

# Presenter: Melville, Terrill

Seminar Date: 2013-10-29

## Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.67	6.93	6.8	6.95	6.9	6.94	6.87	5.5	6.25	5.2	5.75	5.38	5.25	5	0	0	0	E (45.45)

Presentation Style										
# Question		A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean	
1	Moderate Pace	21	3	0	1	0	0	0	6.76	
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	12	10	2	1	0	0	0	6.32	
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	19	6	0	0	0	0	0	6.76	
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	22	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.84	

## Presentation Style Comments

You have such a great pace when you speak and present. You are confident, calm, and steady. It's great!

Be sure to speak up. You were difficult to hear at times.

. /

Talked a little slow and didn't show a lot of excitement about the subject

There could have been more eye contact.

Very good.

Good eye contact.

Seemed to not know material on slides

You were relaxed with is good but you were almost too relaxed.

no comment

na

Great pace, didn't seem rushed to get through the material.

Confidence could be improved upon, keep at it

Was very comfortable with presentation, but looked at slides for most of the presentation.

Na

good enthusiasm

You seemed a little shy and reserved.

Great, relaxed pace. You did look at your slides a lot.

great pace - be a little more assertive

Good presentation. Could have had a little more eye contact.

He presented it very well he didnt look nervous at all I felt welcomed.

Be sure to keep eye contact with the audience every now and then.

I really liked the tone and pace of this presentation. It came off conversational, like the whole room could be a part of it rather than just someone talking AT us. As brought up in class Terrill could have made more eye contact with the crowd. I think he was looking at the walls more than the class.

He spoke with confidence and at a good moderate pace throughout the presentation

Good, calm pace.

Instructional Materials										
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	22	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.8
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.92
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

### Instructional Materials Comments

Slides were a little bland and could have used more graphics.

Slides were clean and easy to read

.

I enjoyed the side by side comparison of the studies

Slides were easy to follow. I liked the two side by side comparison, but maybe could have saved that for right before conclusions.

Very good.

Easy to read slides and handout.

Easy to read slides and handout- good job!

.

no comment

NA

Question slides were top 5 all time. hands down. Great flow to the handout and gave great additional information in the handout that complimented your slides perfectly.

I really enjoyed the simple slide design, top notch

Slides were basic and easy to follow.

Na

very organized handout

Good job

Slides and handouts were clear.

acceptable

Clear, straightforward slides. Maybe use a pop of color next time? Keeps things interesting.

it had lots of tables and those comparison made the topic easy to follow.

Slides and handout were well put together. Clear and concise

Slides were simple and straightforward. I personally prefer slides that are just to the point.

Slides were clear and easy to understand. I loved the map slide

Clear easy slides

## Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	19	5	0	1	0	0	0	6.68
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	21	3	0	1	0	0	0	6.76
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92
4	Appropriate background information was provided	24	0	0	1	0	0	0	6.88
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	20	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.76

## Overall Presentation Content Comments

I thought you did a really good job with the background.

Content was relevant and good to know

.

Flow was slow

Way too much background information. I felt like you were going way into depth of material that for this audience would already be comfortable with. Could have summed it all up much more quickly, it felt like forever before we got into the actual studies.

Very good.

Good flow overall.

What was your interest? And what was the controversy? I am not sure if either of these were addressed clearly

.

no comment

NA

Didn't specifically state an interest in topic but it was shown by your enthusiasm

No additional comments

Understood the controversy of the seminar and topic.

Na

interesting material

Good job

Great objectives and thorough background.

why were you interested in the topic? please clarify in future...

Interesting topic

someone mentioned he didn't mention his interest in the topic, but he DID mention that his BIM is boarder line obese too. isn't that why he's interested in the topic? I thought it was clear that he was interested in the topic

Great background info...especially since we didn't learn a lot about your topic in school.

I really liked how much background information Terrill presented to us. I think BED is something most of us do not know about so it was good to get a thorough understanding before moving in to treatment options/controversy. As discussed in class, I did not see why he was interested in this topic personally (other than I think we can all find topics like this mildly interesting, no matter who you are)

Presentation was very smooth and introduction and objectives were clearly outlined for the audience

Never really stated why interested in the topic, didn't seem overly excited about the topic

Presentation of Clinical Data										
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	22	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.84
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

### Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Thoughtful analyses.

Studies were clear and broken down for easy comprehension

.

Good discussion on studies

Great interpretation of the studies.

Very good.

Good relevant clinical data.

Good analysis of the studies

.

no comment

NA

great job tyrell

No additional comments

Interesting studies were utilized, but I liked the discussion on how little data there is available. Sometimes we have to analyze what is out there and that might not be much.

Na

strong studies

Next time try to point out the difference in statistical and clinical significance.

Nice side-by-side comparison for the studies and good analyses.

Focus less on background in future and hit the studies a little harder

I liked the side by side comparison slide. Good presentation.

the sample size was small and power might not be statistically significant but I think it was enough to show the significant difference between two groups.

Definitely a great understanding of statistical tests and if they were appropriate or not.

I know in class it was split between who liked the side-by-side comparison of studies. I personally liked it. I liked kind of knowing what to expect, like having a little map of where the presentation was going before you really delved into it. All results reported very clearly and concisely.

Thoroughly analyzed the clinical data and presented them on slides that were easy to understand and compare

Lacked conversation about size and power of the studies



## Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	22	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	22	2	0	1	0	0	0	6.8

## Conclusions Comments

Clinical importance wasn't discussed very extensively and it wasn't clear what the role of the pharmacist was

Seminarian's conclusions were supported by the data presented

.

Role of pharmacist was well discussed

Good conclusion

Very good.

Good recommendation and pharmacist role.

I would have liked to discuss more application of the results to pharmacy

.

no comment

NA

Great conclusions

No additional comments

Not sure conclusions were solidly supported by data that was presented, but you took a stance and justified it.

Na

informative statements

I like how you focused on who the medication SHOULD be considered for, even though that's not your general recommendation.

Conclusions were supported. Role of the pharmacist could have been expanded on.

Very appropriate...would have liked to see more discussion on the relevance of the conclusions to pharmacy practice

Very translatable to practice.

conclusion was clear and it was related to our practice.

This was a very interesting topic that not much is known about. Thanks for presenting it so we can be on the look out for new trials in the future about this

I think Terrill did a good job summarizing what we could take home from looking at these studies and drawing a conclusion of whether as a provider we could encourage the use of bupropion for weight loss/BED. I feel like I came away from the seminar with a good understanding.

His conclusions were thoughtfully put together based on the studies that he presented

Good overall job

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92

### Question Answer Session Comments

I thought you did a good job with questions.

Good job fielding and answering questions

.

Was able to answer most questions poised to him

Was able to answer intelligently and thoroughly.

Very good.

Good effort on answering questions.

Got few questions, but encouraged them

.

no comment

NA

Didn't get a lot of questions, must be cause it was so well presented. I could tell you have prepared well and gone over your slides a countless amount of times.

Good job speculating on questions you were not sure on

Encouraged questions.

Na

handled very well

Good job--try to show some more confidence when addressing questions

Great Q&A

great

Encouraged questions well

he answered questions professionally

Very good knowledge when answering questions. Was very personable and respectful in answering questions from the audience.

Questions encouraged and answered thoroughly.

Was able to answer most of the questions with satisfactory answers

Good question and answer session

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	22	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	22	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.84
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	22	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.84
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	22	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92

### Overall Knowledge Base Comments

No comment.

Avoid using phrases like "I guess." It makes you sound less confident and weakens your credibility.

.

The slowness of the presentation I think gave a bad impression as to his actual knowledge base which I'm sure was better

Seemed to concentrate mostly on statistical significance not sure if they change in weight loss would have clinically significant outcomes.

Very good.

Good overall knowledge.

Great job overall!

.

no comment

NA

You were able to answer questions about things not presented in your studies or background which demonstrated that you had a great background research in the subject.

clearly demonstrated knowledge in the topic

Knew topic well and was able to answer questions.

Na

good handle of the information

Good job

It was clear he had a solid knowledge base and was able to think on his feet.

without hesitation, appeared to know material well including additional pieces not presented in the slides

Didn't seem like there was a huge passion in the subject, but still did a good job relaying information.

demonstrated knowledge of topic beyond the facts presented in the seminar

I think the background really showed a broad knowledge of the topic. You definitely did your research to learn about it your topic

Terrill did talk about how the studies found statistical significance, but lacked discussing clinical significance. He theorized with the class of whether we think it is clinically significant.

Student was able to answer various questions, indicating that he had a strong knowledge base on his topic

Seemed comfortable presenting data and answering questions on the subject

## Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Your pace and presentation style is so great. You have a very relaxed demeanor, but aren't monotone. It's great!

Good moderate pace

.

Interesting topic, interested in seeing more studies

Interesting topic, and good conclusion for this drug's place in therapy.

Almost everything.

Good pace. Easy to follow.

It was obvious that you prepared for your seminar and spent quality time going through your studies. You did a good job presenting the results of your studies to the audience.

How the patients who should not use bupropion were identified

Good pacing

You seemed calm during your presentation

Question slides.

I liked the slides, nice easy to read design and style

Comfort level with speaking.

Great knowledge of the topic

very interesting topic

Clear conclusions

Nice topic and study analyses

Topic was great and very interesting, I didn't know much about binge eating

Interesting topic. Cool idea with the comparison slide.

very smooth presentation. nice flow and pace

I liked how the topic was a new concern in the health community. The studies were obviously new. The

treatment for obesity is definitely something our society is looking for. It was great to see some research on something professionals have tried.

I really liked that it was a topic that we can all be interested in to some degree. I think the weight loss world of pharmacology is highly controversial and new, so it interests everyone to some extent. I really liked how comfortable the presentation style was. It seemed like an actual seminar discussion, rather than just sitting through a lecture.

the topic was very interesting and most of his background slides were well-made to catch the attention of the audience

Nice, calm, easy pace

### **Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar**

I would have liked more discussion of clinical significance and what the pharmacist's role in your controversy is.

Remember to speak up and remain confident throughout the entire presentation!

.

PACE! Pace was slow and distracting unfortunately, the knowledge seemed to be there but he wasn't getting me excited about the subject

Sum up the background information more quickly. At this point in time and exact explanation of BMI is not necessary.

Almost nothing.

More detailed answers.

You just seemed unsure about yourself and relied on your slides. Just need more confidence!

A bit more professional and a bit less relaxed. Don't use phrases like "I guess"

no comment

try to make more eye contact with the audience

Nothing, did great. I could tell a lot of effort went into this seminar.

Work on your confidence, own it! You are awesome so don't waiver and say you didn't answer someone's question after answering it

Reliance on notes.



Your confidence and relaxed pace could have been mistaken for apathy.

more enthusiasm

Confidence in presenting

Less reliance on the slides and try not to hesitate- it messed up the flow a bit.

More assertive or aggressive in the presentation style and elaborate more regarding validity of conclusions in pharmacy practice

Put a little more enthusiasm into the topic/presentation.

maybe you could mention the power and the limitation of the studies??

I would like to have known the personal connection you had with this topic. Why did you pick this topic?

Eye contact.

Make sure to proofread his slides and have a better designed "questions" slide

Maybe a little more excitement or interest in the topic

## General Comments

No additional comments.

Good Job!

.

Decent job overall, like I say, just work on the pace

Other than getting too detailed in the background information this was an excellent seminar.

Very good.

Good job overall.

Congratulations- last seminar done!

Your are awesome and did great.

no comment

great job!

Great job

No additional comments

Na

kept me interested the whole time

None

Great topic, great knowledge base

Nice job

Great job!

overall, it was one of the best seminars I've been to. He showed great presentation skills including eye contacts, and explained all the detailed in good pace.

Thank you for presenting an interesting topic!

Overall, very good presentation on an interesting topic