

Presenter: Harrington, Erik

Seminar Date: 2013-10-23

Presenter Scores

| Student Survey Data Averages | | | | | | | Faculty Survey Data Averages | | | | | | | Final Scores | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------|------|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------|------|---------------|--------------|-------|------|--------------|
| Pres. Style | Inst. Materials | Overall Pres. | Clinical Data | Conc. | Q&A | Overall Knowledge | Pres. Style | Inst. Materials | Overall Pres. | Clinical Data | Conc. | Q&A | Overall Know. | Prep. | Prof. | Att. | Total |
| 6.95 | 6.83 | 6.94 | 6.76 | 6.93 | 6.91 | 6.91 | 7 | 6.75 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6.75 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E (47.98) |

| Presentation Style | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|------|
| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | Mean |
| 1 | Moderate Pace | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.95 |
| 2 | Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.91 |
| 3 | Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 4 | Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.95 |

Presentation Style Comments

The KING of pace!

Showed you were very prepared and practiced going through the slides

He did a great job presenting. He felt very comfortable and kept good contact with the audience.

Great pace.

The pace was nice and you presented with confidence.

You we so confident and cool. Didn't see any hint of nerves

seemed to relax and become more friendly as the presentation moved forward

Erik was very poised and very confident throughout his seminar presentation. He was very prepared and is a natural teacher.

The pace of the presentation was fantastic.

Eric's pace, eye contact, and professionalism is top notch. Not distracting mannerisms that I could see.

great pace. maybe go back and discuss the case?

Very collected and did not seem nervous

Great eye contact with audience

Pace started a little slow, but after a couple of minutes you settled into an effective and enjoyable pace

Erik was very professional during the presentation. He really knew his material and presented it appropriately. At times the pace was a little slow to follow.

Good pace, It was clear that you knew your material.

Some reading straight from slides, but overall minimal reliance on notes

Pace was perfect, audience involvement was great.

Great pace and eye contact with the audience

Erik was very confident in his presentation.

You did a fantastic job with your presentation style. Well done!

I loved the pace and the style. I think you nailed it.

| Instructional Materials | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|----|------|
| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | NA | Mean |
| 1 | Slides and handout were clear/easy to read | 12 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.45 |
| 2 | Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.95 |
| 3 | Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable) | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.91 |
| 4 | Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |

Instructional Materials Comments

Font size/style were a little difficult to read at times

.

Some discrepancies between slides and handout (i.e., objectives)

Wrap up your case.

Everything was appropriate. No errors in your materials.

Loved your evidence tables with all the studies side by side.

Slides were potentially confusing when he talked about all studies on one slide then just one slide on the next

It was very easy to follow along in Erik's handout as he went through his presentation.

The introduction was a large block of text. A table or some type of bulleted text may have been better for a handout. I really liked the table used to display the study material.

I loved the multiple tables and charts, as well as the great orientation to the data presented. I love tables, it helps organize information. The font was a little tricky to read in the handout, but overall, very well done.

Liked the points highlighted in red

Amazing handout. A novel idea for seminar that was very helpful and allowed for interaction.

the handout was great with the chart

Slides and handouts were easy to read. Liked that you attached a worksheet to your handout.

Overall really good job. The handout seemed wordy, and at times it was hard to find what was presented during the presentation in the handout. Bullet points might be nice to highlight speaking points.

Slides were a little wordy at times but overall you were able to orient us to the most important points.

Font selected on handout and slides was distracting

Instructional materials were great.

Great handout organization

Erik's presentation of a case and worksheet were original.

Despite some slides being a little wordy, they were well-made and professional in appearance.

I really liked how you presented the 4 studies together

Overall Presentation Content

| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | Mean |
|---|--|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|------|
| 1 | Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 2 | Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.95 |
| 3 | Objectives clear and useful for self assessment | 18 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.82 |
| 4 | Appropriate background information was provided | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.91 |
| 5 | Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow') | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Difficult to present 4 studies but you did it remarkably well

Liked the objectives that allowed us to write them down to keep us engaged.

He seemed interested in his topic.

Tables were fantastic

Great job setting up the controversy and giving the background on PAH.

Liked your organization, it was a little different, but flowed well

Handout was done very well and easy to follow... objectives should be the same on both slides and handout

Erik had me engaged from the beginning of his presentation to the very end. It was an interesting topic, very applicable to pharmacists in all settings, and Erik presented the topic in a way that was very understandable.

The objectives worksheet was a great way to hold the audience's attention and draw focus to the objectives.

Enjoyed the creativity of the worksheet and presentation of several studies at once. I don't know if I've seen that before.

Objects on handout are less specific than on slides. But not a huge problem

Objectives could have been just as detailed on the handout as the slides

great flow

Very smooth transitions. Good topic

Overall really great job. The objectives on the handout were different than the objectives presented, which may just have been a printing time. I really enjoyed the worksheet for audience participation.

I liked the objectives on the slides better than the ones printed on the handout. The objectives were very helpful for increasing learning and comprehension.

referred to objectives throughout the seminar and provided a great fill-in handout.

Background information was excellent, not too much and not too little for someone who knew very little about the topic.

N/A

At the beginning of the presentation, I was a little confused about the objectives. This was clarified after the background information was given.

I could tell you were invested in the topic and did your research. Your transitions between slides were fantastic.

The transitions were great

Presentation of Clinical Data

| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | NA | Mean |
|---|--|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|----|------|
| 1 | Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study | 19 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.86 |
| 2 | Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.95 |
| 3 | Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.95 |
| 4 | Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable) | 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6.9 |
| 5 | Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable) | 8 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5.89 |
| 6 | Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations | 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.86 |

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Critically analyzed all 4 trials - impressive

.

He did a good job thoroughly dissecting the studies, although it was a little crazy with 4 of them.

Again, excellent tables and analysis too.

This was great except for your discussion on power. Because the one study did detect a difference, it was therefore powered to find it. Sometimes their calculation could be off in determining what sample size they need. Any p value < 0.05 tells us that they were powered to detect that difference.

Could have talked more about withdrawals

need to mention more info on withdrawals and dropouts

Not much was mentioned about dropout rates of each study and Erik acknowledged that but other than that clinical data was clear and concise.

Dropouts were not addressed.

Presenter did not mention withdrawals and dropouts, and did not mention if they were significant. This could have a serious impact on the study result validity. Other than that, I really enjoyed the presentation of several studies at once. Other than the withdrawals, Eric seemed thorough in his trial analysis.

Great tables. Did not talk about Withdrawals or dropouts

I found it helpful that you presented the trials individually then all together. Seeing the data in different views is key

did not include dropouts

Very effective presentation of clinical data. Innovative way to present the trials side by side rather than one at a time!

Great job presenting the data and comparing the trials. When discussing significance be careful of your wording choices. What does 'borderline' significance mean? It is either significant or not significant. I also found it was hard to remember the primary objectives when you presented your results, maybe referring to the outcomes as you discuss the results may help.

Compare and contrast presentation style helped with the number of studies that you presented. It allowed the audience to gain a better understanding of the overall picture.

great job summarizing the results from each trial

Clinical data was excellent and the analysis of power and statistical and clinical relevance was discussed clearly and effectively. /

No withdrawals or dropouts discussed. Great on everything else.

Erik was clear about the pros and cons of each study presented.

The tables really enhanced learning. Way to spend extra time and make those!

Did not really address drop outs, but you had to choose what your time was devoted to and you stuck to it. good job

Conclusions

| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | Mean |
|---|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|------|
| 1 | Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.95 |
| 2 | Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.95 |
| 3 | Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.95 |
| 4 | Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment | 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.86 |

Conclusions Comments

Good job applying conclusions to everyday practice

Great explanation of your conclusion

Fantastic job. I think the conclusions were reasonable.

Loved your real-world example of a patient at the pharmacy. Involved the group.

I thought you made great conclusions based on the clinical data. Your discussion on the role of the pharmacist was applicable and appropriate.

Great conclusions. Maybe could have gone back to the case or just taken it out all together.

his conclusions were very thoughtout and followed info he presented

Erik weighed the strengths and weaknesses of each study and was able to draw appropriate conclusions.

I felt that the conclusion was very clear.

Gave solid recommendations using the current data. Explained thought process of how he interpreted the data and gave logical recommendations.

Great conclusions

Don't be afraid to be more assertive in your conclusion.

n

Clinical data supported your conclusions. Very good job

Erik provided great explanations of the information needed to understand the material presented. You could tell he had done a significant amount of background research to understand the material.

Erik, you were able to condense all of the information you looked at and come to a firm conclusion. Your pharmacist role was also very pertinent to us as clinicians.

good job identifying recommendations that are pertinent to practice

Data supported conclusions well.

Clear recommendations at conclusion of study analyses.

Erik presented conclusions in a way that made this topic interesting to listeners.

Your conclusions were backed up by the data. I also liked how you discussed the role of the pharmacist.

I like that your conclusion was in line with the data and it is not clear cut

| Question Answer Session | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|------|
| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | Mean |
| 1 | Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.95 |
| 2 | Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience | 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.86 |

Question Answer Session Comments

Confident and strong

.

Was able to think on his feet and rationally evaluate and respond to questions.

Loved the questions you posed to the audience.

Great confidence in answering the questions!

Great job

able to answer all questions, and encouraged interaction with the audience

He was prepared for all of the questions that he received and answered those questions based on what he learned from primary literature.

Great job getting audience involvement.

Eric asked many questions at the end. This helped me re-analyze my understanding of the material.

Great at fielding questions

You knew all the studies and then some. Very nice job with the Q&A, well spoken.

Great question answering

Answered questions succinctly and well

Erik handled himself professionally and fielded questions well. He remained composed and was able to succinctly answer questions.

It was clear you had read a lot of information about the topic and answered all of your questions well.

good job engaging with the audience and not getting derailed with questions in the middle of your seminar

Answered questions very well even when presentation was interrupted.

Great on the spot thinking! Demonstrated knowledge on topic and answered audience questions well

and in a professional manner.

Erik clearly answered the questions posed.

I thought you did a great job fielding the questions in the middle of the presentation. That might have thrown me off if I was in your shoes.

Great responses to questions. You were asking questions and then answering them yourself at the beginning and then asking questions for us to answer at the end and we were a little confused if we should answer them or not

| Overall Knowledge Base | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|------|
| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | Mean |
| 1 | Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.95 |
| 2 | Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.95 |
| 3 | Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results | 19 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.86 |
| 4 | Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy | 18 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.82 |
| 5 | Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.95 |

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Superb

Great seminar

Erik did a great job synthesizing all of the studies and coherently putting them together.

Showed excellent knowledge of the subject, including related studies.

I could tell that you knew the material well. Great job!

Knew the material very well

He knew his dosing and everything about the studies.

Erik was able to talk freely on the topic and had a very thorough understanding of the primary literature.

I thought it was great how the presenter was able to cite other studies that were not presented in order to support the answers given.

Eric appeared to have a pretty good understanding of the major trials in this area.

Great knowledge about the topic

A master of the subject. Well done on a complex topic that you knew was difficult from the beginning

n

Overall knowledge base was very good. Could tell you had prepared very well for your seminar

Erik was asked difficult questions and was able to portray his knowledge well. He did background research and was able to thoroughly explain topics/questions outside of the material presented.

Was aware of current practice and diagnosis. Brought a lot of this information into the presentation.

very confident in knowledge base

Thorough knowledge outside of topic showed you really did your homework.

See previous comments.

Erik's knowledge of the subject was clear throughout his presentation.

You definitely had vast knowledge on the subject, well done.

I liked how you put each study in context by comparing the population back to our target population.
You did give some credence to their calculations even though they were not statistically significant. /

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Succintly summarized difficult studies

How well you understood the trials and was able to explain them succinctly to the audience.

I really enjoyed his calm, relaxed attitude. It made his presentation so much easier to get into.

Extremely thorough analysis. Seemed in depth, but presented in a way for all to understand.

Interesting topic and an important one too!

Great pace . Really liked the handout, worksheet, and the organization of the presentation.

I liked the handout that he said to rip off at the beginning of the seminar to follow

Erik was very engaging. I was interested in his presentation the entire time he was speaking.

I liked how all of the studies at the same time to compare and contrast the similarities and differences.

I liked the originality. Analyzing four articles at once ended up helping me to compare the methods and results.

Liked how Erik added diagrams of the heart and liked the case within the seminar. Great handout!

The tear off inside the handout was an excellent novel approach to keeping the audience engaged
your knowledge of the subject matter was great

The way you presented/analyzed your trials side by side rather than one at a time

Erik encouraged audience participation and offered materials for us to stay involved and attentive during his presentation. The worksheet was a great tool for the audience to pay attention.

Using the new format you were able to succinctly yet thoroughly give us an overview of the literature that is available.

Erik was very confident in his knowledge and highlighted what is important for practice.

This is the best seminar I have experienced. Pace was perfect, information was great and at the right level for audience. Overall a very impressive presentation.

Great pace and audience engagement. I especially liked the use of the handout organization and the follow along question sheet. It helped me to stay engaged and clarified my understanding.

I liked the way that Erik organized the studies in tables for clear comparison.

Erik is a skilled and professional presenter who answered the questions with poise. I like how he involved the audience throughout the presentation.

I loved how you presented the 4 studies together

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Font size/style

The references on the slides could have been smaller so they wouldn't distract as much from the information presented.

I think it would have been better as 2 studies, instead of 4. 4 was just a little too much for the audience to digest at once.

There was some disconnect between slides, handout, objectives, case, etc. Better arrange it.

Discussion of power.

more discussion on withdrawals. Take out the case if you aren't going to go back to it.

make sure to always mention withdrawal and dropout

He started with a theoretical case but never returned to that case in the end. That would have been a nice touch to tie it all together.

More time could have been used to address weaknesses of the study other than power.

I did miss getting a direct conclusion to the case presented at the beginning of the seminar.

Great seminar but it would be great if you could go back to the case. Go over withdrawals and dropouts.

Being more assertive in your conclusion. Eventhough it was vague, it could have felt stronger at the end.

Maybe refer to each study separately then move on to the next study

objectives handout and slides match

I would have liked to see a wrap-up of your case at the beginning, just to come full circle.

When presenting your studies it could get a little confusing sometimes due to the number and jumping between studies so more orientation to the graph or table would be helpful.

My only opinion on and area of improvement is font style on handout and powerpoint slides, but that's because I don't have much else to critique, Great job!

I really do not have any suggestions for improvement.

Maybe organize some of the trial slides a bit differently to prevent switching back and forth between comparisons. Good compare and contrast of trials though!

The objectives on the handout and in the slides were a little different.

Erik started the first couple of slides by reading off the computer which was mildly distracting. Once he opened up his body posture towards the class and used the laser pointer while looking at the projection screen, it was then that I felt more comfortable. It's hard not to read the slides, and Erik got more comfortable as the presentation went on and he improved throughout.

You may want to include an end to the case you started

General Comments

Great Job!

Overall, Erik did a fantastic job. I was impressed with his work and his presentation ability. Very good job.

n/a

Great job overall!

excellent job Erik!

Great job Erik. I really enjoyed your interactions with the audience and how you highlighted or bolded the important parts.

Overall, it was an excellent presentation! Well done Erik!

Great job! Interesting topic. Seemed very relaxed and confident

Yeppers

n

Gave a good amount of time to let people answer questions that you asked

Overall, Erik did an outstanding job presenting the material.

Very good job.

N/A

No other comment.

It was a fantastic way to open up this seminar! Well done Erik!

great job man