

Presenter: Olsen, Gregory

Seminar Date: 2014-03-26

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.98	6.86	6.98	6.99	6.99	6.96	6.98	6.88	6.75	6.4	6.5	6.38	6.75	6.8	0	0	0	E (47.19)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	33	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.91
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

I liked your presentation style which was very relaxing.

Great opening-very confident and comfortable!

nice pace. hardly any reliance on notes

May have been a little too relaxed during presentation.

Very good job on your seminar, Greg. Really nice, relaxed pace and no reliance on your notes whatsoever.

Great presentation style.

The pace was just right. You talked to us and not at us. You taught with poise and confidence. You taught at the appropriate level. Use the same presentation style in the future.

Your pacing was fantastic, and your material was right on par for where pharmacy students should be.

Excellent pace, very comfortable in front of the class

good pace and good display of professionalism

Greg's pace was perfect. He didn't go too fast or too slow for the material he was presenting.

You had good pace and did a good job maintaining eye contact. You played with your hands and rings

the entire presentation and it was a little distracting. When your practicing, have a friend let you know when you do those distracting mannerisms so that you notice when you do it.

Very good pace, easy to follow, and no distracting "ums". Good job!

Good pace, you also sounded very confident while you were presenting

Greg, great presentation skills. You showed poise, professionalism, and confidence.

Great presentation style, pacing, and inflection. Kept me riveted throughout the lecture!

Displayed confidence all the way throughout the seminar. Had very little if any reliance on notes and slides.

Great job displaying confidence

I thought the pace and professionalism were appropriate for the audience.

You engaged well with audience by seeming confident

Great presentation style

Good pace.

Presentation style was professional and intriguing. Very well done

You had great eye contact and never overtly relied on notes.

Seemed very relaxed and confident. Did look at computer screen a little bit.

He maintained eye contact throughout the presentation. He relied on his notes very little which really added to the presentation.

Pace was moderate and relaxed, good presentation style. / Some distracting mannerisms could have been reduced: rubbing of the arms, saying I don't know as a manner of speech.

Greg, you get a 10 for style. Engaging and fun-

I really liked how the background was kept basic and easy to follow. You also seemed really comfortable presenting and kept a good pace

Good pace and overall timing. You seemed very calm and collected in front of the section.

Great pace and voice throughout seminar.

Very pleasant to listen to and pace which keeps the audience's interest without going too fast.

Went at a good pace. Appeared very confident and I did not notice any distracting mannerisms.

Great pace and attitude you felt very at ease like you were conversing with us one on one.

Excellent pace throughout. Very comfortable presentation style with interaction with the audience.
Appropriate level and amount presented for the audience to follow.

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	32	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	24	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.66
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	32	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	34	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.97

Instructional Materials Comments

Your handout had details. However your slides had spelling errors.

Nice font, easy to read slides, references sited and apparent.

slides and handouts were easy to read

Some slides had minor errors

Make sure you check your slides for errors before you present your seminar. There were several mistakes. Other than that, your handout and slides were nice and clean, and very easy to read.

Handout was solid. Very readable.

Double check all your slides before presenting in the future - there was a typo (repeated word) on one of the slides.

Slides were easy to follow. I especially liked the box in the upper right, indicated what part of the seminar you were on.

There were quite a few typos on several slides; just double-check next time :)

good job on the slides, very clean and concise

Greg's slides were very clear and easy to read. Also, the slides contained enough words for the audience without being too wordy.

Your slides were clear and easy to read. Sometimes the "map" box at the top made important information run off the bottom of the slide so watch for that. I liked how you enlarged the figures, it was very helpful. Just make sure the figures are not transparent because the references blocked them when they were enlarged.

The slides were great-easy to read and not too wordy. The tables in the handout were very concise and you had some great figures in the MOA section.

I really liked how you kept the slides simple and very easy to understand and then expanded on it.

There were a couple typos on your slides- so I recommend having someone double check your material to avoid these. Your handouts were easy to read and I liked your personal experience that tied into this topic

Great slides! You provided good orientation to the charts and graphs and I loved the "roadmap" showing where we were in the presentation. It helped orient me throughout the lecture.

Awesome powerpoint animations!

The slides were clear and non-distracting. I liked the outline at the top right corner of the slides

Some minor typo issues, otherwise, very well-done presentation materials.

Some typos on slides, otherwise presentation materials were well done

Great materials. I really liked the increased opioid prevalence slide

I really liked the "guide" you had in the corner of your slides.

Handout complimented the slides very well, more detail on the background would have been helpful.

Your slides and handout were well organized and had minimal spelling/grammar errors. Remember to orient us to graphs/figures/etc. when needed.

Handout is very well done, however, slides did have several errors. Other than that, everything was very easy to read and follow

The slides were easy to read and the handout was as well. There were a few spelling mistakes which slightly detracted from the presentation.

Slides were clear and easy to read. / I didn't notice any reference on the intro slide where you were citing all of these statistics; more orientation to a few images would have been nice.

You are well aware by now that your slides and handout could have used another edit...

I liked how you were able to describe the confusing graphs really well and were obviously very knowledgeable about the studies

Clean slides, some grammar and punctuation errors. Overall good handout and slides.

I liked the outline of the seminar that was in the top-right corner of the slides.

Be sure to proofread your slides. Also, be sure to provide orientation for the audience to graphs and tables.

You kept the slides very clean without too much information. Just a few spelling and grammatical errors. On a few of the graphs it would have been nice for a little more orientation.

Slides were clear of clutter and easy to follow. Also took an appropriate amount of time to orient us to the graphs and charts.

A few errors in the slides. Easy to do. Just make sure to have someone else review it beforehand.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	33	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	34	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	34	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
4	Appropriate background information was provided	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Topic was interesting and very thorough background information was presented.

Appropriate background information-most of us are not aware of this so it was helpful. Presentation flowed smoothly despite the person interrupting to ask questions. Graceful job reigning him in.

good job with controversy issues. information was clear.

Greg was very good at setting up the presentation for success

Very nice intro and background section. Your controversy was very well defined.

I really liked your introduction. I couldn't immediately relate your precise topic (taper length) with the fact that Suboxone will only be covered for 6 months, so I would be sure to make the connections even if you think it's obvious. Frequently it's not as obvious to people unfamiliar with the topic.

Your presentation was very well organized with smooth transitions - do this in the future for all your presentations.

You were clearly well organized and prepared for the seminar. Your entire presentation had a very nice flow to it.

His presentation slowed very smoothly, and I loved that the general outline was at the top corner of every slide

good review of mechanism and opioid receptors

His presentation was well organized and he did a good job explaining his interest in the topic.

I really liked your interest slide. It really drew my attention. Your background information was very helpful.

You gave a lot of background, which is actually relevant to this topic. The topic itself is very interesting-it would've been nice to know your interest in the topic.

Very good job with the background it set up the controversy very nicely

Great introduction and interest in topic. Good background information - not too much, not too little.

Great job presenting the controversy by using your experience with managed care. It showed how the topic directly applies to real life and showed its importance.

Introduction and flow was flawless.

Your interest in the topic is very relatable to the rest of the audience and I liked that

I loved the transitions and opportunity provided for questions at each major transition point. NICE

Reason of interest was genuine

Great background information

You had a really good background and interest in this topic.

The controversy was very well defined, the background information provided was focused on the scope of the seminar.

The controversy was introduced and defined, which was great.

Great job at presenting complete and concise background information! Very easy to follow with the guide and transitions. A very interesting topic.

The background really added to the presentation. I was drawn into the presentation and gained a base level of knowledge which really added to the seminar.

Appropriate background information was provided. / The controversy was not clear to me during seminar. More information to establish the controversy would have helped.

Good background, but I guess the more relevant part on the dependence treatment could have used a bit more discussion in my opinion-

I liked how you related the topic to the real world PBM problems that you are facing in the work place

Smooth transitions, good background info, and good intro to topic.

Great transitions and background information.

Very helpful review and background information for those of us not familiar with pain management and discontinuation. Thank you!

I really enjoyed that you went through opioid dependence and treatment and diagnosis. Great background! Maybe I missed it but I don't think you went through your objectives. I was still a little unclear as to why it was a controversy.

Controversy was clearly outlined. The presentation flowed very well with flawless transitions.

Introduction to the topic was interesting and relevant for many of us as we begin to see insurance rejecting to pay for suboxone. Just a style thing for me, in transitioning to the next topic you wrote

"questions" after the title of the next topic. It seemed in reverse order, could have left it out and just asked for questions.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	34	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	34	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	34	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.97

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

May be provide more information and details on each study in handout and slides.

Studies seemed appropriate for the topic and Greg's analysis seemed spot on, correctly analyzed and well presented.

analysis of strengths was a plus

The explanation of dropouts was helpful in explaining results of the study.

Very good job on presenting your studies. I felt you went in enough detail to explain the studies well but not too indepth.

You analysis was thoughtful and detailed.

You possibly could have discussed a few more strengths and limitations of the study. In the future you could talk about some limitations associated with bias, or other factors we learned about in Drug Lit.

You did a very good job presenting and interpreting your clinical data. I could tell you really knew your studies.

He truly provided thoughtful analysis of his studies

good explanation / orientation of methods

Greg did a good job evaluating his studies.

You did a good job explaining why the strengths and limitations were listed as such. Just one tip: power does not matter if they found a difference.

Great figures in the methods and results sections in study 1. You really explained the study statistics

and I like that you based the study strengths on the stats.

I liked how you had diagrams that showed the methodology of the different studies. It helped me understand the design of the studies

Great job presenting the clinical data. Some times you didn't seem as sure of yourself presenting the data, you said things like "I think". . . you know the material, just maintain the confidence

I loved the flowcharts! They really helped me understand the studies. Great insight and study analysis.

Studies were well explained especially in regard to the taper methods.

You presented the clinical data in a way the audience could understand

I thought Greg did a nice job of presenting clinical data. No complaints.

Gave a good overview that was not too in depth or basic /

Great job interpreting studies

It was good how you pointed out the high dropout rate for the study and how it makes sense with the patient population.

The clinical data was very well presented and the seminarian did a good job of combining results from multiple studies to come up with his own conclusion.

The studies were well summarized and explained.

Did a great job of not just re-stating the results. Consistently interpreted the results and related them back to clinical reality.

His analysis of the strengths and weaknesses of the trials was great and allowed me to understand their limitations as well as why they were valid.

Withdrawals were accounted for. / The statistical analysis seemed a little sparse on slide 28.

The study designs are complex, but nice job presenting the data-

Really good job presenting the data and explaining it to the audience

Good analysis of the studies including discussing the strengths and limitations.

Great analysis of each study.

Even though you had small, difficult trials, you put together helpful information and explained the statistics of each study.

Went through the studies well. I really liked that you went through the strenghts and limitations and really explained them.

You were able to simplify otherwise complex trials and share only the parts necessary to understand

the conclusions drawn.

Analysis of studies was great. One thing that you did well was keeping information on the slides simple and concise. Leaving more information to the handout. It helped the flow of the presentation and helped us focus on the most important points.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	34	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

Your conclusion was well supported from the data and I felt you did a very good job presenting the information.

Greg's conclusions were appropriate based on the data from the studies he analyzed. Good coverage of pharmacist impact.

I liked the recommendations

Felt that the conclusions were very helpful and appropriate for the data.

I really liked your explanation of why you would recommend using a 28 day taper (vs a shorter taper).

I really liked that although you admitted the evidence was inconclusive, you still came to some of your own conclusions and recommended specific things based on the best evidence available. Well-done.

Your conclusions were concise, yet meaningful - this was effective since too many details can make it difficult to remember the main take home message.

You made conclusions that were easy to find in the data.

His conclusions were well-supported by the studies that he presented

under pharmacist role: what is the withdrawal schedule ? or range?

Greg's conclusions were supported by the evidence from his studies.

Your conclusions were supported by the data. The role of the pharmacist section was helpful.

Your conclusions were based on the data in the studies, so good job interpreting them. You gave interesting and applicable recommendations for pharmacists.

I liked how you came up with your own conclusions and didnt just restate the authors conclusions

Great topic that is applicable to every day practice. You discussed the recommendations for pharmacy and the pharmacist. Good job

Good conclusions! Well thought out and well supported by the data.

Conclusions were supported by the studies and had great pharmacist recommendations.

The application of the study is very relevant to the rest of the audience

I was pleased to see you provided a very specific recommendation for practice that was based on your findings from analysis of the studies. Well-done!

Good evaluation of the clinical significance

Really liked the role of the pharmacist

Your conclusion to recommend the 28 day taper was a good conclusion that was definitely supported by the evidence you presented.

Conclusions were personal based on clinical data presented and the seminarian did a good job of defending his position.

Great discussion of the pharmacist role and the importance of this topic with PBMs.

Conclusions were well supported by the studies as well as other data sources that were referred to.

His conclusion and application to pharmacy really added to the presentation. His discussion about PBMs also added a unique aspect to the seminar.

Good suggestions for the application to clinical pharmacy were provided. / I thought that the conclusions could have been worded more concisely, the excessive wordiness made the recommendations less clear.

I liked how your discussion was in context of the insurance-imposed limit on Suboxone. It does require one to consider the most appropriate treatment-

Did a great job discussing how this topic will affect the role of the pharmacist

Reasonable conclusions based on the data presented. You could have tied the PBMs lifetime limitation into your conclusion to make an interesting discussion at the end, but Dr. Munger seemed to do that for you.

Conclusions were supported by the studies.

I may have reached different conclusions due to the size of the trials, but small trials are all you have in this area, so you did your best. Good suggestions for us in our practice on how to deal with these patients.

I liked that you gave specific recommendations and really went into the pharmacist role.

Conclusions were succinct and were supported by the data and results of the trials. Excellent discussion about our stewardship as pharmacists.

I appreciated that you took a clear stance on the topic. The topic is controversial and there is a lack of strong evidence, however, you made a reasonable conclusion based on the evidence you had and your clinical judgement. It is a good skill to develop early on. /

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	33	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	34	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97

Question Answer Session Comments

You were able to answer all the questions and encouraged questions throughout the presentation, which was good.

The guest faculty asked inappropriate questions at inappropriate times and tried to dig much deeper than was needful for this seminar; this could have potentially derailed the seminar but Greg reigned him in and took control in a graceful and respectful way. I appreciated his approach because as an audience we were becoming annoyed. Thank you for expertly and appropriately taking control of your seminar, Greg.

answered questions well

Was very good at falling back on the data and then referencing slides and info presented when answering questions.

I really liked how you grabbed the audience's attention by starting off with a question.

Great job with the questions--you handled them really well.

There was only one question that I can remember where you did not answer thoroughly. You encouraged questions and did very well in succinctly and confidently answering questions - do this again in the future.

Great job with the questions. You even had questions thrown out midway through the seminar, and you handled them with ease.

He handled his questions with confidence and poise

good job answering questions

He did an excellent job answering audience questions. He was very calm and confident during his presentation.

You got many challenging questions and did a good job trying to answer them. At times though it seemed like you were quick to dismiss a question by saying you did not know. It is important to at least think about it for a second before quickly dismissing the question. Overall though you did a good job maintaining composure during the question section.

Excellent opinions about the validity of the studies in response to questions.

Very good job encouraging questions, you were asked quite few questions and were able to answer them all

I liked your question slides throughout the presentation. . . it encouraged questions as you went through instead of making people have to wait until the end

Your answers were direct and you seemed like you had good knowledge outside what was presented in the seminar.

Amount of information given per question was "just right". Played it safe.

good confidence in answering questions

You handled questions well. Nice work.

You connect very well with audience

Great job handling audience questions. very relaxed

I think that your analysis of studies that need to be done in the future was a good way to answer questions for which there is no clear answer yet.

Question and answer session showed that the student did much more preparation than the 30 minute time slot had allowed. Very well handled.

You answered all of our questions very well.

Encouraged questions.

He encouraged questions throughout the seminar and answered them with great answers. He clearly knew a lot about the subject and was easily able to answer them.

Questions were encouraged. / Often the response to questions was I don't know, with little other speculation added or clarification asked for.

You don't appear to be phased by questions or anything, way to keep cool under pressure- /

Did a really great job interacting with the audience

Greg handled questions well and seemed prepared.

Good job encouraging questions.

Excellent and succinct answers. Good job! You obviously know your topic.

Great job answering questions!! You thoroughly answered each question thrown at you and did so with poise.

You got many questions both during the presentation and at the end. You entertained them all well and had thoughtful and insightful answers.

Answered questions very well. One thing to consider in the future is to anticipate questions. However, you can't anticipate them all. Your topic mentor may be able to help you with this.

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	34	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	34	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	34	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.94

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

You were able to differentiate between statistical and clinical significance which was very good.

Very thorough knowledge base of the subject as was demonstrated by answering questions that were really inappropriately deep and somewhat pointless, as well as being asked for information about to be covered in the seminar. I doubt this faculty looked at the handout prior to the seminar and prior to asking his questions. Great job answering questions-seemed very comfortable and natural.

Did a good job. Answered questions well.

Greg had done research that was more extensive than what was presented and it showed when he made recommendations.

I liked the addition of the small trial with 8 patients. I also liked how you included your own personal experience from working at a PBM into your seminar. It was a nice addition to the seminar and gave most of us insight into a field that we're not that familiar with.

It's great to pick a topic you're interested in it because it's easier to have that overall knowledge base. It was obvious that this was the case with you.

It was clearly evident that you had knowledge of the subject far beyond the studies presented. In the future, you could offer a few more insights into the overall study results.

It was very clear that you knew your material well.

He did focus on clinical significance, which is always important

very good job thinking on your feet with the questions

He did a good job thinking on his feet during the question sections of his seminar.

You seemed very knowledgeable on the subject. Try to theorize if you are not sure of an answer instead of just dismissing it by saying you don't know.

Your knowledge of suboxone was evident by the responses to questions and explanations of pharmacy implications.

Good job thinking on your feet, especially with some very difficult questions

Good job thinking on your feet and discussing conclusions

Greg's interest and knowledge of the topic showed through his considerable background preparation and he demonstrated additional knowledge by adding information not found on the slides and while answering questions.

Clearly was knowledgeable about the subject. Was well prepared.

you definitely conveyed that you were the expert on the topic in that room

It was obvious you spent ample time preparing and seeking to understand the information presented. Thank you for your dedication to pharmacy and for seeking to prepare enough to provide us (the audience) with a memorable and helpful experience.

You put everything into context of previous research. You were open about what you did and didn't know which is refreshing.

Great overall knowledge

You did a good job at thinking of other studies and issues that were not central to the topic presented. It showed very broad preparation that was narrowed down into a specific topic. Great job!

The student's overall knowledge base on this topic was apparent in the Q and A session. Very well put together presentation.

I think you definitely demonstrated the ability to think for yourself and come to good conclusions.

Thoroughly answered all of the questions that were presented.

He was able to think on his feet during the entire presentation. He demonstrated that he had a much larger knowledge of the subject than the information he presented in the seminar.

The difference between statistical and clinical significance was discussed. / The overall knowledge could have been improved, anticipating questions and looking for that information may have helped.

You were very well-studied on your topic, or you at least came across that way--which is good!

Good job thinking on your feet and answering all the questions

Good overall knowledge base, good discussion of your conclusions about the studies

Student is able to think beyond the studies and apply clinical knowledge to questions asked during his

seminar.

With your answers, you obviously thoroughly reviewed the information available.

It was very obvious that you had done a lot of research about the topic. You were able to discuss information outside what was in your seminar.

Very deep knowledge on the subject I could tell it was personal to you and that the changes being made in the PBMs is a problem you want solved.

It was a strong part of your presentation that you were able to think on your feet, theorize answers and give your opinion and back it up.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked your presentation style and ability to answer questions very thoroughly.

I loved Greg's opening, he seemed comfortable and confident and his fun personality showed through while presenting.

excellent topic. very interesting

i liked the simple methodology used in breaking down the seminar.

I really liked the pace and friendliness of the seminar. You were calm and relaxed and it felt like you were talking to us and not at us.

I loved your well-thought out conclusions and that you weren't afraid to say things even when the evidence is inconclusive.

One of the greatest strengths of the seminar was your presentation style which was very conducive to learning (e.g. talking directly to the audience and not reading the slides).

You were clearly organized and prepared for your seminar. Kudos to you.

I really liked the outline on the top right of the slide

this is a very important topic. thank you

Greg had an excellent presentation style. He was confident and did well presenting his seminar.

You had a good pace and did a great job of maintaining eye contact with the audience. Your slides were not too wordy and were easy to read. I also liked how you enlarged the graphics.

I liked how you discussed the remaining questions. It added to the explanation of the controversy.

I really liked how you had the outline up in the corner of the slides, I have not seen this before and it was very helpful

Great topic Greg! I liked your "roadmap" on the top right hand corner of your slides- it really helped show where we were, where we came from, and what else we were going to cover.

I could tell that you had prepared well by the excellent graphs, flowcharts, and visuals as well as by your easy, flowing presentation style. It held my rapt attention and was easy to understand.

The flow and introduction was very good. I wanted to start clapping right from the beginning.

I liked that you provided a snapshot on the top right corner of where the seminar is heading and I liked the section on future research

One simple thing that I really liked was the animations you had on your slides with the two charts/tables. They were pretty small on the slide to facilitate fitting the text above the images in a readable size. I liked how you had animations to zoom in on the charts/tables...very cool and effective.

Your confident presentation style is an asset to you.

great overall presentation style. I also really liked the topic, very prevalent and very important

I really liked your presentation style, and how you were able to keep us oriented to where we were in the presentation with the guide in the corner of each slide.

The seminarian did an amazing job at presenting without reliance on notes and showed that he had done much more research on this topic than just the 30 minute presentation content.

Great topic and important controversy.

The relaxed atmosphere and ability to answer questions that were asked.

His presentation style really added to the seminar. He was able to flow from section to section. He seemed confident in his knowledge and it really showed.

I enjoyed the relaxed tone of the presenter's voice and overall style.

Nice topic and application to the real-world

It was a great topic and I like that it was presented simply enough for everyone to follow

Good topic, interesting conclusions considering the lifetime limits from some PBMs

Great voice throughout the presentation.

Very nice pace!! You were easy to follow and understand.

You seemed very confident in presenting. I thought the background was very informative!!

Great presence and self confidence.

Very interesting topic and applicable moving forward as we will encounter it. Presented at a very appropriate level.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

For next time, make sure to look over your presentation for any errors.

I have no suggestions for improvement. I thought you did great in every aspect and took a potentially difficult situation and turned it to your advantage as a presenter. I thought that was an expert move.

I have no complaints

Additional review of the slides prior to presenting

Double-check for errors in slides before presenting your seminar.

Make sure that you give some background information about your topic (why Suboxone is used; how long it is usually used). I kind of felt like I was playing catch-up trying to recall some basic information about it. It's good to remember that most people will know a lot less about your topic than you do.

You could eliminate typo(s) from slide(s).

I can't think of anything that I'd like to see improved.

Typos on the slides--double-check before finalizing your presentation next time

i really liked your presentation. more time could be spent on the studies?

There were a few typos in his handout.

Your handout could use some formatting. Try to make it so the different section in the study tables stay on the same page. Also, try to avoid distracting mannerisms. It can be hard but it will really help with your presentation style.

The suboxone slide would've been great to have in the handout as a future reference.

I think you could have spent a little less time on the background and introduction and gotten into the studies a little quicker

Everyone can always work on confidence! Also, just double check your spelling/grammar. . . there were a couple things that looked or sounded funny on your slides

Perhaps choosing more contrasting colors on the title slides for greater readability and visual appeal. Other than that, the presentation was perfectly suited to my learning style, I'm not sure I have any recommendations. Great job Greg!!!

I wish I could, but I can't think of one improvement.

I thought you displayed a lot of confidence so maybe you can add to that by walking around the front of the class to make it a little more personal

Spend some extra time yourself (or ask someone else) to review the slides for typos (e.g. "initiated initiated")

More editing of slides

Doesn't really matter, but I swear I have read somewhere that naloxone is absorbed orally. Hmmm not sure now.

One of your study charts had the first line on one page and the rest of it on the next page. Next time

you could do a little messing with the formatting to make sure that charts are on one page as much as possible.

While the content of the seminar was clearly defined, a bit more information on the pathophysiology of the addiction would have been helpful.

Remember to orient to graphs and figures, even if you are strapped for time.

Errors on slides.

If he would have just run through his slides and handout one more time before the seminar, he probably would have been able to eliminate the spelling errors which were present.

I noticed a few spelling/ grammatical errors on the handout that were distracting: under the implications in pharmacy practice section, a sentence ending "and what", or the absence of capitalization of the word does in title.

Some of the areas (eg treatment strategy and study design) could have used a bit more explanation

Proofread the handout for typos but overall great job!

Try to catch more of the typos before presenting

I would have liked the student to revert back to his PBMs decision to cap the amount of suboxone they would pay for and comment on the decision to do so.

Be sure to proofread your slides

make sure to orient us when there are charts and graphs. Maybe I missed it but I was still a little unsure of what the exact controversy was.

I have nothing it was the best seminar I have seen.

One style thing I would suggest in the future is cutting down the information included in your citations on slides. I use: Journal. year;volume(issue):first page #. This is just my preference, some may include the first author. Trimming it down will make the information that you would be most concerned about easy to find and read.

General Comments

You did a good job with your presentation and handout.

I LOVED the slide/handout guide in the upper corner of the slides to help keep us on track. I really liked the graphics used and the slide effects. I liked the results flow chart, a lot!-It broke things down in a way that was very easy to see and understand. The slides all had great esthetics-nice font, easy to read, not overly busy. I liked the direction that was given for questions and how it helped to reign things in. Good sense of humor throughout, great confidence. I learned a lot about a subject I knew little about and was

entertained while learning. Thanks!

Good job.

Appropriate recommendations though difficult to make based on high failure rate.

Very good job on your seminar, Greg! One of the best I've seen this year! I really liked your seminar not only because your topic was interesting but because of the way you presented. Keep up the good work!

Well done, Greg!

Your ability to present effectively will serve you well during your residency and throughout your career. Keep up the excellent work in the future.

Great job Greg!!

good job greg!

Great job Greg! Don't change a thing about your presentation style.

Overall you did a really good job giving the background information and getting the audience interested in the topic.

Besides a few typos in the handout, everything was well-done and thought out.

Good job Greg, awesome presentation

Great job! Good job having your first seminar completed!

Very well done Greg!

great job greg!

Well done, Greg. Thank you for taking the time to present a quality seminar.

Overall great job.

Great job

You were really well prepared and did a great presentation. Good job!

Overall an extremely strong presentation. Impressive work!

Overall you did an amazing job!

The seminar was very informational and I feel that I will be able to use this information moving forward. His inclusion of PBM rules and regulation provided a unique insight into the subject and pharmacy practice.

Great work!

Greg, you seem like a natural, just be sure to edit your materials a bit more!

Nice job, you seemed relaxed and yet still professional in your presentation today

Great job overall.

A few tweaks (proofreading your slides, orienting to graphs) and your next seminar will be fantastic!

Overall great seminar!

I hope you continue on this path and next years seminar as just as amazing.

I enjoyed your seminar. Thanks for the donuts!