Presenter: Taylor, Britta

Seminar Date: 2014-03-25

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.99	7	6.94	6.98	6.79	7	6.96	7	5.63	6.1	6.18	6.63	6.5	6.5	0	0	0	E (46.52)

Presentation Style

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

So professional, yet relaxed.

Way to keep your cool despite being hammered right out the gate with some tough questions.

You were professional and poised.

Good pace and eye contact.

Good eye contact and professional style.

good

Great pace

Very professional

Good job, try to rely on slides a bit less

You seemed so comfortable presenting. I was very impressed with your pace and your ability to move through the data without getting hung up.

very good eye contact

.

very nice presentation and style was very easy and confident without distractions. I thought the material was really helpful and appropriate for the audience.

Awesome job keeping composure and professionalism when people interrupted you.

good eye contact and moderate pace

Great pace and comfortable presentation style

Britta has a very comfortable presentation style

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

Slides were super easy to read.

I LOVED YOUR SLIDES- they were so clean and easy to follow.

Slides and handouts were great, easy to read.

Slides were very easy to read, simplified, and not too busy.

Slides were clear and simple.

Sure

Slides were very clear

Great handouts. I liked they way your organized your studies.

Nice slides and handout

I loved your slides. They were incredilby easy to read yet all of the pertinent information was present.

handout was very easy to follow

Great slides

very helpful, very clean, very easy to use and understand

There were some differences in punctuation between slides I think. Keep it consistent. But liked the layout and clarity of your slides overall. Nice job!

slides were clear and easy to read.

Slides were very simplified and to the point. On one hand it is appreciated to not have wordy slides to follow and try to read/listen at the same time, however I feel like some caution should be used in drawing the line between simplification and still keeping it professional and appropriate for the setting.

slides were very clean and easy to follow

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Appropriate background information was provided	15	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.82
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

I was glad you could bring your work experience into context.

I think there was way too much time spent on background. I think focusing on things that pharmacists are interested in like MOA rather than diagnostic tests like the OTT would be more appropriate for the future.

Your interest was clear and your background was comprehensive.

Good background and objectives were clear.

Interest in topic from brother being born with very low birth weight personalized the presentation.

.

Good interest in topic

Great topic

Good background on disease state and treatment

The background part of your seminar seemed a bit long. You could have spent more time in the studies.

the interest in the topic and purpose was stated very clearly

Great background on GDM

well organized - can't offer any criticism

Would have liked to hear why glyburide is the drug being analyzed out of all the DM drugs for GDM. Maybe I missed it? Demonstrated your interest well and had great flow.

great intro and back ground. And the controversy was well presented

As mentioned in class, your objectives were definitely clear but I do not feel like they were necessarily useful. Rather than listing things (which seems like the type of objective expected from a lecture presentation, not a seminar) I would expect objectives that require the audience to use a little higher

level thinking and interpreting.

interesting topic, good background information. very nice flow

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Your analysis of the stats was good

Awesome job at presenting the clinical data.

You looked at 2 types of trials and seemed familiar with the benefits and potential pitfalls of both types.

Good detailed clinical data and analysis of the studies.

Where were the stats for the second study?

.

Good analysis of the studies

Great strengths and limitation sections for your studies

Good job breaking down the studies. I like the 1 RCT and one retrospective

Your data was very clear and easy to follow. I understand that metformin was not part of your seminar but after you mentioned it I was curious about it. Perhaps just don't mention it.

strengths and limitations were done very well

Great job- you are a natural presenter !

I think the background was so well done and took so much time that you had to rush the studies a bit. I would recommend cutting back on background even though it was wonderful and add more detail to the studies and some of the intricacies and quirks as well as look outside of the studies for trends going on in this area, are other drugs being studied, is there an effort to push away from insulin, or any other relevant issue.

Explain why you chose the studies you did. Were these the only two? If not, why these two?

outcome measures were well presented . great statistical analysis

good job explaining study design and details, succinct descriptions.

good job breaking down the studies. Strengths and weaknesses of each was very well done

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	12	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.65
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	14	2	0	1	0	0	0	6.71
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Conclusions Comments

You need to explain your conclusions in the context of the data, not simply say yes.

I would have liked to know what about the data specifically made you come to your conclusion.

Your conclusions were good, but you articulated them better when answering questions about them.

Good conclusions. Discussed the role of the pharmacist so it is applicable in practice.

This section could have had more. The recommendation needed to stand out more.

More detail about your conclusion is needed. Your recommendations were general to diabetes and did not have much that was specific to your seminar.

Discussed the role of pharmacists

Great conclusion

Conclusions and recommendations were not very in line with each other

Your conclusions were clear but a bit rushed due to all the questions and long background

i liked your conclusions, very applicable

Vague conclusion- "use caution". Next time make a more firm conclusion on exactly what you recommend.

I suppose they were fine - it seemed like one study was positive and one was negative and I didn't get a good feel for why you went with the positive study given the huge risk of hyperglycemia in the momma being passed down in the form of badness to the kiddos.

Take a strong stance on your conclusions. You had a strong presentation up until the conclusions then they seemed a little vague. Great job though!

clinical importance and application well presented

conclusion was directly from the data, did not require thorough explanation.

recommendations were clear and concise with conclusions that were backed by the data

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

You got some tough questions from the facilitators. Good job with those.

Great job at fielding questions.

You didn't shrink from any questions and answered them well.

Showed great composure throughout answering questions especially just one slide into the presentation.

Answered question thoroughly.

.

Good interaction with audience

Great at answering questions. However, if you know your audience (faculty facilitators) beforehand, try to anticipate the questions from their field of expertise (pharmacokinetic, structure, etc...)

Good job handling questions and referring to later in presentation when appropriate

You did a great job of handling tough and frequent questions. In retrospect you might have controlled the questions but asking the audience to hold questions until the end.

handled questions very well

Pretty good job answering questions

good job you got some interesting questions and handled well

Wow. You are my hero for taking all those hard, ridiculous questions that really aren't applicable to seminar. Bravo!

She was able to answer all the questions relevant to pharmacy practice. and was able to stay focused even after getting so many hard questions.

Britta got some difficult (and possibly inappropriate for the setting) questions, she did a very good job fielding these questions and not getting too flustered.

a few tough questions but showed confidence in her answers

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Once again, I appreciated your working knowledge of the issue.

You could tell that you were very knowledgeable about your subject.

You had a good grasp of the subject.

Showed good knowledge and seemed well prepared.

Showed a strong knowledge base with the way she answered questions.

.

Was able to think on her feet

Great job, you knew your stuff. Would have maybe liked to have heard more reason to why you picked glyburide over other similar medications with a better safety profile.

Well researched

You really seemed to know your stuff! You answered the questions so well, at no time did I question your knowledge base.

it seemed like you had a lot of knowledge of the material

I love that you tied in your work experience- it adds a lot. Good job.

yes good job

Knew a great deal beyond what was in the slides. Great job.

she demonstrated knowledge beyond the facts presented in the seminar.

Britta clearly had a good background understanding and did a good job helping us as audience members understand the controversy of the topic and how it is a new suggestion to the treatment of GDM.

came up with conclusions that were based on the evidence provided. and used experience in clinical practice to expand her conclusions

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

The style of presentation was the strongest point.

The slides were the best I've seen.

Your poise

Really impressed with her composure with the though questions.

I like that she worked on the labor/delivery floor and this was a relevant question for her area of expertise.

Interesting topic

Slides were well done

Great topic

No additional comments

I loved your slides! I compared them to reading the side of a baby food bottle. Very simple and informative.

very smooth transitions

Background and tying in work experience

Background and materials (esp slides) were amazing

Kept confidence and professionalism up despite curveball questions. Excellent!

she demonstrated her interest in the topic and her work experience related to the topic was very interesting

Good job handling very difficult questions, many of them unforeseen.

I thought Britta had great flow and confidence and knew the material very well

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

The weakest point was the conclusion. Explain it.

Focus your background on things pharmacists are interested in- specifically indications and MOA

Better artculation of the conclusions

More background.

The studies were not very good. In a case like this I think more studies and different types of studies could help bolster the recommendation.

Metformin should have been more addressed. Even if just to say there isn't not much evidence.

N/a

More about interest in topic and why you choose the specific agents in your topic

No additional comments

Less background and controll the questions

chemical structures

I would've liked a little more background on where you got the studies and why you chose them over other data that is out there. Good job though!

more time on the studies and some additional context to the discussion would have been interesting if any exists

Take a strong stance in your conclusion and recommendations.

a little more background for meds covered in the seminar

When the one professor asked what glyburide was and you said that you would get to it, I definitely think that was an appropriate response. However, when you got to the part of your presentation that explained what glyburide is, I think it would have been important to emphasize that this was his question and ensure that it covered his questions he had about it.

She at times focused a little too much on unimportant data and could have used that time to expound on more critical information

General Comments

Loved the slide layout.

Overall, great job!

Great job.

Great job.

Great professional poise.

Good work

N/a

Great job

No additional comments

I have no additional comments.

amazing job with everything

.

great job

Excellent presentation!

Her presentation style was great. like someone mentioned she could be a professor!

Sorry you had to get grilled on chemical structures, we all take your experience and learn from it. Now we all know to make sure we put a chemical structure on our handouts, even if it does not seem relevant for most people. We never know who will be in our audience.

Overall, one of the best seminars of the year