# **Presenter: Heslington, Kelsey**

Seminar Date: 2013-10-29

#### **Presenter Scores**

Stude	,										,					s	
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.93	6.96	6.93	6.97	7	6.98		6.5	6.75	6.7	6.55		6.25	6.2	0	0	0	E (46.61

Presentation Style								
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1 Moderate Pace	21	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
2 Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3 Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	21	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
4 Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## **Presentation Style Comments**

Remarkable professionalism and poise

Nerves were present at first, but good job gaining control as you went on.

.

Good pace and interaction with audience

Going over the objects would have been nice because I was a little confused when the title included hypertension yet presentation started with a diabetes background. Good pace, just said UM quite a bit.

Nicely done.

good eye contact

Watch how much you use "um" and "So"

no comment

NA

Great pace, i felt like it wasn't too fast or too slow

Very comfortable presenting your material

Seemed comfortable with presentation, but did go long on presentation.

Na

good enthusiasm

Great confidence! You rocked it.

Great pace, you did look at the screen quite a bit, but otherwise very professional.

Good presentation style - very confident

Great presenter. Very friendly and smart.

nice pace

Very upbeat and was always moving along

Good eye contact with the crowd, the presentation seemed conversational and comfortable.

Very clear and concise speech presentation

Presentation was well paced, and presenter was well poised

In	nstructional Materials									
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.92
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## **Instructional Materials Comments**

Slides were really great--very clear and I loved where you added the references to your slides.

Good orientation to graphs and diagrams throughout

Helpful materials

Slide and handouts were organized well and very informational.

Nicely done.

Easy to read slides and handout.

no comment

NA

Probably the most organized handout and slides I've seen in seminar so far. It was like reading it out of a text book.

Nice colorful slides and emphasis for where to focus

Slides were very helpful for illustrating the main point.

Na

very organized hand out

Great job

Slides and handout were easy to read/follow.

instructional materials were sharp and to the point, slides were very professional

Great clear slides. Clear explanations of material.

clear and easy to follow

Loved all the graphs. I think showing them was perfect for your topic

I am guessing it was more of a technical malfunction rather than poor planning on Kelsy's part, however the slides were projected smaller than the screen and somewhat hard to see.

Slides were very clear and the inclusion of pictures and graphs was helpful

Some of the graphs were hard to follow and could have used more thorough orientation

0	verall Presentation Content								
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	23	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.92
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	21	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.83
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Appropriate background information was provided	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## 0

Overall Presentation Content Comments
Controversy very clearly defined.
Spoke up well and was easy to follow
Content was good
It wasn't until the conclusion that I was 100% clear what the objectives and controversy was.
Nicely done.
Well organized.
no comment
NA
Great at talking about your interest and the controversy
No additional comments
Overall presentation was well organized and easy to follow.
Na
interesting material
Controversy was clear and very relevant. Good job!

overall presentation content was excellent, i understood the interest and purpose of the seminar from

the Q&A, and I liked how you referenced the handout on pertinent slides.

Background was good but I needed a bit more clarification on the non-dipping, which was explained in

the beginning and it was very relevant to almost every aspect of pharmacy

Interesting topic

well organized

I didn't really understand that we wanted people to be dippers until the end. I think the main goal of the presentation just wasn't stated very clearly

The controversy was clear and interesting to learn and think more about. I did find myself wondering what her interest in this topic was throughout the presentation. It was not until the end that she addressed this part.

Presentationw as well organized and background information was helpful with both an explanation and pictures to aid with understanding

Good job on overall presentation

P	resentation of Clinical Data									
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.96
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

strong studies

Good job

6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
٦	resentation of Clinical Data Comments									
	You did a good job summarizing the clinical data.									
	Studies were appropriate and broken down well for easy comprehension	on								
	Can't wait to see more data, you presented a compelling argument									
	Chose excellent trials, explained them well, and could adequately discu	JSS	pro	s an	d c	ons	S.			
	Nicely done.									
	Good clinical data.									
	no comment									
	NA									
	Great									
	Complex parts like the dipper chart were not easy to grasp attach									
	Studies were analyzed and presented well.									
	Na									

Studies were well analyzed

I would have appreciated some more time on the actual data because there was so much to present and shorten the methodology, inc/exc criteria, etc... / / With that being said you did an excellent job highlighting relevant pieces

Clear presentation, highlighted key information well

results were statistically significant.. and good analysis

Great job on leaning about the study design...even if you didn't know anything about it at first

I liked that she highlighted the key findings of the results of the studies that we should focus on.

Studies were well-analyzed and presenter was able to explain both strengths and weaknesses adequately

Great job

C	conclusions								
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

#### **Conclusions Comments**

Really great job discussing the role of the pharmacist.

Seminarian's conclusions were supported by the data presented

**Excellent points of Pharmacist intervention** 

Great job on the conclusion, gave a lot of specific recommendations for pharmacists based on drug class.

Nicely done.

Good explanation of pharmacist role.

no comment /

NA

Great conclusions, seems like we should have at least one night time HTN medication for DM

No additional comments

Great conclusions. Would have liked more reasoning behind your conclusions beyond just the study data.

Na

informative statements

Very solid conclusions & recommendations-- you did a great job at applying your pharmacist knowledge too. Very applicable! Thanks

Conclusions based on the data

Great conclusions - seems appropriate and doesn't appear to hurt but actually help outcomes

Made the topic very applicable. Strong conclusion.

conclusions were very well supported

Great job on applying it to us. This topic is definitely something we all can use to change a few patients lives

I liked that she had a clear conclusion at the end. She was confident in sticking with a decision of moving HTN meds to be given at bedtime. I find that many seminarians end with very unstable conclusions, that was not this case in this presentation.

Gives a good recommendation on what we should do as pharmacists

Very important topic for pharmacists and opportunities for interventions

Question Answer Session								
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2 Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## **Question Answer Session Comments**

No comment.	
Good job fielding questions throughout	
Cood job noiding questions throughout	
Great job overall!	
One question was a little tricky, but still managed to answer them well.	
Nicely done.	
Answered all questions.	
no comment	
NA	
got a lot of questions but answered them honestly and completely	
Very good job handling questions	
Allowed for questions.	
Na	
handled very well	
No questions seemed frustrating to you. You really did well in your question section and showed confidence and poise. You offered good insight when given a clinical scenario.	
Great Q&A, encouraged audience interaction	
appropriately paused for questions and answered with confidence	
Knew answers well and provided good explanation if she didn't.	
she answered all the questions nicely nice job	

Very knowledgeable about diabetes. You can tell you love the topic NAND have a real passion for it.

Kelsy was hit with some tough questions and as an audience member it was obvious she struggled answering them a little. I think in future cases if she works on confidently approaching the topic by saying she is unsure and could follow up on it, it would make it go smoother. Even if you do not know the answer, if you stay confident and clearly and concisely say you will figure out the answer I think that comes across better.

Was able to answer questions thoughtfully and satisfactorily

Answered all questions confidently

C	Overall Knowledge Base								
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

## **Overall Knowledge Base Comments**

Did a great job discussing the research you presented in the context of other research (that you obviously knew about).

Kelsey was very knowledgable on this subject, and she presented the information well

Great knowledge base on subject

You could tell she did a lot of research and was confident in her findings.

Nicely done.

Good overall knowledge.

no comment

NA

You could tell you were well prepared

No additional comments

Did become very uncomfortable when answering some of the more detailed questions. It is okay not to know the nit-picky details of each study.

Na

good handle of the information

## Great job!

Able to think on her feet. Solid knowledge base.

Clearly you knew the material well although there were some situations where you didn't know the answers you handled them with class and appropriate responses

She seemed interested in her topic and provided good insights.

she demonstrated interest in the subject and knowledge of the subject very well

## Great job

Kelsy did attempt during her seminar to theorize and reason through answers that she was not clear on. I think that it was good she made an effort instead of just saying "I dont know". However, I think she may have spent too much time on uncertainties.

She gives a thoughtful analysis and conclusion, showing that she has a very strong knowledge base on anti-hypertensives

Good knowledge of the subject material

## Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I really loved how applicable and relevant your choice of topic was. Your slides were also incredibly clear.

Good job taking confusing information and breaking it down for us to easily understand.

.

Well prepared and interesting topic

Interesting topic with solid recommendations at the end.

Most of it.

Good composure on answering all the questions.

Very interesting and relevant topic

Good pace

You were passionate about your topic!

The best organized seminar so far;)

This as a very interesting topic with an excellent abulatory career or community pharmacist role, which is interesting to me

Illustrations and organization.

Very nice slide layout

very interesting

Your topic is something that I can apply tomorrow working as an intern. Great job.

Interesting topic and great pace

TOPIC - really fascinating to me

She was fun to listen to, not monotone or disconnected.

she interacted with audiences very well.

Loved the upbeat nature of the seminar. It didn't ever get to slow and boring.

I liked that it was comfortable and conversational. As well as a topic that we can all relate to and think

about as we go on in our careers and dispense these medications to a large amount of the population.

I liked how her slides were designed to include graphs and pictures to aid with pictures

Very interesting and relevant topic

## Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

No comments.

You ran a little long, and left your next presenter with very little time to complete their seminar. Stick to your allotted time frame for future presentations, and be conscious of your peers.

More studies, but that should come with time

Be more specific right up front what the objectives and controversy are. Could have plainly stated that dipping=good because it is indicated for less cardiovascular events; therefor taking blood pressure meds at night can be beneficial. I kind of had to figure that out myself during the conclusion.

Very little.

Talk slower.

less use of us and so

busy slides

no improvements

nothing

Talk more about the differences or similarities between groups in the studies with regard to the number of antihypertensives they were taking

Timing.

No necklace with the microphone

more enthusiasm

Everything was great!

Handout would have been easier to read if some sections were bulleted and needed more info on non-dipping in the background.

More time on data - less on methodology

I was a little unclear on the connection to diabetes because that wasn't in the title on the handout. It became clear later on though.

her pace was great .. but maybe she could slow down a little??

Sometimes it was a little difficult to follow what you were saying. I'm sure it was the adrenaline, but just take a deep breath and slow down before you start each new topic.

I think just building up a confident way to deal with questions you may not have answers to.

Highlight the objectives of the study quickly during the presentation since those without a handout would be unable to read those

More clear graphs or mor thorough explanation of the graphs

General Comments
Great job, Kelsey!
Good Job!
Good professional and knowledgeable presentation
Overall, great job.
Nicely done.
good job.
you are awesome and did a great job
no comment
great job
great job!
No additional comments
Na
learned a lot
None
Good job!

Great job!

Great job!

overall it was a great seminar. she showed interest in the topic and I could understand her points without much efforts.  $\/\/$ 

Great job. Thank you for choosing a topic that I can relate to.

Overall good presentation and very clean slide set