Presenter: Khong, Stephanie

Seminar Date: 2013-11-12

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.99	7	6.95	6.98	6.93	6.97	6.96	6.75	6.86	7	6.67	7	7	6.9	0	0	0	E (47.92)

Presentation Style								
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

.

Great confidence and presentation style.

Very polished with a professional demeanor.

Good.

.

.

Great at not reading your slides

Great job presenting!

No additional comments

Very little reliance on notes. seemed relaxed and confident.

Na

Amazing job!

Very good style

Perfectly paced and very professional!

Stellar

good eye contact

very confident speaker. great preparation

Stephanie clearly had taken the time to memorize her slides. She knew her material thoroughly and did not have to rely on reading her slides at all. However, I think she was so comfortable with her presentation that she rushed through it a bit, talking too fast.

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

.

Slides were very easy to follow.

The handout looked great and was very informative and easy to use (the kidneys looked great).

Easy to read.

.

...

Great slides and handouts.

Good materials

No additional comments

Handout and slides were easy to read. Highlighted all of the important values and points.

Na

Very thorough

Good

Instructional materials were easy to follow and without obvious errors

Liked the slides a lot. Perfect amount of color/interest

it was clear/easy to follow

loved how you should how the numbers to make the points for your beliefs

Provided orientation to tables so it was easier for us to understand what she was showing us.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Appropriate background information was provided	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

.

Interesting and relative topic.

The flow of the presentation was smooth and logical. Everything was presented clearly.

Well organized.

.

....

I really liked how you gave really good sources for background NICE/ADA/UKPDS.

Interesting topic and well presented.

No additional comments

I really liked the introduction to the guidelines of management. I can't remember if the P3s have had their diabetes lecture yet so a more detailed background may have been helpful (uses of Metformin, explanation of acidosis and its complications, as well as risk factors for lactic acidosis.

Na

Strong work, don't mess with that gadget in your hands though

Good

Overall content was appropriate, well-organized, and focused.

nice

interesting topic

.

The interest and controversy of this topic was clearly explained, and I appreciated that it was something we could all relate to. One thing I noticed was the use of some abbreviations without defining the abbreviations, I was thinking some of the guest P1 students might not have known them.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

.

Was able to interpret the studies to find weaknesses and strengths.

The data was presented clearly and thoughtfully analyzed.

Good.

.

.....

Great

Good studies

No additional comments

Some of the numbers seemed very small. Would have liked a discussion of clinical versus statistical significance to round out the controversy.

Na

Good job

Good

You did a good job presenting the data and I could follow rather easily. Delivery of results were detailed and thoughtfully analyzed.

solid work

good sample size and power

great understanding of the studies and the methods

I like that the handout's formatting for the strengths, weaknesses, and conclusions is very concise and easy to read.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.89
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Conclusions Comments

.

You made it seem like you were going to be much more confident in your choice based on your overall evaluation of the literature, yet you ended up being very conservative in your recommendations.

Conclusions were sound and supported by the evidence presented.

Good data.

.

....

Great, I liked how you once again broke up the clinical and retail pharmacist role

Thoughtful and good conclusions

No additional comments

I liked your conclusion of balancing risk of lactic acidosis with benefits of successful DM treatment.

Na

very nice

Good job

Very appropriate for the data. While some in the class thought you were conservative I like the conservative approach with respect to drugs and people's health.

Loved the conclusions, well thought out

conclusions were well supported

loved the practical application of the seminar

Stephanie ended the presentation with a firm conclusion, I liked that she was able to clearly state what she learned from her research into the topic.

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

.

Great job with not being thrown off when questions were yelled out at you.

Questions were handled well.

Answered all questions.

.

....

Great at answering questions

Great job answering questions!

No additional comments

I liked the use of your "question" slides.

Na

nice job

Good

Knew your stuff!

nice job

she anwered all the questions promply

great job!

Stephanie answered questions well, she was able to theorize possible answers when she did not know for certain.

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.89
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

.

You can tell you did a lot of research and that influenced how you presented the material, but it seemed to not coincide with your actual recommendations that you made.

You clearly know the subject well.

Good knowledge.

.

.....

Great, you knew your stuff

Stephanie demonstrated good knowledge of the subject.

No additional comments

Able to answer questions or theorize answers.

Na

excellent

Good

Knowledge base was clearly beyound the scope of the seminar and background knowledge intact.

one smart lady

she demonstrated the knowledge very well

very knowledgeable about the subject. loved the practical application of the topic

Stephanie was definitely able to come up with firm conclusions and theories upon the completion of this seminar.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

.

That it was an important controversy with large studies.

One comment wouldn't be sufficient.

Extra information in the conclusions.

Very relevant topic and used big studies to present this

Really felt applicable to practice

Great topic

Great presentation style. Topic was interesting and you presented it vety well!

This was a very interesting topic, which you researched and presented very well, nice job.

Really liked your ability to discuss issues with SCr, GFR, risks of lactic acidosis, and benefits of DM therapy.

The slides are well organized

very thorough

I really liked that you separated the pharmacist's role into two... clinical and community. That's really helpful. You also made good, firm conclusions.

Topic was interesting and the presentation style was very professional

Very professional and well done

presentation was clear and easy to follow

Loved how comfortable you were in front of everyone. You knew your slides and information very well.

I really liked the facts on the question slides. I liked that at the end of the presentation you were clear and firm on your own conclusion.

good eye contact with the audience and good flow

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

.

Again, I would have liked to see you stick to your guns and say No, I don't think this causes lactic acidosis and be more specific in your recommendations

There is nothing that comes to mind.

Nothing I can think of right now.

Nothing

na

Nothing

stronger reccomendations, the evidence is there to support them

Would like more background information for completeness.

None

stop messing with that thing in your hands

No constructive feedback. Good job!

You had your slides memorized and knew the material extremely well and delivered in a professional way as indicated above. To improve the seminar look for ways to naturally add some sponteneity or even manufacture it if you need to so that overall presentation comes across "fresh"

n/a

I think it was very perfect

great job!

Slower pace.

good job overall

General Comments

.

Great job, just make sure to pick the studies that support what you want your conclusions to be and not

just go with the largest ones.

Great job.

Good job.

You did a great job and you are AWESOME

...

great job, your done!

No additional comments

Na

KILLED IT! :)

-

Awesome job

overall very nice work

Thank you for a topic that was easy to relate to and that we can all take and use in our careers.