Presenter: Davis, Alexis

Seminar Date: 2013-10-30

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.97	6.95	6.86	6.88	6.87	7	6.96	6.63	6.63	6.7	6.73	6.88	7	6.9	0	0	0	E (47.7)

Presentation Style

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	20	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.87
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Great pace and eye contact

I thought she did really well. Volume was my only issue.

Nice overall pace

very well poised

Alexis did a fantastic job of maintaining eye contact with the audience throughout the entire presentation. She was amazing!!! =)

Other than showing signs of being nervous, the presentation style very good.

Overall you did a great job. You did not seem to need your notes and kept a good pace. The only thing I could think to improve on is that sometimes you speak with an upward inflection which makes you sound unsure of yourself.

Spoke well, well designed slides

Great style, just need more confidence!

Didn't need her notes or slides often. Was well prepared.

Your pacing has improved from last year. Great job not reading slides/notes and speaking more slowly. You were very poised and professional.

You are so good at presenting with poise and seem confident; however, you did seem a little more nervous than you did last time.

Good pace. A little quiet, but still clear.

Na

NA

professional demeanor, very good pacing

Did you ever look at your notes? Good job-

Very consistent pace and easy to follow

Good pace, no distracting mannerisms

Need more confidence! You do great!

Great pace and very confident in your presentation!

Great Job

You took a topic unfamiliar to us and presented it on our level.

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	21	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.87
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

Great job with highlighting main points in different colors

I thought the materials were clear and informational.

Great job on roadmap

Some additional explanation on graph would have been helpful. It appeared that the lower levels were more urgent which doesnt seem like that would be the case.

The only thing that I saw an issue with was slide #6 where there were punctuation marks all throughout the slide that shouldn't have been there, but it looked like a technical glitch, not something Alexis could have prevented. / / I loved her slides and handout. They were very well put together. She also did a very good job on orienting the audience with charts and diagrams.

Great job providing orientation to charts, etc. Also, the instructional materials were very clear and easy to read.

Good use of pictures and graphs. The "Road Map" was an excellent way to break up the presentation and allow for questions.

Great job on cites/references!

Sometimes you forgot to orientate the audience to your graphs in the beginning, but you realized this and fixed it as you continued on- good job.

Slide road map was nice.

Many of the slides included too much information.Once during your presentation you said "the main take way from this slide is." This is appropriate for lectures when people use the slides for studying. No one will ever be studying fromm your slides so it shouldn't include any extraneous information. I also do not prefer paragraph form in handouts. They are good references for later but don't help follow the presentation. I feel this presentation would be bettrer for people that read in advance and will be studying later.

The pictures and diagrams in your slides added a lot to the presentation.

Great job with your charts/graphs.

Na

NA

I loved the clarity of your slides, well done!

Good amount of information presented-

I really liked the road map Alexis had in her slides- very helpful to follow along

Excellent handout and slides, I really liked the road map

Love the roadmap.

Handout went along well with the slides! Did great job orienting us to graphs.

Great Job

Really liked your slides, especially the road maps along the way.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	21	0	1	1	0	0	0	6.78
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	21	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.87
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Appropriate background information was provided	20	1	1	1	0	0	0	6.74
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Overall content was very detailed and organized.

I liked the road map with the opportunity to ask questions throughout the presentation.

Good background info

Flow was aided by roadmap

Her controversy was very clearly defined, which I appreciated very much. I also felt her objectives were appropriate and were all achieved by the end of her seminar.

The overall presentation content was very well organized and focused on the key points.

I like how you re-charted the data for your first study. I also like how you make the important parts of your second and third studies red.

Very thorough background.

I would have liked more information for background. . . introduction. . . and interest in topic/controversy.

Slides were well organized and the road map helped with flow.

Your transitions were good and the road map helped with this as well. I do not remeber you saying anyhting about your interest in the topic. I remember you saying the reason for this treatment is generally Rh +/- incompatibility but then it wasn't discussed further.

The discussion of your controversy was very thorough, especially when you broke it down into 3 main components.

Your objectives were clear and you gave enough background to understand the disease and studies.

Na

NA

Controversy was well established

Interesting controversy, because I usually assume that guidelines are the best answer-

Roadmap made transitions flow and easy to follow the presentation

Great background info and great explanation of the controversy

Helpful background. Still felt a little lost about the role of IGG.

Great job discussing the controversy of IVIG

Great Job

Good background section, enough information at a good level for the audience.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	21	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.87
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	20	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	6.57
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	6.95
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Good comparison of clinical data.

I liked the 3 trials that she used. I thought she gave a great background of the subject and told us why we should care about the subject. I liked that she recharted the information on the AAP Guidelines graph so we could have a better understanding of the data.

nice job on controversy

If the final studies were not powered to detect a difference then no difference would be expected. This would make the conclusion void.

She gets bonus points for creating her own graph to compare the results from study 1 with the guideline curve. She did an excellent job on presenting her studies.

Well done on the presentation of clinical data. I'm not sure if withdrawals and dropouts were adequately accounted for, though. This may only be applicable for study 3 where the investigators followed the patients for a year. I imagine some of the patients (babies) were lost to follow up.

You really understood the studies and presented the material well.

Great layout of study aspects in the slides and handout. Very easy to read.

Great analysis of your studies. Clearly, you knew these studies inside and out- great job!

Studies were all relevant and she explained them well.

If a trial was not powered to detect a difference and didn't find a difference, no conclusion can be drawn. / Part of your recommendation hinged on low vs high dose so more focus on this part of the trials would have helped. / I liked how you highlighted key differences between the trials in a different color.

You were able to paint a clear picture of the methods and how each study worked.

I think you presented to the studies well, and had a good analysis of the information.

Na

NA

I liked how you gave us an overview of trials done chronologically with summaries of results. Great idea to give us the bigger picture.

Interesting how the parents could change the allocation in the one study, quite a limitation-

Great job presenting studies with two different results

I thought you jumped ahead a little when you pointed out a flaw in the study while you were summarizing the study. I would recommend waiting until your assessment of the strengths and weaknesses to do so.

N/A

Did not rely on slides. Like that you brought up what the guidelines say.

Great Job

Enjoyed the analysis of studies, thorough discussion of strengths and limitations.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	20	1	1	0	0	0	1	6.61
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

None

I thought the conclusions seemed consistent with her findings.

Good info with clinical importance and what our role as pharmacist. Concern that the two studies were not powered to show a difference.

recommendations were well supported

Once again, Alexis did a fabulous job. Her recommendations were specific and appropriate. Her conclusion was also specific and concise.

I thought the conclusions were very direct, clinically relevant, and applicable to pharmacists practicing in a hospital setting.

You made conclusions that were supported by the data. You could tell you really knew the material and thought it out.

Great job explaining the limitations of the studies and what made them limitations. It's nice to have that review.

I like the fact that we should not always jump to a drug for answers. However, I think not presenting the fact that one of the studies did not meet power, could argue that it wasn't powered to find a difference. So it is hard to make a firm recommendation (also on dosing) when there aren't firm results.

Conclusion was controversial but insightful.

Conclusions on low vs high dose were not well supported form the data you presented. Also, all data says the intervention is superior except 2 trials not powered to detect a difference. It sounds like there is a reason people are using this intervention in practice.

I thought it was really good how you included recommendations of what to do if a provider doesn't want to listen to our recommendations.

You conclusions made since considering the information.

Na

NA

I thought all conclusions were valid and well-founded in the evidence provided.

Very clear recommendations-

Great job making conclusions from studies that had different results

One conclusion in your handout was worded in a confusing manner

Logical conclusions. Excellent guidance of what we should take away from the studies.

Talked about the clinical importance and relevance of the studies and use of IVIG

Great Job

Conclusions were well supported by the data and good recommendations for pharmacy practice.

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

Very confident with answering questions and encouraged audience to ask more questions.

Great job answering questions throughout.

had good knowledge base to answer questions.

Was well prepared for Q&A

It was evident that Alexis was pulling information from her studies, background information, and personal experience to answer questions. She handled questions very well. I also appreciated her roadmap slides to allow for mini breaks in the seminar to give the audience a chance to ask questions.

Several opportunities were given to ask questions. Questions were answered succinctly.

You were able to answer all questions with confidence.

Good thinking on your feet.

You never let questions throw you off- you did a great job answering them on your feet.

Was knowledgable and answered the questions well.

You did a great job encouraging questions, especially in light of how many people in our section are encouraging people to hold their questions. You did a great job answering the questions as well.

You knew the answers to quesitons you were asked, and you answered simply and accurately. You didn't have to talk until you thought of an answer, which is impressive.

Answered all questions well.

Na

NA

Showed poise throughout.

Good job with questions-

Encouraged questions and was able to answer all of them very well

Handled questions well

Very good with questions. / More confidence. You know your stuff.

Great job at fielding questions

Great Job

Fielded questions well, liked all the places where you elicited questions.

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

The presenter seemed very knowledgeable about the topic.

She clearly knew and understood her study subject.

obviously knew materials

Felt that they were too "safe" with some conclusions.

Alexis gave very detailed information in her background section and charts. It was clear that she spent a lot of time learning about her seminar topic. Great job!

I could tell that the student was very knowledgeable in this area and had done well in researching the topic.

You are very knowledgeable on the subject and it showed.

Very prepared and knowledgeable.

Clearly you were an expert on IG in use in the pediatric population for this disease.

Was well prepared.

I liked your pie charts of the available literature. I also liked how you emphasized clinical significance.

I liked how you had a comprehensive list of studies, and your analysis of why publication date matters in this active control study is important.

You demonstrated a good overall knowledge base.

Na

NA

She seemed to know the subject thoroughly.

I may have liked to see more of a discussion of the study limitations within your conclusions; such as power and not detecting a difference-

Very knowledgable about the topic

Good overall knowledge base

Good job!

It was obvious that you knew a lot about the topic covered and had a true interest in it.

Great Job

Strong knowledge base, evident by fielding of questions.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

It was great to compare 5 different studies at once and overall the conclusion was very good.

I enjoyed learning about the subject matter and the way she presented it.

Had stopping points throughout lecture for questions.

I liked the summary on what the studies were concluding

I loved the roadmap slide to give the audience chances throughout the seminar to ask questions. I also liked the side-by-side comparison of 2 of the studies with the pink/red font to indicated differences in the studies; that was very useful.

I really liked the "road map."

I liked how you re-charted the data to test for statistical significance. It showed that you really understood the material.

I liked the 'road map." It's a unique idea that serves as a breakdown of the seminar as well as providing an introduction and conclusion to each topic.

Loved the roadmap- it allowed natural pauses in your presentation and helped orientate the audience to where we are and where we are going

The road map was a nice addition to the slides to help show where we were in the presentation.

Encourage audience participation, road map of presentation, and interesting pictures.

I thought it was really cool how you made the graph that showed why statsitical significance was not clinically relevant because it did not show a change that would result in different treatment. I also thought your side-by-side study comparison made a lot of sense.

I really enjoyed that you re-plotted the data points in light of the guidelines in America.

Very well done

The color coordination was really helpful. Great pace and did well answering questions.

The statistical analysis was clear; I thought pointing out that the second study had done power calculations and said they met power, but didn't provide what number it was that they needed, was a strength and not a weakness of the presentation.

Organized and informational

Great job making conclusions and making it applicable to clinical pharmacists

Good topic and conclusion

Loved the road map.

I thought it was an amazing seminar and presented very well! Great Job!!! I loved the side by side presentation of the studies since they were so similar.

I liked the road map it helped to let me know where I was and where I was headed.

Interesting seminar, well presented, good presentation style.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Maybe summarize all studies at the end on one slide to reinforce the main points.

Everything was great I just couldn't hear as well as I would have liked.

None of the studies talked about why one dose would be superior or inferior to another dose. Why would recommend one over another?

Cost was mentioned but did not play into conclusions

Maybe run through your slides once on a projector to make sure there are no technical issues like the punctuation marks on slide 6? Sorry not trying to be picky, but that's all I could think of.

Some more pictures/visuals may have improved the seminar.

Overall you did a really good job. The only thing I can think to improve is the upward inflection of your voice occasionally.

I'd be interested in more elaboration on the clinical significance.

I did not like the side by side comparison of the two studies. I didn't think it added any benefit to your presentation, but instead took away from it. It left me more confused. . .

Reference where in the appendix to find information stated in the slides and handout.

More simplified and streamlined background with more consideration of statistical power.

You stood next to the podium a lot, which is something you might want to work on for future presentations.

You were quiet and a little anxious, but not enough to make it hard to understand or follow.

Could have given a synopsis of additional studies

I would have like to have understood the statistics used in the study more.

I thought that a little more information on your literature search and why the studies selected were of the highest methodological quality would have been better; however, she already presented more information in this regard than more seminars I've attended.

Good conclusions, but the argument might have been more rounded-

No suggestions, great presentation

Clarify wording on handout conclusion

Project confidence. You know your information.

Nothing

I am not sure that the conclusions you drew were justified by the data since the studies were not necessarily powered appropriately.

Nothing that I can think of.

General Comments

Overall excellent presentation.

Great trials, roadmap, good background into the subject, great presentation of the information in a way that we could understand. Overall excellent.

excellent seminar.

great job

Alexis did a terrrific job on her seminar. Honestly, it's one of the top 5 seminars I've seen. She had great eye contact, great poise, was extremely knowledgeable on her topic and was just plain awesome! She deserves an A++++++ =)

The student did an excellent job.

This was a very interesting and informative seminar. Good job!

Great job on the background information. It was very thorough which was necessary for an uncommon topic.

Congratulations!

Was confident and well prepared. Very organized.

Thank you for your effort to teach us about hemolytic disease of the newborn.

This is such a cool topic! I had never known what the lights that babies were sometimes put under were for, but this made a lot of sense. I'm really excited to learn more about this topic because of your seminar.

I think you did a great job. You deserve to feel relieved!

Great job

Great Presentation

Great job overall!

Thanks Alexis

Nice job, that was a good seminar

Good job.

Great Job

Great job!