

Presenter: Berriochoa, Paul

Seminar Date: 2014-04-01

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.82	6.48	6.86	6.89	6.77	6.9	6.83	7	7	6.8	6.8	7	6.5	6.8	0	0	0	E (47.62)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	18	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.81
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	15	6	0	0	0	0	0	6.71
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.81

Presentation Style Comments

Good job of presenting and not reading off of the slides

Presentation style is great, I wouldn't change anything here.

Overall good job at explaining the trials. You could have spent a little more time on your background.

Great pace and eye contact with the audience. It was nice learning about a new medication for diabetes since it is a familiar topic for everyone.

Great presentation skills, you projected to the audience and didn't read the information off the slides, you knew it very well

the amount of background information was perfect

Good flow but the presentation felt a bit rushed at times as if you wanted to get it done as quickly as possible.

Paul did a good job presenting during his seminar. He presented the information at a very fast pace, but it was necessary for him to do so in order to get through his information.

Great pace and displayed professionalism

Good pace.

Was professional and able to communicate his objectives well.

Great pace, poise, and confidence. He was very professional throughout the entire presentation.

The seminarian had a good pace throughout the presentation.

More eye contact would be better. You were very professional and no distracting mannerism were present.

Rushed through all the material, but it was a lot to cover in a limited amount of time.

Paul did a wonderful job presenting his topic with clarity and confidence.

great pace and confidence. I feel some of the background was a little basic, maybe focus more on background that applies

Presentation style was smooth and he did not have to rely much on his notes or slides

Seemed confident and knowledgeable. Try to slow down a bit on the background stuff. Even though we know diabetes, it's nice to have a bit of a review. Other than speed, great.

Good pacing and eye contact. Little to no distracting mannerisms. Material was appropriate for the audience.

Eye contact and moderate pace maintained during presentation

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	17	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.71
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	8	9	2	2	0	0	0	0	6.1
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	16	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.71
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	13	5	1	2	0	0	0	0	6.38

Instructional Materials Comments

Your handout had a lot of type-o's in it

Maybe have someone proofread the final before submitting it for copy. I think there were a few typos, otherwise well done. I appreciate extra large font size of headings as that made a clear distinction between sections.

Look at your handout before hand to make sure that things aren't cut off. For example, the title at the end of the page with the material on the other, just add another space so the material is kept together.

Charts, graphs, and pictures were great. Area for improvement will be to use endnote and work on the handout more. This way, the seminar, slides, and the handout will all look great.

Slides and handout looked great but there was missing information and some typos. Also, references weren't all the same font etc. Also, some headings started at the bottom and the information continued on the next page, so hitting enter a couple times to bring it over would help

More charts!

Include more visuals and graphs when possible.

His slides and handout were very clear and easy to read. His references could use a little work. EndNote could be a very useful tool for him to list his references correctly.

Some spelling errors on handout so watch out for that

Some slides, pictures, and sections in the handout were missing references. Had a slide that said look at appendix ____ make sure you put in the correct appendix number/letter and that everything is complete. Saw several spelling and grammatical errors, make sure you read though everything before sending out the final copy. The font in your handout was really large, some sections had bullets but then just one bullet with a paragraph, make sure sections don't get separated onto different pages if at all costs, and avoid extra large blank spaces. Just make sure you look closely at everything and get some one else to look at it as well.

The slides looked good but the handout left much to be desired.

He could have provided more orientation to his charts and pictures instead of assuming we had seen everything before. It would have been nice to have a reminder on some of the background information he briefly mentioned.

The handout had some formatting issues. Other than that, it was easy to read and follow.

The slides were easy to read and I liked your handout. It went along with your slides very well.

Handout had some formatting problems and referencing style was inconsistent.

The slides and handout were well organized, but the references in the handout were needing a little TLC.

Could tell handout was a last minute project. Formatting issues, spelling errors, ect. Distracted from a great seminar, just take the few more hours to go from workable product to great product.

It would have been good to use a program such as EndNote or another type of citation program to make sure it all looked consistent and was actually in a profession format instead of looking just copy and pasted (especially for websites used)

Really liked the images in your slides, they helped you present your info well. I also liked that you included charts and graphs from your studies in the appendix. Watch out for typos though, maybe have someone read over your stuff to double check for any errors. And this might just be personal preference but try not to have sections in your handout bleed over to the next page.

Slides were easy to read. Handout had a few grammatical errors. Graphs and pictures were well oriented.

Slides and handout are clear and references are provided

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Appropriate background information was provided	16	5	0	0	0	0	0	6.76
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Your transitions provided good flow

Really nice job on preparing just the right amount of background info. Diabetes is a topic that could easily take up the entire lectures and I think you gave us just what we needed to know.

Good job at describing the controversy and how you came up with this topic.

The student explained his interest in the topic, purpose and controversy. I really liked how he explained the problems associated with the use of this medication and why it was discontinued and the history of its approval.

Great transitions and organization. Really liked your topic and how you tackled it (it was a really hard seminar!)

Great flow

I liked that you kept your background brief and relevant.

He provided good background information and listed several controversies relating to his topic.

Great interest in the topic

Background was a bit simple, would have liked something more meaningful since we have all had diabetes already in therapeutics. Make sure to include and discuss the guidelines since diabetes is a guideline driven disease state.

He picked a very interesting topic and clearly put a lot of thought into how he was going to present his seminar. The seminar flowed well.

He could have defined the purpose and controversy a little more in terms of comparing this new agent to previous antidiabetic medications and what the potential benefits of using this agent might be.

The seminarian had clear objectives and provided important background information.

The background information was done perfectly. There wasn't too much information and enough to

allows us to grasp the controversy and make our own decisions as pharmacist.

I would have liked to have had a little more background information on general treatment approach.

I loved that Paul knew a deeper level of the controversy of his topic regarding a previous denial by the FDA prior to its current approval status.

Could focus background in better on what is important to topic.

Good background was provided to help establish why this new drug might be of use to our future patients

I liked your interest in the topic you chose and also how you explained the controversy of your topic.

Clear introduction without dwelling on disease background too much. Objectives were a little vague. Background information was put together well enough.

Background information was provided

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	16	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.76

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Your clinical data was well-presented

good job here. I could tell by your explanations that you understood the study and its results.

Good job at presenting the a lot of data in the amount of time you had.

He explained statistical analysis, power, withdrawals very well and he presented strengths and limitations of the studies in great detail.

Great analysis of the data! I really liked how you pointed out the adverse events because as a pharmacist that's what we will care about - safety and efficacy.

Stats well defined and explained

I felt that this section was one of your strengths. The studies that you chose were well done and you presented the relevant points during your seminar.

He did a good job evaluating his studies. They seemed to have a lot of information in them, but he did a good job focusing on certain important points in the studies.

Objectives were cover thoroughly

Would have been nice to covert the non-standard units in the studies to the units we are used to working with so we have a better idea of what happened in the studies.

He clearly understood the statistics very well.

Outcome measures were stated and described and he was able to present trial results and discuss the statistics as well.

The seminarian provided detailed analysis of the studies.

I liked that you talked about the statistical evidence thoroughly.

A lot of material, but key points were brought out which was good.

Paul did a thorough job analyzing the various components of his studies.

great explanation of studies and a good job presenting key points from a vast amount of data.

Clinical data was appropriately analyzed and the strengths and weaknesses of each were both thoroughly thought through

I don't know if this would be feasible with your data but if it is, maybe try to present results graphically. It makes them easier to quickly understand. But overall, good job discussing your studies.

Great analysis of the studies. Good discussion of the studies' strengths and limitations.

Key trial and analysis are provided

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	16	5	0	0	0	0	0	6.76
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	15	6	0	0	0	0	0	6.71
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	17	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.76

Conclusions Comments

I came to the same conclusions as you did from the seminar

I think you did a great job talking about the clinical importance of this study. With metformin being first line treatment it is good to know there are options out there, even if it is only approved as a treatment adjunct.

It was good to inform us of this new drug and hard to decide it's place in therapy.

His conclusions supported the data he presented earlier and the role of pharmacist was discussed well. He explained pharm and non-pharm treatments both of which are really important.

Really like how you listed several conclusions that you came to, it served as a good summary for the study.

Final conclusion needed to emphasize the use of dapagliflozin in na

I would have liked to see more specific conclusions with regards to which patients this drug would be good to try and in which types of patients this drug should be avoided. You mentioned these points during your seminar. However, a slide at the end with specific patient populations would drive this point home.

He did a good job listing several responsibilities of the pharmacist pertaining to his subject.

Great discussion on the role of pharmacist

I would have liked to see a more concrete recommendation. Your conclusions and recommendation for the role of the pharmacist weren't all that clinically meaningful.

Clinical importance was highlighted, though I would have liked to learn more about how we could get the medication covered for our patients.

I thought he could have discussed more about how this drug fits in with current therapy (with other available diabetes medications) especially in the role of the pharmacist section of his presentation.

The conclusion was supported by the seminarian's data.

Your conclusion was valid and reflected what was seen in the studies.

Role of pharmacist discussed well.

I really enjoyed the discussion Paul created for us to consider which patients we may recommend the use of this drug in given its adverse effects.

liked that conclusions went beyond face value of studies and were well thought out.

Conclusion was based strongly on the data that he analyzed and was appropriate

Did a good job synthesizing the data to form your own conclusion. Maybe expand upon the role of the pharmacist a bit more.

Conclusions were supported by the data presented. Maybe stress the clinical role of the new drug.

Conclusion is supported by data, specific recommendation is given

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9

Question Answer Session Comments

Great job of thinking clinically during the Q & A

I think this is where you really shined. You had some great questions and were able to answer all of them with confidence. Nice!

Good job at answering questions and thinking on your feet.

He encouraged interaction and questions and a lot of people asked questions where he answered them thoroughly. He had a great knowledge of this subject.

Excellent job with the questions! It was very obvious you knew your data in great detail and had researched beyond what you presented

Presenter was ready to answer questions

The questions were handled well by Paul.

He did a good job answering audience questions.

Answered questions thoroughly

Good job with the few questions you had.

He got asked some difficult questions and handled them well.

He did extremely well answering questions confidently and accurately.

The seminarian answered questions very well.

You did great with all the questions asked at the end and were able to think on your feet. This showed your knowledge on the subject.

Not many options for interaction.

Paul did great job answering questions posed by the audience.

Good answers to broad questions.

He gave plenty of time for questions and answered them appropriately

Good job encouraging questions even though everyone was so tired :)

Allowed adequate time for questions and answered all questions well.

Encourage the questions during each section of presentation

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	15	6	0	0	0	0	0	6.71
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

good knowledge base

see last section comments

Through answering questions, your background knowledge came through even more.

His conclusion and the answers provided were great and it was obvious that the student had done a lot of research in this area.

Great examples of what you have seen in practice and it sounds like you have had many discussions with your mentor

I think it would have been good to hear more of the comparisons with canagliflozin

Paul knew the studies well. It would have been interesting to know a little of the specifics regarding the cancer risk with this agent.

Paul seemed very well prepared for his seminar and appeared knowledgeable to the audience.

Able to think quickly on your feet

I think you probably knew more than you showed to us. Make sure to go a bit deeper with your next seminar.

Very well researched, he clearly spent a lot of time preparing.

It would have been better to discuss previous research and relate it to your current topic more. / You did an excellent job demonstrating knowledge of the subject beyond what you discussed during the lecture. I could tell that you had done your research and were comfortable with the material.

The seminarian was knowledgeable about his topic.

Overall knowledge base was seen throughout the presentation and the questions at the end of the seminar. You were able to look beyond the results and come up with what you would do in your pharmacy.

More info on how this fits into therapy would have been nice.

Paul demonstrated thorough knowledge of his topic and the statistical significance of his studies.

Great knowledge base, it showed in your discussion while answering questions.

His ability answer questions and such a thorough background demonstrated his strong knowledge base on his topic

Seemed to have a pretty good knowledge base and was able to answer questions asked.

Presenter had clear knowledge about the topic and has done his research.

Conclusions from both author and seminarian are provided

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked that you succinctly presented data on a complex topic

This was the first I had heard of this drug, so it was a good topic for me to hear.

Good job at picking a topic that is very relevant to most patients, DM. Slides were professional.

I liked how he answered a lot of the questions presented to him by the students/faculty. I also liked how he presented the history of approval.

Great job overall! You really tackled a difficult topic with a lot of data. Kudos for choosing a topic of substance!

Good current topic, newer studies

Relevant topic. The included studies were well designed and analyzed.

I really liked how Paul underlined and bolded certain things in his slides that he wanted the audience to pay attention to. It made his slides easy to read.

Great pace

I liked that you choose a topic that on a disease state which effects a lot of patients.

I liked how he knew his topic very well and was able to look beyond what was presented in the literature

I liked your topic. I also though you analyzed the studies well and with a critical eye.

The seminarian provided important background information that helped refresh my memory on the topic.

You are a great presenter and made the subject interesting to the audience.

The topic was interesting and I like the discussion of it not be approved with the first application.

I really loved the diabetes topic with the emphasis on the unique mechanism of action of this drug.

Great topic choice, very timely with the new approval and fact that we will see this in practice in the future.

The background was very helpful in describing exactly why we might need this new diabetic agent

I liked the background information you provided.

I liked how the presenter provided some history about the new drug.

Clinical important information were provided

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Spend more time on the handout

Again, make sure that your handout is proofread to make sure it has no typos

Try not to read off of your slides as much. Handout format could have used some work.

Working on the handout is one thing that can be improved in future presentations.

Try to work a little more on making sure the handout doesn't have errors or is missing info because it can decrease your credibility (until you presented, and it was obvious you have put a lot of time and thought into your presentation)

Some typos and minor final editing mistakes

Use bigger font on some slides and tables. Don't rush through your presentation. Include the brand name of your drug in your presentation.

There were a few typos in his handout and the references weren't done correctly.

Go through handout thoroughly to check for spellings

We are all intelligent and have some knowledge about the topic so give us more in-depth information/background.

The handout had a lot of errors.

You could have discussed the background information in more detail instead of assuming that your audience remembered all of the available diabetes medications. It would be good to discuss your agent's potential place in therapy.

The seminarian could have formatted the handout to make it look a little cleaner.

The references could have been put together better by using endnote or something else.

The handout ha major formatting issues. I also would have liked to know more about the after market studies the FDA is requiring.

The references could have been a bit more organized.

The only complaint is the handout. You spent a lot of time preparing your seminar, but those last few hours go a long way to improve the look and usability of your handout.

Use a citation program or better cite the references using an actual professional format

I already mentioned this in a previous comment but just make sure to proofread your slides and handout to catch any left over typos.

Maybe make the information presented in the handout a bit more organized.

Fond on some of slides are small

General Comments

Good job

Excellent work.

Overall good job.

Overall great knowledge and great presentation. I believe I learned a lot about Dapagliflozin.

Congrats on being done! Great job!

Great job

I enjoyed your seminar. Thank you.

Good job Paul! You seemed comfortable and confident while presenting.

Great job

Have someone look over your materials to look for errors and for correct formatting. The handout was extremely distracting the way it was put together.

Great first seminar.

Overall, this was an excellent presentation. Great job!

Overall, the seminarian was very knowledgeable about the topic.

Overall great job. You kept me interested and I learned a few things from this presentation.

Kept it brief and interesting. Good job.

Great job Paul! I loved the slides and content of the presentation.

Overall great job.

Overall good presentation that flowed very smoothly. The strong pharmacist's role suggestion will be helpful in future practice

none

Overall, a great presentation. I have learned a lot.

Useful knowledge was obtained