Presenter: Nielson, Candice

Seminar Date: 2014-04-01

Presenter Scores

, ,												Final Scores					
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.8	6.88	6.91	6.94		6.95		7	7	7	7	7	6	7	0	0	0	E (47.79

Presentation Style								
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1 Moderate Pace	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2 Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3 Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4 Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Ir	nstructional Materials									
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

all well done			

Overall Presentation Content									
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean	
1 Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
2 Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
3 Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
4 Appropriate background information was provided	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
5 Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	

Overall Presentation Content Comments

all well done			

Р	resentation of Clinical Data									
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

all well done			

С	onclusions								
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

all well done			
an wen done			

Q	Question Answer Session										
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean		
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7		
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5		

Question Answer Session Comments

could work a bit more on engaging the audience

Overall Knowledge Base										
# Question		Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean	
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

all well done			

Overall Comments

an overall excellent seminar; with more experiene and practice, you should be able to move about a bit more an engage the audience