

Presenter: Newland, Lacie

Seminar Date: 2013-11-05

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
7	6.95	6.99	6.95	6.93	6.95	7	6.63	6.63	7	6.6	6.75	6.5	6.1	0	0	0	E (47.06)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

.

Good professional presentation

Great pace with lots of eye-contact with the audience. I like that you didn't hide behind the podium.

Good style.

Great job.

.

.

NA

Great pace, one of the few where it felt like you didn't just read from your slides.

Good presentation style.

extremely strong presentation skills, confident, without relying on notes

Na

very smooth

As always, excellent job.

great pace and very professional style

Great job not reading directly from slides and adding extra info. Kept things interesting.

very professional

.

Very good eye contact, minimal reading of slides.

Instructional Materials										
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	17	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.79
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

.

Appropriate use and quality of material /

Everything was clear and easy to follow.

Good materials.

Very good.

.

.

NA

great handout, I like the additional information on the assessments in the appendix

Great handout.

very neat slides and handout, nice choice and orientation of graphs

Na

very informational

Your slides were very simply laid out and easy to read

instructional materials were excellent and the slides were well thought out and not cluttered

Spelling out acronyms would have been helpful on slides where there was room.

very clear and easy to follow

.

Very organized slides that were easy to follow, handout easy to navigate.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Appropriate background information was provided	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

.

Content was well presented and appropriate

It was great to see the personal connection to you interest in the topic.

Good overall.

Good overall presentation

.

.

NA

Great interest in topic

Good presentation.

excellent flow through the material, lots of material, but presented in a way which was easy to understand and follow

Na

very good presentation

good job

great...i liked the interest you had in the topic and your objectives were very easy to follow

Great job

well organized

.

Clearly stated interest in topic. Sometimes I felt confused of exactly what controversy we were looking at.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

.

Good use of data to support argument

Thank you for not reading off a list of the tests they used and explaining all the criteria. I preferred how you just touched on the important ones you looked at and what the significance was.

Good presentation of clinical data.

Good data.

.

..

NA

Accounted for everything

Good presentation and easy to follow.

good job focusing on the important info, to present all the material in a timely manner

Na

strong data

very good interpretation of data... clean conclusions that were applicable

very nicely done - great use of red boxes and highlighting the relevant details

Awesome job.

very detailed analysis. very nice job!

.

Appropriately analyzed results from studies.

Conclusions									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.89
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Conclusions Comments

.

Conclusions logically drawn from material presented

It is difficult in this disease state, I understand, but it still was difficult to make a steadfast recommendation with each drug.

Good conclusions.

Good overall assessment.

.

..

NA

Great conclusion supported by your studies.

Good conclusions.

good job recommending first line choice but emphasizing individualized therapy

Na

good conclusion

good job

very appropriate for the seminar, i liked the practical nature of focusing on specific sx that need to be addressed

Great analysis of conclusions and great formation of your own conclusion.

I liked that she presented very clear drug recommendations for specific sx

.

I felt like the conclusions did not stray far from author's conclusions. I am not sure if this is a lack of theorizing or just that the author's conclusions were very straight forward and correct.

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Question Answer Session Comments

.

Gave appropriate reply to questions, including a promise to get back to a questioner for one for which she didn't know the answer

Fantastic job at answering questions.

Good Q & A.

Answered all questions.

.

..

NA

Great at answering questions

Good job answering questions.

reacted to and answered questions very well, very professional

Na

good job thinking on your feet

Great job on your Q&A

great job

Very knowledgeable on topic.

she answered all questions throughly /

.

Encouraged questions and was able to theorize answers.

Overall Knowledge Base									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Knowledge on the subject was well demonstrated

Great analysis of each study and was able to touch on how to individualize for each patient.

Good knowledge base.

Good knowledge

.

..

NA

Great

Great knowledge of the subject.

it was clear by your confidence in presenting your material that your knowledge base is strong

Na

good overall knowledge

You know so much! The amount of information that you added to your presentation that wasn't included in your slides was amazing. Way to go!

very knowledgeable and clearly demonstrated through several Q&A

excellent

She understood the topic very well and was able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice.

.

Very thorough presentation

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

.

Knowledge base of seminarian on the topic was great and she was able to answer almost all questions

Thank you for not going into depth about all the surveys and analysis, rather than just summing it up to what is the most important thing to look for.

Most of it.

Pretty much flawless from beginning to end.

helped me understand PD and PDD a lot better

Very knowledgeable

you displayed a lot of confidence when presenting. and your slides were not wordy

I liked how you didn't just read from your slides. Very good at presenting your topic.

Good job presenting. The topic was interesting and you did great presenting it!

fantastic job putting everything into your own words on an easy to digest level, omitting unnecessary detail until questioned on it specifically. This is a difficult skill, especially in such a formal stressful environment, and you have it down

I liked the format of the handout

very smooth

You did a great job at sharing much more information than was on your slides. I have no idea how you remembered all of that information but I applaud you . Good job!

The topic was interesting and the way it was presented was very professional

Very engaging speaker. Kept my interest, explained things well.

by sharing her own experience with this disease she made it more personal.

Very well put together. Loved hearing about the personal connection to the topic

Presented without needing to look at notes or slides.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

.

Speaking just a bit louder would have been helpful

You seemed a little eager to give recommendations due to the personal connections. Not sure if the drugs would really give an improved clinical outcome.

Not much.

Little long.

Nothing you are awesome and you are going to impress with residency interviews

n/a

orienting us to the graphs will help us understand better

NA

Nothing

you stated at the beginning we would be looking at patient examples, you mentioned individualized therapy and thinking about which component we are dealing with when formulating therapeutic plan, but i think some more specific examples could have been useful or interesting rather than just this broad statement.

None

too much info

Nothing-- great job

na

Spell acronyms on slides.

more cookies?? i think it was very very good

Was a little confused on your charts and graphs because they was no orientation

I felt like I did not stay interested in the topic throughout the presentation, I am not sure how she could have made it more interesting. Maybe somehow enforcing how it is applicable to me and my career.

General Comments

.

Excellent job overall, very informative seminar and I really liked all the background info

Overall, great presentation with great audience interaction skills.

Well done.

Great job.

Your amazing... Great job

..

great job

Great job

no additional comments

Na

excellent job

None

Great job

Great job!!

overall just perfect

Overall very professional and well done presentation.