Presenter: Duarte, Adrienne

Seminar Date: 2013-10-22

Presenter Scores

					Faculty Survey Data Averages								Final Scores				
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.84	6.83	6.9	6.9	6.82	6.96		6.75		6.7	6.17	6.5	7	6.5	0	0	0	E (46.81

Presentation Style											
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean			
1 Moderate Pace	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91			
2 Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	16	7	0	0	0	0	0	6.7			
3 Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	20	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.87			
4 Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	20	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.87			

Presentation Style Comments

Great presentation style. You did not seem nervous at all

Good pace, but I felt a lot of the presentation was read directly from the slides

Seemed confident but looked at the slides frequently.

Her presentation had a good flow and pace and it was easy to follow.

Hard amount of material to cover in the time frame but she managed to do so without seeming too rushed

Very well prepared and planned, I like that in the introduction the seminarian explain the layout of the presentation

great job!

Good flow throughout presentation. Seminar was easy to follow and held my attention.

Great presentation style. I like the way your encouraged question at different point throughout the presentation

Very good overall flow and presentation skills.

Great pace especially with having to cover 4 studies.

I thought Adrienne did a great job presenting. She has a clear voice, which makes it easy to listen to her and understand the information. However, it seemed she relied on the slides more than she should have, making it difficult for her to have much eye contact with the audience.

She went a little fast because she had to get through 4 detailed studies. She also tended to read from her slides frequently especially towards the end. However, she did have a lot of material and I don't blame her.

The presenter made a great effort to maintain eye contact with the audience throughout the entire presentation.

The pace was excellent. You engaged the audience by making eye contact and showing your confidence as a presenter.

The thing about gum and using water instead was distracting and somewhat unprofessional.

Adrienne was very organized and poised in her presentation.

Was a little fast paced.

Talked with confidence and had good flow

Great pace and professionalism during entire seminar

Very professional and well-prepared. Try not to look at your slides too much.

Good pacing. No distracting mannerisms. Material was appropriately presented.

Ir	nstructional Materials									
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	18	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.78
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	17	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.65
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Instructional Materials Comments

I would have liked to see the data presented in a graphical format and less words on the slides but it was a very complex topic. You did a great job of taking a lot of studies and condensing down the information into one handout

Only noticed 1 or 2 minor grammar issues, otherwise great

More graphics, pictures, or charts could have helped drive home some points and break up the text.

She had one picture which she knew what it was about. However, having multiple pictures would help the audience understand the mechanism of action of the drug better and will help them remember all about the medication.

The handouts had information that was not able to be covered in the presentation which was good to have during the Q&A session.

Everybody was talking about graphs and pictures, what the seminarian used was appropriate from my point of view

wonderful handout

Include more pics and images to break up text heavy slide.

Well put together

Some slides were a little wordy or could be easier to follow. Just one or two, most of the power point presentation was great.

Great job with materials but could have divided some of the material up into charts to make it easier to read and compare.

Adrienne did a great job putting together her handout. I liked how she broke up the handout by using color/contrast in order to indicate the start/end of the studies. I also thought she chose good colors in her power point presentation. I would have liked to see more pictures in her slides/in her appendices. Also, I noticed that she used uptodate as a reference, when it is generally preferred to reference the original source of information.

She didn't seem to have many graphs, pictures, or diagrams which would have been better.

The presenter did a great job of citing all of her sources. One comment about the slides would be to put more pictures in. It would provide a better understanding for the audience.

The slides were great. I did not see any spelling or grammatical errors on the slides or in the handout.

Well done.

The primary literature was well organized and referenced throughout the presentation and handout.

Clear outlines of studies. Would have liked a graphic or summary table of the 4 studies.

Handout was very thorough and easy to read

Handout was easy to read. Great explanation of MOA of spermatogenesis.

great

Slides and handout had little to no grammatical errors and were easy to follow.

Overall Presentation Content										
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean		
1 Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91		
2 Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91		
3 Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	20	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.87		
4 Appropriate background information was provided	20	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.87		
5 Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96		

Overall Presentation Content Comments

I liked that you evaluated all of the good studies you were able to find and didn't limit yourself to just two. I think everyone should do that.

Maybe I missed it, but I didn't catch what the interest was in the topic

I liked how you found your topic, it was something we could all relate to. Wondering why someone was on a certain med when there is no indication for that person to be on it.

Her slides were well organized. It would have been better to get more smooth transition between the studies.

Background information was provided but was presented very quickly, during the presentation this information was vital to understanding and evaluating the studies and not having a thorough explanation of the significance of the different disease states and abnormalities left me struggling to effectively follow the material.

The controversy was well explained, the side effects needed more emphasis

very well organized

Nice job covering four studies thoroughly in 30 minutes.

Great flow

Great topic with a lot of background info and interesting to learn about.

Was very well prepared and showed interest in the topic and made it easy to follow even though there was a lot of material.

I thought Adrienne did a great job showing her interest in the topic. It is clear she knew the material inside and out, which made her presentation flow well.

She created very clear and useful objectives for self assessment. It would have been nice if she could have talked about clomiphene guidelines in females as some background information to orient the audience to the drug's use in a different population and what the differences are between its use in

males versus females.

The presenter was well organized throughout her presentation of the studies.

The introduction and purpose as to why you picked your seminar topic were explained thoroughly. This made me interested in the topic as well.

Background was succinct and relevant. Objectives were met well.

Adrienne did a great job discussing the background of her topic and its relevance clinically.

Great topic. My brother in law is currently using this therapy and it was nice to learn about it.

By including more than 2 studies, it showed that she had thoroughly researched her topic and had a solid knowledge base.

Presentation had smooth transitions and I liked how the student explained their direction of their seminar presentation after presenting the background material.

Very thorough and organized.

Articles were analyzed appropriately. Presenter did a great job assessing the appropriate statistical tests that needed to be conducted.

Р	Presentation of Clinical Data										
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean	
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	19	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.83	
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	20	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.87	
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96	
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96	
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	6.9	
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91	

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Great statistical analysis. You were able to get through all of your studies quickly but it was obvious that you knew how to evaluate each study.

Seminarian appeared to have a good grasp of the statistical measures

Analyzing 4 studies because they were older was a great idea and gave more support to your overall conclusion.

It is pretty hard to have a really good analysis of study strengths and limitation for one study however, she had four studies and I felt that she presented study strength and limitations for each one really well.

The individual trials were all evaluated and presented properly

I think to present all of this for 4 studies is a very intense task, outcomes were well explained great job

withdrawals and dropouts were not discussed--perhaps this is because these were old studies.

I like the way you compare all 4 papers

She was able to indentify if the appropriate statistical analyses were used. I was very impressed.

Did a great job presenting the important information from the studies.

She definitely chose a difficult topic/a lot of articles to present on. Nevertheless, she presented the material well, and even made time to go through the statistics of the trials very thoroughly and clearly with much thought. She did a great job presenting the clinical data.

She provided a very detailed and thoughtful analysis of the study and strengths and limitations

especially related to statistical analysis and the types of tests that should be used based on the type of data (ordinal versus continuous versus discreet)

The presenter was able to take into account the different factors that would make each study strong or weak.

The key points for each of the four studies was presented extremely well. Although there wasn't a lot of detail in the studies as you stated there was enough presented to help me understand the conclusion and what I as a pharmacist should suggest to the patient.

Some of the study details became muddy. There was a lot of information.

Adrienne did an awesome job succinctly summarizing the multiple studies she referenced in her seminar.

Each study was explained well especially statistics.

The way she presented limitations and strengths was very clear to understand and allowed for better interpretation

Seminarian showed tremendous knowledge of statistics during each study presented in seminar.

Very good job on analyzing and explaining the statistics of studies, I could tell you knew your stuff.

Great assessment of the articles.

C	Conclusions											
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean			
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.91			
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	16	6	1	0	0	0	0	6.65			
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	17	5	1	0	0	0	0	6.7			
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7			

Conclusions Comments

I think your conclusion based on the data was okay but the studies didn't really prove clomid improved pregnancy rates in any way. You did a great job of discussing the pharmacist role on counseling patients

Generally good here

An overall table comparing the studies would have been nice to bring everything together at the end.

She did a great job choosing studies and doing a research for each one of them; However, conclusion from four different studies, was kind of hard to follow and understand.

The studies all looked at slightly different aspects when it came to the efficacy of clomiphene. This left me wanting to know how they all tied together.

the conclusions were appropriate and consistent with the information provided

good job

Perhaps make a slide with overall specific conclusions for treatment recommendation: What specific population would this be best suited for?

Great job on conclusion. I would have liked to you call about key points of all 4 article and then a final conclusion

Clinical recommendations were not clear at first until she was prompted by a direct question.

Did a good job with recommending where clomiphene role is in treating male infertility. When talking about the results from the studies she did not talk much about the clinical importance which would have been nice and it got a bit confusing since some of the studies had multiple arms on which treatment comparisons showed a difference. Would have liked a nice summary table.

I believe that the conclusions she came to were supported by the literature, however a "grand master" conclusion would have been helpful to tie all the information and all of the studies presented to us together.

Her conclusions were based on information in the trials she presented.

The presenter made a great conclusion and was able to support it with her studies.

The conclusion could have provided specific recommendation as what a pharmacist should do. However, it was stated during the question answer portion.

Did very well interpreting data.

Adrienne made very clear conclusions regarding the clinical significance and recommendations she would make as a pharmacist.

I agree with your conclusion

Her conclusion was consistent with what she found within the studies

Conclusions were short and precise. Pharmacists role was realistic.

Maybe one an overall conclusion for the studies combined, not just one for each study. Well done.

Data supported conclusions. Presenter adequately listed the role of pharmacists.

(Question Answer Session								
3	# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Question Answer Session Comments

Great Q & A. You could tell you knew your stuff

Put question slides at appropriate times throughout the seminar

Good job at answering the questions.

She encouraged interaction with the audience and she had done a lot of research on the subject including contacting the manufacturer and review of different studies. Her answers to the questions proved that she knew a lot about the subject she was presenting.

She fielded question appropriately and solicited them throughout the presentation

The seminarian was good at interacting with the audience

you really showed that you put a lot of work in this through the answers

Adirane answered questions confidently and with poise.

Fantastic job here

Excellent at answering questions. Each answer showed she did a lot of research.

Was very well prepared for questions and demonstrated her full knowledge on the topic.

Adrienne had great knowledge of the topic and encouraged the audience to ask questions.

She encouraged questions multiple times throughout the presentation. I was very impressed with how well she answered audience questions! She was calm and composed and provided excellent answers to questions.

The presenter provided a lot of opportunities to ask questions.

You were able to answer the questions thoroughly and I really liked that you had multiple spots where we could ask you questions.

Handled questions very well.

Adrienne was very helpful and encouraging in her response to questions.

Great answers to some hard questions.

Had a lot of difficult questions and yet was able to adequately answer them

Seminarian encouraged questions and was able to answer questions appropriately.

Excellent job of answering questions and being accurate and confident in the answers. Clearly you did a lot of research outside of the studies you presented.

All questions were thoroughly answered without hesitation.

C	Overall Knowledge Base										
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean		
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96		
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91		
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91		
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	19	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.83		
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96		

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

You had a good knowledge base and I liked that you described the MOA of clomid

Good job knowing the material

I liked how there were several opportunities to ask questions throughout the presentation.

She had a great knowledge on Clomiphene since she had gone above and beyond to find more information. She had contacted the manufacturer which was pretty impressive and she had reviewed a lot of studies.

She had very thorough knowledge on the subject and it was apparent that she had researched the topic much more thoroughly than just the studies presented.

The background information demonstrated a good knowledge base

great topic

good knowledge base

Very knowledgeable on the subject

She was able to come to her own conclusions for each study and present the findings in her own way.

Had a very strong knowledge base on the topic. I was impressed by how much she had researched the topic and including 4 studies is very impressive.

This student analyzed the data well. She was able to select important endpoints (number of pregnancies), and tease them out from unimportant endpoints.

She was able think on her feet and theorize well when asked questions. She also demonstrated knowledge beyond the facts presented in the way she answered audience questions.

The presenter was very knowledgeable about her topic and this showed through her answers of the questions.

I thought you were able to use previous research articles you did not include to emphasize the important aspects of the clomiphene and to answer many of the questions. This showed you did your research and knew the material.

Did well with answering questions even when it wasn't something she was sure of. Was great with referencing other studies that weren't specifically included in her presentation.

Adrienne demonstrated thorough understanding of background studies aside from those cited specifically in her seminar.

You could tell you studied the topic and knew it well.

Overall knowledge base on her topic was good. She was able to answer a lot of the questions

Great knowledge base about the subject of spermatogenesis

Well done!

Clearly demonstrated baseline knowledge and was able to conclude beyond the authors' conclusion.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked that you looked at ALL of the good data on the subject. I left the seminar feeling like I could draw a real conclusion on the subject because you did a complete literature search and presented whatever studies were available. Sometimes, if only 2 studies are presented I have questions like are there other better studies that could prove different results. Your seminar left no questions.

I liked the topic selected as it seemed to be a more obscure/less recognized issue among men

There were several opportunities to ask questions to clear up confusions about the studies or overall presentation. Good job at answering questions and clarification.

I really liked her confidence in answering questions and the knowledge she had on the subject she was presenting. She knew a lot about Clomiphene and one thing that amazed me was that she had contacted the manufacturer and tried to collect more information about the medication.

She showed a vast knowledge on the subject. She showed initiative by contacting the manufacturers and that she knew not only the significance of the studies she presented but also of many other studies that she did present.

The topic was easy and measured outcomes were difficult but the seminarian did a good job addressing those barriers

i loved the topic and the fact that you contacted the manufacturer

interesting topic

Students was well prepared. Maintained eye contact throughout presentation. Great response to questions being asked.

Interesting topic and well researched.

She was very well prepared and did a great job with pacing given that she had 4 studies to cover. All 4 studies were well covered and I didn't feel like it was rushed or missing any information.

Adrienne did wonderfully with the question and answer, showing she did an in-depth review of the literature.

I liked how well she explained the statistics.

The presenter did a great job of organizing all of the studies. This made it very easy for the audience to follow along and not get overwhelmed.

I thought you were confident and showed that to everyone in the classroom. I also liked that you had multiple breaks for questions I think it was a great idea to have a question break after each study as many people had questions about that specific study previously discussed.

It seemed like she had thought her seminar out very very well.

I really liked the unique topic of clomiphene in male infertility.

Great topic and explained studies well.

Very nice and smooth flow

I liked the detailed statistical analysis as well as the explanation of why certain tests should have been used during the studies.

She was confident, engaging and well-prepared.

Good assessment of the appropriate statistical tests that should be conducted.

very good pace and flow

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

I would have liked to see less words on the slides and more graphics

I keep going back to this, but I like seeing more pictures in a presentation.

Adding graphs, charts, or pictures to help breakup the text or to emphasize a point would have helped keep the audience engaged during the presentation.

Having fewer studies would have helped us follow the studies and conclusions easier and it would have been easier to follow the overall conclusion of the study, although her four studies were great and her conclusions were awesome.

There may have been too much material presented. Many of the studies looked at different aspects which left me wondering how they all tied in together.

I think she did a great job, everything was addressed in the seminar evaluation

include pictures or graphics

more images and pics in presentation. less text

It will be nice to give a summary of each paper and then a final conclusion

Be very direct and concise on what your clinical recommendations are.

Could have included more tables/charts/pictures to present the information in a different way and make some of it easier to follow especially a summary of all the studies combined would have been nice.

I think her slides could have been a little less text centered, and have some more graphics/images.

She could have not read from her slides so much.

I felt like more visuals could have helped. It would keep the audience more engaged in the topic and could potentially provide a different to understand the topic.

I think that your conclusion could have been more detailed. However, I think overall the presentation was put together extremely well.

Small improvement on professionalism could be made.

Adrienne could have maybe even more specific in her conclusion and recommendations upfront without requiring someone to ask, she definitely knew what she wanted to recommend it was just not stated directly without clarification.

I felt having 4 studies requires a summary table, slide, or graph to wrap it all up.

Could have included more tables and graphs

Graphs/pictures to show the results of the studies would be great in order to visualize the results of the study.

Try not to look at your slides too much, and extrapolate a little bit on the points that are on your slides.

Presenter talked a bit fast, but it was not distracting in any way.

quality of articles

General Comments

It was obvious that you spent a lot of time on the seminar and you did a great job. Yay! no more seminar

Good work overall

Overall good job.

I liked her presentation style and the amount she knew about the subject she chose to present.

The presentation was good overall. I found myself struggling to know the significance of all the results given that different aspects were focused on in the studies.

Great job!

great job!

overall nice job!

Great job!

Great job, overall!

Overall excellent job and I know have a better ideal of the role of clomiphene use in male infertility which I had actually seen prescribed before and was curious as to how/if it worked.

Adrienne did a wonderful job presenting this seminar. It was an interesting topic, and she definitely challenged herself by going through that much data. Great work!

It would have been better to shorten your analysis of the studies since you included 4 studies instead of just 2.

Overall, the presenter was very knowledgeable and able to provide great responses to the audience's questions.

I think that your confidence, and understanding of the material seen throughout the presentation.

Great job, very relevant and informative seminar.

Great job! Well organized and thoughtful seminar presentation.

Overall great job.

Overall a very good presentation!

Great job overall

good job!

Overall, a great presentation. I learned a lot.

two presentations in my 1st seminar were great and two students were very confident about their research findings; however, the quality of studies was a big question marks to me.