

# Presenter: Suh, Hae (Amy)

Seminar Date: 2014-04-01

## Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.65	6.74	6.88	6.94	6.9	6.74	6.93	4	5.88	5.1	4.92	5.38	3.5	3.6	0	0	0	E (44.5)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	11	6	0	0	0	0	0	6.65
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	9	6	2	0	0	0	0	6.41
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	12	3	2	0	0	0	0	6.59
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

## Presentation Style Comments

you seemed very nervous

Seemed a little unsure of yourself up there but material presented was appropriate

Your presentation style was a little roller-coaster like. When you were comfortable with the material your style was relaxed and you were able to elaborate more on the topic. When you weren't comfortable with the material you just stood and read the notes off the slides.

You trailed off a little at the end of some of your slides like you weren't sure how to transition or maybe weren't sure if you covered it all.

Good pace and professionalism.

.

Great pace, no distracting mannerisms

Good presentation style

Practice run the presentation a few more times to build confidence

You did a great job you only need more confidence. You seemed to get scared and you would trail off and the end of your sentences.

Pace was very smooth and easy to follow

Nervous at first but you recovered and finished strong

Work harder on this...you need to be confident, speak up and not mince words.

Seemed very nervous and easily rattled by errors. Everyone is nervous though!

Seemed very nervous and it is nervewracking! It seemed like sometimes you felt like you couldn't say the "right" sentences, but just move on and keep talking. Everyone understands you :)

Amy was very professional throughout, I appreciated that she had planned on making the effort to use the microphone at first. Also that when she could not get it to work that she did not spend too long fussing over it. I understand that it must have taken a lot of effort on her part to try to project loud enough without the microphone, especially with several interruptions from people entering and leaving the room.

Amy seemed nervous but kept her composure

Instructional Materials										
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	12	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.59
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	15	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	6.65

### Instructional Materials Comments

goo slide format

Good use of charts to easily visual results

Your slides were good, but your handout was a little messy in the outline form. I think it would have been better in paragraph form.

Handout and slides were adequate.

Slides were easy to read and not overwhelmed with too much information.

.

Great slides, some references may have been forgotten (package inserts). // Lovaza [package insert]. Research Triangle Park, NC: GlaxoSmithKline; June 2013.

Materials were good

Nice slides and handout, some of the data tables could be formatted better

Your handout was very nice and easy to read.

Handouts were clear, would have liked to see it be more organized

Great slides- remember to orient to graphs

Appropriate

The multiple colors of boxes was odd, some were bold/some faded. They also looked different sizes. Proofread slides for punctuation/ "???"

Great job on the slides. Clear and easy to read

I think the results sections of your tables may have been more useful if you had presented the key important outcomes, rather than copy and paste several tables and graphs. It made the handout unnecessarily long.

Slides were very easy to read

## Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
4	Appropriate background information was provided	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88

## Overall Presentation Content Comments

the presentation was very well organized

Controversy well-defined plus stated and met objectives

Overall good job.

The presentation was organized well, but could have used a little help with the flow.

Objective were clear and appropriate.

.

Great overall content.

Topic background was good but could have been explained better

Good job presenting the controversy, brief and effective

A couple of the graphs were though to interpret. You did a good job a trying to orient us to them.

I liked your personal interest in topic

Nice, short background. Good job on your objectives.

Transitions can be smoothed out with more confidence in presentation. I would have appreciated more context on your controversy and your interest.

Liked the minimal depression background

The slides flowed very nicely. I would have liked to see more information about how fish oil is supposed to help The problem

I would have liked to have heard more background information about omega-3's particularly. I think that more information about the intervention (omega 3's in this case) would have added to the interest of the topic for me as an audience member.

She had an interest in the topic

## Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

## Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

good accounting for dropouts

I liked your analysis of intent to treat as a weakness vs those still enrolled at the end of the study

Good job on presenting the data.

Your analysis of the studies was good.

Good statistical analysis and mention of power

.

Maybe more emphasis on why the types of analysis were done

Good evaluation of literature

Ty to be a little more thoughtful on analyzing the strengths and limitations

I would have liked to hear more about the second trial

Clinical data was well done, I would have liked to see if there is data here in the US

I always like to know how people picked their studies instead of the other data that is available. Maybe do this for your next seminar.

I suppose it was adequate but difficult to assess given the style of presentation was a bit covered up. Get out from podium, remember that you are the expert on this topic.

Thorough study evaluation

You knew the studies really well and were very comfortable presenting them. It made it more

interesting to listen to you since you could just tell us about them instead of reading them to us

Amy did a good job highlighting drop outs of each trial.

Good job of presenting the studies



## Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88

## Conclusions Comments

i dont know that the conclusions you drew were reflected in the evidence.

Good discussion of conclusions

Some of the pharmacist roles were a little too general. I would give more specific examples.

Your conclusions were sound.

Appropriate information for the role of the pharmacist in a community setting.

.

great overall conclusions

Good conclusions

Good job providing instructions for specific product choice in the recommendations

Your conclusion was sound. I would have liked to have heard more of your opinion on the topic.

I liked your role of the pharmacist

Great pharmacists role

I didn't get a strong feeling that the conclusion to use in conjunction with an SSRI is actually effective. Especially b/c SSRI technically need 12 weeks to full efficacy. I would have spent more time here, especially since the presentation was short, and really driven home a justification for your conclusions.

Liked your recs for pharmacy practice

Very applicable topic that we get asked quite frequently. I really felt like I could apply this topic to my practice today

I liked that Amy went beyond just supporting the conclusions of the authors, she was able to give us specific things that as a pharmacist we could do to help educate our patients.

Strong conclusions supported by the evidence

## Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	12	4	0	1	0	0	0	6.59

## Question Answer Session Comments

i didnt feel encouraged to particpate

Answered the single questions great! Probably should encourage more questions next time :-)

You could have paused and asked for questions during the presentation.

I would have chose N/A if it were an option, because there were no questions. I won't hold that against you, though, because you didn't discourage them.

Answered all questions appropriately.

.

Good at answering the questions that came up. Maybe have more question encouragement

Good job answering questions

Nice job pausing for questions

You encouraged questions and I am sure you knew the answers you only lacked confidence.

Handled them very well

Try to show more confidence when answering questions (I know it's hard, I struggle with this myself). I could tell right from the start that you're a very smart woman and knew this topic in and out- just remember that you typically know more about it than ANYONE else in the audience!

You only had 1 question so this is difficult to assess. I would ask for more questions more frequently instead of at the very end.

Encourage questions more.

Great job staying composed and collected

Possibly next time have designated places throughout the seminar that remind you to stop and ask for any questions from the audience, or say at the beginning that questions are welcome at any point. I am not sure if this would have allowed more questions, or if the audience just did not have any to ask.

Didn't get a lot of questions, could have got the audience involved more



## Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

## Overall Knowledge Base Comments

good extra knowledge

You could tell your knowledge base extended well beyond presentation level

You could tell you were very knowledgeable on the subject.

Knowledge base seemed adequate.

Showed good knowledge beyond the seminar presentation.

.

You knew your stuff

Knowledge on topic is good

Good knowledge base

I could tell that you knew a lot about your topic. Your handout and slide were very clear and concise.

I can tell you had a very good handle of the information

Great job

Difficult to assess s/p to presentation style

Didn't demonstrate much insight beyond facts presented in seminar or look beyond author's conclusions. Offer tidbits of info!

Great overall knowledge base to help support your seminar

Amy did highlight areas where the data was not only statistically significant but also clinically.

Conclusions need to be based on the evidence not just the same conclusions made by the authors

### **Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar**

your strongest point was the pharmacists role. very practical suggestions

Base of knowledge was good

I liked that you were able to evaluate and interpret the literature rather than just talk about the facts in the studies.

You chose a good topic that we may hear more about.

Simple and easy to read slides.

Very applicable topic

Great topic

Good seminar

No further comment

I loved how simple your slide were.

It was very applicable

Review of MDD

I think the data was presented well using graphical changes from baseline really helps illustrate the data and understand the magnitude of the effect.

Good flow, interesting topic

My favorite things about this seminar is the applicability of the topic. I really felt like I left with the ability to apply it to practice today

Very good job not allowing the interruptions from students/professors entering and leaving the classroom disrupt your flow.

Slides were very organized and easy to follow

### **Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar**

be more relaxed

Prod the audience for more questions and use the microphone next time

Work with your seminar mentor or a colleague that can show you how to properly cite references in both your slides and the handout.

The seminar focused mainly on omega-3 fatty acids as an add-on, but the first trial suggested non-inferiority to an ssri. I would have liked to learn more about omega-3 fatty acids as monotherapy for MDD.

Formatting on the slides.

Maybe some more practice

relax next time, you did great and you knew your stuff. you have nothing to worry about  
nothing

Explain the significance of reduction vs remission etc

As I said before. You only lack confidence.

Volume of the voice

Controlling your nerves- with time I can tell you will be an excellent, natural presenter.

the theme is presentation style and confidence

Offer more opinion/interpretation rather than just reading and summarizing studies

The biggest thing to work on in staying calm and not getting too nervous. I know we are a scary bunch, but we all really care for you and want you to succeed!

Find some ways to interact with the audience a little more throughout. At times it felt as if we were just being read to, rather than it being a seminar topic for discussion.

A little more animation could have livened up the presentation

## General Comments

good topic

It was a little hard to hear you in the back row without straining

Overall, good job. Don't be so nervous next time.

Good seminar.

Good job. Appropriate topic. Detailed analysis. Useful recommendations for the community.



Great job.

great job

No additional comments

No additional comments

good job!

.

Make sure the mic works too, it will help with confidence. Nice job!

Great job Amy, you did well to stay focused on the seminar topic even though it was at the end of a particularly tough day at school.

Good job overall