

# Presenter: Newbold, Jonathan

Seminar Date: 2013-11-13

## Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
7	6.93	6.97	6.94	6.77	6.97	6.94	5.88	6.25	5	4.67	4.13	7	5.6	0	0	0	E (45.36)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Presentation Style Comments

The presentation pace was perfect.

Fun presenting style.

Nice pace. Minimal use of notes.

Material was very appropriate for our section.

Great job on maintaining eye contact with the audience throughout the entire presentation. Good poise and pace.

Great poise and pace.

Presentation style was very professional. Student was confident and well prepared.

I liked the flow, no tense, no overrehearsed

He has a really great presence in front of the class; really great presentation

great job john!

You seemed very confident with the material. Good job!

He sounded very confident when speaking.

He seemed confident up there

Great pace and didn't read off slides

Perfect pace. Not too fast. Not too slow.

Your pace was good and you had minimal reliance on notes.

Very understandable

You presented at a very good pace.

Great flow and buildup.

Well done

NA

I noticed a few awkward phrases at the beginning: "and all that," "you can kinda think of it like that." You seemed nervous. / Good job.

Good pace, I liked that things that were discussed previously such as the definition of opioid dependence and withdrawal were only covered briefly.

Great pace. Initially a couple moments of pausing/hesitating due to nerves but then reached a stride and didn't falter anymore.

You had an engaging style; very professional

Jon was very comfortable presenting and made the presentation enjoyable

Good pace, good presentation style

Excellent pace and minimal reliance on notes

Did not need to look at slides. Awesome presenter.

Very professional

Appropriate level for audience, like that you interjected your own humor and personality

## Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	26	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.77
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Instructional Materials Comments

The presentation was clear and very organized. great job.

Appropriate.

Improve graphs a bit. Hard to read.

some of the charts were a little unfriendly in the handout. I think that it would have been worth your time to redo the graphs and make it so that you could differentiate between the different lines.

Good orientation to charts and graphs without just reading what was on the slides.

The graphs in the handout were a little hard to read.

Slides and handout were for the most part clear and easy to read - some of the graphs were hard to read.

The quotes and data was organized

The materials were really helpful as he went through the seminar

i think this is a great topic. i love your quotes

You did not have any errors in your materials.

His charts were good visual aids and quick references.

Presentation materials were clear and easy to follow /

Citations on slides when necessary and always explained charts/figures appropriately

Referred to handout for materials covered in the previous seminar. No wasting time.

I liked your slide template. I think you should have used a bullet style for your handout and there was a table in your handout that should have been in the body of your HO and not the appendix. The part on the scales was out of order with your presentation.

Sides were great, easy to follow

Your slides were very clear and info dense.

Handout was well written.

Na

NA

Great slide set.

Some orientation was provided for tables but bolding the ones you wanted to focus on may have been more useful than using the laser pointer.

Slides were clear and easy to read.

Some slides had a lot of information, and could have benefited from a little more time/discussion, but overall the material was clear and organized-

Loved the use of color on his slides

A few minor formatting issues on the handout, otherwise the handout was good

Actually could have used more information on the slides for clarification.

Great slides and handout

Great work.

Liked your slides background

## Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
4	Appropriate background information was provided	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97

## Overall Presentation Content Comments

The overall presentation content was very detailed and had great information.

Good introduction. I cared about the topic.

very understandable and easy to follow.

Quotes helped to frame the controversy of the subject.

I really liked the background section leading up to the controversy. Lots of detail without taking up too much of the seminar time.

Loved the quotes from doctors.

The student did a great job explaining the interest and controversy of the topic.

The controversy was broken down well to explore all the aspects of the topic

Really interesting topic, controversy was clear and interesting

very interesting topic

You did a good job providing background information.

The quotes were used well to illustrate interest in topic and also the controversy of the topic.

his presentation flowed well and left opportunity for questions at good intervals.

Didn't really like that you said you weren't going to go over dependence because of a previous seminar. I think to be complete it would be worth the extra 30 seconds to review in case you had other students in your section that day.

Very interesting topic.

I really liked the physician quotes but I would have liked them in the HO since you referred back to them and they were so important to your presentation. Most recent trials do not necessarily mean the best trials.

Great content, great topic

I thought it took a little too long to fully understand the controversy, kind of like we were getting snippets of it throughout the presentation. I really liked having the providers' perspective throughout, but I would have appreciated a brief explanation of the complete controversy all at once too.

Good topic, very relevant.

Good job

NA

Good.

Objectives were measurable and attainable based on presentation.

Clear objectives, great background and good flow

I liked how the background (the email discussion) was woven throughout the presentation

Did a great job showing the controversies with emails from doctors

Good background, good explanation of the controversy

Very crisp, clear slides, but could have used more information.

Thorough background and description of the controversy

Great work.

The quotes interspersed throughout were a nice touch, it was a good lead in to topics and very applicable as this is a conversation going on between clinicians.

## Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	29	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	29	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	29	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	6.93
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	27	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.87

## Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

none.

Studies were interesting and appropriate.

Studies were well described.

The strengths of the studies were not as helpful in addressing the controversy because the seizure risk was not full explained as to why the seizure threshold was lowered.

I liked the summary slide of the studies. I wasn't sure if he understood what power meant/signified.

No complaints.

The student did a good job presenting the highlights of each study.

The studies were specific to answer the questions presented at the beginning of the study /

I really appreciated how he incorporated the graphs/diagrams from his studies into his presentation

i like how you discussed about the different scales

You really knew your studies and did a good job explaining them.

I liked that he thoroughly explained the confidence intervals in the second study and why it was hard to interpret.

He took time finding his studies and presented them well.

I liked the table format you used to present the studies- although I have seen this usually in handouts, I thought it made it very clear to read on your slides.

Good concise summary of studies.

I liked the summary evidence slide at the end.

Great job with clinical data and determining significance /

Each study was presented very clearly and concisely.

Clinical data was well presented despite limited trials.

Good

NA

You stated that COWS may be a superior metric, but provided not much information about what the clinical significance of each score was (ie what is the score actually measuring) . Made it harder to interpret trial results.

Discussing strengths and limitations during the presentation of data was helpful for understanding the trials and helped with the overall flow and analysis of trials.

Great study analyses and discussions about power. Very well done.

In terms of study withdrawals, I like how you compared that to real-life and dropout from treatment programs

Followed and met objectives

Appropriate breakdown of the studies

Metanalysis was difficult to understand all the implications and study information

Great discussion of results and data

Great work.

Thorough analysis of studies, especially noting the limitations of studies and applicability.



## Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	25	3	3	0	0	0	0	6.71
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	27	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.84
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	21	9	0	1	0	0	0	6.61
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	29	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.9

## Conclusions Comments

good analysis of studies conclusion.

I felt the conclusions were appropriate.

Solidify your conclusions better.

Clearer recommendations would have been helpful to direct us as to what we should be recommending in practice.

I wasn't sure how he came up with the conclusion on study 2 that it was "promising" when right before he was talking about how the confidence intervals overlapped and weren't significant. It was kind of confusing.

I thought your conclusions were supported--could have been more strongly-worded.

The student could have been more specific about recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice.

I found the clinical applications very realistic to what we need to know

My only recommendation would be to come up with a definitive conclusion (just pick one way or the other); Dr. Jennings was eventually able to get it out of him, but it would have been nice if it were laid out to begin with

great job john!

It wasn't very clear what you recommended. Make sure you have a clear conclusion.

He did a good job explaining why he couldn't necessarily trust the results of the studies based on the methods used.

I thought he could have expounded more on the seizures and tramadol connection.

Excellent clinical importance and application throughout your presentation and definitely in your conclusions

Conclusion was well supported.

I didn't follow why you didn't think tramadol was the cause of the seizure in that last trial. I also thought the first trial was best because it found a significant difference but you said the 2nd trial was promising even though they did not find a difference.

I thought conclusions were appropriate

Your conclusions were solid, and they were as specific as possible with the data you presented.

Conclusions were safe based on data.

Recommendation could have been more specific, but it is hard when there is no black and white answer

NA

Good global limitations analysis.

Conclusion took into account all of the data available.

Conclusions were supported by the lack of strong data. A firm conclusion about whether or not you'd recommend tramadol was made but it took a question from a professor to get that conclusion out of you.

The conclusions were in part left up to the audience, your recommendations/opinion could have been a little more clear-

Great application to pharmacists

The conclusion was somewhat vague and didn't clearly recommend for or against using tramadol

Needed more specific conclusions

I like the summary slides of all the studies

Great work.

As already mentioned, you had a conclusion, but you did not state it until a question was asked. I would have liked to see it more strongly stated, instead of just a max dose on the slides

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97

### Question Answer Session Comments

none

Good audience participation.

Had question breaks throughout and nicely spaced.

Good back and forth with the audience.

I think he did a great job answering questions. He also had appropriate breaks for questions.

Great job with questions.

I could tell the student was prepared to answer questions.

There was a good initiative to create interaction with the audience

He answered his questions with great poise and confidence

great job john!

You did a good job answering questions.

He quickly answered questions intelligently and thoroughly.

Encouraged questions and smiled

Answered those questions that you received well

Quick on your feet and well prepared.

Try to answer questions a bit more succinctly.

Great job with questions

You were clearly well prepared to answer questions, and you did a really good job.

Handled all questions well

Great

NA

Good.

Could have encouraged questions more but it was understandable because of the amount of data that needed to be discussed.

Great Q&A and encouraged questions.

You certainly encouraged questions...

Great job answering questions

Handled questions well

Held up under questioning. Kept your composure

Great job answering questions

Great work.

Good fielding of questions, encouraged questions thorough out

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	28	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.97
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	29	0	2	0	0	0	0	6.87
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

### Overall Knowledge Base Comments

The presenter was very knowledgeable about their topic.

Good knowledge base of subject material beyond what was presented.

Well prepared. Answered questions with confidence.

Jonathan was well prepared for questions and the knowledge that he has about the subject was evident.

It was clear throughout his seminar that he looked at a lot of other studies to get a good knowledge base of his topic. Very thorough and detailed. Great job!

You obviously knew a lot more than you presented.

The student's overall knowledge base enabled him to do well in presenting and answering questions.

The background information reflected that the presenter was prepared

It was very clear that he was very knowledgeable about his topic

good job answering questions

Good job.

His overall knowledge base and preparedness was obvious.

I enjoyed the 'conversation' that he had with the email chain while presenting his slides.

Good job discussing strengths and weaknesses of the study in order to determine if the conclusions of each trial were acceptable

Was able to answer all questions well.

Very interesting clinical insights.

I definitely felt you were giving me your interpretation of the data. I liked it

I thought your answers to questions showed that you had a good understanding of what goes on in clinical practice.

Knowledge base was shown through Q and A

Good

NA

You mentioned in one of your conclusions that the seizures in trial 3 may be due to confounding by not ruling out benzos and ethanol use. I thought that these agents RAISE the seizure threshold by increasing GABA; why would they contribute to more seizures?

It was clear that John knew a lot about the topic and was able to answer questions well.

It's very clear that you knew your information and were able to think on your feet well.

You definitely seem to know the subject well

Very knowledgeable about the topic

Good overall knowledge base

NA

gave a lot of info that was not on the slides. obviously knew more than just his seminar info

Great work.

Strong knowledge base, able to answer questions on the fly

## Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked how there were quotes throughout the presentation.

Seminarian had a fun approach to presenting.

I liked the quotes.

i really like the applicability of the topic. tramadol is something that we are frequently exposed to in practice.

I liked how he mixed in provider quotes throughout his seminar to help emphasize the controversy. I also liked the summary slide of the studies.

I really loved the quotes from the doctors--it made the seminar more dynamic

I liked how the student presented with confidence.

The seminar had a good clinical frame

I liked how he was able to incorporate some humor into the seminar

i really enjoyed the topic

You were very confident and really knew the material. Good job!

I liked the addition of practitioner quotes. It gave "real world" thoughts and ideas on the topic that helped lead to my conclusions and gave me insight on what to expect in practice.

I liked the email chain comments left throughout.

I liked the format that you used to present your studies- I thought it was very clear to use the table format and I liked that you bolded important things in the results that we needed to focus on

The slides were short and concise. Quotes set up the controversy nicely.

I liked your template and general style. I thought the quotes from physicians were interesting and unique.

Great job. I really liked the topic. Very important issue at this point in time

I really liked the table you had comparing the results of the studies to one another right before you went into the overall conclusions. It was a good transition.

Great seminar about a very relevant topic that will affect all pharmacist's in the near future.

I liked that it was very applicable, pain management is everywhere

The presenter was strong in his opinion and answered questions well.

I liked a lot about your presentation. Choosing only one thingy, your slides were very clear and nicely organized.

This is a very relevant topic for clinical practice and it is helpful to have some more knowledge in case we are asked about it.

Solid background

Really well planned and organized; it was a good presentation

Really interesting topic and I liked the quotes from doctors that displayed controversy

I liked the physician comments throughout the presentation

Very pleasing demeanor and kept your composure.

I loved the quotes. They really helped tie everything together!

Interesting topic and very well informed. Able to answer a variety of questions.

Great job! A very interesting topic. I agree with you that the seizure risk is a major concern, especially as you mentioned it would be common that patients may not give you a true history of the BZD and alcohol use.

### **Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar**

The presenter could have provided more statistical data on each study.

Greater clarity on recommendation.

Improve the graphs

Elaborate more on your recommendations and why you think that way.

Maybe look at the different statistical analyses to understand them, especially about power. Also the quotes were nice but sometimes too lengthy.

I wished the graphs in the handout were easier to read

More specific recommendations for pharmacists.

I think there were some tables that did not deserve that much time in the presentation but overall, great

Present a strong conclusion (even though the clinical data was weak, in clinical practice we need either a firm "yes" or "no" when the question pops up)



create more interactions with the audience?

Make sure your recommendations are clear.

I would've liked to know if there were any US studies and why not if there weren't?

he could have stronger conclusions

A lot of time was spent on the background and you said a couple times that you couldn't explain things or that you didn't know things. . . this just made me feel like you were not very confident or not an expert in the subject- but you clearly were well prepared

Would have been nice to include that summary of studies with the plus and minus signs in the handout.

The study analysis needed a bit of work.

Nothing to say here

You could have mentioned that these were the most recent studies earlier in the presentation. When you mentioned it was fine, but I think it could have had more impact earlier.

No comment

A more succinct recommendation could be given, or use a case so that the recommendation has context

No real conclusion was drawn. So a more clear direction would be helpful.

I personally would have benefited with more background information about the standard of care for opioid detoxification management. You provided the list of drugs but their place in therapy (second line, used only in condition X, etc. ) would have been nice when evaluating the trials: clonidine, methadone, buprenorphine...what is the clinical significance?

More audience interaction and question opportunities would be nice.

The conclusion of whether or not you'd actually recommend tramadol wasn't firmly stated until posed a question by a professor.

A little more discussion of the overall conclusions and recommendations

No suggestions

Maybe choosing different wording, rather than saying "I don't have time to go over the mechanisms.." say "the mechanisms are complex and not a focus of this seminar, but for more info look at..."

Don't use meta-analysis for a seminar. Difficult to tell all the pertinent information.

nothing

Not a thing.

As mentioned, making a clear cut recommendation for pharmacy practice

## General Comments

Overall great presentation style and a very interesting presentation.

Overall very interesting subject and great presentation.

Excellent job.

Great information on a topic that i was not familir with.

Overall great job!

Great job, Jon!

Overall, the presentation was well done.

Great job!

Great job Jon!

great job overall john!

Over all this seminar was very well done!

Great job adding your own tables and charts to sum up the results of the studies. It was very helpful for visual learners.

enjoyable. good cookies.

Great job overall! Good presentation skills and confidence.

Great seminar. Well prepared.

Overall nice job.

Wooohooo!!!!

I thought it was really interesting how you mentioned the risk of overdose after using tramadol to treat opioid abuse. I don't know a lot about that, but because of your seminar I think I will look into it more.

Overall very good presentation.

Great job overall

Really interesting topic and great presentation overall!

I would have liked a stronger conclusion: what recommendation would you make?

Great job! Good flow, background was great, nice pace, good referring to handout, nice chart to summarize the findings from the studies.

Thanks for the cookies too

Good job.

Nice job.

The cookies were amazing!!!!!!!!!!!!!!