Presenter: Harrington, Erik

Seminar Date: 2013-10-23

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.95	6.83	6.94	6.76	6.93	6.91	6.91	7	6.75	7	7	7	6.75	7	0	0	0	E (47.98)

Presentation Style

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

excellent pace / good positioning of podium so you wouldn't have to look behind to see slides, seemed comfortable

Great audience interaction plus use of the table for us to complete. This helped focus the audience on important points and gave them a take-away. Your questions for the audience were appropriate & helped them assess what they already knew plus incorporated new knowledge.

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

fantastic slides - very clear and easy to read / excellent handout - interactive component really wonderful, students were filling it out as you went!

found 1 minor type on Objectives slide (HRrEF) but very minor. Good use of pictures to break up what could have been dull text. Perhaps a little slower review of graphs would have been helpful.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Appropriate background information was provided	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

case is helpful for defining context

Very good discussion of the clinical dilemma & appropriate research from literature

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

- really liked the order that you went through the trials that allowed you to compare across the trials instead of coverng them back to back / - good discussion of doses in trials and using audience to interpret the data with you and compare back to "typ

Presentation of results was novel & nice to see something other than the giant comparator table that most students elect to use.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

would have liked to see you come back and review case at the end, appreciated the fact that you acknowledged many pt will take these meds for co-morbid or other compelling indications

Nice home run Erik! Your presentations have improved immensely since your P1 year

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

try repeating back audience questions, that way everyone is sure to have heard the question being asked. / take a deep breath and think through question before set out to answer. will help answer be more concise.

Nice work!

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

very knowledgeable

Good responses to audience questions - especially when you didn't have the answer

Overall Comments

- excellent poise and confidence, your presentation was easily the best student seminar I've seen since coming to Utah and gives some of the residents competition! / - slides were easy to read and concise, loved the interactive components

audience interaction

Overall Comments

- bring back case at the end to tie it all together / - E/e' part was a little confusing - explain it to audience as soon as you mention it

more discussion of graphs related to trial results

Overall Comments

wonderful job - you shoudl be very proud!!