Presenter: Campbell, Chad

Seminar Date: 2014-04-10

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.97	6.87	6.98	6.99	6.96	7	6.94	6.63	5.88	6.8	6.5	6.5	6.75	6.6	0	0	0	E (46.99)

Presentation Style								
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Had minimal reliance on notes

A bit fast paced, but I liked it.

Great presentation style that was like a conversation.

Displayed confidence, presented information at the appropriate level, and did not rely on his notes. He did go quite a bit over the time however, so he should work on taking only 30 minutes for his next seminar.

I thought Chad had the best presentation style so far. It was very friendly and passionate. His passion made me more engaged. Great job.

Very professional and great pace

Great pace, poise, and eye contact throughout the whole seminar

I really liked your pace and engaging presentation style.

He showed good confidence and presented material at appropriate level

Great job. Had a little bit of nerves come through at the beginning but so do all of us.

Great job with your presentation style, I could tell you practiced and were comfortable with your presentation

He was very deliberate, and displayed confidence, but once in a while he would "catch" on a word or phrase.

Wonderful pace and storytelling ability to keep us engaged

Good pace, conversational style.

Good pace, great speaking style- more like a discussion or conversation. Very professional

Great eye contact with audience. Able to present in a very conversational manner that engaged the audience. At first, it seemed like you were a little fast, but you eased into it.

good background on something I knew little about.

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	15	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.82

Instructional Materials Comments

Slides were clear and easy to understand.

Slides were a bit busy, but in general, I liked them.

The handout contained some charts that were hard to read, but otherwise great job.

His slides and handout were clear and devoid of errors. He cited appropriate references. He could have provided better orientation to graphs.

Didn't reference within the slides. Handout was good though.

Great handout with lots of great information present

Some of the slides had very small font, otherwise good job! I liked that you included graphs from the studies and were able to explain what they represent

Overall, I really liked your slides and handout. One thing to improve would be to highlight the important areas on that really busy slide with all the graphs. There was also a typo in your objectives.

On the slides with graphs he orientated us to make sure we understood the data.

Slides looked fantastic. No spelling errors that I could see. Bullet points in the handout can help but overall looked great.

The slides and handout were easy to read and understand

His handout was great, except for Appendix B, which was still difficult to read.

instructional materials were great overall; know comparisons in relation to p values

Slides and handouts were easy to read. Liked the DM table!

Handout was too wordy and the study charts were too squished. Otherwise, materials were thorough. Great chart comparing the types of DM

Portions of the slides were difficult to read. Maybe consider highlighting the sections you want to

specifically address during the seminar. Orientation to the diagrams and graphs were not always provided. Need to cite on each slide.

No errors noticed.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Appropriate background information was provided	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Controversy clearly stated. Liked the interest in the topic.

Liked the way that you presented yourself with strong confidence.

Great presentation overall. You clearly defined the controversy and frequently referred back to it.

He very effectively talked about his interest in the topic and used his dad's experiences throughout the presentation which was great. Appropriate and much needed background was presented.

Controversy was very clear and always went into clarification points within studies when a potentially confusing topic was brought up. Very well done.

Really great background information. I liked how personal the topic was to you, I could tell it was an important topic by your personal relation

Great personal connection to the seminar topic! Thank you for sharing your father's story with us

You did a wonderful job covering the background and flowed really well throughout the presentation.

I really appreciated the personal influence and passion for the subject!

Great job using your dad to introduce the topic, your interest, and the significance of this problem.

I really enjoyed the background information you provided. It was a difficult topic but you did a great job.

Once or twice, I expected him to move on, and when he didn't it was a little disorienting.

The personal interest in the topic made it all the more engaging

Great content! I really enjoyed the idea of this topic.

Great interest- added passion! Great intro- thorough. Controversy was not explicitly spelled out- add a slide or handout section with it next time,

Great introduction and interest--can tell you are very passionate about this subject. Controversy defined well. Appropriate amount of background information provide.

controversy and interest well defined.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Great analysis of the studies.

Well versed in your topic material.

Good description of the studies and their strengths and weaknesses.

Explained the trials well, especially the strengths and weaknesses. He discussed power and explained the results. Appropriateness of the outcomes was explained well.

I felt as if I understood the patient flow very well in both studies even though they were pretty complicated. This is a success in my opinion.

Make sure you know what the p values mean before presenting the data, but I liked how you clarified it at the end of the question and answer session

Very thorough analysis of the studies. Job well done!

Despite the lack of good data, you presented the available evidence extremely well. This was a definite strong point in your presentation.

He did a thorough job on the strength and weaknesses of the subject.

Evaluated the studies well and you were able to convey that info efficiently.

The clinical data presented was easy to understand and you gave us the key findings

His analysis was complete and well thought out.

Good analysis of appropriateness of statistical tests

Clinical data was a little sparse but that's to be expected. I think the data are a springboard for further study.

Good study explanations and evaluations. Next time though, know what the graph is showing

Great discussion of the studies. Really tried to assess quality of the study. Would have appreciated more discussion on why certain aspects of the study were conduct as they were (example: why repaglinide and not other oral diabetic agents?)

good job presenting the 2 best trials. would have liked to know briefly about the third.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

Coclusions seemed supported by the data.

Offered good conclusions for us to take home.

Good conclusions based on the studies you presented.

His conclusions and recommendations were appropriate, and the clinical importance was discussed. He talked about the pharmacist's role.

Great discussion of the role of the pharmacist. I will now know more about how to counsel a CF patient. Nice work.

Great conclusion and applicAtion to the role of he pharmacist

Great pharmacist recommendations

Your conclusions were great and definitely based on the data presented. I liked your pharmacists' role as well.

Did a good job on making clear recommendations on recommendations.

You were very careful about making sure your conclusions were supported by the data presented.

Recommendations were evidence based and appropriate

This was a difficult topic, without much good information. Good job.

I felt the conclusions were supported by the data presented, nothing too far out there, well done

I agreed with your conclusions.

Conclusions were based on data, but nothing specific was recommended. Had to be asked in Q&A for more detail

Good conclusion for the evidence that was provided. Maybe needed to be more specific in addressing clinical significance versus statistical significance prior to question and answer session. Would have

appreciate more specific conclusion---baseline characteristics of the patients?

conclusions were as firm as the data allowed.

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

Good Q&A session

Handled the questions session very well.

Great job with questions. You really showed your knowledge on the topic.

Answered questions well and encouraged them from the audience.

Definitely encouraged questions and followed up on Dr. Oderda's question when he was unsure. Great job!

Great job answering questions and theorising when you didn't know the answer

Q&A was rather long, but you were able to answer all the questions with confidence

I thought that you handled all the questions really well. Even when you didn't know the answer, you speculated appropriately and gave a robust answer.

He stopped throughout the presentation to ensure if anyone had questions.

Lots of really good questions and you had lots of good answers. There was some confusion with one question but you came back with the answer after and that was great.

Great job answering questions, you were able to address all of them

Though it took a while to get to some answers, I feel good about where we ended up.

appreciated the ample opportunity for us to ask questions

Good Q & A session. thank you!

Handled Q&A well

Great question and answer session. Stay poised and confident.

Answered questions well.

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Handled conclusions well.

Showed understanding of the disease state and trials used.

Excellent job with questions. You continually showed your knowledge throughout the seminar.

He was able to discuss clinical significance and offer additional insight based on his experiences. He was able to think on his feet.

Absolutely knew more than what was on the slides. The personal touch helped us relate to the disease state much better.

Great knowledge base of cystic fibrosis

You were very well prepared and great knowledge base showed throughout the seminar and Q&A section

It was evident that you were knowledgable of the topic and had a strong connection to it. You also provided great insight into your own original conclusions.

He looked beyond what the authors concluded and made his own conclusions and recommendations based on the data.

It was very clear that you knew a lot on the subject. Both background and the studies.

The questions regarding the p value was a bit tricky, but you addressed it after the fact

The only issue I found was when he flat out said "I don't know."

it was evident that you were well-prepared and had "done your homework"

Excellent overall knowledge base and thank you for orienting us to CFRD and the differences between that and DMT2

Clearly knew his background. Was able to think on his feet well.

Great overall knowledge base. Could tell that you know a lot about the subject.

It appeared like you had a good knowledge of the subject.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked how you said I don't know at one point during the Q&A when you weren't sure how to interpret some of the graphs.

Energy during presentation was awesome.

Great background and controversy!

Really enjoyed his interest in the topic and using his dad's experience to bring all this clinical data back to why it's important -- helping the patient.

I loved how Chad incorporated his family into the topic. Great job, one of the best seminars of the semester.

Great knowledge base. I really liked how you introduced the topic and got the audience attention with your personal story

I liked the reason why chose to go with this topic. Your father's story showed why investigating this topic was very important to you and made everyone even more interested in your seminar

Great overall style!

I really liked how passionate he was on the subject

Very good presentation style and overall knowledge base was strong.

Your presentation style was excellent, it was as if we were listening to tell a story. Great job!

His concentration was admirable.

You have a unique, engaging presentation style. Seek to maintain that.

Very interesting topic discussion and timely.

Great interest and background

Very passionate. Interesting topic.

I had completely forgotten about CF diabetes. Good reminder.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

A little more clarity on the conclusions might have been helpful, and if other oral agents may have been

useful.

Make your slides less heavy.

Consider formatting the handout differently such that there is good separation between rows in tables.

It would be very appreciated if he took 30 minutes and no more. He also needed to understand his results better in order to explain them to us.

Referencing and some handout issues is all I would point out. Hard to think of anything in regards to the presentation.

Just make sure you know the stats before presenting.

I would suggest larger pictures/text font for some of the slides

Little graphs on slides.

Could not find any problems

None. Great Job!

Be prepared to address questions regarding statistics.

He didn't reference in his slides.

Make sure you know the significance of your reported p values

Nothing.

Work on handout formatting

Spacing in handout could have been better utilized. Citations throughout the presentation.

A little better understanding of outcome comparisons.

General Comments

Overall, you did a great job.

Great job overall!

Great presentation on an interesting topic.

Great job! This was one of the more fun and engaging seminars I've been to.

Great presentation. Very interesting!

Great job!

Awesome seminar!

Well done presentation and well thought out and presented.

A++++++

N/A

Nope.

well done

Quite a fun discussion, thank you!

Great work! Very interesting

Great job overall. You picked an extremely interesting topic. Great to be able to see how you came to the conclusions you did although the studies were not high-quality.

Good job.