

# Presenter: Pham, Anthony

Seminar Date: 2014-03-04

## Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.99	6.9	6.99	7	7	6.97	6.99	7	7	6.9	7	7	7	7	0	0	0	E (48.21)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Presentation Style Comments

The fact that you could walk around meant you could engage the audience without notes.

Excellent presentation style, very professional

You did a great job working the room!

Your manners were professional and appeared confident.

Pace was slightly fast. Very professional and material was appropriate.

yup

good eye contact during whole presentation

great pace and you didn't rely on your slides very much

Good job presenting.

great pace and confidence, very impressive presentation style

Was very comfortable with presenting. No reliance on notes. Felt as though you may have moved around a little too much.

Well paced and appropriate presentaton - however, seemed a little rehearsed/memorized

Seemed very confident and professional. Liked how he moved around

good pace, good eye contact

Sometimes felt a little rushed. When you start speaking too quickly, it's very difficult for me to keep focusing on heart at you're saying.

Anthony did a spectacular job presenting. He was confident and knew his material well. He was able to maintain thorough eye contact and keep us all interested.

Anthony seemed very confident and poised

## Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Instructional Materials Comments

Busy slides. My only criticism.

I had to give you an A- on grammatical error because I found one in the handout, that is probably the only thing you did remotely wrong in the entire presentation ;-)

Slides were appropriate, but the handout was a little overwhelming- almost too detailed.

Only one problem stood out: the "Seminarian's Conclusions" paragraph in the handout. It was kind-of confusing, due mainly to run-on sentences and grammatical errors.

Slides and handouts were clear and easy to read.

Citing on bottom of slide was great

busy slides

Great background, very detailed

Materials were great!

handout formatting could be more accessible

A little too much information on slides, but they were clear and organized.

great

Great explanation of a foreign subject

picture of disease state helped the audience to understand the pathophysiology better

Great diagrams and pictures to help us understand the topic

Anthony did a great job making a difficult disease state and an intimidating drug class seem easy to understand. I feel like his way of presenting the information was very natural and easy to follow along. He did a good job orienting us to pictures.

There were a couple of grammatical errors but overall the slides were good, especially the graphics

## Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Appropriate background information was provided	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Overall Presentation Content Comments

You certainly said a lot in a short time. The level was appropriate and I understood it however.

Great content, very informative on a topic I was not familiar with, the case at the end was very helpful for self assessment of objectives

Spent a little too much time on background, but overall great content.

Well organized and clearly stated.

Great information on background of topic especially the pathophysiology.

Great job orienting everyone

interesting interest in topic

Great background

Good content. The background was great and explained the topic very well.

good background information

Great background information for complicated topic. Objectives and controversy were clear.

I really thought your slides were nice except a few that were a little busy. You knew them so well you didn't have to think about what was on them but that led to a slightly over rehearsed feeling

Great flow, easy to follow, kept a complicated topic understandable

interesting topic. the controversy was demonstrated clearly and well assessed

Loved how passionate you were about the topic. Great job on pointing out the points you really wanted us to remember. Great background information since we knew nothing about this topic specifically

Background information thoroughly covered wet AMD so we could understand the concepts to be discussed in studies. Controversy stated clearly. I liked that objectives were reinforced by the patient case at the end.

The presentation was well organized and you could tell Anthony was well prepared

## Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

The studies were a great illustration of the controversy. Good selection.

Clinical data supported your conclusions

Fantastic data and good interpretations.

Studies were thoroughly analyzed.

Clinical data was appropriate for the topic. Good analysis of of study strengths and limitations.

Your analysis was satisfactory

good analysis of studies

Wasn't a log of data to present as far as statistics go but you mentioned that and made assumptions which was good

Clinical data was good.

great job evaluating the studies.

Analyzed studies very well, however, since they do seem to contradict one another, it would have been nice to take a moment to discuss the impact this had on developing your own clinical conclusion.

Appropriate

Great study selection, it's different to see a variety of study outcomes, so that was interesting!

he analyzed internal and external validity very well and suggested any possible bias

Great analysis of the studies. As times reading the numbers off the slides gets a little much. Be careful

you tell us the importance of the statistics not just read the numbers

I was impressed at the strengths and weaknesses that Anthony was able to "tease" out of his studies. I could tell he spent a lot of time really thinking about the study design.

Analysis of the studies was well done



## Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Conclusions Comments

I like how you put a place in therapy.

Appropriate recommendations for pharmacist role and conclusions supported data

You had great information for the different roles of the pharmacist.

Your conclusions were supported by the data.

Provided multiple roles where pharmacists can have an impact in the community and hospital setting.

I agree

agreed with conclusions

Great conclusions and making avastin a part of that conclusion

I thought your conclusions were great and very well thought out.

conclusion well supported and well thought out and presented pharmacist role

In the future, try to pull from other sources to develop your conclusion as well.

Seemed slightly contradictory to say there was a benefit when you had data showing that the drug is not used in the cost savings way...just make it more clear next time

Good recommendations for practice that we can utilize

his conclusions were well supported by the evidence

Great job on making this applicable to all pharmacists.

I liked how Anthony re-stated how the second study turned the first study "on it's head". I really liked his approach. He was able to show that the newer drug may be more effective, but in practice that is not the case. I do however think it would have been to his benefit to theorize the possibility of the newer drug being used in it's correct schedule and how then it could possibly be superior to the older drug.

It was a little difficult to see the role of the pharmacist other than to refer patients to their eye doctor when needed

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

### Question Answer Session Comments

Not many questions, but you answered them all very well.

Good job answering questions appropriately

Good job answering questions.

Anthony was inviting of questions. He stumbled a little on 2 of them, but they were pretty tough questions.

Answered a difficult question with lots of confidence.

you did this

answered questions well

Great at encouraging questions from the audience

Good job answering questions!

good job not letting questions throw you off, but try to really listen to them and understand them before answering

Allowed time for questions from the audience.

great

Knew questions well, didn't get caught off guard

he encouraged audiences to interact.. and questions answered well

Great job. Way to stay composed

Adequate opportunities given for the audience to ask questions. I was impressed that Anthony remembered to ask for questions throughout without having to make placeholder slides for himself. It was yet another way to see how he clearly knew his presentation thoroughly. He was able to answer questions appropriately.

Encouraged questions, asked questions to the audience about understanding of the topic



## Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Overall Knowledge Base Comments

As demonstrated by the 79 references you used, you could have anticipated any of the questions.

Exhibited excellent knowledge level on topic

I feel like you were an expert.

You came to us with a good grasp of wet AMD.

Demonstrated great knowledge on the topic. Was well prepared.

You were the expert in the room

very good knowledge base

You obviously knew your stuff

Great knowledge of the subject!

disease progression when untreated was a piece of knowledge which you probably should have known, next time anticipate what questions might be asked about the studies by thinking of holes in them for yourself.

Didn't have many questions to answer, but was able to answer on the various questions that did come up.

very knowledgeable

Seemed to know his topic inside and out and was able to succinctly answer questions and draw his own conclusions

knowledge well demonstrated. and he came up with his own conclusion based on his findings.

Very passionate about the topic. Made it very interesting to listen to

Anthony was able to succinctly summarize the information from the trials and what he knows about the treatment of wet AMD to be able to come up with a confident and clear conclusion.

Anthony was able to think on his feet and think beyond the conclusions of the authors

## Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked the description of the controversy and the conclusion that a drug might actually not have the advantages that are pushed by the manufacturer.

Very professional, probably the best I've seen in seminar, the fact that you knew your topic well was evident

I liked the case.

Very confident.

Explained all the data in great detail.

Great presentation style

his control of the room

Great background

Great seminar! /

great confidence and presentation, interesting style of presenting clinical and economical data

Confidence and relaxed atmosphere.

Knowledge was deep and background information was helpful. I really liked hearing the experiences of the doctor who is actually using the products.

He knew the presentation well and seemed very confident

nice pace and good transition

Loved the passion for the topic and the great background you provided

I really liked your presentation style. You were confident, your approach to walking around the room was perfect. I felt like you moved around enough that it seemed natural and not forced.

I thought Anthony showed confidence and poise that made the overall presentation very impressive

## Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Un-busy the slides and handout.

Little...it was pretty awesome

Background information was too detailed. Really reformulation of AREDS? I would have cut it down

Perhaps a little bit too-well-sourced, if you get what I mean. 79 seems braggadocios, but if you really needed that many, then you needed that many.

Slow down pace to have time to let all the material sink in. Lots of good information.

How do you improve perfection?

N/a can't think of anything

nothing

Nothing

work on making the handout more readable

Perhaps too much information was presented which made the pace very difficult to stay on top of.

Focus on conclusions and make them very explicit

Maybe don't pace around TOO much. You weren't quite there, but just be careful in the future.

it was a bit long

Take a deep breath and slow down sometimes

Having just said I liked the way you moved around the room, just be careful that where you pause to stand for a while does not block the view of the slides. There were a few moments that I could not see the screen entirely, however it only lasted a short time until you shifted to another place to stand in the room.

More relevance to the pharmacy profession

## General Comments

I loved the movement during the seminar.

Excellent job overall, probably the best I've seen

Overall, fantastic seminar.

You should feel satisfied with your presentation.

Great job. Professional and appropriate seminar topic and presentation.



You are a star

Top notch

great job

great job, keep up the good work

great job

Nice job!

overall Anthony you rocked!

Thank you for taking such a daunting topic and making it easy to understand.

Great job