

Presenter: Watkins, Alicia

Seminar Date: 2014-03-04

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.91	6.94	6.94	6.94	6.93	6.94	6.96	6.88	6.88	6.6	7	6.75	7	7	0	0	0	E (47.91)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	23	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.85
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	24	1	2	0	0	0	0	6.81
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Presentation Style Comments

You presented at a good pace and you did not seem nervous

great job on all of these points

Overall good pace.

Great pace and great eye contact with the audience. You didn't rely on your notes.

She was very composed during the presentation and did not display any distracting mannerisms. She very rarely looked at her slides and when she did it was only for a brief second, this showed that she was not relying on them for the presentation. The only complaint I have here is that at times she moved a little fast and it was tough to keep up with her presentation and still be able to contemplate what was being presented.

Seemed less confident in presenting some information during Q&A.

Great rephrasing of the information on the slides, didn't seem nervous at all

The presenter knew the content of her slides well

Be more confident in yr seminar. You know the material the best. Good job.

Great job! Had good eye contact and knew the material well so didn't have to look at slides much. It was a lot of material to cover in a short amount of time.

She had clearly rehearsed her seminar many times and did not need any help from her slides to explain the concepts or the studies.

Her pacing was great. She was very professional and presented the material at an appropriate level.

Hard to judge based off of listening on the internet, but it appeared that the presentation style was very good

I think because you were nervous you made some comments such as "never going to say that title again". I personally think it is fine to let some of your personality come through when presenting, but at the same time makes it look/sound unprofessional when you add comments like this too often.

Seminarian had great eye contact with the audience throughout the presentation.

Good style and pace.

I thought your presentation style was good

Eye contact was seen throughout the entire presentation and I think the material was presented at an appropriate level. The survey questions could have been a little more difficult for the audience, but was a great way to keep us engaged.

It was a touch slow for my liking.

Alicia was very poised and prepared in her presentation. She rarely read from her slides and spoke with an even tone in easy to understand terms.

Pace was good to allow the audience to understand and comprehend the information.

great pace and didn't use notes

I watched remotely, but I feel like you spoke clearly and at a good pace

Good steady pace while speaking during the presentation. Didn't have to read straight from the slides

Good eye contact as the presentation progressed.

Good pace. Little reliance on notes. No distracting mannerisms. Material was appropriate for the audience.

Kept eye contact during presentation with moderate pace

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	24	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	25	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.96
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Instructional Materials Comments

Everything was easy to read

This was good, but it would have been nice to have the graphs in our handout too.

I liked the charts that you made and the pictures you used to help explain the background info.

Slides and handout were easy to read and easy to follow. You provided great explanation to all the pictures and graphs presented.

The supplementary information and handouts were all appropriate, I did like some of pictures as they added to the style of the presentation and did not allow the presentation to err on the side of dullness.

Some images were too small to be read.

Some slides became a bit wordy and it was difficult to pick out the most important information. Did a good job of summarizing verbally

Well referenced material, abundant number of sources

Good job orienting audience to various tools but slides would contain too much information sometimes

I really liked the charts you made and you did a good job orienting us to the graphs and explaining them.

She had very clean slides and had a very clean handout. I think the handout could have used more visuals (tables that she had included in her seminar).

Her handout was very comprehensive yet clear and easy to read. I also liked how she included some charts and figures in her comparison table as well to make the information more accessible.

Some of the slides were a little wordy, but very good overall

Good slides and handout- very clear to read and not too much information on each slide

Great use of the pollev.com.

I liked the style you used to reference

Slides were great

I really liked your slides and handout. I was able to follow along really well!

Some of the graphs from the study weren't included in the handout and it would have been nice if they were.

Alicia's handout was very detailed and well organized. The appendix included useful tables for reference.

This may be personal preference but I prefer to have the reference at the bottom of the slide so if an audience member is interested in looking at it they don't have to search your handout or wait until the end of the presentation.

Could orient a little better to graphs but well done

You may consider putting less information on some slides

Slides were well put together. May have had too much information on slides, but did a good job in just speaking about the key points

Great slides and student provided a very clean handout

Slides and handout were easy to read and follow. The handout contained a thorough amount of information.

Slides and handout are in perfect order and key points are listed

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	25	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.93
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Appropriate background information was provided	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	26	0	0	0	1	0	0	6.85

Overall Presentation Content Comments

I listened to the podcasted version so you may have been cut off. I didn't hear you state your interest in the topic.

Nice work presenting the controversy and background info.

Your flow was good. I liked how you introduced the controversy, it was very clear.

The transition between the slides were great. The interest in topic was presented well. Background information was appropriate.

The flow was really good and there were no major pauses or awkward transitions while she was presenting. The only issue was at times towards the end the technology employed to receive feedback from the audience seemed to not function properly every time. That being said she did not allow this to bog her down and she moved through the problems well.

Information included in slides was very clear

Would have liked it if you had gone into more detail on the current treatment guidelines instead of only focusing on the rituximab seeing as how our main role is drug therapy. I am not particularly familiar with first-line treatment of lupus

The topic was introduced with a good personal story, good job

Good explanation of controversy

Great background section which is really important since we never cover lupus in class and interesting interest in the topic.

I enjoyed listening to her specific interest in the topic

Her objectives were clear and easy. Her transitions were appropriate and smooth.

Very good flow to the presentation, it seemed like you prepared very well.

I thought you had a great introduction and controversy. I initially really liked your text message survey,

but thought that it took too much time away from your presentation as it continued while also being very distracting because several of these didn't work.

Seminarian was well-organized and clear.

The controversy was well defined and to the point.

I only have one critique of the entire presentation and it was this: I thought the background was not thorough enough

The flow from each slide was very appropriate. I think that your background and having it personal to you made me more interested in the subject!

Background was useful.

Alicia provided an excellent basis for her presentation with a thorough yet concise background on lupus.

I felt that the background provided the right amount of information to be able to understand the topic while not overwhelming me.

great flow and personal interest

Nice job talking about the guidelines and the current place for rituximab therapy

Objectives and background were clearly stated and focused upon during the presentation

Great story of patient's purpose for discussing topic

Clear introduction and clearly delved into the interest. Controversy was well presented. Adequate background information was given.

Well organized presentation and appropriate background information was provided at beginning

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	25	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.93
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	23	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Good statistical analysis. You mentioned the risk of beta error in your study but the study was powered correctly to detect a difference.

I think the study strength/limitation analysis was done very well.

Good job at analyzing the trials and making your own conclusions.

Statistical and clinical analysis were great. However, a better explanation of Wilcoxon false negative and its association with power and how it affected the results might be more helpful in future presentations.

She did discuss the design of the studies and that the observational study may have not been the strongest design. I would have liked a little more explanation as to why this was the case, but she did examine and discuss the data appropriately given the format.

Presenter did a better job than most describing statistics and applicability.

Excellent analysis of the studies. You explained in detail why you thought things were strengths or weaknesses.

I'd have separated the study interventions from the methods

Great job at explaining strengths of study. Slides are a bit busy though

Had a good discussion of one of the statistical tests and why it might not be so great and effect the outcomes by increasing the odds of a false negative.

She did a good job presenting the studies, however she was a bit redundant; especially going through the first study.

She appropriately provided a thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations.

I really enjoyed your analysis of the strengths and limitations of the different studies. You also did a good job at coming up with your own conclusions and not copying the authors conclusions

I thought you did a great job really narrowing down what was important to present. You also did a good job with the strengths and weaknesses of each study.

Seminarian provided detailed information including the power.

It appeared that you were trying to pull conclusions from the secondary outcomes because they were statistically significant and not the primary outcome. I could have misunderstood though.

Great job with studies

The data analysis was very concise and appropriate. All the details needed to understand the results were presented thoroughly.

I know you accounted for the dropouts in one of the slides, but it was glanced over very quickly. I think it merited some more discussion.

Alicia provided a rigorous statistical analysis of the studies she chose, and provided excellent conclusions based off the respective strengths and weaknesses.

It is important to understand and state that the sub group analysis are usually not powered enough to provide a conclusion. They are hypothesis generating in most studies.

great way to present data

I do not recall any specific issues in terms of the data

There were detailed slides on the strengths and weaknesses of the slides, helping with the compare and contrast between the two studies.

Student very knowledgeable of statistics and when power is applied.

Good analysis of the studies. Presenter hit all of the points above when appropriate.

Great analysis of two studies, introduced statistics and result clearly

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	24	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	25	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.93
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	25	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.93
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Conclusions Comments

I didn't think these studies were very clinically significant because they didn't give any new light to the controversy. Rituximab is still only last line. I did like that you mentioned further study would be good for hispanic and african american patients.

I really liked how you provided your recommendation for clinical practice to us by asking us the question and then answering it

You did a good job of being able to say when you would and when you would not recommend this.

The conclusions presented in this seminar were based on the two studies presented.
Recommendations on the use of rituximab and pharmacist's role were great.

She discussed the role well and even talked about it on a personal level with what she would recommend to her own family member which really helped to solidify her position on the treatment and when we may want to recommend it.

I was not sure what the standards or alternatives were for treatment based solely on slides

Conclusions made sense with the data presented. Well thought-out

The recommendations were appropriate to the current practice but not much new information from the studies was included. I think a conservative approach was the way I'd have gone too. The success in the African American and Hispanic populations was not quite represented in the sample

A table describing the subgroups would be good to show when discussing it in the conclusion.

Excellent job with coming to your own conclusions and having a specific recommendation of where the therapy may be appropriate and where it is not appropriate.

She had specific conclusions that were supported by her data. I would have liked to have seen the practicality of the medication (ie - will insurance pay for the drug? how feasible is it for a patient to actually receive the drug for sle?)

She did an excellent job applying what she found in the research to her conclusions and to real life.

Very good job of not just looking at the statistical significance of the studies, but also the clinical significance

Good role of the pharmacist discussed.

Seminarian provided a good conclusion.

The section on pharmacist role was appropriate for the lack of evidence of drug intervention with rituximab.

Great job here

The conclusions matched the data being presented and was very thorough as well. I liked the key points of how we as pharmacists can implement this into our practices.

It was kinda hard to see where the pharmacist really fits with this therapy.

I really appreciated Alicia's discussion of the pharmacist's role and the clinical relevance of Rituximab as last-line treatment option. I liked that she was candid in her response that she wouldn't recommend its use for a family member with lupus.

Your conclusion was supported by the data but it could be stated more firmly. If there is a lack of evidence say you would not recommend it because there is not enough evidence or vice versa.

interesting studies and well explained but they were contradictory so I liked what you gleaned from them.

It is interesting that your recommendation is not much different from the current one

A stronger conclusion and pharmacist's role would be helpful

Conclusions were well supported and the pharmacist's role was clearly identified /

conclusions were based on the studies analyzed. Clinical relevance and pharmacist's role were clearly discussed.

Provided both authors' conclusion and explained her own interpretations

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	26	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.93

Question Answer Session Comments

Great Q & A. It was a little hard to hear on the podcast version. I would suggest repeating back the question so it is louder on the podcast

The question slides were a great tool to promote interaction with the audience. One hint, make the questions a little more challenging so that we can use what you just taught us.

I liked the questions throughout the presentation and you thought well on your feet.

You had great answers to the questions. Audience interaction was encouraged throughout the presentation. I really liked the idea of using text to answer the questions provided. In future providing more confusing answers might help the audience think harder in choosing the answers.

She was asked a few difficult questions and was able to move past the data presented and answered them with confidence. This displayed that she had thoroughly contemplated the subject at hand. She often asked questions of the audience and encouraged their involvement. The only issue was that the technology used to do this seemed to malfunction at times.

Good use of tech to try and engage the audience

Great job with answering questions. You were able to think on the spot and explain your reasoning and conclusions

The presenter knew the studies very well and was prepared to answer questions

Encouraged questions a lot. Good job

Good job answering questions. You really showed your knowledge on the topic.

Used her studies to support her ultimate conclusions, and was able to use the studies to answer questions.

She was able to think on her feet and apply the results to real cases and her family and dose questions.

Did a good job of asking open ended questions to the audience. Instead of saying do you have any questions said what questions do you have.

I am unsure if you answered the questions confidently? Also, it was hard for me to tell if you welcomed and encouraged questions or if you just wanted to hurry on and continue the presentation.

The seminar encouraged audience participation.

I liked that you asked open ended questions when asking for questions.

Great job with questions

Way to be on your toes for the question and answering section! Awesome job!

Handled questions well.

Opportunities for questions were evenly spaced, and questions were addressed thoroughly and with confidence.

It was good that you encouraged questions throughout the presentation so you could make sure the audience understood the presentation.

great answers and quiz

While you did encourage interaction, I did not like the quiz questions for this type of setting

Did a good job on answering questions that were presented the seminar

Great job answering questions using clinical knowledge

Presenter adequately addressed and answered all questions.

Good question and answer sections, able to answer question from audiences with enough scientific supporting data

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	25	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.93
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

You could tell that you knew a lot about the topic beyond the scope of the seminar

I could tell by the ease with which you answered questions that you were prepared and understood the study(s).

Thought on your feet and was able to clearly answer the questions.

I felt her knowledge of subject went beyond the facts presented in the seminar and she demonstrated that by answering the questions during the seminar. I liked how she related to RA when question was posed.

While answering questions asked of her she showed that she had thoroughly researched the topic and knew the subject well.

Lacked some confidence when asked to elaborate on info not on slides

You are definitely an expert on this topic and it was evident. Great job overall

The algorithm for SLE treatment was useful to compare ranking of therapies

Good job with questions

Could have gone a bit more into the clinical significance, but overall really strong knowledge base.

She clearly understood much more than she had time to present.

She did an excellent job thinking critically on her feet and theorizing answers to specific case based or situational questions. I was impressed!

I could really tell that you knew a lot about the subject and knew well beyond what you presented

I can't remember if you talked about clinical and statistical significance. But you did a good job looking beyond what was presented to develop your own conclusions.

Seminarian was knowledgeable about the topic.

I thought you had a good knowledge base.

Great interpretation of material

You had a lot of knowledge about the material being presented and I think you were able to answer everyone's questions in a manner that we all understood!

Handled difficult question in a positive manner.

Alicia spoke well on her feet and knew her material completely as demonstrated by her responses.

It was helpful to present the current therapy choices and how the study designs differed from current clinical practice.

great looking past data presented to the underlying meaning

Nice job on preparing for your topic/seminar

overall analysis of the studies was well done and thorough even if the studies were not that strong to begin with

Student showed tremendous knowledge of subject and when to apply the clinical evidence provided by the trials

Presenter had clear knowledge base, evident by little reliance on notes and the ability to think on her feet.

Student is able to answer question on her feet, confident and accurate with her explanations

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Great job of practicing and presenting your seminar. You did a fantastic job.

I think the best part was the use of interactive "voting" for an answer to question slides. This was a great way to keep the audience engaged and thinking about the topic.

The pace was good. Asking the audience questions throughout was helpful to keep everyone engaged.

I liked the idea of using text answers. It was obvious that you had done a lot of research and you knew a lot about the subject and handled the questions posed by the audience really well. You had thorough eye contact with the audience.

I liked that she displayed confidence while presenting and answering questions. She showed the audience that she really knew the subject and had done more research beyond what she presented on.

The use of technology to engage audience

Great job summarizing information on the slides.

The seminar had a great flow, the background information was very useful to understand how they measured the efficacy

Interesting topic and interesting drug. Too bad the conclusion is still unsafe

I really liked the graphs you made which helped visualize the data. They were very nicely done and easy to read.

I enjoyed her enthusiasm and professionalism.

I liked her approach to involving the audience and maintaining audience interest and participation throughout her presentation.

Nice job with the seminar! I could really tell that you put a lot of work into your presentation and you had a very unique way of getting the audience involved with your quizzes

You had a great pace for your presentation and seemed very calm and confident. This goes far with the audience because it seemed like you were very prepared and understood the material well.

I liked the use of pollev.com participation.

I liked that you did something innovative with your seminar. It helped break up the seminar routine.

I really like how you talked about lupus. I do not remember covering it in school, but have now encountered it multiple times during rotations

I really liked the interactive portions of your presentation and I really liked how you able to answer the

questions from the faculty and students.

The interactive quizzes were a unique idea that caught my attention.

I really liked that Alicia used quizzes in her presentation that helped engage the audience.

I feel that the topic is interesting and it is not something I see everyday. If I had a patient with lupus this would be useful to improve my treatment recommendations.

Interesting topic and well presented

Alicia, I liked your presentation style and pace, you seemed confident

I liked how the presentation was very smooth and flowing

Great purpose for choosing topic.

I liked the interactive polling done throughout the presentation.

Good information and pretty helpful for my own seminar

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Pick a topic that has studies that show a difference in the treatment instead of ones that don't show any efficacy

Again, remember to include graphs in the handout for your audience to follow along. A graph can be a powerful tool to help describe results or make inferences.

Provide the graphs you made in the handout to help us visualize the results.

In future, I would talk more about the statistical and clinical significance and I would focus on power more. Explaining how Wilcoxon false negative could occur and what the impact of that was on power will be more helpful.

The only recommendations I would have for her presentation style would be to slow down a little bit at times while presenting information heavy sections so that the audience could contemplate the material, I would have liked to see her move away from the podium, and maybe double check the functioning of the technology used before employing it.

practice a few more times prior to presenting

The wordy slides were a bit overwhelming, so trying to summarize or break it up a little would help.

About the controversy, I think the matter was obvious but it did not stand out in the handout

The audience questions could be moved to the end of the seminar to not interrupt the flow

I liked the question slides, but maybe a couple less questions next time and make the questions more challenging and not so obvious.

Although the question slides were good, I think they could have been utilized better

She could have skipped some of the text message questions that weren't as relevant or challenging or that didn't really aid in the audience's learning because she went over time. Or she just needs to watch her time a little bit better next time.

Hard to tell from watching on the internet, but you sounded a little nervous. You definitely had no need to be nervous you really knew your stuff so present with more confidence

It would be helpful to repeat the questions that were asked to you to help clarify them for the audience. So before you answer, just paraphrase what was asked so it is clear to everyone in the room what you are talking about.

The seminarian could have used a laser pointer to walk through the diagrams.

I liked that you got the audience engaged with the texting program, but I thought there could be more effective ways. Whether it be questions that were more thoughtful or more of directly asking questions to audience.

Because I'm no expert on lupus, I would have really liked more background info

I think the questions could have been a little more difficult.

The questions on the interactive quizzes would have been much more interesting if there was actually some challenge to them.

Alicia could have had more pictures, although I think it was great the way it was.

Keep in mind that sub group analysis are hypothesis generating. The sample size is usually not big enough and the study is not designed to answer that specific question. It is fine to present it with the caveat that you can't draw any firm conclusions from the analysis.

Nice graphs you made, please add them to the handout

I would nix the quizzing, it felt a little silly

The question slides were a little redundant and seemed more of a time sink

Would have liked to see graph in results of studies in handout

Maybe make the poll questions less obvious.

She could give more explanations about drug mechanisms

General Comments

Great!

Overall very impressive.

Overall very good job.

Overall, a great presentation. For future presentations, choosing more similar options for multiple choice questions will make the audience think more about the subject presented.

Overall it was a really good presentation. There were only minor areas for improvement. She did a great job in the big picture and the areas that could be improved on did not greatly affect the quality of the presentation.

For me I like to know what is the standard and how the new treatment compares. Placebo comparison was not as helpful.

Excellent job! Congrats on being done with seminar!

Great job!

Great job on your seminar!

Overall really great job!

Great first seminar!

This was an excellent presentation. I was very impressed!! She was professional and thoughtful and clear and interesting to listen to.

Good job with your first seminar. Keep up the good work with the next one

I applaud you on the text messaging surveys. . . however they didn't work well and took up too much time out of your presentation. It was also unfortunate that some didn't work which made it look like you hadn't checked these before. / / Also, your presentation seemed to be really long and this may have been in part due to the text messaging surveys. I would just take all of this into consideration when you prepare for your second seminar. Overall, great job on your first seminar!

Overall, the seminarian was knowledgeable about the topic and was very professional.

Great job overall!

Well Done. One down, one to go

Overall, great job! You seemed very confident and presented with a level of confidence that made your presentation successful!

Overall well done.

Great job Alicia! The presentation was very well organized and thought out.

Overall the presentation was well done. As you get more confident I think your presentation style will only become better.

great way to start the semester!

Congratulations on your first seminar, just remember to build on that professionalism that you already have

Overall, good presentation and seminar!

Great job overall

Overall, a great presentation. I have learned a lot.

Overall, this is a great presentation, we are able to learn useful information from it