

# Presenter: Doan, Kate

Seminar Date: 2013-10-29

## Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.93	6.95	6.94	6.89	6.93	6.95	6.92	6.25	6.38	6.4	5.75	5.75	6	5.6	0	0	0	E (46)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	15	5	0	0	0	0	0	6.75
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Presentation Style Comments

The pace was excellent, leaving just enough time for me to think about the information before proceeding to the next.

Good pace but could have slowed it down a little, but hard to do if you're nervous.

The overall flow of the presentation was great and she did an amazing job making eye contacts with everyone.

The flow of the presentation was very good.

Great job! You had a great pace to your presentation

Well prepared and good presentation skills

Good flow throughout presentation. No distracting mannerisms.

Kate was very professional and did a good job presenting.

Very organized. Great pic of ppi vs no ppi

Excellent flow and pace of presentation.

She tended to talk quickly at times but she was very professional throughout the presentation and lacked distracting mannerisms.

The presenter went a little fast at times, but it was overall at a good pace.

Great pace and good eye contact throughout the presentation.

Was still presented a little fast even though it seems it was still an improvement from your previous seminar.

Kate was ver confident throughout her presentation.

I had a hard time following when you were talking very fast.

Great confidence and eye contact throughout presentation

Great presentation, seemed very confident and knowledgeable

Good pacing. No distracting mannerisms. Material was presented at an appropriate level. /

n/a

Instructional Materials										
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

### Instructional Materials Comments

Materials were well done

I liked the design and color of the slides. It was a nice presentation that looked professional.

She had included a lot of useful charts that were necessary in understanding the material.

I initially was feeling like I wanted to be able to look at the diagrams and thought they were left out of the handout. This was disappointing, but once she stated that they were in appendices my disappointment was no longer valid.

You did a good job of orienting us to your graphs

Good use of diagrams

Presenter took time to prepare presentation materials. More visuals would have been a plus.

Her slides and her handout were very easy to read.

Slides were appropriate for the objective stated initially

Great handout but would have liked to have the C. Diff precautions listed in the handout since it was an important concept that was emphasized in the presentation.

She did a great job orienting the audience to x and y axis and explaining the charts and figures that she presented

The presentation was easy to follow.

There was a slide that had some important information on it that you mentioned but was not included in the handout. I think if it is important enough to speak about then the handout should have included it as well.

The handout was not always completely clear.

The handout was very thorough.

great handout

Slides were easy to read and no grammatical errors noticed on handout or slides

Handout and slides were very thorough and well-organized

Little to no grammatical errors. Slides were easy to follow.

n/a

## Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Appropriate background information was provided	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

## Overall Presentation Content Comments

Great job defining the controversy, especially given the regular use in an inpatient healthcare setting

I liked how applicable this topic was and how it came up on your rotations and that is why you decided to research this topic.

She had organized her presentation really well and she had included thorough information in the background information.

Some information in the presentation slides were left out of the handout.

Loved hearing your story about the VA, it helped us see where you were coming from with your topic the topic was an appropriate choice for pharmacy practice /

Content was well organized and appropriate.

I really liked her topic and she had a good reason for picking this topic.

Talk with appropriate tone and pace

Great job explaining interest in topic and how it connected to the experience at the VA. Also interesting and good topic since there is a lot of questions regarding long term use of PPIs and risks.

The background information was interesting and relevant. She flowed a little fast at times and could have slowed down a bit.

The seminarian presented a thorough background.

Great introduction and I liked that it the issue came from your rotation.

I was a little unclear on the objectives. The objectives listed in the handout did not match all that was mentioned in the seminar.

Kate did a great job explaining her personal interest in the topic, which led naturally to encouraging the audience to be interested as well.

good coverage of objectives

Student provided a well thought out analysis of studies

Great job introducing your interest in this topic.

Great introduction, clearly identifying the background.

n/a

## Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	17	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.85
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	14	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.74
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	6.94
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

## Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

I liked the explanations of why the authors used specific strategies in the methodology. Nice job on that, it made it easier to understand and believe the results they arrived at.

Good job at interpreting all of the information and answering the questions at the end about the study.

Her analysis of the data was detailed and she had included all the useful clinical findings; however, an explanation of sample size and the power would have helped more.

She did pause for a bit when posed questions in regards to the statistical analyses used, but she recovered well.

You did a great job of explaining why you think each study had the particular strengths and weaknesses

Objectives were clear, one objective was not as emphasized in the handout but explained during the actual presentation

Key date was emphasized and highlighted well.

She did a good job explaining the strengths and weaknesses of her studies.

Studies well chosen for the topic. Great talk about the statistically significance and also the limitations of the study

Good job presenting all of the important clinical information and what is clinically important. Could have gone more in depth about power and whether or not that effected the negative results and whether or not the test was appropriate instead of just listing what test was used.

She provided a thoughtful investigation of the study's strengths and limitations but could have analyzed the statistics a little bit more thoroughly.

The seminarian was able to cover and discuss all the objectives.

Great job, just explain the statistical aspects of the study a little more.

The studies were retrospective which was different from what we've seen so far, but they were discussed well taking the differences in the studies into consideration.

Great analysis of the studies and data was presented.

could explain why used statistics but great analysis of strengths and weaknesses

Each study was presented in a detailed manner with complete analysis given to strengths and weaknesses to each study

Great analysis of study strengths and weaknesses, seemed like you put a lot of thought into them.

Great analysis of the studies.

n/a



## Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	17	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.85
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Conclusions Comments

Good job on all these. I would have like to see stronger recommendations for clinical practice.

Good job at interpreting the information and coming to your own conclusions and being able to explain why.

She had included a lot of useful information on the role of the pharmacist. It might be useful to add a section in the future to include precautions that need to be taken by the pharmacists.

Her conclusions were appropriate given the data presented. Role of the pharmacist in regards to this controversy was very clear.

Great conclusions and recommendations. Liked the class engagement at the end

The role of the pharmacist was well defined

Conclusions followed from the data. Perhaps more specific and stronger conclusion slide at the end.

She did a good job explaining the role of the pharmacist pertaining to her topic,

I like the way you answered the question from dr ruble about pharmacists role

Really great job saying what we should take away from this seminar and what can be interpreted from the results more than just what the authors stated.

I liked how she tied specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice together with a case at the end of her seminar.

The conclusion was supported by data and the significance was discussed.

Conclusion was great and I think your recommendations as a pharmacist were great as well.

Conclusions could have been more clearly stated.

I thought the recommendations for pharmacists in practice was good!

good pharmacist roles

Agree with seminarian's conclusions and I liked the pharmacist role

Great job discussing the pharmacists role in this issue, there were many great opportunities for pharmacist intervention listed. Was a little bit confused on why you agreed with some of the author's results and not others but overall great job!

Clinical data supported the presenter's conclusion and pharmacists' role.

n/a

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

### Question Answer Session Comments

I think a couple of tough questions were asked, but answered well. Nice work

Good job at thinking on your feet. I thought you got some hard question and I was impressed at how you handled the situation.

She had included a question that required participation of the audience which was great.

Had one point of minor stalling, but overall she fielded the questions well.

You had a lot of questions and you dealt with them excellently! You really thought on your feet

The seminarian answered the questions appropriately

Presenter did a good job handling questions from the audience.

She did a good job encouraging audience questions and participation.

Thanks for the opportunity for questions through your presentation

Good breaks for questions throughout and was prepared for questions. Great job with saying you would look it up and get back when you didn't know the answer instead of making something up.

She provided many opportunities for questions throughout her presentation. She could have been a little more succinct and confident in her answers to questions though.

Seminarian answer the questions well.

I did not like that because you did not know an answer to one of the questions that you reverted it back to the audience. I think saying I am not sure and I'll look into that further would have been a better approach.

Was able to answer some difficult questions.

Many opportunities for questions from the audience were provided.

very bubbly personality

Student answered questions very well and encouraged questions throughout presentation

Loved the little quiz at the end, also did a good job asking questions and trying to keep the audience

interested and involved.

All questions were answered adequately.

n/a

## Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	17	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.85
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

## Overall Knowledge Base Comments

I wasn't entirely convinced of this topic's applicability to community practice.

You knew your studies very well.

She had a great knowledge on the subject. And she did an amazing job with the conclusion section.

She demonstrated knowledge of the subject not only gained from research but also from clinical practice during her rotation. Actual real world experience was helpful was beneficial.

It was clear you thought about your topic thoroughly. Good job!

The use of cases and the answers to inquiries demonstrated good preparation

Kate was comfortable with the material and demonstrated it during Q&A.

Kate did is good job drawing conclusions from her research.

Great knowledge of the topic

Great background knowledge and seemed like you did a full search of the literature and very knowledgeable on the topic.

She did a great job theorizing answers to questions. She could have spent a little more time analyzing statistics

The seminarian was able to demonstrate knowledge outside the presentation by answer the questions.

Overall knowledge and passion for the material was great.

I felt like she was uncertain on some aspects.

Kate answered all questions very thoroughly and confidently.

I could tell you prepared well /

Student showed knowledge of disease state during question and answer session

Great job!

Clearly demonstrated knowledge about background.

n/a

## Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Great overall presentation. The topic itself was quite interesting and relevant given that PPIs are so commonly prescribed, even in settings where patients are already prone to C.dif

I liked the slides and clinical application of this seminar.

She did an amazing job in involving the audience and answering their questions. Her overall knowledge on the subject was excellent.

The flow and material was presented very well. She had poise speaking to the crowd and displayed confidence.

Great job overall. Slides were very clean and your presentation was easy to follow

Excellent presentation style and inclusion of questions to the audience

Novel concept to me. I never considered the link between PPIs and Cdif before this seminar.

I really liked the topic of this seminar.

I liked the pic of ppi vs no ppi

You were confident and prepared and had a great overall knowledge on the topic. Excellent job!

I liked how engaging she was a speaker. She was excited about the topic which made it much easier to become engaged in the topic.

I thought the seminarian gave a thorough background and answer the questions very well.

Your handout was great and the images for the studies were easy to read and find in the handout. I think your overall presentation was great and you seemed very confident during your presentation.

It was an interesting subject with a different type of studies than we typically see in these seminars. I thought she handled her data well and presented a good discussion.

I really liked the topic of this seminar and the applicability to current practice.

Interesting topic and liked your energy

Great confidence and enthusiasm during presentation

I liked the audience involvement

Great presentation of the clinical data. They information was summarized well.

great flow

## Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

More pictures. Remember, "a picture is worth a thousand words", and is an attention grabber.

More pictures could have been added to keep the topic interesting.

Including an explanation on the sample size and power may be helpful in the future presentations.

The only issue I had was that there was some information in the presentation slides that was not included in the handout.

Spoke a little fast, but the presentation was the perfect length

The speed was improved

More visuals to enhance and compliment the slides of text.

I can't think of improvements that could have been made.

More pictures

Could have given more info regarding the stats section about whether or not the test was appropriate and talk about power when a result does not show difference.

She could have anticipated questions a little more about how to design a study to better investigate the topic. That is a question that anyone giving a seminar should be able to answer about their studies.

Keep a consistent pace throughout the presentation.

I think you could have explained the statistical aspects more in depth.

More confidence in conclusions and objectives.

Kate did a wonderful job, no suggestions for improvement.

please talk slower and more deliberate I had a hard time following you at times

Add the information from "Precaution" slide onto handout

Nothing that I can really think of.

Talked a little fast, but that did not disrupt the flow of the presentation.

more graphs to present data



## General Comments

Very well done overall

Well done and good job at answering the questions at the end.

She did an amazing job in presenting the material. I learned a lot about that subject. Good job!

She did a great job, audience involvement (the quiz) was appreciated.

Great job! Congrats

/ Great job!

Well constructed, delivered and executed.

I really liked how she encouraged audience participation by asking questions.

Great job

Overall really great seminar presentation.

She did a fantastic job and I enjoyed her presentation.

Overall, the presentation was organized very well and the seminarian did a great job.

Overall great job! This was a very interesting topic mainly because of the way you presented it to the class.

Good job, really enjoyed the subject.

Great cookies!

You will make a great pharmacist!

Great job overall

Good job

Overall, a great presentation. I have learned a lot.

i enjoy this presentation