

Presenter: Martin-Souza, Matthew

Seminar Date: 2014-04-09

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.9	6.77	6.96	6.89	6.91	6.98	6.96	5.88	5.5	5.8	5.92	5	6	5.5	0	0	0	E (45.65)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	25	6	1	0	0	0	0	6.75
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	28	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Good pace. You seemed calm and confident and didn't rush through your seminar.

Great job not relying on your notes. You had great eye contact throughout the entire presentation.

A little too fast

Spoke smoothly and easy throughout the seminar

Material presented a little quickly, but I appreciated the urgency.

I think the material was mostly on the appropriate level

Great job at never looking at your slides or notes, but it was a little fast in general.

you were very professional in your presentation

Presentation had a smooth flow and kept my interest throughout.

You sounded very confident while presenting your seminar, it was a little hard to tell from the video, but it didn't seem like you had any distracting mannerisms

Great pace, little reliance on slides

Great eye contact and professional poise. The pace was a little swift at times.

some comments on professionalism: statements like "fancy dancy" should be avoided in a professional seminar

It was clear that you understood the topic and were well prepared

Matt knew his information well and did not rely on notes

The use of "fancy dance DSM V" and "wicked hangover" detracted from the professionalism.

I liked your pacing, you sounded interested in the topic and it seemed well rehearsed.

Great job not looking at your slides. YOu did go extremely fast throughout the lecture. Also you used a lot of terms like "wicked" which is not professional

Your tone was confident and it helped the audience stay interested

Strong presentation and well delivered

Great presentation style. Easy to listen to

Appeared to be confident and didn't have mannerisms that displayed nervousness.

A few uses of language not exactly appropriate for a clinical seminar, such as "Fancy Dancy" or "wicked, wicked..." Language selection got better as your seminar went on, so maybe just because you were more nervous at the beginning? /

Overall, Matt did a superb job presenting, although his pace was fast, he was easy to follow and pay attention to.

Your pace was very quick at times, in the future consider slowing down slightly.

pace was a little too fast

Pace was a little fast at times, slow down just a bit and allow audience time to digest slides, especially those with lots of information on them.

Good pace and audience eye contact. Presented materials at a level that was easy to understand.

Great job presenting without reliance on notes.

Good voice, good pace, great presentation style.

A very skilled presenter! Beware of the fast pace and spastic laser pointer.

If using the laser, keep it steady

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	23	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.72
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	24	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.66
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	28	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.81
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	29	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.88

Instructional Materials Comments

Slides were a bit wordy. Tables were small and you only mentioned they were in your appendix during the middle of the second study. There were numerous errors in your handout and your slides; within on page 3 is one word; you had several words in your slides for your studies capitalized that didn't need to be; seminarian's conclusion has an apostrophe when there is one seminarian, etc. You mentioned a graph in your handout but you didn't show it in your slides or orient us to it.

Always proofread your materials prior to finalizing them. I discovered some typos in both your handout and your slides.

I wish the handouts would have had more detailed information on the studies

Tables on the slides were a little small. Was helpful to have them in the handouts

Some minor grammatical/spelling errors

I think you cited everything appropriately

Your handout had a few spacing errors, possibly because you printed at the schools computer lab. You should probably make sure there are no errors in the future

good job orienting the audience to the background diagram

Well organized and edited.

I like how you made the slides very simple and easy to understand. It made it very easy to follow your presentation

Slides looked good for the most part, but I would recommend recreating tables so that they are easier to read. I did like that you highlighted the areas you were talking about with the colored boxes. You did cite each slide, but they were hard to read.

References were difficult to see and I would have liked the study graphs in the presentation as well as the handout.

There were some spacing issues throughout the handout. Also come minor spelling issues and

grammar. Campral and Pharmacist's role.

Minimal to no errors on slides or handout

I liked how Matt took information from his studies and simplified it on his slides to make it easier to read

The handout was easy to read and follow throughout the presentation.

Good job on the slides, however the references at the bottom got a little washed out on some of the slides and I couldn't quite make them out. Also, when presenting study material I think it would have helped if you made your own graphs and tables focusing on the material that you wanted us to take away rather than copying the study table which had superfluous information. Good job orienting us either way.

YOu handout and slides and a few mistakes. There weren't spaces between some words and I when you wrote 15g on your slides it varied from 15 g and 15g. Just be consistent. This is something I got railed on, even though it was in one spot so just be careful

Your slides were succinct and easy to follow along with

I liked that all the scales were available in the handout

Slides were clear and easy to follow

Slides very easy to read and oriented any graphs that were displayed.

It was difficult to read your citations at the bottom of each slide due to white text color, and noticed a few spelling issues and grammar issues. Overall good job on handout and powerpoint

Consider remaking your tables to make them more readable. Also the references at the bottom of the slides in white were hard to read during the presentation.

Overall slides were easy to read, you could create your own tables for the results to make them easier to see.

Slides looked great and were easy to read. I did notice a few spacing/spelling mistakes in the handout

Some slides in the presentation were difficult to see, make the font a bit larger on some of the slides.

Slides and handout were clear. Good job highlighting important stuff in the charts on the powerpoint. It would have helped if you had recreated some of them though since it was a bit hard to read. Good job orienting audience to pictures/graphs/tables on the ppt.

Some charts may have been better reproduced including only important information.

Good job on focusing in on key points in results.

References at the bottom of the slides were white-on-light-blue. You DID put them on your slides though! Great job.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	31	0	0	1	0	0	0	6.91
4	Appropriate background information was provided	29	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Three of your four objectives were achieved at the end of the background section. Objectives should be more weighted towards your study data.

Great job picking a topic with some relevant controversy. It was interesting to learn about and easy to pay attention.

Strong overall content. Objectives could have been broken down a little more.

Defined the controversy well and the objectives were easy to follow

I appreciated the interest in the topic. A real world scenario is almost always more compelling than other reasons to research a topic.

I thought the seminar flowed appropriately

I thought you did a great job telling about a real-life experience to back up your interest.

you did a good job outlining the objectives of the seminar

Interesting and relevant topic with just enough background.

The story that you told about the patient coming in to your pharmacy and not being able to purchase Antabuse due to the copay was very interesting, made the topic relevant

Overall the presentation content was fabulous, but I would include a little section about tests used in the studies that you discussed with information on the validity of the tests and, if available, the minimally important difference of the tests so that we can get a better idea of what changes are clinically significant.

Interest in topic was clear.

I thought the intro was quick but very thorough as well. also his objectives were very definable.

Good topic- very applicable

I liked that Matt chose a topic that was relevant to his current practice setting.

Talking about the cost really helped to display the importance of the topic.

Excellent job presenting your personal experience for interest in the topic and giving us the economic controversy and background. It showed the importance of the topic.

Great presentation however the flow was a little choppy. And in the background remember to describe the tests used since we don't know about them

Your interest in your topic was very fitting with the patient you mentioned. Just goes to show how relevant your topic is

Great flow of the presentation. Strong objectives as well

Objectives were clear

Background was appropriate for the audience and all information was well organized.

Very smooth transitions and good flow. Great objectives - specific and measurable

Matt outline the controversy well, and helped the audience understand why we as pharmacist should care. It might be nice to include some information in the background on the types of studies that will be discussed.

Your objectives were good just make sure they match what you actually cover in the presentation.

flow was great and the presentation was very well organized

Your interest in the topic was well defined and you had good organization.

Good introduction and interest in topic. Background info was well prepared and applicable. Presentation flowed well.

Good concise objectives that weren't too long.

Great background and set up for your controversy.

I thoroughly enjoyed your interest in the topic, and how you related it to finances. I agree with you in that patients are very much influenced by the price of their medications.

I like that you brought cost into the argument

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	30	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	26	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.78
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	29	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	27	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.84
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	30	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.88

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

You said the statistical analysis was appropriate but didn't mention why. You only mentioned reasons for attrition when asked by the audience.

You accounted for the dropouts in your studies, and it was clear that you knew this was a limitation to meeting power.

Thorough

Liked how well you went into the strengths and limitations of the study

Although the trials presented definitely had some shortcomings, Matt's presentation of the data was stellar.

I think you picked good trials to evaluate and mentioned others you considered

You explained strengths and limitations in a very clear and helpful way.

good job analyzing the statistics. i liked your inclusion criteria descriptions

Each of the 3 studies were analyzed thoroughly.

Very good job presenting the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the study, it set up the results of the study very nicely. /

You did a great job of talking about biases and how they might affect the results. I would be a little more careful about some statements that you make and making sure that it is backed up by the data. For example, you had talked about one study not being powered to see a difference between naltrexone and placebo and how that added to your case for the use of topiramate. I see what you were trying to get at, but I don't think we can come to that conclusion from the data presented.

Covered strengths and limitations in great depth!

I thought he described the studies very well and in depth.

Work on analyzing the data better

Matt had a good understanding of the study results and stated why those results are applicable.

The explanation of the motivation of dropouts was excellent. It really helped to explain the study and account for the importance of slowly titrating the dose of topiramate.

Great job explaining the clinical data. It was a bit confusing to see "high external validity" in the pro column of the first study, even though there were high dropouts, inclusion criteria, and study protocols which would lower the external validity. You did a great job explaining it though.

Great analysis of the strengths and limitations. The trials were a little long!! Cut them down.

You presented the clinical data in a way we could understand

Excellent review of the clinical study strengths and weaknesses.

Great interpretation of clinical trials

Explained components of each study very well and thoroughly.

Felt you did a great job in really laying out the clinical data. Thoughtful analysis of the trials.

Matt was able to specifically address clinical data that appeared appropriate but upon further evaluation he discussed the heterogeneity and why it may not be appropriate. He also highlighted key differences between the studies and referred back to the previously discussed studies to help the presentation be cohesive.

Assessment of strengths and weaknesses was very good. I liked that you said why you considered something a strength or weakness.

presented the clinical data in a concise manner

Good presentation of clinical trials and how we can use them to influence our practice.

Good job with the analysis of study strengths and limitations and follow up to why it was important. Discussed research objectives and treatments for each trial and talked about patient population and power in a way that was relevant to the presentation.

Good job explaining drop outs.

Great job mentioning drop outs and power for each study presented.

I liked how you critically evaluated the studies and combined results from the studies to create your own Matt-concoction that was spot-on.

you did a good job

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	28	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	30	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	30	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	29	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.91

Conclusions Comments

I think you did a good job with the pharmacists' role. It was fairly well thought out and detailed.

Try to come up with more concrete conclusions for future presentations. For example, define a patient for the audience for whom you would recommend this therapy for.

Good conclusions

Good conclusions, did not like the study that failed to meet power because it made it hard to draw any conclusions from it

The recommendation put forth could have been a little stronger, but I agree with the analysis of the literature.

Good conclusions that were evidence based yet unique

At times can be difficult to come to a strong conclusion because these are controversial topics. I felt you walked that line very well at taking a stand despite a difficult and controversial topic

good job at interpreting our own conclusions

This was a difficult topic to cover thoroughly since there are other alcoholism treatments (counseling, ibogaine, etc) and studies out there. A single pill won't cure alcoholism but it may be used as an adjunct to therapy, counseling and lifestyle changes.

I like how you provided specific examples that a pharmacist could implement for a person with alcohol abuse disorder

I would have liked a stronger conclusion. Would you recommend this to a physician or patient? why or why not? It is also very important to discuss clinical importance of the data not just the statistical significance. For example, topiramate showed less cravings than naltrexone, but it didn't improve alcohol free days. What good does it do to reduce cravings if it doesn't reduce alcohol free days? Also, what does a decrease in 6 points in the craving score really mean clinically?

The conclusion was supported by the presented data. I would have liked what kind of study would be needed to answer the question and make a firm recommendation.

the role slide was a bit wordy, but the conclusions/recs were soundly based on the data presented

Good clinical importance

I thought that Matt appropriately interpreted study results and thoughtfully applied those results to clinical practice.

I agree with your conclusion.

Good conclusions considering the data on hand. I did feel that some of your pharmacist suggestions were generic and could have had more specific suggestions, such as instead of saying "stay up to date on medications" state what we can do to stay up to date on incoming medications and new indications, such as subscribing and reading the pharmacist letter, etc.

Great discussions about the role of the pharmacist

Your role for the pharmacist was very practical

Strong conclusion with data to back it up

Great conclusions. There really is a price difference.

Able to interpret whether statistical significance was a clinical significance.

You stated using topirimate as an adjunct therapy as part of your conclusion, since you did not express any background information on its use as an adjunct, maybe tone it down and say something like.....,"explore the possibility/effectiveness of topirimate as an adjunct to XYZ therapy...."

Overall, Matt had strong recommendations and was able to discuss the clinical importance of the material presented.

Your pharmacist recommendations helped to highlight the clinical importance of the studies you discussed.

great role for the pharmacist slide

Good recommendations for pharmacists.

Conclusions were supported by the data presented but it could have been a bit more definitive but good job discussing future research that must be done. Good recommendations for the pharmacist's role and impact on pharmacy because we certainly see medication non-adherence to drug side effects and cost as a huge problem.

Stronger conclusion may have been possible.

Conclusions were concise, thought out, and supported by the data.

A little bit more specific in your conclusions would have been even better, but I'm being picky here.

you did this well

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97

Question Answer Session Comments

You answered audience questions thoroughly and it was clear that you knew your studies well and gave additional info during these questions. You also gave the audience several chances to ask questions.

Great job thinking on your feet and answering questions appropriately.

Great job!

Thought you answered all questions well

Interacted very well with the audience and did his best to answer questions.

Good thinking in the q and a

Great job, it seemed like there was no question that could shake you. Very confident

theorizing or stating that you'll get back to them is better than saying "i don't" but good job going further still

Nice job.

Good job encouraging questions, I like how you gave time for asking questions after each study

great job answering questions

Great job answering questions. Your presentation created much interest.

he answered questions that were slightly outside of his topic, and could expand on it

Good- could always repeat question to allow yourself time to gather your thoughts

Matt was very well prepared to field questions.

Your knowledge of the topic was noticeable when responding to questions.

You had great flow with the questions and answered them properly and succinctly.

Great job answering really hard questions

You did a great job answering the audience's questions

Well done to think well on your feet

Great job with questions

Allowed time for questions and did answer questions thoroughly.

Answered questions quickly and on track

Matt was professional while answering questions and referred audience members to later discussions when questions were beyond the scope of the seminar.

I was very impressed by your answers to questions. It was professional and informative.

did a great job answering all the questions

Great job answering questions in a concise yet thorough manner.

Great job answering audience questions and demonstrated good overall knowledge base.

Was able to answer questions regarding information in studies that was not part of presentation.

Great job encouraging and answering questions.

Your Q&A skills were my favorite part of your seminar. Great job fielding tough questions...like Cal Ripkin Jr.

Great job with some hard questions /

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	29	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.88
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

You didn't really discuss clinical significance versus statistical significance of all the studies.

You displayed a strong overall knowledge base on the subject. However, I was hoping you would have looked more beyond the authors conclusions when coming up with your overall conclusions at the end.

Strong knowledge base

Great seminar

Amazing job. Amazing presentation.

You obviously knew more than you presented

Great job handling abstract questions and thinking on your feet.

good job on forming your own conclusions

Good overall knowledge base but maybe emphasize all treatment options.

Good job thinking on your feet, I could tell that you had read and learned a lot more than what you presented on

again, more focus on clinical significance

I liked that Matthew was able to answer questions about studies that he did not even present. Very strong work!

He was very capable and able to think on his feet. He brought in information from older studies etc.

Good conclusions and distinction between statistical and clinical value

Great overall knowledge base and relied very little on his notes.

Great understanding of the subject and the relevance of the topic in patient care.

I could tell you were obviously interested in the topic and had put time in to research the topic. You did a good job answering the questions posed to you.

Great job! You were very quick on your feet

Your confidence reflected your knowledge base

Clearly a master of the subject matter.

Clearly able to think on feet

Utilized knowledge gained from researching studies, but also used own clinical experience to discuss the topic of the seminar.

You seemed to have an in depth knowledge on your subject matter and express it easily and effectively to the audience

Matt was very good at taking a question and talking about it within the context of the studies presented. He was able to critically evaluated the studies and discuss why a limitation was a limitation or a strength is a strength, and not just state this good without further discussion.

You had clearly done a lot of research on the topic and you were well prepared.

good job thinking on your feet

Demonstrated good background knowledge of the subject.

Demonstrated a good knowledge knowledge base and was able to pull from real life experiences to answer questions or theorize an answer. Was able to discuss conclusions in the context of what was concluded in the trials.

Demonstrated good understanding of possible patient concerns.

Student showed tremendous knowledge throughout the seminar, and was able to answer questions appropriately based on his clinical knowledge.

Extensive knowledge base that showed through during Q&A and throughout the presentation. Great job!

Good job

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I thought you did a good job with your pharmacist's role section as well as the strengths and weaknesses of your studies. They were well thought out and detailed.

I liked your relevant controversial topic.

Very thorough

Quick to the point and explained the limitations/strengths of each study

I loved the pacing and content level of the seminar. It was easy to follow and did not drag.

I liked the topic, and your presentation style

Fantastic critical analysis of the studies.

interesting topic and i enjoyed the price comparison at the beginning

Interesting and relevant topic. Presentation was smooth.

You sounded very confident while you were presenting and I could tell that you put a lot of time into this seminar.

You were very professional and the topic itself was very interesting and applicable to pharmacy

Excellent eye contact and professional poise.

i really liked his study tables they made it easy to follow and interpret the data

Good handout/slides- did a good job to aide in your presentation

I liked that Matt found studies that compared topiramate to current accepted standards.

Using actual patient costs from Harmon's was a nice touch. It really added strength to the importance of the topic.

I liked how you set up the economic impact of the topic and your obvious interest in the topic. It helped establish the importance of the topic and kept me interested from the beginning to end. Great job.

Liked the red boxes to orient people to the graph

I liked the calmness and confidence you displayed

Excellent work. I thought the clinical data was outlined well

I really like the topic. Something we will all likely have role in - substance abuse

Comfort in presenting studies.

Good topic. I always enjoy seminars examining an existing drug for a new indication

Matt did a great job speaking about the mechanism of action and the pathophys without reading his slides. I also liked that he included data tables from the studies in the handout for later reference.

Your analysis of the studies was strong and helped me to understand the data behind this topic.

great flow and presentation of clinical data

Good information and why this could be important to pharmacists in practice.

Great confidence! Was able to demonstrate a good knowledge base and was able to answer questions/theorize based on trial conclusions as well as life experiences in the workplace.

Good, concise presentation.

Good voice, tone, and confidence throughout study.

I really liked your story about how money influences patient therapy. I feel it provided a strong viewpoint to your seminar.

You were very confident

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Proofread your handout and your slides before you present your seminar.

Slow down your transitions between slides to allow the audience to digest what they have just read.

Slow it down a bit

Could have used slightly bigger tables

Try to anticipate what questions might be posed by the audience.

There could have been a bigger focus on the controversy

Slow down, you made great points but it was sometimes hard to follow.

i enjoyed the seminar. the only nit picky thing i can think of is talking in a faster pace?

In the background, present other treatments for alcohol dependence (counseling, therapy, ibogaine (experimental), ketamine (experimental) but emphasize that the focus of the your seminar will be on topiramate, naltrexone, disulfiram.

The background section was a little short, you maybe could have gone into the background of alcohol

abuse a little more.

More focus on tests used and clinical significance versus statistical significance

I would have like the study graphs included in the slides. It is always nice to have a visual output of the data.

if you want to use the pointer make sure that your pointing is stable and not all over the place

Try repeating questions for your audience- we can't always hear what questions were asked

Matt could have more clearly defined tests used in the study.

Refrain from 'slang' during the presentation. I found it to be distracting.

I think just providing more specific recommendations for the pharmacist. I could tell you had a lot of information from what you studied and coalescing it into specific recommendations that we can apply immediately would have been icing on the cake. Awesome job overall though, you kept me interested the whole time and presented great information.

Watch the words that you use during a professional seminar. Don't use slang like "wicked". Also just check your slides and handout for spelling and spacing issues

Some of the slides could be less wordy but overall they were great

shaky pointer

Nothing to say here

Would cut down the amount of text on the slides.

You answered a question about the AEs of topirimate, however I feel it would have been best had you addressed the common AEs of topirimate in your handout and presentation as you were looking at its possible role in alcohol dependency

Make sure to define all acronyms prior to using them in the seminar, ex WHO.

Consider making sure your objectives are measurable and actually covered in the presentation.

slower pace

Pace was a little fast at times, slow down a bit especially when there is a lot of information to get through and understand.

Maybe rely on the slides a TINY bit less but it wasn't a problem. Some of the slides had information that could have been separated by some sort of divider (e.g. strengths/limitations) but this also wasn't a big problem.

Conclusion could have been stronger.

Add in a few more pictures or graphs in slide deck.

You had a lot to get through in your seminar, so the pace was a bit rushed. Use two hands on your laser pointer if you are nervous or feel you are just too excited to be giving your seminar. :)

Try not to be too serious throughout the entire seminar

General Comments

Overall, good first seminar. Your conclusions were supported by your studies, you knew your material well, and I felt you stuck by your conclusions, even when interrogated by the audience; it's important to stick by your conclusion because if you waver at the end, it kind of throws your entire seminar into question.

Great Job!

Good job

Fantastic job. Very calm and confident in his delivery.

Great job

great job on your seminar!

Nice job.

Good job, you did very well on your first seminar.

great job!

Great presentation with a highly relevant topic!

Good job Matt, one last thing in handout references belong at the first sign or sentence of referencing.

Well done

Great job!

Overall great job!!!

Great job!

yeppers

Great job

Great job

Overall, great job!!

Great job!

Overall a strong seminar.

Overall, great job!

Overall - Great job.

Great job overall

Great 1st seminar! Well done!

Great Job