

Presenter: Kunzler, John

Seminar Date: 2013-12-03

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
7	6.95	6.98	6.98	6.97	6.92	7	6.38	6.5	6.7	6.33	6.63	7	6.7	0	0	0	E (47.23)

Presentation Style												
# Question		A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean			
1	Moderate Pace	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7			
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7			
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7			
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7			

Presentation Style Comments

Good pace

All of the above criteria were met. My only criticism would be to leave to podium once in a while.

Perhaps stayed behind the podium a little too much, would have liked to see more action in the front

Well prepared and smoothly presented.

Displayed professionalism and the material presented was appropriate level for this audience.

It was evident you were an expert on the topic and were well prepared

.

Well paced

You seemed relax when presenting and the information was presented in a way we could all understand.

Good presentation style. Easy to follow.

Great pace. I liked it

Good pace

Pace was excellent

Your pace was easy going yet professional. Strong work.

Very smooth, a little quiet

John had good pace and was able to present the information at a level that was easy and clear to understand

Good

Background seemed a little rushed but there was a lot to cover, so it was justified. Too many ums but still sounded professional.

I though the pace was a bit rapid but you also presented a TON of material

Read from the slides occasionally.

very professional, nice pace

stood behind the podium a little too much. it made you seem confined to your computer and slides /

Comfortable and appropriate pace/tone for the audience.

presenter was poised and confident

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	21	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

Interesting topic

Some slides with images were fuzzy. Redo them from scratch or find another way to make them clearer. Also, the handout has a spelling error on page 9. "Addition" should be "additional".

Handout included great info, charts, and graphs

Nice handout, and slides weren't bad, either.

Slides were very easy to read and clear. Did not notice any spelling or grammatical errors.

Very clear slides- provided orientation to graphs/charts as needed

some pics were fuzzy

handout was good

Your handout was really easy to read, I like the bullet points. I also liked how you incorporated the objectives throughout the presentation.

I liked the slides and handout alot.

Slides were great. In a format we are used to

Very clear and easy to read slides

slides were clear and easy to comprehend /

Some of the graphics are pretty blurry. But on the plus side I really liked the bright laser you used.

One of the best hand outs

More orientation could have been provided to the diagrams, particularly on the risk factors.

Good

Slides were very clear.

Easy to follow - no criticisms

Get clear graphics on slides. Liked the layout though!

slides were easy to follow , and i loved pics and how they were cited

some slides were wordy and overwhelming. others were fine. The handout seemed very overwhelming with all the information.

One thing I noticed was some of the background information did not go in the same order that it was presented. As an audience member to follow along I noticed I had to flip back and forth a couple times at the beginning.

slides and handout were well done, clear, and concise

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Appropriate background information was provided	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Good objectives

Your topic was especially intriguing. The back ground was more that sufficient, in fact, your background slides were a little too busy. I think they detracted from your message.

I didn't see a lot of controversy, as the seminarian concluded, it seems like an appropriate therapy

Covered a lot of complicated background stuff in a clear, concise manner.

His outline and objectives were clear to read and straight forward.

What an interesting topic! I have never heard of this! I thought this was a great topic and you really highlighted the importance of the topic/interest in the topic

Smashing work in this area

well organized slides

I liked how you made a connection to the allopurinol seminar. Transitions with objectives were a nice touch.

The content was good. You did good identifying the strenghts and limitations.

Great background. Interesting topic

I thought your background, history and cntrovery were very interesting and thorough.

I liked how you revisited each objective before covering it, very helpful

The objective "Describe the role of inflammation in cardiovascular disease" seemed a bit tangential.

Seemed very interested in topic

John was able to create objectives that are measurable and useful. Overall the background was appropriate and increased my understanding.

Good

Thorough background, good interest in topic, controversy well defined and good flow.

Content was appropriate, background information was particularly broad and deep, transitions could have been smoother as sometimes it seemed to be an abrupt end to one slide and start of the other. Think of transition words and phrases to use and it will smooth out

Great flow, clear explanations.

the topic was very interesting

loved the objective at a time approach. Pause a little longer during transitions to allow for questions. We didn't have time to read your comics!

Objectives were very clear, and I especially appreciated that he highlighted which objective was being addressed at a time. It helped ensure that we knew what we were going over was meant to be an emphasized and important part of the presentation.

The controversy didn't seem like a true controversy. It seemed more like just an added benefit of cardioprotection for those patients already taking colchicine.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Good interpretation of data

I thought your selection of the studies was excellent, coming from different kinds of study designs. I appreciated your analysis of the second study, pointing out some strange things the designers had done that I had have not picked up on.

With the exception of some minor issues with external validity the clinical data was appropriate

Aptly discussed the data and its relevance.

Good thoughtful analysis of study strength and limitations.

Great analysis of your studies- it was clear you were the expert on the topic

Clearly knew the information and presented it very well.

good analysis of the studies

I think you did a good job differentiating between clinical and statistical significance.

Good job explaining the study's.

Nice job interpreting studies

You did well at analyzing strengths and weaknesses of each study.

no additional comments

I would have liked to have seen at least one double blinded study. I have no idea what kind of data is available on this topic and I am sure you used the best studies available. However the studies seemed

a bit weak/inappropriate to draw conclusions from.

good handle on the data

Evaluation of strengths and limitations seemed to be well thought out. I thought it was a fair assessment of the studies that was able to see the potential uses while still examining what we need to know more about.

Good job

Good and thorough study analyses. He was able to explain the studies well.

Great - I thought this was a real strength

Great analysis of studies. Knew the info very well and knew what was important to focus on.

he explained how the studies were done very well.. external and internal validity and intent to treat etc

Very current and interesting topic. Thanks for letting us know about something on the cutting edge of research.

I liked that he presented the clinical data in words and in flow charts so that way it was easy to understand.

Good job drawing conclusions that were not exactly the same the conclusions of the authors

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Conclusions Comments

Good pharmacist role

Your conclusions were particularly sound when making the recommendation for finding a suitable candidate for his long term therapy, especially considering its side effects.

Conclusions drawn as appropriate

Conclusions were reasonable and supported by the data.

He clearly discussed the role of the pharmacist and the impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of treatment that was presented.

Role of the pharmacist was good- I think that this is an interesting topic and feel more prepared if I ever am asked this in the future

yup yup

conclusions seemed supported by the studies

I thought you made good conclusions at the end, I would have liked to see more of your conclusions for each study.

Good conclusions.

Conclusions were supported by data

Your conclusions were appropriately hesitant based on the data you presented. I liked how you used the case to narrow down the type of patient who you would consider a candidate for colchicine for secondary CV prophylaxis.

great conclusions, strong but not beyond the evidence /

I agree that more studies need to be done to determine if colchicine has the desired effect. The studies used are not definitive in that regard.

I can apply the pharmacist role section

Pharmacists role was practical and useful for clinical practice. I appreciated that John mentioned things that I could use myself and had the patient case as an example.

Good

Conclusions were valid and backed by the evidence. I like the patient case and thought the pharmacist's role was good.

Congruent with data

Great conclusions and I like that they were your own and you had a strong recommendation.

he described pharmacists' roles and clinical importance and Application very well

Since this is a very new topic of discussion in the medical community. It was great to have a general knowledge of what's out there. Not everyone has direct application right now, but it is highly possible in the future.

Clear, and strong conclusions. The case at the end really helped drive home what we were expected to have learned from the presentation.

Didn't seem to have a lot of clinical importance because people were only receiving cardio benefits as a secondary benefit of already having to take colchicine. It didn't seem like the presenter was making a case for using colchicine for cardio instead of gout

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	22	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.88

Question Answer Session Comments

Enjoyed the pictures

You answered my question very well. I felt like you were knowledgeable enough to give an informed response.

Not a whole lotta questions but was able to provide adequate responses

Appropriately responded to questions posed.

He made a good effort to answer all the audience questions.

You were able to think on your feet and were not thrown off by questions that were asked of uou

.

answered all questions well

Good job answering everyone's questions. You were able to think on your feet.

Very good job answering questions.

Great

You answered the questions well.

no additional comments /

You answered the questions with poise. Thank you for not making stuff up.

Handled questions with ease

Overall the interaction with the audience was good, I would try to include more in the future with things such as interactive patient cases throughout or asking for more audience input.

Good job

Good Q&A and definitely encouraged questions.

Answered all questions succinctly and without pause

Easily answered questions. Nice job.

all questions answered professionally

It did not seem like you were very open to questions. Pause a little longer to see if people have any questions.

Questions were encouraged a few times throughout the presentation, however I feel like there was not long enough pauses given to make sure that people had time to process what was just discussed and develop a question. I hardly even had a chance to read the comic on the "questions" slides.

very few questions were asked but presenter answered them well, good knowledge of the subject

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Seem very knowledgeable on the topic

As, I mentioned earlier you answered questions well, perhaps questions you were not expecting. You didn't let uncertainty of an answer away you from your assertiveness.

No question he was solid on knowledge...solid

Good grasp on the topic.

Overall knowledge was good. He was able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance.

Great knowledge base!

It was clear he knew the information based on his comments about the studies and how they ran their stats.

could tell he knew his stuff

By the way you expanded on your presentation beyond the slides, you could tell you had a good knowledge base.

Good topic and you knew it very well.

Seemed to know much about the topic

You had a wide knowledge base that was particularly obvious during the case when you were mentioning other things the patient could do to decrease his cardiovascular risk.

definitely knew the topic well, great job

You did a great job with the patient case at the end. It helped tie all the data points together.

Knew it cold

It was clear that John knew a lot about the topic and was able to convey much of this information in a clear manner.

Good

Clearly knew his information and was able to think on his feet.

It was clear to me that you hadn't just memorized a presentation - you knew the material and could really speak to the topic

Great personal conclusions. Made it applicable to our practice.

he able to think on his feet. he agree with the authors conclusions and explained why

I could tell you knew a lot about the topic because you were very comfortable speaking about it.

He was clearly knowledgeable about the topic and was able to draw firm conclusions based off of the study material he presented.

Strongest part of the seminar was the presenters ability to go beyond the authors conclusions and form conclusions of his own.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Interesting topic. Great pictures

I liked your use of objectives thought the seminar. To many times I get lost and forget what I am supposed to know by the end. Thank you for guiding us through them.

I liked the way you reiterated the objectives before each point

Most of it.

Very clear and easy to read/follow the slides. Not confusing at all. Straight forward.

I loved the topic and how different this was- I feel like I walked away learning something completely different about a drug I never new that use existed

Interesting topic

pace was right on time

I really liked the cartoon transitions and the objectives transitions.

Good seminar

Interesting topic. Definitely new.

I thought your patient case was great. It really brought together all of the aspects of your seminar and was helpful for clarifying your conclusion.

the objectives and how they were handled, as well as the background were excellent

I really liked how your presentation stressed the objectives point by point.

I really liked your handout and presentation style

I liked that your objectives were clear and measurable. It was helpful for my understanding.

I liked this topic-- very interesting.

Great incorporation of the patient case at the end. Nice way to sum it up.

Topic and amount of background material

He knew the studies very well and was very confident.

slides were well organized

Loved the objective at a time approach. Helped me to follow your train of thought better.

As previously mentioned there were 2 parts of this seminar that I really appreciated, 1 of them being the highlighted objectives throughout the presentation and the 2nd part being the case at the end to emphasize the conclusion.

The presenter showed an interest in the topic and in turn made an interesting seminar even though there seemed to be little controversy.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

How much would it cost compared to others?

Some slides could use reformatting, including making images not fuzzy and cutting down the amount of material on background slides.

A little more action up front, don't hide behind podium

Nothing comes to mind.

Maybe try to make more eye contact with the audience.

Try to relax while presenting- you do a great job! I think more confidence will come with each seminar as well as becoming more comfortable

Can't see how it was great

Can't think of one. So I won't invent one.

I would have liked to see more of your conclusions from the studies.

Nothing

Nothing to say here

I thought you kind of skimmed over the CV benefit seen with allopurinol, although you mentioned it was in another recent seminar that I wasn't able to watch.

possibly some extra info on the background that wasn't as clinically relevant to your topic

For the first half of the presentation you looked at the computer screen frequently. The last half you corrected the problem.

speak louder

Greater audience interaction and example patient cases would make the presentation more engaging and aid in overall understanding of the topic.

None

Fewer ums and maybe slow down the background a bit.

Transitions could use some work

Clear slides, don't read the bullet points right from the slides.

more eye contacts?

Don't be afraid to come out from behind the podium and be more open. It allows the audience to engage when you invite them to be more open as well.

Better connection with the audience, especially when offering the chance to ask questions.

The controversy could have been more controversial.

General Comments

Great job overall!

See above.

:-)

Fantastic job.

Good presentation overall. Interesting topic.

Great work!

You are soooooo cool.

no further comments

Great job!

Wooooohoooo

Good job John! This was a really interesting topic, and I learned a lot.

no additional comments

No thanks

very good handout

None

Good job and interesting topic.

Great job

Nice work!

overall, it was very informative and professional

Congratulations!

Overall, a good seminar