

Presenter: Thomason, Stacey

Seminar Date: 2014-04-02

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.33	6.51	6.53	6.72	6.72	6.86	6.83	6.25	5.63	6.3	6.42	6.5	6.25	5.8	0	0	0	E (46.22)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	13	1	3	1	0	0	0	6.44
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	9	5	3	1	0	0	0	6.22
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	7	5	4	2	0	0	0	5.94
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	14	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.72

Presentation Style Comments

As stated during the forum, always be confident while presenting, and remember that you know the information just as well, if not better, than all the others in the room!

Relatively little eye contact

Good pace even with all your studies

Confidence could be improved; appeared quite nervous

Two things: 1) Avoid reading your slides & computer. You got better throughout though. 2) I know its a small thing, but figure out the pointer before you start. That's a part of your prep work.

Some early reliance on slides.

I thought like it was mention that after the first set of questions she relied less on her notes/slides... remember to be fully confident.

Stacey was able to get through 4 studies quickly but still cover the necessary information.

Good pace. YOu seemed a little nervous and not confident when you were presenting. You did a great job and you know your stuff so next time embrace the nervousness and be confident. You presented a great seminar!!!

Confident delivery

Ploy showed a lack of confidence at some times during your seminar and it made your seminar seem to drag on

You seemed like you were pretty nervous and there was a portion of seminar that you lost train of thought and kind of bobbled for a moment when discussing CYP450 enzyme system, however you made your way through it and eased up as the seminar went on. Stay confident, you did great, but can do even better on your next seminar.

Good pace, you were more relaxed and confident in the later part of the presentation.

relied heavy on notes, but seemed to know the material very well

You read from your slides most of your presentation, you know your stuff , perhaps stand in a place where you can't rely on your slides.

Good moderate pace. Less reliance on slides. Exhibit more confidence next time

More confidence would have produced better eye contact and minimized reliance on your slides. When you let go of reading the slides, your seminar came alive.

Confidence, you have it you just need to portray it

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	12	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.61
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	4	9	4	1	0	0	0	0	5.89
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	13	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	6.71
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.83

Instructional Materials Comments

Make sure to proofread your materials before finalizing them. There were numerous typos in your handout.

Several misspellings in the handout and reference issues in the powerpoint - make sure to triple-check!

Slides were easy to read

Some slides had typos and incorrect usage of words

Your handout had some spacing issues and typos. It might have to do with the printer you used, but double check that in the future.

Slides were clear and easy to read with but with a few errors.

There were many errors throughout both slides and handout make sure to have a second pair of eyes on each

Some slides seemed like they had too much information but overall they looked great!

GOod slides and handouts. There were a few spelling errors but otherwise it was great!

appropriate slides and material, some a bit wordy though

Handout was very easy to read

handout had nice large sized font. A few spelling/grammatical errors throughout. Generic medication names are not capitalized.

Make sure your slides don't have spelling errors, also watch capitalization on generic drug names.

some error in the handout/slides. slides were very busy, next time present studies in a more concise manner.

Formatting and spelling/ grammar issues in both handout and slide show, have someone else look over it to proofread before final.

Slides and handout were easy to read, even with 4 studies.

A proofreading of the slides and handout by yourself and another set of eyes would have been extremely beneficial.

A few slight errors in material

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	11	2	4	1	0	0	0	6.28
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	11	4	3	0	0	0	0	6.44
4	Appropriate background information was provided	12	2	4	0	0	0	0	6.44
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	13	3	1	1	0	0	0	6.56

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Consider picking topics that are a little more controversial in the future, just to ensure the audience remains engaged and interested.

Why do we need another statin?

Could have used a chart to compare strengths of the different statins

Good flow. The introduction and interest (personal accounts of the disease state) were fantastic.

I loved your case that you kept bringing up throughout the presentation.

Needed table from the guideline showing where pitavastatin fit in with treatment recommendations.

Thought the background information was drawn out, but there should have been two charts: a conversion drug chart and a guideline chart. Also a better defined controversy would have helped.

I liked that Stacey chose a topic that was personal to her.

The interest in the topic was great! It would be great if you could define the controversy a little more I was a little confused as to what the controversy was but otherwise great job!

Good objectives, just remember to make them active rather than passive.

A comparison chart would have really helped

felt like your interest in topic was relevant and caught my interest. You could have done more to develop the controversy especially given the new treatment guidelines. An equivalency chart of the statins may have been nice and the treatment group recommendations from the guidelines. Also, some of your objectives could have been a little more measurable/specific

Your interest in the topic really helped bring me into the presentation. I liked that you started and ended with your interest in the topic.

good into and I like the personal interest in the topic.

Background information was very long and at times superfluous, put in the necessary information for listeners to understand the studies you will present.

Good presentation of interest in topic and why controversy. Good transitions in presentation

Your interest in the topic was an incredible way to start your presentation!

Really good presentation, you made me interested in statin, which is hard to do

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	13	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.67
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	14	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.67
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	14	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.72
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.83
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	14	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.72
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	14	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.72

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

I felt like the clinical data of each of your trials was de-emphasized due to the fact that you attempted to evaluate so many studies. Consider choosing 2-3 studies in the future, so you can really dig deep into the clinical data.

Brief; maybe a little too brief

Good analysis of the data

Good selection of studies. Great ability to synthesize literature.

4 studies! Wow. Good job at getting through all those. Well done. Your tables were concise, clean, and helpful

I think the outcomes of the trial needed to be addressed in that they were mostly surrogate outcomes and how the FDA approved the drug based on the studies.

Thought she presented the data really well

Stacey was able to sift through a lot of information in 4 studies and draw out the pertinent information.

You did a great job going over the studies however since you had so many it was too long. Pick the most important things from the study and go over them briefly

Good work with the clinical data, but the more narrow the better.

It was hard to follow along with you when you presented the data

You presented the results to trials well and your analysis seemed accurate

I think that stating the strengths and limitations throughout the study analysis helps the audience to

really think about them. I liked that you did this in your seminar.

next time focus on the key trial results you did a great job presenting the information, but there was too much information to filter through. You need a little more practice on being concise and it may help by narrowing your topic.

Using 4 studies with very different outcomes makes it hard to come to conclusions about the drug you are looking at. Perhaps look at 2 studies and really get to know those studies and be able to really explain them and why they are beneficial in making decisions about this drug.

Very very detailed analysis of studies! Great job! Next time, maybe focus on key points for sake of time, especially since you had 4 trials. Also, this was a very broad topic so it might be easier to focus on a more narrow aspect of the topic to prevent you having to consider all study outcomes/parameters.

You presented your information clearly and covered a lot of material in the designated amount of time.

You early did great getting through all of those trials

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	13	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.67
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	14	3	0	1	0	0	0	6.67
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	13	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.67
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89

Conclusions Comments

Your conclusions were supported by the data presented, and I agreed with each of your conclusions.

Good conclusions

Could have used a little more explanation of why you picked that conclusion

The information presented was very useful and will help in my own future clinical judgments.

You had a great conclusion, but maybe be more bold in your conclusion. Tell us exactly why you came to that conclusion. It wasn't perfectly clear.

The conclusion to not recommend pitavastatin was supported by your articles but the wording of the conclusion made it sound like clinical benefit was the focus of the review of studies. Most of the studies were examining lipid lowering.

strong conclusions , but state why/how you came to it

I agreed with Stacey's conclusions but for slightly different reasons.

Great job with forming conclusions, however it goes back to the confidence aspect. Be strong in your conclusions! YOu are the one with the most knowledge about the topic so state your conclusion with conviction! Otherwise great job!

very appropriate conclusions, but surprising

Agree with your conclusion

Based on the presented data your conclusions seemed reasonable

Your conclusions were supported by your data. However, be confident in your conclusions and you will help the audience feel this more.

Your conclusions were appropriate given the abundance of information presented.

Again the very different outcomes of 4 studies made it hard to make a solid conclusion about this drugs place in therapy.

Good recommendations for the pharmacist and applications to pharmacy practice.

I personally felt that your interpretation of the data into your conclusions was the greatest strength to your seminar.

I liked that you described specifically who you would use this with

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	15	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.78
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Question Answer Session Comments

Great job fielding and answering questions. You had some difficult questions, but you used your strong knowledge base to answer them appropriately.

Great job answering questions thoroughly

nice job answering all the questions

Wonderful job answering questions. There were several very difficult questions posed (including med chem!), and she was able to answer them to the best of her ability.

Great job with some hard questions. Be confident cause you clearly know the answers.

Good job with tough questions.

answered all questions with confidence

Stacey got some difficult questions but was able to answer them very well.

great job answering questions! You got some hard ones but you did great

Presenter did well with the med chem question. Also do not put something in your presentation that you cannot speak too (ie structures)

I think you shined most during your q/a section

Great job answering questions, sometimes it seemed as though you offered a little more information than was needed to express the answer to a question (ie, could have been a little more succinct). But overall did a good job with questions and could tell you knew your information well

You were able to answer some very difficult questions very well.

You did an amazing job answering the tough questions.

When answering questions you sometimes went very long and your answers were kind of disjointed and confusing, you knew your stuff, perhaps work on answering questions more succinctly.

You handled questions very well, even when "grilled". Definitely demonstrated knowledge of subject.

Your Q&A was great because we got to see you let go of reading the slides and blast us with your knowledge! It was really great to watch. You did fantastic in this category.

Great job under pressure

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.83
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	14	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.78
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.83
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	16	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.83

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Your overall knowledge base was strong and apparent. Remember that you know your stuff, and exude confidence.

Good knowledge base

Good knowledge of the subject

Again, great ability to synthesize knowledge

Well-prepared. You knew your stuff and it showed. Great job Stacey!!!

Good job theorizing about med chem!

she demonstrated that she knew her topic very well during the Q&A sessions.

Stacey was well prepared and had a good understanding of her seminar topic.

Great job again! YOU were able to see the difference between statistical significance and clinical significance.

Very strong knowledge base and was apparent in the QandA /

Good overall knowledge basis

Overall knowledge base was evident. Would have liked to have seen how you feel your conclusions (especially recommending pitavastatin in patients who experienced increased blood glucose) fit with the guidelines, or what the guidelines opinions are on those who are concerned about statins and diabetes causation.

It was clear that you knew a lot about the structure and the mechanism of pitavastatin. You definitely did a lot of research to prepare.

You had a great knowledge base and it really showed when you answered questions

You showed good knowledge outside of the seminar subject.

Definitely demonstrated knowledge of topic and was able to provide detailed insight into posed questions.

You had a great overall knowledge base. It showed when you answered questions. Well done!

Probably just review the dose equivalencies

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked that you made the topic personal and relevant to your own story.

I like that you mentioned the natural/synthetic derivatives of statins and there differing pharmacokinetics

Liked the formatting of the slides

I liked the personal interest in the topic and the level of passion that came from that.

Well done with a ton of information to get through. Hard topic (anything as common as cholesterol meds is hard), but you did great!

Statins are relevant because of the huge change in the guidelines.

i enjoy when people present studies very well and she did and also presented more than 2

Stacey kept her analysis of the studies brief but still touched on the most important points.

Great job with the seminar! It was a huge topic to under take in 35 mins and you did a good job

Very knowledgable presenter. lots of material covered

I like how you handled questions

I have always been interested if pitavastatin had a place in therapy whatsoever and what it may add to the field of already established statin drugs

I think that your overall flow was very good and you became more confident towards the end of the presentation. Keep working on your confidence and you will be great.

The personal aspect of the topic

Showed good, valid interest in the subject.

Was able to provide a lot of information about topic, even though it was a very broad topic. Also was able to demonstrate knowledge through answering questions.

I really liked how you wrapped up the seminar with "10 reasons" and showed a picture of your family. What a great closing touch to a great seminar!

Great topic, you made me interested in statins... That's hard to do these days

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Display confidence to give yourself the credibility you deserve.

Attention to detail - You had several mispronunciations, word mixups, misspellings, etc. throughout the presentation. Make sure and practice your presentation several times to iron out these issues beforehand. Also, have confidence! You are supposed to be an expert in this topic!

To many studies could have cut it down a little bit

Gain more confidence. Spell check slides and have them proofread.

Confidence. Show it some more. You clearly were the expert, so act like it. :)

Fill in some gaps in the background to set up the controversy.

like I said previously have multiple eyes look through for spelling/grammar/ generic names etc are not capitalized etc

Stacey is very intelligent but she needs to have more confidence in herself.

Beause the topic was so large there was too much information for a short seminar. FInd a way to narrow the topic down.

Maybe too much material covered (good and bad). The additional of a dose equivalency chart would have really helped the audience in this presentation, otherwise this is apples to oranges and thus a meaningless discussion of outcomes if the comparators are not even equivalent

Confidence,confidence,confidence

The titles of some of your studies were not capitalized in your handout

If you present four studies again consider condensing it down to just the key points from each study or even try to focus your seminar down to a specific patient population. This way it will be easier to present since that much information can sometimes overwhelm an audience.

more confidence and more concise approach to presenting the material

Have more confidence in yourself and don't rely on your slides so much.

More confidence next time! You know this information! :) Also, it would be easier to narrow down a focus point within to cut down on having to consider all study outcomes/parameters. The seminar did go pretty long so it would have been nice if you hit more of the key points of each trial instead of going into extreme detail with each one in the powerpoint presentation (but keep it in the handout for reference!).

Play with the clicker before the seminar so you are familiar with it. I would also put less information on your Powerpoint slides so you don't rely on the slides to present your seminar.

Just show a little more confidence

General Comments

Great Job!

Great seminar

Job very well done. Answering those questions was amazing.

Great job.

Nice work with a huge topic.

great job Stacey.

Great job!

Great job!!! You need a little more confidence but you did a great job

yep

Good job

close door during presentation. Overall great job.

Good Job!

You know your stuff, have confidence in that.

Overall, great job!

I timed your seminar for your future information. You spent exactly 10 minutes on background. You spent 10 minutes on the first 2 studies and another 10 minutes for your other 2 studies. You spent 10 minutes with conclusions/pharmacists role/questions. It was from 4:40- 5:20. I felt like you did a great job cramming in 4 studies. Be cautious in using that many studies so you don't go too far over time. You did a great job and should be really proud of what you accomplished.

Great job!