

Presenter: East, Shayley

Seminar Date: 2013-11-07

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.84	6.93	6.93	6.98	6.87	6.97	6.96	6.75	6.75	6.6	6.67	6.63	6.75	6.7	0	0	0	E (47.37)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	11	6	0	0	0	0	0	6.65
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	13	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.76
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Good pace.

She was very enthusiastic.

The pace was fast at first but it got better as the seminar continued. /

Overall presentation style was great and engaging

A little fast, but it was all good info. well done

Just a little bit fast to start but by the end you did well.

Great presentation pace

Very good pace

Quick pace, but that's how a like a presentation to be.

Great Work!

Relaxed and confident presentation style

None

There were a lot of slides to get through, thus, the pace seemed slightly rushed. However, it was still

perfectly acceptable.

Great pace/style

Appreciated the diagrams and background information. Pace was a little fast at the beginning.

Pace was quick. Looked at your slides a little too often

I felt the pace and material covered was appropriate.

Instructional Materials										
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

handout was great

Great condensed slides.

The slides were great!

The instructional materials were appropriate and well organized

na

Great packet. It was nice having the studies divided the way they were

Nice slides, easy to read and comprehend

Slides were very easy to read

While most of your graphs were fairly straightforward, try to orient the audience to the axes and data points a little more.

Great Work!

Slides were really easy to follow

None

The supplemental materials were clear and easy to read, and helped me better understand the material being presented.

Nice materials

Clear handout, appreciated the use of space.

Forrest plot size was small and hard to read making it not very meaningful for the audience

handout had good information /

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	14	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.76
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Appropriate background information was provided	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Overall Presentation Content Comments

I thought it was a great topic. Seems like something that occurs more frequently that studies are lacking in.

Great job.

The slides were organized well and the whole presentation flowed smoothly.

The presentation content was clear and flowed well

na

Great anatomy explanation and background

Great controversy

Presentation had very good flow

I really enjoyed your explanatory slides that provided great interludes in the middle of the presentation.

Great Work!

Objectives were appropriate and able to be met at the end of the presentation

None

Background information provided was appropriate and helped to further define the controversy of the topic.

Nice flow

Good introduction and interest in topic. Controversy could have been set up better---better understanding of the levels of recommendations compared to what happens in real practice.

Not sure if this was a "big deal". Maybe work on the importance of this issue in clinical practice

Good flow

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.88
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Outcomes from studies were clearly stated.

I learned a bunch, nice job in presenting the data.

Great analysis of the studies.

The studies were presented clearly and completely. Very well explained.

na

Primary and Secondary outcomes were explained very well

Seminarian chose good studies and presented them well

Good job accounting for withdrawals in the studies

You provided very detailed analyses of the studies. You probably didn't need to present quite as much as you did, but it was very well done.

Great Work!

Good job analyzing and presenting the data, easy to understand the results

None

The analysis of study strengths and limitations was very thoughtful.

Nice presentation of clinical data

Good discussion of the strength and limitations of the studies.

The incidence graph was a little misleading because the increments were so small but it looked like a big difference

good analysis of strengths of studies.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	13	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.76
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Conclusions Comments

conclusions seemed sound

I better understand the guidliness.

The role of the pharmacist was clear, but your conclusions felt a little too safe. Overall it was good though.

The conclusions were appropriate and well thought out

na

Pharmacist's role was explained very well

Suggesting a treatment dose might be useful

Very strong conclusions

Your conclusion were good, however I think it would have been good if you picked one of the agents to use in your patient case.

Great Work!

Conclusions were evidence based

None

Excellent job using NNT to make assumptions of clinical relevance.

Great and interesting conclusions, thank you!

Good conclusions. Would have liked to see your recommendation for practice.

"not super sound" --wouldn't say this. Own the seminar and the conculsions

I was a little unclear as to what the ICER was and if that fit into your decision.

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Question Answer Session Comments

Answered all questions well.

Good job.

Great job with the questions.

Answered all questions well

na

All questions were answered, i.e., the clarification of the IU vs. UI

Good Q and A session

Good job answering questions

Great job on answering the questions.

Great Work!

Question and answer session was smooth and easy

None

Q&A was appropriately dealt with.

great topic!

Great job asking questions. Maybe interact with audience a little more throughout the presentation.

Owned the questions

answered questions well

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

conclusions seemed supported by what evidence was available.

Good understanding of the material.

Your knowledge was clear throughout the presentation as you described and explained terms in a way that we could understand.

Knowledge base was obviously extensive and spoke easily about the subject

na

Your additional suggestions for further research was great

Seminarian talked about future research which was a great addition to the presentation

Very good overall knowledge base

Great knowledge of the guidelines and clinical care surrounding SVT.

Great Work!

Deep knowledge base, it was apparent you knew other studies as well

None

I was evident the student had a sound knowledge base.

You are very knowledgeable about your topic-good job

Good overall knowledge base. Could tell that student knew a lot about the subject at hand.

I might suggest more info on the clinical relevance of the topic

good knowledge base

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I really liked the topic chosen, seemed like a great area for improvement.

Flowed nicely

Very clear and easy to follow.

Great job explaining the topic and taking the audience through the studies

It was a subject we don't often think about, but it is common enough. Good job with relevance.

I liked the packet's organization. It was a great reference to have during the lecture

Interesting and useful presentation topic. Seminarian did a fantastic job with background info

I really liked the subject that you presented on, something that would be useful in clinical practice

I feel like I came away with more knowledge in a fairly common disease state, giving me confidence in treating it.

Really easy to follow and came away with good information I can use in future recommendations.

Your presentation style is excellent as you are confident and knew your studies

Very applicable topic

I enjoyed learning about a very prevalent disease state that was not discussed in our therapeutic module.

I liked the subject - very interesting and good studies to prove your point.

Good side notes to help us understand more of what was at hand.

Great confidence and natural presenting style. Easy to keep up with you and understand what you were talking about--very clear and concise

I liked the subject. something I hadn't heard of and very common

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Wish there would have been more evidence about treatments, but whats available is whats available. Maybe a little more info about what people currently do to treat it that isn't supported by evidence would have been interesting to see.

Nothing comes to mind

The conclusions could have used more gusto, but otherwise the seminar was great.

She could have been a bit more confident and solid on her conclusions

na

The only comment I have would be have a consistent flow throughout the presentation

Suggesting a potential treatment dose for treatment

Nothing major that I can think of

N/A

The conclusion about equal adverse effects in each study group should have been made with the caveat about the power being powered to detect that.

N/A

I can't think of anything

I think trying to decrease the number of powerpoint slides you presented.

Ummmm can't think of one!

More eye contact with the audience.

I would really stress the importance of the topic because we know very little about it

Possibly a little more on the bleeding risks of anticoagulation.

General Comments

great job.

Great job

Great job!

Excellent presentation

Great Job!!! I thought you evaluated both studies very well

Nice job Shayley!

Great job!

Great Work!

N/A

None

Well done!

Thank you! Great job!

Great job overall. Interesting topic!

Bien hecho

Great job