

Presenter: Allen, Scott

Seminar Date: 2014-03-06

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.97	6.91	6.96	6.99	6.92	7	6.97	6.88	6.75	6.9	7	6.75	7	6.9	0	0	0	E (47.92)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Thought you did great managing having to hit the arrow key on your laptop to forward the slides. Didn't even notice you doing it.

Very nice pace and good use of a calming voice.

Made great eye contact with the audience. Very poised and professional. Kept a moderate pace through out.

Near constant eye contact, even tone, and good pace. Fantastic

Good topic, good pace

Displayed great poise when the technology wasn't behaving

Very confident when presenting.

Good pace, seminar was easy to follow

Fantastic pace and delivery of content.

He knew his slides well and only relied on them minimally

Great presentation style. Very easy to listen to and follow along. Your pace was appropriate and you only went over the time because you started late, your pace was good.

You were really confident and collected. Good job on the first seminar!

He said "um" a lot.

Very poised and professional

You have very engaging mannerisms when you present. The whole presentation seemed very polished.

Great moving through the slides and transitions and conversational / Style.

Great pace, great eye contact, and his speech was very clear. He did a great job recovering from technical difficulties early on.

Great pace. Very engaging speaker.

Pace was affected by tech glitches (try to prep as far in advance as possible - you will feel more relaxed that way)

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	16	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.79
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

I think there were some graphs in the back of the handout that were never mentioned during the presentation. some orientation to them would be nice.

Handout flowed very nicely with presentation.

Slides and handout were well organized. Cited appropriate references and provided good explanations of tables and graphs.

Another student mentioned there needed to be some orientation to some tables in the back. It happened to fast for me to absolutely corroborate that, but if you didn't do so I imagine it was due to time constraints.

It would be more helpful to have a less words text to read for your handout. Bullet points provide quick guides.

Great job with the handout. It will be useful to have later on. I felt that he could have oriented us to the handout just a little bit more.

The handout was a little wordy. I think sometimes it is easier to read when you have bullet points and pictures; however, that is a personal preference and you had a lot of great information in the handout. Some minor spelling errors such as homeostatic vs hemostatic that I noticed, but just minor errors that I found.

Graphs and pictures in the background section were awesome! A few slides were a bit busy

Good job on making easy to read slides, everything was visible except to those way in the back, and you oriented us to all graphs and charts.

He explained his pictures and table very well so that I was able to understand them.

Your slides and handout were very thorough and complete. The handout may have been a little long but complete is better than sparse.

I prefer bullet points, others prefer paragraphs.

The handout looked great.

Enjoyed the clean, crisp slide set

Your slides were well put together and easy to read.

Great explanatory handout, liked all of the background!

slides were clear to read. Very good orientation given to graphs in the presentation. COuld have referred us to the handout for more info and there were some graphs in the Appedices that were not mentioned. Also, there were a few random lines in the handout with different font, but that was very minor. Otherwise, the materials were great.

Great job orienting the audience to your graphs. Slides were easy to read. Handout was a little heavy in terms of wording--maybe a bullet approach would have been more succinct.

Orientation to the chart was superb

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Appropriate background information was provided	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Overall Presentation Content Comments

While I realize the background on the history of warfarin probably wasn't needed, I still enjoyed it.

Could have referred to graphs at end.

Very clear about his interest in the topic. Defined the controversy effectively. Provided appropriate background information and set the topic up well. Great flow to the presentation.

I liked the source of your inspiration for the topic. The constant pursuit is admirable.

The controversy could have been a little more clearly defined

Great organization of the background. I attended a CE recently on the same topic and I felt Scott explained everything much better.

I really liked the background information. You gave us a great knowledge base before jumping into the studies

Very thorough background information

Background was appropriate and the flow overall was really smooth. I really thought your objectives were concise and measurable as well.

He had well thought out controversy and explained how they effected treatment

Your purpose and controversy was very clear. Objectives were well written and met. Background was great, I enjoyed the anecdote about the soldier, this breaks up the sometimes mundane. You were very well organized. / Your interest in the topic was explained (I wanted to here the answer to the question about IV vs PO Vit-K though?)

Great job presenting appropriate background information, I thought it was well balanced for those in attendance.

I loved the questions that he asked and later answered. They oriented me well.

Good background on interest and controversy

I thought your introduction to the topic and the history of warfarin were really interesting.

Great content!! This is very timely and appropriate to current treatment options in emergency medicine!

Great interest in the topic and the background was very thorough. I liked the graph comparing the 2 types of PCC to FFP. The objectives were clear and the controversy was well defined. Very good flow.

Great interest in topic. Controversy was clearly defined. Felt like could have limited objectives to three concrete take away points instead of five.

Some people will tell you that 5 objectives are too many. Something to consider. Also consider what the end takeaway for your audience is and then add that in as an objective

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	6.93
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

I don't know if you mentioned withdrawals or dropouts during the discussion. But then, if you're at the hospital for a bleed you'd have to get a treatment so maybe its not applicable.

Great breakdown of presentations.

Did a great job explaining the objective and methodology of the studies. Very thoughtful analysis of the strengths and weaknesses. Talked about power as appropriate.

Objectives were clear and stated early on. All objectives were met by the end of presentation /

I think you did a great job analyzing your studies

Very thoughtful responses on his critiques of the strengths and limitations.

Very thoughtful analysis of strengths and weaknesses. You didn't just name the typical ones,I could tell you really though about the strengths and weaknesses

Great analysis of the studies

Very strong aspect to your presentation. You had unique strengths and weaknesses of the studies and provided good analysis on each one.

He had a strong analysis of the strengths and weaknesses of the trials so I could appropriate weigh the results

Your presentation and evaluation of the studies was very good and complete. You had thought about many aspects and discussed them in a way that supported the purpose of your seminar.

The analysis of strengths and limitations was excellent and thorough

His explanations were clear, concise, and plentiful.

Very thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations

I liked the way you included charts, and you explained them well.

Interesting studies and hard to find data I bet!

Studies were thoroughly analyzed and the evaluations were thoughtful. He definitely went beyond your basic conclusions and had good reasoning for his own analyses.

Great job analyzing the studies, especially since they were both so different. Really appreciated your thoughtful consideration of the strengths and limitations.

You presented your studies in a way that was very conducive to our learning. Excellent job.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.89
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	17	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.84

Conclusions Comments

I thought the questions asked really helped to bring out clinical vs statistical significance.

summarized when to use PCC versus FFP very succinctly.

Conclusions were supported by the data. Did a great job making specific recommendations and discussing the role of the pharmacist.

I don't know if PCC will necessarily replace FFP as a standard of treatment due to the readily available and cheap nature of FFP, but I agree with you that it will likely be acknowledged as a superior treatment if available

I came away from the seminar not fully understanding how this would actually impact my practice.

I was impressed with the conclusion Scott made. I could tell he put in the time and was confident in his recommendation.

I really liked the case to emphasize the importance of the topic and how to apply the studies to a clinical scenario

Good pharmacist recommendations provided

I love how you gave very specific recommendations for practice. Going forward, I know what I would recommend to the team regarding FFP vs PCC. It was also highly based on the data you presented.

He explained how the two different interventions were used in the appropriate settings and how each can deal with uses.

Your conclusion was good but not entirely clear. It seemed like we ended up saying, give the pt whatever you have and whatever you can. In the day of limited resources this may not be possible. This being said, during the Q&A you expressed your thoughts on the future use of both and that was very clear and well thought out.

Great job drawing evidence based conclusions.

His conclusions were straightforward, specific, and confident.

Appreciated the specific clinical recommendations, e.g. PCC useful in patients that need a fast drop in INR to expedite surgery

I thought it was really good how you were able to give examples of situations where PCC would definitely be preferred and why (ICH and CHF), and made it clear that PCC is not what you would recommend blindly to all patients (at least partially because of its limited availability).

I liked your conclusions and I agree. Small hospitals will suffer from inability to purchase PCC and larger hospitals will have to create protocols for use between agents so...lots of thinking to do!

Conclusions very much supported by evidence and thoughtful evaluations. He made very clear recommendations for specific patients and discussed the role of the pharmacist.

Really appreciated your ability to define the patient population your conclusions support.

I thought you made the right conclusions and identified the patients who were most appropriate for PCC

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

Great job fielding questions. Didn't have any problems.

Great job in professionally tackling all the questions.

Encouraged questions and did a great job answering them thoughtfully.

Questions were well fielded. Though I don't think the presentation left much room for questioning.

Good job with the questions

Great job answering questions, specifically the one asked by Dr. Ruble.

Great job answering questions. Even when you weren't sure of the answer you made an educated answer to the best of your knowledge

I liked that Scote encouraged question in the middle and at the end of his seminar

You handled all questions really well and seemed to keep your nerves in check.

Answered questions that were given him.

Q&A section was handled very well. You had a lot of questions that forced to evaluate things on your feet and you did great!

Question and answer session was smooth and you were able to answer any questions thrown your direction

I like that he encouraged more questions by complimenting those who asked questions. "Great question"

Well done. Well prepared.

You had good thoughts about where the future of PCC is going and how it may one day be the primary agent for warfarin reversal.

Great thoughtful answers thank you!

He encouraged questions and did a great job answering the many questions he received.

Great job answering questions.

Your poise during the QA session was great

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

I thought your knowledge base definitely went beyond what was presented. Seemed well prepared.

evident that you knew the topic very well, nice enthusiasm.

Explained conclusions in the context of today's practice versus practice in the future. Obviously knew a lot about the topic and was able to think on his feet.

Adequate and appropriate patho, and good analysis of the current state of the controversy.

You were clearly able to think on your feet with the Q & A. You knew much more than presented

I could tell Scott had reviewed other material and he was able to successfully incorporate these into his presentation

Great overall knowledge base. I could tell you had researched the topic and knew your stuff

Please comment more on what is statistically and what is clinically significant

I could tell, especially based on how you handled the questions, that you have done your research and know the material extremely well.

Strong knowledge base commensurate of a difficult subject.

Your overall knowledge was demonstrated by your ability to think about the information from many different points. Your eval of the studies was good and you could tell you thought about it.

It was apparent you knew knowledge outside of what was presented

He oozed confidence.

Looking beyond the authors' conclusions was a strength of the seminar.

You were clearly well prepared, which especially showed when answering questions because you were able to pull mortality data from studies you didn't present to support your answers.

Clearly you know your stuff! Great topic!

It was very clear that he has a solid knowledge base on his topic, and this was clear throughout the seminar and also during the Q&A. He was able to come up with his own conclusions and insights to the topic from looking at the literature. He very much thought on his feet.

Great overall knowledge base. Able to relate information in other contexts.

You owned your topic WAY beyond what you were presenting. Made for a great seminar

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I thought the analysis of the studies was great particularly the strengths and weaknesses of each study.

Great pace with presentation.

Very poised and made great eye contact with the audience. Explained the studies well, especially the strengths and weaknesses.

It was a fantastic seminar. I think this issue is definitely one we will often see in the foreseeable future in clinical pharmacy

I think you picked an interesting topic that was good to listen to.

I was most impressed by Scott's poise and background knowledge of the subject.

I really liked the class to apply the information you talked about. It emphasized the importance of the topic

Great illustrations for the background section!

I loved how applicable and useful the presentation was, on such an interesting topic.

He had well thought out slides and handout that were easy to read and understand.

Your pace and flow was great. You were in-depth in your evaluation of the studies and the seminar was very complete.

Your presentation style was excellent. You were confident and spoke very well. It was easy to understand and follow your studies.

Scott was very professional, and obviously well prepared, as evidenced by his confidence.

VERY evident you were well-prepared

I liked how you incorporated the patient case in the introduction and came back to it at the end.

Great timely topic!! We have miss use of Kcentra I think. And mis application of FFP too. Time to put our thinking caps on for recommendation!

Very thorough job and great pace.

Great pace and eye contact. Clean slides. Specific recommendation for a specific patient population.

I liked your slide color a lot. Your dominance with the topic material made me feel like I could take your seminar and apply it to practice.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

A thought some more examples of what types of patients may be a candidate for the fresh frozen plasma would have been good.

Refer to the graphs in the back, so use what you give us.

He could be a bit more animated and faster paced.

No additional comments not mentioned earlier

Your handout could be improved by cutting the paragraphs down into a more readable format.

Only comment is more orientation to the graphs in the handouts.

Add some bullet points/pictures to the handout instead of having so many paragraphs. Orient or refer to the handout while presenting

Nothing comes to mind

Maybe next time try to anticipate what questions might come up, such as the one about which weight to dose with. That way you can just include it in your presentation.

He felt a little rushed for time, perhaps reduce some material.

A more solid conclusion with a solid recommendation

The hand out was a bit busy and seems cluttered.

Don't use the word "um" so much.

Nothing comes to mind

I think you could have been a little more clear about where the role of vitamin K is in warfarin reversal. You mentioned subcutaneous vitamin K as something you thought didn't sound right, but I don't remember you going into any more depth than that.

More time? It needs lots of intro to not lose the lower classmen. But you did great with what you had!

Refer us to the handout for more info and make sure there are no font issues.

Maybe a more brief background (history section, although interesting, was not necessary to understand your seminar findings).

Work on some excitement in your presentation style

General Comments

I think your presentation showed how well prepared you were.

Great seminar in an interesting topic and enjoyed hearing his opinions on the future of pharmacy in this area.

Overall excellent job. Definitely set the bar high for the first day. Great job!

Great job! You are a very professional presenter and I could tell you spent a lot of time researching your topic

No comments

Great job on your first seminar!

great overall job!

no additional comments.

Nope.

Very well done, sir.

You said "that's a great question" after almost every question you were asked. It's fine to say that if you really think the question is good (which you probably did), but saying it too often may make it sound insincere.

Great job!

GREAT JOB! This was truly a fantastic seminar! You are a great speaker and you really thoroughly explained this very interesting topic. Making those small changes in regards to your handout will make your next seminar even better.

Excellent job. Extremely well done and good topic.

Great way to kick off your seminar. Build upon it next year