

Presenter: Lecheminant, Jill

Seminar Date: 2013-12-04

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.78	6.45	6.75	6.66	6.51	6.73	6.81	6.13	6	6.2	5.7	5.88	6.75	6	0	0	0	E (46.06)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	18	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	17	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.73
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	17	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.73
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	19	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86

Presentation Style Comments

Pace was perfect. Very easy to follow along with and understand.

Great pace and eye contact.

Could have spoke a little louder

Very professional presentation, but I had a hard time hearing her. It would have helped if she spoke more loudly.

I would avoid terms such as "Happy Valley." Personally, it was funny, but probably not professional.

great job not relying on notes. Great pace.

Excellent pace and nice poise.

i thought the material was presented at an appropriate level, though at times I felt like she may have relied on her notes.

She presented her seminar with confidence and kept a good steady pace.

I liked how you spread your focus around the classroom when making eye contact.

Great eye contact with the audience and great pace. Very easy to follow.

Some reliance on notes and a little soft when speaking.

very smooth and calm speech. easy to follow. simple yet informative slides

great mannerism i think that not having a clicker through you off a bit

Great pace, and eye contact with audience was outstanding

Jill is a very practiced and professional presenter. Her pace at times was a little slow but overall she was very professional.

volume was a little low so I would have liked you to use the microphone. pace was consistent throughout, good use of notes, but not reading directly from them, which can be tempting when they are right in front of you.

Talked quietly and mono-tone, try to speak up and add some fluctuation to your voice when speaking.

Good pace and eye contact with the audience throughout. There were times when your voice level was really quiet so it was pretty hard to hear what you were saying.

Design of slides and colors used in slides effective.

You have a very nice voice. I would recommend changing tone of voice to engage the audience further.

Awesome pace and eye contact

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	15	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.59
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	11	8	1	0	1	1	0	0	6.14
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	17	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.77
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	12	6	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.32

Instructional Materials Comments

Slides were clear and the word:slide ratio was perfect. Made it very easy to digest what you were teaching us.

Awesome word density on slides!

Slides looked great /

I thought the handout was prepared very well, with the exception of the font. I appreciated the standard margin size and generous spacing.

There were quite a few spacing issues on your handout. It's a printing issue I know, but you just need to avoid that in the future. Also, references on the slides would've been appropriate.

slides and hand out very professional looking and easy to read

The slides were a perfect density. There needed to be more citations.

There were some spacing and grammatical errors in the handout. some of the charts could have been made bigger. also when referencing an article always state the authors names and in slides if its not on the there use the numbering system.

Her slides were simple but still provided detailed information in the handout which was good.

I noticed several spacing errors in the handout where there weren't spaces between words.

Slides were not "too busy" and allowed the reader to follow along. I personally prefer to have references on the slides to have so I can easily associate "the guidelines" with a particular set of guidelines or statistics with a particular website quickly during the presentation.

Needs citing on slides.

citation were appropriate but I would liked to have seen them on the slides

The slides were very easy to read

Slides/Handout had quite a few spelling/spacing problems, however I think most of these were not your

fault. I really liked your slides, they were a framework and you filled in the details!

Overall the presentation materials were well done. The handout had a significant amount of spacing issues when printed, which is likely due to the font rather than actual mistakes. Printing a draft copy will remove these errors for future presentations.

some formatting issues, but it wasn't distracting and didn't deter from your seminar. slides were very simplified, which I really liked.

Handout had a lot of formatting issues and grammatical errors. Have someone read over it before final draft. Also it looks like you copied and pasted the results straight from the study into your handout and they were not cited. There were also quite a few sections of your text that were very close to the authors original wording with no quotes or citations throughout your handout, be careful of plagiarism.

Slides were easy to follow along. The handout had a few errors on it and I wasn't sure where some references were for some of the charts/materials you used to compile the handout...

Overall flow of the presentation created interest in the subject.

There were several formatting errors in the handout (run-on words). I did like the format of the slides, however.

Sorry about the spacing issue when printed. Probably should have tried just one to start then print the rest

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	16	5	1	0	0	0	0	6.68
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	19	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.82
4	Appropriate background information was provided	16	6	0	0	0	0	0	6.73
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	17	1	4	0	0	0	0	6.59

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Very clear and measurable objectives made it easy to grasp what you wanted us to know!

Good background/ info on controversy /

Liked the background on the pain management principles

I thought this was a fascinating topic and one that can potentially impact the quality of many patients' lives.

Your background was extremely thorough. Great job at setting the scene.

appropriate back ground included.

The subject did not seem very controversial.

the background may have been not fully complete or too long as well. Not complete as there are many other drug classes or meds in the same classes she did not list ...

I would have liked to see the controversy defined a little better. The ASA guidelines recommend using IV acetaminophen post-operatively so some people might not see what the controversy is. In my opinion, the controversy exists because some patients may not feel that IV acetaminophen will be very helpful.

I thought the story of the interest in the topic was a great way to start of the presentation.

Thought the background was very interesting. Was not too sure that some of the background information was pertinent to the seminar, such as the hospitalization within 30 days of surgery due to pain, but the studies looked at pain within 24 hours. Overall, very good though.

Background was great but a little long!

interest was clear, as well as the controversy discussed.

I liked the reason you chose this topic it helped keep me interested because we call could have a family member have surgery

Very smooth transitions and the topic kept my interest

The controversy was a little convoluted and lacked direction. One would assume adding additional pain meds to a regimen should improve pain scores and thus there lacked a true controversy?

good personal interest in topic.

Some of your transitions were a little choppy, a run through or two before hand may help to smooth transitions.

Provided good background information and rationale for why topic was chosen and why there was a controversy. Presentation was well organized.

Personal interest in topic made presentation interesting and controversy was clearly defined and referred back to throughout presentation.

The introduction was a little long, but you did a great job in the presentation.

A little too much background

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	18	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.77
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	15	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.64
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	17	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.73
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	12	3	3	0	0	0	0	4	6.5
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	13	3	2	0	0	0	0	4	6.61
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	15	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.68

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Dropouts and withdrawals were accounted for in each study and Jill provided detailed analyses of each study.

Good analysis of trials

Thought your analysis of the trials was thorough

Although this was a very interesting topic, it appears there was a lack of decent studies to show an effect one way or the other.

You had small studies, but garnered much information from them. Great job.

good analysis of the studies with appropriate discussion of the strengths and weaknesses.

Good strengths and limitations sections.

these single center trials are very hard to correlate data due to lack of external validity especially if they are not done in the US/ related populations

There was not much mention of whether or not these studies were appropriately powered. Since these studies both had small sample sizes that is something that I would like to know more about.

I felt that studies used for the seminar may have not been very applicable to our population here in the US.

Some of the outcomes were a little confusing, but were hashed out with the question and answer session. I thought you did excellent fielding questions mid-seminar and not being thrown off track.

The presentation of the data was great and thorough. The studies were small and hard to make definite conclusions from. But you did a great job at analyzing them!

Data was from very small trials but presenter did well to extrapolate the data if applicable.

Good work at examining the smaller sized trials that were available, i think with larger trials you would have been able to determine a more accurate finding

Presentation of data was strong, but not boring or over-detailed

Overall, Jill effectively evaluated the literature and presented the material appropriately. Try focusing on the pertinent information during presentation and avoid superfluous information.

when you described the studies you did it in a concise manner, great!

Studies provided some insight to usefulness of this therapy even though external validity may not have been the strongest.

Data analysis was good and presentation of the trials was done appropriately, despite having really small trials with low power. Good job going with what you had to work with.

Presented data clearly but studies were too small to draw clinical conclusions regarding adverse effects.

I really liked how you highlighted the results we needed to focus on in the red box.

great job

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	13	6	3	0	0	0	0	6.45
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	16	5	1	0	0	0	0	6.68
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	12	7	2	1	0	0	0	6.36
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	14	6	2	0	0	0	0	6.55

Conclusions Comments

Your conclusions were supported by the data presented.

Conclusions were not very strong. Recommendations were not very specific.

Would have liked some explanation of the cost of IV Tylenol

Studies were well constructed, but included a very small amount of people. How valid are they?

Your conclusions in regards to safety were not truly supported by the exact studies you used.

would have liked a stronger recommendation for pharmacists. The studies supported apap but your recommendations was still more general saying using multi modal approach with NSAID, Coxib or APAP.

The recommendation could have been better defined and I would have liked to heard more about the role of the pharmacist.

Thought the role of the pharmacist could have been larger and clearer recommendations, but i did like how you addressed your controversy with questions and then answered them.

She mentioned that she would recommend multimodal therapy but even more important than that it is necessary to educate the patient as to why you would recommend multimodal therapy.

I would have like a more definitive statement given for the conclusion. I was left unsure of what the conclusion was.

I thought your conclusions were appropriate considering the evidence.

I really liked how you went back to the controversy questions and answered them. It was a great way of reminding the audience what we were looking at.

Well supported conclusions via the data presented and pharmacist role was clear

I'm not sure if we could make a real strong conclusion to change current practices but i believe that we need to investigate this in greater depth

Conclusions were appropriate for data presented

There lacked a clear conclusion, and specific pharmacy roles. Some of the recommendations made were not consistent with the information presented.

conclusions were good based on the studies you included.

Gave good information about how multimodal treatment can help.

The conclusion was supported by the data you had to work with but it would have helped a bit more to be more precise with the conclusions. It was a little ambiguous about whether or not the treatment should be used or not.

Information presented was interesting and provoked thought regarding the best treatment for postoperative pain management.

I felt that the studies had very little power. I wouldn't feel confident basing recommendations off of this clinically, but I felt the conclusions were in line with the study results.

I wasn't clear exactly on what the conclusions were

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	16	4	2	0	0	0	0	6.64
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	19	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.82

Question Answer Session Comments

Answered questions thoughtfully and succinctly! Great job!

Very confident, answered questions well

You answered all your questions fully

Did her best with questions. Hypothesized on questions she was unsure of. Great job.

You did a great job at soliciting questions. However, you seemed unsure of yourself and had to look up details for answers. Possibly more preparation? You handled your uncertainty fairly well though.

succinctly answer questions, could tell you knew your stuff

Jill answered all questions clearly.

she had her break/ Q and A slides to make sure we understood the data and information.

Jill answered questions appropriately but some times she was hesitant in her response. It is important to respond to questions with confidence.

More audience interaction would have been appreciated.

As mentioned, did a great job fielding questions without being thrown off track. Seemed to encourage questions

Great at stopping throughout the presentation to let the audience ask questions.

Presenter displayed clear knowledge of the subject matter in the QandA session with confident answers

It seemed that you stumbled a bit on some questions

Encouraged questions throughout the presentation and answered them well

Jill was professional and composed during her presentation. She answered questions well and was able to think critically to answer questions.

you had a variety of questions asked and you were able to answer all of them succinctly and professional with a lot of background knowledge.

There was at least one instance where an audience member answered one of the questions directed at you while you were searching through your notes, be very familiar with your studies and information so you can answer the questions.

Good job answering questions throughout the presentation and after.

Great job pausing throughout presentation to answer questions.

I really liked how you had three slides devoted to questions. I felt like the questions were fielded well, with a few exceptions; namely when Britani had to tell you on what table the answer was in.

Great job

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	18	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	17	5	0	0	0	0	0	6.77
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	18	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.77
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	17	5	0	0	0	0	0	6.77
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Jill demonstrated a strong overall knowledge base on the subject

Strong knowledge base was evident

Great seminar

Did a great job showing her research and knowledge base during her question and answer session.

You basically concluded exactly what the guidelines stated. As a result, the seminar seemed at times as if there wasn't a true controversy. You could have more clearly specified your controversy possibly.

conclusions were appropriate to the data presented;

Jill displayed a strong overall knowledge base with her answers to questions.

she mentioned previous research but did not include it totally, also again i am not sure if single center studies even if it shows significance if it can be related to clinical due to lack of external validity

Jill came prepared with a thorough knowledge base on the topic.

I felt that there was a need to further explore current IV acetaminophen guidelines.

Seemed very interested in the topic and seemed to have done her research.

Good overall presentation! Even if there were questions she did not know the answer to she did a great job letting people know that.

Presenter displayed higher level of thinking in extrapolating the data and making suggestions of how to improve the trials to more appropriately highlight outcomes

Way to read up on things that you thought might get asked, I think it helped you answer some questions

better

Could tell you had a great overall knowledge base about this topic, but didn't overstep your bounds on matters you did not know

Overall, job well done.

I felt your overall knowledge was good and especially came through with questions from the audience.

Overall knowledge base was sufficient to give a good overview of the subject.

Demonstrated good knowledge base and able to distinguish and analyze the data gathered and presented it in an appropriate way that is easy for the audience to follow.

Showed good knowledge and overall consideration of the controversy presented.

I don't know if clinical conclusions could be made, even if there was statistical difference. I think the large study you mentioned in class (showing decreased opioid use but not having it go into adverse events) would have been beneficial to your presentation.

I like that you looked extra stuff up, because it came up and you were prepared

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Slides were not overly wordy!

Great use of slides to highlight/supplement seminar

Liked how simple and to the point your seminar was

I really liked the inclusion of so many "question" slides. It really helped to involve the audience and to break up the pace of the seminar.

Difficult topic due to lack of great studies, but you did a great job with what you had.

Good pace and good analysis of the limited data out there.

I really like how Jill uses minimalist slides and is able to just talk and fill in the details.

I really liked how she addressed her controversy in questions and then at the end she answered them.

I thought she gave great background information regarding the topic.

You seemed to be calm and very composed while presenting. Often the nerves of the presenter distract from the presentation, but this was not the case here.

Very interesting topic, and very well presented. Fielded questions well.

This is an interesting topic. Did a good job at looking at the controversy. The guidelines say to use but in practice it's not so looking at the data to let physicians know that this is an option was great insight

Simple, informative, and easy to read slides

I liked the controversy of the topic

Your slides. They were minimalistic, and you filled the gaps well. (ie, slides not too busy)

Jill is a very practiced presenter, making her easy to listen to.

interesting topic, great pace, very confident and professional

Subject and controversy were well thought out as it pertains to clinical relevance and pharmacy practice.

I actually like the topic of the presentation because I have often wondered about topics similar to this too and wondered if there was a way to control pain other than opioid use.

I particularly liked the introduction and personal experience presented at the beginning of the presentation.

I felt like you chose a very interesting topic for your seminar. I felt a strength of the study was your interest in the topic and how thorough your background information was.

I liked the pace and eye contact

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Be sure to proof read your handouts and slides for errors before presenting!

Stronger conclusions/pharmacist role

Talked a little louder throughout the presentation

I wish she had spoke louder and maybe moved around more.

The spacing/errors on the handout was the most distracting thing. Address those spaces in the future.

speak louder, and have a stronger recommendation/conclusion

The recommendation and the role of the pharmacist could have been better.

i would avoid statements like "just a pain score"

I would have liked to have seen bigger studies that address the controversy.

I was left wanting a more definitive conclusion. I'm still unsure of what your conclusion was.

Making sure your background information used to form your controversy matches the studies. but overall, very well done.

Speak a little louder it was a little hard to hear.

Citations should be included on the slides.

At times it was hard for me to keep focus during the presentation cause you seemed to talk softly at times

Go through slides on projector once before seminar to make sure no errors once slides are projected on a different computer, etc.

Watch proofreading errors in the handout and slides.

use of microphone and dressier attire.

Formatting issues in slides and handout and grammar issues with handout could have been avoided by having a proof reader before hand.

There were times when your voice went really quiet or you mumbled a little during the presentation. It

would be helpful to try to keep your voice volume the same level throughout.

It would have been interesting to have seen the information on the larger study that was referenced.

I would recommend having a friend look through your printed handout and your powerpoint presentation before the seminar. I would also recommend running through the slides in the room the seminar would be held in, to avoid what happened on the multimodal slide.

Maybe speak a little louder

General Comments

Great Job!

I loved this seminar. I thought the topic was really interesting and I think the results could really help people.

N/A

great job

Jill presents very well. I like her clear style.

great job Jill

Well done!

Good presentation. Seemed calm and collected.

Yeppers

n/a

Great topic, very relevant when opioid utilization is not benign

none

Presentation gave good information about the subject, that I did not know before hand.

Overall, good job with the presentation!

Good overall flow and presentation of controversy.

You did a great job and should be very proud! Have a great Christmas break!

Great job

