Presenter: Garcia, Breanne

Seminar Date: 2014-04-16

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.96	6.92	6.96	6.98	6.87	7	6.95	7	6.71	7	6.73	7	6.75	7	0	0	0	E (47.89)

Presentation Style

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.91
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation Style Comments

You were pace was good and made eye contact across the room.

Wow! Incredible audience engagement! I was super impressed!

good pace. no reliance on notes.

You had a very good pace and it was obvious that you had rehearsed your presentation before, which is great. For your next seminar, I would consider having it a little less-rehearsed so it sounds a little more natural (it wasn't bad--but sometimes it makes it easier to tune out if it sounds too rehearsed).

Your pace was just right and you displayed professionalism and confidence.

Bre was able to recite her slides, word for word, without looking at the slides. Though this was incredibly impressive, it may have detracted from the presentation a bit--next time, either try to put less words on the slides, or try to say something else other than what the slides say ver batim

You maintained a good pace throughout the presentation. You also did an excellent job of maintaining eye contact.

Spoke very clearly. I don't know if you're a singer but you had excellent articulation with very minimal spoken mistakes.

Next time try to engage or connect with audience. You might consider an ice breaker.

Very well rehearsed with no reliance on notes.

Extremely good presentation style. I was interested the whole time.

Displayed no nervousness.

Pace was very good especially for presenting three studies

She had a good pace and good eye contact during the seminar.

High levels of professionalism were displayed. / Occasionally things that should have been abbreviated on the slides were read out in whole slowing the presentation and making it too wordy.

Bre, you get a 10 for style! Very professional and well-paced-

You knew your seminar perfectly! I couldn't believe that you weren't relying on notes when I was listening to you talk

Perfect timing, good level of information for the audience, and very professional mannerism

I was impressed by the level of memorization you had but the beginning seemed a little rehearsed. Try to be conversational and professional at the same time

Pleasant pace, so I was able to keep up without becoming bored. I appreciate you coming out in front of the podium.

Great pace and did not rely on notes at all!

Very good pace and you had great eye contact throughout.

Very confident throughout the presentation! Professional presentation style and good pace.

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	17	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.7
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Instructional Materials Comments

I felt your slides were a little wordy. For next time, may be cut down to bullet points and expand during presentation.

Hand out and slides were impeccable. Very clear, easy to read, understand and followed along well with one another (handout and slides).

slides were clear and easy to read.

Your references were great; I would recommend cutting back the content on your slides

I could easily read all of your slides and text in your handout. Some of your slides were a bit wordy. In the future, you could cut down on the number of words per slide.

The slides had probably the biggest font of any seminar I've seen, which was great! Made it very easy to follow along

Most of your slides were clear and easy to read. Your results slides were a little wordy. It may be helpful to break them up into multiple slides next time.

The handout was very clean and elegant. Great use of font.

Your materials were very well put together.

Handout was very thorough and contained more detailed information for the audience.

Good slides and handouts, very few errors in spelling and grammar that I could see.

Slides were actually a little too bolded with large font size.

Slides got a little bit wordy towards the end, but a very clean overall

Her slides were easy to read and the handout was clear and easy to follow

Orientation was provided for all figures. / As the presentation progressed, the slides became moire and more wordy.

Nice job including some pictures in the presentation, it helps to know what is being talked about-

I liked the slide and handouts- they were easy to follow

Great slides and handout

The font seemed a little large and time and then cluttered at others

Your slides began very clear and uncluttered. As the seminar progressed, your slides became increasingly busy. Just remember to keep them simple and verbally relate any extra material or put it in the handout.

A few of the slides were a little wordy but not too bad. Great job on the handout! Very well organized.

Slides were succinct and your references were all cited appropriately.

Some of the slides were wordy during the presentation of clinical data section. Consider breaking up in to more slides or focusing on things you consider most pertinent.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	21	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.87
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Appropriate background information was provided	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Overall Presentation Content Comments

The transitions between studies was very smooth.

Very well organizes. I appreciated the study introduction and background of the subject. Very thorough and just basically awesome background.

some more background on pseudomonas and bacteria

I would recommend re-iterating your controversy a couple times throughout your presentation, because sometimes it gets lost. I also would have liked to hear the controversy in the context of current CF guidelines. Do they weigh-in on the issue? Do you disagree with them? Is there recommendation evidence-based?

The presentation was very well organized and flowed smoothly. The background prepared us very well for understanding the clinical trials.

It was a very well-constructed presentation, with the controversy well laid out

Your interest in the topic really caught my attention. You also did a good job explaining the controversy. I liked how you made the important point bold.

Presentation had great organizational flow and each transition into a slide made sense.

You touched on everything important in your presentation. The flow and transitions were well designed.

Controversy was clearly defined and the student stayed on point during the entire seminar.

I thought you introduced the topic wonderfully, but I wish you had spoken about the bug since it was a major part of the trials and the controversy.

Background information is tough with 3 studies, however, this is a critical population to have a complete background as this may be the last exposure that some people have to CF.

Controversy was very well defined. And very nice transitions

The seminar was well organized and flowed.

Great outline and controversy. / Some of the wording of the objectives was ambiguous: explain the need for antibiotics, describe 3 differences between, not of TOBI, etc. / I wondered if any information about other organ systems affected by CF should have been mentioned (besides just the lungs).

Having worked with cystic fibrosis, I felt like the controversy you presented was not very strong. Talking about the bugs and any long-term effects from the two treatments may have been more useful-

I liked how you shared a personal connection to the topic

Great intro to the topic and discussion of your interest in the topic. Good objectives

Try to lure us in with your interest in the topic

Best explanation of CF that I have ever heard. Thank you!

Great intro and interest! It was very well organized with good transitions.

Objectives were clear and you had an appropriate amount of background info. Your transitions through all three trials.

Strong part of the presentation is clear outline of the controversy and layers within the controversy.

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Very detailed analysis of all three studies.

I loved how Bre mentioned the statistical analysis tools used and why there were or were not appropriate for the study analysis. I appreciated that she mentioned the type of data being analyzed and gave examples of the study data where the tool was applied.

details and informative.

You analysis was definitely detailed and thorough.

In the future you could clearly account for withdrawals and dropouts. You may have mentioned it, but I could not find it in your handout.

She had an incredibly thorough analysis of her studies, both strengths and weaknesses. She presented neither too much nor too little

It was helpful when you explained why you chose the studies. You did a really good job explaining the statistics. It was clear you had researched the material.

It was great to give an explanation of why you chose each study. Very thorough analysis of clinical data.

I could easily follow your train of thought and rationale for your conclusions.

Strong clinical data was presented, the student did a good job of choosing relevant studies.

Your analysis of the studies was very well done and presented.

Analyzed studies well in the time alloted for 3 studies.

I really liked the comparison trial to the already approved med

Her explainations of the studies was concise yet thorough, which aided in the presentation.

very good presentation of study methodology, outcomes, etc. / I noticed a piece of missing information, on slide 20, the words 95% CI were written in parenthesis, but the CI was not provided.

I don't know that investigator bias should be a concern unless it is apparent in the design that something is flawed...

Described really complex trials very well and obviously had taken a lot of time to understand the statistics and everything about the study

Great summary of clinical data with a detailed assessment of the strengths and limitations of your studies

Excellent stats analysis. Consider talking about power in more detail

You gave your thought process behind which studies you included, which was very helpful in following the information and understanding how they connect.

Great job going through the trials and the statistical analysis. It was obvious that you knew what was relevant and why the tests were used. Good discussion of the strengths and limitations.

Very thorough on the strengths and weaknesses of the trials. You cleArly thought them through.

Through analysis of clinical data. Very strong part of presentation that you placed the studies presented in the context of other research and stated why you choose them.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	20	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.87
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	21	1	0	0	0	0	1	6.7

Conclusions Comments

I liked how your provided a slide on future studies currently being conducted.

The conclusions were appropriate based on the data presented. And 3 studies were presented--amazing!

good conclusions. supported data

I would recommend a more narrow-focus for your pharmacist recommendation. I don't think it's necessary to include all the disease-state counseling in a seminar topic like this. I like the really specific recommendations, myself.

I really like how you provided very specific recommendations, including dosing and schedule.

Very strong and useful conclusions, great job at taking a stance!

Your recommendations were clear, specific and very helpful. I felt like I left the seminar with the ability to make a recommendation in practice.

Excellent inclusion of things in the pipeline and what to look out for. I also really liked how you acknowledged those who helped you with your seminar. Very professional.

I did agree with others that the cost issue was over emphasized since the development of the pod inhaler.

Conclusions were in line with author's conclusion, a more personalized conclusion would have been better.

I think your conclusions were very well founded in the data you presented.

Would have liked a more definitive conclusion with the consideration of current therapy.

Very firm conclusion - well done

Her conclusions were well supported by the data that was presented.

Good conclusions that were supported by the study data. / At least one conclusion seemed to be

oriented to future studies and not toward clinical practice: AZLI in tx naive...

A good point was mentioned about the podhaler and if the nebulized TOBI is even relevant at this point. Also, in the Role section you mention selecting an appropriate AZLI therapy...but there is only one, right?

I liked how you organized your handout with conclusions and broke it down by pharmacist recommendations, conclusions, ect

Reasonable conclusions based on the data presented, good discussion of the role of the pharmacist

The conclusion of the third study did not make sense and should have mirrored chore the authors conclusions

You explained clinical significance and how it is defined in this disease state. Maybe you could tie your conclusions to the guidelines next time, describing if they match up.

Great conclusions and very strong and straightforward recommendations.

Your conclusions were strong statements and supported by the data.

Strong part of seminar that you made firm conclusions and describe conditions under which you believe azotreonam should be considered.

Question Answer Session

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

You were able to think on your feet and answer the questions. Good job!

Impressive knowledge base of the subject. Bre responded to questions respectfully and confidently.

answered questions well.

Great job with questions! You were really approachable and open to feedback.

You asked the audience questions. This was effective because it engaged us more fully in the seminar.

She got some tough questions, and answered them with poise and confidence

You did an excellent job answering questions because you are very knowledgeable on the subject. It is hard with three studies but it may have been helpful to have a pause for questions between each study.

Answered all the questions fully. I especially liked when you asked the audience a question to have them participate a bit.

You did well answering questions. You might want to try to encourage questions next time by asking for questions at various intervals.

No trouble answering questions whatsoever, very well done.

Extremely good answers to our questions.

Able to answer questions that were posed.

Great Jon encouraging questions

She answered questions with confidenced.

This segment was done well, no comments.

You showed confidence and your knowledge when you answered the questions-

Was clearly very knowledgable about the topic and did a great job answering all questions

Handled the Q&A session very well

Good job fielding the questions

Excellent, succinct answer to questions. You were obviously well prepared. A pause between each study for questions may have been helpful.

Great job fielding and answering questions thoroughly.

All questions were answered with confidence and demonstrated your knowledge.

I thought encouraging of questions was appropriate as you had three clinical trials to go over. Gave clear answers to questions.

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	21	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.87
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.91

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Your conclusions were very well-thought.

Bre answered all the questions posed with confidence and knew the information she was presenting very well. I appreciated her approach to answering the questions asked.

very well informed.

It would have been good to mention the Tobi Podhaler earlier in your seminar, as many of your conclusions favored aztreonam because of its decreased nebulization time compared to Tobi (but it would take longer than the Tobi Podhaler).

Your thorough answers to questions that were not directly related to the studies you presented demonstrated that you have a strong knowledge base.

Again, the answers to her questions eluded to her vast knowledge base on the subject

You really know a lot about CF and it showed throughout your seminar. You also were able to distinguish between statistical and clinical significance and this was helpful when discussing your recommendations.

Very well prepared and demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the subject.

You emphasized clinical significance which was excellent.

Very thorough presentation.

Your answers to our questions demonstrated a great knowledge beyond that of the presentation.

Can definitely tell that you understand topic, but would liked this to have come across better during presentation.

Good knowledge, could have been more aware of duplicate therapy

She clearly knew more information than was included in her presentation.

She did a great job demonstrating information beyond what was presented in the seminar. / Also, excellent work distinguishing between clinical and statistical significance.

For this topic it was important to have been current with the therapies and practices that are commonly used at this point-

Bre did a great job thinking on her feet and didn't get flustered by any questions

Very good overall knowledge base

Your knowledge was impeccable and I was very impressed

When answering the questions, you provided clear, helpful answer. You were obviously well prepared on your topic. Good job!

It was very obvious that you know your topic and had ton of research beyond what was in your seminar.

Very good job distinguishing between clinical and statistical significance.

Strong part of your presentation that you were able to recognize what is considered clinically significant changes.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked how you talked about three studies and did not go over your time limit.

I have a few favorite things about this seminar: / -LOVED the explanation of the statistical analysis tools and appropriateness of their use specifically within the studies / -Bre did not look at her slide presentation for about the first 15 slides-she knew them backward and forward-WOW! / -Amazing audience engagement-eye contact, placement in front of the podium, and overall approach were all perfect!

good interesting topic

You were very well-prepared and well-rehearsed.

I like how you did not have to refer to notes and didn't say "um."

I liked the visual aspect of the slides--very easy to read and follow

This seminar was very interesting and well done. Bre was very confident and poised while presenting. She did an excellent job explaining the statistics and clinical significance of the results. I thought the recommendations for practice were very helpful. I found it helpful how you included abbreviations after each study.

The handout was beautiful. Font choice was clean yet elegant. I especially liked the close attention to detail such as the addition of the registered trademark symbol. You also spoke incredibly clear with great articulation and minimal mistakes.

Well researched and interesting topic.

Relevant studies were chosen for the topic, the student clearly did her research in finding the best available evidence.

I loved your topic!

Confidence and professionalism during seminar.

I really liked the additional comparative trial

She concisely presented the studies which allowed for her to move through the seminar with good speed.

A good amount of detail regarding outcomes and what their clinical significance is.

Cystic fibrosis is an interesting disease state, and it is a good one to evaluate-

I think it was very clear how much effort you put into the seminar, there was no question that you were unable to answer and it was very obvious that you spent a lot of time researching the topic. Great job!

I liked the inclusion of inhaled Tobi studies for reference

You were poised and professional and made good points and presented the evidence well

You were professional, well-spoken, and properly prepared. I appreciated hearing your reasoning behind why you included certain studies. It helped me follow the studies better, understanding why they were there.

Great job!! great pace and you were very clear and concise!

Great pace and very succinct slides.

Excellent presentation. Well done. Professional presentation style.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Keep your slides to bullet points with few words for next time.

I was blown away and have no comments for improvement. She did a phenomenal job.

no other comments

Don't be afraid to be less scripted next seminar. Feel comfortable with your information and make sure you can present it in the allotted time, but have fun with it too. I would also remember to discuss your controversy in relation to current guidelines (because that's what most practitioners will go to first).

You could possibly connect with the audience a little bit more and not over rehearse the background (talk to us like you are telling a story).

May have been a bit overly rehearsed; for next time, it's okay if you don't speak every single word of your slides :)

Try to break up the wordy slides into multiple slides. Otherwise your slides were great!

The titles of the slides were maybe a bit close to the top border. Some margin might have helped.

More interaction with audience

More personal conclusions and recommendations.

I only wish you had talked about Pseudomonas and the importance of having additional agents to treat it.

Background information.

Keep slides simple

At the end of the presentation the slides became slightly wordly, limiting text on slides would have aided the seminar.

I noticed a small grammatical mistake: an incorrectly placed comma in the overall conclusions first statement.

Making sure you have the most current and relevant information to present-

I don't have any suggestions for improvement- I thought you did great

Maybe discuss the reasons for trying to avoid Pseudomonas more

The next step may be to work on your comfort in front of the group and a more conversational tone

Keep your slides uncluttered, even as you present your studies. It feels like you need to pack a lot into those slides, but it is usually best if you keep the information basic with a few graphs or charts.

Maybe decrease some of the wording on some slides.

I can't think of anything terrific job.

In the future work on streamlining/cutting down/simplifying information on slides.

General Comments

Overall you did a good job.

For me this was an example of a "perfect" seminar. Bre hit all the important points, made it interesting and taught us what we need to know to be an asset to a collaborative health care team in treating patients with CF. I learned a great deal and overall was just absolutely impressed. Thanks, Bre!

good job.

Great job! You did it!

You were very well prepared, successfully presented the material, and ended with a clear take home message that can help us be better pharmacists. I also like how you included a separate section about the clinical relevance of aztreonam in CF patients.

Good job!

I really learned a lot about inhaled antibiotics for CF patients. Great job Bre!

Very well done Bre!

Thank you, well done.

Very well rehearsed seminar!

Overall you did a great job! Congrats!

Great job overall

She had a thorough knowledge of the topic, this added to her seminar.

Very well done! Your hard work has paid off in a very nice presentation.

One down and one to go!

great first seminar. One more to go.

Fantastic first stab at seminar!!

Great job!!!

I hope your next seminar shows the same level of work and dedication. Wonderful job.

Great seminar. Thanks for the treats!