

Presenter: White, Zachary

Seminar Date: 2014-03-26

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.93	6.73	6.92	6.88	6.76	6.95	6.77	6.63	5.88	6.4	6.25	6.38	6.75	6.1	0	0	0	E (46.42)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.84
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Your pace was great, and it was easy for me to follow along with you.

Good pace and talking style

Did a great job keeping a relaxed but quick pace

I was riveted throughout the presentation. As someone mentioned in class, the only thing I would suggest is to have some type of note cards so you aren't glancing back and reading behind you the whole time.

I liked how you stood in the front of the podium. Confident presenter

excellent pace but try to look less at your slides

Fairly low key presenter. May want to try to mix it up a little bit more.

i thought you had a great pace and did not really rely on your notes, my only suggestion would be to relax you seemed really uptight

Great eye contact with the audience and you didn't look at the slides often.

You were calm and collected and maintained a great pace. You were a natural!

Excellent work standing in front of the podium with confidence. The only problem with this was you looking over your shoulder as you presented. At first, it was minimal then you became more reliant. Still

not distracting and great presentation, but something to be aware of

I liked how you stood in front of the podium, it gave you an assertive type feeling during the presentation

Noticed that the lights were not turned down and the door was left open

Great pace, felt like you were continuously relying on your notes because you were constantly reading over your shoulder and your slides rather than the podium in front.

Be careful of using the phrase you know and um too many times. This can be distracting.

good eye contact with the audience. I was very impressed with his confidence and I didnt notice any distracting mannerisms.

Pace was good and material was appropriate for the audience.

Great pace and eye contact with audience

Zach did a great job standing in front of the podium

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	11	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.53
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	14	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.74
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.89
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	16	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.79

Instructional Materials Comments

Always be sure to proof read your handout and slide prior to finalizing them. I found a few typos in your handout.

Handout was a little hard to follow

Liked the slides and the orientation to the graphs

The slides didn't exactly correlate with the handout and it was slightly more difficult to follow along, but they were all formatted well and were very readable.

Your font was a little small on your slides. You had plenty of space, so maybe make it bigger.

all material looked clean and professional /

Some of the fonts were too small. Maximize the slide titles.

Slides and handout were easy to read, but there were some spacing errors as well as Bupropion vs bupropion; bupropion is the generic name also reference primary author, journal and date at the bottom of slides

The order of the handout didn't match the order of the slides. It isn't such a big deal but took time to search in the handout for the information you were covering.

Great orientation to slides and graphs. The one thing I would comment on is that some slides had a little too much information but overall excellent job!

Tyops

Hand out was good very easy to read through

The colors you used to build your own charts didn't show up very well on the projector. Otherwise slides were very nice looking and easy to read

It is not best practice to cite subtitles in your handout. It may be better to cite each sentence individually.

Overall the slides and handout were clear and easy to read. There were some formatting errors in the

handout where spaces between words were missed.

slides/ handout were really easy to follow.

Handout was a little different than presentation and a little hard to find the information in .

Slides were easy to read. Handout was organized. Some of the sections could have flowed better but not a big deal.

Zach's slides were clear and had an appropriate amount of information.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	15	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.74
4	Appropriate background information was provided	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

I liked your personal interest in the topic, and this made it more relevant for me personally.

Would have been nice to have the noninferiority trials with stimulants here as well as your concerns for side effects

Thought you went into the controversy well

This seemed like an interesting topic, and one that is important in our daily practice.

Objectives in line with your presentation (I felt). Great back-story to show interest..

nice job establishing interest in the topic

Interest in topic story was fun and interesting with family friend going to jail. Still not to clear on the controversy and how it relates to the safety and efficacy of Strattera.

i thought you had a great personal story for your interest, and you defined your controversy

I thought the background demonstrated why this is an important topic.

Great introduction and interest in the topic

Perhaps a more clear controversy, but that is just being picky. Very well presented with excellent flow

interesting topic, something I feel we need a lot more emphasis on considering the huge abuse issues with adderall these days

Good job on transitions. I do have one suggestion (speaking from experience). I was going to do my first seminar on a non-stimulant for ADHD (similar to yours), however after speaking with some experts, one bluntly asked me what was so controversial about my topic. The more I thought about her comments, I decided she had a good point. So I felt as I was listening to your seminar that there really didn't seem to be a real controversy to the subject. This is my opinion, and I certainly think you did well with your seminar, but maybe something to think about with your next seminar.

Overall content was appropriate. Objectives may have been more precise, if you really wanted to

determine efficacy, the studies looked at needed to adequately assess efficacy as well, not just safety.

I think the interest in the topic was unique and that is what made it interesting for me to listen to the presentation.

Transitions were very smooth and there were no "bumps" throughout the seminar. objectives were clearly stated and successfully completed at the end.

I wasn't ultimately clear on what the Purpose/controversy really was.

Good intro and "interest in topic". Provided good background.

Zach personal stories helped define his interest in the topic.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.84
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	15	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.79
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.84

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Cosider making your objectives more "measurable" for future presentations (i.e. specific numbers or things we should know).

You were inconclusive about the significance of your first study not meeting power

Liked the analysis of the studies, although I thought you had a little to much about how the drug company funded the study

I would have liked to see more p-values in the slides. From what I remember, the results were provided, but the slides didn't mention if each outcome was statistically significant or not, he just provided that information orally.

You discussed at length dropouts and brought that limitation to the forefront.

good presentation of the data. Should have included the information on additional studies in presentation rather than just referring quickly to the appendix.

Use the name of the first author instead of "they." So and So and colleges. Alternatively, the name of the trial could be used.

i thought he mentioned power and explained his studies very well, but just remember to look if they were intent to treat or what not for power

I felt that you did a great job highlighting the important parts of the studies that were relevant to your topic.

Great presentation of the clinical data. You pointed out the key information and made a great case for your conclusion

Great discussion of inclusion criteria; attentive assessment of limitations; did well to evaluate the

studies w/ a critical yet objective eye

you did a good job at looking at confounding issues with studies

Could tell you knew your information very well, and presented the clinical data in a way that was easy to be digested and understandable

Overall the data presented was appropriate and Zach was able to critically evaluate the studies.

It is important to note that bias based on funding source is not always a good measure on the quality of the study. It is important to note but don't make it a large focus of your strengths and benefits. There are other things like internal and external validity that would be more important as a clinician when deciding whether or not to use a study in practice.

your analysis of the studies was very succinct and well thought out.

Presented the data well and understood what was going on in the studies.

Good analysis of studies and the strengths and limitations of each one. Outcome measures were appropriately stated and presented in a way that was easy for the audience to understand.

Great job presenting strengths and limitations of the studies.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	14	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.68
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	15	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.74
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	16	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.79
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	17	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.84

Conclusions Comments

Your conclusions were supported by the data presented. However, consider using more reputable studies in future presentations.

I'm not sure your conclusions were supported by the data. How clinically significant are these side effects? Are amphetamines really as safe as you claim? That has not been the case from my professional experience.

Good conclusion with the data you had

I think his conclusions were valid and well thought out. I would probably arrive at a similar conclusion.

I agree with the comment made about studies being done by "drug companies." I know it was a passing comment, so not a huge deal

good clear recommendations

Role of the pharmacist in providing unbiased treatment information was discussed.

i thought he had great conclusions that were based on the information, but as someone point out bring other info earlier on.

I know the studies are limited, but I would have liked more info about Strattera's efficacy compared to stimulants.

Great job overall!!! Your pharmacist's role was interesting especially since you had a question about this at work

Well done sir. appropriately well spoken and stayed within the scope of your presentation and data

i agree with your conclusion

Great job applying clinical significance to such a subjective subject

Conclusions were appropriate. Role of the pharmacy was well explained/discussed.

Conclusions were supported by the data however I would have liked more firm conclusions from your

perspective on what you would do in practice.

You did the best you could have done with concluding something with the studies that are out there on the topic.

Conclusions were not super clear.

Conclusions supported the data presented. Good presentation of pharmacist role/recommendations in regards to this treatment.

Positive and negative aspects of strattera well presented.

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Question Answer Session Comments

Great job fielding and answering questions... You got some tough ones and handled them well.

Great job answering tough questions throughout

Way to answer all those questions!

Zach was confronted with multiple difficult questions and was not phased by them. He kept his head clear and was able to respond to the best of his knowledge and ability. I was very impressed.

Hard hard questions that you handled great. Well done!

had a lot of questions, but answered all of them quickly and effectively

Good audience participation and answering questions on the fly.

answered all questions well and gave some time to think about the answer.

You had many difficult questions and handled them well.

Great job fielding questions, especially the hard ones

Q/A session slayer = you! You did well to demonstrate knowledge and not be flustered. That inquisitive gentleman is the most assertive questioner of the semester per usual, and you did very well.

you knocked it out of the park like the great Babe Ruth

Great job with questions. You answered them well, and showed genuine interest in the persons points and thoughts

Overall appropriate. One comment was made when explaining something but instead of going into detail Zach gave an answer and stated "which is good" but did not elaborate on why it was good.

Answered questions very well especially the difficult questions that you were asked.

You had some tough questions and you didn't let the odd questions bother you.

You did a great job of answering questions.

Awesome job answering questions, especially since so many questions were peppered throughout your presentation. You did not let it faze you but took them graciously and answered them well.

Great job in confidently answering questions.

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	17	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.84
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	15	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.74
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.89
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	17	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.84
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	16	1	1	0	0	0	1	6.53

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Your knowledge base was strong, but try to anticipate what questions you will get from your audience in future presentations, so you can plan for them.

I think there could have been a greater understanding of past research as a context for these studies.

Good grasp of the subject and able to theorize about the questions

I appreciated how Zach was able to go into depth on how ADHD is classified in adults and how the scale works. This helped to give context to whether or not the results were clinically significant.

You discussed at length clinical vs statistical significance. Well explained, especially with a difficult subject.

would have liked more discussion of how strattera stacked up with the stimulants.

Nice talk on what was clinically significant!

he was able to think on his feet, and he thoroughly knew his topic.

Demonstrated a great knowledge base of the topic.

Great job overall! YOU did a lot of research and you can tell you spent a lot of time and effort on this seminar

demonstrated a wealth of knowledge. well done

Good background knowledge, i liked how you researched the types of tests used

Overall knowledge base was obvious, good that you were able to use your base to answer questions that you may have not known the exact answer to

Great job overall.

It was clear that you had learned a great deal about the subject matter.

You did a great job thinking on your feet, especially with some tough questions.

Good knowledge base and thinking on your feet.

Was able to demonstrate good overall knowledge and was able to discuss beyond the author's discussion. There were a lot of questions from the audience that required you to have to think/theorize beyond your studies but you did a great job answering them.

Zach did a great job thinking on his feet to answer difficult answers.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked your personal interest in the topic and the story about your younger brother.

Great job answering questions

The ease at which you presented the information and I liked the interest in the subject

I thought Zach spoke clearly, calmly, and at a good pace. He interacted well with the audience and his presentation was interesting to listen to.

Great handling of questions again! Nice job.

You were very professional, and well prepared for the many questions that you were asked.

Great job discussing clinical vs statistical significance.

I thought he did a great job talking about the background of the DSM and medication.

The entire presentation style was great. I felt the seminar flowed well.

Great job!!! You were very calm and seemed at ease in front of others. I really liked how you introduced the topic.

Smoothly presented and interactive

you seemed very confident throughout

You did a spectacular job with answering questions and staying on course

Zach was able to critically evaluate the studies and identify limitations of each.

I liked that this is a topic that I can use in my practice. I have been asked about strattera before compared to other medications for ADHD and have not had a really good answer. This helped me understand more so I can explain it in the future.

the subject was interesting and thought provoking. you delivered the seminar very well.

Pace and flow of presentation was good.

Awesome job answering questions and not letting the MANY interruptions affect your presentation flow. Great!!

Zach did a great job with pace and presentation.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Use more reputable studies in the future.

Better overall knowledge base and conclusions supported by data

Wasn't sure if you had the citations for the information on the slides

If you are going to stand in front of the podium, either walk around and be animated, or have note cards so you don't always have to look behind you.

Careful with some of your off-cuff comments (ie. drug company studies)

More information on stimulant treatments and how they compared to Strattera

Develop the controversy section.

Look at the flow of both your slides and handout rearrange things.

The wording of the objectives implied that there was going to be more information about the efficacy of Strattera and I felt that information was lacking.

Some of the font on the pages was a little small and there were some slides with a little too much information.

First, great presentation! Things to consider: Polish those slides a bit more, place some sort of title at the top of each slide that is about the same study (so if I get lost and look up I know where I am), always reference figures within the slide somewhere when they are taken directly from a study paper; lastly have a buddy proof read your papers. And last lastly, I might have ADHD because I too "climb excessively in situations that might be inappropriate"

maybe where you got the studies just for next time

A little more explanation or pushing of the treatment guidelines

Reference the bottom of your slides, particularly figures. And have someone proofread your handout, particularly for spacing errors.

Try to define what you would consider clinical significance. You mentioned it briefly but having a clear definition will help solidify your conclusions and if you would actually use the studies to make a decision.

possibly more interaction with the audience

More clearly state why this is a controversy and why it is important.

Maybe make the handout flow a bit more with your presentation.

Final conclusion could have been more clearly stated.

General Comments

Great Job!

Good job

Fantastic seminar! I was very interested and thought you did a wonderful job overall.

good job!

great job overall

Really enjoyed the seminar. I liked the mellow style and how you were out in front of the podium and conversational.

great job zach

Great job overall!!

yeppers!

great job overall

Great job, really enjoyed your seminar

Great job!

Overall great presentation.

Overall, great job! I enjoyed learning more about this topic since I have often wondered about the appropriateness of recommending this drug over the current controlled stimulant recommendations, due to the increase in use and abuse of these drugs.

Controversy of this topic was well presented.