

Presenter: Tran, Joanne

Seminar Date: 2013-10-22

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.95	6.84	6.88	6.74	6.76	6.94	6.84	6.5	6.63	6.9	6.58	6	7	6.3	0	0	0	E (46.8)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

You looked very confident giving your presentation, you spoke at a good pace, and did a great job presenting. The only thing that could be improved is to stand behind the podium next time and look at your slides from there so you don't have to turn around to see them.

I appreciated the pace at which the presentation moved - just fast enough to keep things moving but not so fast that I couldn't process the info

It was a good pace and the pictures were a nice addition to break up the text.

The flow of the presentation was great and she showed a great confidence in the material she was presenting.

The presentation style was appropriate, the only aspect I found a little distracting was the repeated mentioning of her dislike for the bitter melon. While it did not take away from the overall presentation I found it unnecessary.

Great presentation style. Probably is best you weren't chewing gum :)

She was prepared in what she needed to take from each slide

you kept a good pace! very easy to follow along with

good flow throughout

Joanne did a great job presenting. She had an appropriate pace and provided the audience with good

background information.

Great pace

Great overall flow.

Great flow of material but did notice occasional looking at floor when talking.

This presenter looked much more comfortable this year than she did last year. She had good body language, and didn't have distracting mannerisms. An appropriate amount of eye contact was made with the audience. Additionally, she knew her slides well and didn't need to read off of them.

She was exceptionally professional. She went at a really great pace for the audience.

The presenter had a great pace and maintained eye contact with the audience throughout the seminar. She knew exactly what was on her slides and didn't have to read off the slide at all.

I thought the pace was great. You were very professional and confident in your approach to the seminar.

Good pace throughout.

I thought Joanne did a great job being familiar with the material she presented.

good pace

Great eye contact and professionalism during entire seminar

Very confident and prepared

Good pacing with no distracting mannerisms. Material was presented appropriately.

great jobs, no additional commends

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	20	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.79
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	17	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.71
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.92

Instructional Materials Comments

I liked your slides and handout. They were easy to read and I had no problems understanding.

The algorithm for Type 2 DM was a good chart to have. Although it was explained that there is no conversion between A1C and fructosamine levels, maybe a line graph showing ranges could have given me a visual perception of the comparison.

It would be nice if the objectives were focused more, I could find one in the handout but wasn't sure it was really talked about during the presentation.

The material she presented was thorough. Although it would have been better to have everything in the order the presentation slides were organized. This way, following the presentation would have been easier.

My main complaint I found with the presentation was that the handout was not in the same order as the presentation. This caused me to continuously jump around to find where in the handout we were in relation to the presentation. This disrupted a smooth flow of the presentation.

Slides were very clear and I did not see any spelling errors

The slides were clear and with a good flow. Some slides had information that needed to be pointed out in the slide to guide the audience

great job on the handout!

the materials were easy to follow. Perhaps include relevant tables from paper in appendix

Her slides were very easy to read and understand. They contained good information but didn't have too many words on the slides.

Add some pic will be more information to the audience

Excellent presentation with concise slides and useful pictures of the melon.

I really appreciated the clarification on what an overweight BMI is for an Asian patient compared to what we classify as overweight in US.

This presenter utilized pictures in her seminar, making the seminar more interesting and more "audience friendly". Additionally, she had multiple useful graphs in her seminar slides that made the concepts she was presenting easier to visualize. However, this presenter could improve in presenting the material by appropriately referring to 'et. al' verbally (ie: ...and colleagues... or and the others)

She could have used more visuals (pictures/diagrams) in her presentation to make the information more accessible to the audience.

The slides were easy to follow with a lot of information pictures that enhance the learning environment.

The handout was well organized and went along with the slide really well.

Handout and presentation didn't match up in some aspects.

The handouts were very well organized and went along with the lecture perfectly.

very clear and concise. i loved the pictures, I seriously found your topic very interesting

Handout was easy to follow. Bitter melon tasted horrible.

Very thorough and well-organized

Little to no grammatical errors. Diagrams were adequately displayed.

great jobs, no additional commends

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	21	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	17	6	1	0	0	0	0	6.67
4	Appropriate background information was provided	21	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Great interest in the topic. The only other information I would have liked to see presented is what part of the fruit is pharmacologically active.

The flow was great. Transitions from slide to slide were smooth, with good lead in ideas or sentences

I liked that you oriented us the population that was used in the studies but more of an explanation or more time on the other lab values that we don't really use and how to maybe apply those results would have been useful (fructosamine levels).

The overall presentation was great and she showed a great interest in the material she was presenting.

The content was good, and she showed a true personal interest into the subject.

Great flow, Awesome job at presenting on 4 studies

Good flow, good transitions

great content and topic! and thanks for bringing in samples

nice transitions and flow throughout your talk

Joanne's presentation was very well organized and contained good information.

Great flow! Minimal reliance on slides and I like the way you kept eye contact with the audience.

Clear objectives, great controversy. I commonly see crazy herbal supplements for diabetes.

Good background information and I really understood your interest in the topic.

This presenter was very articulate with the reason why she presented the topic. I also enjoyed how she brought in bitter melon for everyone to try, even though it was very gross.

Her presentations were very smooth and I appreciated how well organized she was throughout the whole presentation.

The transition throughout the entire presentation was smooth. I was able to understand the background

and controversy of the topic.

The introduction set the tone for the rest of the presentation. I really like the background information as well.

Not all objectives were met.

Joanne did a great job discussing the interesting claims and limitations of the herbal product being considered.

kept my interest throughout (which is saying a lot in the afternoon)

Transitions were smooth and overall presentation was well organized

Very good explanation of why you were interested in this topic.

Clearly defined the controversy and objectives. Background information was adequately presented.

great jobs, no additional commends

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	21	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.83
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	16	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.54
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	19	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.71
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	19	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.71
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	19	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	6.86
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	20	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.79

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

I would have liked to see more statistical analysis. I think it was hard because the studies were not well-done

Overall the analyses were well thought out

I liked how you said that the trials had limited external validity and explained why.

She presented a complete analysis of strengths and limitations of both studies and her clinical data were statistically significant.

There were times when the studies seemed to not have very informative data. While this is a common flaw of studies relating to herbals, it would have been nice to have the data in terms of measurements directly related to measurements we are accustomed to, such HgbA1c.

Always so great at thinking about the methods of data analysis. Both last year and this year you had great comments and suggestions on data analysis methods they used.

One of the studies had some major problems with their statistical analysis and the seminarian indicated the limitations well

great presentation

nice catch on the relevancy of the statistics

Joanne did a good job defining the strengths and limitations of the study.

Well thoughtful analysis and great talk about the limitations

Not sure on the statistical analyses and pretty sure one of the studies was not powered to find a difference. I understand it is difficult to find solid studies for nutritional supplements.

Great job with presenting the information found in the studies. I would have liked to know what the clinical significant was of the fructoseamine results and if the blood glucose lower levels have any clinical impact in your opinion either alone or in combo with metformin.

She highlighted the important parts of the studies, and went through the statistics well.

Her objectives were concise but she didn't really go into details about the mechanisms of action of bitter melon which was one of her objectives.

The presenter did a thorough job of presenting the strengths and limitations of each study. The presentation of the studies were easy to follow and understand, allowing for the audience to make an education judgement on the trials.

The clinical data presented was really organized and provided enough detail for me to understand the studies. I thought the clinical outcomes, statistics in the handout and in the presentation were put together in way that anyone could grasp them.

Some of the statistical discussion was lacking.

Joanne did a great job analyzing the studies she used for her presentation.

This is the only problem I found with the entire presentation. You had an objective about the MOA and I felt it wasn't really covered. If you are going to make it an objective maybe spend time explaining.

Good analysis of the statistics of each study

A little shaky on the power explanation but overall presented clinical data very well.

Good discussion of the articles. Presenter discussed the outcomes and statistical tests.

great jobs, no additional commends

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	18	4	2	0	0	0	0	6.67
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	19	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.75
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	18	5	1	0	0	0	0	6.71
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92

Conclusions Comments

The data in the seminar was not very good so hard to draw a conclusion but you did a good job of trying to interpret the clinical significance.

The conclusions drawn are well supported by the studies

Overall good presentation. Didn't need to overemphasize that you didn't like the fruit. It made me wonder how applicable this would be in the US if not many people eat or like it. Would I ever encounter this in practice?

Her conclusion was based on the two studies she had collected and she presented a thorough explanation of the role of the pharmacist in the situation where patients request bitter melon for diabetes.

She did have a recommendation in line with the presented material.

Great job talking about weighing being realistic with patients vs dashing all hopes of fertility.

I think the conclusions were conservative and appropriate

great discussion

presentation led me to your conclusions

Joanne did a great job explaining the role of the pharmacist with regards to bitter melon.

I like how you clearly state the importance of using current guideline for DM control regardless of the presence of bitter lemon.

I was still a little weary of the conclusions to just go ahead and try the melon as monotherapy. If she had not explained further some of the clinical recommendations were fuzzy.

Did good job summarizing the results into a clear conclusion with recommendation of what we as pharmacists should take away from the seminar. Could have spent more time on clinical significance. Had to have question asked to bring it up and didn't completely explain the clinical significance.

I thought this presenter did a good job presenting the conclusions. However, I thought the "pharmacists"

role" section could have been expanded upon, citing specific brands that are ranked higher on the natural medicine comprehensive database, or just given an overview of important things to look for when selecting herbal products.

She supported her conclusions by data she presented in the seminar.

Even though the studies indicated that bitter melons did not show significant benefit for diabetes management, the presenter was still able to discuss the importance of the pharmacist role in the use of this product in practice.

the conclusions could have been a bit more detailed in that what you as a pharmacist would recommend. However, everything else was really well thought out and I understood the clinical importance of each of the studies and how you came to your conclusion.

Conclusions on safety seemed to be drawn from cultural uses rather than the studies.

Joanne made a clear recommendation but may have concluded that bitter melon is safe for patient use solely on the basis of her personal experience with it culturally rather than as evidenced by primary literature.

Great trials and explaining our roles

Well, thought out conclusion based on results of each study.

none

Conclusions were supported by the provided data. Maybe describe more of the clinical relevance.

great jobs, no additional commends

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Question Answer Session Comments

Great job of Q & A. You were very confident answering all questions.

Great job being prepared for and answering questions

There seemed to be some difficulty in answering some of the questions about how applicable one of the studies was clinically.

She encouraged interaction during her presentation including after each study. It seemed that she knew a lot about bitter melon and she had done a lot of research for that subject.

She adequately fielded and handled most of the questions posed.

Great job with Q&A! You didn't let any questions throw you off on your flow

She did a good job providing information about the bitter melon

great job!

speaker answered patients confidently and with poise

Joanne did a great job encouraging questions and answering them. She was asked some tough questions but she appeared knowledgeable and confident as she answered them.

Thanks for encouraging questions

Great audience interaction.

Great job with answering question and was prepared for questions.

She wasn't asked many questions, but was able to answer them and think on her feet when she was asked questions.

She answered questions well except for one posed about statistical power and it's relation to statistical significance

The presenter engaged the audience very well. She encouraged and was able to answer most of the questions.

the answers given were not rushed and well thought out before your answered them.

Handled questions well.

Joanne was very helpful in her responses to questions posed in the question and answer session.

good answers to questions. I thought it was a nice touch bringing the bitter melon even though it about made me vomit

Seminarian did a great job answering questions.

confident in your answers

Questions were answered without hesitation.

great jobs, no additional commends

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	15	9	0	0	0	0	0	6.63
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	20	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.83
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	21	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.83
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Great job presenting diabetes knowledge. I came away from the seminar with a good understanding.

Good work

Good knowledge base. Knew a lot about diabetes.

Her overall knowledge of the subject was really good and even after questions that were more complicated, she would answer after thinking about the questions and sometimes after getting pointers on the subject from the professors.

She had a thorough knowledge on not only the disease state, diabetes, being discussed but also had valuable insight into the bitter melon and cultural aspects of it, and the current treatment options for diabetes management

You mentioned studies that you did not include in your presentation, which was excellent! You obviously did a lot of research and thinking with this topic

The seminarian demonstrated knowledge of the supplement and customary use in Asia

diabetes is definitely one of your strong suits

Joanne had a good understanding of diabetes therapeutics

Joanne did a good job thinking on her feet and answering questions.

Great job on discussing the statistically significance and clinical significance of bitter lemon

She was able to present the findings in her own clinical opinion, just might not have been able to draw clinical significance from the findings.

Had great knowledge of topic and demonstrated that she had fully researched the topic.

This student really understands diabetes and has a firm grasp on the various treatment options for diabetes.

She could have discussed clinical and statistical significance more

The presenter was able to separate clinical and statistical significance. She was able to identify that the some parameters (fructosamine) the studies looked at were not applicable to practice in the United States.

I thought you were extremely successful in thinking on your feet and theorizing questions you were not 100% sure on.

Statistical analysis was lacking.

Joanne did a wonderful job answering questions posed throughout her presentation.

You could tell you spent time preparing. I thought it was a great way to kick off the seminar class :) great job

Seminarian showed knowledge outside of her presentation during the question and answer portion of her seminar.

Very knowledgeable, great job!

Clear demonstration of knowledge. Maybe discuss more of the clinical significance.

quality of article could she chose could be better

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

You had good confidence and did not seem nervous

Two things actually: One, I liked the example of bitter melon food brought in. Two, the overall presentation was interesting because I didn't know how Metformin would compare to a natural product.

Good flow and interesting. Didn't feel bored or overwhelmed by the material. The pictures helped keep things interesting and break up the text.

I liked her interest level of the subject and the research she had done on bitter melon. I liked the fact she had brought the fruit to the class to show it to us since for many of us, it was unclear what the fruit looked like. She also knew a lot about diabetes.

I liked that she seemed to have a personal stake in this topic and it was something that she chose out of this personal interest, rather than just an easy subject to present on.

Great job overall! Great flow, you were clear and thoughtful about the material

I think the seminarian was brave to take on a topic that does not have a lot of evidence

i loved the topic. hopefully one day there will be an herbal/fruit/vegetable that will show promise to help with diabetes

good flow and easy to follow.

It was very obvious that Joanne had practiced her seminar and spent a lot of time preparing her seminar. I sincerely enjoyed her seminar.

Very confident. Minimal reliance on slides. Showed great interest on the subject.

I liked that a sample of the bitter melon was provided.

I really liked how well prepared and confident she was in her presentation and fully demonstrated her knowledge on the topic.

I enjoyed the cost analysis she did between metformin and bitter melon.

I loved how interesting it was and I attribute this to her ability to teach clearly and orient the audience to her topic. She understood her topic well and helped us all to understand it thoroughly.

I thought the pace of the presentation was great. The presenter was able to manage her time and discuss the topic very thoroughly. This made for a better learning environment and kept the audience engaged.

I really liked how you answered every question that was given. I also liked how you gave many opportunities for the audience to give questions.

I love that she brought visuals. It was really helpful to understanding what bitter melon is.

I really liked the unique topic considered in this seminar.

I thought it was clearly presented at a great pace and level of information. It kept my interest throughout.

Great pace and I liked how seminarian brought in the bitter melon fruit so audience can experience the taste of the fruit.

Very good background info, especially for the P3s that haven't had the diabetes module in therapeutics yet. I also thought it was good that she informed us of the differences in BMI interpretation in Asian vs American cultures.

I liked the price comparison between the herbal products and the standard treatment for DM type 2.

her presentation is very good pace and flow

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

I wish there had been better studies/data or if you could have found more evidence for or against using

Use more pictures/visual aides

Is this applicable to our patient population in the US? What is the clinical significance of some of the outcomes that the studies measured?

It would have been better to get more explanation on fructosamine scale since her studies were based on this.

I would have liked the hand out to follow the presentation order.

Some of the references on the bottom of your slides because a bit cluttered. Maybe try to shorten them, or only use one or two references on the slide and include more detail and references in the handout

I think she needed to emphasize the clinical importance of the results

i would've liked to see if there was an impact with the fructosamine reading

avoid bitter melon (just kidding). More pictures and images to break up slides with text.

I can't think of any improvements.

I like to know more how the bitter lemon pills used in the study was made

Been able to speak more about the clincial significance of the primary outcomes.

Could have mentioned clinical significance when describing the results and explained what the clinical

significance of fructose amine is.

I think a more specific pharmacists' role would have been useful to the audience.

She could have matched her handout with her slides more so it was easier to follow along during the presentation.

I thought the tables in the handout could have been organized differently. There are some parts of tables that would end on one page and restarted on another. For example, one of the sections on "Adverse Effects" started at the bottom of page 6 and ended on page 7. I think it would have been better to fit it on one page, or start "Adverse Effects" on a new page.

I think your conclusion should have included what you as a pharmacist would do.

Better statistical discussion.

Joanne could have provided more safety information on bitter melon if available.

Focus on the objective stated before. If it is going to be one of five objectives I feel it should be discussed more.

Maybe add one or two graphs in your results to help visualize the results of the study.

none

Maybe discuss more of the clinical significance of the study.

quality of two articles

General Comments

Great job on your LAST seminar ever!

Very well done

Overall good job and pace.

the overall presentation was great and I learned a lot from her conclusion and the role of the pharmacist she presented. I know if I ever get a patient who requests bitter melon what my answer would be.

I liked your personal enthusiasm for the subject in question.

Great job! Congratulations on being done!

Great job, great topic

great job!

Overall, nice job.

It was fun for Joanne to bring bitter melon for the audience to try.

Great job

Good job, again I know herbs and supplements are tough to find research on

Overall really great job and provided great information.

Joanne did a great job presenting. She looked calm and confident while presenting. She did well pacing her presentation, and she was able to think quickly.

This seminar was very well done. I liked the cost comparison to metformin that she included.

Overall, I thought the presenter did very well. She was composed throughout the entire seminar and was very knowledgeable about her topic.

The presentation was a success. I liked that I could comprehend everything presented in the presentation and that the studies were explained thoroughly with key highlights.

It was an interesting topic. I understand the studies were extremely limited for drawing conclusions from. I thought the discussion was good, but that there should have been a little more caution with the conclusions drawn.

I hint Joanne did a wonderful job!

I enjoyed your presentation.

Great job overall

Great job!

Overall, a great presentation. I learned a lot.

overall, she did a great job