

Presenter: Schvaneveldt, Sierra

Seminar Date: 2013-11-05

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.7	6.97	6.95	6.99	7	6.82	6.94	5.63	6.5	6.4	6.9	6.63	6	6.8	0	0	0	E (46.98)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	13	5	0	1	0	0	0	6.58
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	11	6	1	0	1	0	0	6.37
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Presentation Style Comments

.

Style was a bit informal for the audience

Hid behind the podium, spoke softly, made distracting comments. You could tell you were nervous and just wanted to get it over with.

Good style.

Good.

.

..

NA

Great pace and a great background to your topic

Good presentation style.

try to limit side comments, even though it is one of your nervous habits

Great pace. Appeared comfortable.

Na

very smooth

your tone was confident but your transition to questions slides showed how nervous you were...

Mumbled a couple of comments that were a little distracting.

nice pace, professional

.

The tone of the presentation was a little apathetic, but overall presented effectively anyway.

Instructional Materials										
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

.

The extra info provided in the handout was good at providing more background but was perhaps a little distracting

The slides and handouts were great and full of useful information. I like that the slides were left to important summary information only with the bulk in the handout.

Good materials.

Too busy.

.

...

NA

Great slides and handout.

Great handout!

some detail could be removed from slides and just mentioned verbally /

Slides were easy to read. I liked the theme. Provided orientation to results.

Na

very informational

i think these were great

Great job!

slides were clear and easy to read

.

The format of the handout was a little dense.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Appropriate background information was provided	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89

Overall Presentation Content Comments

.

Provided background info in handout that was not covered in presentation

I like that you focused on something that is patient specific and not so arbitrary outcome.

Good overall.

Good.

.

...

NA

Great organization of your topic with outlines and objectives.

Good studies and conclusions.

good job providing appropriate background info

Clearly understood objectives. Well organized.

Na

very good presentation

really interesting and appropriate seminar topic...no constructive feedback

excellent

well organized /

.

Clearly explained controversy, it stayed clear throughout what our objective was.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

.

Good analysis of pros and cons of the data sets

You were able to draw your own conclusions from the study and adequately present your findings.

Good presentation of clinical data.

Good data.

.

...

NA

Great at going over the statistics in the studies

Good interpretation of the data.

nice job differentiating stat vs clinical significance and pointing out how scaling a graph can make a result look large when it is actually small

Was able to provide concise information on 3 different studies.

Na

strong data

excellent, i really liked how you showed us the data but more importantly how you helped us interpret the data

Excellent analysis.

I liked that she mentioned withdrawals, dropout, power and internal external validity of the studies. nice analysis

.

Concise and to the point.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

.

Appropriate conclusions drawn from clinical data

I'm glad you stuck to your guns rather than being sway by the language in the studies.

Good conclusions.

Good.

.

....

NA

Great conclusion from the studies and how we can use this information.

Great conclusions!

no additional comments

Great conclusions. I really liked the case that was used to wrap up conclusions in a way that could be discussed with a patient.

Na

good conclusion

excellent and really highlighted the need for individual therapy

Great analysis of conclusions!!

I liked that she made her own conclusions instead of just following the authors'. very practical

.

I liked that the conclusion at the end was clear, and that she explained how her original thought was to support this drug for bone pain but in the end she discovered we should not.

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.84
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	15	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.79

Question Answer Session Comments

.

Able to answer audience questions as appropriate

Still could tell you were a little nervous but you gave great answers.

Good Q & A.

Answered all questions.

.

...

NA

answered questions thoroughly

Good job answering questions.

nice question slides and encouraging questions throughout the presentation

Allowed for questions.

Na

good job thinking on your feet

great

Good job!

she was able to answer all the questions

.

Questions were encouraged several times throughout.

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Appropriate knowledge base demonstrated through lecture and Q&A

Had the idea that you did this just for a seminar topic only, and that you don't have super indepth knowledge of treating bone pain in cancer.

Good knowledge base.

Good knowledge.

.

...

NA

You knew your stuff

Great knowledge of the subject.

you are very knowledgeable on the topic, well done

Knew information very well and was able to theorize answers.

Na

good overall knowledge

excellent, you knew more than the material you presented

Liked that you formed your own conclusion.

she was able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance

.

Offered insight as to concluding not to use this drug for bone pain.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

.

I liked it because it was actually a topic I'd heard of and it was good to see some studies presented on it

That it was a clinical outcome that a patient would actually care about.

Almost all of it.

Good topic.

Great eval of the stats

very personable

I liked the case you included to help tie everything together

Great topic

Good job presenting. The topic was interesting and you did great presenting it!

Very nice job criticizing results and conclusions of studies, especially with regard to statistical vs clinical significance. nice job coming up with your own conclusions from the literature

I really liked the comfort level of the seminar.

I liked her pace.

very smooth

The topic and the interpretation of data for the pharmacist role, i really liked the case and how to counsel patients

Liked the case at the beginning and end. Tied it all together and made it applicable.

her analysis was good

Liked how she had the real news article that peaked her interest on the topic

I liked that it was to the point and presented in a matter of fact way.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

.

A little more professionalism during the presentation would help

Practice presenting in front of people to remove some of the distracting behaviors.

Not much.

Seem to go off tangent at times.

In your nervousness you had lost some of your professionalism. avoid using comments like "if you want"

...

sometimes you would start off a sentence with a lot of confidence and then towards the end would trail off. keep up the confidence

NA

Nothing

try to limit the side comments, it detracts from the professionalism, personally I like a little bit more casual environment but it seems seminar must be very tight-laced

Explain the xray photos. :)

Try to come across more confident

nervousness

confidence - don't be afraid you did great throughout and I only noticed due to side bar comments and transitions into Q&A

Use a microphone. Not your fault at all today, but it was a little hard to hear.

she looked a little nervous?

The side comments were a little distracting. When the audience was silent during question time, you acted very uncomfortable with the silence. It's ok for silence to exist every now and then. It gives the audience time to think and respond.

More enthusiasm

General Comments

.

Good job overall!!

Other than you could tell you were super nervous, it was a great topic and I can tell you did a lot of hard work.

Great job.

Good job.

You are awesome. Great job!

...

great job

great job sierra

no additional comments

Na

strong work

Congratulations!

Great job!!

overall I loved the contents of the seminar.

Congratulations for having your presentation done!