Presenter: Tran, Emmeline

Seminar Date: 2013-11-14

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.92	6.83	6.9	6.88	6.76	6.97	6.81	7	7	6.8	6.92	7	7	7	0	0	0	E (48.03)

Presentation Style

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89

Presentation Style Comments

Good pace for the amount of material presented.

great job

Excellent pacing throughout the presentation.

Her pace was optimal and she made great eye contact with the audience. Was very professional.

Great style

Pacing and presentation style was good and presenter lacked distracting mannerisms.

I can't believe how much information you got through in such a short time. Great job!

She had very little reliance on her notes. I don't think she looked at the board once. I could tell she had practiced a lot and was very professional

No reliance on notes/slides. Job well done!

Good flow to your presentation

Fantastic pace, and I felt like your had the entire presentation memorized!

Good pace and presentation.

Presentation style was very professional. The pace was comfortable and easy to listen to. Public speaking skills are very strong.

Really confident and great job making sure we caught the details you wanted us to

Her pace was excellent

Good pace, although, I believe it ran just a bit long.

Good pace- felt like you had memorized it.

You kept looking at your slides periodically during the presentation rather than looking at the audience

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	14	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.61
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	14	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.78
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

good slides and orientation to material on them.

great job

The slides were easy to read and the handout flows well.

Her handout and slides were well organized, free from grammatical and spelling errors, and very clear. She even starred important points.

You obviously did a lot of work on this.

Include more images in your presentation to make it easier to digest materials for visual learners.

Only noticed 1 error on your slides so great job

Great handout! It was very detailed and included a lot of great information in addition to what was included in her seminar presentation

Great slides

Very good handout

Everything was very clear, easy to read, and appropriate.

Some charts hard to read.

The handout was a little to busy and difficult for me to follow with the presentation. I liked the tables with each study but I found it distracting that I needed to flip back and forth between pages to get an overall "glance" of each study.

You always do a great job at orienting the audience to key graphs so that we know what we're looking at

The handout was very long, and hard to stick with.

Very well-prepared. I only saw one spelling error.

There was too much in the handout, too many graphs, charts, not sure what to do with them since they weren't addressed in the powerpoint presentation.

the handout appendix seemed a little busy

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.67
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Appropriate background information was provided	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Seemed great. Good transitions

great job

The objectives were clear and applicable for the audience.

She displayed her interest in the topic effectively and defined the controversy well. The presentation flowed well too.

Very well organized

Seminar was well organized and led the audience to a specific conclusion.

Background was great and why you chose a male population

Very organized! I really liked the case at the first to introduce the topic.

Interesting controversy

I really liked your objectives, they were very clear

You set up the background and controversy very well. Really liked your use of the case.

Good

Intrest in the topic was conveyed throughout the presentation. Objectives were clearly stated and highlighted throughout the presentation. This made it easy to re-focus throughout the presentation on the central points. The presentation and slide show was well organized and the transitions were good, the handout was not as much.

The content was well organized and you provided key findings from each study

Great job

The presentation content was appropriate and flowed well.

I'm not sure what the controversy is- who is it between? Patients and providers? Providers should all know that primary prevention is not something we do. If it is a controversy between providers and the media then I'm not sure that is a seminar topic that fits the scope of seminar unless we are providing tools on how to do our job better or what pharmacy is doing mass media wise to repair that.

You had excellent command of your material which was evident in the presentation of clinical information

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	16	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.78
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.88
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	13	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	6.8
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Study choices were great.

great job

Great evaluation of the studies!

She presented her studies well, making sure we knew what information we were looking at and why she wanted to focus on that information in particular. Easily guided us through the studies and justified their use well, letting us know the strengths and weaknesses.

Very critical and detailed analysis of studies

Good organization and presentation of clinical data.

Great analysis. I understood everything that was going on the entire time.

Great analysis of strengths and weaknesses! She didn't just state the usual strengths and weaknesses, she really analyzed the studies and did a good job explaining the strengths and weaknesses.

Very thorough break down of the studies

Good job explaining the strengths and weakness of each study

Didn't take too long covering three studies, yet you covered them in detail. Good job presenting the relevant information!

She was well aware of study strengths.

Throughout the presentation I was a little confused about where the NNT and NNH numbers were coming from. For example the final conclusion was that the NNH was in the hundreds compared to the

NNT in the thousands. But on one of the studies reported and NNH somewhere in the three-thousands and I don't think an explanation of the discrepancies was ever discussed. / To me this is a really important question especially because this is only a risk/benefit question.

Your analysis of each study was thorough and you demonstrated strong drug literature evaluation skills

I didn't catch much of anything about dropouts. Otherwise, awesome.

Very thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations.

Clincal data were interesting - I wish would've asked why the investigators chose physicians for the studies.

Nothing to improve on here. It was stellar

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	15	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.78
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.83
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.83
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	16	1	0	0	0	0	1	6.61

Conclusions Comments

Good conclusions. I appreciated the focus on clinical relevance.

great job

Conclusions were strong and appropriate. Great job!

Her conclusions were sound and justified by the studies, and she discussed the pharmacist's role at length, even showing us all the tools we could use to determine CVD risk for patients.

Liked your pharmacist implications

Your conclusion dragged out for a bit. Conclude on 1 to 2 simple and specific messages.

Great role of the pharmacist. Especially about identifying individuals at risk.

Great conclusion based onto the studies! She didn't just restate what the authors says, she came up with her own conclusion based on the study.

Specific recommendations provided

Good overall conclusions, I liked how you reinforced your conclusions with a patient case.

Conclusions were soundly based on the evidence.

Good Job

I would have liked more analysis concerning the clinical significance of both the side effects and benefits. For example if the NNH is significantly less than the NNT but the "harm" is mild GI upset then I might not care about that. This was addressed a little bit but felt like it was missing from the final conclusion. For the most part I liked the conclusion and felt like it was supported by the data presented.

Conclusion was great, evidence based, and something that I can take with me into practice

I would have liked to see a little more evidence behind the conclusions.

I feel the conclusions were supported by the material presented in the seminar.

Not sure where the controversy fits in so I didn't feel like the recommendations of the role of a pharmacist were different than what we have been doing.

As i let the presentation, I still wasn't sure what t recommend. There was a lot of info but perhaps work on the "so, now" aspect for clinical practice

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

Fielded questions well.

great job

You seemed well prepared during and able to answer questions from the audience.

Did an excellent job answering questions and interacting with the audience.

Great job with Q &A

Questions were appropriately answered.

Knew answers to everything

Great job answering questions and explaining the reasoning

Seminarian seemed well prepared and answered questions thoroughly

Encouraged audience participation throughout the seminar

Great job answering questions!

Knew the subject matter.

Q&A section went well. You were able to answer all questions quickly and logically to everyone?s satisfaction. To the best of the "seminar format" was able to interact with audience and ask questions.

You were able to answer questions easily without hesitation

I wasn't completely satisfied with all the answers to questions, but that's just me.

Very professionally done.

Thank you for answering the questions. It was interesting to me that you had the patient on NSAIDS but didn't address the problem of concurrent aspirin use. Happens all the time.

Knew all the answers to questions

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	16	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.83
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.89
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.83
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	15	2	0	0	0	0	1	6.56
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Overall knowledge great.

great job

Your overall knowledge on the subject was evident throughout the presentation and while receiving questions from the audience.

Her knowledge base was obviously strong and was able to answer questions with additional insight and expertise.

You obviously knew far more than you presented on

Emmaline seemed to be well prepared to provide additional background on the topic.

Beyond the fact knowledge was obvious and exemplified by your knowledge of the new cholesterol guidelines

Very well prepared and knew her stuff!

Well organized presentation.

Good overall knowledge base

It was extremely evident that you were well studied. This was very obvious when you discussed the calculator associated with the new cholesterol guidelines.

Good job.

You were very knowledgeable about the subject, knew the studies well and were able to draw your own conclusions from the data as well. As I have already discussed I would have liked a little more expansion on the clinical significance especially regarding the importance of the adverse effects.

It was apparent you looked at studies beyond those presented because you were able to cite them without hesitation

She obviously knows her stuff, and was insightful.

It was evident that the seminarian had a sound knowledge base of the material covered.

Back to the significance of this seminar- practitioners that I know of are not recommending aspirin to their patients so I'm not sure knowledge base of current practice is there.

You knew so much that I felt like I was under-qualified to even be at the seminar. Good job

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Good presentation of the facts.

great job

Excellent pacing and great delivery.

I enjoyed the topic and how pertinent it was in the community setting. She did a great job orienting us to the studies and explaining what was pertinent and why.

You did a great job pulling a lot of data together.

The analysis of the clinical data was thorough. I liked your inclusion of the number needed to treat/harm as a way of bringing life to the clinical data.

I was amazed at how thorough you were. I left with no further questions which hasn't happened yet.

I really liked how much detail she put into her handout. It had so mucho great information in it

Very well prepared. Judging by the amount of references, seminarian was very well prepared

I liked how you used the patient case to make it applicable

Very interesting and relevant topic!

She Did a good job presenting the data and subject matter

Presenter was well prepared. She knew the studies and was able to address all questions. I thought the presentation flowed well as well.

Great job with your presentation skills and really boiling down the important findings from each study. I like how you boxed those results so they easily stood out.

The focus was very clinically relevant.

I liked that the topic is very relevant to our practice.

Easy to read slides.

You knew all the information and background VERY well

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Maybe more discussion on the benefits when we had to treat so many people. I personally thought the data from the 2 studies looked a little conflicting. If I remember right the number need to treat was huge.

I noticed you were speaking quickly in order to share all the information you had. Perhaps keeping to the major points would allow for a more relaxed presentation.

This is very minor, but maybe consider more audience interaction during the presentation.

Some areas of the presentation were a bit wordy or too much information (in the slides and handout) than what was ideal for this setting.

Can't think of anything

More visuals and images to spice up your presentations. Try to keep your final take home messages simple.

The added third meta analysis got a little long, but it did add to the content.

It would have been helpful to see how she came up with her overall NNT and NNH.

Seminarian went a little bit over time

Nothing major I can think of

N/A

Not much.

The handout was hard to follow and I felt like there were a few discrepancies with the data that should have been addressed (specifically with the NNH numbers that I already discussed in a previous comment, please refer to that comment for further elaboration).

N/A

The handout was long and wordy.

I believe the seminar went a little long on time.

Question the controversy of the topic.

I would work on the practicality and overall recommendations for practice since it was not abundantly clear.

General Comments

Overall a great seminar.

great job

Very well done!

Wonderful presentation, very professional, and came away with a better understanding on the topic.

Thanks for educating me about the utility of aspirin in secondary prophylaxis.

Overall awesome job. I think it was a practical subject that we will actually be able to use in practice.

Great job!

Nice job Emmeline!

Awesome job!

Good Job.

No additional comments on top of the one I have already made. Overall, great job.

N/A

I've said everything I can think of.

well done

Great presentation skills.

You set a high standard for the rest of us