

# Presenter: Dobis, Dave

Seminar Date: 2013-11-14

## Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.68	6.88	6.87	6.91	6.76	6.89	6.78	6.63	6.5	6.7	6.5	6.5	6.25	7	0	0	0	E (47.02)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	10	8	0	0	0	0	0	6.56
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	13	5	0	0	0	0	0	6.72
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	13	3	2	0	0	0	0	6.61
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	17	0	0	1	0	0	0	6.83

## Presentation Style Comments

Good pace, wasn't to fast.

great job

The pace was a little slow at first but go better as the presentation continued.

Went at a very comfortable, conversational pace. Made great eye contact with the audience and gave us time to absorb the material.

Pacing was a bit slowed down, which is usually great for an audience.

I thought your pace was great and your calm voice helped as well.

Very professional. Information was presented at an appropriate level. I thought the pace was a little slow, but that is just my personal preference on pace.

Good pace.

Good pace during the seminar

Pace was a little slow, with some reliance on the slides, however it wasn't too distracting.

Seemed a little timid.

The pace of your presentation was comfortable and appropriate for the subject. I liked that there was

opportunities for the audience to ask question throughout the seminar rather than at the end only.

You seemed a bit nervous as first, but got more comfortable as you progressed into your seminar

I couldn't understand a couple of the words he said. (Mispronounced?)

I enjoyed the presentation style, especially the nice lazer pointer.

Good pace

Good presentation style. May have been a little on the slower side, but a still a very relaxed paced.

Pace was a little slow at times and some reliance on notes

## Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	14	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.78
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.83
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

## Instructional Materials Comments

Thought the slides and handout were good.

great job

The slides and handout looked great.

His slides and handout were well organized and clear, free from errors. He cited appropriate references.

Good references

The font on the handout was a little small but your slides were really good

Slides were very concise which I really liked. Not too wordy and not too much information on one slide. The two pages per handout was a little hard to read, but I understand trying to save on paper.

Geeat slides, easy to read

I liked the charts that you used to show both cancer relapse rates and deaths

The study flow for the first study utilized a confusing configuration on the slide. Also, the font was too small in the handout due to putting two pages per page.

Good Job

Both your slides and handouts were easy to follow. I appreciated being able to quickly find the study and the related information. I also liked the printing of two pages per page on the handout.

Some of your slides were a bit busy and I felt like you could have made these more asthetically pleasing

The slides were great, but the handout font was way too small, and the white spaces bothered me.

Clean and crisp slides made the presentation easy to follow.

Liked your pictures they were funny and helpful.

Good spacing of the text and pictures on the slides and use of the laser pointer. Would have appreciated more orientation to the axes of the graphs provided.

Slides were very nice and clear which aids in learning

## Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	14	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.78
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.83
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Appropriate background information was provided	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.83

## Overall Presentation Content Comments

I don't remember you stating your interest in the topic, but I miss things sometimes.

great job

Your intro was great when you identified the likelihood of prostate cancer among the audience.

Very effective opener of making the topic personal and relatable by his prostate cancer statistics. Could have defined the controversy during the presentation more effectively. The presentation flowed smoothly.

Very good organization for presentation

Good flow I appreciated the opportunity for questions in the middle

I really liked the statistic at the first to get the audience engaged and introduce the importance of the topic. I didn't think he made the controversy very clear in his presentation and after reading it in the handout it still was not super clear to me.

Interesting topic

Good flow to the presentation

I thought you covered your interest and the controversy very well.

Did not explain interest in subject.

Topic interest was well communicated and made the topic interesting to me and all the other men by citing the statistic and the beginning of you presentation. All the objectives were addressed and relevant to the topic. Background was informative. The only other background information I would have liked up front, that was addressed in the Q&A, was the information about how not diagnosing the cancer leads to not treating the cancer, which is often the best course of action.

I liked the statistic offered regarding the percentage of males in the room who will get prostate cancer. It made me understand why the seminar was relevant.

The objectives were fantastic, and the fact that he came back to them at the end was helpful.

I thought the presentation flowed well, and that I got appropriate background information to adequately understand the topic.

Good flow

Good introduction and interest in the topic. Controversy was difficult to understand in relation to the conclusion of the seminar. Would have appreciated explanation for how one study built on the other as a transition from one study to the next.

A little unclear on your interest in the topic as well as the objectives of what to take away from the presentation

## Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	15	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.78
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	16	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	6.88
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89

## Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Clinical data and discussion of it were great.

great job

You explained the data in the studies well.

Did a great job taking us through the studies, and he presented them clearly and discussed the strengths and weaknesses well.

Good analysis on studies.

You mentioned secondary outcomes but didn't talk about their results

Great explanations and analysis of strengths and weaknesses

Very thorough analysis of the studies

Good analysis of strengths and limitations

Would have liked to see more of your own conclusions on each study.

Good job.

All limitations of the studies were properly evaluated and made sense in the context of the overall conclusions. I would have appreciated more elaboration on the student's conclusion for each individual study, why or why not they felt that conclusion was relevant and how it was shaping his overall recommendation. Other than that the actual presentation of the data was very well done.

The clinical data was presented appropriately however I felt the analysis of the strengths and limitations was lacking

Excellent job

The clinical data were well-presented and I was able to follow along just fine.

Good explanation of dropouts since they were a significant portion of the study

Good discussion of strengths and limitations of the studies.

I would have tried to explain what losing half of the patient population would do to the study results



## Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	13	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.67
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.83
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	13	5	0	0	0	0	0	6.72
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.83

## Conclusions Comments

Conclusions were good, would have liked to see your conclusions vs what the author thought.

great job

Your conclusions were a little confusing at first but became more clear following questions from the audience.

The conclusions were a bit difficult to understand, though upon question and answer session it was explained more clearly. The application of the information was discussed at length.

Good recommendations

I was confused by the conclusions and it took the question and answer section for me to fully grasp it

The conclusion was very confusing and was not super clear until the question and answer section.

Great recommendations

It would have been nice to have the authors conclusions as well as your own conclusions

Conclusions came out more clearly in the Q and A rather than during the presentations.

good

I felt that the conclusion was appropriate but the communication of that conclusion was poorly done. This was remedied during the Q&A section with much more clarity. When the take home point is not as straight forward, including the rationale in the conclusion would have been appropriate. For example the statement that came out in the Q&A about "the best way to not over treat prostate cancer is to not go looking for it, therefore if patients want to go look for it one way to prevent premature diagnosis and over treatment is to use this medication" would have helped a lot.

The conclusions definitely could have been stronger. Make a recommendation and stand behind it. It didn't seem like you were sure of your conclusion.

He kind of backed off his recommendation, using the word probably. Be more firm!

I enjoyed the discussion on the clinical relevance of this topic, and the various pathways of clinical care that can be taken in prostate cancer.

Good thoughtful conclusions

Good discussion of the pharmacist's role. Unclear at the end of seminar your overall seminar conclusion, but was more clear after the question and answer session. Maybe highlight key points of each of your studies that helped you decide on the conclusion you did would have helped? Also, would have like to see your conclusions in addition to the authors' conclusion.

Really try to get across why this subject is important for clinical practice

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	16	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.83
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

### Question Answer Session Comments

Good questions session.

great job

Great job answering some difficult questions.

Answered questions well and further enlightened us on the topic.

Questions were a little rough.

Knew exactly where to look for your answers

Did a great job answering questions and finding the information when needed.

Seminarian seemed prepared for the questions

Good job answering some tough questions

Good job with the questions, however my understanding is that for seminar we are suppose to save them till the end. You answered them very well.

Thorough knowledge of subject. Answered all questions.

The Q&A section went very well. I also liked that time for Q&A following each study was incorporated into the presentation.

Some questions were answered by the topic mentor, which I felt you should have been able to answer.

He was obviously prepared to answer questions, and if he didn't know them off the top of his head, he knew exactly where to look; great job.

The Q&A session went well. Some difficult questions, but adequate answers were provided.

I could see where Dr. Jennings was confused about the recommendation so I would suggest explaining the recommendation to several other people (lay people) to guage whether it makes sense to them. You know it, its just making sure you can spit it out in a way that other people can follow.

Great job answering questions. Really took the time to think through the question.

You did a nice job answering questions without being flustered



Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.83
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	13	3	2	0	0	0	0	6.61
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	14	2	2	0	0	0	0	6.67
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	16	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.83

### Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Overall knowledge was good.

great job

You clearly knew the material well.

He was able to think on his toes as he received many questions to clear up some points, and it was obvious his knowledge base was extensive and his interest in the subject genuine.

You obviously had put in some research.

I felt that the clinical significance could have been explained more clearly. I left unsure of what to think.

Would have liked to see his conclusion in. Comparison to the author conclusion. I couldn't decide which conclusion he was presenting during the seminar. Great knowledge of the subject and application to clinical practice.

Well prepared

Good overall knowledge base on a difficult topic

.

Good

You were very knowledgeable concerning each individual study and the disease state as a whole. You were able to draw you own conclusion and when it was appropriate to draw that conclusion and why.

More research could have gone into quality of life issues, though you touched on it briefly.

Very well prepared.

Great preparation and knowledge base. Well-prepared.

Good knowledge base

Really took the studies and analyzed them to make sure that conclusion and recommendations aligned with the evidence presented.

If there is no survival benefit then we need a VERY compelling reason to undergo therapy

## **Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar**

I liked the chosen topic and that positives and negative of the therapy were clearly stated.

great job

Great introduction and relation of the material to the audience.

He answered questions extremely effectively and it was obvious he was interested in the subject and had an extensive knowledge base.

Good pace

You had the best pace I've seen so far. The background information was great.

I really liked his introduction and background information to emphasize the importance of his topic.

Interesting topic

I liked your introduction, showed how it was applicable to us

Definitely a controversial topic, good choice.

Good knowledge of subject matter.

Like I have previously stated in the comments I have already made, I liked your handout and how easy it was to follow along. I liked the opportunity for questions throughout the presentation. I also liked that you were overall knowledgeable about this subject and able to draw your own conclusion based on the studies.

It was an interesting topic to hear about, one that should be re-visited. It fits well with Movember and thinking men's health issues.

He did his research and was 100% ready.

Again, I loved the laser pointer. It made it very easy to follow along.

Interesting topic and one we should be thinking about.

Interactions with the slide--pointer, walking up to the slide. Clean handout.

You knew a lot about your topic which was clear especially in Q and A

## **Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar**

As mentioned earlier, would have been nice to see a slide with the seminarians conclusions about the material.

Nice, you paced yourself very well. I felt comfortable and you gave us time to understand the research and be able to develop our own opinions on the topic.

The conclusions were a little weak, but were better following questioning from the audience.

The conclusions were a bit confusing based off the study results, but upon further explanation I was better able to understand the rationale.

Would have liked your own conclusions

The conclusion was a little confusing to me. I wish that this had been more clear.

Make the conclusion more clear, rather than that, great job!

Rely less on the slides

I would have liked to see your own conclusions as well as the authors conclusions

Be more clear in your conclusions.

He seemed a little timid during the seminar.

Like I have previously stated in the comments I have already made more clarity on the conclusion during your presentation would have been good. This could have been done by including the example that was brought up during the Q&A section.

Make a solid recommendation, one that can be the take away message of your seminar.

There was an occasion or two when he said the opposite of what was on the slide. That confused me a little.

Attempt to answer difficult questions in the body of the seminar to avoid confusion later. (I thought the seminarian did this well with all but one question that came up later)

Just practice your recommendations so they are clear. I think we have all had to wade through guidelines or recommendations that are clear as mud and it gets frustrating now knowing how those guidelines are useful.

Difficult ascertaining the overall conclusion. Maybe highlight key aspects of each study that helped you build your final overall conclusion would have helped to set up the conclusion better?

Try to make the case for why this matters in clinical practice - the same way you would make a recommendation to a provider on why to prescribe it.



## General Comments

Nice job.

great job

Great job overall!

Great presentation with an easy to read handout and slides, and excellent question and answer session.

Great job! Tough topic but you handled it very well.

Awesome job

Good job Dave!

Great job!

God job.

I do not have any additional comments besides all of the comments made so far. Good job on your seminar.

N/A

I loved that he knew exactly where to find the answers!

well done

Great job! thank you!

Great job overall. Good overall knowledge base. Very controversial topic that you were able to delineate appropriate recommendations to based on evidence.

Great job