

Presenter: Vamianakis, Nickolas

Seminar Date: 2013-11-12

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.64	6.93	6.91	6.92	6.92	6.86	6.93	6	7	6.9	6.8	6.25	6.5	6.9	0	0	0	E (47.26)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	14	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.72
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	12	6	0	0	0	0	0	6.67
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	6	10	2	0	0	0	0	6.22
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Presentation Style Comments

You did a good job. It seemed like you were nervous but presented the information well

Maybe next time don't wear a suit jacket because you kept buttoning and unbuttoning it.

Good pace. The information was really interesting. He knew a lot; however being more confident would help in the future presentation.

He seemed rather nervous which led to some distracting mannerisms that took away from the presentation

Great presentation. You had a nervous thing where you would button and then unbutton your jacket. Try to work on keeping arms still

Nick was very professional during his seminar and presented at a good pace.

Not your fault but probably request for a microphone

Good job but try to not be so nervous you did a great job but very fidgety with the jacket and need to speak louder so everyone can hear.

He improved since last year's seminar for sure. He is much better at presenting this year, but could use more confidence while presenting.

The pace was a little slow at times and he could have not read so much from his slides but rather he could have explained things in his own words. However he did do this at times. He also tended to look

down and talk quietly throughout the presentation.

The seminarian had times where he would speak really softly. Using a microphone would help during this presentation.

More eye contact would have helped you seem more confident in the presentation. Great pace and topic!

It was clear that he was nervous. First, there was a tendency to trail off at the end of a thought. Second, I'm pretty sure he had no idea he did it but he kept buttoning and unbuttoning his suit jacket. I was distracted.

Nick did a great job evenly pacing his presentation!

Be confident and speak up. You know your material - show us.

Good job very well prepared and organized

Good pacing. Very little distracting mannerisms. Material was appropriate for the audience.

good

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	14	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.78
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

Good handout. Everything was easy to read

Overall good presentation.

The orientation he provided at the beginning of the presentation was really interesting. And his graphs were really good and they contained a lot of important information needed for the understanding of the topic.

The handouts were clear and succinct

Handouts were missing some spaces especially after citations were placed. Other than that, handout was great

His slides and his handout were very easy to read and were organized well.

Greta slides

Great handouts very easy to read and follow along.

His slides were clean and visually appealing.

His slides and handout were very thorough.

The handout was organized and easy to read.

The handout was great and I liked that the handout went right along with your slides.

Some grammar issues.

I really enjoyed the clarity and thoroughness of Nick's slides.

Great. Could make handout easier to follow

Great slides, very neat and went along well with the presentation.

Slides and handout were easy to follow. Handout had some minor spacing errors.

clear and easy to follow

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	16	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.83
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Appropriate background information was provided	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89

Overall Presentation Content Comments

I wish you had seemed more interested in the topic

Clear and good objectives.

The slides were organized well and they contained all the necessary information. Introduction to the topic was really good.

The only issue here related back to his nervousness that led to some of the transitions not being as smooth as they could have been

Work on flow a little more, it just seemed you were nervous. Maybe practice more in front of lots of different people to help that

His background information contained good information and was an appropriate length.

Great introduction to the topic

Very interesting and unique topic good job explaining the controversy.

He made it very clear where the interest in his topic came from, and even did further research to identify that his presentation day was coincidentally linked with a national outreach/awareness day.

Great background information. I didn't really understand how you decided on your topic. Your objectives were nice and clear and you seemed to have smooth transitions.

The seminarian was able to discuss all his objectives.

The presentation was great! I think the flow could be improved on the make the transitions between slides more smooth.

Content was appropriate and complete.

Nick provided an excellent background on his topic and described thoroughly the controversy involving his topic.

Great focus on objectives and good information presented

Good

Clearly discussed the interest and controversy. Appropriate amount of background was provided.

very knowledgeable

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.94
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Your clinical data was good and you distinguished the difference between statistical and clinical significance

Good job at making your own conclusions from the data.

Explanation of the results and the clinical data was thorough and he demonstrated a really good knowledge on this matter.

He explained the statistical findings and what the results meant in lay terms rather than using the statistical jargon/abbreviations which I really liked

Great data analysis

He did a good job of determining his studies strengths and weaknesses.

Great analysis of the studies

Excellent job commenting on the different types of tests and mentioning the impact of not reporting power

He understood his studies well.

He analyzed the studies well and was able to pick out weaknesses of each.

The seminarian was able to analyze the study and determine what was lacking from it (the lack of power calculation).

The clinical data was presented very thoroughly. I liked that you touched on the statistical data and why it was important.

A little hard to hear at times, but it seemed complete.

The statistical analysis of his studies was well organized.

very thorough analysis of the studies. good job

Provided all pertinent pertinent info.

Good assessment of the studies. Presenter performed a great analysis, drawing the strengths and limitations well.

enough background information

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	16	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.83
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Conclusions Comments

I would have liked to see a stronger conclusion than "more studies are needed"

Be more confident.

He discussed the role of the pharmacist in a great detail and provided specific recommendations. He also included the guidelines which was excellent. It would have been better to include those guidelines in the handout as well.

He tied the findings of the studies into the real world application and the role of the pharmacist very well

Great recommendations and summary of conclusions

His conclusions were supported by data and he did a good job defining the role of the pharmacist pertaining to this topic.

Great conclusion

You discussed clinical significance along with statistical significance which was great and had your own conclusions.

He discussed where this fits into our practice and how the possible mechanism of action accounts for practice. However, the recommendation's for pharmacy practice could use some work

I really liked his application to pharmacy practice and how he provided information about pneumococcal vaccines to prevent pneumonia even though this was not addressed specifically in his studies.

The seminarian's conclusion was supported by the data he presented.

I really liked the slide for recommendations of who should be vaccinated and what the pharmacists roles were.

Appropriate conclusions.

The conclusion was well prepared and confidently delivered.

Excellent information for pharmacists to apply and impact patients

well-done

Conclusions were supported by the data, and presenter clearly stated the role of pharmacists

informative

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	14	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.78

Question Answer Session Comments

There were not a lot of questions but you answered them well

You didn't get a lot of questions but good job at answering them.

He had a great knowledge on pneumonia and he answered all the questions thoroughly. A little more audience involvement would have been better and that is the area that can be improved in the future.

He did a good job of fielding questions and did not seem to be quite as nervous when engaging the audience in this portion of the presentation

Great job with questions

He did encourage questions and did a good job answering them.

Your did a great job in answering the questions. I noticed you were less nervous during the answer and question section.

Great job with question and answer but try to involve the audience more.

Although he didn't receive many questions he was able to succinctly answer them.

He encouraged audience interaction throughout his seminar even though the audience didn't always participate.

The seminarian was able to answer the questions very well. The seminarian could have encourage more audience participation.

The questions were answered with confidence and you gave adequate opportunities for the audience to ask their questions.

Not especially interactive.

Nick was very prepared in all his responses and thoroughly answered each question. I really enjoyed the summary of the pneumococcal vaccine as a preventative measure.

Good answers you know your material

Great job answering questions

Presenter encouraged questions and answered the questions well.

answer questions very well

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Your knowledge base was good and I learned a lot about CAP

You seemed to know the subject very well.

His knowledge on Benzos and Pneumonia was excellent and he did a great job in explaining the clinical and statistical significance.

He had a good grasp of the information, his lack of confidence did lead me to call that in question at first but as he spoke and engaged the audience it was clear that he understood the subject well

Knew data well, able to think and answer questions appropriately

He did a good job thinking on his feet during the question and answer portion of his seminar.

Demonstrated great knowledge of the subject.

Great overall knowledge base and very knowledgeable on the topic.

He did a good job with obtaining background information. He could have had some stronger conclusions.

Nick seemed to know the material beyond what he presented. He also was able to look beyond the author's conclusions and come up with his own conclusions based on the studies' limitations. /

The seminarian demonstrated thorough knowledge of the information.

I liked that you were able to come up with your own conclusions and limitations of the studies. It should that you had great knowledge in the subject matter.

Seemed knowledgeable.

Nick was very knowledgeable about his topic.

Way to look beyond the authors conclusions

.

Presenter has clear knowledge base and drew the conclusions based on the available data.

good statistical analysis

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked that you went over the recommendations for pneumovax - it was a good refresher

Good job at explaining the subject and answering questions.

I really liked how much he knew about the subject and the clinical data. I also liked his explanation on the pharmacist's role and the specific recommendation that a pharmacist needs to have in this situation.

I liked how presented the statistical findings, he did not assume that the audience understood every detail and he went on to explain what the findings actually meant

Presentation looked great

This topic was interesting and he did a good job explaining pertinent background information.

I like the presentation style. Clear objectives.

You did an excellent job explaining the different statistical tests and commenting on power as well as clinical significance.

I liked his presentation, and I appreciated his choice in topic

I really liked his handout. I thought he outlined everything very nicely in the handout

I liked how the seminarian presented studies that supported by sides, and was able to make his own conclusions.

I liked that you emphasized the role pharmacists can now play and what we should do in future settings/cases.

Clinically relevant and interesting topic.

I really love the topic.

Well done and I must say you looked sharp

Very confident and knew the information very well.

I liked the discussion of the interest at the beginning.

interesting topic and great introduction

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

I felt that you went a little bit over on time. There were some slides that you probably could have spent less time on

Try not to fidget while presenting and keep your voice strong or use a microphone.

The area that could be improved is to have more audience involvement. Also being more confident and speaking up a little would help the audience to follow the presentation better. He knew a lot and he can be more confident.

The confidence level while presenting would be the biggest area for improvement

Practicing may help you feel more comfortable. Great job though!

No suggestions on improvements.

You did great, only that you nervous. // You can try practicing the presentation one more time and do the visualization techniques again ? or you can integrate many relaxation strategies to make sure you're calm for the day, such as: / Progressive Muscle Relaxation / Meditation / Yoga /

You did a great job starting off by saying and colleges instead of et al but then starting saying et al and it was probably just because of nerves you did great and I know it's hard but try to be more confident in your knowledge and not as nervous.

I think he could have more confidence while presenting.

He could have spoke a little louder and involved the audience a little more in the presentation.

The seminarian can improve on his volume thorough the seminar. A suggestion would be to use a microphone.

I think more eye contact and speaking louder would have made you seem more confident in your presentation. You could tell you knew the material, you just needed to more confident in presenting it.

Don't wear a suit coat if you're gonna play with it. Work on sounding confident.

Nick seemed a little nervous, but had every reason to be confident with his great presentation!

Speak up and be confident

Try not to fidget with your buttons too much but overall, very good

Presenter was a bit quiet, but that didn't affect the overall presentation.

Hard to hear him from the last row

General Comments

Good job on you LAST SEMINAR OF PHARMACY School!!!

Overall, good job.

Great job overall.

While he knew his material it seemed that his nerves got in the way of him being able to get that across to the audience

Congrats on being done!!

Good job!

Great job

Overall great job and very interesting topic.

Good work!

Overall, this was very nicely done and interesting. I had never heard of a correlation between benzodiazepines and pneumonia.

Overall, the seminarian was very knowledgeable and did a good job presenting his studies.

Overall, great seminar. It was interesting and presented in a manner everyone could understand.

Well done.

Nick did a great job.

Great overall presentation

.

Overall, a great presentation. I learned a lot.

overall, it is a great presentation