

Presenter: Namanny, Halee

Seminar Date: 2014-03-18

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.94	6.87	6.93	6.85	6.87	6.94	6.91	6.88	6.5	6.9	6.75	6.88	7	7	0	0	0	E (47.79)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Presentation Style Comments

Good job on presentation style. Consider moving away from behind the podium and addressing the audience.

You had a good pace and did well at not reading your notes.

Great eye contact, great confidence and great pace!

She was composed throughout the presentation and her body language and actions was appropriate and non-distracting

Halee was very profession during her presentation and looked confident.

Great pace and less reliance on note

Good pace and could tell that she had practiced since minimal reliance on notes.

Student was confident and spoke clearly and eloquently

Great pace and easy to follow. She didn't rely on her notes at all and was able to discuss slides in more detail than what was listed on the slide.

Seminarian had great eye contact with the audience.

Good pace, very professional.

Although Onye referred to his slides periodically he was clearly very prepared and knowledgeable about his presentation.

excellent pace and level of info

Solid pace throughout presentation.

Good pace and seemed well-prepared. Good job!

Good pacing, minimal reliance on notes, no distracting mannerisms.

Maintained eye contact and moderate pace during her presentation

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	12	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.71
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Instructional Materials Comments

Handout was well done. I like the large font size. I would like to see more pictures in the slides; pictures help reinforce the topic (for me anyways)

Good job at explaining the chart and then summarizing the importance and overall point of the slide.

Charts and graphs and pictures were used appropriately and it helped understand the MOA. Slides and handout were clear and easy to read and the information provided on handout was appropriate.

The handout and slides were void of errors and easy to follow

Her slides and her handout were very clean and easy to read. She provided the audience with good pictures to help clarify points.

Slides were easy to read

Good easy to read handout and slides. I liked how you boxed in the important results from the result tables on your slides so we could easily see what you were talking about. Great visuals!

The slides were visually appealing and the handout was very clear and easy to read.

Slides and handout were clear and easy to read. Provided adequate orientation to graphs and charts.

The handout and slides were clear and easy to follow.

In the handout, you used the word 'sot' instead of 'sought'. They have extremely different meanings. There were a few additional grammar errors throughout the handout and presentation.

Onye did a wonderful job orienting the audience to graphs and diagrams. Some of the tables and graphs were a bit pixelated in the handout but were still legible. Only a few minor typos were present in the handout, and none were observed in the presentation.

great but should find pictures without the copyright over the front of the structures

Slides were visually appealing and the handout was clean and easy to read.

Slides were very clean, organized and easy to read. Handout complemented your presentation well.

Slides and handout were easy to read with no grammatical errors. Good orientation of graphs and charts. Maybe instead of replicating the charts from the articles and boxing several points presenter wanted, recreate your own charts with the pertinent information.

Handout and power point were clear and easy to follow, reference was provided

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
4	Appropriate background information was provided	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88

Overall Presentation Content Comments

overall presentation content was great - I just found the last objective "consider the role of the pharmacist..." somewhat vague. Maybe use a work like "know" or "describe".

I liked the way that you introduced the subject. It was something we could all relate to and it peaked my interest.

Great visuals and the presentation was organized well. The controversy and background was presented well.

There were not any transitions during the presentation that appeared difficult and the flow was smooth.

Her presentation was well organized and she had clear objectives listed in her handout.

Great transition to the different studies

I like how you showed an example of a prescription that you got at work that was your inspiration for the seminar. I know that sorta of prescription was ethically questionable but did not know it was illegal in Utah.

I enjoyed the introduction; it was a very applicable introduction that drew me in

Very interesting topic. I liked how you added an example prescription and background on legal issues related to your topic. You were well organized and used appropriate transitions.

Seminarian provided a good background knowledge of the topic.

Presented the controversy well and provided adequate background information.

Onye described his interest in the topic and the background very succinctly and appropriately.

Could orient to background info better

Objectives were clear and seminarian had smooth transitions throughout presentation.

Your topic was really interesting. I liked how you chose something that you've seen in your practice.

The background was appropriate and provided all the information that we needed to understand your presentation.

Good introduction with clear purpose defined. Appropriate background information was given.

Interesting topic and enough background was provided at the beginning of her presentation

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	13	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.76
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.82

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Clinical data presentation is challenging. Good job on this, considering that neither of the studies were RCTs. I would recommend somehow adjusting the presentation of info in the handout. Large paragraphs in a table are not easy to sift through for information.

You did well at coming up with your own conclusions.

Presenting and explaining the statistical analysis is one thing that can be improved in future presentations. Other than that, the outcomes, objectives, and population size was presented and explained.

She provided information and explained the details of sources she was using. This is a difficult area to judge as the sources were based off of questionnaires and thus the strengths and weaknesses of the studies may have been an area of concern. She presented the information from the studies, but did not thoroughly go through their weaknesses and the implications these weaknesses may have and how those relate to the conclusions drawn from these studies.

Halee did a good job identifying strengths and weaknesses of her studies.

Great talk on the strengths and limitations

If a result is included in your seminar make sure to adequately explain it. I am not sure how the analgesic use in the first study related to the what you were evaluating in the seminar, but I might have just missed the explanation. If it was not important to the results then I would leave out that outcome to prevent confusion.

These studies were difficult to assess for dropouts and accounted for because they were observational trials and not randomized controlled trials. Student thoughtfully analyzed the studies.

objectives were concise and your analysis of the studies was clear and easy to follow.

The presentation was concise and flowed really well.

Trials were analyzed thoughtfully.

Onye provided a great analysis of his studies presented, very thorough and concise.

Very clear presentation of studies

Student was very knowledgeable of the statistics in her studies.

Good presentation of clinical data. My only suggestion is not to include extra data on your slides that you aren't presenting. I really liked how you boxed the information you were talking about on each slide as you talked about it, it made it very easy to follow you.

Good analysis of the articles presented. Thorough discussion of the strengths and limitations.

Object was clear and conclusion was relevant to her topic

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	13	3	0	1	0	0	0	6.65
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Conclusions Comments

I liked the conclusions drawn and agree with the proposed role of the pharmacist, especially as it pertains to a community pharmacist. Though it has never happened to me I can see the value of knowing the law regarding CII stimulant Rx's.

I liked how you were able to relate your topic back to us and our role as a pharmacist. You went into depth and gave good recommendations.

Conclusion and pharmacist role was expanded and it was strong. She explained her reasoning behind the conclusion well.

The seminarian's conclusions were in line with the studies presented. I feel there could have been more discussion on the clinical importance of the studies given the type of studies they were and how applicable the results of the studies were in terms of the general population.

She did a very good job discussing several responsibilities/roles of the pharmacist pertaining to her topic!

Well discussed pharmacists role

I really liked how you had a slide about prescribing CII's in Utah and informed us that the prescription is actually illegal in the state of Utah.

She drew specific conclusions and used the beginning example to prove the point that in Utah law, you cannot fill the prescription that was shown on the screen.

I don't think it's appropriate to conclude that long-term use of stimulant drugs increases drug abuse, alcohol consumption, and skipping class and decreases GPA and time spent studying. These were associated with the use of stimulant drugs not results of using stimulant drugs, and there are many confounders. It's inappropriate to conclude causation with the limitations of the studies you used.

The conclusion was clear and supported.

The comment from the faculty member about association vs. causation was a very important comment. I think your conclusions were not supported by the data. I think a propensity toward risk taking behavior could be the confounder that results in the association between using controlled substances, skipping

class, etc.

Onye did a wonderful job highlighting the pharmacist's role in his seminar presentation.

Good explanation of pharmacist role. liked the law portion

Conclusions were well thought out and the pharmacists' role was clear and informative.

I had no idea that prescribing these meds for academic performance is illegal. Very interesting and informative.

Conclusions reflected the data presented. Good discussion of the pharmacists' role.

Conclusion was Drawn from cohort studies, randomized studies should be better to support her conclusion

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Question Answer Session Comments

Excellent job on answering questions. I could tell you knew your material.

You were able to think on your feet and answer questions.

She provided questions that encouraged audience participation and she answered questions with confidence.

The seminarian was able to answer the questions posed by the audience appropriately and never appeared flustered. She encouraged involvement from the audience throughout.

Halee did a great job answering questions. She appeared nervous at the beginning of the question section but quickly calmed her nerves and answered the questions well.

Encouraged questions throughout presentation

Great job with questions you showed that you know more than just what was on your slides.

was well versed in her topic and was able to answer the questions posed.

I liked the methods you used to encourage audience interaction with questions for the audience and providing opportunities for the audience to ask you questions.

The seminarian answered questions very well.

Answered questions well.

Onye provided appropriate intervals for questions and responded confidently and knowledgeably.

well answered

Great job answering questions.

Answered questions very confidently. I liked the quiz questions you had throughout.

Gave enough time for questions and answered all questions well.

Student answered the question with confidence and was able to think on her feet

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.94

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

I could tell you studied this material. Nice work.

Your background was good. I especially liked that you looked up what physicians were looking at.

The student's knowledge on the subject was great. Expanding on statistical analysis and explaining the results will help the audience understand the data better. This is the area that can be improved in future presentations.

The seminarian presented the conclusions per the results correctly. There may have been a little more discussion of the results and how they should be looked at in a broader context of the studies, the designs, and the greater population rather than viewing the studies in a vacuum. Beyond that the seminarian analyzed the conclusions appropriately.

Halee seemed very knowledgeable on this subject and it was clear that she was well prepared for her seminar.

Discussed conclusions in terms of current practice

Strong overall knowledge base and it showed in your question and answer section.

She put a lot of energy preparing for the seminar and it was clear in her presentation.

You were able to think on your feet and demonstrate knowledge of the subject beyond the facts you presented, through your responses to questions.

Seminarian demonstrated thorough knowledge of the topic.

I didn't feel like your conclusions could have added more to the presentation. They didn't add much beyond what the author's concluded.

Onye clearly demonstrated mastery of the material beyond that presented in his seminar.

could have drawn out more conclusions but overall great knowledge base

Great knowledge base

You were able quickly and easily answer questions. Clearly you knew your stuff.

Presenter had good knowledge base and was able to think on her feet.

Overall knowledge was great in terms of background, indication. I learned a few things from her presentation

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I really like the occasional question for the audience. It helped keep me engaged and thinking back to what you had presented.

You introduced your subject well and your slides were clean and easy to follow,

I really liked her thorough assessment and recommendation on pharmacist's role. I also liked the questions she provided and encouraged the audience interaction.

The seminar had a nice feel to it, the seminarian presented in a way that created a comfortable environment while still maintaining professionalism.

Halee's presentation style was very professional. She looked confident and prepared during her presentation.

Pace and flow

I liked how you updated us all on Utah law since none of us in the audience before the seminar knew that the prescription was illegal.

I really liked the visuals; they were clean and highlighted the important things.

I liked how interesting the topic was and how well she presented everything. She was easy to listen to and her seminar didn't seem too long. She provided adequate information that was accessible to the audience.

The background of the presentation was very important and the seminarian did a great job at presenting it.

It was presented very clearly and in a professional manner. I liked the real-world examples provided.

I really liked the cost-effectiveness perspective of this seminar, and the introduction of a less commonly used but FDA approved agent for the relatively common C. difficile infection.

Very interesting topic, I especially liked the law portion

I liked the pharmacists' role and the inclusion of the Utah Controlled Substance Act in regards to prescribing stimulants.

You chose a very interesting and unique topic that is useful and applicable to us even now as interns.

Great topic and discussion of a controversial topic.

Interesting topic, and problem exists among college students

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Try to use RCT's. I know that is asking a lot for a topic like yours, but I think that it would strengthen your conclusions.

Tell us what type of study you would like to see to be able to rule out some confounders present in the study.

Presenting and explaining statistical and clinical significance is something that can be improved in future presentations.

There could have been more discussion on the application of the study results, and the weaknesses of this type of study and how that changes the real world application of the results.

Her final conclusions should have discussed the association between stimulant drugs and drug abuse/performance in school rather than claim that stimulant drugs can increase drug abuse or decrease performance in school.

More pics

Good job evaluating strengths and limitations but make sure to also consider other potential confounders that might impact the results before coming to your final conclusion.

I think that the causal link the seminarist stated wasn't backed by the studies.

She could have analyzed the studies a little more and come up with more appropriate conclusions.

The seminarist could have discussed the clinical significance of the study outcomes.

Be cautious in making conclusions that are supported by the data, especially with this type of study design.

The few typos in the handout could have been caught with another proofread by a colleague, although the material was well researched and presented.

very minor fixes overall great job

Take out information from studies that are not relevant to your seminar

I'm not sure if it would have been possible with your data but maybe presenting it graphically instead of on a chart would make it easier for the audience to quickly understand the results.

Maybe instead of replicating the tables from the articles, recreate your own tables with the information you want.

To select randomized study

General Comments

Great job overall

Overall good job and it was interesting.

Overall a great presentation and you picked an interesting topic. You showed great confidence and you had great eye contact with the audience.

The seminarian had good presence and her presentation style was appropriate throughout the seminar. She was able to discuss the studies and the results that they concluded with. She did mention some of the weaknesses, but did not thoroughly discuss that with the audience and did not seem to fully incorporate those weaknesses into her overall conclusions and the role of the pharmacist.

Halee looked very comfortable presenting her seminar and it was obvious that she had practiced and was well prepared.

Great job

Overall really great job and you were well prepared.

excellent first seminar.

Overall, very nicely done. Excellent set up of the controversy and adequate background information.

Overall, the seminarian was very knowledgeable about the topic.

Good job overall, interesting topic.

Great job Onye!

i will keep my eye open for illegal scripts

Great job overall.

Great job!

Overall, a good presentation. I have learned a lot.

Interesting topic and great seminar, learned useful information from her presentation