated that you have done much research and have much knowledge on this subject.

She was able to think on her feet and it showed that she had a large amount of background knowledge of the subject.

She definitely demonstrated knowledge of the topic beyond what was presented. / It was not clear that she was able to distinguish between clinical and statistical significance. More information as to why the results were clinically significant would have been very helpful.

Lisa thought well on her feet and was very knowledgeable about her material.

It sounds like you studied a lot in preparation for the seminar, good job-

Really great job thinking on your feet and demonstrating how much research you had put into your topic

Student showed tremendous knowledge base during the question and answer session.

You were very well spoken and able to answer a variety of questions.

It was very apparent that you did a lot of research and knew about a multitude of studies that were not included in your seminar.

Very knowledgable it was obvious you read many trials and were familiar with their results.

Able to think on your feet. Impressive the number of studies you had reviewed and were able to recall.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked your presentation style which was very relaxing with moderate pace.

great topic

Like the applicability of study.

I liked the extra effort you made to make slides appeasing to visual learners.

I really loved your synthesis of the past blood pressure research and how you presented this is context with everything else.

I really like how you captured our attention during your introduction with good humor.

I LOVED her presentation materials

very interesting topic!

You did such an excellent job on your seminar. If you do a residency you should consider getting your teaching certificate because you are a natural teacher. I left this seminar feeling very confident about what blood pressure goal to suggest for diabetic patients.

I really liked the explanation of pharmacist's role. The points were thoughtful and very relevant and applicable to pharmacy practice.

I loved the handout. Also the relevance of the topic was perfect. It was great to learn more about something that we can use in practice every day.

Your presentation style and the clinical relevance

I liked how many charts and graphs were in your slides and handout. You did a great job explaining them too.

The seminarian did a good job of condensing the details within a very broad and daunting topic.

The topic was amazing!

I felt like this seminar was very applicable to pharmacists. I gained new knowledge and understanding of the new guidelines.

I liked her dress and manner, as well as thorough analysis of the information.

I liked the polish and crispness of Lisa's seminar. The topic was an interesting one with clinical relevance as she stated.

I liked the different format and subject than is presented in most seminars, it felt more fresh-

I think it was a great topic that is applicable to the whole audience, nice job Lisa!

Slides were easy to read and student provided a clear concise recommendation that is useful for pharmacy students.

Loved the graphics!! It made it easier for me to process the information. Your presented a lot of information in very simple and understandable ways with the graphics and tables. Very nicely done!!

I thought the entire thing was amazing and well prepared! Great job!

I liked the clean slides.

Extremely well presented seminar. I love the visuals in your semiar, much easier for me (a visual learner) to follow along.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

For next time, provide more information on your slides and may decrease the font size (it was too big).

More insight into why researchers made recommendation.

Maybe cut your background section and intro section down to spend more time on your studies. You can have a thank you slide but I think you went a bit too much in detail.

Don't worry so much about the next one. :)

You could have mentioned a few more future research needs in addition to stating that more studies looking at diastolic blood pressure are needed.

Honestly, I don't have one. Excellent seminar!

i would expand more on summary slides

Nothing. It is rare to not come up with any improvements but this was probably the best and most relevant seminar I've ever seen. Good job!!

Maybe go over points of a couple other relevant studies that contributed to the guidelines.

Nothing to improve. I thought it went really well!

You seemed a little disbelieving about your conclusions. I think it would be stronger if you more confidently stated you thought JNC was wrong. I'm sure it is hard to say, considering.

I wish you had stated the JNC-8 level of evidence for their recommendation earlier, like during the controversy. I think that would give us a better understanding of why we might change our minds about

it based on two studies rather than feeling like we still need to look at every study they did to refute their recommendation.

More clinical data with a primary outcome as the main topic discussed

I just wish there was some better evidence.

I felt like some of the trials were skimmed over so I was left a little confused by these points.

Make conclusions more concise, keep an eye on the time.

I think a more rigorous approach to the seminar topic of JNC8 vs. ADA addressing the studies that the respective recommendations were based would have been very interesting. I think the review of the HOT and ABCD trials was interesting and informational nonetheless.

On the other hand, with the different studies looking at different drugs (albeit with the same outcome) it was at points hard to draw conclusions...

No suggestions- great seminar

Provide a few more charts and graphs to your slide deck during the results section.

My only suggestion, I had to reach for this one, is to repeat questions back to the asker.

No improvements

Nothing fantastic job.

In the future consider incorporating more discussion of appropriate of your study statistics.

General Comments

Overall you did a great job with your presentation.

good job

Good applicability for the study

Overall very good job. You were confident, didn't rush or stumble over words and did a great job in answering questions. Really good seminar!

Great job, Lisa!

Your seminar was amazing! Congratulations on a very successful seminar. I was also very impressed by your handout. You clearly went the extra mile.

Great job Lisa!!

great job on your seminar!

This seminar was so helpful! I was always confused about the new blood pressure goals but now I understand which goals to choose. Thank you for doing such a relevant topic!

Great topic. Interesting and relevant to all of us, regardless of our practice site.

Great job Lisa! Very well done.

Great job! It will be hard to top next year, but I'm sure you can.

I thought your slides and handout looked almost identical. I don't know that this is a problem, since it was very clear and easy to read, and it made the handout a great reference. You could consider adding extra information into the handout next time, particularly if there is more background than you have time to present.

Overall very well done and informative.

Overall you did amazing!

I really enjoyed this seminar and feel as though I have a better understanding of the guidelines. I feel as though I can use this information going forward in my life.

Great presentation! I can tell that you worked really hard to provide the level of content that you did. Please don't be upset at the quantity of nit-picky comments you received, they're just to help you improve. You did excellent!

Great job Lisa! Your seminar was very well put together with excellently prepared diagrams, graphs and images.

You could take anything and present it in a was that makes it fun and interesting. Have fun with your next one Lisa-

Great job overall.

The best seminar of the semester!!

Fabulous!

Fantastic job.

Fabulous job! Thank you for the pie pizza, drinks and veggies!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!