Presenter: Ence, Matthew

Seminar Date: 2014-03-18

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages					Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores					
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.91	6.87	6.96	6.9		6.92		6.38		6	5.73	6.38	6.5	5.6	0	0	0	E (46.11

Р	resentation Style								
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	27	3	1	1	0	0	0	6.75
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	29	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

You have a great presentation style and are very easy to watch. Very professional

Was very comfortable. was nice that he came out from behind the podium

Great eye contact. You seemed very calm and relaxed throughout your presentation which was great because you didn't rush through your presentation like a lot of people do when they're nervous. One thing to improve on is you turned your back a lot to the audience especially when you changed slides.

Well spoken. Material presented at good level for audience

Great job, I think if you practiced just a couple more times it would help your presentation go a bit smoother

very professional. i liked the fact that you introduced yourself as a pharmacy candidate.

I liked Matt's presentation's style. It was delivered calmly with confidence, at the appropriate pace and it was easy to follow.

The turning around thing...no bueno

Great pace and presentation style. You were just awkwardly positioned so had to turn around a lot. Maybe stand behind the podium next time.

Hard to tell from the online video, but it didn't look like you had any distracting mannerisms. You also appeared very confident while presenting

Appeared relaxed and in control.

Did a very good job on explaining the information presented on the slides.

Excellent pace- you demonstrated thorough knowledge of the material and appeared calm, cool, and collective.

I would recommend using a clicker rather than your iPad. It was very distracting having you turn around each time you changed slides.

I liked that you stepped away from the podium

Great job, you looked really comfortable up there.

Very smooth pace and willingness to allow questions

Very good pace and presentation style.

Great overall style. Easy to listen to

I really enjoyed the conversational style, some mild hiccups but still very high confidence with no ums etc.

Appeared comfortable with a good pace and tone. Did rely on slides and was distracted by the mechanism of changing slides.

Very comfortable style. I thought your pace conveyed your confidence in the topic

Very confident

Overall presentation style was well done. I liked that Matt made it more like a conversation than a presentation.

Fabulous job- you showed great confidence!

excellent pace, good eye contact, try not to turn your back to the audience

Excellent presentation style. Great confidence and poise. Turned back on audience a couple times, a handheld clicker to advance slides would help.

very smooth transition.. he didn't really read the slides if he did,he went back and explained the concepts in his own words again.

Your back was too the audience quite a bit, especially since I was sitting on the side of the room that you blocked

Matt was comfortable with his topic, however he did have to face his slides a lot during the presentation.

He spoke very smoothly and the flow for the oral part of the presentation was well done

Very good presenter, good pace, seemed very comfortable

Ir	nstructional Materials									
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	24	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.69
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	29	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	30	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.97

Instructional Materials Comments

The slides were good and you referenced them appropriately

The slides seemed very plain and i would have liked more information.

The slides at times were a bit wordy but otherwise good. I liked your use of boxes on tables to highlight talking points. Good orientation to pictures and graphs, especially the MOA of the rx's.

Good slides for MOA. Not too wordy

I really liked the effects you used on slides and how you broke it up with images

good introduction in describing mechanism of both drugs

Overall, the presentation was clean and devoid of errors. I would have liked to see more illustrations to break up the text heavy slides.

Great job with the handout!

Everything looked great. I also am kind of against the really dark background with light writing. I think it would have been easier to read if the font was bolder or a brighter color.

Slides were not overly crowded, also good job citing at the bottom of each of the slides

Handouts were good. Only critique: a little more detail about the trials.

Handouts were easy to read and references were sited appropriately.

Great slides, some were kind of wordy- but few. Great diagrams/animations. Made your presentation more interesting

Handout format was very different and I feel could have been more streamlined.

i liked the style you used to cite within the slides

I liked the landscape mode on the handout and the slide design

Materials were accurate and clear to visualize; the slides had a lot of text, but presenter did well to allow the audience to navigate the material

Slides and handout were done well and easy to follow.

Really liked the refresher on the mechanism of action. Great image

handout could be a bit more condensed

Good graphics and slides. This is just a preference thing, but the horizontal handout doesn't appear as professional.

The color scheme was a bit tough to read for me

very clear organization of data and material

The slides were somewhat hard to read at times. Consider changing the lighting or the slide colors in the future to make sure the bottom of the slide is easier to read.

Great

great materials!

Slides were a little dark and may have been easier to read with a lighter color scheme. Great orientation to tables.

his handout was clear and easy to read

Great slides and handout. Loved the simplified table of comparing the slides

Handout was a little difficult to follow in the presentation of the studies. I understand with four studies it was likely difficult to organize. I am not sure splitting it into several different tables was the best choice.

Slides were not overly packed with information and easy to read and understand

I enjoyed how he displayed his studies

Overall Presentation Content								
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1 Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2 Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	30	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3 Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
4 Appropriate background information was provided	30	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5 Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97

Overall Presentation Content Comments

I liked that your patient case applied to a real patient that you saw at the pharmacy

Good transitions and flow to presentation

I felt the objectives were appropriate and achieved. One suggestion perhaps don't use the same verb for two objectives. (The first two had describe.)

Good back story

Really liked your techniques in hilighting information and pointing important items out.

i would avoid saying "i hope you'll be able to look at evidence like i did" possibly phrasing it a different way?

I liked Matt's story and case about the Vietnam war vet. He painted a clear picture and it caught my interest. The presentation had a bit too much background and for the next seminar I would focus more on the literature.

The flow was a bit interrupted with the turning around bit but otherwise good

The objects could have been more clear- they should specifically spell out what you would want people to learn at the end of the seminar. Everything else was excellent.

Good job introducing the topic with a patient case example of the Vietnam vet who came in to your pharmacy

Well organized.

Presentation was well organzied and gave appropriate background information on OTC products.

Great application. You saw a question/controversy in practice and made it into a seminar- the topic was very relatable for real practice.

Great work in this section.

i thought you flowed well but i would have liked to see question slides because it helps the shy people break out with questions. (personal preference though) it's fifty fifty either way

Great content on a topic that applies to us well

Strong objectives and great transitions

Interesting topic

Very clear background

good utilization of case, objectives could be better

I liked the case to introduce your interest in the topic and its relevance to us. I also liked that you provided actual clinical input from providers. However, the organization as you proceeded through the studies was a little poor. (Mixed in strengths and weaknesses, etc.)

The flame transitions really helped break up the slides. Sometimes animated transitions can detract from the overall presentation but yours did not

showed very personal interest in topic

Background was very thorough. You may be able to focus more on the evidence and studies in the future and give just the basic background. The detailed background can be made available in the handout for those that are interested.

I really loved your intro- I thought the way you showed the GERD spectrum and treatment was really cool

content was organized, well presented, with excellent objectives and purpose/controversy

Great depth of information

the purpose of the seminar was clear and the solution to the controversy was well presented

Great flow. Well thought out to bring the audience along with you as you proceeded through your presentation

Clear background/intro

The background and pharmacology slides were appropriate and helped with understanding of the subject matter and why it is relevant

interesting topic since it is pretty common to see people on both medications, background was good to refresh the pharmacology of each med /

Р	resentation of Clinical Data									
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	28	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.78
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	30	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	28	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	6.97
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	28	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.78

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

I liked that you talked about the possibility of type 2 error from not having an appropriate power

Was nice to see all the information regarding h2's and ppis

I applaud you going over four studies. However with more studies you lose some information. I understand during the presentation you have limited time so things like the objectives or primary outcomes of studies could have been in your handout. I also felt the strengths of studies could have been fleshed out in the handout and mentioned during the seminar.

Very concise yet thorough. Very good look at the strengths/limitations

Very thoughtful analysis of the data. Also liked how it was presented in tables; However, studies were very old and the sample size in each study was extremely small so I'm not sure how reliable it is.

interesting use of evidence table. i am not sure that is the most effective way of presenting the information.

The data was well organized and easy to follow. Good job for covering statistics and mentioning the applicability of power to the study--this can be overlooked. I liked your inclusion of the pharmacogenomic data in your analysis.

Analysis turned out very good, you could tell you put some thought into it

Great job with the data!

Good job mentioning that the power was not included and also for checking in the supplementary index to see if they mentioned it there. Also good job explaining what the power meant in the study

You presented as much as you could about the 4 trials in the time allowed.

Lots of clinical data. Presented 4 studies and was able to compare each.

I didn't really like the format of how you presented your evidence as tables. . . I know we call them "evidence tables" but it seemed like maybe you just copied and pasted them from your handout or notes. They contained a lot of data in them. . . however, I did like how you circled what you were talking about to draw our attention to what part you were discussing.

Very thoughtful analysis of the studies.

succinct and terms that we could understand

great

Very thoughtful presentation of data; strong assessment of the clinical limitations and strengths

Good data. I liked how you summarized all the trial you covered.

Liked how did a comprehensive literature review on the topic - included all available studies

good job describing potential insignificance of surrogate outcome of pH %

Again, still a little torn with 4 studies. (I myself did 3 so I understand the concept as well as the impact this has) It's certainly a more realistic approach, but I think as students, it makes it to where we can't showcase our analytical skills either. Having said that, I did really like your approach in how you discussed your selection process.

Four studies was a lot to take in, three might be more manageable for the audience.

clinical data was very well used with the limited information

overall presentation of clinical data was well done. For the future try to make sure you understand all of the statistics and why certain tests are used.

I like the one slide per study strategy- good job. I also liked how you explained why you examined the studies you did- that always helps me more as an audience member.

thoughtful analysis highlighting important strengths and weaknesses - you really helped me understand the data

Four trials was a bit much, it was a lot of info in a brief amount of time

I liked the fact he focused on outcomes with p value less than 0.05 instead of throwing numbers. great analysis!

Great focus on power. Liked how you explained it and brought us up to speed on what's going on with the statistics

Matt did a good job addressing each study's strengths and weaknesses as well as contrasting them with the other study's.

Clinical data was presented in neat charts that were easy to read and appeared to be thoroughly analyzed by the seminarian.

great job analyzing the studies and doing it in a way that is different than the norm

С	onclusions								
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	30	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	31	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	31	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.94

Conclusions Comments

This is a topic we see in the community pharmacy a lot so I liked your pharmacist recommendations some of the recommendations were presented in a very consise way.

I felt the conclusions fit the data presented. The role of the pharmacist could have been fleshed out a bit, especially since it's the part most relevant to us.

Good, comprehensive conclusions taking into account all therapeutic options

Great job bringing it back to non drug therapy, which is also very important for us as pharmacists good reasoning for conclusion even though concrete data is not there

Your conclusions followed your data.

Good pharmacist recommends, will be able to incorporate if the situation presents itself

Good conclusions.

I like how you used your patient case again to introduce your final conclusions

I like how you contrasted the important takeaways from each trial.

Good conclusion and recommendation of pharmacists role in the community setting.

Great conclusions and role of the pharmacist. Liked how you re-visited the case at the end and encouraged audience participation

Super work

you held your ground in your recommendations and conclusions

great conclusions that were evidence based

evaluation and conclusions were thoughtful; presenter did well to differentiate the surrogate measures and clinical outcomes.

Good conclusions based on the data.

Good conclusions

excellent real world conclusions

I like it when people make independent conclusions that also utilize their clinical experience.

I agree with your conclusion, I would feel comfortable making a recomendation based on the data you presented.

I really liked the conclusions you made based off your limited studies

Case presentation and variation of case was good to help support your pharmacists role slide. Great way to incorporate the information together.

Good role of pharmacist

strong and apporpriate

Great case at the end to tie everything together

his conclusions were well supported despite of limited resources. He made me ask myself about my role as a pharmacist in that situation.

Liked how you used a case to bring the ideas all together. Really helped but it in perspective

Matt did a good job taking the information and coming up with his own clinical judgment on the topic.

Role of the pharmacist was adequately covered and gives good recommendations on what we should say to our patients when presented with questions concerning those medications

gives the pharmacists evidence to make recommendations for these patients

Q	Question Answer Session											
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean			
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	7			
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	28	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.84			

Question Answer Session Comments

Good professionalism

I liked how matt defended his conclusions even with difficult questioning

I really liked how you got the audience involved by asking us questions. Good job on answering questions and extrapolating when needed.

Very confident

Clarified questions appropriately and answered very succinctly

good encouragement of questions and participation

Matt answered the questions thoughtfully, calmly and with confidence.

So a bit late asking audience for questions but you recovered and actually I thought you answered almost all questions posed to you very well

Make sure to let the audience know to ask questions ahead of time.

You were asked some difficult questions, good job thinking on your feet and answering them

Good job - hopefully median vs. mean won't haunt you.

Answered some tough questions at the end.

I think question slides would be helpful just to have some pauses throughout and to help encourage more questions

yup

great knowledge base

lots of questions and you answered them fully

When verbally referencing papers try to remember the paper or do not mention it. Very good job with the number of difficult questions received.

Great job answering questions

Great job with questions

nice QA during your case, good job really LISTENING to questions, well done

Was able to answer all questions.

You handeled the questions well. I was particularly impressed with your ability to field the questions about ulcers which were outside the scope of your seminar.

very good handling of questions

Was able to answer all questions effectively.

Great Q&A- you handled questions well and gave succinct, appropriate answers. Good job!

clearly knew the studies and topic in general based on some of the questions you rcvd

Great interaction, answered questions well. Great preparation.

he encouraged audience to ask questions and he even answered questions beyond what was presented during the seminar. it shows he knows and understands the topic very well.

Got a lot of questions and did great in answering them!

Matt did encourage questions throughout, however as addressed in class it was like he remembered as an afterthought to let us know we could ask questions at any time. In future presentations he should try to remember to address this up front.

Questions were a bit tough but answers were well thought out, indicating a clear and strong understanding of his subject topic

very good at thinking on his feet

C	verall Knowledge Base								
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	30	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	30	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

You had a lot of knowledge about the topic. I especially liked the way you talked about the pharmacology of both drugs. It was a good refresher

Was really well prepared and easy to follow.

I really liked how you brought in personal experiences. It was very clear you did a lot of additional studying and research. You didn't just read off the slides but offered more data and statistics.

Very knowledgeable

The studies seemed to conclude there was no improvement in QOL, would have liked you to touch on your thoughts with that a little more. Really wish you could have found some more recent studies

good job interpreting and being confident in your recommendation

Matt seemed well versed with the PPI/H2RA blocker literature.

Excellent thinking on your feet which you could only do by having the knowledge base you did

Very knowledgeable.

There were numerous times when you were able to state facts from articles you read outside the main articles demonstrating your knowledge of the subject

Your knowledge seemed sufficient.

Good overall knowledge base. Knew more than just the facts presented in the seminar.

Don't remember if you talked about clinical versus statistical significance. Overall, you demonstrated thorough knowledge of the material. You were able to theorize answers to questions if you did not know them. . . sometimes it is a good thing to say, "I am not sure, but I can get back to you" instead of saying

"The truth is I don't know"

Clearly had done his research.

i thought you were able to expand on your answers which showed you had a good overall knowledge base

You knew your stuff

Strong knowledge base and display of confidence and answering most all questions.

Good knowledge of the subject

Great overall knowledge base

no additional comments

Great knowledge base that was demonstrated through clinical experience and in answering questions.

I thought you knowledge ease was robust. I appreciated the attention you gave to non pharm solutions.

able to think on your feet very well

Bringing in the guidelines and personal experience helped to show that you had a good overall knowledge base.

Fabulous job

clearly demonstrated though presentation and Q&A

Able to answer questions well and professionally. Knew material thoroughly.

wow! he even knew what percentage of Asian population are slow metabolizers.

Very knowledgeable about the subject. Seems like you questioned things but didn't look them up. Be sure to look up questions that you have

Matt did a good job answering questions, theorizing when he did not know for sure.

His ability to answer tough questions were indicative of a strong knowledge base on his topic

he was well prepared and knew the topic well

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Great job presenting. You looked very comfortable and confident.

Matts professionallism and poise was among the best

I really liked the calm demeanor with personal experiences and questions for the audience. It helped to draw in our attention and keep us engaged.

Great story-like presentation incorporating every day comments from practictioners, patients, etc. /

Really liked the organization and use of graphics and images to keep our attention

i really liked your patient case and topic

I liked Matt's pace and presentation style. His seminar was easy to follow.

Nice layed back but professional style

I liked that you did several studies without it being overwhelming.

I liked how you explained a lot of the concepts in the seminar, for example you didn't just say the power, you explained what it meant.

The style with which is was presented was relaxed, but still serious.

The case that was presented in the beginning and was able to apply it at the end of the seminar.

I liked that you started the presentation out with a case and you re-visited it at the end of the presentation. I thought this was a great way to apply what we just learned during your seminar.

Very comfortable and almost conversational

i really liked your audience involvement with the case and bringing in the two different perspectives on recommendations

Great at talking about power and what the lack of power mentioned in the study really tells us

Excellent mention of power with respect to statistics

Interesting topic and good job presenting!

I liked the topic. GERD is an important topic for pharmacists to know.

Great presentation style

Case presentation.

Flames!

very applicable topic

The topic is relevant to community practice and was presented in a way that was easy to understand and apply to my daily practice.

I liked your intro the best- you did a great job leading into your controversy.

Topic was really interesting and potentially impactful...

Liked the quotes from clinicians at the beginning.

moving pictures! fire!

Great presentations of literally all the evidence that we have about your topic. I liked the different approach with that.

'I like that Matt kind of "broke out of the mold" by tacking 4 trials for seminar. We are all stuck in the mode of habit and just doing the norm, I was glad to see a presentation that was not just exactly the same as all the others.

I liked the animation slides that helped change things up from only having worded slides

I liked that he spoke on a topic that is commonly seen and that he used a different format to display and breakdown his studies

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

I didn't see anything that needed to be improved

Better slides to accompany the presentation /

Maybe flesh out data tables with objectives or outcomes in handout.

Maybe a little too informal at times

Would have been nice if you had a topic with some newer data

the use of evidence table may save room but i'm not sure if its the most effective way to present it

Minimize background, include more visuals.

Have a clicker in hand so you don't have to put your back to the audience

Make sure to either get a clicker for your ipad or stand behind it so you don;t keep turning around.

Hard to tell from the video, but it sounded like you could have waited a little longer in the end for more

questions

Already discussed in previous sections - great job.

Slides were a little cluttered.

I think you could present your studies a little bit better- the tables were not very appealing as an audience member and there was a lot of information on each slide.

Use of different method to advance slides

the presentation of the evidence was a little cluttered since it was in an evidence table, although i liked that you put boxes around it to narrow our focus. maybe you can break it up into different slides

Nothing, I liked your slides

perhaps repeat the questions asked so that everyone is clear of the question

nothing

Nothing to say here

try to make objectives more actionable and less of an outline

Reliance on slides.

Dark background with white print

organization of handout

Consider making slides less wordy and changing the color scheme. The bottom of the slides tended to be hard to read.

Great job with everything- I really thought you did an excellent job and I have no criticisms! Congratulations!

Use a clicker so you can move around more and have better position

Would like to have heard more on why dual therapy may be effective given redundancy, but not a big deal. Great job!

i think the table can be organized a little bit better. the font size was small..it was hard to see from back


Be sure to keep forward when speaking. But you did great!

As mentioned in class, standing position while presenting. By standing in front of the podium Matt had to turn around to look at his slides to read them and to push the next button for the next slide.

Using a slide titled "redundant background" was probably unnecessary in a professional setting

Be aware of positioning in front of the crowd and in front of the slides

General Comments



Presented very well!

overall, a great great seminar. I learned a lot from this seminar.

Good job Matt, thank you for presenting on a topic that we can all relate to whether in hospital or the community,

Overall good presentation with an excellent slide set that was easy to read and understand

Overall, a great job