

Presenter: Kastendieck, Diana

Seminar Date: 2014-03-26

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.98	6.89	6.95	6.96	6.9	6.98	6.98	6.75	6.75	6.7	6.67	6.75	7	6.7	0	0	0	E (47.57)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Great pace! You were very well rehearsed, but it didn't come off as if you were just reciting something you had memorized. Great Job!

Great pace and room for questions

Clearly were well prepared to present

Good pace, no use of notes, very impressive.

I don't think you read your slides hardly at all. Well done. Pro speaker.

great pace and eye contact

Involved the audience and was polished and professional.

she did have some reliance on her notes through the studies

Presentation style was exceptional.

Clearly you are comfortable presenting, well done

very good work with eye contact

Great pace, and I really appreciated that you spoke at a good volume so that everyone in the room could hear you well. You appeared confident

Diana was very professional, practiced and prepared. She had minimal reliance on her notes and presented at an appropriate level for the audience.

Pace was great. I thought Diana was very professional and presented herself well.

your pace was appropriate and there was very little reliance on your notes. you were very professional.

Background was a little long.

Great eye contact and pace. Presented data at an appropriate level to help audience understanding.

Good presentation style - Diana was well prepared to present.

Very calm and professional!! You had a lot of confidence and didn't have any distracting mannerisms.

She kept a good pace and eye contact

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	15	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.75
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Instructional Materials Comments

Make sure to proof read your handout and slides before finalizing them. There were quite a few typos in your handout.

Easy to follow

Liked how easy the slides were to read and you pointed out important information

The handout was very nice and was a great complement to the slides and the presentation.

Great use of highlighting topics to focus on (red bars). Hard work put into your appendices. Avoid using informal terms like "coming on hard and fast" (handout).

loved your slides, they looked clean and professional. Liked that you didn't have a lot of words on each slide

Need to redo some the text on the diagram. Too fuzzy and small.

there were some spacing errors in the handout and slides: 15g vs 15 g etc.

My handout was missing a page and was out of order.

Simple, easy slides = good

some spelling/spacing issues in the handout but i think due to a reformatting issue

Slides were not busy, easy to read and digest

Overall, Diana's presentation materials were clear and easy to read. Appropriate references were cited. However, in the handout, it is best practice to cite individual phrases and not subtitles.

Orientation to the important points of a slide or diagram was very good. I think the use of boxes to identify the studies in the meta analysis that we were going to look at was excellent.

Slides were fantastic, it gave me some ideas for my seminar. the slides and handouts were very easy to follow and read.

Slides and handout were easy to read and follow.

Slides were easy to read and handout was clear and easy to understand.

Slides were appropriate and interesting.

The appendix A and B were straddling pages, just a minor format error to be mindful of next time. Your slides were impeccable. Well done!

The handout flowed very well with the presentation

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Appropriate background information was provided	17	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.85
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Your objectives were clear and measurable! Nice job!

Good comprehensive background

Little to much background for me but great job

I really enjoyed the background and Diana's interest in the topic. It made for a very interesting seminar that she was obviously very invested in.

Your presentation flowed really well. You presented in an organized fashion that was easy to understand. I loved your back-story as well to explain your interest.

liked the your personal interest in the topic. It helped to draw the audience in.

Interest in topic worked to pull in the audience with brother who was very low birth weight.

i liked the personal story with her brother, but the background was a tad long to me.

Sharing the story about your brother made the presentation more interesting.

Clear that you are interested in the topic and the controversy is obvious, good choice.

very good I think you spent the right amount of time on each section

Good background/interest in topic, personal story makes the topic more interesting

Overall the presentation was well organized and flowed appropriately. The introduction/interest was a nice touch, however there was so much background information that I felt my mind wandering during the beginning.

Introduction to topic may have been a little long. You seemed to rush through the studies because you spent longer on the introduction.

good introduction and the interest in the topic caught my attention and was a great start to your seminar.

Background was a little long with some superfluous information.

Good background and "interest in topic" story. Information was well organized in presentation.

Diana provided interesting personal experience.

Your interest in the topic was great! I felt like you spent too much time on the background, but it was thorough and detailed. Great job!

Very confident, good job

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	17	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.85
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Great job highlighting the key points you wanted us to know and focus in on.

Thorough presentation of data in the time allotted

Thought you went through the data well and presented what was important

I thought the way the studies were presented was great. It was very easy to follow.

Only comment is that you don't need to discuss that they had enough power IF they found statistical difference (that's implied by finding a statistical significant difference).

liked that you had concise measurable objectives. You did a great job presenting the data.

Need to explain why those particular studies were chosen.

a big point i thought was multicenter but only in one pretty dominant race for a strength

I thought the studies that were chosen work well in your presentation

perfect analysis of each studies limitations and strengths as well as the implications.

very good analysis of the studies, also good idea to look at a meta analysis and pick studies for it

Well prepared and polished presentation of clinical data. Appreciated that more in-depth information presented in handout

Diana's presentation of clinical data was appropriate, concise and useful.

I think mentioning the strengths and limitations as you went through the study analysis helped to solidify them more with the audience. It is better than only mentioning them once on a strengths and

limitations slide.

good analysis of the studies and identifying the key details to present.

Outcomes were good and measurable.

Was able to discuss relevance of studies and study analysis for trials. Did a good job discussing trial strengths and limitations.

Limitations of each study were clearly noted.

The organization of the slides and the data were well done! You could tell a lot of time went into it. You did a great job!

Great analysis of the studies

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	17	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.85
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9

Conclusions Comments

Your conclusions were supported by the data presented. I was in agreement with your decision not to recommend probiotics at this point.

Good conclusions in a grey area of practice

Great conclusion liked how you said it from different perspectives

I thought her hesitancy to fully support the evidence was wise. The data did not seem to support its conclusions well enough to recommend it.

I personally agreed with all your conclusions. Well done at providing information/evidence that goes along with what you concluded.

Wish you had a stronger stance on your conclusions. I know it is difficult with topics where the answer isn't so cut and dry, but you need to pick. It was interesting that you included what you would do as a mother and a clinician, but I think it would be best to stick with one conclusion/recommendation.

I like that she did not agree with the second study's conclusion. I don't know how the second study even got published because their conclusion is not supported by their data. Must not be a very good journal.

Her conclusion was great and supported by the data and just remember secondary outcomes you can not really state a conclusion based on them

Great conclusions.

Funny addition of the practicing future mother conclusion. I did not feel it to be inappropriate, but it did possibly show your bias. I like that you kept it professional and made evidence-based recommendations with your pharmacist self.

I agree with your conclusions

Conclusions were in line with presented material, and you did a good job trying to examine the topic from other people's perspectives (ie, a mother)

I liked that Diana gave us two thoughts to think on when recommending probiotics, as a mother and as a pharmacist. Both of these options will help us relate to the patient better in our future practice.

Conclusions were supported by the data that is available. Your pharmacist's role did become a little muddled when you started talking about the perspective as a mother. It is important to note but may not be applicable to our role.

I thought your conclusions were sound with the evidence you had. I liked your recommendations for pharmacists.

Discussed the role of the pharmacist well and had good ideas.

Good job with asking thought provoking questions as it relates to the role of a pharmacist, and also as a role of a parent being treated.

Good job of discussing further possible research.

The conclusions were spot-on with the data from the study. Well done deciphering complicated data.

Did not conclude beyond what the data allows.

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

Great job fielding and answering questions... You had some tough ones, but you answered them appropriately.

Great job

Answered your questions great

Did very well answering questions.

Only comment is to avoid saying "my mentor told me" (I know it's easy. I know I did it too). But you're the expert and we're interested in your opinion. But awesome job with hard Q's

great job answering questions

Great job answering questions.

answered all questions and took time to answer them

I thought the pop quiz was a nice touch to try to encourage more participation.

Question slayer! You clearly understood your subject.

Good job with the q/a

Poised and well organized responses to questions

She answered questions succinctly, however when questions are asked wait until the entire question is asked before answering.

Answered questions well, it was clear that you knew about the subject matter.

You did a great job answering questions and you were not distracted by weird questions. You also encouraged an environment for the audience to ask questions.

You answered questions well.

Answered questions in a succinct and thoughtful manner and helped engage audience in discussion.

Diana thoughtful paused for questions periodically during seminar.

You did a great job answering difficult questions! A little more preparation on the background and procedures wouldn't hurt for next time.

Handled some hard questions

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Your strong knowledge base on this topic was clear throughout the entire seminar. Great Job!

A very strong knowledge base was evident

Good grasp of the subject and overall knowledge

Did very well interacting with the audience and thinking quickly to answer questions.

Fantastic knowledge base. Knew your material well and it showed. Able to field all questions (even unexpected) well.

I think you knew your stuff, but you shouldn't refer so much to your mentors or citing them as your source. I feel this takes away from your credibility. If you do cite your mentor, you should do so by name, not "my mentor".

She was able answer straight forward and abstract questions.

she is very smart and able to think on her feet and knew her topic.

I liked your choice of mentor. It felt that having a staff member at Primary Children's helped you to have a great knowledge base.

Master of the subject. Well done

You could tell you had done a lot of background research on this topic to prepare

Seemed very intelligent and that you had a great knowledge base.

Overall, great job.

It was clear that you had studied a lot about the topic and knew about the scenarios that could happen.

You seemed very knowledgeable about the topic and if you didn't know the answer you didn't just make up an answer. great job on thinking on your feet.

You demonstrated good background knowledge.

Demonstrated good overall knowledge and was able to thoughtfully discuss studies as it pertains to real practice. Was able to think beyond author's conclusions.

Diana appeared to have carefully research this topic.

You had a great overall knowledge base and it showed through your confidence and presentation of the clinical data. You were very professional in how you researched and presented. Very impressive!

I was very impressed

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked how you drew the audience in right away with your personal story about your brother.

Great knowledge base

Liked your easy presentation style

I thought this was a fantastic seminar. Diana was very confident and professional in the delivery of her material.

I loved how you brought in the "as a mother" discussion. It re-sensitized us as healthcare professionals to what patients are feeling.

You had an interesting topic and did a great job of presenting the data

I like the topic because probiotics are currently a hot topic for many diseases and conditions.

i thought she did a great job finding information on this topic were there is no true money in NEC and probiotics

I like the personal experiences and opinions that were shared. They helped give more understanding about the patient's perspective and why probiotics may be considered by some individuals.

Very smooth, interesting, and engaging presentation. Well done

you seminar seemed to flow very smoothly

The clean, simple slides

Diana was well prepared, and able to explain convoluted topics in detail.

I liked that the topic was unique and not something that I have every really learned about in class. This made it interesting for me and I want to learn more.

I really liked the background/ introduction to the seminar. It was very personal and presented very professionally.

You had interest in the subject and it showed.

Demonstrated good confidence in presentation skills and was personable with the audience.

Slides well done and interesting.

I liked how well the slides were organized. They were clear and presented a lot of information without any clutter. We could tell you spent a lot of time of them, great job!

I liked the pace

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Consider adding a case presentation to help include the audience a little more.

Grey area of practice difficult to make strong conclusions

Little less background

She could improve her volume and maybe add some body language and movements to more fully interact with the audience.

Avoid "according to my mentor" and "strong and fast" terms. Just a few terms to avoid.

Take a stronger stance and avoid citing "my mentor" as your source.

I felt like the way you asked questions was curt and punchy. I would try to tone it down and also give 5 seconds or so for people to come up with questions.

refrain from referencing another student's seminar and as being apart of the group

I felt that the presentation went long and could have been presented in a more succinct manner.

Not a bad thing here (because I also use meta analyses in presentations), but understand that you just picked apart 2 opposing studies within the meta-analysis that made it seem like it could go either way. So remember garbage in garbage out, but in the end I would have still used it too for a great look at the overall collection of literature and what direction it might appear to be leaning. Great job!

disuss why you chose particular studies from the meta analysis

Speaking appropriately about secondary outcomes and power, etc....As secondary outcomes are not what a study is powered/designed around

Do not say et al during a presentation. It is more appropriate to say Author and colleagues.

Consider looking at some of the other studies that were used in the meta analysis and just give an overall summary of what strains they used and if there was a general consensus. It would interesting to know if the patient populations were very different.

possibly move around and don't lean on the desk

Background was a little long.

Make sure to fix handout formatting prior to printing. Some of the headings were a bit messed up.

There seemed to be some hesitation about the final conclusion when first presented.

The background section of your presentation went a little long. I would recommend summarizing long lists of causes, potential treatment options, etc. It's nit-picky, but you did such a good job that I had to dig deep for a suggestion!

slides were a little wordy

General Comments

Great Job!

Great job

Overall, there were many great aspects to her seminar. She was very articulate and detail oriented.

Awesome job Diana!

great job overall

Good job. Great presentation style and professional poise.

Great job Diana.

yeppers

very good job

Great job, really enjoyed seminar

great job!

Overall a strong presentation.

Overall, great job!

Overall, excellent job. Diana was well prepared and clearly presented data.

Very well prepared, organized well, and presented with confidence. What a great seminar Diana! Well done.

Great job