

Presenter: Carlson, Christopher

Seminar Date: 2013-11-20

Presenter Scores

| Student Survey Data Averages | | | | | | | Faculty Survey Data Averages | | | | | | | Final Scores | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------|------|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------|-----|---------------|--------------|-------|------|--------------|
| Pres. Style | Inst. Materials | Overall Pres. | Clinical Data | Conc. | Q&A | Overall Knowledge | Pres. Style | Inst. Materials | Overall Pres. | Clinical Data | Conc. | Q&A | Overall Know. | Prep. | Prof. | Att. | Total |
| 6.87 | 6.87 | 6.88 | 6.86 | 6.89 | 6.93 | 6.94 | 6.38 | 5.75 | 6.3 | 6.55 | 6.75 | 7 | 6.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E (47.09) |

| Presentation Style | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|------|
| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | Mean |
| 1 | Moderate Pace | 26 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.9 |
| 2 | Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes | 24 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.76 |
| 3 | Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms | 24 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.83 |
| 4 | Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |

Presentation Style Comments

Great presentation style and pace

Great job with eye contact and minimal reliance on slides.

Looked calm and confident during presentation

I was very impressed with the level of professionalism and talent that Chris demonstrated throughout his seminar. His speech was dignified, yet easy to understand, and flowed quite nicely.

Well-prepared presentation

Your intro was extremely helpful and educational, but a little slow and long. Slight critique, but again, I certainly was interested in your topic because of your great intro.

great pace

had a great pace and did a good job of not relying on the slides or notes. I liked that you presented in a way that was applicable to pharmacists with many great examples of where problems can occur.

The presentation flowed well and Chris looked confident with material.

refrain from saying, "you know, you know"

Great pace and was very confident

Chris was very well prepared and presented with confidence.

I could tell that you made a conscious effort to maintain eye contact with the entire audience, not just one section,

Your presentation was steady and without pauses or distracting mannerisms. It was well rehearsed and both your presentation style and your questions to the audience were very engaging.

Overall great job. One thing to point out was the use of "um" and "you know".

Very smoothly presented but things you cannot say: "you know" "things like that" and probably not "old lady"

You seemed a bit nervous at first but as the presentation went on you started to get more comfortable

Great style as always

You have very natural mannerisms.

Appropriate pace, some reliance on slides

Great presentation pace and style. Spent some time glancing back at your slides, try positioning yourself between the podium and slides so you can always see your computer.

Chris had great professionalism in his presentation. His pace was just right throughout the presentation.

distracting "ums" were used

Good job! Great pace, but there were a lot of "ums"

Pace was appropriate. Said Um a lot, try to eliminate that.

Good pace and eye contact. I liked how you engaged the audience with questions.

Good knowledge of presentation without reliance on notes. /

There was a minor distracting mannerism in which you would pull back your pinky finger. Maybe I was distracted because it looked like you were going to snap your finger off and I couldn't believe how far back you can pull it.

I thought the pace was smooth. You did look back at your slides a but much.

Instructional Materials

| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | NA | Mean |
|---|--|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|----|------|
| 1 | Slides and handout were clear/easy to read | 20 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.66 |
| 2 | Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors | 26 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.86 |
| 3 | Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable) | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| 4 | Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.97 |

Instructional Materials Comments

Everything looked clear and professional.

Slides were very clear, but your handout was a little difficult to follow. Consider breaking up the paragraphs in the handouts.

Liked the examples of common errors and the quiz questions

I found no errors or typos in the handouts and slide presentation. The material in the handout was dense, but was put together well.

Many charts to illustrate the points presented, very useful

Nothing negative here. Well referenced, organized, etc.

good job

the slide looked clear and concise with not too many words. The hand out was hard to follow along with at first since it wasn't in the same order at first. It would also be helpful to have more white space for ease of reading. The single spacing made it harder to follow along.

Chris did a good job involving the audience with questions.

some citing issues (maybe preference), and some grammar or misspelling

Slides were easy to read, clear, and concise. Good handout too

I would have liked more background information on available PO chemo agents. Just a quick review.

The introduction portion of the handout was difficult to follow during the lecture, I would recommend breaking up the intro somehow to make the handout easier to follow.

The instructional material was well done. They were concise enough to glean important points, but they had enough information to help the audience keep their bearings.

The hand out was very detailed which was great! However, my personal preference is bullet points. But each-to-their-own. YOu had all the pertinent info in there so it was not a big deal.

very nice layout. Some slides are wordy, but this is ok bc you allow them to appear as you discuss.

The hand out was difficult to follow along with during your presentation at the beginning until you got to the studies

Slides were well put together

Your slides are very easy to read.

Slides were easy to read and staggered delivery made them easier to "digest". Good abbreviated referencing in your slides

Materials were crisp and clean. FYI when referencing on a handout reference should be a superscript after the punctuation.

Some slides were a little crowded. It might have worked better to have more slides and less information per slide especially in the background section and the first study.

slides were difficult to read because of the dark background.

Slides were clear and easy to read. The graph in the 2nd study could have been given a bit more orientation but other wise it was good.

Slides were organized well and easy to understand.

Slides were well designed and easy to follow along. The handout was a bit crazy on the first page but eased up. Good study and chart orientation.

Good background information on pharmacists role. /

There was a spelling error on your slide. It was on the slide titled Results and under the section (322 New) where "potential" was written "potentia"

I liked the slides style, they were easy to read. It would have been nice to have a few pictures

Overall Presentation Content

| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | Mean |
|---|--|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|------|
| 1 | Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described | 25 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.86 |
| 2 | Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly | 24 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.83 |
| 3 | Objectives clear and useful for self assessment | 26 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.86 |
| 4 | Appropriate background information was provided | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.97 |
| 5 | Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow') | 25 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.86 |

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Would have liked more info on your interest in the topic.

The content of your presentation was clear and easy to follow along with.

Simple objectives that were easy to follow

Great objectives. The flow of the presentations was very smooth and laid out the topic at hand in a clear, logical manner.

The controversy was clear and useful for pharmacy profession

Your transitions were a little hard to follow. I couldn't tell when you started new studies, and you could've paused after each study for some questions. Just one idea.

great topic. i thought it was very appropriate and important to note

Defined the controversy well and I liked that you focused on what we can improve upon rather than what profession is more responsible.

I liked how Chris jumped directly into the controversy. It really set up the talk.

he had smooth transitions, objectives were not the same from slides and ppt (one extra), background could have been potentially a little shorter, but it was very thorough

Could work on "flow" but overall good transitions and presentation

I thought it was a good topic because I've heard a lot of students say they don't need to know much about chemo agents unless they specialize in oncology but the topic is applicable to ALL pharmacists.

I like how you tied in the physician dispense law into your topic. I think it put a unique perspective on the topic and made us, as future pharmacists realize the importance of counseling and continued education.

The presentation content was excellent. Interesting topic, I loved the way you tied everything into what we would be doing in practice, and I loved the practice questions. Overall, very engaging.

Lots of great background. Interesting topic. Liked how you brought up the bill 161.

Clear interest and pertinent objectives that were well addressed

It didn't feel like all the objectives were emphasized on

I liked how picked a broad topic; rather than just a single agent for a certain subset of patients

I liked your overview of current practices and guidelines.

May have missed it; but don't recall you actually discussing objectives in your presentation. Sometimes examples did not flow well with material being presented at that time. That being said, I really liked your examples, they added to the presentation

Chris provided a great review of common medication errors and evaluated the studies well, even with slightly different study designs.

Controversy is very relatable to all areas of pharmacy practice. The background information gave the audience just enough information to be able to get invested in the topic and understand the rest of the presentation.

transitions were great, some fumbling of words

Great background and showing how this is a pertinent topic here in Utah. Good objectives. Great flow.

Gave good background, but it was almost too much, maybe pick out some of the more important parts.

Clear objectives and good background information. Presentation flowed smoothly.

Transition from one topic/study to the next was very smooth.

Great introduction into the subject! I really enjoyed all the statistics which showed the potential for errors.

I really like the topic. I did get a little lost in the controversy, but by the end it made more sense

Presentation of Clinical Data

| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | NA | Mean |
|---|--|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|----|------|
| 1 | Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.93 |
| 2 | Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained | 26 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.9 |
| 3 | Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis | 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6.89 |
| 4 | Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable) | 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6.61 |
| 5 | Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable) | 19 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6.86 |
| 6 | Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.93 |

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Studies were interesting and I applaud your choice of topic.

Great job scrutinizing the clinical data and presenting your statistical analysis

Good trials

The presentation was clear and the trial data was laid out in an easy to understand format.

The limitations of the studies were exposed but still the data was valued in a real context

Great presentation of your studies. You included some interesting studies that were truly thought-provoking; I loved the quiz!

good articles

did a great job presenting the data as applicable to the type of study. Also liked that you included some audience participation in discussion of the survey study by asking some of the questions included in the survey. Helped to keep us awake and engaged and also emphasized the point that many of us aren't comfortable with oral chemo.

There could have been more on the strengths and limitations. Also, how could the studies been done better?

Thought he presented the data very well, some of the slides were a bit busy but that comes with presenting data

I felt that you were prepared and understood your studies well- it was evident you were an expert on the topic

I thought the study that tested pharmacists knowledge was interesting and very applicable.

I thought that a real strength of your seminar was the detailed breakdown analysis that you performed for each study.

I am not as familiar with confounders in the study types that you presented, but you pointed out the two that I saw as most relevant (namely that pharmacists who felt most comfortable with oncology are most likely to respond to the survey, and no methods were used to restrict cheating). Overall a very thoughtful analysis of the data.

Delineating between each study. Either you were really smooth or I completely missed it but I did not hear you talk about Study 1. BUt overall great job.

Although the data was more categorical and continuous variable trials, I felt you did an excellent job conveying the data.

Great job at looking at what issues could arise with the surveys

Great job with trials

You covered the studies very thoroughly.

Good job at presentation of clinical data, sometimes it was unclear when you transitioned from one trial to the next

Chris provided a very thorough evaluation of the literature, with critical evaluations of strengths and limitations.

A little more explanation of the study designs presented would have been helpful. They are simple enough but it would have been nice to review them a little.

good insight into studies and knew about other studies related to topic

Very thorough study analyses! Did a great job explaining the pharmacist involvement and not "blaming" anyone in particular.

Clinical data was presented well, perhaps spend more time on the data and less on the background.

Good literature analysis. Detailed analysis on studies and data collected. Good audience orientation to trials and understanding of the data.

Pharmacist interventions were clearly described for each study.

Your presentation of the clinical data was awesome! Well prepared and well-done!

I'm glad you walked us through the the unfamiliar stats for the study scale

Conclusions

| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | Mean |
|---|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|------|
| 1 | Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar | 25 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.86 |
| 2 | Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed | 27 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.9 |
| 3 | Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice | 26 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.86 |
| 4 | Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.93 |

Conclusions Comments

I liked that you specifically recommended implementation of ASCO guidelines.

Chris' conclusions were supported by the data presented.

Could have used a more concrete solution to the problem

The entire presentation was focused on the role of the pharmacist. I believe Chris did a good non in using these studies to achieve that. I would have liked to have heard more about his personal conclusions and opinions though.

The conclusions were not very specific but still strong and contained the main ideas of the topic

Great conclusions. I liked how your conclusions were thought-provoking, but specific. You made me realize how I need to stay up on oncology, no matter how much I don't enjoy it in school.

good conclusions

included appropriate recommendations and pharmacist role.

I think there could have been more on what specific process changes could be made to decrease errors.

some of his conclusions were not very direct

Good relevance to the practice of pharmacy- I walked away with learning something new

I liked how Chris emphasized that this topic applies to all pharmacists and not just oncology pharmacists.

I thought that you conclusion was insightful and well substantiated by the studies that were chosen. I think it is fair to say that pharmacists must play a valuable role in oral chemotherapy counseling and must stay up to date on current medications.

Conclusions were supported by the data, and was very much a call to action. I felt motivated by the data and conclusion presented.

Great conclusions. I liked the short succinct points on what we as pharmacists can do because we can actually implement them now.

Very solid message and conclusions drawn from the data.

I liked how you didn't try and pill the problems on the docs or ma, etc you left it as a team type approach

Great job with conclusions.

You had strong conclusions.

Conclusions were supported by the data presented; however it was somewhat of an amorphous topic/conclusion.

Chris' conclusions were appropriate and aligned well with the updated 2013 guidelines.

Pharmacist role was very useful for future clinical practice because practical recommendations were given that can be easily implemented.

good solid conclusions

Conclusions were good and based on the data. The pharmacists role was also describe in detail.

Did a good job of showing that pharmacists need to do a better job in this area.

Thorough conclusion and clear recommendations/pharmacist roles. Made it very relevant to clinical practice.

Thoughtful analysis of the need for pharmacists to understand their role or process.

The conclusions were supported by the data, well done!

I like that you did not make the conclusions about Pharmacists vs. Dr's dispensing. Loved that you focused on making ourselves indispensable

| Question Answer Session | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|------|
| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | Mean |
| 1 | Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.97 |
| 2 | Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience | 27 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.9 |

Question Answer Session Comments

You did well with the questions.

Answered questions quickly and thoroughly

Good seminar

I would have also liked to have seen more ways to use the presentation to engage with the audience. Perhaps more questions directed at them? // Chris did very well in his question and answer session and thought quickly on his feet and provided well thought out answers.

Chris made questions to the audience and motivated participation

Great job with Q and A. You fielded all questions well.

good job

great job answering questions quickly and you were able to speculate about the future of OC in the community.

Chris did a great job answering questions and showed confidence.

Thought he could have added those break/question slides for the audience, but when he did get questions he answered at best as he could.

Answered questions well- didn't allow harder questions to throw you off

Well-prepared to field questions.

You really demonstrated your knowledge of the subject during Q and A. I also liked how you stayed clear of placing blame on doctors and/or pharmacists and took an unbiased stance..

Answers were clear and concise. You seemed to encourage interaction with the audience.

Great interaction with audience especially with the multiple choice questions.

Excellent knowledge base. Presenter knew the ins and outs of the studies down to minute detail

loved the survey questions that you had in the middle

Great job formulating thoughts on the spot.

I liked how your answers brought in more knowledge of your studies and other information you read in preparation for seminar.

Great job answering questions, good audience participation.

Chris responded well to questions and was able to think critically about the more challenging questions.

Having a quiz was a good measure of audience understanding and it drove home the point Chris was trying to make.

good interaction with the audience and questions posed were thought provoking

Q&A was good

Answered questions in a very concise manner.

Good thinking on your feet. thoughtful and detailed answers to questions...demonstrated sufficient knowledge and interest in topic.

Thoughtfully considered questions posed.

I really liked how you made each question personal and it was a great presentation!

I love that you were able to answer questions by saying "I have extra info in the handout that answers that"

| Overall Knowledge Base | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|------|
| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | Mean |
| 1 | Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.93 |
| 2 | Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.93 |
| 3 | Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.93 |
| 4 | Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.93 |
| 5 | Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.97 |

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Great job speaking about a complex topic.

Chris demonstrated a very robust knowledge base on the topic of oral chemotherapy

None

I felt that he provided solid answers and has a firm grasp on the material at hand.

Chris demonstrated familiarity with the topic

I wish I had more to critique you on, but you did a great job. You seemed well-prepared for the presentation, and had a great wealth of knowledge.

good interpretation of results

liked that you knew additional information about specialty pharmacies and their role and related that to the possible evolution of what may happen in the community with OC.

Chris knew the studies very well and other surrounding studies.

He seemed to know his facts, and it helped that he has a great base of knowledge of oncology already and enjoyed the questions etc.

Discussed conclusions well and determined your own- more specific conclusions/recommendations are always helpful

Chris had a great overall knowledge of the topic.

I thought that you did really well answering questions. I felt that you were able to pull from other resources that hadn't been presented in the seminars to answer the questions.

It was obvious you had an extensive knowledge of the subject, and did a great job theorizing answers for questions about future developments (such as how oncology will affect everyday pharmacists). Excellent job!

GOod overall knowledge especially regarding the background information.

Able to answer questions and display the broad depth of knowledge while at the same time display the focus

You seemed to have a lot of background on chemo related issues

Great overall knowledge base.

You included a lot of information about chemotherapy drugs, which is a good reminder that we need to be reviewing these medications.

Could tell you were very well informed on this topic and presented it well

Chris provided thorough yet succinct background information and demonstrated a very solid knowledge base.

Chris was able to bring some current pharmacy practice discussions into the presentation and I think this helps to understand where we are at and what steps we need to take in the future.

knowledge of studies was good and Chris knew about other studies available.

It was clear he had a solid knowledge base and was able to think on his feet, as well and discuss the clinical importance of this topic.

Was able to answer questions throughout the presentation and keep going with material.

Discussed detailed conclusions and demonstrated good knowledge on topic. Able to distinguish significance of studies and come up with conclusions.

It was evident that seminarian had pondered this subject.

Your knowledge base was great! I really enjoyed the sample survey questions. It made it very interactive and fun.

Well... you were able to answer the quiz questions that we could not. I could tell that you knew a lot about this topic

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked the unique topic and focus.

I liked the fact that you were willing to tackle a difficult topic

The different type of subject presented

I really liked Chris's ability to speak comfortably and in depth about such an interesting topic. I could tell he was interested in what he was saying, and, by extension, made me excited about it too.

The information presented was useful for pharmacists in hospital and community settings

Great job at increasing my interest in a subject I have no interest in. Well-presented controversy. Great seminar. /

i really enjoyed this topic. chemotherapy is so interesting but confusing

great presentation style, interesting topic, and although it could have been presented in a way that puts more blame upon a profession stuck with the real issue and what can we do to fix the perception of us as professionals.

Excellent thought provoking subject matter with a great broad introduction.

i liked how he added a few of the questions from the survey study

I liked the topic and your presentation style

He engaged the audience.

I think the best thing about your seminar was how you were able to use physician dispensing to demonstrate what happens when pharmacists do not practice to the top of their license.

I loved your enthusiasm for the topic and the questions you (from the questionnaire, etc.) asked got the audience engaged.

I liked how you engaged the audience with MC questions. It proves that even though we have had this stuff more recently, OC drugs are still something that even we cannot remember and it's a good point to bring up.

Slides were paraphrased and not memorized or relied on, very well done!

The in class questions that the pharmacists took

I really liked the topic. I thought it was a bold choice. nicely done

I liked the quiz questions you included.

Really liked the topic (very important) and the examples encouraged audience participation and interest

I really liked the audience participation questions.

This issue is very current and it reminded me that I need to stay up to date with current legislation and information on the oral chemotherapy agents.

Chris was very confident and had good knowledge base about the topic

Great study analyses and conclusions

Gave good conclusions that pharmacists are not as good as we could and should be at preventing errors in this area of practice.

I liked the pace of your presentation. I also like how you answered questions thoroughly. Your slides were easy to follow. I also liked how you asked questions during the presentation to test our knowledge on the topic and to keep us engaged and draw us back to your objectives.

Overall presentation was smooth and clearly explained with little reliance on notes.

I really liked the interactive sample survey questions and how it involved us!

I like that you gave us some of the survey questions. It really drove your point home.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

I thought the handout could have been more readable--there were some grammatical errors and such.

Consider revising the paragraph form of your handout.

The handout was hard to follow with the large paragraphs

A little more humor, or more audience interaction.

I already mentioned some improvements points

Your pace was slow, especially with the intro. You went long (I think), and had little time to go over your studies.

more diagrams?

more white space on the handout and same order as the slides.

What are some specific process driven ideas for easy fixes that could reduce errors?

he needed to involve his audience more

It may be helpful to repeat questions that are asked for the rest of the audience- sometimes it's hard to

hear them depending on where you sit

A little more background info about oral chemo agents as a review.

I would have like to have more interaction with the audience with each of the studies. During the second study I liked how you asked questions that had been used to evaluate knowledge, but I would have liked to see more of that with each of the studies.

I felt this seminar was very well done, and unfortunately can't think of anything to be improved.

Just watch your "ums" and "you knows" /

Just a few distracting words, but it is better than being a robot. Well done, just remember that silence is ok and filler phrases and words are not always necessary

You seemed very nervous even before you started, I think your seminar would start out a lot more smoothly if you weren't so nervous at the beginning

nothing to say here

I thought the pharmacists' role didn't seem very specific. I didn't feel like there was anything that I could take into my practice today and implement; rather there were general guidelines of things we should try to do.

A stronger conclusion about the current situation and what we can do in the future. A stance on whether or not doctor dispensing a good or bad thing and do pharmacists help more than hurt?

Consider breaking your handout into bullet points to make it more readable for your audience.

When discussing the studies it might help with flow to discuss strengths and limitations throughout instead of during a single slide.

some distracting mannerism and slides hard to read.

Work on saying "um" less

Background information was a little cumbersome at times and cut into time that could have been spent in the literature.

Handout could be les....wordy....on the first page.

Process of care for pharmacists seems to diverge based on the wide opportunities in retail, hospital, research, poison, nuclear, etc. This presentation did not adequately address these differences.

Other than a small mannerism in presenting and the smaller font in the handout, it was a great presentation!

Lose the fade in. It just slows you down

General Comments

Great job, Chris!

Great Job!

Fantastic job. Chris has many desirable attributes necessary to become an accomplished pharmacist and public speaker.

Good job

N/A

great job!

nice job, you are a confident and poised speaker

Well delivered talk on a unique topic!

great job chris. I can see that you definitely appeal to oncology and it seems to fit you well.

Great job!

Well done!

Great job.

Great presentation. It was a great breath of fresh air to hear about something other than drug trials. And it makes us as pharmacists think about what we can do better.

yeppers

over all good job

I feel the topic was a difficult one to present on and you handled it well

This seminar was a good reminder for me that I need to keep my knowledge of chemotherapy drugs up to date eve though I do not see them often.

Very good topic and a good presentation

Great topic, great background, awesome job!

Overall presentation was informative and made me think of how I can better eliminate errors in any field, but especially in oncology and the importance of being accurate.

Overall, great presentation! You did an awesome job!

This was a thought provoking topic.

Chris, you did a very good job. I'm very impressed and hope my seminars turn out as great as yours!

Great job. I was very impressed