

Presenter: Hopkinson, Caleb

Seminar Date: 2014-03-05

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.88	6.88	6.81	6.92	6.98	6.95	6.99	6.63	6.88	6.5	6.7	7	6.75	6.9	0	0	0	E (47.67)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	16	3	2	0	0	0	0	6.67
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Be sure to watch your body language. Crossing your arms makes the audience feel disconnected from you.

Great eye contact and minimal reliance on notes

Great presentation, limit amount of arm crossing

- Great pace / - Fantastic job on not using notes! / - Kept hand in pocket for a good majority of the presentation

Great pace. Smooth constant and steady.

Great pace and eye contact. Very little reliance on slides

Caleb had great pace and eye contact. He did not rely on his notes. Be aware of closed posture such as crossed arms and hands in pockets.

He presented the information at an easy level to understand

I liked that you chose to present two studies. Using two studies allowed you to present at a great pace. Other presentations with three or more studies feel rushed.

Seemed a little nervous at time due to mild body language such as arm folding, but overall appeared well practiced and in control. Had great eye contact and minimal reliance on the slides and notes throughout the presentation. Great job!

Great pace and very little reliance on notes. There were some distracting arm movements but other than that it was great.

Excellent pace and very well spoken. More dynamic speech might have helped a bit.

At times you would put your hand in your pocket or fold your arms. I think that pocket things is perfectly fine but maybe not fold your arms to the audience

At the beginning you were speaking pretty soft, but this improved as you carried on through the presentation. You had a good pace and a calm presentation style.

Caleb had a strong foundational knowledge and was appropriately prepared to present. At times the presentation pace was a little fast, but very seamless in transitions.

good pace and little to no reliance on notes.

Perhaps don't fold your arms as much when presenting.

Great presentation pace. Did not rely on notes and presented well

Caleb's presentation had a very good pace and he seemed very familiar with his presentation so that he did not have to refer to notes very often.

Suggestion: Hands in pocket and swaying was distracting BUT the pace was insanely perfect and you did an incredible job presenting.

Good eye contact with audience. Maybe less arm crossing

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	17	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.85
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.86

Instructional Materials Comments

Try to avoid copy and pasting tables straight into your slides. It makes it difficult to focus on the pertinent information.

Don't copy and paste large tables from studies

Liked that the slides had easy to read bullet points

#NAME?

Very clear handouts. Slides weren't cluttered or too wordy.

Slides looked great

Slides were clear with minimal bullets. Caleb did a great job filling in all the extra points.

need to continue the citation through for the studies not just on the first slide

I liked your slides. They were not busy because you let your words or the handout provide the stat needed to assess the studies.

I liked the color coded disease state-dosing chart, which looked like you might have made yourself. Perhaps a little more orientation to the charts, especially the busy ones copied over from the studies would have been nice (such as red highlighting on the most important aspects of the chart). overall, very good though.

Great easy to read slides! The right amount of information on each.

Very clear slides and handout devoid of errors.

Slides were great, they didn't appear to have too much info on them which made them easy to read

Partly personal preference, but I would try and avoid cutting and pasting a busy chart from a study right into your PowerPoint presentation. You can either use an arrow or box to highlight the important stuff or build your own chart that just has the data you want in it. Also, I noticed that your references did not have correct capitalization of article titles and journal names. I have found this a common problem with EndNote, if that is what you utilized to build your references.

Caleb's presentation materials were polished and well prepared. He did a good job citing primary literature and making recommendations based on material available.

handouts had great flow and looked very professional.

Slides and handouts were easy to follow and slides were not too wordy.

Handout was clean and organized. Slides looked professional and not overly wordy...had key points to discuss instead of overloading the slides- great!

Caleb's slides were great. A few of his slides had involved charts that he may have been able to simplify.

Best powerpoint presentation that I have ever seen, no exaggeration. Well done!

I would avoid copy and pasting charts and graphs from the literature, they are hard for us to see and often don't emphasize the point

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	16	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.71
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	15	5	1	0	0	0	0	6.67
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	15	6	0	0	0	0	0	6.71
4	Appropriate background information was provided	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Your flow was very smooth, and the transitions between slides were great.

It was difficult for me to assess the actual controversy - is there background data showing that people with moderate renal function are suffering adverse effects from normal Lovenox dosing?

Thought you gave great background on the importance on monitoring enoxaparin in each individual patient

#NAME?

Great flow. Objectives in line with conclusions and application to pharmacists.

Would have liked more specific objectives: name 4 blank, describe 3 blank, etc.

Objectives need to be easily assessed. Such as name three such and such or define blah blah blah.

He provided sufficient background and well rounded objectives

I would have liked to see you refer back to the objectives throughout the presentation.

I could have used more about what the exact controversy was and its importance in practice. I think bringing in guidelines or using your preceptor to describe what is currently being done in practice would be important. Great background overall though.

Maybe make the controversy a little more clear. Otherwise it was a great flow, the presentation was great.

excellent content, would have liked to see more as to why this was a controversy. Was there a problem with dosing before or events to precipitate concern?

Very smooth transitions, I think you could have gone into why you choose the topic a little more but over all good

Very good flow, could not sense any nervousness from you

Caleb's presentation was seamless, with smooth transitions. The objectives were clear and appropriate for the material. I would have like to see a quick review of the data supporting severe renal impairment recommendations in the handout to refer back to, and not just the slides since some of the material was covered fast.

objectives were clear and very organized. transitions were extremely smooth.

A little more on why this is an issue may be helpful to show why this may be a problem or something we need to look at changing.

Well organized presentation, smooth flow. Clear objectives and good background.

Caleb clearly detailed his interest in this topic and his objectives. The flow between the introduction, review of enoxaparin, and studies presented was smooth and easy to follow.

Great objectives and great controversy. Very well prepared.

Good logical flow. A little more enthusiasm with your topic might be nice

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	17	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.85
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	16	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.8
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Great job pointing out the strengths and weaknesses of each study. It was apparent you had done your homework on the topic!

Very thoughtful and detailed analysis going beyond the obvious - impressive

Did not mention dropouts or withdrawals

- Great job analyzing the studies; very thorough

No discussion really on statistics. Couldn't heard more about those used.

Good concise analysis

Strengths and limitations of studies were covered very well. I like that he talked about the need for observational studies due to the low likelihood that controlled trials would be done.

His RCT might have had some issues with power and he broadly went over that also there were probably some drop out that should have been mentioned

It was clear that you knew the studies well. I feel that your analysis of each study did not rely on the author's conclusions, they were your own opinions.

I felt you presented the data well and I liked that you caught that one of your studies had insufficient power. I also liked that you caught that another study said it used ITT analysis but discarded results coming from those that were initiated into the studies but didn't follow protocol.

Did not hear about dropouts in the second study. You mentioned it was an ITT study and you mentioned power but did not mention withdrawals.

Very well conveyed. I particularly liked that you discussed the difference of "major bleed" for each study

Great job at the clinical analysis, you could tell you did extra research on certain things in the studies

Highlighted important information from the trials, and it was clear that you had put a lot of thought into your seminar. I was about to ask multiple questions, but you would answer them within the next sentence or two of your prepared remarks

Overall the presentation of the data/studies was well supported and the conclusions appropriate.

analysis of studies was well thought out and presented very well.

Analysis of the studies was helpful, especially from your nursing background. Helped me see it in a different way.

Great analysis of data and study methods. Appropriate presentation of studies in a clear and concise manner.

Strengths and limitations of each study clearly presented.

I would recommend introducing the study titles and not by "1st study" and "second study". It's the only suggestion I could come up with because you did such a great job.

Analysis of data was very good. Is there other literature out there? What was your searching strategy?

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

Caleb's conclusions were supported by the data presented.

Concise, concrete conclusions based on evidence

Good conclusion and discussion of what pharmacists should be doing

#NAME?

Great conclusions based on the evidence. I liked how you spoke directly about what you'll do.

Conclusions were applicable to pharmacists

The conclusions were supported by the data but the data felt sparse.

i think his conclusions were sound based on the data but the studies were a little weak also would have liked the info from the meta-analysis easily available

I would have liked to see a more in depth look at the role of the pharmacist. I would have like to seen a more defined role that we as pharmacists can fill.

I thought your conclusions matched well with the data presented.

Great discussion of the role of the pharmacist!

Thoughtful conclusions

Good conclusions i like how you told us how you would set up a study to find out the real dosing strategy

Very interesting topic, clinical importance of this topic is very applicable. Your conclusions were supported by the data and you tried to apply a case to what you would do if you had a pt with moderate renal impairment.

I thought Caleb did a nice job of focusing on what the Pharmacist's Role is, and emphasizing the importance of understanding our patient's kidney function. Caleb also emphasized the need for pharmacists to stay up to date on current practices.

good discussion of the role of the pharmacist and your insight into what you would do regarding the controversy.

Again here a little more information on why this is an issue may give stronger conclusions.

Addressed the role of the pharmacist appropriately in relation to the seminar topic. Conclusions were well supported by the data presented and recommendations were appropriate in accordance with study findings.

Specific ideas given for pharmacists role in this situation.

Appropriate conclusions which were supported by the evidence.

Conclusions were supported by data and you didn't step over what you had

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9

Question Answer Session Comments

Answered questions quickly and succinctly. Good job thinking on your feet!

Great job pausing for questions throughout presentations

Thoroughly answered all questions

#NAME?

Not much involvement with audience besides asking if we have questions. Possibly a case or something to involve us.

Able to answer questions

Caleb did a good job answering questions. There could have been more audience interaction.

there should be a quicker question slide earlier on between the background and study 1

Questions were answered thoroughly. I would have liked to see more encouraged interaction with the audience

Did a great job thinking on your feet and answering questions.

Great use of your nursing background to answer questions.

did well to answer the questions of the audience.

Your background knowledge in nursing really showed up here and helped you answer questions

Very good job answering questions. Could tell you knew the studies and whole topic very well

Caleb answered questions well and was well prepared to anticipate questions. Caleb encouraged questions throughout the presentation as well.

your background experience helped as you answered questions and really thought about what would be the best thing for patients.

Answered questions well and gave good information when answering questions.

Good use of breaks in between slide sets to ask for questions from the audience. Able to answer questions from the audience and also be able to infer and hypothesize based on data and information

analyzed.

Caleb quickly and clearly answered questions posed to him.

Fielded questions like Cal Ripken Jr. I also liked how you welcomed questions throughout the presentation.

You did very well with the questions

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Caleb demonstrated a strong overall knowledge base on the topic, and his nursing background was apparent throughout the presentation.

Very knowledgeable

Knew the subject well

#NAME?

Great response to Q's and theorizing about what you'd do differently in studies.

Could tell you knew your stuff

Caleb was able to think on his feet when asked related questions to his presentation.

he was able to think on his feet and was very quick and knowledgeable as well.

You were able to pull information from other studies to answer questions that were asked, which really demonstrated your knowledge of the subject.

Caleb displayed knowledge beyond what was presented while answering questions and thought on his feet very well in answering how he would approximate renal function aside from GFR.

Great overall knowledge!

Excellent knowledge base. I enjoyed that you have the background of nursing to draw from to aid in answering the questions.

your knowledge base was superb, you could rattle off statistics and information not included on your slides

Good job talking about possible confounders within some of these trials.

Overall, good job!

great insight beyond the authors conclusions

Showed a good knowledge base of coagulation cascade and other background information.

Demonstrated good overall knowledge base and was able to come to own conclusions about study results and data presented. Also able to think well on your feet and answer audience questions.

Caleb showed good overall knowledge and experience with use of enoxaparin.

Very well prepared and it showed throughout the presentation. Well done!

Great having extra info in your head for the unexpected questions.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

The pace was perfect! Very easy to follow along with.

Did not use filler words at all. Very smooth, concise speaker.

How easy to follow the slides were

I really enjoyed his ability to talk without using notes and he had great eye contact with the audience.

Overally a great presentation. YOu made the principles simple and easy to understand.

Very little reliance on slides

Caleb had great pace, eye contact, and professional poise.

i liked how he broke down the definitions of moderate CrCl and how the studies may not have correctly defined it etc.

The best thing about your seminar was the actual presentation. You didn't rely on the slides to present. You were able to remember data values that were not written on the slides.

It was well paced and I loved your ability to answer questions beyond what you were talking about in the presentation.

Great answers to questions and able to think on your feet. Good recommendations for pharmacists

Explaining the data and topic simply but without dumbing it down

I still am in awe on how you were able to come up with information not present on your slides like stats

Applicable topic delivered in a very calm, relaxed manner

I really liked the pharmacist's role and the recommendations to practice evidence based medicine and the need to stay- up to date.

flow was very smooth and kept my attention the entire time.

Pace was great, slides were easy to read.

Good presentation pace and audience connection. Great eye contact. Also your handouts were organized and easy to read.

I loked overall flow and adherence to outlined objects in the seminar.

My favorite part of the seminar was the brilliance of the Powerpoint presentation and how you didn't rely on notes or the slides as you presented.

I liked your consistent flow

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Be aware of your body language, and avoid copy and pasting tables or information in future presentations.

Get in the habit of defining all acronyms before using them (PE,DVT, etc)

Bigger tables

I would have liked to see more movement or variety in his speaking.

Consider highlighting some of the things you wanted me to look at. Bolding more things, etc.

may think about addition of a patient case to solidify conclusions made

Could have emphasized the controversy more.

i thought the studies power were a little weak with little external validity to all patients... Is that all that is out there?

Find ways to encourage more participation from the audience.

Could have emphasized the importance and controversy of the topic. This helps suck the audience into the presentation and shows the importance of the seminar topic. Great job overall though!

There was a little bit of arm crossing which was a little distracting. On the handout some of the sections bled onto the next page.

I would have liked to seen the CrCl calculations that each study used and a discussion of the accuracy of the CG equation in general. I feel that 50-30 is such a narrow range that further justification might have been necessarily

don't do as good so the rest of us don't look so bad

You often referred to they study authors' as "They" or "Their" rather than "DeCarolis and colleagues" or "Study authors" or if the trial had an acronym name.

I would have liked to see some of the background information presented in the handout to refer back to.

more discussion about the controversy and why its important to patient care.

Give more information about why the topic is an issue and why it may need to be looked at and changed.

Maybe be a little bit more engaged with the audience by asking questions or walking out in front more

away from the podium.

Although studies seem to support dose reduction, the overall conclusion seemed to be to generally continue traditional dosing.

I would suggest you watch your hands (in pockets, folded arms) as you present.

Don't copy and paste charts

General Comments

Great Job!

great seminar

Fantastic job.

na

great job on your first seminar!

I enjoyed Caleb's relaxed conversational style and like how he could just talk with minimal bullets.

great job with the information available and you did very good job with not needing your notes etc

As people mentioned, instead of copying and pasting write your own graphs and tables. But otherwise excellent job! / /

yeppers

over great seminar

Overall amazing job on your first seminar!

Overall great job!

no additional comments

Overall a very good seminar and strong presentation.

Overall, great presentation! Very applicable to practice when considering a patient's anti-coagulation therapy.

Great discussion of topic and explanation of possible pharmacists roles in regards to this topic.

What a killer seminar to lead off the semester, I am impressed. And your triplets look amazing!

Great job

