

Presenter: Egbuta, Onyemachi

Seminar Date: 2014-03-18

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.7	6.63	6.84	6.83	6.84	6.75	6.81	6.25	5.88	5.9	5.67	5.25	6	5.6	0	0	0	E (45.7)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.81
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	8	6	1	0	1	0	0	6.25
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	15	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.88

Presentation Style Comments

I sometimes felt the pace was a little fast. Try to slow down a bit. Outside of this the presentation style was good. I like that you occasionally stepped away from behind the podium to speak or answer questions.

You knew the material but it seemed like you read off of your notes a lot. Just something to work on for next time.

Great pace and eye contact. The material presented was a really complicated one; however, the explanation provided by the student made it easy to understand.

The seminarian did not display any distracting mannerisms during the presentation. While at first the seminarian seemed to lack a little confidence at the beginning of the presentation, but that quickly changed as he got past the first few slides.

Onye presented the material at a good pace and was very professional during his presentation.

Make sure you practice enough. Could tell not as prepared as other seminarians since spent most of the time reading off the screen at the podium.

He covered a lot of material but was able to do it smoothly and seamlessly.

Very professional and good pace.

The seminarian was professional and had a good pace. The seminarian could have more eye contact

with the audience.

You looked at the computer screen quite often, which made me question if you knew the material. However, you showed your knowledge extremely in the question and answer phase!

"Umm" was used as a filler word a lot throughout this presentation which was distracting.

consider summarizing read slides at a fast pace

Good presentation style and pace

Try not to stand behind the monitor, it makes it too easy to just read your slides even if you know the material. Also, try not to read your slides word for word, use them as a starting point for discussion.

Good pacing. Adequate eye contact with audience. Material was appropriate for audience.

Maintained good eye contact and moderate pace during his seminar

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	8	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.38
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	11	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.56
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	12	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.69
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	15	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.88

Instructional Materials Comments

the handouts and slides were well assembled, only a couple minor typos on the handout. I really liked all the graphs and charts and I think this made it more appealing to read and easy to find details and results. Great job on this part.

Sometimes the slides had a lot of information on them. Some of that information could have been taken off and you could have just expounded on the information present on the slide. Overall good job. You had a lot of references so it was easy to see that you did your research and this showed when you answered questions.

The charts and graphs and pictures were interesting and he explained those really well. One area that can be improved is including less information on slides and using bigger fonts.

The material in the handout and presented in the slides were devoid of errors and were clear to follow.

His slides and handout were a bit wordy, but he did a good job summarizing the material during his presentation.

Several of the slides were difficult to read with either being too wordy or with the result tables having very small wording and the pictures being slightly blurry. Also one slide in particular had a bunch of green and red boxes and I had no idea what I was looking at and font size once again too small to read.

He did well explaining what he was talking about because it was so complicated.

Some of the appendix figures were blurry and difficult to read. Also, there were quite a few typos throughout your handout. I would have someone read through your handout next time before you print it off. I noticed some in your slides as well.

The handout was easy to read.

Some of the tables were a bit blurry. Everything else was very appropriate and I liked the pictures of the what C. Difficile looks like in the body.

Some info on the slides was too small to read. Also, C. diff was inconsistently italicized throughout the handout.

Great orientation to tables some of figures were fuzzy and hard to see

Handout was full of information.

The slides had way too much information on them and were hard to follow. Don't put whole sentences and paragraphs on them, just put shorter bullet points whenever possible and expand upon them while presenting.

Slides and handout were easy to read for the most part with little to no grammatical errors.

Slides are clear and handout is easy to follow, references are provided at the end

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	14	0	1	1	0	0	0	6.69
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.81
4	Appropriate background information was provided	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.81

Overall Presentation Content Comments

The overall content was very informative with appropriate background information. I would suggest using stronger vocabulary than "discuss" and "review" in the objective statements. Generally well organized with nice transitions.

It would be helpful to make sure that your background included everything that you might talk about. Like the MOA of your drug, the other treatment options because you mentioned it in your conclusion, and any abbreviations you may use that we are not familiar with.

The presentation was well organized and transitions were smooth. He explained his interest in topic well.

The seminar covered the issues and controversies well. The background on the disease and drugs in the seminar were appropriate. I could have benefited from a little more background information in regards to the type of studies presented.

Onye did a good job providing the audience with good background information.

Make sure you only have one specific topic for your seminar. I was very confused as to why the last 2 studies talked about cost effectiveness when the title had to do with the place in therapy and not cost effectiveness. It seemed like halfway through the seminar it switched from defining role in therapy to cost effectiveness. I also got lost a couple of times throughout the presentation and had no idea what you were talking about.

transitions were smooth.

Great job with objectives and setting up the controversy.

The introduction and background was clear and important.

Your presentation was well organized! The background information should have included more of what you talked about in the results/conclusion aspect, but overall very detailed!

It was interesting to hear what sparked the interest in the topic and background was sufficient.

would have liked explanation of things before used to describe studies

Controversy not clearly stated but interest in topic was mentioned in great detail.

You did a good job describing your interest in the topic and clearly knew a lot about C diff. There may have been a little too much detail in the background but overall it was good.

Good introduction, with controversy and interest clearly stated.

Interesting topic and we'll organized presentation, he is able to explain and provide relative information upon requested

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	13	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	12	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	6.73
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	13	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.75

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Nice work analyzing strengths and limitations. I would try to condense the statistical analysis section a bit.

You did a very good job at evaluating your studies and knowing your statistics.

The results were explained really well. He talked about statistical and clinical significance and explained the details of the study well. His explanations made the difficult topic easy to understand.

The seminarian presented the studies appropriately. He seemed to have a grasp of the data contained in the studies and the analytic methods used to evaluate the data in the studies.

Onye did a good job evaluating the cost-effectiveness studies.

When going through the results I had a difficult time figuring out what the important information was and one study I remember you hardly talked about limitations at all except for that it was funded by the drug company which is not necessarily a limitation.

he presented the clinical data efficiently.

Didn't mention withdrawals or dropouts. Provided a very detailed analysis of the studies.

The outcome measures were presented appropriately.

The analysis was very strong and you could tell you understood the studies very well. Your statistical analysis was amazing and was put in a manner that everyone could understand!

Information presented about the trials was presented well.

great explanation of studies

Great job explaining the results of two cost utility analysis.

Did a good job analyzing the studies' strengths and weaknesses. You explained why something was a strength or weakness in your handout but make sure to also include this information/explanation when you are presenting. Strengths and limitations are important for evaluating the quality of a study so adequate time and explanation should be spent on them.

Good analysis of articles presented. Good reintroduction of cost-effectiveness analyses.

Key results are clearly stated and followed by authors conclusion, more importantly, he is able to provide his own interpretations

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.81
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.81
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.81
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Conclusions Comments

Base on the evidence presented I think the conclusions were well thought out.

Good job at coming up with your own conclusions and what patient you would use this drug.

He explained the clinical importance of the study and provided specific recommendations. His comparison table was interesting and it helped the audience understand the overall conclusion.

The conclusions were in line with the data presented and the recommendations were appropriate based on the studies.

Onye did a good job discussing the role of the pharmacist pertaining to his subject.

I did not get a strong recommendation for you about its role in therapy and I think partly that is due because you talked about cost effectiveness from the last two studies which was not the topic of the seminar. Also did not get a clear recommendation on what the role of the pharmacist is.

came to good conclusions.

You did an excellent job discussing the role of the pharmacist and providing specific recommendations for pharmacists in a clinical setting.

The conclusion was supported by the research.

Again, things in the conclusion were not presented in your background which should have been. However the role of the pharmacist was very detailed and organized.

Conclusions were supported. I would have liked to hear a little more about the role of the pharmacist, but otherwise everything was good.

interesting topic

Conclusions were well supported by the studies.

It was unclear what the overall results of the studies were. Make sure to mention that you are looking at cost-effectiveness studies since your background and title did not indicate that you were. Also, try to avoid writing big paragraphs on your slides.

Conclusions drawn from studies presented. Adequate discussion of pharmacists' role.

Conclusions at his three studies are clear and well supported by data, at the end pharmacist role is offered

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	14	0	2	0	0	0	0	6.75
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	12	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.75

Question Answer Session Comments

Excellent job answering questions!

When you answered questions it was easy to see that you had a lot of background information.

He answered a lot of questions and encouraged questions throughout the seminar. It was obvious that he has a great knowledge of the subject and answered audience questions thoroughly.

The seminarian did encourage questions from the audience. He was able to answer the questions he just fine. He did not promote interaction from the audience very much during the presentation beyond the question segments. Given material in the studies I feel the audience may have benefited from a little more involvement

Onye was very confident when he answered the audience questions.

Had difficulties answering questions and had to look at a specific slides to be able to answer the question. Didn't appear to be prepared to answer questions or know the material as well. Also answered questions in a round about method instead of directly and didn't always end up answering the question.

clearly knew a lot more information than he presented based on the question/answer session.

You did an EXCELLENT job answering questions. Nice work! I would try to encourage more questions and audience interaction next time.

The seminarian answered the questions very well.

This is definitely were you shined. Your knowledge about the subject material was amazing and you were able to answer all the questions without skipping a beat!

Answered questions well. His knowledge really showed when he was asked questions.

great job answering Orlando's questions

Great job encouraging and answering questions.

For the most part you answered questions well. There were some questions that you kind of skirted around the actual question without answering it but with prompting in the form of follow-up questions from the person asking the question, you eventually got there.

Allowed adequate time for questions and answered questions well.

The presentation is completed on time, three different question and answer sections are provided

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	13	2	0	1	0	0	0	6.69
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	15	0	0	1	0	0	0	6.81
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	15	0	0	1	0	0	0	6.81
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Being able to answer questions is the true test of your knowledge and insight into the topic. I feel you knew the material and were able to confidently answer questions. Very well done.

Again good job at answering questions and being able to explain why you came to your conclusions.

He had a great knowledge on the subject and he is able to look beyond the author's conclusion. He even had a table that compared the results from 2 different studies and that is how he explained his overall conclusion which was really helpful.

The seminarian displayed knowledge on the subject, and type of studies presented, that went beyond the actual studies themselves. In light of the previously mentioned knowledge base he was able to draw appropriate conclusions and field the questions from the audience without too much difficulty.

He did a good job thinking on his feet during the question section of his seminar. He seemed well prepared.

I did not get a could sense of what was clinically important from the seminar. Was not able to provide much information outside of what was presented in slides.

was able to think quickly on his feet and confidently answered questions.

You did a great job thinking on your feet when people were bombarding you with questions. You surely demonstrated knowledge of the subject beyond what you presented in the seminar.

The seminarian demonstrated thorough knowledge of the topic.

You were able to think on your feet and you demonstrated your knowledge by remember what we had learned in our previous years when Dr. Orlando started asking questions! Very good job!

You handled some complex questions well, and definitely thought on your feet.

Great knowledge base, impressive handout

Student was able to use his knowledge base to draw his own conclusions.

Did not really speak about fidaxomicin's place in therapy. I don't know what your overall conclusion was. You seemed to very knowledgeable about C diff and other therapies though. / Your topic as well as cost-effectiveness studies are complicated and difficult to understand/present so, taking that into account, you did a pretty good job coming up with a conclusion and presenting these studies to us.

Good knowledge base, especially on studies that many pharmacy students are a bit rusty on.

He is able to think on his feet and answers all questions during his presentation. Although the topic and his conclusion is slightly dispatched, he is able to finalize all results and somehow explain well about conclusions

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I like the extensive use of graphs, pictures and charts. This seminar did the best job so far in terms of using visual aids on the handout. It really made it more appealing and easy to follow.

You knew this subject really well and you were able to analyze the cost-effective studies well, which is something a lot of us have forgotten about.

I liked his knowledge on this subject. He encouraged questions throughout the seminar and answered audience questions well. I liked his explanations and how he made this complicated topic an easy one to understand.

The seminarian chose study types that were difficult to analyze. This created an extra challenge, but he was able to present them well and showed his knowledge on the subject as well as on the study designs themselves.

I liked the confidence Onye had when he presented. He seemed knowledgeable and well prepared without seeming arrogant.

It is impressive that you decided to cover 3 studies and was a hard topic to cover.

i liked how well he covered the difficult material.

I liked his ability to answer questions thoroughly and reasonably. He remained calm and provided excellent and logical answers.

The seminarian did a great job at answering all the questions.

I thought your ability to answer the questions and your knowledge base was what made you so successful in your presentation.

It was an interesting topic that provided information about the treatment of C. diff that wasn't touched on in our therapeutics course.

Cool topic

Great enthusiasm throughout presentation. Student's knowledge was evident when going through the results of the studies.

I really liked the treatment comparison table you included in the background. It set up a good basis for your presentation and made the information easy to find and understand quickly. You also did a very good job presenting the table during your seminar.

I liked how the presenter incorporated cost-effectiveness studies in determining the role of the drug.

Interesting topic and useful knowledge about antibiotic therapy

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

1. Try to slow down a little bit. 2. When titling your seminar, make sure that it explains "from the 30,000 foot view" what your presentation is about. I thought, by the title, that trials comparing fidaxomicin's utility against other medications when in fact it turned out to be a cost comparison.

Try to make more eye contact with your audience.

For future presentations, using bigger fonts and including fewer information on the slides will help the audience follow the seminar easier.

The seminarian chose study types that were a little foreign to the some of the audience and he may have been able to spend a little more time explaining the study types. He did not prompt much interaction from the audience which may have been negative for the audience in terms of staying engaged.

His title could be modified to better represent his topic (mention cost-effectiveness). His slides and handout were a little wordy and contained a few typos.

Make sure everything presented in the seminar goes back to the one sentence summary (title) of what the seminar is about. It was very confusing to randomly start talking about cost-effectiveness half way through the seminar and I do not feel like the question of place in therapy was adequately answered or addressed in your seminar.

i think that less words/more clear images on the slides would be great.

Next time he needs to have people proof read his work. The worst part of the seminar were all of the typos in his handout and slides.

The seminarian could have used more eye contact and interaction with the audience.

Your background could have contained less therapeutics and more about the things found in your results to help us remember those things.

Focus on avoiding filler words.

I would like if you distilled it down to the important parts

Make objectives more relevant to the direction of your seminar.

Have someone proof read your handout and slides. There were many spelling and/or grammatical errors throughout both. Also, try to present without reading your slides word for word. You expanded beyond what was written on the slide a few times and it was really good and showed that you knew what you were talking about. Just try to do that throughout your whole presentation next time. Also, some of your results slides were too busy and not easy to understand- you did a good job only talking about the important results when you were speaking but also try to only list the pertinent results on your

slides and cut out the extra info.

Maybe rephrase the title to reflect what the presenter will be discussing.

Better alignment of the topic and final conclusion

General Comments

Overall, very well done.

Overall, good job!

Great seminar and great knowledge on the topic. Cost-effectiveness is a topic that is complicated and I thought the student did a great job in explaining the studies and his topic.

Overall it was a good seminar. The information and study types were not easy subjects. The seminarian presented in a way that demonstrated his knowledge throughout.

Great job Onye! You were well prepared and did a great job presenting your material.

Good job attempting to cover 3 studies that is not an easy task but make sure they all relate and that you have adequate time to cover all 3. Also make sure to practice the seminar a few times.

great seminar.

Overall, he did an excellent job. He tackled a difficult topic using very challenging studies (non-inferiority and cost-utility study designs). His handout was very comprehensive and I could tell that he worked very hard on his seminar.

Overall, the seminarian demonstrated knowledge of the topic.

Overall great job. Know your slides a bit more next time and you'll be even better!

Great job overall.

well done

Good first seminar.

You chose difficult studies but did a pretty good job of presenting them. Just make sure the audience knows from your title and background what you are presenting so that they can understand and follow the studies. Good job! One down, one to go.

Overall, a great presentation. I learned a lot.

Overall, this is a great seminar, I learned many useful information from his seminar

