

Presenter: Ha, Paul

Seminar Date: 2014-04-01

Presenter Scores

| Student Survey Data Averages | | | | | | | Faculty Survey Data Averages | | | | | | | Final Scores | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------|------|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------|-----|---------------|--------------|-------|------|--------------|
| Pres. Style | Inst. Materials | Overall Pres. | Clinical Data | Conc. | Q&A | Overall Knowledge | Pres. Style | Inst. Materials | Overall Pres. | Clinical Data | Conc. | Q&A | Overall Know. | Prep. | Prof. | Att. | Total |
| 6.75 | 6.94 | 6.96 | 6.98 | 6.97 | 6.88 | 6.94 | 4.25 | 6 | 6.1 | 5.82 | 5.88 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E (45.54) |

| Presentation Style | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|------|
| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | Mean |
| 1 | Moderate Pace | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.88 |
| 2 | Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes | 11 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6.41 |
| 3 | Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms | 14 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.71 |
| 4 | Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |

Presentation Style Comments

A bit dry, but definitely informative

Seemed a little nervous and not too confident at first but it got much better as the presentation went on

You were a little stiff. Try to loosen up and act more like you are talking to your friends rather than just giving a presentation.

You were professional, but seemed a little uncomfortable.

.

Great pace, no distracting mannerisms. read from the slides often though

Good presentation style

Relied on slides a bit too much, try making them a bit less readable and more just queues to encourage yourself to know the material better

Paul you did a great job of looking at the audience during your presentation. You especially did a good job of looking at the audience during the question and answer portion.

Very smooth transitions

I know the presentation is nerve racking but try to depend less on the computer screen.

move outside of the podium, relax shoulders, look at audience more frequently

Read off slides a lot. Seemed a little unfamiliar with material.

good eye contact and moderate pace

Don't be afraid to look up at the audience. Your eyes were focused on the computer screen a lot of the time.

One area for improvement for Paul would include more eye contact, less reliance on the slides.

Paul seemed a little nervous

Instructional Materials

| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | NA | Mean |
|---|--|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|----|------|
| 1 | Slides and handout were clear/easy to read | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 2 | Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.88 |
| 3 | Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable) | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.94 |
| 4 | Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.94 |

Instructional Materials Comments

A few places in your handout had words joined together. This would easily have been avoided with a spell check.

Handout was well put together

Instructional materials looked great.

Handout looked great.

.

Great slides and handout

Handout and slides were clear and easy to read

Minor grammatical errors like Missed space, references were not formatted correctly

The handout was well thought out. You designed it in a way that was easy to read and interpret.

Handouts was very organized

EXCELLENT background and handout- I just really enjoyed your topic. So interesting!

acceptable to me

Clear slides. Liked the format.

his graphs were effective and slides were clear

Very clear layout and design

Paul's handout and slides were excellent. I felt like all of the pertinent information was available and easy to find. He did a good job orienting us to the results outcomes on the slides.

Slides were easy to read

Overall Presentation Content

| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | Mean |
|---|--|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|------|
| 1 | Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.88 |
| 2 | Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 3 | Objectives clear and useful for self assessment | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.94 |
| 4 | Appropriate background information was provided | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 5 | Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow') | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Good topic

The introductory story about your friends with PTSD really put a personal touch on the controversy

This is really fascinating subject. I would have liked to learn a little more on the background of why it was interesting to you and how you found it.

You did a great job of explaining your interest and gaining the audience's.

.

Great introduction

Good objectives and explanation of controversy

Good background on drug and disease

Your slides were very simple, free from distractors.

Objectives were very clear and helpful

Thank you for sharing your interest in this topic- it becomes very personal when you know someone who returns from war with PTSD. I know from personal experience that it is heartbreaking. So few things really help that any option should be considered for these men and women at this point. /

overall presentation was acceptable. because the presentation ran short you could have included more background on studies like how they prepared the dose, where they obtained the product, how they ensured purity, and some of the logistical issues with studying a C1 that are not explicit.

Interesting topic, but you didn't seem passionate about it. Get excited man! j/k it's seminar

Well organized.. transitions were smooth

Great background since we haven't had much exposure with this. Good transitions and flow of the presentation

The interest in topic was clearly stated, as well as the controversy. Thorough background.

Interesting topic

Presentation of Clinical Data

| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | NA | Mean |
|---|--|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|----|------|
| 1 | Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 2 | Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 3 | Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 4 | Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable) | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 5 | Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable) | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 6 | Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.88 |

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

I think you found most of the point of analysis

Good job with the data, you were able to refer to it as appropriate when questions were asked

You presented the data well but when it came to conclusions I felt that you were just repeating what the author's said. I would have liked more of your own interpretation.

You analyzed your studies well.

.

Great job at looking at the data

Good explanation of study strengths and limitations

Give dosing specifics for the active placebo trial

You really seemed to know your stuff. At no point in your presentation did I ever feel that you were unsure of the information in your seminar.

Clinical data was interpreted very well and good job breaking them down

Good job

Great job - especially focused me on clinically significant versus statistically significant. I like how you did that for both studies and it really resonated nicely.

Good analysis of clinical data and good differentiation between statistically vs clinically significant

sample size and power well explained and outcome measures were well described

Difficult studies but did a great job pulling out the information

Paul clearly described the studies' methods and did a good job explaining the primary outcome scale score.

Strongest point of the presentation

Conclusions

| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | Mean |
|---|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|------|
| 1 | Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.94 |
| 2 | Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 3 | Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 4 | Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.94 |

Conclusions Comments

Perhaps the studies were too small to draw the conclusions you came to

The conclusion about Pharmacists not recommending the drug for patients to use on their own should probably have also included a discussion that the treatment was given in conjunction with psycho therapy and the results can only be applied in that condition

I liked that you had knowledge of current clinical trials.

Conclsions were supported by the studies.

.

great conclusions

Good conclusions

Good job not going overboard with conclusions based on pilot studies

Your conclusion was solid! It was captivating, what in interesting topic! You seemed really sure about your conclusion.

Good conclusions with the availability with the medicine

Fair conclusions based on your data- I definitely think that more data is needed but what we have it a good start. Good job!

Good enough - hard to make really valid conclusions on disagreeing studies in very small sample sizes.

Would have liked more background on this topic. Excellent evaluation of pharmacist role

conclusions were well supported by data and pharmacist role was interesting

Though not super applicable to all of us, it was great to discuss the clinical importance

I thought Paul's conclusions were thoughtful given that his topic was about a Class I controlled substance. It is definitely difficult for a pharmacist to advocate for something that is considered illegal

and has a stigma. It was impressive that he thought to look into ongoing studies and suggest that we recommend them.

Wasn't a big role for pharmacists

Question Answer Session

| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | Mean |
|---|--|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|------|
| 1 | Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.82 |
| 2 | Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.94 |

Question Answer Session Comments

Good involvement

I appreciated that you asked for audience questions throughout

Good job on answering questions.

You had some good questions and handled them well.

.

Not many questions but did great at what questions you had

Good job answering questions

Excellent job answering all questions, you had some hard ones and answered them very well

You got some tough questions at the end, none of them seemed to throw you. You didn't make up answers when you weren't sure you admitted it.

Handled each question very well

Good job- you had a lot of questions.

You definitely encouraged questions and got a lot more towards the end. your answers seemed appropriate but feel confident in expounding or theorizing on possible answer.

Encouraged questions but didn't answer very strongly. Needed to be a little more familiar with studies.

Questions well answered

Great job in answering questions. Stayed calm and composed

Questions were encouraged throughout, Paul was able to theorize answers to questions he did not know with certainty.

Did a good job answering questions

| Overall Knowledge Base | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|------|
| # | Question | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | Mean |
| 1 | Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 2 | Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 3 | Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.82 |
| 4 | Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.94 |
| 5 | Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.94 |

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Awesome using facts outside the seminar

Good job thinking on your feet when asked questions

I could tell that you knew a lot on the subject and that's why I would have liked for you to go more into your opinion.

You seemed to know the topic well.

.

Good overall knowledge base, we could tell you knew your stuff

Good knowledge of the topic

Clear from QA and background d that your did extensive research

I could tell that you had done extensive research on this topic. You were able to bring outside information into your presentation.

It seemed like you had a very good knowledge of the material

Answering questions on the spot is hard- try to gather yourself and think for a minute if you need to before answering .

See earlier comments and always always always add context as it frames your data and makes the presentation more interesting.

Not much knowledge beyond seminar facts presented. Good thinking on your feet.

He demonstrated knowledge of the subject and able to distinguish the difference between clinical and

statistical significance.

Overall knowledge base was very proud about this subject. I could really tell you were interested in the topic because of the personal connection with friends and family

Paul was able to demonstrate knowledge that went further than just the information portrayed on the slides.

Good job synthesizing information

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

The topic was the strongest point

The personal touch with the story about your friend

Awesome topic!

It was an interesting topic.

Interesting topic

Great topic selection

Interesting topic and good seminar

Interesting topic which I wanted to see a seminar done on

I really liked the simplicity of the slides. The presentation was free of distracting mannerisms.

Very interesting

Definitely just the topic- great choice (something I didn't know existed and would have never thought of presenting on).

Contrast of clinical significance versus statistical significance

Interesting controversial topic. Way to think outside the box

very well dressed. was it hugo boss?

Great job. I liked the different approach to a topic about a drug what is not technically even legal. Really opens up a whole new view on things

Thought-provoking controversy, made for an interesting topic that was a little outside of the norm.

Very interesting topic

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Get a more relaxed style

Maybe get out from behind the lecturn to engage the audience a bit more

Provide more of your own interpretations.

Nothing that I haven't touched on already.

Don't just read your slides to us. We could do that without you.

Seemed to have stumbled over some of the questions about addiction, what you would recommend about recreational use, etc..

nothing

No further comments

Try to get out from behind the desk.

More movement and confidence

Just being confident and relaxed- we all struggle at first. It gets better with more practice- you did a great job! :)

Confidence, adding context and detail, presentation style

Be more familiar and comfortable with your info/studies so you don't need to read off slides.

we need more pictures!

Don't be afraid to be more confident! You have done tons of research and put in lots of time. You know more about the topic than any one else in the room. Let us know that!

More practice rounds beforehand to get a better 'script' together without needing to read the slides.

Presentation was a little stiff from nerves

General Comments

Once again, good topic

Good job over all!

Good job just loosen up and don't be so stiff when presenting.

Great job.

Do be so nervous. Practice some more

great job overall

No further comments

No additional comments

Excellent job!

.

Interesting seminar - nice job

Nice job! It's over!

overall very well presented.

You did a great job on seminar, despite the fact that it was at the end of a particularly difficult day. You managed to keep your cool for the most part. Good job!

Overall a good job