Presenter: Gygi, Tracy

Seminar Date: 2013-11-12

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.86	6.89	6.87	7	6.9	6.97	6.87	5.63	6.75	6.6	6.3	6.13	5.5	5.9	0	0	0	E (46.22)

Presentation Style

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	14	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.72
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Presentation Style Comments

.

Didn't make much eye contact but spoke distinctly and was easy to understand.

Good.

Great improvement from last year

NA

Great job, you seemed a lot more comfortable this time around ;)

Great presentation style!!

No additional comments

Seemed relaxed, but did rely heavily on looking at the computer screen.

Na

very smooth

Great confidence!

Pace was appropriate and you did a great job presenting the material on a level that was

understandable to the audience.

Could have made a tad more eye-contact, but great job being clear!

moderate pace

It felt like you were a little tied to your slides

Very comfortable pace, did seem to rely on reading slides a little bit.

be more confident, you knew your stuff

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	15	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.78
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

.

Slides were sloppy and hard to read. Did not like the sentence format.

Good.

.

I liked that you kept the words to a minimum on the slides.

Great slides

Materials were very well done.

No additional comments

The formatting of the handout and slides were a little difficult to read especially with the sentences in the bullets. Did provide great orientation to graphs and diagrams.

Na

nice work

Good job

I thought instructional materials were great - I wouldn't acknowledge mistakes or typos in your handout in the future unless called out on it during the presentation which is unlikely.

Maybe use complete sentences on slides. It looked a little odd for some reason. A+ grade though

well organized slides

Great job on the handout and slides. Very thorough and appropriate for our level

The introduction materials on the handout seem dense, paragraph form is a little hard to read through to find information quickly. Tracy did a very good job orienting the audience to graphs and charts.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Appropriate background information was provided	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	14	2	1	0	0	1	0	6.5

Overall Presentation Content Comments

.

Interesting topic, just was not presented interestingly. I was not really drawn in to why I would think this is important.

Interesting topic.

.

NA

Great job at providing thorough background to the topic

Interesting topic.

No additional comments

Would have liked the mechanism of allopurinol to be more clear in the background before proceding to the evidence. Did provide a good, basic description of atherosclerosis.

Na

very nice

Great background section

Very nice job...to improve tell me why you were interested in the topic and more importantly work on the transitions as they were not as smooth as they could be and doing so will aid in the "flow" of the presentation so it feels more natural

excellent

interesting topic!!

Great job on explaining the controversy and why it would seem reasonable to use this medication in this indication /

I am not sure why Tracy was interested in this topic, though the controversy was clear. Tracy did a good job giving us background information of what allopurinol is typically used for and why it is interesting to find a new use for the drug.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

.

Excellent job on interpreting the studies!

Concise objectives.

.

NA

Great job at explaining certain outcomes the studies were looking at. I had know idea what most were but you cleared up all confusion.

Studies presented well and you did a great job explaining thhem.

No additional comments

With data that resulted in differences of just SECONDS, it would have been nice to have had a discussion on clinical versus statistical significance.

Na

good control of information

Good job

I thought the presentation of data was great and well done - really appreciate the detailed explanations regarding endpoints in some of the studies.

excellent again

thoughtful analysis!

loved how you calculated power. It really showed you knew things about your studies.

information about the studies was concise and easy to read through.

good

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89

Conclusions Comments

.

When talking about looking for new things to come... maybe give some examples.

Conclusions supported by data.

.

NA

Great conclusion, I agree that more research is needed to really start recommending this to patients.

Great conclusions!

No additional comments

Bringing in information from outside resources and clinical experiences would have solidified your conclusions more; especially with such a new topic.

Na

nice job

You made conservative conclusions but this is understandable with the limited data available. Good job!

Very appropriate for the data

Conclusions a bit weak, good supporting evidence

conclusions were well supported

.

The role of the pharmacist could have been expanded on a little bit with more specific things that we could do, as mentioned in class.

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

.

good job

Answered questions.

.

NA

Not many questions

Very good job answering questions!

No additional comments

Encouraged questions, but can't really assess ability to answer questions.

Na

very nice

You showed a lot more confidence than last time! Good job!

Not a lot of questions but it appeared that you knew the material fairly well.

nice

nice job!!

.

Questions were encouraged throughout the presentation.

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	14	3	0	0	0	0	1	6.5

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

.

Interesting topic, but again I was still left without really know where is the current place of therapy for this particular drug and indication.

Good overall knowledge.

.

NA

As what we all expected, you knew your stuff.

Great knowledge of the subject.

No additional comments

Had great knowledge of the topic.

Na

good job

Good

Interesting topic with diverse study endpoints which makes for a more difficult presentation. You had a lot of background and knew your studies well and clearly demonstrated your knowledge base on this topic.

good job

he demonstrated knowledge of the topic

.

Tracy was able to clearly interpret the studies and come up with a firm conclusion about the use of allopurinol for CHD.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

.

Excellent job with how you interpreted the studies.

Topic.

very interesting topic about a common drug.

I thought you did a great job at explaining the complicated concepts in a way the audience could understand.

Great at explaining everything. You left no assumptions for the audience.

Great seminar Traci!! The topic was interesting and you did a goo job presenting it!

background information was very good and helpful, as was talk about power of studies

Your knowledge base in the details of the topic.

Provided lots of information

very smooth

I like when new indications are found for really old drugs. Good topic!

Detailed explanations with regard to background and study background and methodology

great work

his topic was very interesting

loved how you focused on a very, very new topic

Two things I really liked about Tracy's presentation: he spend significant time clarifying some points for the audience just to ensure that everybody understood (example: IHD and CHD being the same thing), and second I liked how well he orientated the audience to the graphs he showed during the presentation.

interesting topic

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

.

Could give a little more insight to where you see this playing a role in the future as well as current recommendations.

Slides.

Just get more comfortable in front of people

rely a little less on the screen and make more eye contact with the audience

NA

Nothing

try to practice a little more and rely on slides a bit less

Clinical discussion on the importance of the details of the topic.

Very wordy slides

less computer looking

Use bullets in your introduction if possible to break up the paragraphs. Overall, good job.

Transitions!

more eye contact?

relax a little?

seemed a little slow at points. Don't feel scared to deviate from what you put on your slides.

Possibly another practice run-through of the presentation would have allowed Tracy to have better confidence to look away from his laptop a little more often.

seminarian needs to present with more confidence

General Comments

.

Good presentation, just don't be so nervous and add some bullet points to your slides.

Good job.

You did a great job. Your Awesome!

great job tracy!

Great job

No additional comments

Na

nice cookies

-

Great job!

excellent job

overall it was very good

Great job! Congratulations on completing your seminar!