**Presenter: Ence, Matthew** 

Seminar Date: 2014-03-18

#### **Presenter Scores**

, ,					Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores					
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.91	6.87	6.96	6.9	6.95	6.92	6.96	6.38	5.75	6	5.73	6.38	6.5	5.6	0	0	0	E (46.11

Presentation Style								
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1 Moderate Pace	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
2 Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
3 Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	6
4 Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5

# **Presentation Style Comments**

n/a

His presntation style was relaxing and entertaining.

Ir	nstructional Materials									
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	5
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	5.5
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6

#### **Instructional Materials Comments**

n/a

His presentation slides were monotonous, requiring more divesrity in the slide style, and not easy to read from distance.

Overall Presentation Content								
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1 Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
2 Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	5.5
3 Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	6
4 Appropriate background information was provided	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
5 Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	6

## **Overall Presentation Content Comments**

n/a

The topic selected has been long-lasting without urgent/compelling issues to discuss.

Р	resentation of Clinical Data									
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	5.5
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	5.5

## **Presentation of Clinical Data Comments**

n/a

Weak in analyzing the strenths of each study. / Four cases were summarized in parallel. This style was unique, but it was not easy to see each case as a whole.

С	conclusions								
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5

## **Conclusions Comments**

n/a			
N/A			

Question Answer Session								
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1 Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5
2 Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5

#### **Question Answer Session Comments**

n/a			
N/A			

C	overall Knowledge Base								
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	6
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	5.5
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	5.5
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	5.5
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	5.5

# **Overall Knowledge Base Comments**

n/a

He may need to be able to interpret the cinical rsults on his foot.

## **Overall Comments**

Nice Job

The slide quaility should be improved. / The slide set was monotonous. Adding some colors and diversity would help. / Some slides were too busy to read in a short time period from distance.