Presenter: Van Wagoner, Eve

Seminar Date: 2013-11-20

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
7	6.97	6.95	6.94	6.95	7	6.96	6.75	6.13	6.5	5.55	6.25	6.5	6.2	0	0	0	E (46.31)

Presentation Style

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

Pace was great.

Wow! Fantastic audience engagement! Zero reliance on your slides or notes. Very impressive! I also loved your super stylish and professional outfit/appearance.

Good pace. no reliance on notes.

very professional in relaying information.

Great eye contact! I'm not sure if you even looked at your notes. I appreciated that you spoke to the audience without just memorizing what was on your slides. It felt more personable.

The student did a great job of keeping the audience awake by using eye contact, moderate pace, etc.

She has impecable presence in front of the class, and really made us care about her presentation

You knew the material very well and did not need to look at your notes. You also did a good job maintaining eye contact.

Fantastic elaboration on slide points. No reliance on looking/reading from slides. Presented everything clearly with a great flow.

Great presentation. Confident and excellent pace.

You have a very natural presentation style.

Perfect style and flow.

You had a great pace and it was easy to pay attention.

Great

Her presentation was great. She was enthusiastic and moved around the front of the room, which added to the presentation.

She seemed very confident and fluent with the topic.

Great job on your style Eve

Really great presenter- eve is easy to follow and very engaging

Good pacing and minimal reliance on the slides

did not rely on slides. you were very confident and a great presenter!

The pace was a little bit faster. I could tell that you knew what you were talking about but from time to time you got a little ahead of yourself and had to stop and say we will get to that later. It makes it a little jumpy and difficult to follow when that happens. However you were very confident with great eye contact and a commanding presence.

Great presentation style, I envy your seemingly ease in presenting

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	19	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

The presentation was easy to follow and understand.

Very nice slides and handout.

Very clean and informative.

I only saw a few errors regarding periods and one of the words on the slide was wrong.

Slides and handout were really well prepared and flowed well together.

I did not notice any errors in the presentation or the handout.

the only comment I could think of would be to pay attention to the use of periods (sporadic use in the handout)

Your slides and handout were very clear and to the point.

There were very useful graphs, such as the graph to illustrate the cost-shifts in the Asheville Project and others.

Well organized slides and handout. Followed a logical progression.

Your handout and slides looked great. I liked the color you used.

Very thorough handout with all pertinent information succinctly presented.

Very good slides and handouts. I did notice a few spelling and grammar errors, but nothing serious.

Well done

Her slides were clear and easy to read. The handout was detailed and added to my understanding of the topic.

Good.

The slides were great, I liked the pie graph

Handout and slides were easy to follow

A few typos on the handout and inconsistent formatting on the handout

clear and concise handout and slides. easy to follow

This section had all the required components I would have liked a few more of the charts and graphs you had in your presentation. Especially the one for codes used to bill Medicare. There were a few spots I noticed the lack of proper punctuation but it was not a big deal.

A few errors in the handout

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	21	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Appropriate background information was provided	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91

Overall Presentation Content Comments

Topic was very interesting

Intro was good-applicable to real life and made me realize that I should value MTM.

Introduction was great.

Im not sure what the controversy was. I think most people feel that it is a good thing. If controversy was reimbursment then that should have been what the presentation focused on.

I really like how engaged you were throughout your seminar. It showed that you were truly passionate about your seminar topic.

All of the criteria were met for overall presentation content.

As was said multiple times in the discussion, she really cared about her topic, and made the attendees care too

You did a good job providing background information.

The background, including the history and definition of MTM was thoroughly explained. I was sold on MTM before hearing the studies!

Very interesting and relevant topic.

Some of your transitions were casual sounding which can be good or bad depending on the audience.

Very relevant topic, great job.

I enjoyed the 'different' type of topic, and your interest in it was evident.

NA

Her interest in the topic was clearly strong. She sent up the presentation in a manner which allowed it to be easy to follow. The order of the materials was appropriate and aided in my understanding of the topic.

Background and flow were good.

I liked your connection to the topic, it makes it very relevant

Definitely was apparent that she was interested in topic and did a great job showing that to the audience

Good controversy and background. I would have liked to hear more about what you think a financially feasible community based MTM service would be

great background and review of the controversy.

The content it self was clear and really well divided. I like how you attacked each portion of the presentation one part at a time. First it was answering the question "is this helpful". Then you moved on to "what are the obstacles". Then you finally hit us with "is it financially feasible". The organization was phenomenal and really made the topic easy to follow.

Great background and I like your personal interest and experience, provided a lot to the presentation.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	19	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Interesting studies, liked how they were presented.

Selected good studies

I felt that some of the studies were being presented as an FYI type study. Because of this there was some neglect used as far as the breakdown of the statistics. i think that it would have been important to look at all studies equally if they were going to be presented.

I like how you split up your seminar/controversy into two sections and split up presenting the studies in that manner as well.

Objectives for each study were concisely stated during the presentation, but could have been more concise in the handout.

I really appreciated how she presented studies that were very unlike the norm that are typically presented in seminar

You did a good job covering your studies.

She did a great job differentiating between statistical and clinical significance and what we be needed in the trials to determine clinical significance.

Studies were well supporting. Makes me surprised MTM is still not covered by all insurances.

I liked that you put 2-3 strenghts and weaknesses of the trials. I think you were missing p values for some outcomes.

Clinical data was well presented

Great analysis of the studies you provided, which was good with the non-uniform topic.

Very well done

She did a good job analyzing the data based upon the somewhat abstract nature of the studies. She drew strong conclusions from each study and used those later in the presentation.

Good

You had a wide range of studies, good job at keeping them all straight-

Results were easy to understand

The first study was missing the N=

i loved that you turned a lot of the results into charts that made it easy to see! you differentiated between the statistical and clinical significance.

There was plenty of data but what I liked most about it was the way you used it. You did not just put up a chart and let us wonder about its significance you explained in great detail why it mattered and used the data effectively to illustrate the points you were trying to make. It was not an obstacle you were trying to overcome it came off more as a tool that bolstered your argument.

Great analysis of clinical data, I liked the studies you choose to present too.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	20	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.86
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Conclusions Comments

Good conclusion.

Appropriate conclusions.

well supported conclusions and pharmacist role.

i would have liked to have had information looking at what can be done now for reimbursement. You mentioned Mirixa and Outcomes without explanation of what was required to utilize.

I think you were very thorough in your presentation about the role of ther pharmacist, but I thought it was sparse in the handout. You could also maybe include an overall conclusion slide.

It would have been helpful for the student to provide overall conclusions after presenting all of the studies.

She was so enthusiastic about her topic, and made us enthusiastic, making it probably the most effective "role of the pharmacist' of all

Excellent job!

Excellent job recommending roles for pharmacists and what we can do to implement and be reimbursed for MTM.

Clinical importance was clearly stated and relevance to pharmacy was supported by the studies.

You did well establishing the importance and the role of the pharmacist.

Conclusions were well thought out and presented.

Absolutely great conclusions, and great discussion on our role.

Great

She drew strong and clear conclusions at the end of the presentation. While the conclusions were from the studies, she also drew in personal experience which added strength to them.

I strongly disagreed with the conclusions of the presenter. The data presented consisted of two diabetes disease management studies and two pharmacist surveys regarding barriers/ reimbursement. From the studies on diabetes management, the disease management services (NOT the 5 component standard MTM services) were found to reduce healthcare costs to society through less sick days, less hospitalizations (NOT improve reimbursement/ financial feasability of MTM), in diabetic patients only (external validity?). The seminarian concluded that MTM services across the spectrum will lower healthcare costs for companies/ society (may be true, but the study intervention wasn't a standard MTM protocol alone). / / The latter studies showed that pharmacists expect more reimbursement than insurance providers and the public are willing to pay; however, the seminarian's recommendation was to start doing MTM (although it's currently financially unsustainable).

I liked the conclusions on implementation and advocacy. It may have been nice to have more about funding options-

Great information for the future

Reasonable conclusions based on the data presented

great conclusions for each study! just would have liked to see an overall conclusions slide

Everything was great your conclusions were seen at multiple points of the presentation. It would have been nice at the end though to do a conclusion summary slide just to tie everything together and remind us of the main points again

Conclusions well supported, liked your pharmacy role. Especially that you mention advocacy doesn't always mean approach senate, it can be in your local area or clinic.

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

The presenter answered questions well.

Great!

well prepared. gave great answers.

Was able to answer questions succinctly and thoroughly.

Great job with the questions; you clearly put a lot of thought into this topic.

Very well done!

Good responses to the questions

You were able to answer all questions with confidence.

She thoroughly and coherently answered all questions and was very prepared. It's impressive she knew all the answers to the questions asked.

Great at answering questions. Perfect.

You encourage questions with your natural presentation style. Great job answering questions.

Q and A really showed that the student prepared a lot for this seminar. Impressive!

Great answers to our questions.

Great

She was able to answer questions quickly and with clear and confident answers. Her answers often added more information that asked by the question.

Good job here.

Nice job here

Knew a lot about the topic and did a great job answering questions

Handled questions well

great job answering questions

You were really able to think on your feet quickly and theorize about where we need to make progress in this field. The question was posed about who we should be trying to bill for these services. Without a moments hesitation you were talking about primary payers and how they are the key to getting the insurance companies attention. Your answers were beautifully worded and short.

Great Q & A!

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Thorough knowledge base-amazing familiarity with the information.

very knowledgable.

I felt that there needed to be some additional discussion of conclusions in the context of previous research and comparison to current.

It was really clear that you knew a lot about this topic. I'm not sure how much of it was because of the seminar and how much from your rotation.

The student's overall knowledge base and topic specific knowledge and first hand experience made the seminar more meaningful and interesting.

She is so knowledgeable, and it really showed in her presentation

You are very knowledgeable. It shows that you are very passionate about this topic.

The presenter's knowledge base and preparedness was evident. Great job outlining what future studies should entail, which seemed to help to resolve the problems surrounding future MTM services.

Demonstrated to be a real expert in the subject. Very well prepared.

Good job reviewing previous research.

The student was well prepared to handle all questions and it was apparent that more work was put into this seminar than she was able to present.

It was clear that you knew much more than the small studies you presented and were able to think on your feet.

Very knowledgable

Overall knowledge base was amazing. She knew the subject forward and backward and was clearly very passionate about the subject. Her conclusions provided insight into the future application of MTM practice in pharmacy.

She was able to think quickly through the Q&A.

I wonder about how/why Medicare found MTM to be beneficial. And maybe how pharmacies are implementing it-

Very knowledgable- That was apparent throughout the seminar

Nice job

very thorough and obviously knew the topic well and made it so everyone was interested.

You were able to field all of the questions posed to you with ease. You spoke with confidence and authority on the subject. You also had first hand experience to call on during various portions of the presentation. It was very impressive and let everyone know you are an expert in this field.

Strong knowledge base.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

The presenter engaged the audience right from the beginning.

Interesting, informative and engaging.

interesting topic

Eve has a very natural way of presenting that allows the listener to follow and focus.

Really great eye contact. Very passionate about the topic.

I really liked how the student described her own experience with MTM.

Amazing presentation style and passion

You did a good job with your presentation. You were confident and did not need to use your notes.

I liked that the seminar was split up into the two topics of effectiveness of MTM and the financial feasibility of MTM. She provided great background on both. I really learned a lot about an important and very relevant topic pf pharmacy practice.

One of the best seminars I've attended. Great topic, confidence, and relevant conclusion.

I liked the division between efficacy and cost.

Very relevant topic that will affect many pharmacists in the future.

I loved this topic and I feel like it is incredibly important for all of us.

Very interesting subject

I really liked the clinical data included and the conclusions which were drawn. I felt like she see took a lot of time to analyze each study and draw personal conclusions. Her personal experience clearly added to her conclusions which really strengthened her seminar.

The presenter showed a high level of professionalism.

I liked how it tied in with the work you have been doing, interesting topic-

Very enthusiastic about the topic and was very interesting to listen to

Interesting topic

amazing job!!!!

Your presentation skills are impressive. I felt like you were a seasoned instructor who was concerned

primarily with getting her point across. You were void of any stage fright or anxiety. I hope you continue to demonstrate exceptional presentation skills in the future.

Great job! Interesting topic and I like that you had personal experience.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Provide more statistical data information on the slide.

No suggestions. It would be nit picky to attempt to find something to suggest needed to be improved upon.

Nothing comes to mind

More of a scientific look at the studies so that appropriateness and clinical significance can be eluded.

Include a conclusion summary slide.

It would have been helpful for the student to state overall conclusions.

Nothing

Great job!

I would've liked a summary slide summarizing her overall conclusions on all four trials.

Nothing. Perfect seminar.

The cost part was a little confusing for me because I know nothing about it. I would have benefited from a few more definitions.

No comments

There were a few minor spelling and grammar errors in the handout and one in the slides that I saw.

The conclusn was well supported, but I felt the conclusion didn't match the posed question as well as it could have

There was a ton of information in this seminar. Being concise and emphasizing main points would have added to project. Keeping additional information only in the handout would have been a way to keep the powerpoint concise and clear.

I would have liked to see the conclusions better align with the data: Diabetes patient self-care education and pharmacy followup lowers healthcare costs to society and improves diabetes patient outcomes. There is currently a disparity between what pharmacists are willing be reimbursed and what insurance companies and society are willing to pay for MTM services. This current situation makes MTM financially unprofitable, however, future studies regarding healthcare cost savings and/or laws

requiring certain levels of MTM by pharmacists may change the financial feasibility of MTM. More research is required.

Maybe a little bit more on the reimbursement aspect, but you did cover a lot of the big points...

No suggestions

More details on the studies

Really everything I have is nit picky. A slightly slower pace and a summary conclusion slide is all I can think of.

A few errors in handout, easy to do.

General Comments

Overall great presentation.

I learned a lot, thank you!

Great presentation.

I really enjoyed listening to the program that you are starting and I hope that you are able to implement it successfully.

Great job, Eve! One of the best seminars this year!

It was a very interesting and well done seminar.

great, great, great job Eve!!

Very informative and interesting seminar!

Thank you for teaching me many new things! I won't forget what I've learned! You are amazing, Eve, and so was your seminar!!

Very well done. I couldn't think of one thing I would have liked to see differently.

Great job. You are a great presenter. List it as one of your strengths!

Hands down one of the strongest presentations I have seen in a while. Very well done!

You did a great job and I hope that you feel proud.

Great job overall, very interesting

Amazing seminar. Overall knowledge base was incredible and really showed throughout the seminar from the intro to answering questions. I felt like this was a seminar I could take into my pharmacy practice and apply.

Well done.

Thanks

I think we need to look at other community based services besides MTM. It doesn't seem financially feasible. I think community pharmacists can have a big role to play in chronic disease state management, but I don't think MTM as we currently have it, is not the answer.

Great job. It was nice to see such a great example of a seminar that did not revolve around a specific medication. The organization and attention to detail were great.

Great job!