

Presenter: Poulsen, Abraham

Seminar Date: 2014-04-09

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.78	6.88	6.97	6.96	6.91	6.78	6.98	6.63	6.75	6	6.67	6.25	4.75	6.4	0	0	0	E (46.3)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	15	2	5	1	0	0	0	6.35
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	21	1	0	1	0	0	0	6.83
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Presentation Style Comments

Your pace was good enough to follow through the presentation.

Pace was good, albeit quick because there was a lot to cover. Professional in appearance and demeanor.

A little bit fast. slow down a bit.

Your presentation style was good, but because you went so far over, I wondered if you had even gone through your seminar before. :Z And you didn't seem to be in any kind of rush to speed it up when you were going way over.

One of your strengths that you should continue to demonstrate in future seminars is your professionalism, confidence, and poise. Also, you maintained thorough eye contact with the audience.

Great poise in front of the class, but I think I clocked the presentation itself at 45-50ish minutes...way too long for a 30 minute presentation

Abraham presented the material at a good pace but did read from his slides a little bit.

You did a good job maintaining eye contact. At times you talked a little fast. It might help if you boil down the information to the most important points so that you do not have to rush through the material.

Pacing was a bit fast, but I really liked how much eye contact you made with the audience. You seemed very comfortable and not nervous at all.

Relaxed, confident, and funny all throughout the seminar. Love the style. It was very entertaining.

Loved your enthusiasm and voice projection. Try to speak more slowly in the future.

Great confidence!

Very professional and thorough presentation.

Very good style. You didn't seem to rely on notes for the presentation and had good eye contact.

Good pace, fit a lot of detail into the seminar

He was composed and confident. His pace was slightly fast but not overly distracting from the presentation.

Abe, you are a great presenter. You knew your information and you engaged the audience-

Very good presentation style- seemed comfortable presenting in front of everyone

Good eye contact, little reliance on notes. You went too long.

You moved a little too fast. You would often click onto the next slide before we had the chance to review everything on the slide.

Make sure not to go too far over on time....45 minutes is a little long for the presentation.

Your dress and demeanor were professional and the pace was easy to keep up with.

Very professional presentation style. I thought you had a great pace, fast enough to keep me engaged, but not too quick.

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	18	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.7
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	20	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.83
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

Slides were too wordy, may be for next time use more bullets and short sentences.

Everything looked good-no real issues with inability to read the materials presented. References seemed appropriately sited.

slides were a little busy. Tone them down a bit.

The slides and handout could have been spiffed up a little bit with graphics/tables/differing fonts. It was a little dry, aesthetically for me. But I did like the simplicity!

I thought your instructional materials were easy to read and I didn't see any errors - keep this up in the future.

Very well put together slides, though the blue and yellow background was straining on the eyes by the end

His slides contained too many words. Also, I think it's best to select white slides and use black font instead of blue slides with yellow font because it's easier for the audience to read (something I was told to do for my seminars).

Your slides and handout were easy to read. Your handout would be a little easier to read if you formatted the study tables so that each section stays on the same page. Also, you probably did not need to include all cognitive tests in your handout just the main ones used in your two trials. If we want to learn more about them we can find them.

Font on the slides was large enough to read, good job using your references.

Clean handout with a good amount of white space.

Your handout and slides were done well overall. Next time watch for widows/orphans and hyphens. For example, assisted-living facility is hyphenated.

I wish there had been more information about how Alzheimer's works in the handout, even if there was not time to include it in the slides and presentation.

Fantastic handout, however more explanation regarding pathophysiology would have been helpful.

Very good handout. I did not notice any major spelling or grammar errors.

slides and handout both looked great; very easy to read

The slides and handout were free of errors but the appendix were slightly difficult to follow. Ordering the appendix in the way in which they were presented would have greatly added to the presentation.

Nice handout, and the slides were pretty clear-

One suggestion for next time is to make sure you cite the handout in numerical order- I noticed some of the references were not cited in the order they appeared in the handout but it wasn't really a big deal

Good handout and slides

Your slides were overwhelming with too much information. Next time, provide basic information on the slide then provide the rest of the information by mouth. You also had periods on some bullets and not on others. Just a minor thing to watch. You oriented us well to the graphs and handout.

A few of the slides were a little wordy, and the appendix did not always match what the handout said it was supposed to be...but overall great slides and handout!

Slides were easy to read. Some were a little cluttered but for the most part it was ok.

One thing that I thought was excellent was that you oriented us to all graphs and charts included in the presentation.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Appropriate background information was provided	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Smooth transition from each slide.

Presentation was well organized. I loved the lead in-it made me more interested in the topic.

good personal intro.

I really loved that, after you had presented the background information, you re-stated the controversy. It's easy for an audience to forget!

Your presentation was very well organized and flowed smoothly.

Awesome introduction, your humor really pulled your audience in and grabbed our attention

He provided good background information about his topic.

Good interest in the topic. It really grabbed my attention. You did a good job presenting the background information but maybe you could have cut it down a little.

Good flow and transitions to slides. i liked that you set up what was coming next.

Relevant topic for being so close to our therapeutics geriatric module.

Your content was very detailed and thoughtful. I think the only way to improve would be to boil it down a bit which would give your presentation just a bit of polishing. Also the objectives were very ambitious. I like them when they are a bit more precise.

Your personal link to Alzheimer's risk factors was a really good hook into the presentation.

Controversy was clearly defined and the seminarian provided very detailed information.

Your interest in the topic was absolutely great. It certainly got us all interested for your sake. :)

objectives were very clear and the presentation flowed well

His presentation flowed and appropriate background information was provided. I felt as though I had a good background knowledge as he moved forward in the presentation.

I loved your introduction, the topic is very applicable to you-

GREAT job on capturing the audience with your interest in the topic

Good transistions, great intro and explanation of your interest in the topic.

You clicked through the slides too quickly. You provided a compelling interest to the information at the beginning, which got our attention.

Great intro and interest! It really helped to bring it all together and get us interested as well. Background was great and very detailed.

The background was well established. And the controversy was well defined. I was very clear about the purpose and importance of the seminar before we began looking at the trial.

Simple, easy to understand controversy, I liked that you stated it throughout. I also thought that your introduction to the topic was excellent. Brought not only some background in, but personal experience and humor. This was a strong start to the presentation.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	18	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.78
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

You provided good information on statistical analysis.

Wow! He clearly understands how to break studies down and pull out the useful information. I was incredibly impressed. Very thoughtful analysis of the studies presented, very in depth.

tone down the info by picking out the important parts. trials were complex but was a bit busy and overwhelming on info.

Great analysis--it just took you way too long.

One of the strongest aspect of the presentation was your thoughtful analysis of the strengths and limitations of the studies. You should do the same thing in future presentations - it makes it a lot more informative to hear your insights.

Incredibly, and I mean incredibly, well-thought out analysis of the studies. But, as was mentioned in the seminar, it may have been too much, and you may have lost your audience in the trees

He did an excellent job identifying strengths and limitations of his studies.

You did a good job explaining why strengths and limitations were listed as such.

Great job explaining the results and stats of the studies. You did well orienting us to the graphs and tables of the studies, which was helpful in order to understand the results.

Very detailed presentation of the clinical data. Was thorough all throughout and had something to add with every line.

Great job explaining your reasoning on strengths and limitations. Next time pick a few of the most important ones.

I didn't flow very well when you went back to talk about the power in study 1 after you were already into discussing study 2.

Clinical data was very well presented and the seminarian did a fantastic job of addressing the strengths and limitations of each study thoroughly.

The clinical data you used was very interesting and difficult to explain. I think you did well, but could have done better by summarizing many of the outcomes/methods. This is especially true since you ran a little over on time.

Very detailed presentation of data, could do a better job of identifying and presenting the most important data

He gave a very detailed analysis of the studies. Some of the detail provided was slightly overwhelming.

Your evaluation of the studies was very thorough, but some of the details probably could have used some trimming-

Very thorough with presenting data from study

Good analysis of the strengths and limitations of the studies

You provided too much information. It was hard to take it all in as you sped through the information. Your strengths and limitations were definitely thorough, but too much so. Strengths and limitations should not take up more than one slide. I think one of yours took three slides. You definitely thought through them, but a more concise presentation would be helpful.

Clinical data was well explained. Maybe don't go into as much detail here as the presentation went too long.

You did a good job on analyzing the studies but if I could offer a any advice it would be to talk about the stats and charts a little more. I felt you talked a little faster during that portion of your presentation.

Your presentation of clinical data was very thorough and thoughtful. However, a little lengthy, may have started to lose audience. In the future one improvement I would suggest is highlight the things you consider most relevant and cutting down on information to meet the time requirements.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	20	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.83
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Conclusions Comments

Very detailed role of pharmacist, I thought that was good.

Conclusions were appropriate based on the data and I liked the clinical significance focus.

good conclusions.

Conclusions were well-supported.

I like how you emphasized the importance of non-pharm measures in the section about the role of the pharmacist. You also effectively pointed out the clinical importance or lack thereof of various results.

I did feel that his conclusions were well supported by the data he presented in his studies...except, galantamine is definitely not the cheapest of the three. At costco, cash price for one month supply of donepezil = ~\$10, galantamine = ~\$30

He did a good job discussing roles/responsibilities of the pharmacist pertaining to his topic.

Your conclusions were supported by the data. You did a good job drawing conclusions about the clinical significance of the data.

It was obvious your conclusions were based on the study results, because you did such a good job explaining the studies. I like how you were specific with your conclusions and when and why you would use galantamine.

Great conclusions and role of the pharmacist. It had answered all of the questions I had right at the end.

You said many times you didn't believe the surrogate endpoints were clinically significant. I think there must be research about this. You should have looked more into that and tried to answer the question. I almost felt like you were asking the audience to answer it.

You had very clear conclusions and recommendations for pharmacists. This will be an applicable seminar for my practice. Thank you!

Conclusions were personal and strong based on the data presented. Very well done.

Great conclusions. I liked your discussion of the clinical importance.

great conclusions, good job using data presented as well as data from the drug class

His conclusions were well supported by the evidence. He made specific recommendations which were well supported.

I like the points you make about limiting concurrent drugs and non-pharmacy prevention measures-

Conclusions seemed appropriate based on the data

Reasonable conclusions based on the data presented

Your conclusions were supported by the information provided in the studies. You also gave us good ideas for future studies.

I liked that you had clear roles for the pharmacist. I am still a little confused as to what we should recommend but I guess it is ultimately up to the provider.

I liked that you had strong recommendations for our clinical practice and that they were your own.

Conclusions were supported by data presented. One thing that was strong throughout your presentation is that you FOCUSED on clinical relevance. Is there a reduction to the burden to caregivers? Improvement in quality of life? Even though we don't know this for sure it was excellent that you focused on it.

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	16	5	2	0	0	0	0	6.61
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Question Answer Session Comments

Encouraged questions throughout presentation. I liked how you thanked audience for asking questions.

I really liked that he seemed appreciative of the questions being asked, he rephrased the questions to ensure he understood and was able to answer all of the questions with ease.

nice repeat back of questions.

You did a good job with the questions

Overall you effectively answered questions, but there were one, or two that you were not able to thoroughly or succinctly answer. In the future, you could ask someone in your audience (e.g. topic mentor) if they have anything to add to your answer if you are not able to adequately answer the question.

Excellent that he repeated the audience's question before answering (though you don't always have to interrupt your answer to say "thank you for your question")

He did a good job encouraging questions and answering them. I like that he repeated the questions he was asked before he answered them.

Your answers to the questions could have been a little more succinct. I think it is good to thank the audience for their questions but when you start answering and then go back to thank them it is a little awkward. If you forget to thank them just move on and continue answering.

Good job answering questions quickly. I always like when the presenter repeats the questions that was asked, so thank you. You also encouraged questions which shows your confident with the material.

Entertaining and confident throughout questioning.

I think if a person asks a question that you are going to cover later in the presentation, you should give a short answer, or refer to the questions answer later.

I liked how you repeated the questions you were asked to make sure everybody heard them.

Questions were handled perfectly, however interrupting the question to thank the asker was unnecessary and interrupted the flow.

You did a great job answering our questions and always encouraged them. In the future, it isn't really necessary to thank people for their questions, it just takes up time. It is a good idea to restate the

question to make sure you and the audience understood correctly though. Good job.

Questions were well answered, and questioners were all thanked

While he did a good job answering questions, some of his answers were quite wordy. Shorter answers would have greatly added to the presentation.

Good job responding to the questions-

I liked that there were multiple opportunities for the audience to ask questions

Handled questions well, but thanking every question was a bit over the top.

You encouraged questions from the audience at well place intervals during your seminar. You spent a lot of time answering pretty basic questions. Try to be more succinct in your answers. Also, you often put off the answer or referred them to information, which you could have quickly summarized instead. Also, you don't need to thank every person for their questions or comments. It was a bit distracting.

Encouraged the audience to ask questions.

Questions were encouraged and you answered all of them with respect and courtesy.

Encouraged questions throughout. It was excellent that you repeated questions back to the audience.

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Overall you knew a lot about this topic.

An incredible amount of information was shared with us and broken down to a degree that there was no doubt that he knew these studies inside and out.

very well versed on the materials.

I thought you did a good job discussing the conclusions in the context of what the drugs are actually approved for.

I could tell that your knowledge of the topic went far beyond the facts that you presented. You definitely looked beyond the author's conclusions and thoroughly analyzed the studies. When answering questions, you could have come up with logical answers when you were not sure of the correct answer and then stated that you would need to verify your answer.

He was truly a well of knowledge on this subject. The sheer length of his discussion attests to this fact

He did a good job stating his own conclusions from the studies.

You really knew the material and had a lot of knowledge to share. You were able to think about the clinical significance of the results.

It was obvious that you knew a lot about the topic based on how much material you presented. I could tell you were enthusiastic about the topic, which always make sit more interesting for the audience.

Was very witty and quick to think on feet. Very solid knowledge base for studies.

You seemed very well read on subject.

Your appendices were very complete and helpful, but they did not go in the same order as your

handout and presentation.

Clearly the seminarian put much thought and effort into this presentation and it was evident throughout the entire seminar.

I think it was obvious that you have done much research about this topic and you were able to think on your feet to answer our questions.

great knowledge base had a good knowledge of the whole class which helped to make conclusions for this drug

He demonstrated a wide knowledge of the subject. It was clear that he knew much more information than was presented in the seminar.

It is nice that you leave it up to the clinician to decide if the potential benefit of galantamine is worth a trial, bringing the clinical significance into play-

Abe was obviously very knowledgeable about the topic and that really showed during the Q&A

Good overall knowledge base as demonstrated by the Q&A session

You struggled a bit with clinical significance, but I think that was mostly from the nature of the disease state and progress measures.

Thorough knowledge and was evident you knew a lot about the topic.

I thought you did a great job answering all the questions posed to you. You were able to pull information from other trials you had read and you looked very prepared.

Strong part of your presentation that you mention the scales used to evaluate cognitive function in Alzheimer's disease. In addition to defining them, you included what is considered a CLINICALLY RELEVANT change, if there was a consensus. Excellent!

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked how you thanked audience for asking questions and encouraged questions throughout your seminar.

I really liked the seminar a lot. The subject was interesting, the presentation materials were appropriate and he delivered a well-prepared seminar.

interesting topic

I thought your simple style was refreshing and I loved that you re-stated the controversy after your presented the background.

I liked how you thoroughly analyzed the studies and used drug literature evaluation skills to discuss weaknesses of the studies.

I really liked how he really drew his audience in with a very personable introduction

His studies seemed to be complicated, but he did a good job evaluating them.

Your slides were really easy to read. The topic was interesting and relevant. You an excellent job of maintaining eye contact and not relying on your notes or the slides too much.

I really liked how you included all of the mental exams in the handout. I will definitely keep them for my reference!

Was very entertaining and interesting throughout. Was very thorough with evaluation of clinical data.

I liked your enthusiasm

You had great information about different cognitive function tests in your handout. It will be a useful reference.

Very thorough and detailed seminar on a complicated topic. The seminarian really shined in the Q and A session.

I loved the interest you showed in this topic!

I liked the knowledge base

The seminar provided a huge amount of information. I felt as though I really learned about the subject and was able to grasp the topic and the controversy surrounding it.

You are a great presenter, and I like the relevancy of the topic-

The introduction about why you were interested in the topic was very well done!

Interesting personal history to tie in the audience

You provided very thorough reviews of the studies.

Great intro and background!!!

Professional dress and attitude helped to set the stage well for your presentation.

Thorough, well presented, professional presentation.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Your slides were too wordy, use bullets with short sentences for next time.

I was a little confused by the order of some of the items in the appendix but this was discussed in class. I also think it went a little long on time but it was very in depth. ///

a bit much on materials

WAY TOO LONG. I know it was your first seminar and we haven't been enforcing time, but it went 50% longer than the allotted time. It made it seem like you hadn't ever gone through your presentation before (to time yourself), which made the whole thing seem less professional. Which is a shame, because I really think the rest of your seminar was quite good!

Cut out some of the details that are not necessary for the audience to hear so that your presentation can be shorter and less rushed.

Time management...figure out what is absolutely most relevant to your audience, and only present that material. The audience tends to check out after 30 minutes...

He provided all of the results from his studies, which took a very long time and caused him to go over 30 minutes. Perhaps it would have been better if he had just focused on the main results (he could have stated that he was going to focus on the main results at the beginning of his seminar).

Try to boil down the material to the important information that we will need to help us make a decision. Also, at one point while discussing the second study you went back to something you forgot in the first study. That was a little confusing. If you forget a point just move on. We don't know you forgot to tell us so it is ok to not mention it.

Strengths and limitations were explained well, however there were a lot and it was a little overwhelming. To help with that in the future include the most relevant ones to the studies and their results.

Appendix was a little jumbled up from chronological order.

Limit the content a little bit

I thought your introduction went really fast, and I got kind of lost. You slowed down to a better pace to

cover your studies. If time is an issue, next time you could present less in your introduction as long as you make sure that your handout is very thorough and includes things that you skipped.

The seminar went on much too long, practicing beforehand to cut it down to the time allowed would be appreciated.

Just make sure you stay within in the time by cutting out superfluous information. We can always ask about it if it is needed.

Be sure to present the most important data, and weed out the less important facts

His responses to questions were wordy and did not provide an actual answer once or twice. Shorter, more concise answers would have aided in this section of the seminar.

Streamlining the presentation could help the audience digest it a little more-

One suggestion for next time is to limit how much you talk about your studies, just pick out the most relevant details rather than trying to present all the information from the study but overall great job

Don't thank everyone for asking questions. Time management-you went over by quite a bit.

You have a few distracting mannerism: you speak very fast, click through slides before we have finished reading or even before you have finished discussing them, and you thank everyone for every question. Working through these will help the feel of your seminar. Also, try to be more concise.

Again, make sure not to go too much over the time limit. Cut out some text on the slides that were too wordy... but other than that great job!

Focus a little more on the statistics.

Next time practice your seminar to meet the time requirement.

General Comments

Good presentation with very detailed analysis.

Thank you for teaching us about galantamine as AD treatment options. It was an interesting and well prepared seminar. Strong work!

good job.

No additional comments.

I could tell that you were enthusiastic about your seminar and that you had spent a great deal of time preparing for it. Your professionalism and confidence were evident.

Very thorough and well-thought out seminar. Good job!

Good job.

Overall you did a good job on your first seminar. Just work on your timing and pace a little bit and your next seminar will be fabulous.

Well done overall. I would just work on trimming it up a little bit next time.

Overall very well done. You should be proud of your work.

You are a great presenter. Use this skill to your advantage!

I liked how you actually pointed to the screen when the pointer didn't work. That is so much more clear than vaguely gesturing at the screen.

Very well done seminar!

Overall you did an absolutely amazing job!

great job

The seminar was well done and provided a large amount of information. I feel as though what I learned can be taken into clinical practice.

Great job on your first seminar Abe, you'll do well in the fall-

Good overall, for the next one work on narrowing down the material so you aren't as rushed to get through all your data

You may want to pick a subject which is easier to explain with fewer explanations.

Overall good presentation.

Wonderful job and nice job with the cheesecake it was delicious.

Enjoyed your presentation! Thanks for the treats :)