

Presenter: Hardcastle, Angela

Seminar Date: 2013-11-06

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.92	6.9	6.9	6.93	6.96	6.74	6.86	6.25	6.25	6.5	6.25	5	6.25	5.8	0	0	0	E (46.01)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Presentation Style Comments

Great Pace!

Great pacing /

though you engaged the audience and presented at a good pace

Angela was very professional, but the pacing was a little slow and I feel I could have been engaged more.

Nothing negative here. Great pace, style, etc.

I really enjoy Angela's pace and presentation style.

She looked at the audience throughout the presentation and was engaging.

You seemed very comfortable and presented your information in a manner that was easy to follow.

The pace of the presentation was perfect.

Some shakiness in your voice at the beginning, but overall did a great job of looking at the audience and keeping a steady pace. You were obviously well prepared.

Good pace and good eye contact.

Smooth pace, and well done

a

Good pace, kept my attention

Great job Angela! Your pacing was great and you are a very poised presenter.

Excellent pace and very confident in presentation. Very enjoyable to listen to.

Comfortable pace.

Good pace and eye contact with audience

Great pace and confidence.

You had the best pace out of any presentation this semester.

Good flow, did rely on reading the slide a bit.

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Instructional Materials Comments

Slides were clear and easily visible.

Well done

The dark slides looked great and had just the right amount of information on them

Excellent handout. I found the white text on black background more difficult to read.

Great job. My only comment is that some of your slides were too simple. Some only have 1-2 two word sentences, that meant nothing unless you explained. Good and bad, but maybe a little too simple.

Very clean and easy to read slides.

SSRI's vs SSRIs: one is possessive the other is plural

I liked the side by side comparison of all the studies in your handout.

I liked that you used one table that compared all of the studies.

I liked the black and white slide design, and that the slides were clean and only emphasized a few key points.

Do you need references on each slide? Some slides had them, some did not.

Informative handout and very nice slides

s

slides were very simple, not wordy

I really liked that you displayed your evidence tables side by side in the handout. It helped me compare the information.

slides were a little distracting with the black background.

Good slides and handouts.

Good easy to read handouts and slides

The variation in color was interesting.(white on black)

Liked how the information was organized on the slides (tables/charts). You could have titled the reference page but we loved how you emphasized primary literature.

Once the lights were off the slides were easy to read. Handout had good tables, but it did not 100% follow the presentation

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	19	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.86
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Appropriate background information was provided	20	0	0	0	1	0	0	6.81
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Angela was very well organized

Great flow

Liked that the scales were presented in the handout

Great job. I could tell she was interested in her topic.

Excellent job. The flow was "too smooth" and could have benefited from some conclusions, summaries after each study.

Clearly stated interest in the topic stemmed from VA rotation.

She accomplished all the above background was very well done and understood.

You had just enough background information without going into unnecessary details. Good job!

None

Great overall transitions and set-up. I loved the handout's study design set-up (analyzing all 4 studies next to each other).

Great job! //

Clear objective and well presented controversy

d

Had very specific objectives which were great

Overall, the content was appropriate. I would have liked to see a quick conclusion/summary on each trial before moving on to the next. I got a little lost throughout the presentation.

charts were easy to read and the handout was a great addition to the presentation.

Presentation content was sufficient to give good background and not be too lengthy.

Good flow throughout presentation

Good background on why SSRI's are considered.

I found this topic to be extremely interesting. You did a great job in seeking out this topic and making it applicable for us.

I like that you didn't spend 10 minutes reviewing patho/pharmacology on stuff we know

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.95
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	17	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.85
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Very thoughtful analysis of each study

Great presentation - provided the right information in an efficient manner

good analysis

I would have liked to have seen a brief summary of each study before moving on to other studies.

I would have liked to have more discussion about dropouts, especially since they were so high in some studies. Possibly a slide about dropouts and the actual numbers.

Need to describe what makes a "good study design"

Her studies were well done and easily interpreted by the group.

I thought all of the studies chosen were relevant to the chosen topic.

I thought that starting off by saying that all the trials were randomized, placebo controlled and met power helped to make the presentation flow better.

Enjoyed the in-depth analysis of the study design and other "individual" aspect of one study, then transitioning to comparing all the studies on the pertinent details. This technique allowed for both verifying the validity of a study and provides an easy comparison of results.

The studies seemed to blend together a little. Maybe have a quick conclusion after each study.

Did well to present a difficult topic with subjective outcomes. Nicely done

f

very thoughtful analysis able to think objectively

I liked the quick wrap-up/summary of what we learned from each study after all of the trials were presented.

very knowledgeable about studies

gave good overview of important parts of trials.

Good analysis of data and presentation of it

Summary slide with each study's contribution to controversy was effective.

Your presentation of clinical data was fantastic, well done!

I liked that you got the stats out of the way early and did it in a very concise manner

Conclusions									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

Great description of the "role of the Pharmacist"

Thinking for yourself - very strong

none

Fantastic job.

I liked how the "pharmacists role" was a continuous theme and not just listed at the end.

I liked how the role of the pharmacist was mixed throughout the seminar but a little too tangential.

Her conclusions were supported by the studies, although maybe a stronger conclusion of why she did not like them would have been better.

I liked how you emphasized that pharmacists should not all educate about treatments but they should educate about signs and symptoms of depression.

None

Made good conclusions that were relatively impartial and used the evidence at hand considering the validity of the studies. Good suggestions for the pharmacists.

Good job with the role of the pharmacist

Excellent risk benefit decisions on the conclusion

g

Conclusions were supported by the data

Overall, job well done!

great slide on the pharmacists role.

Conclusions were based off of evidence.

Good conclusion and tying it back to pharmacist role

Pharmacist's role was clearly stated.

Great outside research into the related studies. I liked the "Contribution to the Controversy " slide to recap everything that was discussed.

Great overview of what we learned in the studies.

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	14	6	1	0	0	0	0	6.62
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86

Question Answer Session Comments

Answered questions confidently

Confident /

great seminar

Good job.

Great job at answering questions. Consider a case or something to involve the audience.

Angela knew her topic well and did a great job answering questions.

Great job answering all the questions, even the tougher ones. Once again just remain strong in your opinion

I liked how you stopped and allowed for questions throughout the presentation.

None

Could have asked more questions to the audience, but I liked the rhetorical questions used at the end of presenting one study pointing toward what the next study would be analyzing. This helps maintain presentation flow and helps direct the audiences thinking process toward the next study.

Great job asking question throughout.

Thought well on your feet to answer questions

h

Effective, honest answering of questions

Angela remained poised during the Q&A however, instead of stating "I don't know" to an answer. Maybe try, "I'm not sure, I didn't look into that, however, I can get back to you at a later time."

prepared for any question that came.

Could have said you would get back about some of the questions you did not know at that moment.

Could have put more thought into answering questions or saying "I'll get back to you on that" ...something like that instead of just "I don't know"

No specific comments.

Some the questions were a bit difficult so I didn't grade too harsh, you did a great job!

Didn't encourage lots of questions, but you did handle yourself well with the questions asked. I like that you simply said "I don't know" without a fake offer to get back to them.

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	19	1	0	0	0	0	1	6.67
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	16	5	0	0	0	0	0	6.76

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Angela demonstrated a very strong knowledge base on the subject

Clearly very smart

none

She was able to handle questions well and the overall synthesis of the project went well.

When it came to questions you didn't know the answer to, try theorizing an answer, or offering to follow-up on it.

Great job dealing with questions that she could not answer. Showed a lot of poise.

She knew her background information.

It seemed like a couple of questions caught Angela off guard but overall, she was very well-prepared.

None

I thought you did a great job answering questions, showed obvious knowledge beyond what was presented in the presentation. Also, you did a great job thinking on your feet to defend your non-applicable populations from another country statement (different healthcare systems, not necessarily an ethnicity thing).

Great job answering questions and thinking on your feet!

Very well informed

j

Effective overall knowledge base

Angela's conclusions were strong and appropriate for the material presented.

well prepared

Good knowledge base.

Good overall knowledge of subject

Showed good knowledge of stroke and depression.

Great knowledge base. It really showed in your presentation.

I thought you took your own conclusions from the data.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Great pace and easy to follow throughout

Great flow, presentation of data.

Liked the slides and thought the seminar was easy to understand

I liked the topic and found it interesting. I could tell she was interested in it as well.

I enjoyed your presentation, especially your effort on the handout. I liked the side-by-side comparison of each study in the handout. That is extremely helpful in summarizing your points.

Excellent pace, professionalism, and format of seminar.

I really enjoyed her slide design and information given in both the handout and slides.

You were able to present detailed information in the handout but keep it simple for your presentation and only presented relevant information.

You planned your seminar very well. I feel that it made your presentation flow better and made it easier to follow.

Overall, I could tell that you had a good knowledge base and had put a lot of preparation into this seminar. I love the newer format of comparing key aspects of multiple studies in a single table.

Great job! Interesting topic

Very calm pace and well presented at a level everyone could follow and understand

The charts in the hand out made them easy to use for a reference in comparing studies

Implications for pharmacy practice were emphasized along the way

Angela is a very composed presenter with a great pace.

student was well organized, easy to listen to and very knowledgeable.

Pace was great and information was not too much and not too little to provide good overview of seminar topic.

Good overall knowledge, data analysis and presentation. Good pace and eye contact throughout

Good pace, clear transitions.

The pace and the way Angela presented was engaging and captivating. It was the first seminar that I really wanted to hear more. Well done!

I loved that you brought the pharmacists role into the presentation earlier than just a final bullet point slide.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Nothing

Can't think of any!

Was a little confused on the idea that fluoxetine caused most adverse drug reactions but the trials were using that drug the most

I think the audience could have been engaged more.

Your presentation lacked audience participation, and I would've like more questions, cases, etc.

Need to talk about what makes the study a good design.

once again SSRI's vs SSRIs.

Before moving on to the next study, I would add a conclusion slide specific for each study. You had a summary conclusion at the end but I would have liked to hear your conclusion about each study before moving on to the next.

I feel that more detailed background information about the proposed benefits of SSRI therapy would have added strength to your seminar.

Might have spent a little too much time on the fluoxetine-clopidogrel interaction in the beginning, but great job including this as it is clinically related to the topic and VERY interesting. Great job overall!

Add a conclusion to each study before moving onto the next. That way you can separate each study and make it easier to distinguish between them.

Nothing

The objectives didn't seem to stand out in your presentation

A little more excitement as presenting

N/A

Honestly, I think Angela did an excellent job and I cant find much she can improve on.

Prepare a little bit more for questions that may be a little outside of your exact studies and background.

Better question/answer session

Perhaps could have included more information of the risks of using SSRIs prophylactically.

Some of the questions were difficult and I felt weren't completely answered. This is the hardest part of seminar though, and I feel overall she did well.

I think slides that do not require it to be dark would be better for utility.

General Comments

Great Job!

Overall, very well done.

N/A

Angela is a talented presenter and I enjoy her calm and well paced style.

great job Angela.

Great Job!

Amazing as always Angela!

Great job. Seemed calm and relaxed

yeppers

over all good seminar

Great job, enjoyable topic with a good conclusion for pharmacy practice

.

Overall a very well done seminar.

N/A

Great Seminar!

Great seminar! Thanks for sharing with us!

Great job!