

Presenter: Hinckley, Paul

Seminar Date: 2013-10-22

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.96	6.93	6.92	6.89	6.96	7	6.97	6.13	6.71	5.9	6.11	6.38	7	6.2	0	0	0	E (46.51)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.89

Presentation Style Comments

.

Overall very professional presentation but felt that substantively it was a bit shallow, perhaps due to the broad nature of the topic

Very clear and easy to follow.

Great pace and it was evident you knew all of the material.

Good control

good job watching the clock

Great laid back presentation style.

Grate pace

Pace was good, knew the presentation. Stumbled a couple times where confidence should have carried you through.

Confident, relaxed, used space to move around.

Na

Very good pace

Your personal experience really helped you to have confidence in the presentation of your topic. Good job!

Excellent pace and presentation style.

Excellent presenter! The pacing back and forth seemed a little unnatural, but no big deal.

good pace, easy to follow

Excellent pace, flow of presentation was comfortable.

Good pace, kept audience on his pace. Didn't let questions throw him off

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.89

Instructional Materials Comments

Could have gone with some more data relating more specifically to the topic, or narrow the topic

Material was very well organized.

Great slides and handout. No spelling errors were evident. Clear and easy to read.

No comment

NA

Great handout. It was nice to follow along with the presentation through the handout

Great narration to slides

no additional comments

A few grammatical errors were present, but slides were nicely formatted. Provided reference to any photos or graphs that were presented.

Na

Very good presentation format

Good job

Great job with your materials. The slides were really well done and handout was useful although a bit wordy...if you could incorporate more graphical material it would be helpful

Clear tables and graphs. Oriented the audience to them.

i liked the pictures. they made it easier to understand

One MINOR piece of feedback, I noticed that some of the slides were not uniform. For example the strengths and weaknesses presented for the studies were split on to two slides for the first study, then

shown on the same slide for the second. I think to have made it uniform would have looked a little nicer. However this did not effect the quality of the presentation.

Orientation to graphs helped to keep audience focused on important aspects

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	14	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.78
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.83
4	Appropriate background information was provided	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

.

Interesting topic!

Good job.

Great interest in the topic- clearly you were interested and it showed! You were the expert and it was great to see a seminar that was presented a little differently than what we are used to!

.

NA

Great interest in topic

Very interesting how different the 2 systems are.

non traditional seminar and as such the controversy and objectives were somewhat lacking.

Great interest in topic. Wasn't exactly sure what your goal was with the controversy when you first began the presentation, but it did become clear towards the end. Tough structure to tackle.

Na

very interested

Obviously you were passionate about your topic. I really liked that you defined the scope of your seminar since pharmacy practice is such a broad topic. Your background section was very detailed, and I enjoyed being able to learn of the differences in our practice compared to another country.

Very well done, nice discussion as to while you are interested and clear objectives

Very interesting topic. Nice to have something out of the ordinary. Presented interesting facts first: great "hook!"

very organized

There was a clear reason why you were interested in this topic. I think it may have been better to hone in a little more specific on that controversy. For example, your studies compared error rates from the US to Germany but at the end you concluded with ways we could change US pharmacies to be like German pharmacies. I think that maybe picking one thing, like just moving the OTC products behind the counter, would have been easier to follow as an audience member. It was a big topic to narrow down.

Easy to see interest in topic, however, studies didn't seem to correlate completely with overall purpose of the seminar

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	14	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.78
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.88
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	6.88
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

.

I know you're limited in seminar but I think the breadth of the topic called for more data

Relevant.

Your clinical data was different than is what is normally presented- so most of this doesn't apply to your seminar.

.

NA

Great presentation of clinical data. There were some of the methods that were not clear, but you answered any questions about them.

Different type of studies - not use to them really. But you told me everything I cared about

was not very clear if the objectives were appropriate or comparable between the studies presented.

The objectives of each study were well described. Analysis of strengths and limitations were thoughtful and thorough.

Na

very interesting data

Good job- strengths and limitations very well thought out.

Not a lot of data to present but what was presented was very well done

Good selection of studies to support overall topic

he presented only important points- relevant to his topic. very nice

Covered the studies appropriately.

Studies seemed a little random as to the purpose of the seminar

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

.

Conclusion was great as far as roles for Pharmacists

Good recommendations.

Loved your conclusions on what we could do different here in the United States and what we could learn from Germany. Great job.

.

NA

Great, I liked the pharmacist role and what we could implement into our health care system. Health cards for everyone!!!!

I liked how you conclusions and applications were bold and lofty.

i really liked how you tied your conclusions in with the oath of the pharmacist

Came to tough conclusions for the PharmD role and stood your ground. Pulled from personal experiences which made them more relevant to us as PharmDs.

Na

strong work

Your conclusions were great! I really enjoyed your "ways to improve pharmacy from Germany" to make our practice better and more safe for patients.

Good conclusions - i really liked how you listed several things that you thought could be incorporated into our way of doing pharmacy

Great inclusion of personal experiences and tied it back to the U.S. well and made it applicable to all of us.

very good seminar

Gave specific examples of how we could change our pharmacies in the US to mirror German ideas.

Interesting topic overall kept audience engaged

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

.

Great job on cultivating and answering questions

Answered all questions. Gave many opportunities to ask questions.

You did not let questions throw you off, and you didn't pretend to know answers to questions you didn't know. It was great.

.

NA

great job at answering question.

You nailed it here

great job answering and speculating on questions

Clearly you were the expert. ;)

Na

awesome on the spot thinking

Very prepared to answer a variety of questions AND you weren't afraid to say that something was outside the scope of your seminar (that you would have to discuss it later so it wouldn't take away from the time you had to present).

lots of questions, excellent persepective, quick responses, etc.

Great time management of questions. Kept the presentation on track.

he understood the topic very well and could answer all the questions without any hesitation

This was an interesting presentation and topic that was easily inviting to ask questions about.

Good question/answer sessions

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

.

Demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject

Excellent knowledge of his topic.

Expert on the subject!

.

NA

You did your research and it showed

On your feet. knew the subject well /

no additional comments

Was able to handle a large load of questions in a succinct and thorough manner. Was able to theorize answers (which is great because what else could you do?).

Na

good knowledge

Good job

clearly you have a lot of knowledge and were the expert in the room - well done and very nice conclusions based on your experience

Great knowledge of topic. Easily answered audience questions. Well prepared.

deep understanding about his topic

As Paul had actually been to Germany and seen pharmacy practice in person it was easy to trust his conclusions about how they may be better or worse than US pharmacies.

Did great job of thinking about questions posed and not having pre determined answers

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

awsome choice of topic.

Interesting topic I haven't considered before

Great topic. Actually kept my interest.

I liked that you chose a different topic- and it was clearly something that you were interested in. It was nice to have a change from the same type of seminar we sit through every week.

Had many breaks for questions

you could tell your passion on the subject

great topic choice. I sometimes feel bored with the same "our new drug for this disease state" seminars. Your was a great change to that and got everyone thinking about how we could improve our system.

Like a breath of fresh air. Really enjoyed the different format. I think we need to be open to the fact that our way may not always be best

very interesting outside the box seminar topic, I am really glad you are in my section, as ive always wanted to learn more about pharmacy practices internationally.

I liked the social topic and ability to take on a different aspect.

Good slide organization

interesting

You applied to Oath of a Pharmacist to your conclusions--it's just not something that I would have thought to include. Your topic was unique and excellent! Great job!

Topic was fascinating and provided an interesting perspective of how US and European pharmacies work

Interesting, unique topic with intriguing facts.

He presented what he learn in Germany and compared to US healthcare system. it was very interesting

I really liked how easily the presentation smoothed. You could tell Paul was comfortable talking about the subject and wanted to talk about it.

Subject was interesting, with good background info

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

I would like to see more data quality of outcomes in both countries. In other words, I want to see who is actually better:)

Narrow the focus

More detailed information about the differences from Europe and the U.S.

Just always work on presentation skills- I thought you did great, but I think it is something everyone can always improve on- and I had to put something. :)

.

conclusion slides were wordy

perfection

I got nothing. just great

better explanation of outcome measures and if they are comparable as mentioned before.

Would like the controversy to be more clearly defined.

Perhaps a bit more depth into how we could effect changes here in the USA /

better format of powerpoint

Can't think of anything. Good job.

More relevant data comparing apples to apples studies would have made a stronger seminar but I understand the challenge of identifying data of this nature

Maybe a tad more enthusiasm. I know you are passionate about the topic, but the tone got a little flat a couple of times.

I wish he had more pictures??

I understand it was a very broad topic that was probably hard to narrow down, however I think it should have been narrowed down to one specific difference between US and German pharmacy to discuss.

Finding a better way to link overall purpose of the seminar to the studies

General Comments

.

Overall Great Job! Very interesting

Good job.

.

great job

A+ seminar, dare I say seminar of the year?

home run

no additional comments

Na

good milk

.

Great job Paul

Overall very interesting and informative

Thank you for a refreshing topic! I enjoyed sitting through this seminar.