

# Presenter: Richards, Kelsey

Seminar Date: 2013-10-23

## Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.63	6.69	6.83	6.84	6.93	6.81	6.95	6.5	6.38	6.2	6.36	6.63	7	6.9	0	0	0	E (47.1)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	15	5	1	0	0	0	0	6.67
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	14	5	2	0	0	0	0	6.57
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	11	8	1	1	0	0	0	6.38
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	20	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.9

## Presentation Style Comments

Seemed some what nervous, but you keep your pace.

A bit nervous

Great job giving background and answering the questions that were asked

Appeared to be nervous, but still presented in an organized, professional manner. Well done.

Great job at not relying on your notes.

Kelsey only used her notes when appropriate.

It might have helped to practice the slides even if there are lots of numbers.

Kelsey showed a little nervousness initially but I feel like she settled in and was very effective in her presentation. She needed to use her notes a little bit which is understandable considering all of the numbers in her presentation.

Pacing was a little fast. Probably due to nerves.

A little nervous at times, but weathered many distractions (vacuum, star wars jingle) without losing her spot.

Really liked how she went through ICER calculations

You began with a touch of nervousness, but then relaxed and did very well, nice pace

great

Great pace, and no distracting mannerisms

Kelsey did a great job with pacing and presenting the material. You could tell she was nervous but she was able to engage the audience during her presentation.

Kelsey was a little nervous with her mannerisms and voice, totally understandable!

Pace was a little slow at times.

Good pace

Kelsey was a bit nervous at first, but gained confidence as she presented.

The pace wasn't as consistent throughout the presentation and I found some mildly distracting mannerisms with your hands but I liked how you made the material appropriate for the audience.

You seemed a little intimidated by the audience. I know public speaking is hard, but remember you know this material backwards and forwards. You don't need to be intimidated by us.

## Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	13	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.57
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	19	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	14	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.62
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	17	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.71

## Instructional Materials Comments

More orientation to tables

Please don't cite Lexicomp or UpToDate as references! Take the time to look at the literature they are using for their information (FDA package inserts and primary literature)

Especially liked the table provided in the handout that went over the studies

Did a fantastic job refreshing the audience on the different economic studies and models that were used.

The CE studies are new to most, and we could have used more explanation, orientation to graphs/tables.

Some of the important points could have been put in bold or a different color to provide emphasis.

some wordy slides, start new page when a new study starts

There was a lot of information on some of the slides so use of bold or colored font for important information in the slides would have been nice but overall it was very easy to follow along.

The handout and slides were organized well and made it easy to follow.

Did a great job on all instructional materials. I could have probably used more orientation to some of the charts. But overall, exceptional job.

Great slides. Maybe emphasize/bold what's important.

I would not site UpToDate or Lexi-comp. I feel you could find the origin of the information easily within UpToDate and package insert rather than Lexi-comp.

good work at explaining the cost effectiveness chart

Some of your tables were difficult to ready, break them up with more bullet points

Kelsey's material was very clean and crisp. It was easy to read. Bolding key points may be beneficial to draw the audience's focus to appropriate points. Also providing further orientation to complex charts

would be helpful to further engage the audience, particularly with concepts that aren't as familiar to the audience.

little trouble orienting a graph, didn't cause too much confusion.

There were some numerical differences between slides and handout for one of the studies presented.

Organized handout

Kelsey did a great job of orienting audience to cost analysis studies.

Great job with a complex presentation and making it simple for us!

Slides look great! The handout did not seem to flow 100% with the presentation

## Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Appropriate background information was provided	18	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.81
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	15	5	1	0	0	0	0	6.67

## Overall Presentation Content Comments

Great review of cost effectiveness studies

Good job on an unfamiliar subject

Clear objectives that were easy to follow

Explained her interest in the subject and her enthusiasm for the content was apparent.

Great job at overall presentation. Background was a little unclear and confusing in the way it was presented. But I think MS is a hard subject.

I think the interest in the topic could have been filled out a little more.

it was very helpful of both background for study design and disease state

Kelsey obviously had great interest in this topic and was very well-prepared with her presentation.

I would have liked to see more background information about current step therapy guidelines. Transitions could have been smoother.

Some of the transitions were a little choppy, but overall really drew me in with the personal stories that tied in your interest in the topic, and the cost-analysis format was invigorating.

Interesting to see the costs of the drugs. Maybe tell us if there is a step therapy in place already.

Not a strong reliance on the slides, and smooth transitions. Nice

good

Great job on overall presentation content, appreciated that you gave a background on cost-effectiveness analysis which allowed me to understand and analyze your studies better

Overall, Kelsey presented the material well. She was engaged and one could tell she was interested in the material. She provided great background information and review for materials the audience had not reviewed recently.

transitions a little broken up

Explanation of the Markov model and decision tree outside of studies was lengthy, perhaps incorporate the explanation of the model when talking about the study to facilitate a better flow and eliminate so much time spent outside of studies and data.

N/A

More information about the proportion of the use of current agents would have been interesting.

Great outlines and objectives!

I love this presentation! I think that cost analysis is very important for us to understand. The intro was hard because it is such a complex disease.

## Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	6	1	1	1	0	0	0	11	6.33
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.81

## Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Great presentation of the data and discussion of the limitations

Well done

.

I do not remember covering any dropouts or withdrawals?

Extremely detailed and thoughtful analysis. Challenging topic, and well done.

I liked how Kelsey talked about what the payers do and how it related to the pharmacist.

should have a slide for limitations and strengths other than just stating them outloud

I really liked how Kelsey did a brief review of how statistical tests were performed and the significance of those tests in understanding clinical data. Some of those cost-effectiveness studies can get complicated and she did a good job sifting through the data.

The review of cost analysis studies was great. I feel that nearly everyone in the audience needed the review.

Did a great job analyzing the trials and presenting the data. I liked that you broke down some of the formulas and the ICER. I felt like I was able to keep up ok with the presentation with that little review.

I would like to hear more about the strengths and weaknesses

The flow of the models was still a bit confusing. Dont forget to define the parameters of the chart then present the data

good

Trials were presented very well

Kelsey thoroughly evaluated the material and did a good job making taking the cost-effectiveness data applicable to pharmacists.

good job explaining a tough subject

Good ideas for pharmacist involvement especially because the main issue at hand deals with payers.

No withdrawals/dropouts discussed

Great job of clearly presenting information in each study.

Your presentation of the clinical data (a presentation with LOTS of numbers) was organized and presented well! Great job!

I love that you walks us through the logic of cost analysis as well as your specific studies as a review



## Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	19	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.86
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

## Conclusions Comments

Could have had more discussion on what is currently 1st line

Great job on drawing strong, independent conclusions!

Great seminar

Would have liked to see a more in-depth discussion of step therapies and where this drug fits in.

Not quite sure how I can, as a clinical pharmacist, use this specific study. You mentioned how I can use information about CE studies, but how does this conclusion help me?

I really like how Kelsey said that fingolomod is not cost effective and should not be first line.

her conclusions were very sound and based on the studies

Kelsey came to the conclusion that fingolamod is not a cost-effective medication and I really liked how she took that one step further and stated where she would include this in the step therapy for MS treatment.

The conclusion was very solid. With background info on current step therapy, I feel that it would be easier to apply the conclusion to practice.

I thought Kelsey did an excellent job of extracting good conclusions from the data. She was very logical about extrapolating from the data she had and didn't get too wild with her conclusions.

Great job overall

I felt the conclusions to be thoughtful and appropriate.

g

conclusions were well supported by the data

Conclusions were well supported and Kelsey provided recommendations for pharmacy practice.

Good job with conclusion and thinking on your feet!

Conclusions were sound according to the research.

Good. A bit confusing on the treatment option being discussed as first or 2nd line as discussed in seminar

Kelsey did a great job of explaining how cost data is important in day to day pharmacy practice.

While the data was more applicable for payers and insurance companies, you applied it to us very well!

I like that you did not conclude beyond what your data allowed. You said that because there is no data vs the other drugs we cannot conclude on them, but the point had already been made.

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	20	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	18	0	3	0	0	0	0	6.71

### Question Answer Session Comments

Could tell you knew your stuff. No hesitation on answering questions.

Good job politely answering vague questions

Like that you stopped for opportunities to ask questions throughout the seminar.

There was one instance where she deflected a question with a non-answer and the individual had to re-ask the same question.

You didn't involve the audience much. But when you got questions, you handled them great.

Kelsey did a great job answering questions. She encouraged audience involvement with her questions slides but a lot of times this is not enough to get participation.

answered all questions or postponed them until after she went over the topic

I liked how Kelsey stopped throughout the presentation to allow the audience to ask questions.

Little participation was encouraged.

Did a great job of answering questions and figuring out what was really being asked. She also avoided lengthy discussion on unrelated topics, such as drug pricing policies:)

good at fielding answers

Don't be afraid to say "I cannot speak to that without speculation, let's revisit that question after the presentation" Dodge a question with this anytime you want rather than guessing numbers or presenting an opinion during your presentation that is not in the conclusions

I like how you stopped in the middle to answer any questions

Great job with answering questions and giving thoughtful, knowledgeable answers

Kelsey stopped throughout the presentation for questions and fielded questions well. She was able to professionally say, I did not look into this topic and state that the question was outside of the scope of her seminar.

I liked that there was a dedicated slide for questions half way through the seminar

Answered questions well and provided information needed to understand conclusions.

Good pauses throughout presentation to ask questions

Kelsey stopped several times to ask if there were any questions.

You killed this part of your presentation, awesome job!

I like that you stopped to ask questions during the presentation

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

### Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Great job

Very smart

.

Appeared very capable.

Seemed knowledgeable about CE studies and pharmacoeconomics.

It was clear that Kelsey had done her research and knew the topic very well.

She knew her topic very well and able to help us understand the topics

Overall, Kelsey was well-prepared and was able to discuss the topic without difficulty. All of her responses to questions were thoughtful and appropriate.

Great knowledge base was demonstrated. I could tell that lots of time and effort were put into the presentation.

I felt Kelsey demonstrated a solid knowledge base and seemed to enjoy the topic. She was able to keep up with pretty much any of the questions and let us know when she was making an educated guess (such as prevalence of MS patients taking her study drug.)

Great job

Clear that you have a high level of understanding of the subject.

good

Could tell you had a very good knowledge of cost-benefit analysis

Kelsey did a great job presenting complex material and fielding questions appropriately.

Very knowledgeable about subject and displayed that in the seminar

Distinguished between the clinical and economical relevance well.

Same comment as previous on 1st and 2nd line treatment

Kelsey presented good overall knowledge.

I could tell you that your investment and interest in this topic definitely helped make this presentation stellar!

I like that you brought it past these drugs and told us to think about the real cost of any treatment

## Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Great review of background information

Different, interesting topic

The clear objectives and background presented to understand the different types of studies that were presented.

The pharmacoeconomic study is not commonly seen in seminar. I really enjoyed such a unique approach to a pharmaceutical issue.

Great job at presenting a difficult topic. You had to teach about MS AND a new type of study (CE studies). Well juggled and managed.

I really enjoyed the unique topic. This is the first seminar I have heard about cost. It is an important topic especially since our healthcare system will be rapidly changing.

I really enjoyed the different types of study and seminar presented by cost effectiveness

Cost-effectiveness studies can be difficult to analyze but Kelsey was up to the challenge because it is a topic that she obviously cares about.

I liked the topic of the seminar. I feel that cost effectiveness is very important for our profession. It is not an easy topic to present on and I feel that the presenter did well.

It was neat to see the cost-effectiveness analysis. I think there should be more of these done in seminar. I also loved the slide color coordination -- very snazzy.

Great diagrams especially the models. Liked that Kelsey went over the ICER calculations

I think that this is an important and overlooked topic in pharmacy that we should all understand.

Thanks for including back ground on cost effectiveness since i forgot it all

Doing something different! Way to be brave, totally different style with the cost effectiveness analysis.

Kelsey is enthusiastic and enjoyable to listen to. She presented complex material and was able to orient her audience to appropriate charts and graphs to follow the material.

Good subject matter

Good idea to look at the cost effectiveness of a drug compared to other agents currently being used.

Good pace and answering questions. Thanks for the quick review on cost effectiveness trials

I really liked the information presented about cost-effectiveness analysis.

You handled the ringing cell phone distraction very well. You knew your material and slides like a legend.

I loved that this was on cost analysis! Great job

### **Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar**

more discussion on where the drugs to mentioned fit into treatment (1st line, 2nd line)

Don't cite Lexicomp, UpToDate

Could have found a mouse or clicker for the computer so you didn't have to click the laptop to change slides.

Did a fantastic job. Just continue practicing public speaking

You seemed nervous throughout the presentation, and just lacked some confidence at times. Certainly normal, but impacted your presentation at time. Great job despite!

I would have liked more emphasis on important points via bold print and audience participation.

practice and become less nervous

I started to lose interest towards the end because I got lost in all the numbers and data so I would recommend thinking of a way to keep the audience more engaged throughout the entire presentation.

Increase audience participation. At times it was difficult to stay focused during the seminar. With increased participation, the audience would be more focused.

Perhaps work in more questions for the audience, but I felt this seminar was pretty well done.

Kelsey seemed a little nervous but overall a great seminar

I would have liked to have had a more indepth explanation of the models used. More likley my short coming than yours, great job

Maybe include what the current step therapy for ms is

Slides could be a little more simple; easy to read

Watch your references and make sure you are citing appropriate material, i.e. avoid LexiComp and uptodate.

It seemed a little rushed, but overall it was Great!

Spend less time describing models by themselves, perhaps incorporate the explanation of the model into the part of your discussion about the study and how they used the model.



As discussed in class after seminar, maybe provide more clear definitions between use of 1st and 2nd line therapies.

It would have been helpful to know how much each agent evaluated is currently used.

Kelsey seemed a little nervous at times. My only advice would be to look at the projection screen (and not the computer) and to use the clicker that was in your hands instead of using the computer to click to the next slide.

Just be more confident up there

## General Comments

great job overall

Good job

Overall, I was quite invested in this presentation and I thought the slides and handout were well put together. I think she did a great job.

na

Kelsey did a great job and I enjoyed the refreshing topic.

split slides when too wordy and mention pros and cons of each slide on paper or on slide

I was impressed with Kelsey's preparation and interest in the topic.

Interesting topic. Don't usually see cost analysis seminars so this was a great topic!

Yeppers

good work

Enjoyed the review of the different models used for this type of analysis.

Great job!

Well researched and thought out topic with impact on MS patients, payers, and providers.

Great job overall!

No other comments.

What a fantastic presentation on our first seminar day! Well done Kelsey!

Great job. Thank you for the Drug Lit refresher

