

Presenter: Garland, Hilary

Seminar Date: 2014-03-18

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.93	6.97	6.93	6.91	6.83	6.9	6.87	6.38	6	6.3	5.91	6.5	6.5	6.1	0	0	0	E (46.34)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	28	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.93
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	29	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	26	2	2	0	0	0	0	6.8
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Your presentation style was good except that you seemed a little overly enthusiastic about the topic at the beginning of the seminar. By the end your nerves settled down and you did great

Very good presentation style. Sometime maybe a little too casual.

Good pace and eye contact. I didn't see any distracting mannerisms like hand waving or lots of "ums."

Really liked your enthusiasm. Spoke very loud and clear

good pace during seminar

Good steady delivery. Seminar was easy to follow and interesting.

Good job starting with the case. A little disjointed pace near the end.

Great poise and professionalism

I really liked your pace and confidence in presenting your seminar

You seemed excited to talk about your subject.

Showed lots of confidence in presenting.

Great eye contact and little reliance on notes. However, some things sounded a little less professional, i.e. "one trick pony"

You rocked this

i liked your presentation style because you seemed calm up there and it sounded like you were in a great mood despite delivering a seminar

great casual style

Good presentation style

Great overall style

good conversational style, but seemed you were maybe slightly uncomfortable at times and maybe slightly too casual

Appeared confident and enthusiastic. Minimal reliance on notes.

There were a few "um yeah" mannerisms throughout the presentation but it wasn't distracting. I found it humanizing and made you easy to relate to.

very good pace

Try to avoid the use of um, yeah, and so. These can be distracting when used so frequently.

Excellent job

Very confident and relaxed

Great presentation skills. Very enthusiastic.

good pace and great eye contact

Keep the hand motions to a minimum. Sometimes you were a little too cheesy and seemed very rehearsed when addressing the audience

Great pace and confidence.

she had a good presentation style and spoke with confidence

good confidence and eye contact

Instructional Materials										
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	29	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	29	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	29	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	29	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.97

Instructional Materials Comments

Good slides and good references to the handout

Nice well prepared slides

I liked the breakdown of the study slides; they weren't too wordy like a lot of data slides are. Good orientation to diagrams, especially the MOA of NAC.

Slides very clean and charts and images clear

good orientation to appendix

More visuals would have been nice but overall, your slides were clean and well organized.

Slide format was great. No criticisms here.

Handout was good and easy to follow

I really liked the layout of your slides, I could tell that you put a lot of work into your slides

The materials sufficed.

Handout and slides were very easy to read, clear, and well organized.

Great slides- some were lengthy, so just make sure to keep that in mind in the future.

stellar

i liked your slides because some of the styles you used in it were unique to other seminars

Great handout materials, not overwhelming ;)

Material was clear and easy to read

Materials were good

nice innovative slides

I liked the case presentation. Great handout and appendix. The slides seemed to have too many graphics. All of the boxes and such were a little distracting.

Very good job on the handout. Not too busy and very easy to read.

one of the best handouts i've seen

Consider putting the reference at the bottom of the slide to make it easier for the audience to not a reference if they want to.

Good

Well done, lot of time, clearly very professional

Couple of grammar/punctuation errors. The formats between slides seemed highly variable and different (different colors and styles of charts) and may have been more streamlined with one format.

Her slides were easy to read and well organized

Great slides. Loved the little color. Some of the slides were kind of confusing because of the way the information was presented, especially when presenting the study results

Slides were easy to read, appropriate amount of information on each slide (not too much or too little)

Slides were very clear and easy to read. The case slide was maybe a bit long though

slides were very well done, except a few spots where headings were in white and small and tough to see

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	29	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.93
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	28	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	29	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
4	Appropriate background information was provided	26	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.87
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	29	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97

Overall Presentation Content Comments

You seemed a little bit nervous in the beginning

It seemed that the presentation was trying to tackle all symptoms with autism at first then focused on irritability but the transition was not clear.

The objectives were good and I felt they were achieved but I would have liked more objectives from the end of the seminar, not so many from the background section. I really liked the intro section on how you got interested in the topic.

Really liked the story as to why you chose this topic. Great topic since we don't have much exposure to it in the pharmacy program

interesting topic. good job in using new guidelines

Intersting topic. This is the first time I've heard of NAC being used in autism as a supplement. Complex topic as well since there is still a lot to learn about autism and its causes.

I could tell you cared about the subject. No critiques.

Presentation of the case was helpful for the context of the seminar

I really liked how you explained your interest in the topic and how your social worker husband got you excited about the topic

Organization and flow was good.

Showed an interest in her topic. Objectives were clear. Presentation was well organized and smooth.

The introduction to your topic was very lengthy. . . and then you read all the objectives which made it feel even longer. Sometimes it is good enough just to say that the objectives are listed in your handout for your reference. I feel like way too much time was spent on this.

Great work on background

succinct controversy and set up the stage for seminar

Great content for a hard topic

Good background

I feel there could have been a bit more background. But great job overall

good job with objectives and background info, maybe more detail on the irritability score

Good background presented especially since we get very little exposure to pediatrics or psych. Well organized.

Your content was well researched, a bit more information about the scale they used to measure the outcome would have been nice.

very interesting topic, i really liked learning about autism. thanks for the shout out too!

Further explanation of the scales would have been helpful but overall the background information was useful for understanding the presentation.

Great- I liked your interest in the topic

Give more detail regarding stats and scales used

Great information presented on a new topic for many of us.

The controversy was presented clearly and slides were well organized.

Very clear presentation of what you wanted us to get out of your seminar. Made it easy to follow along because you were clear in your expectations

Excellent background to help the audience understand autism before just jumping into the topic

Her slides and handout were clear in stating her objectives and she spoke as to why she was interested in this topic

interesting topic but doesn't seem very relevant as I don't think I would recommend this

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	28	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.93
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	26	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.87
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	29	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	27	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	27	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	27	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.87

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Good job of identifying the study strengths and weaknesses. This was a difficult topic and you made it easy to understand

Some of the dropouts were breezed over too quickly.

I liked the detailed analysis on weaknesses, particularly why excluding patients with seizures was a limitation. I would have liked it if you told us that NAC was being used as a supplement, not an rx.

Would have liked a bit more detail on the scales used and what they really mean to us especially since it is so subjective

good interpretation of statistics

I would have liked to see the small sample size of the studies emphasized more. Also, the inclusion of a clinical significance vs statistical significance diagram in your background material may have helped.

In analyzing adverse effects, I would have seen some more issues with GI effects than mentioned.

I think Dr. Stevens had a point in calling you out on the analysis for recommending this to patients, while it might not do harm I don't know if I'd actually recommend

I really liked your explanations of the inclusion and exclusion criteria, set up the results nicely

You presented the trials well; it is always more difficult when you are dealing with subjective measures.

Good detailed analysis of the clinical data presented.

I liked how you presented the study protocols, statistical analysis, and results. The slides were very clear to read and you presented the material well.

yup

you presented the clinical data in a way the audience could understand

Great job looking at the data

Clinical data was limited but you did a good job recognizing limitations

Great job interpreting studies

present p values more clearly, and make sure to mention if scores were physician or parent assessed, good job hitting the important points though.

Be sure to take the time necessary to explain the evidence scales. In the second study, you breezed over the results. However, when you did interpret results, you did discuss how this would impact us as clinicians.

The clinical data was well presented. I would have liked to hear more emphasis on the benefit vs the side effects

very good breakdown of the data

Overall presentation of clinical data was very thorough.

Good

more detail needed to fully understand these complex studies and clinical presentations

Great utilization of the few studies you had to work with

The outcome measures were clearly stated and explained.

Great understanding of your studies. Could tell you really broke them down and studied them

Clear objectives for the audience member.

Her analysis of the studies were well done and covered the most important information appropriately.

the studies were analyzed well, but some of the testing criteria studied was not explained that well

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	23	3	3	1	0	0	0	6.6
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	26	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.87
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	28	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.93
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	28	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.93

Conclusions Comments

As a future community pharmacist I liked that you talked about the risks/benefits of expensive medications. That is a question we get asked a lot

Conclusions were difficult to establish from a recommendation point of view.

I liked the conclusions on the slides. It was strong but then when Dr. Stephens asked you a question on it you kind of wavered and back tracked. Support your conclusions.

Conclusions on slides did not match what the presenter said at certain times

good pharmacist role slide

I tend to agree with your initial conclusion that this is probably not going to hurt--it is a precursor for the antioxidant glutathione. Additionally, the placebo effect is powerful. But as always, we have to balance risks and benefits.

You recommend NAC, but the data don't really support its use.

You hit the discussion points though I don't know if your conclusion for recommendation was appropriate

I like how you gave very specific conclusions, even including a dose in your recommendation

It seemed that you were a little conflicted about what to conclude, but in the end I think you were able to make it clear enough (in short, that it's not clear).

May a clear recommendation in terms of the pharmacists role.

You made a very firm decision, especially giving an exact dose. This was brave of you, but supported by the evidence that you presented. I am still concerned with using N-acetylcysteine in autism. . . I am not sure you convinced me. But it may be better than herbal products.

You took what into you had and did great

I liked the case you incorporated to help define a role of pharmacist

Great conclusions about the material

Good conclusions

Interesting conclusions

strong work going for it on the conclusions and taking it into the realm of recommendations

You did have a controversial conclusion that I'm not quite sure you were ready to defend. I did like that you presented the limited situations in which you would recommend this therapy, but I don't think this became clear until you had answered the questions you were given.

I was a bit confused by your conclusion. Your slides seemed to indicate that you thought the use of nax was a good idea but when you were pressed on the issue you seemed to capitulate.

strong conclusions, for limited data

Conclusions should be evidenced based. It may not be appropriate to use adult dosing in pediatrics. The conclusion regarding dosing of NAC is not very well supported. Even if you state it is your own opinion it is important to try and support it with the best evidence.

Your conclusions seemed a bit shaky- try to be more firm on what you would really recommend next time

Appropriate but be stronger...stick to your guns

Strong conclusions for all scenarios that patients may present

The conclusions were well supported by the data provided.

You got a lot of really difficult questions. Way to stick it out and tell us what you know

Hilary did a good job discussing what a pharmacist can do to help with monitoring autistic persons medications and how to work with their caregivers.

She went into a thorough explanation of what the pharmacist's role should be and it was appropriate for the seminar topic

I wish she would have stuck to her guns a little more and not been so easily persuaded by the faculties comments. I believe that for some parents the irritability issue is more important than minor GI upset.

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	26	3	0	1	0	0	0	6.8
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

Your knowledge really showed in the Q & A section

It would have benefitted the presentation to repeat the audience questions to verify if the question was really understood. Brayden asked really three different questions that ended up getting lumped together and both would have benefitted from clarifying the question.

I felt she kind of floundered when she was asked a question and kind of changed her conclusion after the question. Other questions were handled well.

Received quite a few questions, but handled them well.

good job answering questions

Hilary did a good job with questions.

The answer for the last question rambled.

You got hit with some tough questions but you answered with thoroughly and with thought which you could only have done with such a great knowledge base

Very good job continually asking if there were any questions. You did a good job of encouraging interaction with the audience

You got some tough ones, but didn't shrink.

Showed poised when answered tough questions.

I like that you had question slides throughout your presentation. It provides a natural break in your presentation and doesn't make people hold their questions to the end.

Some of the questions seem to throw you just a bit.

thank you for encouraging questions

Lots of questions but you handled them well

Good job answering questions

Decent job with Q and A. I do think it was once used as a mucolytic though - a very disgusting mucolytic

good job keeping your composure and answering difficult questions

Allowed time for questions.

You had some very tough questions and you handled them with poise. Clearly you are able to think on your feet and answer tough questions under pressure.

very excellent job handling tough questions

Answered all questions well.

Great job

great answers to hard questions

Answered tough questions well

She got tons of questions and handled the questions very well.

Great job on your questions. Way to keep it calm and collected

Questions encouraged throughout the presentation. Hilary did a great job addressing questions, especially when facing difficult question to answer.

Had a good amount of question slides as well as able to answer questions from the audience

Her answers to questions were sometimes not focused and tended to ramble a little

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	25	3	0	2	0	0	0	6.7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	27	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.87
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	26	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.87
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	28	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.93

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Good references to other websites to look up supplements

Some of the opinions were presented too strongly as fact.

Would have liked more info on the scales used in trials at least in the handout. It was clear she did a lot of background research from the additional info in the seminar that wasn't in the slides or handout.

It would have been nice to touch on the parent's thoughts on the children's behavior more than the scales which do not translate to a clinical difference

very concrete and confident recommendation

Hillary conveyed knowledgeability on the topic.

Good theorizing on your feet, and showing additional knowledge.

You did great with thinking on your feet and even reevaluating conclusions when challenged

Good job thinking on your feet, I could tell that you knew a lot more than just the material that you presented

Overall, good overall knowledge base (regarding autism).

She was able to think on her feet and adjust to the audience's questions.

Discussed clinical/statistical difference when necessary during your studies. You also discussed current treatment strategies and the use of N-AC.

You have so much knowledge

by the way you answered questions, you could tell you had a great knowledge base on your topic

You knew your stuff

Good subject knowledge

Great overall knowledge base

definitely displayed knowledge beyond what was presented as far as disease, other indications for NAC, and personal experience shadowing on patients.

Able to answer questions well. In the future, anticipate these questions and incorporate their answers in to your presentation. Hopefully you will have fewer questions that way!

It looked like you had your entire presentation memorized! Your slides did not hinder you at all.

you showed the audience you really knew your stuff

The presenter seems to have a good knowledge base and used it to present the information.

Excellent Q&A... This was one of the biggest strong points of your seminar. Congratulations!

very knowledgeable clearly knew the topic very well

Demonstrated thorough knowledge of subject beyond what was presented in seminar

She was able to look beyond the author's conclusion and able to think on her feet.

Very knowledgeable about your topic. Great job on presenting a topic to us that we were not too familiar with

Hilary clearly had a good background in her topic and as an audience member I felt confident that she knew what she was talking about.

She was able to look beyond the studies conclusions and formulate her own opinion and thoughts

I think she got flustered a little by some very tough questions and didn't stick to her guns when answering tough questions.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Good job of giving tips about what to do in autism

i liked that there was extra research that took place outside of just searching the internet

Really good and detailed background section. Kudos for picking a topic that is new to us in pharmacy school.

Interesting topic and it is hard to do such a subjective topic, but you handled it well

very interesting topic! autism is always difficult

Interesting topic AND challenging topic to do a seminar on because the data is so lacking. Good balance of background to data.

The evidence was my favorite. I love small studies.

Professional but also fun, your style was great!

I really liked how specific your conclusions were, even including dosing of NAC

It really seemed to be a topic that you cared about.

Good topic and information.

I loved your slides and your presentation of your studies. It was very clear and presented nicely on your slides. It was easy to read and understand.

I liked that a topic we don't cover in school was chosen

I liked that you incorporated a case into your seminar

Great at applying this topic to our roles as pharmacists

Good presentation style

I really liked the topic. A very interesting concept

Interesting topic and good job tackling a difficult to present subjective outcomes study

Enthusiasm and comfort in presenting.

You were asked hard questions but you took control of the situation.

just super thorough in your knowledge

The topic is very unique and it made me think more about how we treat autistic disorder. Thank you for making me think about new things.

Q&A session

Interesting topic and great background information

Great ties back to the original case throughout the presentation

Her presentation style was excellent. very smooth transition and moderate pace.

Great presentation of information we were not familiar with. It was very interesting and very applicable to our society today, especially in utah

I liked that Hilary had a very confident presentation style, I think this was an area she excelled in. Much more confidence than is usually seen in seminar.

I loved her slides. They were well designed and easy/fun to read

The slides were very attractive and well done

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

I didn't think you really needed the case because you went over everything in the seminar

More concise methodology regarding the breakdown of the studies

Clinical significance of the 1st trial wasn't mentioned until asked questions by the audience. This would have been good to do in the presentation.

Talk more about the clinical significance. Also, as touched on, diarrhea is not harmless especially in a child. This could be life threatening and should not be taken lightly

still go over the case very briefly at the end

In the second study, perhaps define what you meant that all the kids were on "stable meds."

You didn't seem to have a good reason for your final recommendation. Think that out before the hard questions come.

I could see with some of the questions asked you had obviously asked yourself, based on your answers, but it seemed you hadn't taken the time to develop an answer until challenged

Nothing major I can think of, you did an awesome job

nothing that I haven't already touched upon

Explain the risk scales.

You seemed to go on tangents often. It seemed like you kind of got off topic a little bit . . . and at the same time didn't seem that interested in your own topic towards the end. But maybe it is because you were just ready to be done with the presentation.

The amount of awesomeness was a bit much

i thought your tone was engaging in the seminar, maybe you could add to that by walking around in front of the audience

nothing

nothing

Great job. One to go.

practice a time or two more to make sure you are comfortable

Ability to predict questions and defend conclusions.

You should consider clarifying your conclusion.

some slides were hard to read

Some of your wording is very confusing or distracting. Work on making your presentation style more professional and avoid the use of the filler words like um in the future.

Wordy slides - try to simplify next time

Have stronger conclusions and stick to your guns even if challenged in question or by faculty

The light blue headings were hard to read

Some slides were hard to read. bigger font size?

Sometimes your slides got a little busy and difficult to understand. Keep them as simple as possible while still explaining the informations

As discussed in class, addressing the scales in background would have been helpful. In future situations, possibly showing your presentation to someone unfamiliar with the topic beforehand may be helpful in finding things like this that may be unclear for audience members?

Maybe include more pictures, just to shake things up a little bit

Be more confident in your conclusions and stick to what you believe.

General Comments

Great job!

good poise and enjoyable presentation.

Really good seminar overall. Very detailed background section and MOA information. Next time try to stick to your conclusions and support them with the evidence either from studies or background research.

Great job, congrats on being done!

good job overall!

Nicely done.

Can you get this OTC or not?

Good job overall, you're done!

Good job with your first seminar!

Well done.

Good job overall. Showed good poise.

Continue to work on your presentation and professionalism skills. It is easy to get comfortable in front of your peers, but I would try to stay away from sayings that are a little quirky.

You did a great job.

great job!

great job!!

Way to go

great job!

See above.

just overall amazing job

.

great job!

Awesome job!

Overall, the slides were well presented.

Great job tackling a topic that we do not even see in pharmacy school. You did a good job interesting our class in the topic.

Overall good presentation with an interesting topic

Overall a good job