

# Presenter: Ta, Julien

Seminar Date: 2014-04-09

## Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.67	6.85	6.72	6.89	6.7	6.64	6.86	6	6.38	5.9	6.5	4.38	5.5	4.7	0	0	0	E (45.44)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	29	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	17	10	4	1	0	0	0	6.34
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	18	12	2	0	0	0	0	6.5
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	30	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

## Presentation Style Comments

Felt like she read the slides almost word for word. She also seemed very nervous and said "umm" and "uuh" a lot during the seminar. She also stumbled a couple times on several slides.

Your pace was perfect, and I followed along with you easily. However, I would recommend trying to avoid just reading through your slides like a list. Try to only put the key points on your slides as a reminder to what you want to talk about.

Strong reliance on notes. Did not display much confidence

Read the slides a little to much

Very professional, but relied on the notes/slides quite a bit. Try not to read directly from them.

Good job. You could have more confidence

Used other and said um a lot. But great pace!

very good pace !

Julien presentation was well organized. For next time, rely less on reading the screen and put more of your personality into the talk.

I think that you did an excellent job at presenting on an appropriate level for the audience, you included information that was relevant to us as pharmacists, but left other information that was not relevant out.

You had a great pace, but I could tell you were nervous. Try to avoid using "ums" and "uhs" and looking down at slides. It would be great if you could move to the side of the podium and engage the audience more.

There was some reliance on slides and seminarian could present with a little more confidence.

Had a few 'ums and uhs' throughout also seemed to have some reliance on her notes.

Always remember to be confident

Great information but at times I felt like Julien was reading off the slides.

The use of 'um' and 'like' was frequent. It detracted from the seminar a little.

Good overall delivery. It seemed like you did get a little nervous or flustered at times (of course, is a seminar:) but you really did a good job. Always feel like you can take a moment to collect your thoughts if you can't think of exactly the right phrase or word.

Great job with the pace. However, you looked a lot at the computer screen in front of you more often than you looked at the audience. Also you seemed a little hesitant and didn't display confidence when you answered some questions. Don't hesitantly say "I don't know" say I will get back to you. Confidence is key! You are the one who knows the most so be confident!

I liked your pace and calmness

great pace

Good presentation style and flow

Great presentation style.

Did not appear too nervous, but did say "um" quite a bit.

Good pace, could tell you really knew your material

Overall great pace. Julien was professional and presented appropriately for the audience. At times it felt like she was using her slides as talking points and other times reading the slides. Practice will help minimize this for future presentations.

You seemed to relax more as the presentation went on. You know what you are talking about so be confident!

some reliance on notes, but it wasn't distracting.

Pace was great and good eye contact.

Lots of "um" in presenting material.

Good presentation style throughout seminar.

You seemed nervous (or might have been the illness) but you didn't need to be. You killed it! Great job!

I know, you were sick, but try to be a little more strong and confident in your presentation

## Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	27	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.84
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	29	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	28	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	6.87
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	27	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.78

## Instructional Materials Comments

No citing on the background slides. Slides were a bit words; maybe try to summarize or do more bullet points and leave the details in the handout.

Your slides were very clear and easy to read. My only suggestion would be to reduce the amount of information on each slide and only highlight the key points to want us to know.

Very thorough

Slides had a little to many words

Handout and slides looked very neat and organized.

I thought the presentation was easy to follow

I found one or two typos, but otherwise great job on a fantastic hand out.

good inclusion of the molecular structures

Nice instruction materials. Slides were easy to follow.

Good job referencing at the bottom of each of the slides, a lot of presenters often miss doing this

Your slides looked beautiful and I loved that your recreated tables and grafts.

Excellent slides. Very aesthetic.

references should be on each slide throughout or state outright that they will be at the end

Slides and handout were good aides in your seminar

There was a lot of information on some of the slides. I would try to simplify things a little bit.

The citations were on the headers instead of the body of the text. Cite the sources at the end of the sentence containing the information used.

I thought the slides looked professional and well done.

It's really important that you make your own charts! This is something from now on most people will expect from you. I was told this by my mentor and by others in the class. Your seminar was great though, just remember to make your own charts

Your slides looked great and were easy to follow along

More scales in the appendix of the HO

I liked the handout it was easy to follow along with

I really liked your slides and I really liked the material

Slides and graphs very easy to follow.

Handout was high quality, easy to read, a lot of information

I really liked that you remade the bar graphs to provide more orientation for the audience. It helped me understand the material better.

Your slides and handouts were easy to follow. I liked that you made your own tables and oriented well to them.

slides looked great and the graphs were very nice looking and added to the presentation

Slides were easy to read and highlights helped to show important information.

Slides very well done especially graphs and tables.

Good job putting results of study in graph format.

You had a LOT of great information! The handout was a little too long for my taste. But I'm just being picky here. Great job!

Handout was amazing

## Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	25	5	2	0	0	0	0	6.72
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	25	6	1	0	0	0	0	6.75
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	22	8	2	0	0	0	0	6.63
4	Appropriate background information was provided	25	5	2	0	0	0	0	6.72
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	26	5	1	0	0	0	0	6.78

## Overall Presentation Content Comments

3 of the 4 objectives were met after the background section. For your next seminar, try to have more objectives have to do with the data you present or your conclusions/recommendations section.

Your objectives were clear, but also a little bit lengthy. Consider breaking longer objectives up into 2.

On a seminar focusing on safety in neonates you should have one slide devoted to the teratogenicity (FDA category, Briggs recommendation, etc.) of the medications

Good background and interest

Good flow to the presentation. Interesting topic selection.

I think you were well prepared, but could have come across stronger

In your background, give more details about the test and outcomes in the studies.

good description of the controversy

The overall flow of the seminar could have been improved by cutting out some of the detail. There was a lot of detail in your presentation and maybe some editing of the major talking points on each slide would have helped.

I like how you talked about your interest in the topic, I didn't know that NAS was such a big problem in newborns.

your objectives were too long. Try to make them simple and direct.

Good controversy! Giving pregnant women anything, especially an opioid is controversial.

information should be stated what you will be presenting; it was a bit confusing about what population we were talking about at times

Good objectives and background

Good review on methadone and buprenorphine.

I would have liked to see more information about the prevalence of NAS and the cost to healthcare.

I liked your personal story for your interest in the topic. I didn't feel that the controversy was well defined at the beginning as I didn't know until later that buprenorphine wasn't standard of care. Perhaps showing the guidelines you mentioned at the end of the seminar or letting us know that you or your mentor typically see methadone used first line would have helped illustrate the controversy.

Your introduction was clear and interesting!! / The objectives were a little lengthy. These need to be more concise. Also, make sure you discuss the different tests that are being used to measure the outcomes

Controversy was clear and helped pave the way for the seminar

Great introduction and interest in the topic

It was hard to tell what the seminar was evaluating when you were going through your background, I didn't know if we were looking at outcomes in babies or moms

I really liked the topic and your slides

Appropriate background that included a vast array of aspects that surround neonatal opioid withdrawal.

Good topic, very applicable with opioid dependency such a large problem in our society

At times I felt a little lost because the focus was supposed to be on neonates, but when discussing literature and background it appeared to be less focused on a specific population and slightly more discombobulated. Also if you are going to talk about a specific test they ran for the study make sure to at least define them in the appendix for your audience to refer back to.

Your objectives were very helpful to ensure that I was understanding the key points. I liked that they were measurable.

well organized, but the background was a little broad and I was confused a little about the topic throughout the background

Objectives were a little long and could have been made into 2 objectives. Perhaps shorten them. Also background was a little unfocused on the information pertinent to understand the studies you were presenting.

A little confusion at first about primary subject being mother of neonate.

Flow was consistent and objectives were useful for the presentation.

Your interest in the topic hit home for me too. I have been in the NICU and seen the tragedy of NAS. Thanks for putting together an awesome seminar on this topic!

Good flow

## Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	26	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	29	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	28	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	28	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.97
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	29	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91

## Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Very detailed and thoughtful analysis of the studies. Good job.

Great job emphasizing the clinic data in your hanout... However, you may want to refer the audience to specific pages in your handout when talking about specific statistical tests.

Very thorough yet you just "listed" the results without providing a strong rationale for why certain techniques and results were reported.

Great statistical analysis

Great evaluation of the literature. Analysis of the studies was well done.

Good thorough analysis of trials

Fantastic job, especially considering the statistical test that you were evaluated in the handout

good interpretation of the statistics

Less descriptive detail in your statistics section (i.e. alpha level is 0.091) and more explanatory details of what the statistics actually mean.

I like how you had tables showing the dropouts as well as the number of patients who completed the study and addressed whether this was a limitation to the study

you did a fabulous job talking about the statistics and the importance of the different statistical tests used. Very impressive.

Absolutely loved the bar graphs. Super easy to see and digest the data.

There was mention of the tests used and powere and she did a great job portraying the information.



Good understanding of the studies and the data

Julien had a great understanding of the clinical data but it was almost too much data. Simplify.

I thought that the data was presented well.

Overall, I felt your you did a good job presenting the data and interpreting the studies strengths and weaknesses.

Great job at describing the statistical tests

I liked that you created your own tables

great critical review of the literature.

Good look at the data you looked well into the strengths and weaknesses

Great interpretation of studies

Explained and presented each study very well.

Valuable that you stated what the specific statistical analysis tests were measuring

It might be wise to include some background on what you are going to talk about in your studies rather than just talk about it at the end when a question is asked.

The results in your studies were very well presented. It was clear the things that we needed to focus on to answer the question you presented on.

presentation of clinical data was done very well. it was done in a concise manner

Great job on defining the statistical tests used and why they were appropriate.

Statistical analysis well presented.

Study outcomes were described by seminarian. Statistics were mentioned during study.

Statistical analysis?! Holy heck, Well done! I love how much effort you put into all aspects of your seminar. I felt that your detailed analysis of the strengths/limitations was a strength of your seminar. The objectives were clear, but not concise.

Great job pointing out why the appropriate statistical analysis was use

## Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	22	10	0	0	0	0	0	6.69
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	26	6	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	22	9	1	0	0	0	0	6.66
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	26	5	0	0	0	0	1	6.66

## Conclusions Comments

I thought you did a very good job with your overall conclusions and your recommendations for the pharmacist. Your conclusions were supported by the data. I don't think you discussed the clinical versus statistical significance, though.

Your conclusions were supported by the data presented, and I agreed with the conclusions you made. However, try to come up with a specific patient or population you would recommend this therapy for in the future.

Conclusions were well supported

Slightly vague conclusion about who to recommend the treatment to

I would have come to the same conclusions. Information presented was very useful.

I like the description of the pharmacists role

I thought that you did a great job describing the pharmacist role, especially in regards to counseling.

good job on the pharmacist role

Studies led to conclusions but the conclusions themselves were vague.

I like how you came up with your own unique conclusions and didn't just follow along with what the authors stated

Would like more discussion within the presentation of what patients you would recommend methadone versus buprenorphine. When the question was asked at the end of when you would recommend methadone you had a great answer so you obviously knew the answer. I would just make a slide with the information you gave for that question or think about including a patient case to clarify.

The recommendations were on the soft side. I think you could have bolstered the recommendation by adding some qualifiers.

her conclusions were supported by the data but she could have made them a lot stronger by stating I would use buprenorphine in all patients except...

Clinical importance is discussed

Agreed with Julien's conclusions that buprenorphine appears to be a better treatment option but there may still be patients who benefit from methadone. Treatment should be individualized.

The conclusion was unclear. Hit us over the head with the conclusion.

Your conclusions were good and well supported by the data. I liked that you ended up explaining some of the populations you would use buprenorphine in.

During the presentation you kept saying that these are good for a certain pregnant woman but even after numerous questions were asked we didn't get a clear understanding of who you would use it in. Be more strong with your conclusions because again you know more about this than we do! // But overall great seminar!

The role of the pharmacist was very practical

Do not forget to have a specific, strong recommendation

Your conclusion could have been stronger

I liked your conclusions. Were supported by the data

Would like more independent conclusions based on studies, additional information, and clinical experience.

Conclusions were definitely supported by the clinical data. More specific recommendations for what patients you would recommend buprenorphine vs. methadone for would have been valuable

Although your conclusions were soft, they may have been better supported with a specific example for when you would recommend buprenorphine over other agents in pregnancy, or when you would not recommend it. I felt like the whole presentation was to get the audience to ask when you would recommend it but then when asked you never provided a strong conclusion.

For your next seminar provide more guidance on the specific type of patients you would want to use the treatment in.

good conclusions based on the information given

Conclusions were kind of leading to a specific patient, however you never gave us specific examples of when to use one treatment over another besides cost issues.

Some confusion as to when Buprenorphine should be used.

Pharmacists role was described by seminarian.

I felt like your conclusions lined up with the information in the seminar. Great job!

I would have liked a better description of the patient you would recommend get this treatment



## Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	21	5	5	1	0	0	0	6.44
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	29	2	0	1	0	0	0	6.84

## Question Answer Session Comments

You kind of stumbled on a few questions, especially with the first few questions. I'm not sure if it was from nervousness or not. You seemed to do well with the questions later on.

You fielded questions well. I liked that you anticipated what questions you would receive and prepared accordingly with the slide regarding costs at the end of your presentation.

Well done

Answered questions well and had that extra slide just in case

There were several questions where the answer was given and followed by "did that answer your question?" I could tell she was nervous and did her best though. Try to listen and understand the question before responding.

Good job on the q and a. You know your stuff

You clearly were an expert, but at times you lacked confidence in answering questions. I would encourage you to be more confident.

good job in answering questions. even though the studies did not look at it, it would be nice to hear your opinion on it

Listen to the question that is being asked. It sounds simple but this will help you tremendously during your residency interviews.

Good job encouraging questions multiple times during the seminar. You also did a good job at answering some difficult questions

Great job answering questions. I could tell you knew your stuff.

Great job answering many questions.

encouraged questions, but there was some lack of confidence when answering them

Able to think on your feet to answer more difficult questions

Julien was well-prepared to answer questions.

You were flustered with the first question, but seemed to handle the others well.

I liked that you did a good job of not pretending to know information that you didn't, but would have liked to see you extrapolate more from the information you knew.

You got some tough questions most of which you handled well. HOwever, you were very hesitant and said a lot of "I don't know". Be a little stronger with your answers! You know this stuff well so be confident in yourself. YOu did a great job just more confidence :)

Great job answering questions

Excellent job, some of those questions were difficult

It felt like you were unsure of how you were answering questions because you kept asking if that answered their question, it made you appear not as confident

I feel like you did a nice job with questions.

Allowed time for questions and thoroughly answered questions from the audience.

Sometimes you seemed to wander a little bit in your question response, and then would ask in a somewhat nervous tone, "not sure I answered that question?" It is okay to do a follow up after a question to ensure that you answered their question, but be confident, you know the information better than most of the people in the room. Also, try and really listen to the question that way you will hopefully be able to answer it a little more succinctly. Great job on anticipating a question regarding the cost and financial impact of these medications (even having a slide ready!!! WOW)

Overall, Julien answered questions appropriately and encouraged questions throughout.

You were very confident in your answers to all of the questions asked.

some difficulty answering questions and didn't exactly address the question being asked.

Good job answering questions thoroughly and succinctly.

Thoughtful about answering questions.

Seminarian did a good job answering most questions.

Your Q&A was a strength of the seminar. Your preparation really showed during this and you opened up. I recommend this kind of confidence ALL the time. You did a killer job.

Great job anticipating the questions beforehand /

## Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	28	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	29	2	0	1	0	0	0	6.84
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	30	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	27	5	0	0	0	0	0	6.84
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	27	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.81

## Overall Knowledge Base Comments

You did a good job. It was clear that you did additional research on your topic. I liked how you did additional research on the treatment programs. I didn't recall you mentioning a difference between clinical and statistical significance.

Julien demonstrated a strong overall knowledge base on the topic, and her preparation showed during her presentation.

Very knowledgeable

Good knowledge of the subject

Did great. Answered questions she didn't know with information that she did know.

Don't worry and get flustered. You know your stuff

Great job, and it was amazing how you had a slide in anticipation of somebody's question.

good job drawing your own conclusions and thinking on your feet

Good knowledge base of the studies and background.

I like how you could determine what was clinically significant and then include it in your pharmacist's role

You really knew the information and did a great job answering questions.

I like that Julien was able to relate the differences in the onset and duration of NAC to the  $t_{1/2}$  of the medications.

She was very informative and expecting of what questions were to be asked.

Able to think fast on your feet

Julien had a good overall knowledge base. I think a couple questions caught her off guard but she was still able to give thoughtful responses to those questions.

The seminar would have had more power to it had you been aware of the current treatment protocols of Opioid withdrawal.

I thought you seemed like you knew your stuff, but would have liked to see you extrapolate more from what you knew. Good job Rob.

YOU did a great job! Again, just be able to theorize if you don't know the answer and be able to answer who you would use it in since you alluded to "a certain patient you should use it in". BUT otherwise great job!!

You could tell you were definitely the expert in the room on this topic

Excellent knowledge base was displayed

good overall knowledge on the subject

Great overall knowledge base.

Not quite confident with information that went a little beyond the scope of the seminar.

Great knowledge base and applying the clinical significance of this subject to practice

Overall, great job.

It was clear that you had done a great deal of reading and you were well prepared for the seminar.

you demonstrated good background knowledge and even had an extra slide prepared, good work.

Good job thinking on your feet and answering questions.

Showed good overall knowledge of subject.

Student showed tremendous knowledge base throughout seminar.

Your knowledge base was fantastic. I could really tell you put in a lot of time with this. Awesome!

Great Job



## Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked your detail on your handout, especially with strengths and weaknesses of the studies. I also liked how you did additional research on the cost of treatment options.

I liked how clear and easy to read both your slides and handouts were.

Very thorough

Covered the controversy well and good statistical analysis

Interesting topic. Well put together.

I really liked the preparation you clearly put into this

Very well polished next to that seminar. Organized and concise. Great job

i really liked the topic you presented

I liked the cost impact analysis that you had at the end.

I really liked your topic that you presented on, it was very interesting and I could tell that you had a real interest in it.

Your slides and handout looked great and the topic was very interesting

Great controversial topic with clear presentation of data.

She had very detailed tables and broke down methods in depth

Good topic and was applicable to practice

Julien was well prepared and anticipated questions that could be asked.

The seminar topic was very interesting. The slides were clear and easy to read.

I liked the overall organization of the presentation. It looked like you had put a lot of time and effort into it. Great job!

Great job! I know it's scary and you did well!

I liked that you re created some of the tables to make it easier for the audience to follow along

Great pace and well presented.

I liked your slide layout and how you had the cost slide at the end

I really liked the topic.

Organization.

Great topic selection

I really liked the background information presented and why this may be an appropriate option for patients.

The topic was very applicable to clinical practice. We all could face this decision on how to treat an opioid dependent patient in the near future. You provided some great information and recommendations that I can use if needed.

interesting topic and kept my attention

Seminar was full of good information that could impact our patients and helped me think about how I would treat a patient in this situation.

Slides very well prepared.

Seminarian had an interesting topic and covered it well.

I really enjoyed all the created tables and charts you made. It put a stamp of perfection on an already near-perfect seminar. Great job!

Great job with the extra slide on cost

## **Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar**

Maybe practice doing your seminar a little bit more; you seemed really nervous and said "umm" and "uh" several times during each slide. It was a little distracting.

Anticipate questions you may be asked and prepare accordingly in the future.

Do not just list information - explain the significance

Slides had a lot of words that could have been cut down

Listen to the questions from the audience more.

Unfortunately data in this area isn't always present as we'd like. You did a good job with your evaluation.

I would simply encourage you to be more confident.

i would try to theorize the answer to the questions more

The overall flow of the seminar could have been improved by cutting out some of the detail. There was

a lot of detail in your presentation and some editing of the major talking points by putting them into your own words would have helped.

I could tell that you got a little nervous a couple of times during the seminar, you had no need to be nervous, I could tell that you were very well prepared and that you knew your stuff.

Be more confident and avoid filler words like "um" and "uh"

You could work on presentation confidence.

once you use an abbreviation that you don't need to keep typing the word out and then use the abbreviation

Try to repeat questions that are asked for the audience- this allows you time to think through your answer and helps the audience hear what was asked

Simplify the slides. Too busy!

The filler words you used were distracting. I know you were sick and that could have contributed some, but a little more practice would have made your presentation more smooth.

Perhaps establish the controversy more clearly early on by pointing out current practices. Like I said though, great job overall!

Make sure you don't rely on the computer as much during the seminar. You spent a lot of time reading off the slides which is hard to stop since you had to stand in front of the podium. Just next time make sure you look at the audience. Also, you said a lot of "ums" to fill space. We all do this so you are not alone, but just practice a little more and have someone count how many ums you say so that each time you can work on it! Also you used the phrase "they kind of..." a lot. Like the ums, just practice with someone and let them tell you where you say that! But overall great job!

You sounded a bit nervous, but great job though. Next time a little more confidence.

Have a "typical" patient in mind to offer the audience when making your recommendation

you didn't seem really confident in the answers you gave or in your final recommendation

Nothing to say here

Confidence in presenting as well as in answering questions.

More specificity on some of your recommendations

When referencing things in your handout, do not reference subtitles, reference specific phrases so that we can refer back to them in the future.

Be confident. You know what you are talking about so show that confidence when you present. Practicing many times could help you with this for next time.

improve upon answering the audience's questions more clearly

Background was a little disjointed from purpose of seminar, in your introduction and background give us the information we need to understand the outcomes of the studies and things we need to know to be able to interpret the studies and make a sound decision.

Presentation included a lot of reliance on slides.

Introduce yourself to the audience before starting your seminar.

Show some confidence (and maybe it was illness) because that was one of the best seminars this semester. You should feel proud!

be more confident

## General Comments

Overall very good seminar. Your topic was controversial, relevant and very thoroughly studied. Maybe next time just practice a bit more so that you're less nervous. It may help you decrease the "umms" and help you slow down enough so that you take time to answer questions thoroughly. It sometimes seemed as if you knew the answer to the questions, you just didn't trust yourself with the answers.

Great Job!

Great seminar

Fantastic job!

Great job.

good job overall! you're done with one seminar!

Interesting topic.

Overall great job!

great job!

Great job. I really enjoyed the presentation, especially since I have a relative who had a baby while taking buprenorphine. It was kind of a family secret until the baby started withdrawing and had to get some morphine.

Good job Julien, keep in mind to be extra confident you are very smart.

Well done

Great job!

Great job!! You definitely knew your stuff and I really liked that you talked about the statistics used. Just

be a little more confident when answering and you will absolutely kill it next time! Great job!

Great job!

yeppers

overall good job

Great job

Very nice looking handout

good work!

Overall a strong seminar.

Great Job Overall.

Good job overall.

A great 1st seminar! Thanks for your preparation and talking to us while you were sick.

Great Job