

Presenter: Olsen, Cody

Seminar Date: 2013-11-13

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.46	6.8	6.72	6.75	6.58	6.6	6.8	6	6.13	6.4	6.4	6.38	6	6	0	0	0	E (46.24)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	10	7	0	4	0	0	0	6.1
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	11	6	3	1	0	0	0	6.29
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	14	6	1	0	0	0	0	6.62
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	19	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.86

Presentation Style Comments

It was a bit slow at times

Pace was a little slow at times, but you were easy to follow.

Read off of slides quite often /

Got a little bogged down when going through the slides with all the comparison of the studies

Please watch the time. This presentation went way too long.

Avoid looking back at your slides. Great job with everything else though.

Good pace. Try to rely less on your slides

There was some trouble with slide advancement. Also, In the beginning there were a lot of "ahhs."

had some gaps within his study and looked backed at his notes especially during the review of data

Cody kept looking back at the lecture slides showing some reliance on notes but overall presentation was great.

Excellent poise and pace. You seemed to be well prepared.

Relyed on notes/slides too much. Walked around a little too much while giving the presentation. But great job!

Smooth and good poise.

a

Overall great job! Pace felt a little slow, and you were turning behind you a lot to look at your slides. Try to position yourself between the podium and the slides so you aren't constantly looking back.

Pace was a little slow

Pace was a little slow, perhaps trying to cover too much material.

Good moderate pace

Good pace, good eye contact with audience.

Be mindful of the time. You went about 10 minutes over. I would also suggest that one should position themselves between the screen and the computer so a quick glance to the notes isn't extremely exaggerated.

Looked at notes a bit

Instructional Materials										
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	14	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.57
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.81

Instructional Materials Comments

na

Both your slides and handout were clear and easy to read

Lots of information on slides - difficult to present all material for 3 studies on 1 slide

none

Slides were good. I enjoyed the color-coding.

Your slides were quite busy at times. I loved how you presented all 3 studies at once though, but got a little hairy at times.

Handout looked great! The slides had a little too much info at times. Maybe try to break them up more.

I like the abridged format for citations better for on the slide.

some busy slides here and there and then also shorten your reference on the slide

Some of the slides got a bit wordy.

Some of the slides were a little wordy. But overall, very good. I loved the "healthcare model" with the barrier between hospital and homecare.

Great figures and diagrams. Add more detail to handout and less detail to slides

Very well organized handout and lecture material.

s

Presentation materials are very well done.

Slides looked great and were easy to read and follow

Charts were a good way to organize the information.

Good slides and handout. Some slides were a bit busy though...maybe break it up a bit.

The studies presented side by side were helpful and made comparisons easy.

Loved the color-coded slides comparing the three studies!

I like how you presented the trials but I could not follow along in the handout. One should probably mirror the other

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	17	2	2	0	0	0	0	6.71
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	16	3	2	0	0	0	0	6.67
4	Appropriate background information was provided	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	13	5	3	0	0	0	0	6.48

Overall Presentation Content Comments

It's more of a stylistic thing than anything, but I would use the word recidivism rather than readmission, it's at least the term they use in hospital research.

Great background info and explanation of why this topic is important

Objectives were not entirely measurable (ie. discuss)

none

Well put together.

Great overall presentation.

Liked that you talked about each topic for all of the studies together, but again just try to break it up on the slides

Objectives need to be measurable.

may have spent too much time on background info

I liked that Cody took on a topic that was different from most seminar presentations. I liked the controversy and I think more research needs to be conducted on the topic.

Fantastic topic. It was new and pertinent to the pharmacy field and where we are going. You presented it well.

Overall great job. It was a little rough on the flow of the seminar but nothing too distracting

Clear controversy and happy to see a complex topic presented that has clear meaning to the practice of pharmacy

d

Cody did a great job presenting the material. The content was appropriate however, including it all in the handout rather than presenting everything would have been more beneficial.

some fumbling with the clicker was a little distracting and made transitions a little choppy.

Flow was a little off at times, again perhaps trying to sift through and explain too much information.

Background was a bit long...could have condensed it a bit.

Good introduction explaining reasons for wanting to reduce hospital readmissions.

For some reason I didn't know what I was trying to look for, maybe a better initial explanation or a student who needs to know more than they do.

Great topic. I think you drew us all in. Your intro section was a little too long though

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	14	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.52
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	16	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.76
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	18	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	6.85
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	6.94
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	16	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.62

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Good job.

Good examination of the clinical data

Could have been a little more thoughtful in strengths and limitations but overall good job

none

I like the way the studies were compared on a point by point basis.

You presented just too many stats throughout. Keep them to the handout.

Good thoughtful analysis of the studies. Many of these seemed difficult to analyze and probably lacked a lot of information, but you did a great job extracting the important points /

I really like how Cody switched back and forth between the parallel and individual format.

some really busy slides with all three studies info on the screen, and some really tough data to interpret

It would have helped if the study results were presented in a more simplified manner. All the information for the studies were presented side by side and it was a lot of information and kind of hard to follow.

I liked that you presented a summary of the trials before you launched into them. This helped orient me before we discussed them. I liked that you analyzed the trial design individually, but directly compared the results and other pertinent trial details. That being said, some of the trial comparison data was word heavy and could have been summarized on the slides to allow the audience to digest differences rather than trying to read all that material.

You really knew your stuff but there was too much detail in the slides to read. Keep the major points on

the slides and the detail in the handout. It will be smoother and easier for people to understand

Well done extrapolating the data into charts. The color coding was nice and could have aided in giving the very wordy sections their own slides for better analysis without being lost in a sea of words and tables.

f

Great job critically evaluating the literature.

spent too much time on study set up and not enough time on the significance.

Did a good job of presenting strengths and weaknesses of trials.

Good presentation of clinical data and analysis of studies

I was a little unclear about what specific changes pharmacists should make to reduce hospital readmissions.

I felt like the trials with the you showed, you gave a thorough and thoughtful discussion.

Like the side-by-side and color coding

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	13	6	2	0	0	0	0	6.52
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	15	4	2	0	0	0	0	6.62
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	13	5	2	1	0	0	0	6.43
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	18	1	2	0	0	0	0	6.76

Conclusions Comments

This is a very important area that pharmacists to make a big impact. I would have liked to see some speculation on the cost/benefit of incorporating the pharmacists to perform these tasks. eg discharge teaching

Your conclusions were supported by the data presented

Not very strong conclusions. Recommendations were not very specific

great seminar

Interesting studies, interesting conclusion.

The conclusion dragged on. Your points were concise or clear of your own personal conclusion.

I would have liked a stronger conclusion and more discussion on what you would like to see done in these studies or what the process you envision for the discharge process.

The conclusion did not feel very concrete.

good job at interpreting the data and he could have had a little stronger of a conclusion

While not all of the studies showed significant results that pharmacists lowered re-hospitalization rates, Cody was able to identify positive aspects of the study where pharmacists did make a difference.

I thought you made good suggestions for the trials we had on hand. I especially liked the suggestions you made to pharmacists (how to stay current in the field, etc.)

Great job with how pharmacists can play a role

Excellent conclusions and thought provoking.

g

Conclusions were vague, but based on the studies presented they were appropriate. Unfortunately, the data did not point us in the direction we want to see pharmacists taken.

needed more specific recommendations for pharmacist role, ideas and thinking outside the box.

Did not provide a clear conclusion, pharmacist's roles were things they already do. Perhaps provide some new ideas or innovations.

Good discussion on pharmacist role

The comment about hospital/community pharmacists working together was good.

I wasn't quite sure what to gain from the conclusion other than pharmacists play an instrumental role in healthcare.

I love that you invited us to join an organization. It gave us a goal immediately and not "someday when you are a pharmacist..."

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	11	8	2	0	0	0	0	6.43
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	17	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.76

Question Answer Session Comments

I thought you fielded the discussion well.

Great job answering questions!

Stumbled a little on some questions but a very good attitude overall /

none

Did a good job.

More audience participation. I know you were rushed on time, but more questions posed to the audience might've been good.

Had a lot of questions where you had to speculate or give your opinion and you did a great job of answering those on your feet and using the evidence to back up your responses

Cody did a great job answering questions.

He encouraged the class to answer questions; although while trying to answer he would start and then state i dont know

A few questions caught Cody off-guard but overall, he did a good job fielding questions.

You did a great job answering questions. Could probably pause for a bit before answering, but you did great.

Answered questions well throughout

Thought well on your feet to answer questions as they arose.

e

Cody handled questions well. He was able to think on his feet and try to determine a more appropriate study design.

fumbled a little when answering questions. Friendly atmosphere and questions were welcome

Did a good job of answering audience questions.

Answered questions well

Seminarian has definitely contemplated this situation and the need for improvement in readmission rates.

Some of the questions asked weren't answered sufficiently for the questioners.

I like that you acknowledged good questions and said that you did not know if you didn't

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	16	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.71
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	18	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.81
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	17	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.76

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

na

Cody demonstrated a strong overall knowledge base on the subject

Very knowledgeable /

Great seminar and an interesting topic

Was able to answer questions and knew what he didn't know.

Good job. Great research into an interesting and different topic.

Great job

Cody displayed a strong overall knowledge base.

he did a great job thinking on his feet and concluding based on his own thoughts

Cody did a great job researching the topic.

You seemed to have a real interest in this topic and it showed by the knowledge base you seemed to have.

Great job. YOU were bombarded with a lot of questions so good job at answering them

Background knowledge was clear and excellent job!

r

Well done, we could tell you were well prepared.

good knowledge beyond.

Conclusions were a little inconclusive.

Definitely had good overall knowledge

Good knowledge base.

I felt like you sure knew what you were talking about when it came to what was on the slides. You read a lot from the slides, but you seemed to know what you were talking about.

I think you did great /

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

It is an important area. The field is changing and the role that pharmacists will play may need to change as well. Good job giving us consideration points.

I really liked your tables. They were easily visible and very appealing

Awesome Topic! Soooo important.

The different type of topic/controversy it presented

I liked the color coding in the seminars.

I greatly enjoyed the 3 studies being presented together. And then I liked how you presented their results separately. /

Very interesting topic and even though the results weren't what we would like to see as pharmacist you did a great job of turning it into motivation for us to do more, to become innovators! /

I loved the parallel format.

created his own tables with all three studies at the same time

I liked that Cody chose a topic that was different and controversial. It seems to me that this is definitely an area that pharmacists can make a big difference.

Fresh seminar idea that is very pertinent to current dilemmas in pharmacy practice. Overall good analysis of studies and presentation.

Great examples of what pharmacists can do

Great topic and challenging to present on in this format. well done

good idea, never thought that much about it

I like how you compared all three trials side-by-side.

interesting topic

Good amount of information and interest in the subject.

You definitely knew the material and presented it well.

Interesting topic to address.

I loved the organization of the content and that Cody seemed to know what was going on.

I loved the topic

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Again, this is mostly stylistic, but I would change the title to seem a little less self serving. Something to the effect of "The roll of pharmacists in reducing recidivism" I think would be better. Again, style.

I would recommend using the powerpoint clicker with a laser, so that you can orient the audience to what you want them to focus on.

It would have been nice to hear more about the Maryland study

I felt the seminar got a little slow and confusing going through the slides with all the trials shown head to head

Make it shorter. Move along. Get to the point.

There may have been too many tables in a row. Break it a up a little and reconsider what information belongs in your slides.

Less reliance on slides, decrease density of slides, stronger conclusions, what do you envision for the discharge process.

Need measurable objectives and stronger recommendations.

shorten the reference on each slide

The pace was kind of slow but overall it was good.

Some of the slides had more information that I could really digest. That being said, this was a great presentation. Great job Cody!

TOo much information on each slide! Stick to the important facts

Wordy slides, but no big deal

it didn't seem like you had a hard conclusion

I feel like you presented too much info. Include everything in your handout and present the pertinent info then refer us to the handout when questions arise.

pace was a little too slow and too much discussion on unimportant data from the studies

make a strong conclusion and recommendations that are your own and original.

Some slides were very busy and I was distracted by more reading than listening.

Conclusions were a little unclear, in my experience pharmacists are involved in patient discharge counseling.

The slides seemed a bit wordy at times, causing you to go over time and needed to read the slides more (because who could memorize all that data). I would suggest making the slides more skeleton and using that great knowledge base you have to fill in the gaps.

Speed it up a bit

General Comments

Great job!

Good job.

N/A

Great job! Now you can relax!

Cody did a great job. Very relevant topic.

improve clicker issues also dont apologize

Great job!

Way to be.

Great job! Interesting seminar

yeppers!

a

.

Presentation was full of good information and an obvious interest in subject.

Good overall presentation.

Good Job.

A great presentation! Thanks Cody!

Great job