

Presenter: Shenk, Eleni

Seminar Date: 2013-10-24

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.79	6.8	6.94	6.95	6.91	6.92	6.98	6.75	7	7	7	7	7	7	0	0	0	E (48.15)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	16	2	0	1	0	0	0	6.74
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	16	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.79
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	18	0	0	1	0	0	0	6.84
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	18	0	0	0	1	0	0	6.79

Presentation Style Comments

Great job on the presentation style

Good pace, especially as she started with less time.

Great pacing!

Was very confident and professional throughout the entire presentation

n/a

Material was definately new to me, and fascinating

Moved a little fast but overall done very well. I thought the explanation of the complex pathophysiology was very good.

Very professional and good pace.

Great pace

Good pacing throughout the presentation

Good style.

Very well done

A little nervous at first, but then got comfortable

I thought the material was not understandable for much of the presentation, and the pace was too fast for me because I was still trying to understand material when we moved on several times. She seemed a bit nervous.

The pace was perfect for the time allotted. The only opportunity for improvement was having a little less reliance on your slides.

Great style!

Great use of diagrams to help explain the mechanism of action of sildenafil.

You owned your material

Great professional style

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	11	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.53
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	16	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.79
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

Some parts of the main handout were a little bulky(big paragraphs) and I sometimes got a little behind in matching where we were in the presentation with where we were in the handout.

I would of liked to see more graphs, but her charts were fine.

The slides were colorful without being distracting. However, one slide had a chart with two similar colors that was difficult to read.

I liked that she included extra, more detailed material in the handout to look at if we wanted to

I would choose two more distinct colors for the graph

Your slides were ready readable

I thought the slides were great. I could have used some more explanation on the mortality graphs as that moved a little quick.

Great slides and handout. A lot of great information included that was very helpful. The table in the slides was a little hard to read with the colors, but she did a good job orienting the audience to show what we should have seen.

There was an appropriate amount of graphs and diagrams present

Nice slides, very easy to read

One graph was pretty hard to read because of the colors chosen.

Was really well prepared, sometimes I got a little lost in the handout.

Just the colors of the graph were a bit difficult to distinguish

She did a great job at presenting what was available, and I liked that she included the case series, even though it isn't technically primary lit.

The presentation was very well put together. My only complaint is that the red and orange bar graph was difficult to read given the colors and the lighting in the room.

Handout was really informative and slides were very nice.

Able to orient the audience to the diagrams and graphs provided. Color scheme was difficult to read at times.

Try to vary the colors in the graph. Otherwise excellent

No errors in hand out noticed

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Appropriate background information was provided	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.89
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.89

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Great background info.

She was well organized.

Great background on the topic.

It was interesting to hear about how she became familiar with this issue while in Rwanda, presented the controversy very effectively

n/a

I liked your objectives, and thought you got them across

Interest in the topic was described well. Having a personal connection helped me see that you truly cared about the topic.

Did a great job explaining the controversy

Eleni picked a very interesting topic and said why she did it

Good job defining the controversy

I liked that she had personally been involved with someone with this problem.

Great job. Really enjoyed the background pathophys that was provided.

Great story about what you saw on rotation.

N/A

The fact that the presenter had such a personal interest in the topic after having traveled across seas really kept me engaged from the get-go!

Lots of really good content.

Great job setting up the controversy, especially in terms of the difference in guidelines across the world

and pointing out that one study got the product approved in Europe and denied in the United States.

Cool interest and very well organized.

Really appreciated the background to orient myself.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.89
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Great analysis on studies /

I think she did a good job with what the studies had to offer.

She described the two studies well and provided detailed information about the pros/cons.

Presented and analyzed the studies well. Made it clear why these particular studies were important and why she chose them specifically.

n/a

I think you clearly understood the vital strengths and limitations of your study

Explanation about the different dosing and rate of death was shown well.

Good analysis of strengths and weaknesses

Very detailed analysis of the studies used

Good job

did a good job.

Presentation of the data was great. I was a little confused on the data/conclusions of the last trial in regards to the mortality rate. A lot of that was the design of the study, but a little more time clarifying that (a huge point) could have been helpful. / Overall really well done.

I liked the case report overview to show you did additional research

She did fantastic in this area.

I thought the graphs and charts the presenter used really enabled me to understand the trials' results.

Good presentation of data!

Studies were sometimes difficult to follow due to the nature of the study, but you were able to do your best to explain them.

A little more discussion on power especially with the first study

nice charts to present the data.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.84

Conclusions Comments

thought they were good. /

She did a good job in connecting the dots at the end.

Excellent conclusions that did not follow the FDA recommendations, but were still very valid.

Did a great job coming up with her own conclusions from the data itself, not just relying on the FDA guidelines. Presented some interesting ideas on how to proceed when treating a patient in this situation.

n/a

Your conclusion was very solid and based on data

I liked how she had her own opinion about the trial and made a great point about the cost being important in the decision as well.

I really liked the conclusion. She based her conclusion off of the facts and not just what the FDA has said

Eleni explained how and why she came to her conclusions. Very convincing

Well stated conclusions

Went in depth patient education about the issue.

It wasn't stated what the pharmacists role was but I felt that it was clear what the utility of the information was.

Good evidence based recommendation

N/A

I liked that the seminarian's conclusions were both supported by data, and unique from the authors' and FDA's.

Interesting conclusions. I bet data will come from poorer countries in a few years where the more

expensive drugs are not an option.

Good conclusions that were supported by the studies. Appreciated the development of your own conclusions. Maybe emphasize a little more about the role of the pharmacist in the use of this drug for this disease state.

Great conclusions

good conclusions that fit the data

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89

Question Answer Session Comments

Questions were answered great. There werent too many.

She was asked a lot of questions and handled it well.

She answered all of the questions well and without any problems.

Did a great job fielding questions, especially liked that she repeated the questions for the audience in case we didn't hear.

n/a

You did great answering all the questions and revealed that you knew a lot about your topic

I thought this was a complicated set of studies with some limitations to them but you were able to handle all questions very well and let the audience know when the information just wasn't there.

She had a lot of questions and did a great job answering the questions.

It was obvious that Eleni was very prepared and answered questions thoroughly

Good job with the various questions

good job.

great job

Great job answering questions without hesitation

N/A

Nailed the Q&A!

Thank you for restating the questions!

Great job answering questions. Maybe encourage more audience interaction.

You did a great job answering questions. Looked like you just wanted them done. Don't blame you...

great answers

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Good interpretation of studies and clinical application.

Good understanding of material.

She demonstrated good knowledge of the subject throughout the presentation.

Very apparent that she knew the topic inside and out and could synthesize ideas based on all of her research

There were a few tricky questions, answered well.

You did a great job thinkin on your feet and answering some questions that were somewhat outside the scope of the discussion

Theorized several times when answering the questions.

Great knowledge of the subject!

Very prepared and looked into more studies than the two she presented on

Nice job thinking on your feet

Had a couple questions she wasn't sure about but handled well.

Nice job

Good depth of knowledge, definitely did your research

She was clear and firm with her conclusion, and had obviously put in a lot of preparation.

It was apparent that the seminarian had knowledge well beyond what was conveyed in the formal

presentation.

Great knowledge base!

Able to develop own conclusions well.

Way to handle a pediatric topic

Great knowledge of the subject.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I thought the seminarians conclusions were good, and clinical significance of the data evaluated well.

She was very confined about the material.

Great flow and background information.

Thought she did especially well drawing her own conclusions about the topic and suggested ideas on how to move forward

It was a subject that NO ONE saw coming. Very interesting use of a medication that is ... typically... used for other things.

Very fascinating topic and great oral presentation

I thought the conclusions you made were the best part as you fell between the European and FDA interpretations of the study and were able to support why you thought that.

I really liked that she had a good controversy and her conclusion was based in the facts, not what the FDA Has stated about the product.

Very interesting topic. Eleni showed extensive knowledge in the subject

Awesome job Eleni, I liked the reasoning behind you choosing this topic

I like how she had personally been involved with a patient with this condition.

Really like the pathophysiology review.

I liked the presentation of the data, you made it clear and easy to understand

It was certainly controversial, but applicable.

I loved the animations you used to split up the amount of text that would pop up on slides with heavy amounts of info...well done.

Interesting different topic and fun to look at FDA versus EMA recommendations.

Great interest point, really captured the audience's attention right from the start.

Great professional presentation with good analysis

I liked the background as I knew nothing of this controversy.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

I would have liked to see data comparing sildenafil's effectiveness in comparison with the other really expensive disease modifying drugs. Since it was possible sildenafil had increased mortality rates at higher doses, I thought a very brief comparison of sildenafil to these other products would have been enlightening to see if the possible increased risk was worth it.

She could have been more assertive with her take on the guidelines explaining how she would use sildenafil a bit better. But she did a good job.

One slide had a chart that was difficult to read. I would be sure that the colors are more different in the future.

Could have been more concise with the information presented, went a little too in depth for the time allotted

Just the previous comment about the graph and the colors

The handout was a bit too lengthy

I didn't understand the severity of the disease and how quick it progressed until the second paper when I saw people were dying it from it while still in the trial. Maybe emphasize that just a little more as I honestly thought through most of it that the therapy would be taken chronically to prevent the symptoms, kind of like taking meds for blood pressure.

The chart was not super clear, could have used different colors, but she did do a good job showing us with the pointer where the marks were.

Graph colors; other than that everything was great!

Not anything major I can think of

Just the graph that needed to be fixed

See. prev. comment about the clarification of the mortality data and conclusion of the last study.

None

Be more confident; you know your stuff.

Just the colors from the aforementioned bar graph.

Nothing it was great!

Occasional use of the word "um."

Try to look more excited or happy. Not a big deal

I can not think of anything that could be improved.

General Comments

I thought the presentation was great. I believe my lack of understanding about the disease in general made it more difficult for me to understand things. I got a little bogged down in the analysis of the studies with all the the different parts and primary, secondary, and tertiary objectives. Having said that, I believe it was a complicated topic and that the studies were thoroughly broken down and evaluated. I enjoyed hearing about a completely new topic for me.

Nicely done with such a controversial topic.

Great job overall!!

Great job and well presented, very professional and addressed the controversy head-on

Overall great job. I feel like I can now talk about sildenafil use in pediatrics and have backup to my opinions.

Great job!!

Good work Eleni!

She did a good overall job.

See prev. comments

None

Thank you for a wonderful seminar.

Interesting topic, thank you.

Great job overall. Interesting topic. Appreciated your ability to derive your own conclusions and the studies impact on practice.

Great job.