Presenter: Huynh, Hoa

Seminar Date: 2014-03-25

### **Presenter Scores**

					Faculty Survey Data Averages								Final Scores				
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.9	6.92	6.93	6.87	6.61	6.97	6.91	6.88	6.5	6.9	6.45	6.5	7	6.6	0	0	0	E (47.19

Presentat	Presentation Style									
# Question	on	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean	
1 Modera	te Pace	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94	
2 Thoroug	gh eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.83	
3 Displayer manner	ed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting isms	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89	
4 Materia	I presented at the appropriate level for the audience	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94	

## **Presentation Style Comments**

Good pace and eye contact. The material was appropriate and I like that you stepped away from behind the podium. Good job.

Overall good pace and good job at getting the audience engaged in your seminar.

Very confident and held eye contact throughout presentation

Good presentation style and organization

Good flow with no major gaps or disturbing pauses or mannerisms.

Hoa was very professional and confident during his presentation.

Great pace

Good pace and you were prepared so you didn't have to look at your notes much.

He practiced his seminar which made it easy to follow through his presentation

He went at an excellent pace and was very professional. He also explained things well so it was at the appropriate level for the audience.

Hoa had to rely on the slides a bit and so eye contact could have been better. However you were very professional and pace was great.

I think your position in front of the podium made it awkward when you did look at the slides. It made it very obvious and made me feel like you were referring to them too much.

Hoa presented at a very comfortable and natural pace.

Hoa you have become a great presenter. Excellent work.

His presentation style was smooth and spoken with confidence

Very good background and presentation style You were very confident

Great pacing, minimal distractions. Material was appropriate for the audience.

student is professional, maintained good pace

Ir	nstructional Materials									
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.94
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

#### **Instructional Materials Comments**

The handout was a little text-dense. Maybe convert some of the paragraphs into bullets points. I liked the pictures though

I liked how detailed your handout was. There was more detail in there than present on your slides.

Noticed a few spacing errors on the handout, but nothing big

Pictures were graphic and helped to understand the issue

I'm very in favor of trying to convey as much as possible with pictures instead of words. Hoa had good relevant anatomical pics of appendectomy.

His slides were awesome. They weren't too wordy but provided the necessary information.

Handout was clear and concise

Good easy to read slides and handout.

Support material was useful to understanding and helped draw in the class.

His slides were clear and easy to read. The only thing I would have changed would be to make his handout more like his slides with bullet points and less text in long paragraphs. But at the same time, it was nice to have all the background information in his handout.

Graphs and pictures were great with your presentation

Your slides were great. Clean cut, visually appealing and easy to read.

I really enjoyed the clarity and succinctness of Hoa's handout.

Excellent slides and great job orienting. I especially liked your explanation of where the appendix is.

The slide set was very well done. They were interesting to look at and read throughout the entire presentation

Slides were very clean and organized

Great orientation to graphs and pictures. Slides and handout were easy to follow, with minor grammatical errors.

slides and handout are clear presented to the audience; explain the graphes

Overall Presentation Content									
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean	
1 Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89	
2 Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89	
3 Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94	
4 Appropriate background information was provided	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94	
5 Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	

### **Overall Presentation Content Comments**

Overall content was good. I had never considered antibiotic Tx an option before, likely because as mentioned appendectomy is the standard of care in the US.

You defined the controversy on this topic well. It was something I had not thought about.

Really liked that you included the pictures of the normal appendix versus the inflamed appendix

Good flow and intro

Your slide on cost of appendectomy versus implied costs of antibiotic therapy was a nice slide that ties everything together.

I like that Hoa had a personal connection to his topic (with his grandpa having appendicitis). It was clear that he was interested in this topic.

Very we'll defined controversy

I liked your interest in the topic. Before this seminar I did not know that there was an option other than surgery.

Interest in topic was good.

I liked his personal story about his family member who was treated with antibiotics instead of having an appendectomy. IT helped the audience gain more interest and insight into his topic.

I liked that your interest was more personal and that's why you chose your topic!

It was great to hear about what is done clinically in the US as well as other countries. It really framed you seminar and it's potential impact well.

Hoa's reasons for the selection of his topic really drew me in to his seminar.

Could use objective better. I felt you could have said more about cost effectiveness but overall well done

Background with the pictures really helped keep the audience's attention

I never knew there was another option for appendicitis, your topic and presentation was very interesting and informative.

Appropriate background information was presented. Objectives and controversy were clearly stated.

introducetion and objective are clear and succinct

Р	Presentation of Clinical Data									
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	12	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.67

### **Presentation of Clinical Data Comments**

Maybe delve somewhat more into strengths/limitations. I appreciate your note on the lack of P-values and study power.

I was impressed with the extra time you took to calculate your own p value since they were not given to you.

Loved that you calculated your own p value. Would have liked more analysis on the outcomes

The strengths and limitations were addressed well and calculated statistical values

Studies appeared to be critically assessed.

He did a good job evaluating his studies and naming strengths and weaknesses.

Even though p value was not given, I thought calculating p value was really amazing. Greta job

I really liked how you went in and calculated p values for the study that didn't. Not many people would do that and I was very impressed by that.

He presented his studies well, though he could have analyzed the second study more thoroughly.

The study's strengths and limitations were analyzed very well throughout the seminar and he was thorough and detailed throughout the whole presentation.

Loved that you calculated your own p value.

Some of the information that questions were asked about throughout the study I think should have been included in the seminar before the questions came up. Especially some of the things about randomization and the weaknesses of their procedures,

Hoa presented a thorough analysis of his studies, and even calculated a p-value for one of his studies that didn't include it.

Love that you calculated your own p-score. Would have liked more on strengths and limitations.

I thought it was good that he calculated the power data using what they provided him, showing that he was really analyzing what they were giving him

Results were very clear and easy to understand

Great analysis of the two studies. Maybe include more detailed analysis of the limitations of the studies.

provided a detailed analysis about two studies

C	onclusions								
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	14	1	2	1	0	0	0	6.56
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	12	4	1	1	0	0	0	6.5
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	14	2	2	0	0	0	0	6.67
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	14	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.72

#### **Conclusions Comments**

I would like to see more specific recommendations for a given patient population. For example, would age dictate a different Tx recommendation?

Overall good conclusion. Maybe in the pharmacists role be more specific about which patients you would recommend this over surgery.

The conclusion you presented did not really match up with the studies; However, when asked what you would have done in that position, you changed your conclusion (which was more in line with the studies). Clearly stating the patient population you would choose for antibiotic therapy and comparing that to the patient population you would recommend get an appendectomy would have helped.

I think the conclusion needed to be a bit more conservative and more specific to the situations where ABx therapy would be a more viable option

Your conclusions were complete but not tight. For your next seminar, make specific conclusions according to population subtypes. For example, in population X, do Y but in pop Z do A...

His conclusions were supported by the data he provided.

### Great conclusion

You had conclusions that were supported by the data and showed that antibiotic therapy can be an appropriate therapy option for patients where surgery is not an option.

I had never heard of antibiotic therapy for acute appendicitis so I found it interesting and useful clinically.

His conclusions were well supported by what he discussed in the seminar. He provided appropriate recommendations for pharmacy practice.

Your role as a pharmacist could have been a bit more detailed but I liked that you were you stated the importance of educating the patient.

Conclusions were interesting and thoughtful.

Hoa provided an excellent set of recommendations for the pharmacist.

excellent discussion on role of the pharmacist, would have like more application of how we can implement the information. back again to the cost effectiveness.

Conclusions were well thought out and put together, even if the evidence was not that strong in supporting it

I liked your conclusion, I think this would be interesting to see this more often in the US

Conclusions were somewhat supported by the data presented. Maybe emphasize the patient population to which therapy is appropriate.

discussed pharmacists roles and emphasized clinical importance

Question Answer Session								
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1 Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2 Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

#### **Question Answer Session Comments**

Didn't get asked very many questions, but did well answering those you were given.

You did a good job at answering questions and getting the audience to interact with you.

Great job with questions.

Good answers and interaction

Hoa knew the material.

He did a great job interacting with the audience and answering questions.

Answered questions thoroughly

Good job answering questions. I could tell that you had prepared and knew the material.

was able to answer the questions posed to him.

He did an excellent job thinking on his feet and answering questions.

You were able to answer the questions thoroughly

Handled questions well.

Hoa thoroughly answered questions posed by the audience.

Great job answering questions.

Encouraged questions while also being able to answer them in a satisfactory manner that made sense

You did a great job encouraging questions and ansering.

Presenter allowed enough time for questions and answered all questions well.

student encouraged questions at each section

C	Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	C	Mean	
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	16	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.83	
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.89	
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	16	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.83	
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	

# **Overall Knowledge Base Comments**

I think you knew your topic well. Good work.

From answering questions, it was clear that you knew a lot about this subject. Overall well done.

Great overall knowledge on the subject. You did very well with the data you had

Well prepared

It could have been interesting if you could find a study that assesses the cost of recurrent appendicitis after antibiotic treatment (i.e. impact on QUALY).

He did a good job thinking on his feet and answering questions.

Great knowledge of disease state and social issues associated with it. Great conclusion

Strong overall knowledge base which showed when you were answering questions.

Background knowledge was extensive.

He looked beyond the author's conclusions and offered additional insight on the topic to come to solid conclusions that the audience could easily agree with due to their validity.

Your cost analysis conclusion was a little off. There needed to more analysis of this in order to conclude there was a difference.

Seemed very knowledgeable.

Hoa demonstrated thorough knowledge and preparation of his topic in his conclusions on the clinical significance and place in therapy for antibiotic treatment of appendicitis.

Excellent knowledge base was displayed in your presentation.

He clearly had a strong knowledge base on his topic and was able to speak confidently throughout the presentation, which helped keep the audiences attention

Clearly knew more outside of your papers and was easily able to answer questions.

Presenter had clear knowledge base as evident by the minimal reliance on notes and answering questions.

student is knowledgable about the topic, able to think on his feet with confidence

# Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I like your involvement of the audience in the topic. That is a great way to get and keep our attention.

I liked how you involved the audience in your seminar. You did a good job at explaining how you choose your topic and the controversy surrounding your topic.

Awesome background story to why you chose this topic...something I had never heard of, but it's fascinating they do this in Europe!

comparing two interventions was difficult, good choice in topic

Clinically relevant topic that has the potential for cost-savings IF we conclusively knew what population to treat with antibiotics.

I really like Hoa's presentation style. He did such a great job getting audience participation. His slides were also very well done and weren't too wordy.

Great pace. I was that you went above and beyond to calculate power

Your calculation of p values for the study that didn't report them was very impressive and was a nice touch to your presentation.

I liked the extra effort he put in to draw in the class through class participation. I also appreciated the extra effort he put into his statistical calculations.

He was very professional yet still very interesting to listen to. He worked very hard on this seminar and it showed. This was a very high quality seminar.

I liked that your personal story at the beginning. It drew me in and made me / Interested in your topic.

I liked the unique perspective. I didn't know it was possible to do anything other than surgery to treat appendicitis. It was interesting to learn that the US is unique in our treatment being almost exclusively surgery. Great topic choice.

I really enjoyed the calculation of the p-value and the crisp, clean appearance of the slides and handouts.

Interesting topic and information

Calculating the power to determine significance was admirable and took it a step further to show he was thinking on his own to formulate his conclusions

You chose a very interesting topic and did a great job presenting the data.

I liked how the presenter oriented all the pictures and graphs on the slides.

# Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

I think my only recommendation is to revise the handout to be less text-dense.

Make sure that your studies support everything that you put into your conclusion.

More solid conclusions and analysis of the studies would have been great

Nothing I can think of

Try not to repeat yourself. You had a couple stretches where you re-mentioned that no p statistic was provided.

No improvements.

More picture and tables may be appropriate

I feel like the cost-effectiveness inclusion wasn't necessary and didn't really apply since what something costs in another country does not reflect what it costs here.

I think that he could improve on exploring why he did not completely agree with the conclusions of the author of the second study. It seemed his personal conclusions were not necessarily backed by the data that was presented.

He could have reduced the amount of text in his handout or he could have broken up the paragraphs more with bullet points to reduce the amount of text.

More eye contact was needed and the conclusion of the cost analysis was not truly supported by the data. Either leave it out explain more research needs to be done to make that conclusion.

Analysis of studies could be more in depth especially in regards to evaluating the weaknesses of studies.

The presentation was excellent.

I would have liked a solid conclusion as to why you would choose one over the other. You mentioned cost effectiveness data how do I apply it.

A stronger conclusion and role for the pharmacist would be helpful

Maybe decrease the the background a bit on the apendectomy stuff.

Maybe be more clear which patient population would be the best candidate for which therapy. That was slightly unclear at the end of the presentation.

a better study in terms of study design and basic characteristics

# **General Comments**

Nice job overall.

I liked how you were honest when someone asked if you could have surgery or antibiotic therapy if you had to choose now.

Congrats on being done! You did a great job!

Good job

Nice.

Great job! It was obvious that you were very prepared for seminar.

Great job!

Overall you did a great job and I could tell that you were prepared.

Great first seminar.

This was an excellent seminar! Way to go!!!

Overall great job. You were very professional and knowledgable about your topic.

Great job.

Great job presenting an interesting topic with lots of audience participation that really drew us in.

Overall an excellent job. I give you an A!!!

Overall, good presentation on an interesting topic

Good job!

Overall, a great presentation. I have learned a lot.

overall, it is a great seminar, i learned useful information from it