Presenter: Zang, Bin

Seminar Date: 2014-04-15

#### **Presenter Scores**

,					Faculty Survey Data Averages								Final Scores				
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.89	6.66	6.87	6.93	6.91	6.63	6.97	6.75	6.63	6.7	6.33	6.63	6.25	6.9	0	0	0	E (47.02

Presentation Style											
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	C	Mean			
1 Moderate Pace	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95			
2 Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	16	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.8			
3 Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9			
4 Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	19	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.9			

## **Presentation Style Comments**

Appropriate pace. Made eye contact.

Great job on presentation style. Seemed at ease with presenting and maintained good eye contact with the audience.

Your pace was good and you were interested in the topic which helped engage the audience.

Great pace. The student would look at his slides occasionally.

The pace was very appropriate. He maintained appropriate mannerisms and professionalism. He did look at the slides on a few rare occasions, but not so much so that it was distracting or that he was relying on them,.

Great presentation style. You made eye contact and were confident in your presentation

Some of the answers for the questions were above our scope

The slower pace made it easier to follow the presentation. Presenter had a bit of nervous energy that dissipated a bit as the presentation moved forward. Also, don't stay behind the podium--move around.

Moderate pace that was perfect for the audience

good pace

He was extremely knowledgeable but went very quickly through a lot of dense material. Maybe cut

down on some of the more complicated things that aren't of the upmost important to the topic.

He was very professional and went at an appropriate pace. I liked how he explained things thoroughly and in a way that was easy to understand.

The seminarian was very professional and made great eye contact with the audience.

At first you began reading off of your slides and you needed more eye contact. However this got better as you continued through your slides.

Quite a bit of reading slides/notes.

Bin was very poised and perfectly paced in his presentation style.

excellent presentation style

Good presentation style with loud clear voice that was easily heard and understood

good pace and flow. went in a little too deep into some topics that might not have been essential for your seminar.

Good pacing. Good eye contact. Minimal reliance on notes. Material was presented at an appropriate level.

Instructional Materials											
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean	
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	10	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.3	
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	10	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.4	
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95	
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	

#### **Instructional Materials Comments**

There were some errors in the handout

The slides were very well done. I found the handout made good use of pictures and/or diagrams. Try to keep the layout/format of the handout more uniform throughout and use a slightly larger font. I especially liked the use of colored font and circles so I knew where you wanted me to focus my attention on the slides.

Try to have the same style throughout your handout. It had a lot of good information and was thorough.

slides and handout were easy to read and were clear. The student provided orientation to charts and pictures.

The handout and slides were all without error as far as I saw. I really liked his humor with his first picture in the presentation

The handout would have benefited from a more standardized approach, but it had some great information!

I think you have your own style when putting together handouts, usually if don't fit th mold they are going to grill you, which I think it would be unfair. The organization could have been better but overall you did a good job with that

I liked the inclusion of pics into the seminar. The beach picture was the a nice analogy for targeted therapy.

Chart, graphs, pics were perfect

The handout was really hard to follow since it had multiple styles, fonts, size of font, etc... Stick to one format and go with it. Try to not break sections of tables onto different pages. Also saw a few typos.

Very informative handout, the different types of fonts made it difficult for some to follow.

He oriented the audience well to his pictures and diagrams. There were a few grammatical and spelling errors in the handout.

The slides and handout provided important information that made it easy to understand.

The handout had different types of tables. If all tables could have been formatted the same it would have made the handout more easily to read and clean.

Some grammar issues.

Bin provided excellent explanation and orientation to each diagram in his presentation.

Great orientation to charts. A few spelling mistakes

Some of the font on the handout was inconsistent in size and formatting

handout was a bit unorganized in the background section but I really liked how you included the slides. I liked the clean and organized layout of your slides, very easy to understand and follow.

Slides were easy to follow. The handout seemed a bit too busy. Minor grammatical errors and formating errors. Great orientation to graphs and pictures.

Overall Presentation Content										
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean		
1 Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	16	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.8		
2 Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9		
3 Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95		
4 Appropriate background information was provided	17	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.8		
5 Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9		

### **Overall Presentation Content Comments**

Great interest in the topic and the presentation was well-organized

Excellent job on presentation content. I feel just the right amount of background was given especially in light of the fact that this topic could easily go an hour just on background. I think you did a great job condensing it down yet keeping it organized and logical.

I would have liked to hear a little more about why you were interested in this topic. The title didn't seem to be the best description of the seminar.

Well organized seminar. Background information was appropriate. Introduction, interest, purpose and controversy were described and were clear.

He presented the appropriate amount of information for the audience. I did find myself questioning a few items in the details, but this is to my own fault and not his.

Really liked how you listed each objective as you met it on the presentation slides. One thing to work on is that your objectives need to be something that is measurable.

All brackground information was useful

Objectives that were defined well throughout the presentation. A bit too much detail in the background--remember that seminar should be mostly focused on the analysis of the studies.

Well define purpose and controversy

I was really confused as to why we were talking about rituximab first when the seminar was supposed to be on obinutuzumab. An explanation of why would have helped in making sure your audience wasn't confused. I also didn't really understand or get your interest in the topic. Make sure you have a specific reason why you choose this topic.

He did a great job explaining things.

He did an excellent job providing appropriate background information.

The introduction and presentation flowed very well.

Your presentation was well organized and the objectives were all met and clear.

I liked the beach picture with discussion of targeting therapy. I wish SLL and CLL relationship had been explained better upfront.

Bin perfectly described his interest in his topic.

Great flow

Thorough background was provided to help aid in understanding on a complicated topic

May be helpful to define the controversy a bit better next time. Good outline and clear objectives provided

Clearly defined interest and controversy. Adequate background information was presented.

Presentation of Clinical Data										
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	15	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.75
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

### **Presentation of Clinical Data Comments**

You did a good job of presenting the key trial results

Very thorough work on clinical data presentation. I like that you were able to suggest some of your own strengths and limitations, beyond what the authors found.

Well done analysis of the studies. I liked how you oriented us to some of the statistics before discussing the trials

The student demonstrated strengths and limitations, statistical and clinical significance really well.

He presented the data very confidently. He showed that he really knew what the data and statistical analysis meant and was able to convey this data in a way for the audience to easily understand.

Extremely difficult topic, but you presented it well! You obviously spent a great deal of time on your presentation and it showed

I lie the way you explained the log-rank. Awesome

Thorough analysis of the studies. Good explanation of study components.

Good explanation on the statistical analysis

Good job with presenting the data

Understood the trials very well.

He was detailed and thoughtful in his analysis of the strengths and weaknesses of the studies he included.

The seminarian presented the clinical data very well.

The way you presented the clinical data was more in a teaching style which was very helpful.

I liked the definitions and statistical definitions. They were helpful in understanding the study. I feel like you could have better discussed dropouts.

Bin provided an excellent review of the his studies, with a thoughtful and thorough analysis.

Great, clear explanations of studies

He did a very thorough job in analyzing the data that was available in the studies he chose

It was really helpful how you defined some terms before using them when you presented your study data. Maybe expand a bit more on strengths and limitiations

Great analysis of the articles. Adequate discussion of strengths and limitations.

Conclusions											
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean		
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	17	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.8		
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	19	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.9		
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7		
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95		

#### **Conclusions Comments**

I especially liked that you had a strong clinical opinion and did not just say "more studies are needed"

I like the chart made explaining the overall conclusions you proposed, that was a unique and clever method to easily summarize your findings

Very well done.

Role of the pharmacist was explained and specific recommendations were provided in the presentation.

His conclusions and recommendations for pharmacists were all appropriate given the data presented.

Really liked how you layed out the comparison of the current protocols and how the results you found differed from them

Good summary comparison

Nice practical recommendations for the pharmacist. Nice specific conclusions that specified clear patient populations that would benefit from the drug.

The role of pharmacist was clearly stated

If you want to talk about SLL you should have mentioned at the beginning that CLL and SLL are the same thing because when I was looking at your conclusions I was like wait I didn't think the study looked at people with SLL. or just only talk about CLL to have a narrower topic. Also kinda felt like you were trying to extend the results of these trials saying that obinutuzumab could replace rituxamb without a trial proving that it was better and from what I remember about cancer treatment they follow regimens that have been studied only and make no deviations or alterations.

He had a very good role for the pharmacist and when asked further questions was able to provide the reasoning.

His conclusions were well supported by data presented in the seminar.

The seminarian had great conclusions.

I liked you tailored the pharmacists role and how to apply those our clinical practice.

Be careful with speculations and suppositions.

Bin provided a succinct and visually appealing emphasis on the role of the pharmacist.

did great looking past what the authors stated to make your own conclusion

The role of the pharmacist was clearly stated and will be helpful in the future

Some conclusions seemed a little bit far removed from what the studies show maybe don't make such great leaps frommstudy results to a conclusion/recommendation. Good recs for pharmacists role

Conclusions were well defined and were based on the data presented. Great discussion of the clinical role, which was quite specific and pertinent.

Q	Question Answer Session										
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean		
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	11	6	2	1	0	0	0	6.35		
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9		

#### **Question Answer Session Comments**

Thoroughly answered all questions

Offered ample and appropriate time for Q & A and answered questions thoughtfully. Could have kept the answers just a little shorter, as one answer seemed to drift into territory I was unfamiliar with.

You did a thorough job answering question but the last one could have been more succinct.

The seminarian encouraged questions and interaction and answered all the questions posed by the audience. He was thorough in answering questions.

He answered the questions fine without errors, but he was a little long winded as he had a lot to speak on in regards to the topic. But it was not a major problem.

You had some very in depth answers to some of the questions, but I am not sure you quite answered what was being asked. Work on clarifying what the question is and being more concise (Though it was obvious you were passionate about the subject and wanted to talk more about it)

Yuo just blew everybody away with one of the questions you answered. Good job. For more detailed answers just tell the attendee to tak to them later

Questions were answered thoroughly but a couple of the responses were long.

You did an excellent job on the question and answer section

Make sure to just answer the question asked and try not to make the answer super lengthy if it doesn't need to be and not go off on other tangents.

He should practice answering questions more succinctly. Though he clearly knew the material.

He encouraged questions and provided good answers. His responses were a little long and drawn out at times but he was excited and eager to answer questions and explain many different sides to the question.

The seminarian answered the questions thoroughly, but took a roundabout way. A more succinct answer would be better.

This is where your knowledge shined.

Answers got a little long and didn't answer questions very well.

Bin thoroughly answered questions posed by the audience.

Good interaction, perhaps try to be more succinct in answers

he answered questions very well, despite some of his answers being very long and drawn out

did not answer questions succinctly, gave a lot more info than was asked for and sometimes did not answer the question very clearly or at all. Clearly you are very knowledgeable on all things related to your seminar based on all the information you provided when answering questions but try to have a more directed ans succinct answer to questions.

Allowed adequate time for questions. Answered all questions thoroughly.

Overall Knowledge Base										
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean	
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95	
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95	
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95	
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	

# **Overall Knowledge Base Comments**

It was obvious that you knew a lot about the topic

Definitely knew your material. Nice job

Impressed with overall knowledge base.

He demonstrated a great knowledge on the subject. He is able to think on his feet and is able to look beyond the authors' conclusions.

He demonstrated thorough knowledge on all aspects of the seminar from background, data, conclusions, and beyond the studies to other items on the topic.

Great job in coming to your own conclusions on the subject. You really nailed the goal of seminar and provided us with some really valuable information

Again you demonstrated this point very well

Good knowledge base of a complex topic. Your knowledge was demonstrated by how you were able to explain and define things throughout your presentation.

Obviously you have looked at the studies really closely and it was obvious as you in the question and answer section

Strong overall knowledge base, could tell that you knew more than what you were presenting.

Clearly understood the topic very in depth.

He was able to think on his feet and theorize answers to questions if unsure. He definitely demonstrated knowledge of the subject beyond what he included in the seminar and he was very comfortable with the material.

The seminarian clearly demonstrated great knowledge of the topic.

Sometimes our knowledge can overshadow the answer. Next time after you think you answer the question, I would restate your answer to the question.

You were very knowledgeable.

Bin demonstrated thorough knowledge of his topic with his responses to questions.

Offered great insight because of your depth of knowledge on the subject, great job

The presenter had a very strong knowledge base on his topic as evident by his ability to answer rather difficult questions

Very broad knowledge base and good conclusions drawn

Excellent knowledge base on the topic, as evident on the minimal reliance on notes and thoroughly answering the questions.

# Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked that you chose a topic that was interesting. You presented well

I think you did a great job presenting a challenging topic. Great use of visual aides/pictures/charts as I think that kept the audience's attention.

It was obvious that the seminarian was excited about his topic and knew his topic background very well.

His knowledge about the subject. He knew the material really well and he explained it as if he was teaching a class. Great answers.

He really knew his information. There was not a single moment where I thought that he was questioning his understanding. I also liked his picture.

Great job! Very complicated subject with a lot of content and overall you did a good job in presenting the data

Good reasoning in fitting the therapy in curent options available

I liked the fact you went were able to clearly define concepts throughout your seminar--you actually knew what was on your slide.

#### Great pace

You did a good job with a very tough subject. Cancer is hard to cover in a short amount of time.

He was extremely knowledgeable and seemed to be very passionate about the topic.

I really liked his excitement about his topic and his eagerness to share his knowledge on the subject. He was very interesting to listen to and I was impressed with his knowledge base and his ability to explain difficult concepts very well and in a clear and understandable way.

The seminarian was very calm and professional throughout the seminar.

I liked that you had a lot of knowledge about your subject and was able to look past what the authors concluded.

You clearly understood your material well.

I really liked the way Bin simplified a very complex topic into a manageable learning experience.

Very clear descriptions of the studys

Good thorough background provided on a complicated topic

I liked how your slides were laid out and how you did a good job explaining and defining jargon.

I liked how much knowledge the presenter had about the topic.

# Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Errors in handout

I think that I would again recommend increasing the font of the handout, and adjust the format for uniformity.

Try to pick a title that is more reflective of the overall seminar (confused at first about why the drug in the title was not in the first study).

In future, maybe looking at the handout and making sure that the same format was used in handout will be one area that can be improved.

Be more succinct in the ansers to quesations

Work on simplifying things even more if possible and making as succinct as possible, both with presenting and in the handout

I mentioned them already

Less nervous energy which would lead to a smoother presentation flow.

Nothing really

Make sure your title and studies reflect what you are going to talk about. For example if you want to talk about obinutuzumab then make sure both your studies talk about obinutuzumab. If you want to discuss Anti-CD 20 agents both rituximab and obinutuzumab then have your title only talk about Anti-CD 20 agents and not one specifically.

Work on concisely answering questions

He could have changed the title of his seminar to better reflect exactly what he presented. One of the studies he included didn't use the drug that was the main topic of his seminar. I would have either taken that study out or changed the title to reflect what was really included.

Answer questions a little more succinctly.

The handout could have been a little more clean.

You don't seem very comfortable presenting. I think practice will help that.

There could maybe be an interactive case or quiz as part of the seminar.

Would have been good to give intro of first study. I got thrown off when I thought this was about obinutuzumab not rituximab. I saw how it fit in as you moved on but would be better to explain why you

included this study.

Spend more time formatting the handout so that it looks clean and consistent

Try to answer questions more succinctly and make sure your title accurately describes you seminar..

Maybe organize the handout so that the fonts and styles are consistent.

### **General Comments**

Great job. I especially liked the slide about picking out the "bad guys"

Excellent work overall

Overall, well done.

Great seminar. I learned a lot! Good job!

overall it was a great seminar

Congrats on being done!! Great job

Great job

Nice job. Thank you.

Great job

Overall good job just make sure your handout is easy to follow and stick to one style.

Great seminar.

This was one of the best seminars because he was very interested in and excited about his topic and he was an excellent teacher. I really felt like I learned something from him. He was very knowledgeable.

Overall, the seminarian was very knowledgeable of the topic.

Overall great job! Your knowledge about the subject was amazing!

Good job overall.

Bin did an amazing job preparing for and delivering this seminar presentation.

Great job Bin!

Overall very good seminar presentation

Great job!

Overall, a great presentation. I learned a lot.