Presenter: Petty, Renee

Seminar Date: 2013-10-31

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.83	6.76	6.74	6.86	6.83	6.95	6.93	6.5	6.5	6.1	5.18	5	6.25	5.1	0	0	0	E (45.6)

Presentation Style

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	15	5	1	0	0	0	0	6.67
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Presentation Style Comments

I liked the presentation. Great energy and enthusiasm.

Very enthusiastic.

Excellent pace!

Very skilled at engaging the audience and talking in a conversational way

You did a good job, have confidence

I loved your presentation style. You really had everyones attention.

You have an awesome presence about your presenting style that made everyone feel comfortable and that the topic was fun, not just another boring seminar

Great job! She was very confident and I really liked that she didn't just read the slides off at us.

Overall pressentation was great; seminarian just took a little bit extra time with the background material

Good enthusiasm when presenting

There was some slide reading, but overall you didn't rely on your notes too much.

Spent a little too much time on the intro.

Good job.

You are a natural presenter with a way of speaking that captures audiences attention

None

You were very engaging, which was good for maintaining the attention of the audience. I thought you went just a little too long.

Great style

Renee, good flow and pace. You just looked at the screen a bit but otherwise it was great.

Great eye contact, really interacted with audience in more of a discussion style.

Background was long and the backtracking was a little difficult which may have made you extend your time

good pace and energy

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	11	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.43
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	19	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Instructional Materials Comments

I would have liked bullet points on the handout.

Very enthusiastic.

The handout was good except the objectives were missing... Also, one of the slides had black text on a dark grey background, which was kind of hard to read.

The slides and handout were helpful in aiding learning and had appropriate citations. The handout and slides could have been formatted a little differently to be more organized, and the slides did have some grammatical errors.

n/a/

Objectives were left out of the handout, but you went through them very clearly in your presentation.

Marked off just a bit here because I think bullets would have been nice instead of paragraph form

I really liked the one page clinical trial summary. It was a quick and easy reference for us to look at as she was discussing the trials.

Slides were nicely designed. There were a couple slides though that seemed a little bit busy and were harder to read

Good job explaining a difficult disease state

I would have preferred if you just placed your study tables in your main handout.

Handout was presented in a different form, refreshing.

Good job.

Slide layout was unique, a bit distracting at times.

None

I know it was mentioned in the immediate feedback as well, but I too enjoyed the brevity of your

handout. I think there are a lot of archaic, long-engrained expectations within the culture of clinical seminar (e.g. far-too-lenghty handouts) that simply do not reflect what is real-world. I rather enjoyed being able to read it in its entirety. NIce touch.

Enjoyed the part where you presented all the treatment options that have been tried for this indications /

Handout was succinct. A few typos on your slides.

Slides were sometimes hard to follow with the bullet points scattered around the slide.

Slides were clear and very fun

I appreciated the handouts easy to follow format.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	14	5	2	0	0	0	0	6.57
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	17	2	2	0	0	0	0	6.71
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	19	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.86
4	Appropriate background information was provided	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	18	1	2	0	0	0	0	6.76

Overall Presentation Content Comments

First seminar I've heard so far this year where I felt like I understood the disease before diving into the studies. Great background.

Very enthusiastic.

The "interest in topic" didn't really come out clearly until the end, but I think that still worked well.

Great job at defining the controversy of the topic and gave appropriate background information

n/a

Very smooth presentation with appropriate background. I think everyone understood your presentation well because you explained all the necessary background first.

Background was great but I would have liked to know why you were interested in the topic

I really liked the case to introduce the topic.

Great, interesting topoc and the organization of the presentation

I liked the patient case you used

Great background, very fascinating disease state!

good job

Good job.

Information provided in the presentation was excellent, pertinent to our knowledge

Got off track a little.

I thought the overall content was appropriate. However, I feel you may have spent a little too much time on the background section, which resulted in the presentation running a little too long, especially with the number of questions at the end.

Seems to very contraversial, no great treatments.

Great background and I love how you used the initial case to follow through the entire seminar.

Controversy was clearly presented. Would have appreciated interest in topic during presentation.

A slide defining the controversy would have helped with clarity. More info on your interest would be good. Way to go on taking on a hard but real topic

great job on presentation.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	18	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	18	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	17	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	6.79
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	6.89
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

I liked that you included animal studies to propose a different method of treatment. Thought you did a good job explaining how relevant they were.

Very enthusiastic.

It seemed like some of the audience did not like the animal study, but coming from a background of animal research, I think you explained the role of animal studies well and I feel like it helped set the stage for why you chose your intervention.

She had a difficult topic with little data about it, and explained that well and defended use of the studies she did incorporate well. She made sure to talk about the limitations of the studies.

n/a

Study analysis was thorough and you were able to clearly see what each study had to offer in terms of application information.

For the trials not be being great I though you did a great job presenting them

She had some very complicated trials and she did a good job explaining them

Very thorough analysis of the studies

Nice statistics

I thought you covered each study well, especially considering how unique some of them were.

hard to find studies to match the stated problem. did a great job to apply to here seminar

Good job.

Data was sparse regarding topic and somewhat weak studies, but you did well presenting it

The studies didn't all seem relevant, but she explained how they could be.

I thought you did an excellent job with the data that you had at your disposal for this topic. I was pleased to see you were not afraid to very openly address the weaknesses of your studies, but that you were also able to defend their appropriateness for inclusion in the seminar.

Great job here

You presented your studies well, regardless of how good the studies were. You were able to tell us why you chose the studies and how the end points pertained to your topic.

Good analysis of studies that are not strong studies. Would have appreciated a summary of the key points to take away from the study and how they fit into your decision making process for your recommendation.

Studies were tough to follow but you did a good job

good job using available data and recognizing the limitations as well as strengths they did have.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	16	4	0	1	0	0	0	6.67
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	19	1	0	1	0	0	0	6.81
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	20	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Conclusions Comments

Great conclusions

Very enthusiastic.

Great and strong conclusions!

Amazing, amazing job about talking about the pharmacist's role on this topic. She explained the real life scenario that prompted he interest in this topic, and brought it to a very real place of what a pharmacist is to do if the guidelines have holes and you must choose between the patient not filling their prescription or trying boldly to find an alternative through search of the primary data available.

good evaluation of the data

I really like how you came to your own conclusion. Like you said in seminar, sometimes we have to find an answer when there really isn't an answer found in guidelines or clear clinical trials. I really appreciate your boldness in creating a recommendation after careful evaluation of the literature. Sometimes we get so cautious that we fail to meet the patients' needs. Great job!

You explained the role amazingly

The role of the pharmacist was awesome. She really explained how much of an influence pharmacists can have and how we can make conclusions based on data that is not great.

Good job for recommending the exact drug regimen and explaining why

Good job going out on a limb on your conclusions

Very bold move with your conclusion. However, I have to say it was not supported by the data you presented. Regardless, it was impressive that you could use what data you had to formulate an independent recommendation.

good job

Good job.

Excellent, excellent recommendations. Especially the pharmacist's role, looking beyond what we can

do in this particular scenario.

None

I thought you were bold to make such a specific recommendation for clinical pharmacy practice; I thought this was a strength of your seminar, as it would have been very easy to spout off the typical "more research is needed....".

Bold conclusion. I like it. You have to choose something

Your pharmacists role was awesome- I liked the way you approached it. Your conclusions may not be entirely based on your evidence, but it was a difficult topic and I think you used your clinical judgement rather than the evidence to make your conclusions, which is sometimes what is done.

Great conclusions, especially considering that there wasn't a lot of evidence for this disease state. Really liked how you applied the topic to talking about disease states that don't have guidelines in general and how to deal with those!

Maybe a bit of a reach in terms of treating the patient but your explanation of why was reasonable

Great job discussing our role as pharmacists

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

Good job handling questions.

Very enthusiastic.

You got some tough questions, but I think you handled them well.

There were a lot of questions and she did a great job answering them in a clear way. It was obvious she knew the topic extensively.

n/a

You had so many questions asked during your Q&A because people really enjoyed your seminar. You really answered them in a very down to Earth way, admitting sometimes that you didn't have an answer.

Best question & answer section thus far

She had a lot of questions and she did a great job answering them

Seminarian answered all questions thoroughly

Very prepared for some tough questions

You had many questions asked of you and handled them very well.

Many questions and answered appropriate.

Good job.

Great job answering all the questions, you definitely knew the material

Answered a lot of questions, and well.

You did well to encourage questions. The Q&A was not very succinct, but you did well to provide answers to all the questions.

Great job here /

You handled the Q&A well with the many questions

Answered questions well. It would have been nice to maybe have some of the answers you provided

during the question and answer session as part of the presentation since if no one would have asked, then those issues would not have been addressed (example: thought process behind recommendation, interest in topic).

Owned the question time

Great job answering questions

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	20	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	20	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Great job on these as well.

Very enthusiastic.

You explained a somewhat complicated disease state well and took on some tough questions. Great job!

Overall knowledge base was exceptional, and she drew a bold conclusion. Really was an impressive seminar, and illustrated to me how a pharmacist needs to go about using their expertise, knowledge of the patient's needs, and search of the primary literature to come to conclusions, especially when the guidelines aren't black and white.

n/a

You clearly understood a lot about your topic and were able to convey that during the Q&A

Definitely able to think on your feet based on how you answered all of the questions

She had a great knowledge base. She knew a lot about the topic and it was not an easy topic to explain.

Seminarian seemed very knowledgable in the subject

Good job thinking on your feet

I could tell that you have done your research in this field. You demonstrated that not only in your presentation, but in how you handled the questions.

Had a complete understanding of the subject.

Good job.

It was evident you spent alot of time looking into the data and digging deeper than most

None

I thought you were able to demonstrate a good knowledge base regarding this material. This seminar was all about you being able to look past the conclusions of each individual study, tying each of them together, in order to reach your own conclusions for clinical practice in topic with relatively poor data to support any specific drug therapy.

Great overall knowledge base /

It's clear you have a solid knowledge base and you were definitely able to think on your feet.

Great knowledge base. Could tell that you put a lot of thought into how you would present this information.

You knew a lot of medical procedures. Awesome learning I'm sure

appeared as though you had a good knowledge base

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked the inclusion of different types of studies to propose an alternate method of treatment for patients who wouldn't even buy the treatment in the first place, or who may not adhere to it at all.

Very enthusiastic.

It was easy to follow and I like the strong recommendations at the end.

A challenging, interesting topic to present about and excellent display of what to do when a pharmacist is left to their own devices to construct an optimal therapy for their patient

I didn't realize we could deviate from the "model" of seminar presentation as you did. You did a good job and gave us options for our future presentations as well

I really liked almost everything about this seminar. Renee did a great job with her topic. I appreciate the clear conclusion she came to WITHOUT having a guideline to spell it out.

I thought you tackled a huge topic and were very successful. Your discussion of the pharmacist's role in topics like this was very good.

I really liked how she explained the pharmacist role. It was not the usual role and I think she made some great points.

I really enjoyed the unique look of the presentation

I liked how you went out on a limb on your conclusion

I really liked your presentation style, how you walked us through the topic like a story.

That she was able to take a difficult topic and take studies that were not directly applicable and adapt them to her seminar.

This was a difficult subject with limited data but the information was all tied together well.

I really like your presentation style - very relaxed and comfortable.

Her explanations were very understandable.

I liked that you were brave enough to tackle a "left field" topic. I enjoyed watching you make a successful seminar out of mediocre data.

I definitely learned something.

I liked how you had a case to follow throughout and also used it to justify your conclusion.

Excellent case and use of the case to build on your seminar presentation.

I liked that you took on a real challenge and made the best of the info available

I liked that you asked a hard question with little good evidence. mirroring what we often face.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

I would have liked to hear your interest in the topic earlier on in seminar.

Include a few more graphs.

I think the handout could have used the objectives, otherwise it was great.

The handout and slides could have been more organized with bullet points, and there were some minor grammatical errors

n/a

The handout could have been a little easier to read (although I loved the brevity).

I thought the handout could have been improved a bit with additional bullet points and inclusion of the objectives.

I think she had a very bold conclusion based on her studies. It would have been helpful if she would have explained a little bit about why she came up with her conclusion instead of waiting to be asked about her conclusion and why she made the recommendation.

Shorten the background section time and focus on less studies

Nothing major

Some points that came out in the question and answer session could have been touched on in the presentation, such as cost of the nicardipine and how you came to your dose.

She spent a little too much time in the intro.

Clinical significance was not addressed by any of the studies. Even if the data was negative studies that address the outcome of interest, rather than surrogates only, should be included to properly assess if this treatment is appropriate.

Some of the slides were a bit busy but other than that, it was excellent

She got off track easily and went on a few tangents.

I would say, keep the background section more concise in anticipation of a longer Q&A due to the difficulty/uniqueness of your topic.

I wasn't quite sure if the disease progressed or if it came on acutely and then went away. A little

confusion there for me.

Perhaps not using animal studies, or using it as a brief mention but not one of your primary studies, since they don't always correlate well with humans.

Organization of the material on the slides---eyes had to jump around on the slide to be able to get to all the points you wanted us to take away from the slide.

Try to really make the inferences that you made clear and how the results impact this specific decision

consider note cards or another cue to help flow.

General Comments

awesome job.

Include objectives in the handout.

Awesome job!

Great presentation! Went above and beyond on the topic, challenged herself and all of us in the audience, and impressed me with the process of drawing her conclusion. A memorable seminar.

When I grow up, I want to be like Renee :)

I appreciated how you encouraged questions, knowing that the studies were not great. I thought your answers to all of the questions were great.

She did a great job. This was a very hard topic and I think she did a great job drawing conclusions based on the data that is available.

Awesome job Renee!

Great job overall! Very impressive seminar topic that you tackled.

Overall a great job on the seminar.

Good job.

N/A

Nothing

Well done, and thank you for the delicious afternoon snacks!

Terrific job over all. Yeah! your done

Renee, I liked your courage to do a seminar a bit "out of the ordinary" and make your conclusion based

on both some evidence and clinical experience. These things aren't always black and white and you definitely showed that! Good job.

Great job overall. Appreciated a presentation regarding the thought process behind how to deal with disease states that don't have a lot of evidence regarding treatment options.

I compliment you on your bravery and well-executed seminar

Great job. made it easy to pay attention.