Presenter: Koontz, Joshua

Seminar Date: 2013-11-21

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.96	6.97	6.99	6.94	7	6.97	6.98	6.13	6.38	7	7	7	7	7	0	0	0	E (47.95)

Presentation Style								
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Good pace /

Nice Job

The pace was excellent.

Very conversational presentation style with a moderate pace and professional

na

I really liked your pace

Great pace and had slides memorized which was awesome

He seemed very confident throughout the presentation.

Seminarian presented with great confidence

Fantastic presentation style, you were very professional and funny.

Did a good job on pace and spent appropriate time on background and the studies. Showed a high level of professionalism during seminar.

This was a great presentation that ws easy to follow and fun to listen to. I really enjoyed it.

Really great pace and your delivery was smooth

None that I can think of. He was great in this regard.

Great pace

Great background information--succinct, yet provided enough for the audience to be able to follow you. There were a few "ums" throughout the presentation as filler, but not overly distracting.

A frequent use of "um", maybe a little more practice would minimize that

great poise throughout

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

great slides

Nice Job

Slides were clear and easy to follow.

Slides and handout were well organized and clear. Did a great job providing orientation to graphs/charts.

I liked the map, demographic stats like that tend to grab attention well... at least mine /

Good orientation to charts

Great handout. The bullets were great and easy to read

Slides were very concise and easy to read. I really liked his handout!

Great slides

There were a few missed spaces in your handout, however overall the materials were very nice!

Slides well prepared.

I appreciated the ease at which I could follow along with the handout, especially in relation to your slides. Also, great job orientating us to all graphs, studies &.c.

I liked how clean the slides looked and that you oriented us to the graphs

See my previous remark.

Nice handout

Very clear handout and slides. Easy to read, good spacing. A few typos in the handout (spaces between words).

I liked the large font, crisp, white on black slides

no errors noticed /

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Appropriate background information was provided	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Good background

Nice Job

You described the objectives well and referred to them throughout the presentation. That was very effective at driving home the objectives.

Overall presentation content was great. Defined the controversy well and his interest in the topic. Gave appropriate background and the presentation flowed well.

The disease process is very common, the treatment is also. This is an important area for a topic, good selection

Appreciated refocusing on objectives

Great organization and intro to your interest

Great job stating the objectives and emphasising them throughout the presentation.

Well organized presentation

I thought your objectives and the way you emphasized them in the presentation were extremely well done!

Had a strong interest in subject due to lack of evidence in current guidelines.

It was really easy to pick up the background and pathophysiology so when the controversy was brought up it was easy to follow along. / Your interest in the subject was clear and interesting.

Objectives were crystal clear and you explained your interest in the topic

When he went back to his controversy midway through, it kind of threw me off.

Great flow, good explanations

Great introduction and interest in the topic. Set up controversy well.

Liked the flow of background as it related to acute exacerbation

good transitions

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.88
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Good explanation of trials

Nice Job

Great job analyzing the studies.

Did a great job presenting and explaining the studies and relating their importance to the topic.

na

Provided audience with a good analysis of studies /

Great analysis of the strengths/weaknesses

I really liked how he explained who the results were applicable to when doing strengths and weaknesses

Very thorough analysis of both studiea

It was very good overall. I would have liked to see you touch on the fact that over 600 studies were excluded in the meta-analysis.

Did a good job evaluating the clinical data for each study.

It was clear you knew the studies well, was able to interpret the data and draw your own conclusions.

You pointed out the key trial results and highlighted what you thought was most clinically relevant

Withdrawls? And his strengths/limitations weren't explained adequately until the questions section.

Good study reviews

Good presentation of the clinical data. Able to point out relevant pieces of information that contribute to overall conclusion.

Give a little more clarification on significance in the non-inferiority studies

good analysis of data.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

Conclusions seemed reasonable

Nice Job

The conclusions were good and based on the data.

Liked that he gave a solid conclusion with a recommended dose and duration. The conclusions were supported by the data, and discussed the pharmacist's role well.

You talked about the "evidence" for the traditional treatment to be very poor and instead the justification for treatment was based upon professional opinion or something like that. Was the inadequate evidence including the original drug trials or just studies after the drug hit the market

I like that you provided a solid recommendation

Role of pharmacist making recommendations was great

Great conclusion and application to pharmacy

Specific recommendations provided. Good job pointing out which age group the recommendations are ment for

Your conclusions were specific and appropriate based on the data presented.

Showed there was no clinical difference in a reduced treatment course over standard time. concluded shorter time is better for treatment methods.

Your conclusions were appropriate. One issue was in your handout it said that the shorter duration treatment has less side effects but the studies showed that each treatment duration had similar side effects so this Conclution can not be made.

Conclusions were made based off the data and you summarized the study findings clearly

I can't think of anything. Amazing job. I'm not trying to suck up either.

I really liked that you dug into this detail of the guidelines- it gave me some ideas of fuzzy areas I have

been concerned about

Appreciated your specific recommendation. However, wasn't sure where your decision came from in terms of the dose you chose (why 40 mg and not 60 mg? or 20 mg?--what were the doses studied in the meta-analysis?)

Liked the idea of pharmacists seeing the gaps in guidelines and researching the answers to those gaps

nice overview of our role

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Question Answer Session Comments

good job on questions

Nice Job

Great job with the questions!

Did a great job fielding questions and maintaining composure.

There were some challenging questions, well fielded

Great job handling some tough questions

Great job answering the questions from the professors

He had a lot of questions and he did a really good job at answering all of them

Answered questions thoroughly and showed extensive knowledge in the topic

You handled the bevy of questions with poise and accuracy.

Answered all questions knowledgeably.

Did a great job fielding all questions. I thought you did great even with the questions that were outside of the scope of your presentation. I thought many of the questions you got were inappropriate and picking at details that were irrelevant but you kept your composure and did a great job.

Great job answering the questions without hesitation

Really, Josh, you are awesome. And I love the beard.

Thank you for your attention to the questions - it was a very interesting topic

Great job with the question and answer session--very calm and collected. Able to think through the question asked.

Handled the barrage of questions very well

great composure with tough questions

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

good knowlede of facts

Nice Job

Your knowledge on the subject was evident during the Q&A. Great job.

Very obvious he was very familiar with the topic, disease state, treatment guidelines, and clinical application.

The discussion on clinical significance was particularly poignant. These drugs are far from benign, but we sometimes throw them around without much thought.

You did a good job really coming to your own conclusion

I thought your recommendations from the study were good

I liked the discussion of the data done and how he included the most recent data available.

Seminarian showed great knowledge in this subject

I felt that you knew the topic material extremely well. This came through in both your presentation, and in the question and answer session.

Able to draw own conclusions and answer questions.

Your overall knowledge of the subject was a really strong suit. Great preparation, it really came through in you presentation.

It was clear you knew more than what was presented by informing us of future research

I would have liked to see more of what constitutes clinical differences.

obviously know your patient population! great!

Strong overall knowledge base. Would have appreciated an explanation of different studies available that contrasted most recent study (what studies are the guidelines based off of?).

You knew a lot and that was evident in the background as well as in your Q and A session

could tell you had studied

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Conclusions seemed reasonable

Nice Job

The whole presentation was great and easy to follow.

I thought the handout and slides were extremely well done and organized, and that he presented the information very clearly. Had a great presentation style.

na

I really liked the pacing of your seminar

Well organized and the background made everything easy to understand

I liked his handout a lot. It was very concise and organized. It was very easy to read and follow along with the presentation.

I liked the specific dosing recommended and an age group it is applicable to.

The topic is very relevant and you made great conclusions.

Able to look at the clinical data and draw his own conclusions and make recommendations accordingly.

Great preparation. I really like the organization and flow of your handout. Also your presentation style and flow was comfortable and easy to follow. / Great job. /

Your presentation style was excellent. You were confident and spoke clearly in terms we all could understand.

He handled some of the harder questions I've heard, and he didn't lose his cool.

Great idea to dig through the guidelines and ask a question about the recommendations.

Good specific, measurable objectives. Pointed them out throughout the presentation. Good spacing throughout the slides and handout.

It was easy to read and easier to follow

good topic, well prepared.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Whether or not you thought all the overkill extra treatments in the better study such as antibiotics etc. would have caused the results in each group to be similar, despite varying steroid treatment lengths.

Nice pace.

Nothing. You did great.

I would have liked to have seen another study with perhaps stronger evidence than the first meta analysis presented that also included time to subsequent exacerbation as an endpoint.

There were a great many different analyses used in the studies, (eg) kaplan-meier, log-rank, cox proportional hazards. I would have been interested in knowing the specifics of what those measured, but considering time restraints it was probably appropriate to not go in to too much detail.

N/A

Maybe more reasons as to why 600+ studies were not included in the meta analysis

I thought it was a great presentation and I don't really have any suggestions.

Great job, I have nothing to recommend for improvement

A little more as to why you chose the meta analysis and their study selection. Also, explain what it means when the confidence interval is really wide.

Nothing I can think of.

Careful with the conclusions that you make (specifically that short-duration steroids have less side effects) when the data goes against or doesn't support that conclusion.

N/A

Getting to the studies seemed to take a too long. I wanted more of the "meat".

Nothing

More interaction with the audience.

Perhaps a case could be added as a reference point for those unfamiliar with what an exacerbation looks like

Nothing I can think of

General Comments

Great overall.

Nice Job

Excellent job overall.

Great presentation, it was easy to pay attention to and follow and the topic was very interesting.

One of the best presentations this semester. Great job.

Great job! Very well done!

Great seminar!

Very knowledgeable and had a good presentation.

Great job, especially with the Q&A section even with the misplaced question you were getting. /

N/A

No, thanks, I'm full.

Awesome job!

Great job! Very relevant seminar topic. Clear, concise presentation.

This was well done in a gray area of the guidelines. Good job Koontz!

Good Job!