

Presenter: Grussendorf, Joel

Seminar Date: 2013-11-07

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.82	6.93	6.94	6.9	6.9	7	6.89	6.25	6.5	6.4	6.5	6.63	6.75	6.7	0	0	0	E (47.08)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	10	7	0	0	0	0	0	6.59
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Great material and pace.

Nice job, very informative.

Great eye contact throughout. The pace was a little slow at first but it picked up to a casual pace towards the end.

Did a great job pacing himself and seemed comfortable

na

A little slow at first but once you got going you did awesome

Great pace. Very confident and professional.

Seminarian was very professional

On your conclusions of each study, you read pretty much word for word from the handout. Most of it was really well done, just paraphrase those conclusions in the future.

Great Work! This was an interesting and exciting topic, feel free to look a little more excited when presenting.

Relied on notes at some point, but good job overall

Slow at first, but got in a good rhythm as he went

Well done. Some reliance on notes.

Great pace, thank you for explaining the significance!

Good pace. Maybe try less to rely on notes or less reading of the exact words.

Pace was a little slow so I might suggest speeding up to keep audience engaged

Great pace. not too fast, yet kept the information flowing /

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	13	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.76
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

no problems here

Nice job, very informative.

Great handouts!

The materials were clear and organized

na

A little awkward on format with the background you chose. Also, some slides just read "author's conclusions" and then you said some stuff. Would have been nice to have this paraphrased on the slides

Great handout. I really liked the appendix and the additional information there.

Great slides

I enjoyed your materials. A little more on each study could have been included in the handout though.

Great Work!

Handouts could be cleaned up a bit to look cleaner

None

I thought the slides and handout were both easy to read and positively contributed to the presentation.

Materials were very useful in following Joel's presentation.

Good handout--different format, but still clear and to the point. Sometimes words overlapped with background (black text on brown) that made it hard to read on your slides.

Very clear and easy to read. Did not note any grammar issues

no errors noticed .

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
4	Appropriate background information was provided	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Seemed like a very applicable topic for our state.

Nice job, very informative.

The controversy was well defined and the background information was very helpful.

Obvious he is interested in this subject and involved

na

Great topic and I like how you brought your preceptor with you who influenced you

Great intro to the topic. The quote got my attention from the beginning. Really emphasized why this data is important and the significance of his topic.

Great topic!

I thought your use of a quote to introduce the topic was brilliant!

Great Work!

Great interest in topic and objectives were clearly stated and met

None

I really enjoyed the way Joel set up the controversy of the topic.

Awesome topic!!!

Good background information.

Could make objectives more active and measurable. Cool to bring in actual product. Work on crisper transitions

interesting controversy

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	11	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	6.79
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	6.93
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	16	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.88

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Good analysis on the studies.

Nice job, very informative.

The studies were non-traditional for seminars but you explained and evaluated the studies well.

Clinical data was clearly and completely presented

na

Good analysis of the limitations

Great analysis of strengths and weaknesses!

Very thorough analysis of the studies

You did well with the unique studies you were covering.

Great Work!

Despite the studies being different from what we normally see in seminar, you did a good job at presenting the pertinent findings

None

I thought the seminarian did an excellent job presenting the data from these unique studies. I was able to follow along just fine.

Great analysis of the data!

Good analysis of study types that we are not use to seeing. Would have like to know more about the

training itself (what did it entail and whether or not the training would answer those key questions posed by the FDA meeting).

I would not recommend reading the results but have key points on your slides

atypical studies, good analysis.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	14	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.76
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Conclusions Comments

Conclusion was great.

Nice job, very informative.

Excellent conclusions!

Conclusions were well supported and explained how pharmacists could help very effectively

na

Role of the pharmacist was really cool

Great job stating the role of the pharmacist! He gave specific recommendations on how we can have a role.

Great conclusions

Your conclusion were based on your data. One thing to consider though, is it necessary for naloxone to go all the way to OTC? Why not just make these kits available for prescribing by the doctor, just like an epipen or glucagon kit?

Great Work!

Conclusions were appropriate based on the data

None

I really liked how the role of the pharmacist (counseling pts how to use the product) was set up. In the trials presented, participants received some sort of instruction for use of naloxone.

I liked and agreed with your conclusions.

Great job with the final conclusion. Able to see the utility of the recommendations.

The data seemed to center on availability and education not necessarily on OTC status. Not sure the recommendation quite meets the mark based on the data

good to know how a community pharmacist can help

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

Great Q&A session.

Nice job, very informative.

Great job with all of the questions!

Great Q&A session and fielded questions well

na

Longest question I've seen which means you have a great topic

Great job answering questions! He had a ton of questions and did a great job answering all of them.

Q&a was very long, but seminarian was on top of every question and answered them well

Good job handling all those questions!

Awesome, so many great question and you handled them all well.

Most interesting question and answer session that I've experienced. Really interesting topic led to a lengthy discussion. Good job!

That was the longest question session I have ever seen; way to go!

The simple fact that Joel did not pass out from physical exhaustion after such a marathon Q & A was astounding. Furthermore, I feel he was very appropriate with his responses.

GREAT question and answer session!!! It rocked! I hope you enjoyed the back and forth!

Great job answering questions from the audience.

Can I say WOW. Never seen a Q and A like it

well prepared to answer the volley of questions

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

good conclusions in context.

Nice job, very informative.

You knew your stuff and it was clear throughout.

He has an extensive knowledge base, especially concerning the social aspects of the topic

He did once defer to others in the audience, but it is a very complicated and delicate issue, so not a big deal.

Thought on your feet very well in terms of questions

I could tell he really had researched his topic and he had a great knowledge base of the topic.

Seminarian seemed knowledgeable in the topic

You clearly have done your research.

Great Work!

You knew information beyond the studies presented

None

It was evident that the seminarian understood both the clinical and statistical significance of what he was presenting. He had a great grasp of the ethical considerations surrounding this topic as well.

Overall knowledge base is fantastic.

Good job with overall knowledge base. Would have liked to see maybe more of the practicality of the

study results and the applicability to settings within Utah.

Knew a lot on the subject and it showed in the Q and A

Good knowledge base

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Relevant topic for Utah. I like that you passed the kit around for us to look at.

Great topic.

You really made the seminar hit home by comparing the use of insulin to naloxone.

Very interesting topic and strong evidence to support conclusions

Very controversial, love the controversy. Handled pretty well.

I loved the topic and the discussion it lead to

I really liked the topic. It was so interesting and very controversial. He did a great job at presenting the data for this topic.

Great topic, very interesting

Very interesting topic!

Really enjoyed the topic.

Really interesting topic, good job establishing the controversy

Bringing the kit was a great idea.

I liked the way the controversy was set up, as well as the widespread interest in the topic..It had everyone's attention.

Very applicable topic.

Good examples to help compare current subject with disease states that we are more familiar with.

Bringing product was cool and you gave a compelling argument

very interesting topic. made me think.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Can't think of anything.

nothing comes to mind

Maybe the pace at the beginning? Really, I don't have much for suggestions.

Could have been a bit more energetic and engaging during presentation portion

The only thing I would really say is I would be careful with calling addiction and diabetes both "diseases of choice." I understand what he means by it and for the most part agree, but it's treading a difficult line and could be construed as offensive to some.

Format of the slides could have been improved

No Recommendations. He did a great job!

Be careful with generalizing. Not all type 2 diabetic patients got the disease "by choice"

You can pick up the pace a little bit, however overall it was not bad at all.

Would have liked you to dig into the opposite side of the argument more. This felt like less of a presentation on the data and more of a "pitch". but I really enjoyed it a lot!

N/A

I don't know.

I can't think of much.

It was really fun-loved it-you'll get more comfortable as you do these crazy things.

More eye contact with audience, less reading of the slide or handout text.

Speed up the seminar a little bit and you're golden

nothing comes to mind. /

General Comments

good job.

Great discussion and you showed you really knew the topic.

Excellent job!

Great topic that left the audience more aware about this issue and the importance of it

Great job!!! You made seminar fun which is hard to do

Awesome job! Very interesting and controversial topic.

Great job!

Great Work!

N/A

None

Well done.

Awesome topic, awesome job- its over!

Great topic. Very interesting. Good overall knowledge.

Good job. Nice to have that done.

Great job. Great eye contact, not relying on notes.