Presenter: Netelbeek, Brayden

Seminar Date: 2013-12-03

Presenter Scores

Stude	Student Survey Data Averages					Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores				
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.93	6.96	6.98	6.97	6.99	6.96		6.75	6.63	6.7	6.64	6.75	6.75	6.8	0	0	0	E (47.5)

Р	resentation Style								
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	22	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	23	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.92
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Presentation Style Comments

Very interesting topic!

Your "ums" got in the way of your message. Other than that your style was excellent in my opinion. I understood your points, which means you presented to my level. You kept my interest which means your pace was good. I felt like you were speaking to me, which means you maintained eye contact.

Pace was a little quick and I found myself having to go back to the handout to catch what I missed

Seemed confident and well prepared.

The pace was good. He displayed professionalism and spoke with confidence about his topic.

Excellent job- you are very confident while presenting!

Try not to look at the screen so much.

Pace was good

You had to rely on your notes very minimally. This kept the class more engaged

Great pace, kept really good eye contact with the audience throughout

Good presentation style. Very natural.

Great style /

Good pace.

Your pace was brisk but not too fast, good work.

Didn't look at computer much

Brayden had a good pace and explained things well. His presentation style made the presentation clearer.

Good

Great pace! Lots of ums but otherwise good.

Much more confident this year, great pace and very well presented

Said "umm" pretty frequently. Seemed very confident though.

he pace was good. easy to follow

very professional dress. be careful of saying "um" too much

Brayden was very professional and presented at a comfortable pace for himself and the audience. One piece of constructive criticism I would give, is to look at the slides less. Maybe a couple more practice run-throughs could have prevented the need to turn around and read from the slides.

Very confident speaker and presenter. Articulated your ideas very well

Ir	nstructional Materials									
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.92
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

Enjoyed your graphs!

I did enjoy the different way of presenting studies on the slide. The format took some getting used to, but I think your innovation made a positive impact. Your hook was very effective in introducing your topic. That is, by using a graph to introduce us to your controversy you captured us visually.

Great use of graphs and charts in lecture and handout

Slides were simple and non-distracting; handout was clear. I liked the boxes in the handout that pointed out important points.

Slide were clear and easy to read. Did not notice any spelling or grammatical errors. There were charts and graphs that were applicable.

Appropriate citing and good slides

I liked the new way of presenting Study info

Handouts were well done

A couple of slides were hard to follow because information was all over but other than those, very easy to read.

I like the slides for the studies

Materials were good and contained all the information needed.

I really enjoyed your slides. I thought they included all I wanted to know and nothing more. Great

Your slides and graphs were good and easy to read.

I also saw the slide that talked about consoling the patient. I thought it was intentional because many people get upset when they hear about the side effects of drugs. Seemed like poetic liberty.

Very thorough, could have made it look more easy on teh eyes

Orientation to tables was somewhat lacking, Using a pointer of physically walking to screen would have aided in this.

I really liked your intro section but the addition of a few subtitles to break up the text would be helpful. Keep that in mind for next time.

slides clear. Some extra orientation with the graphs may have been beneficial, except the statin chart was well explained.

Effective and easy to follow - no obvious criticisms

I did not like the layout of the study slides- it was a bit confusing and I wasn't sure which point to focus on. Also, the alignment seemed off on the bullet points of one slide.

his slides were well organized and clear

liked the simple slides. nothing was distracting or difficult to read

Slides were clear and concise.

I enjoyed the slides that introduced the information from each study, it was unique and eye catching

Overall Presentation Content								
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1 Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2 Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3 Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4 Appropriate background information was provided	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
5 Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

I never knew how to handle the problem, thank you

Your use of personal experience and calling not he audience for recollection of experiences with the topic brought to mind several patients. This helped me keep a patient centered mindset throughout your seminar. I also / Thought it appropriate that you went very quickly through background info as the topic was so familiar to most of the audience.

Great organization and content

Well organized and thourough.

Presentation was clearly defined. The objective were straight forward and easy to follow.

I liked the amount of background info you presented- it fit well into the presentation and provided a good backbone for the rest of your presentation

Objectives were clear

I liked that the content than everybody could use in clinical practice.

Gave appropriate background on the issue

Good background information.

Great topic, very easy to be interested in

You did a good job introducing your topic and the new treatment guidelines.

I have nothing to add regarding this section. The flow and content were above average.

Seemed very enthused

Presentation was very smooth, I appreciated the summary of the new guidelines so I can use them better in clinical practice.

Using fewer objectives may help you get through the material a little faster but overall, great job!

Great background! Really set up the controversy well. Objectives were well defined and the flow was good.

Content was well though out, flowed logically, and even had the most up to date information from just a few weeks ago.

Clear objectives. Seemed knowledgeable on topic.

it was well organized and his flow was good... very smooth

did a great job using the slides as a guide not as a crutch. I liked the relevance of this topic to everyone in attendance.

Gave clear background about the subject. I appreciated that it was a topic that most everyone in the audience has an understanding of already, so that we could be more engaged in the topic.

Controversy was clear and it was something that we will all encounter in the pharmacy profession. That relevance made for a good seminar.

Р	resentation of Clinical Data									
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.92
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Good interpretation of the data

I appreciated your ability to point out weaknesses that I would not have noticed.

Great cases

Clearly presented with thoughtful explainations.

The outcome measurements were defined and appropriate. Study strength limitations were very detailed.

Great analysis of your studies- it was evident you were an expert on the topic and were well prepared

Presented the data well and accounted for limitations of study.

You presented the clinical data in a way that we could all understand.

Great at picking out the significant results with your studies to your seminar topic

Good explaination of trials.

I enjoyed how you included lots of good information in the background and then didn't belabor the studies. Very good.

I thought your assessment of why certain exlcusion criteria was appropriate was very insightful and well thought out.

The studies seemed solid, you did a good job of making extrapolations from your data.

I really like your hold on the information

Presented data well, i liked that you discussed some of the strengths and limitations throughout the presentation of the data and not just in a separate slide.

I really liked your intro section that listed the strategies for addressing statin-induced myopathies, however, I feel like the strategies you focused on were not the most pertinent to clinical practice. I would like to hear why you chose the studies you did.

Studies were analyzed very well and thoroughly.

Pace was just a little fast here...consider culling a background slide and spend just a bit more time on data

Excellent analysis of strengths/weaknesses. Strong studies to support your conclusion.

outcomes were well described and showed strengths and limitations very well

Fantastic job interpreting the studies and really understanding how they were done. You were able to explain it to the audience, showing that you had a good understanding.

Brayden did an excellent job presenting the clinical data and was able to interpret the results realistically.

Didn't offer a lot of discussion about power within each study, but the clinical data available to this topic seemed robust.

С	onclusions								
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Conclusions Comments

Good job!

I am not sure that consolation is in the pharmacist's scope of practice. Granted we should runs a patient centered practice, but consoling should be left to social workers in my opinion. Otherwise your conclusions greatly reflected what I think your evidence presented.

Drew appropriate conclusions and gave good advice for pharmacy practice

Conclusions were reasonable and supported by the data.

He clearly stated the importance and application of his study. He also discussed the role of the pharmacist in regards to the use of treatment.

Relevant to pharmacy- conclusions were presented well

I liked how personal you made your recommendation and how we should "console" our patients

Good job explaining the clinical importance

The conclusions will help us during this time when new guidelines are out for cholesterol.

Great conclusion and pharmacist role

Conclusions were good and supported by data.

Great conclusions

Your conclusions and pharmacist recommendations seemed very clear.

You did a great job of highlighting the statistical significance of your findings but you didn't talk much about the clinical significance. I assumed the finding were both.

Your pharmacist role section was very applicable

Conclusions were supported by the studies, I liked that the pharmacist role recommendations were practical, more detail or discussion of specific ways to educate such as an example would have been

good.

Good

Conclusions were good and backed by the evidence. The recommendations and the pharmacists role were great!

Well thought out and appeared to be congruent with data

Strong conclusion. Made a statement, not just a vague recommendation. Nice!

results were well supported.

Loved the application this topic has to all of us. It was something I will definitely remember and use in the future.

I liked that Brayden drew clear and realistic conclusions about the information he presented.

Pharmacist role was very evident especially when it comes to trying to get people to remain on statins rather than completely disregarding them because of previous issues.

Question Answer Session								
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1 Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2 Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92

Question Answer Session Comments

Good job!

The questions you did answer had pretty good answers. The lack of question slides was a very novel idea, and you did invite the audience to interrupt you, but I have a feeling that had they been included you may have had more participation.

Encouraged questions throughout though not a lot of takers :-)

Did not shy away from questions.

He answered all questions and gave opportunities to ask questions.

You thought well on your feet which showed how well you were prepared

Did a right bang up job here!

Answered every question asked well

You didn't get that many questions but the ones you were asked, you were able to answer accordingly.

Not a lot of questions, but you explained everything pretty well.

Good job answering questions.

Always do great with questions

There was one question (I couldn't hear what was asked) where you didn't initially seem to know the answer, but you were able to kind of talk yourself (and us) through it, which is an important skill because you won't always know the answer off the top of your head.

I really liked that you asked non rhetorical questions to the audience.

Handled questions easily

Brayden did say that questions were welcome but having more pauses could have encouraged more questions being asked.

Good

Good Q&A. Encouraged questions and great with interactions.

Great

Well prepared

he answered all questions professionally

Very open to questions, even though there weren't very many. It came across like you wanted them if we needed to ask something.

Brayden was very knowledgeable about this topic. it was clear he had done a lot of research and was able to thoroughly answer any questions that were asked.

Not a ton of questions, but responses were confident and when the answer was not known as in the price of fluvastatin, presenter was willing to say he didn't know. I think that honestly lends credibility.

C	Overall Knowledge Base								
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Good job Brayden

You know a lot about this topic, and you impressed me as to your ability to learn and analyze things quickly.

Exhibited great knowledge and I greatly appreciated that the seminarian included recent conclusions

Appeared to know the topic well.

Overall knowledge was very detailed. He clearly stated all the facts in an organized way.

You were well prepared and your interest in the topic was evident

I know Brayden is smarter than most people at our school, even if he doesn't show it.

Impressed with grasp of topic

You know your stuff!

Great overall knowledge base

Knew the topic vert well.

Great overall knowledge base. I really enjoyed how you referenced the guidelines - something I think the school should focus more on

You clearly had a very wide knowledge base.

As I mentioned before, I didn't hear whether the findings were clinically significant but I assumed that they were.

Again, you knew the info cold

Brayden seemed to know a lot about the subject and was able to succinctly but together all of the information.

Good

It was very clear that he had a very solid knowledge base. He was able to draw his own conclusions and thoroughly analyze the data and the current guidelines.

Great - clearly you had plenty of background information and were able to answer all questions succinctly and without pause

Great analysis of the data you found. Nice work pulling out what is relevant and what is not.

overall, he demonstrated knowledge and applied to current pharmacy practice

Could tell you had a great knowledge base about the subject because you were very comfortable talking about it. YOu didn't have to use the slides too much since you knew the information.

As previously mentioned. I especially appreciated Brayden's ability to point out realistic questions or conclusions about the studies, instead of just taking them at face value.

Very comfortable with the topic and it was evident that presenter had a solid knowledge base of the subject

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I like your diagrams

I liked the topic, more than anything. You not only chose a very relevant topic, but presented good studies on it.

Included recent data, which must have been difficult to incorporate after your seminar was ready to go

Well organized.

The presentation was very well organized and straight to the point.

Very confident and prepared- very calm while presenting

Relevant topic

Well paced

I really liked that you incorporated the new guidelines into your seminar.

Great topic and great background

Good seminar. Great job expaining the data.

The topic was great. In school it seemed like they underrepresented the prevalence of myalgias with statins. You presentation provided clarity to this controversy. Thanks

I thought your recommendations for patient education were the most helpful.

I really liked the slide that contained a breakdown of all the design feature of the study. Very elegant and concise.

I liked everything, nice suit

The topic is very practical and it was nice to have a summary of the new guidelines so I can start using them in practice. It was presented in a clear, concise manner.

Intro section=very informative, some interesting facts too.

Great background to set up the controversy

Relevant topic to everyone

Interesting choice of topic. Made it easy to understand and shared important info.

slides were very pretty and clear, easy to follow

I liked how you put up the definitions of myalgias and myopathies. It showed you really knew your audience, since we all misuse those terms!

Brayden presented at a comfortable pace and in an appropriate tone for the audience. I especially liked that it was a topic we could all relate to and be interested to know about, so we can use this information in our future careers.

It was based on a subject that is so relevant to the world of pharmacy

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Quicker pace?

Take out the "ums" and you have me convinced you are a natural public speaker.

Did use 'um' a lot as pointed out in class, but otherwise seemed smooth, suave, and confident

Once or twice appeared frustrated or angry when trying to come up with the right word - don't worry about it, just pause to take a breath and no one will think twice about it.

Maybe could use more diagrams. Flow charts maybe?

I always like it when presenters repeat the questions that are asked to help clarify for the audience

look less at the screen and more at the audience

More audience involvement

I liked that you try to spice up the slides by doing something different but the study intro slides were a bit hectic.

NA

Nothing

Nothing to say here. Great job

The very first slide (graph of reasons for statin discontinuation) didn't feel like it fit before the title slide. I think it might have been smoother if it had been later in the introduction.

I know this is dumb but the font size of the superscript citation numbers was big and kind of distracting.

Less looking at screen

The slides seemed a little busy, boxing the different things such as study design and the other information might help the audience differentiate the different pieces of information.

I don't know what data is available but I would have liked to see you evaluate different strategies that are more relatable to clinical practice (switching to a hydrophilic statin, QOD dosing of rosuvastatin, etc) Try not to say um so much. A bit more time on the data Try not to say umm and liven up the slides a little more. I like a little pop of color. maybe more discussion about the new guide lines?? Try to not say "um" too much. Less reading from the slides, more eye contact with the audience. I think the presenter could have expanded on the thoughts of the authors a little more

General Comments
I love the topic!
See above.
Stated above
Great job.
Good presentation overall. Organized. Spoke with confidence. Seemed to know what he was talking about.
Great job!
YOU ARE AWESOME!!!
no further comments
Great job!
Great job A
Wooohooo
This was great! I really needed to hear about these new guidelines.
No thanks.
I liked your topic and pharmacist role very much

None
Excellent job!
Great job
Great job!
overall very informative presentation.
Thank you for coming up with a solid conclusion that I can use in my own practice in the future. Congratulations on completing your seminar! Good job!
Very good seminar

. .