Presenter: Coates, Hali

Seminar Date: 2013-10-29

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.93	6.94	6.92	6.96	6.93	6.93	6.98	6.13	6.38	6.6	6.18	6.5	6.5	6.5	0	0	0	E (46.67)

Presentation Style								
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	17	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.85
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Good job on pace. Work a bit on using open, confident body language and use notes as a discussion prompt (don't read what I am already seeing)

I liked how you were able to incorporate humor into your presentation.

Her presentation had a great flow and she made eye contacts with the audience.

There were periods when she seemed to rely on the slides a little too much which led to a decrease in eye contact.

The material was put together very well and organized

great pace!

Presenter was easy to follow and was comfortable presenting the material.

Hali was very professional during her presentation and did a great job presenting.

Great pace!

Great flow and pace throughout the presentation. Try not to be nervous, you did great and knew the material really well, be confident in yourself and what you know.

The material was presented appropriately with minimal reliance on notes. She did seem a little bit apprehensive and not as confident.

The presenter was professional and had a great pace throughout.

I think the pace at times was slow, but the presentation and transitions went pretty smooth.

Presentation was appropriately professional and at the correct level.

Hali knew her material thoroughly and rarely looked at her slides.

good level of information

Student was very professional had great eye contact throughout presentation

Good background and introduction to your topic, it laid the framework for the rest of your presentation

Great pacing. No distracting mannerisms. Material was presented at an appropriate level.

n/a

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

Nice work on preparing the materials.

Good job at using google and orienting us to what the patient's perspective may be.

She did a great job in highlighting the important facts on the slides and her handout.

The handouts were fine in my opinion.

The referencing of the many studies was remarkable

i think having more tables or graphs can help orient things too

Slides and handout were easy to follow. More visuals to break up slides full of text would help.

Her slides and handout were clear and easy to understand.

Slides were clear and to the point.

Handouts were great as well as information on background studies which really helped set the stage for what you were going to talk about it.

Her handouts were really nice to read and organized, grammatically correct and devoid of spelling errors. I liked her pictures she included in the slides.

The slides and handout were organized very well to make it easy to follow.

Slides were great and I did not see any spelling or grammatical errors.

Handout was put together well.

Great handout, thoroughly explained and reflected content in the presentation.

graphs or pictures could enhance

Slides were easy to read and I liked the introduction slides showing the different advertising of hormone replacement therapy and how their message can be viewed as misleading to the public

Slides and handout were very thorough and organized

Little to no grammatical errors. Slides and handout were easy to follow.

n/a

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	17	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.85
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	19	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Appropriate background information was provided	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Good background information, though I would have liked to see more biology/chemistry/physiology presented with the background.

I think this was a hard topic to research. It's hard to tweeze out cardiovascular effects from all of the other effects. Not sure how applicable this is clinically.

Background information was thorough and great; however, using fewer studies in the background section may have helped in better understanding.

She had a lot of background information which was beneficial in understanding the history of the controversy, especially given that they were such landmark studies.

The introduction to the topic was clear and direct

very interesting topic

Controversy was well defined through a retrospective analysis of old studies.

She did a good job presenting appropriate and relevant background information.

Good job on discussing all your objective points

The background information was great and very appropriate for your topic and also helps illustrate why there is so much controversy around this topic.

Transitions were smoolth and her entire presentation was well organized and easy to follow.

The background information was great and very important for understanding the rest of the presentation.

I liked how you introduced the topic. I think since you rotated in a compounding pharmacy, looking into patient specific strengths would have be more applicable to your rotation/concern, but I understood the connection.

I had a hard time with two aspects of the background. I feel like general findings of the background

studies would have been sufficient. Having so much detail affected the flow of the seminar. Also, I would have liked to know more about why you chose to focus on CV risk versus other risks associated with hormone replacement.

The organization of the presentation was great, especially with the background info on the WHI and other studies.

at first I was confused at how you jumped into it but as I saw how your studies related to the large study it made perfect sense why you chose to do it like that. Great Flow!

Good flow, student addressed all of her objectives

Very good presentation

Introduction was great. Appropriate amount of information was presented for the background.

n/a

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

I think the statistical analysis is one of the most difficult parts but it was managed well in this talk.

I liked that you gave background information on the trials that the studies were based on. It was a helpful reminder and good orientation.

Her explanation on confidence interval and power was excellent. She did a great job in mentioning and explaining the clinical findings and data.

She explained the confidence interval and power which was beneficial for those who may have needed to be reminded exactly what they were.

The introduction with the landmark studies was a difficult thing to do but I think it was a good risk to take

loved the way you did the background studies

Presenter was able to focus on data relevant to her topic without being lost in other details and controversies.

She chose relevant studies for her seminar and did a good job evaluating them.

I like how you touched on the limitations of the study including the exclusion criteria

The studies were very detailed and you did a excellent job focusing on the parts that were applicable to the topic.

I appreciated her detailed analysis of the study strengths and limitations. She also easily knew a lot about the statistics involved in her her studies and how to analyze the statistics appropriately and draw valid conclusions.

The seminarian discuss the relevance of statistics which was very helpful.

Great job on expalining the statistical aspeccts of the studies!

Data was appropriate and discussed well.

Hali addressed each of her objectives thoroughly.

Good explanation of statistics

Good analysis of strengths and weaknesses of each study

Did a great job of describing statistical tests and what the results indicated. Also mentioned power where appropriate and explained why it was an important consideration in specific studies/outcomes.

Great presentation of the clinical data. The studies were summarized well.

n/a

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	19	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9

Conclusions Comments

Nice work on conclusions and recommendations

The cases at the end were a nice touch to emphasize your points.

She thoroughly explained the pharmacist's role and pointed out all the important facts that are necessary to remember about this subject as pharmacists.

The conclusions were in sync with the data presented. The explanation went beyond on simple guidelines and included things that may often be overlooked when making a recommendation in everyday life. They showed how not only what to look at in this particular scenario, she provided information that was not only beneficial in this scenario, but also can be implemented to make you a better pharmacist in general.

I think the conclusions should be written not in the same way that they appear in the slide but rather an explanation in simple language. The conclusions were great though

great job!

Conclusions followed the date and were tailored to a specific population.

Hali did a great job explaining the role of the pharmacist pertaining to her topic.

Great conclusion

I really liked how you had your own separate conclusions apart from what the authors said and illustrated to us through the use of the case how we as pharmacists should interpret and apply the data to our patients including when it is and is not appropriate.

I liked her case at the end to incorporate the impact of her studies on clinical pharmacy practice.

The seminarian thorough discussed the role of the pharmacist.

I liked your "cases" at the end of the studies to allows us to comprehend what a pharmacist should do and what our role is in the community.

Conclusions were supported by the evidence.

Hali did a great job summarizing the complicated material in her seminar presentation and giving us opportunity to test our knowledge at the end.

Great information on the role of the pharmacist

Well thought out conclusions

Conclusions were excellent. I liked how you expanded on the author's conclusions and came up with your own. It was also great that you included an overall conclusion that incorporated the results of all the studies, not just individual conclusions for each study.

Clinical data supported the conclusion and pharmacist's role.

n/a

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	18	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.85

Question Answer Session Comments

could have used a couple more opportunities for Q & A

Good job.

She encouraged participation and she had a case which required interaction of the audience. Great job!

The case was nice to see the real world implications and to visualize the controversy at hand.

The seminarian was attentive and ready to answer questions

great job!

Good poise throughout.

She did an excellent job answering questions and appeared confident the entire time.

Thanks for encouraging question

Great job with questions even though there weren't many which was just because you explained everything really well. Could have included another question break in the presentation since I believe there was only one.

She did not seem to encourage audience questions very much and seemed a little afraid to be asked any questions. She did answer the questions well when they were asked though.

Seminarian encouraged questions throughout.

Maybe have more opportunities to ask questions.

Did well with questions.

Hali showed great preparation and confidence in her responses to questions.

Very good response to questions in emphasizing your focus was CV risk.

Student was able to answer all questions asked by audience

Great idea to have the cases at the end to illustrate the conclusions of your seminar and get audience participation. I learned and remembered more thinking through the cases at the end.

All questions were adequately answered.

n/a

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

maybe work on anticipating questions before the presentation so as to give a more convincing answer to questions fielded from the audience.

Good job at answering questions.

She had a great knowledge in this area and her statistical knowledge and the explanations she provided about it was good.

Her ability t o incorporate the landmark studies in a succinct and efficient manner was crucial to seeing the big picture involved with this controversy.

The seminarian had a good understanding of the topic from an broad perspective.

you seem very knowledgable regarding the subject area

The presenter demonstrated expertise on the subject matter that was being presented.

She did a good job drawing conclusions from her data.

Great knowledge of the topic

This topic covers a huge area and you did a great job really narrowing it down and focusing on background only pertaining to why it's important for the seminar topic. Great overall knowledge base and including the big landmark trials that had a huge impact on homorme replacement therapy.

She was able to think on her feet and theorize the answers to questions. She also discussed conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to curent practice/therapy. I liked how she started with 2 studies and then went into more detail on the following studies.

Seminarian was knowledgeable about the topic.

Your passion and knowledge for the subject matter was good to see throughout your presentation. Your overall ability to answer the difficult questions was great.

I felt like the background knowledge was good.

Hali did a perfect job explaining the conclusions from past research and implications for evidence based patient care now.

Great analysis of studies to make your conclusion

Student has great knowledge about hormones and their place in therapy

Great job!

Clearly demonstrated knowledge regarding topic by looking into many of the landmark trials.

n/a

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Really like the introduction and pictures used; it got my attention.

I liked that there was a case at the end to put all the information together and form a conclusion.

I loved her effort in participating everyone with the case she provided. I also liked her explanation of clinical data.

I really liked her knowledge and material presented. Her demeanor/attitude while presenting was good and it helped to keep the audience listening.

Hali had a great seminar, very well thought, the way the studies were presented reminded me the way evidence is presented in professional meetings

i really enjoyed how you introduced us with all of the background studies

Interesting topic with an almost counterintuitive conclusion. Presenter also spent time researching the controversy via the literature.

I really liked that Hali included cases at the end of her seminar to help solidify the information she presented to the audience.

Great discussion on power, confidence interval, statistically significance

I really liked the case you included to help illustrate the pharmacists role. Great way to get us involved in the seminar and provide specific examples on when we should or should not recommend hormone replacement therapy.

I liked how organized, concise, and clear she was. She made sense and was easy to listen to.

The seminarian did a great job with the introduction and background.

I liked the cases and quiz at the end of the your presentation. It made us think.

It was a unique seminar considering many background studies. It's uniqueness made it interesting.

I loved the applicability and timeliness of this topic, especially as it is one affecting many women.

I enjoyed your explanations that were slow, simple enough to follow.

I liked the cases at the end to bring the presentation full circle

There were a lot of things I liked but my favorite thing was the cases at the end of the presentation. They really helped cement the conclusion of the studies.

Great setup in the background.

very good pace of presentation with great review of materials

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Could have used more pictures throughout the presentation. I seemed to get lost in all the stats covered

This was a hard topic because you were just focusing on one of the effects of the hormones. Focusing on just one aspect doesn't help us determine what we would do clinically. Can't clinically just have one effect of the hormone. A different topic may have been more applicable.

Providing more information on the difference between CEE and MPA may be helpful in the future.

She looked at the slides quite a few times. She seemed to know the material very well which led me to believe that these actions came from nerves. The only improvement I would recommend would be to present with confidence, especially when such confidence is warranted given her knowledge.

The only improvement I could suggest would be to have a better consistency between the objectives and the case presented

i did think it was a lot of information with the background studies, maybe organizing it in tables might help?

More visuals and images to break up slides of text.

No improvements.

Nothing really

You did a great job but try to not be nervous and be more confident you really knew the material.

She could have demonstrated a little bit more confidence in herself.

The seminarian can improve on confidence with her answers.

I think the pace could have been quicker at times and more opportunities for questions would have been much appreciated.

The background information could have been presented more succinctly.

No suggestions for improvement, I only wish Hali coud have had more time to address the other risk factors of HRT aside from CVD.

You know your material, be confident about it.

Show confidence throughout presentation, student was able to bring out that confidence later in her presentation

Nothing, it was great

Maybe vary inflection while speaking.

to use more graph presenting data

General Comments

strong work on a challenging subject.

Overall good job.

She did an amazing job with the seminar topic and presenting it to everyone.

I liked the presentation. It seemed lighthearted as well very informational, this helped keep the audience attentive.

Good job!

great job on the seminar!

Informative. Well delivered and executed.

Great job Hali! I really enjoyed your seminar and was able to share the information I learned from you with my coworkers who have been using HRT.

Great job

Excellent job overall!

This was a great seminar and I can tell she really worked hard to investigate all avenues of the topic.

Overall, the seminarian did a great job of thoroughly discussing the controversy and findings of the topic.

Overall, great presentation! I liked seeing your passion for HRT.

Well done overall.

Hali did a wonderful job, very thorough and engaging with the case at the end.

Great seminar especially for choosing such a broad difficult topic.

Great job overall

Overall, very well-done

Overall, a great presentation. I have learned a lot.

i really enjoy her presentation