

Presenter: Haftmann, Richard

Seminar Date: 2013-10-31

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.8	6.87	6.9	6.93	6.95	6.95	6.97	5.63	6.5	6.8	6.83	7	6.25	7	0	0	0	E (47.44)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	13	8	0	0	0	0	0	6.62
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	16	5	0	0	0	0	0	6.76

Presentation Style Comments

I could have used a little more simplified material for some of what was presented. I had trouble keeping up with the disease and its different measurements of outcomes etc.

Presented a bit fast but good.

The pace was a little fast at first, but it got better towards the end. I don't think this was because he was nervous, rather he had a lot of information to cover in a limited amount of time.

His presentation style was great, though he could have slowed down the pace of the presentation
good job

Material was probably appropriate, but I think we may have needed a summary of info regarding abbreviations.

For such a complicated subject you did a great job defining everything before jumping into the trials. You were also very confident and presented very well and at a pace that we could all understand.

He was very confident. He could maybe slow down a little bit, but rather than that he did a great job.

Great pace

Good pace

Information very complicated.

Good job.

A little rushed, but overall OK

None

Excellent presentation style overall. The pace seemed a bit fast, but this was only because there were so many slides to get through.

Great job here /

Nice pace

Great pace and eye contact.

Confident and had good voice presence. Maybe a little fast paced.

The presentation as a whole was a little rushed so we had less time to digest the info you presented. You looked calm and knew your info

A little fast paced

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	17	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.76
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	15	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.71
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

A little extra orientation on some of the graphs would be helpful

Good graphs.

The slides looked great and were easy to read. I found the handout to be useful in that it contained a lot of information, but the text sort of ran together and was it was sometimes hard to separate the different sections.

His handout and slides were well organized and enhanced learning

As discussed in class the slide with multiple graphs was hard to read

Great job orienting to charts

Separating the 4 graphs would have been nice, but overall great job

I really liked his slides. they were very concise and easy to read.

The slides were very easy to read, good presentation

Some of the charts were a little difficult to read

Some charts hard to understand.

Good job.

Some graphs were hard to understand and no orientation was provided

Could have done a bit better explaining the numerous graphs.

I thought the way you put the slides together was exceptional. I would have liked some more orientation to the graphics that were presented, however.

Slides were absolutely amazing /

Lots of information-very useful for later bedtime reading

One slide with the multiple graphs was a bit much and you tried to orient us. Perhaps spend a little more time orienting next time but otherwise it was great.

Great handout. Would have been more helpful to have just one graph on each slide or somehow highlighting which graph you were talking about on the slide itself. Minor spelling typo on one of the graphs.

Handout and slides were good. maybe break up the charts into their own slides

i brief orientation of the hand out would have been helpful.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	19	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.86
4	Appropriate background information was provided	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Overall Presentation Content Comments

I appreciated the fast pace of the material.

Great job, you seemed very confident on the topic.

Great introduction and objectives.

His overall presentation content was appropriate and had good flow

very relevant

Controversy very clearly stated

I like that you said you wanted a challenge by picking this topic and I think you succeeded in this goal.

Good background information was provided to help orient us to the topic.

Very interesting topic

Good introduction and interest in the topic

good job

Good job.

A little more background would have been helpful to fully understand the topic presented

None

Excellent job providing adequate background information to bring people like myself up to speed on this difficult topic.

Great content.

Great flow

Great flow and the background was good. Great interest in the topic. The main suggestion I have is to

create an appendix with all of the acronyms for a topic like this and also your objective are a little vague.

Good introduction and interest in topic. Appreciated the flow of the presentation and thoughtfulness behind how you presented the material. Objectives could have been more specific to be measurable.

Less background time and more time on trials and what they mean for practice would be good. Outcomes verbs could have been more active and measurable

Would have liked more background on the disease state, but recognize you were already trying to fit a lot in.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	20	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	20	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	20	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.86
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

good analysis on studies

Good job explaining the data.

Excellent presentation of the studies.

His presentation of the clinical data was thorough and complete

n/a

Your analysis was very well thought out

Analysis of the limiting factors was great

I liked the charts he made to show the interventions.

Seminarian mentioned the power and evaluated it for one study. It would be useful to do it for the second study as well

Good lists of strengths and weaknesses

did talk about power from both studies.

Good job.

Great job analyzing the data and presenting it

I didn't catch anything about dropouts.

You presented the clinical data and trial results well. I was pleased to see you include a concise explanation of the studies' power.

Perfect approach.

Seriously-you are very good at stats analysis

The studies were well analyzed. They were a bit difficult to understand because I don't know this topic well but you did a good job trying to distill it down to the important parts.

Great analysis of the studies, especially since they were so complicated.

Presented the studies well in an area I am not very familiar with
good job presenting the data.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	19	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.86
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Conclusions Comments

Great job on application and pharmacists role.

Difficult material, but presented well.

Great conclusions based on the data.

His conclusions were supported by the data and discussed the clinical importance of the studies

n/a

I think the role of the pharmacist could have been expanded a little to include those who rarely see such a problem

I liked your analysis of the pharmacist role here and why we should understand all of the complex regimens

His conclusion was great and supported by the data that was presented.

Met all the necessary criteria

Solid conclusions

good job

Good job.

Conclusions were appropriate based off evidence presented

None

I thought your conclusions were safe, but appropriate with regard to the trials' results.

Appropriate conclusions

Yep!

Your conclusions were based on the evidence and your recommendations were valid. I liked your pharmacists role.

Good conclusions that were supported by data. Appreciated your insight to how pharmacists can play a role in this disease state.

Maybe more info on clinical practice

nice conclusions.

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9

Question Answer Session Comments

Did fine on answering questions.

Kind of hard to understand, but that is because it was novel for most of us.

You nailed the Q&A.

He encouraged questions and answered the one that was asked well

n/a

Obviously did great with Q & A question

The lack of questions was a sign of how well you presented the material.

He did not have many questions, but he did a good job answering the one question he did have.

Even though Q&A consisted of 1 question, seminarian answered it thoroughly

Good job with questions

Not many questions, hard subject.

Good job.

Only one question was asked and it was answered appropriately

None

You were able to keep the Q&A session short and sweet, which means you nailed the presentation. Well done.

Not many questions, but great job with what was asked

I wish we could've thought of more questions for you but this was an area we haven't thought much about

Great Q&A

Question and answer session was brief. Maybe try and encourage questions along the way.

Way to handle the one question!

Good job answering the question.

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Good in all theses areas

Good job.

It was pretty clear from the presentation that you knew a lot about the topic. Great job!

He displayed confidence in the topic and had an extensive overall knowledge base

n/a

Clearly knew material and topic very thoroughly

All conclusions made were appropriate based on what was presented in the trials

I could tell that he really knew his stuff. He went beyond the studies. I like how he calculated a NNT and looked at cost.

Seminarian did a good job

Good knowledge base on the subject

Understood subject.

Good job.

Good knowledge of the topic, you knew other trial information as well

None

I felt there were multiple times when the seminarian was able to provide further insight beyond that of the authors. Excellent knowledge base.

Knew your topic well.

Obviously you know the background

It's very clear you had a solid knowledge base!

Could tell that you knew the subject well.

You mastered a complex topic

like the additional information you looked up such as possible pricing.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

As mentioned earlier I liked the fast pace of the seminar.

Nice enthusiasm

He took a complicated subject and made it easy to follow and understand.

I thought he gave a succinct, well organized presentation with appropriate studies to draw conclusions from

interesting and relevant

I think Rico presented very well. He had good presentation style.

This was an extremely tough topic for the audience but you presented all of the information very well.

I really liked how he included additional information beyond the studies such as the NNT/cost of the product to come up with his conclusion.

The pace of the presentation was great and it was easy to follow

Good job choosing a difficult topic

Knowledge and interest of seminar was apparent from the presenter

Great job evaluating the studies and making the results clear

Really interesting topic, nice to see you looked into future research

His overall explanations were succinct, yet ample.

Excellent way to present a difficult to understand (for me) topic.

Slides were very impressive. Looked great.

Great stats analysis

You took a difficult and complex subject and did a good job explaining it and bringing it to our level of understanding.

Appreciated your presentation of previous standard chemotherapy and the evidence behind the standard.

I think your presentation skills are impeccable

You demonstrated great confidence.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

More thorough background and explanation of the endpoints of the studies would have been helpful. I had trouble understanding some things.

Present a bit slower.

The pace was a little fast at times, which sometimes made it hard to follow.

He spoke quite quickly, he could have slowed the pace

n/a

The topic itself was kind of a specialized topic that is difficult for most pharmacists to relate to.

A sheet with abbreviations/definitions to reference during the presentation would have been great.

Maybe slow down a bit.

More explanations on the graphs

Nothing specific I can think of

The subject was very detailed and complicated.

Orient audience to the tables, charts and graphs.

Just work on pace and slow things down a bit

Some of the technical data (graphs and charts) weren't well explained.

I think it would have felt a bit less rushed if you were able to cut the total number of slides down (that very well may be unrealistic, however).

I feel this topic would have gone really well with oncology pharmacist and physicians. It was a little over my head.

Refer us back to the problem after the study so we can keep up. First time hearing it, so we are a bit slow !

More orientation to difficult graphs, like the 4 on 1 slide and also the diagram from the first study that showed the two groups and their therapy courses.

The citation of the text (black on red) was difficult to read. Maybe a slower pace to help the audience get oriented to your subject.

Slow down and cut some background so we have time to think about the seminar and what the studies

mean.

possibly simplify the presentation so it is easier to follow and so you are less rushed.

General Comments

The topic appeared to be pretty complex. Great job on presenting a difficult topic.

Nice job.

Excellent job overall!

Overall, a wonderful presentation on an interesting topic

Overall, he did a good job.

Overall fantastic job. I have no background in cancer treatments so I thought you did a great job because I was able to follow what was going on the whole time

Great job!

Good job Rico!

Did a great job considering subject.

Good job.

None

Well done on your P4 seminar! Thanks for the delicious food!

Very professional. Very well done

Great seminar-keep doing them!

Great job Rico! This was very interesting!

Great job overall. Good insight into a disease state that most of us are not familiar with.

A very impressive display. You are ready for the next level.

great job.