

Presenter: Anson, Anna

Seminar Date: 2014-04-08

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.94	6.91	6.9	6.86	6.95	6.93	6.95	6.38	6.38	5.8	6.67	6.75	6.5	6.7	0	0	0	E (46.96)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	19	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Presentation Style Comments

You spoke at a good pace and looked at the audience

Looked very comfortable from the start and very easy to listen to . Excellent job relying minimally on notes

Great pace and the material presented was really interesting.

She remained composed and void of distracting mannerisms throughout the presentation. She only looked back to her notes a couple of times and showed she did not rely on them

Great pace and presentation of the material. Would have liked to see a little more enthusiasm

Good presentation skills

Your presentation was very easy to follow. You presented just the right amount of info and hit all the key points--without overdoing it on detail.

Anna presented at a great pace and was very professional during her seminar.

Great pace. Great eye contact

Great presentation style. You have a very soothing voice that is easy to listen to.

She looked very comfortable presenting.

She went at an excellent pace and was very easy to listen to. She presented the material at an appropriate level and in a very confident and professional way.

The seminarian had great eye contact with the audience and was poised throughout.

You managed your time really well with the amount of content you had for each section and your presentation pace.

Your pace was excellent, and you did not use your slides all that much which showed your knowledge of the subject.

Read quite a lot. It was good that you read more from the computer screen vs. the projection, but less reliance on slides would be good.

Anna did a wonderful job presenting with poise and confidence.

Great pace and clarity in your presentation style

Overall presentation style was good. Only thing was that she may have been speaking a little fast

Great job presenting, only looked at slides very minimally and spoke at a good pace.

Good pacing. Adequate eye contact. Little to no distracting mannerisms. Material was appropriate for audience.

she demonstrates professionalism throughout the presentation, maintains good eye contact with audience

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	18	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Instructional Materials Comments

Your study guide was easy to read

Material were great, just make an adjustment on the outcomes section of the handout...I think the outcomes should be broken down into primary/secondary, and probably bullet them for easy reading

Slides and handouts were clear and easy to read. She did not have too much information on the slides which made it really easy to follow.

The slides and handout devoid of any distracting mistakes and wee of an appropriate level for the course. The only complaint I have in this section would be that her outcomes were all in a single paragraph in the handout, while the rest of the information was laid out in bullet points. I found myself struggling to find the pertinent information quickly to be a little difficult while listening to the seminar and thus I ended up missing a small amount of the seminar while trying to extract the needed information

Slides and handouts were easy to read, there were a few words missing spaces in the handout, but nothing too big.

Presenter did a good job at pointing important part in tables

Nice handout and ppt.

She had a very clean handout that was easy to read. Her slides were good but were wordy at times. It might be good to cut down on some of the words in her slides.

Slides and handout were clear and easy to read

I really loved your study tables especially since you included baseline characteristics in the table and we didn't have to search through the appendix to find that information.

Her materials followed the presentation perfectly, making it easy to follow along.

Her slides and handout were easy to read and follow along. She could have oriented her audience a little more to her charts and figures but otherwise was great.

The highlighting of important information on the charts made it easy to follow.

Your slides and handout were easy to read.

I loved your handout and that you included the baseline characteristics as a table. It made it really easy to read!

Some formatting issues in the handout (missing spaces). Also, I would have liked the myths about PCOS in the handout.

Anna appropriately cited references and oriented the audience to graphs and diagrams.

Very clear handout. I would like to see the myths included.

The slides were all easy to read and handout was informative and provided information that she might not have covered during the actual presentation

Very neat and organized slides and handout.

Slides were easy to read and follow. Minor grammatical errors that weren't too distracting. Great orientation to graphs/charts.

ppt and ho are clear and easy to follow, citation are provided at the end

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	19	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.82
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Appropriate background information was provided	17	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.73
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Your objectives were clear and easy to follow in the presentation

Everything about the content was great. It actually got me thinking about potentially how many of our regular patients may suffer from PCOS, and that I should revisit the options so that I can offer other Tx options.

The presentation was well organized and well presented. She explained the topic, interest, controversy, and background well.

I liked that she related the topic to personal stories. I have not had similar experiences in personal life that she has had so the topic was a little foreign to me in the real world. I liked that this allowed me to view the topic on a more familiar level

Would have liked a little more information on the spironolactone especially some contraindications for use in the population (maybe too low blood pressure, too high potassium)

The explanation of the controversy was clear and useful to understand it

Well organized. Just the right amount of background. You got my interest in a topic that I wasn't really interested in.

She did a good job presenting background information and explaining her interest in the topic.

Good background information and well stated controversy

I would have liked some more information about spironolactone especially in regards to side-effects and if we need to be worried about it in pregnancy.

She had very strong objectives that made it easy to follow along.

She did an excellent job presenting the material. I thought her interest in the topic was good and she provided appropriate background information before discussing the studies. However, I didn't really understand what the controversy of her topic was and I thought she could have developed that a little bit more.

The objectives were clear and met throughout the seminar.

I thought the slide with metformin's mechanism could have been very overwhelming, but you did a good job at pointing out the important parts of it during your presentation.

Objectives were clear and each one was touched on. I liked the controversy and that it was personal to you.

Good background. I also appreciated you explaining why you were interested in the topic. I think a lot of us know people with PCOS.

Anna clearly defined the controversy of her topic and provided excellent background.

Clear interest and controversy

She provided a very strong background that helped lay the foundation for her controversial topic

I liked your discussion of your interest in the topic. It made me more interested in the topic as well.

Introduction was appropriate, leading up to the controversy.

enough background information are provided at the beginning so that we can easily follow her studies at the second part of seminar

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	19	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.77
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	19	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.73
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	19	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	21	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.91

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

The clinical data was presented appropriately

good work detailing the clinical data.

The strengths and limitations of the study was thoroughly discussed.

I thought the information presented was all appropriate and that she took her time researching and contemplating the topic. My one area for improvement here would be again how the outcomes were presented in the handout.

Would have liked a little deeper analysis of the data and some summarization of why you came to certain conclusions. The baseline characteristics would have been better if they had p values so that I could interpret the numbers provided (were the groups the same or was there some statistically significant differences at baseline?) Also, would have liked the endpoints to be listed at the beginning instead of after the methods

Outcome measures needed more emphasis and explanation

Good analysis of studies and presentation of ONLY the key points.

She did a very good job identifying strengths and limitations of her studies.

Great discussion on the outcome of the studies and Greta discussion on the limitations and strength

I loved how you found a way to present a bunch of results without it getting confusing. Your result tables with the different groups and outcomes was easy to read and follow. It was very easy to figure out what symptoms resulted in a significant difference when spironolactone was added.

She understood her trials well.

She analyzed the study well but mentioned that because her studies did not discuss power, as a limitation although her study concluded a difference. Power only matters if the authors conclude a difference.

The clinical data was presented very well and was very easy to follow.

I really liked your charts of the baselines characteristics and results.

Your results were really easy to see and I was able to draw my own conclusion from the studies by the way you presented it.

With the 1st study, a power discussion would have been especially important. I think this study was underpowered and that. I'll be why they failed to detect a difference.

Anna correctly addressed statistical parameters of her studies.

clear explanation of studies.

I thought she did a great job analyzing the clinical data that was actually available

Good analysis of strengths and limitations of the studies

Great analysis of the studies. Adequate analysis of the strengths and limitations.

student explains the statistic analysis, on top of that, she also give a clear review about the strengths and limitations of two studies

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	21	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.91
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

Your conclusions were supported by the data

The specific recommendation for low-dose (25-50 mg) will stay with me. I can see the potential benefit in the population you described.

Role of the pharmacist was fully discussed.

The "Pharmacist's Role" section in the handout was very thorough and specific, I really liked that as some times the role is vaguely defined

It was a little unclear you were recommending this is only women complaining of hirsutism, maybe a firmer statement saying that would have helped.

The presenter had well supported conclusions

Conclusions followed from the data in the studies. Again, nice organization and flow.

She listed several very good roles/responsibilities of the pharmacist pertaining to her topic.

I like your conclusion

I liked how you included what sort of other studies you would like to see done on this topic. Your pharmacist role was also very complete.

She understood her studies well which helped her to give us recommendations for practice.

She provided great information on the myths of PCOS and discussed how pharmacists have a place in the management of PCOS. I liked her specific recommendations.

The conclusion was supported by the data presented.

I liked your discussion of who spironolactone might be appropriate for and the role of the pharmacist.

Your conclusion a representation of the results of each study.

Conclusions were well founded.

Anna did a wonderful job describing the pharmacist's role in selecting patients who may benefit from spironolactone therapy.

I agree with your conclusion from the data presented. I would have liked more on the clinical significance of the measured differences

Her conclusions were supported by the data that was presented. I liked how she included a strong recommendation for what we should do as pharmacists if presented with this topic

Great discussion on the pharmacist's role. I could tell you really put a lot of thought into it.

Conclusions were supported by the data presented. The pharmacist role was clear at the end.

Conclusion is fully supported by evidence, she also discusses the pharmacist roles at the end of her seminar

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Question Answer Session Comments

good q & a

Really good job answering the questions.

She handled the questions really well.

She was able to answer questions in a collected manner and did not get flustered during the question and answer session and I think this is very important for a presenter to be able to do.

You seemed to hesitate a little when asked about pregnant women taking it. It might help to have a very clear picture in your head of who you would recommend this in and if the patient doesn't fit that, then say it isn't appropriate in that population.

Good interaction with the audience

At times we're not a talkative group, so you don't get many questions. But the questions that you did get, I felt that you handled well.

Anna did a great job answering questions. It was clear that she was well-prepared for her seminar.

Did a great job in the question and answer question

Great job answering questions.

She answered questions well and was knowledgeable, however she could have put some more thought into the pregnancy question.

She answered questions well and thoroughly.

The seminarian answered questions thoroughly.

It seemed like you were kind of guessing on your answer about whether spironolactone is ok in pregnancy. Since this wasn't your topic it's ok that you didn't know off the top of your head, but a more thorough answer might have included looking it up and getting back to the person who asked.

You were able to think on your feet and answer each question thoroughly.

With the nature of PCOS and one of the treatment goals being the ability to get pregnant, I would have expected more knowledge on the use of spironolactone in pregnancy. That particular question wasn't

handled very well, but the rest were.

Anna thoroughly answered questions posed by the audience.

good interaction with presentation

She was able to answer some rather difficult questions intelligently showing she had a strong background knowledge on her topic

Seemed confident in answering questions

Allowed enough time for questions. Answered all questions adequately.

she encourages question and able to provide her own interpretations

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	18	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

You made a complex subject easy to understand

I could tell that you knew your topic.

The conclusion and role of the pharmacist was really good. For future seminars, one area that can be improved is to have a more detailed discussion of the data and analysis which will give the audience a chance to see the results.

I personally really liked how she was able to take the topic beyond a seminar and was able to relate it to people she has known in her personal life. This allowed me to get a view of the topic that goes beyond the textbook. One area for improvement may to have a little more discussion on what clinically significant outcomes would really mean for a patient and be able to convey this as well.

Great overall knowledge base

The benefits of the therapies were translated in different patient populations

I felt Anna had a good grasp on PCOS.

It was clear that she was knowledgeable about her topic and very prepared for her seminar.

Great discussion on the clinical significance and statistically significance

It was evident in your presentation that you had a strong overall knowledge base especially when we started asking about what if situations with pregnancy.

She had strong background knowledge on the topic which served her well in her presentation.

She did a good job thinking on her feet. It would have been better if she discussed the clinical significance more and how the agent fits in with current therapy for PCOS.

The seminarian demonstrated knowledge beyond the seminar.

I liked that you knew the treatment guidelines but didn't present them in order to save time. That might have been something you could have included in the handout to make it more complete.

I liked your pharmacist role and that you went into detail with it.

Overall, had a good knowledge base.

Anna demonstrated excellent preparation with her responses to questions.

great overall knowledge base on this topic.

Her overall knowledge base was very well demonstrated by her ability to answer difficult audience questions

Great job thinking on your feet and answering questions!

Good knowledge base, apparent by answering the audience's question and minimal reliance on notes.

she is knowledgeable and answers all difficult questions with confidence

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Your presentation was informative and supported by clinical data

I like how you dispelled the common myths of PCOS. That was interesting info that I had never seen before.

I really liked the slides and the handout. It was really easy to read and to follow.

I liked that she was able to bring the audience in and connect them with the controversy on a real-world level through her experiences.

Great topic and good pace

I think the recommendations were great, giving priority to what the patient wants to get from treatment

Awesome organization and flow. You hit all the key points without drowning your audience with unnecessary detail. Your presentation was one of my favorites this semester...just infuse it with more energy and enthusiasm.

I liked the slide she had that discussed "Myths about PCOS". That was a good idea to include that in your seminar.

Did a great job in the question and answer question

The tables that you made for your results were fantastic! I also liked how you recreated the patient characteristics table.

I liked her calm, easy tone.

I thought she seemed very calm and easy to listen to. I also really enjoyed her background section and the myths about the disease state. /

The seminarian did a great job at highlighting the important information throughout the studies.

I really liked how your handout referred to the appendices.

I loved that your slides and handout were very well organized. I liked that you highlighted in red the significant outcomes so that I was able to see those more easily.

The tables you used in interpreting your study results were great for ease of understanding.

I really enjoyed the topic and how applicable it is given the number of studies being conducted in this area.

Interesting topic that was interesting to hear about.

I really liked the way the handout was put together. All of the information was provided there in a table like format that allowed for easy comparison

Interesting topic that was well-presented.

I liked how well the presenter summarized the rather complicated disease state.

interesting topic

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Refer to the pregnancy risk line for questions about medications in pregnancy

just make the minor adjustment on the handout regarding the outcome measures so that it is more clear to the audience.

One area of improvement will be to include data in the results section. It is easier to follow when it says significant or not however, having the actual data on the slides gives the audience a chance to understand the reasons behind the conclusion.

The only thing I would like to see improved would be to have the outcomes section of the handout a little more focused and organized so that I would be able to look at it and be able to almost automatically pull out the pertinent information when contemplating the studies, rather than having to read through the paragraph and decide on what to try and extract while still paying attention to the seminar

Work a little more on data analysis

Language in some parts of the handout was a little informal

Infuse more energy and enthusiasm into your presentation. If you want to work on this, google "tonality." For the future--especially residencies--remember that the veracity of content is HALF of how you're judged but the other half is your enthusiasm and energy.

Some of her slides were a bit wordy, but most of them looked great. I liked how she bolded certain things in her slides that she wanted to emphasize.

Some pictures, some tables etc

I would have liked to see more information about spironolactone included in the background with regards to side effects and potential implications if pregnancy occurs while on medication.

She could have put some more consideration into the question asked at the end

She could have discussed more of the study results and values that were seen and what was clinically and statistically significant and why.

The seminarian could have provided more values and results in the tables.

You could have been more clear about the treatment guidelines in PCOS.

At times it seemed like your confidence wavered, just be confident in your work that you put into this.

Consider the disease state thoroughly so you can anticipate questions a little better. More info on the hirsutism scoring system would have been nice (pictures are great for this sort of thing).

The seminar was excellently prepared, and may have been improved with a little more audience participation by way of a sample case or quiz.

My only complaint was that I would like more discussion on clinical significance.

Speaking a little bit slower so that the audience has time to digest what is being spoken

Maybe provide a tiny bit more detail on spironolactone and pregnancy since that has a fairly high possibility of occurring

Maybe try varying the tone of voice when presenting.

she could use a larger font on some of her slides

General Comments

Great job!

Thanks for your presentation, it was very well done.

Overall a great seminar and the topic was interesting.

Overall the seminar was a success. She presented in a very collected manner, and was able to present in a way that showed her knowledge on the subject. She was not bogged down with questions and was able to maintain an appropriate flow throughout the seminar

Great job! Congrats on being done!!

/ great job

Great job. One of my favorite seminars this semester.

Great job Anna!

Great job

Overall really great job. I could tell that you really knew your material and have a strong overall knowledge base on the subject.

Very good first seminar.

This was an excellent seminar and she deserves an A ! I can tell she worked very hard and she presented the material very well.

Overall, the seminarian demonstrated great knowledge of the topic and did a great job at presenting the topic.

You did a great job!

Overall great presentation. I really liked it and loved your handout!

Overall, well done and it was an interesting topic.

Great job Anna, on an excellently executed seminar presentation!

Great refreshment. You win the award for best treat of the year!

Overall very good presentation on an interesting topic

Great job! You didn't seem nervous at all and didn't look at your slides very often

Overall, a great presentation. I have learned a lot.

great seminar and I ve learned useful knowledge from this presentation