Presenter: Wolsey, Angela

Seminar Date: 2013-10-30

### **Presenter Scores**

Stude	•	,				Faculty Survey Data Averages								Final Scores				
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total	
6.93	6.91	6.95	6.95	6.87	6.98			6.63	6.9	6.5	6.88	7	6.9	0	0	0	E (47.61)	

Presentation Style								
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	C	Mean
1 Moderate Pace	19	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.78
2 Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3 Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4 Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

# **Presentation Style Comments**

The presentation was very organized and easy to follow through.

Great.

Nicely prepared. Didn't rely on notes.

very well prepared with minimal reliance on notes

Angela did a great job on her seminar. She was well prepared and knew her material very well. The only criticisms I had in this section were she seemed to be rushing throughout most of the seminar and she turned her head back to look at the screen quite a bit so it was hard to hear at times when she was talking to the screen. But these were minor issues that did not detract from her seminar.

The student seemed very confident and professional.

Great presence in front of the class, easy to follow along

You seemed very confident and did not use your notes.

I thought the pacing was good! You had a lot of material to get through and I think its always better to go a bit too fast than too slow.

Excellent presentation style- you stood in front of your slides indicating that you knew the material without needing to see your slides. No reliance on notes whatsoever, and I didn't even see you look at your slides once!

Went over a lot of material in a short amount of time. Made it feel fast.

Great pace and very professional. You didn't read your slides at all.

you have a very good presence when you present. You give off an air of confidence and it's clear you really know your material.

Fast, but necessarily so.

A little fast, but presented a lot of studies

NA

I felt like she was flying through the material. It was hard for me to keep the big picture straight and synthesize the information with the complex terminology and rapid pace.

Good style, eye contact-

Angela seemed very comfortable presenting, great job!

Fast pace

Didn't use notes at all!! Great job!

Loved the visuals! easy to understand

Ir	nstructional Materials									
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	17	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.7
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

#### **Instructional Materials Comments**

The references was properly cited throughout the presentation.

I thought the instructional materials helped in my understanding of the subject.

good details on slides and handouts.

I would have liked to have seen some pictures

I think she did a good job of orienting her audience to charts and graphs. Her slides were clear but a few of them, especially with the study data were a bit wordy.

The handout and slides were easy to read and nice looking.

At times the slides got a little wordy, but otherwise I loved all the graphs throughout the seminar

Overall your slides and handout were clear and easy to follow. Some of your slides were a little wordy; maybe you could break them down into multiple slides.

The slide design was unique and attractive.

My only comment is that I am not a fan of the side by side study slide design for the two studies, however I do think it was better to include both of them and you would have gone over time if you talked about each of them separately- overall, probably a good balance.

Graphs in slides were very useful.

Your slides were creative and pleasing to look at but your handout could benefit from some design. Good job with consistent formatting and no typos.

I thought the slide depicting the balance of VTE risk and bleeding risk was very well done; it was a good visual tool.

Your handout was great.

A little wordy, not as clear as it could have been

# NA

The slide designs were mostly black and white and contained high amounts of text per slide.

There was a lot of information, it may have been easier to follow with a little less-

I liked that the slides were broken up with color and graphics

Good handout

Slides were a bit too wordy. Try putting short statements and filling in the rest. You know the information well enough.

Slides went along well with the handout!

0	Overall Presentation Content										
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean		
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7		
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96		
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96		
4	Appropriate background information was provided	21	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.87		
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96		

### **Overall Presentation Content Comments**

Overall presentation content was detailed and clear.

I liked the case study and her approach to the audience. She seemed very at ease. I also thought she was very gracious in thanking Dr. Stephens for being there and for her help.

Liked the case presentation in begining and end.

The flow of the presentation could have been improved by some sort of outline or guide

I liked the case that she presented at the beginning that she followed through with at the end. It helped to get us thinking about the topic and helped increase audience participation.

The overall presentation content was of high quality and added to the interest in the topic.

Impressive topic! Relevant, and filled with great information

You did a good job covering the background information. I liked the case in the beginning and the follow up at the end.

I liked how you incorporated your personal experience and interest of the topic into the presentation.

Well organized, defined controversy, and very applicable to practice.

Topic was relevant and interesting.

I think you should have discussed the limitaions of extrapolating surgical patient data to medical patients and about the Xa surrogate endpoint and if it is validated.

Discussion of the takehome point from the background section made for a very good transistion into the studies.

I think your presentation was well organized.

Na

NA

Content was interesting, controversy was established.

Good discussion of antiXa and inadequate dosing-

Seemed enthusiastic about the topic and got the audience interested by presenting a case at the beginning

Nice addition of case presentation

Loved the case at the beginning and wrap-up at the end.

Great background.

Presentation of Clinical Data										
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.96
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	6.95
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	19	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.78

# **Presentation of Clinical Data Comments**

The objectives were clear to follow.

I thought the cases were appropriate to answer the questions she had although more studies are ultimately needed to address those questions definitively. I thought she was ambitious in using 5 trials!

some slides hard to follow, left out limitations

I would have like to have had more statistical analysis and fewer studies.

Angela did a great job presenting her studies, especially since she had 5 studies to present. My only criticism hear is that she didn't have study strengths in her slides or handout. I know she was pressed for time, but the strengths could have been included in her handout if she didn't have time to mention them during her presentation.

I thought the student did a really good job presenting the data even though the studies were not stellar.

It was incredibly impressive that she was able to cover 5 studies in half an hour--and she analyzed them well!

You did an excellent job interpreting the results. I was impressed that you were able to cover 5 studies. Good job!

Good job explaining the differences of the studies. They all seemed similar, so it's extra impressive when you can explain the significance of the differences. It's obvious you put a lot of work into the research.

You clearly did all the necessary preparation and it showed. You were the expert on the material. Great analysis of each study and determined appropriate limitations- would have liked to seen strengths- but you were limited with the time restraint.

Did a great job at going over five studies. Lots of information.

Your presentation was a little heavy on data. It can be too many details to follow in such a brief time. I do appreciate your focus on the data but try to simplify a bit.

You had a lot of studies, which you went through very quickly, but you still covered all of the important areas of each study.

Your analysis of the studies was great.

Na

NA

Presenter admitted that few trials on subject existed, and they had few subjects, and short durations. She did a great job identifying the studies' weaknesses, but seemed to leave out analysis of study strengths. I did not notice analysis of power.

I don't recall that power was discussed, or if it was mentioned in the studies-

Trials/data backed up her conclusions

Good summary of your studies

Covered 5 studies very thoroughly. Impressive!

Loved that you brought in more than just 2 studies

C	Conclusions										
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean		
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	18	3	2	0	0	0	0	6.7		
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96		
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	21	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.87		
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96		

#### **Conclusions Comments**

The presenter discussed conclusion in detail and also had great presenter conclusion.

Conclusions were appropriate based on her findings.

Had clear specific recommendations backed up by data.

i really liked the role and/or impact shown

I wasn't really sure on her conclusion. Is she recommending obese patients get higher dosing or BID dosing? If so, at what dose? I also felt the pharmacists' role section could have been more fleshed out, especially since this is the part that would be useful in clinical practice.

The studies had some major deficits, but the student's conclusions were supported by the data presented.

Her conclusions were very relevant and useful for future practice

You did a great job explaining the clinical significance of the studies.

Great job detailing your conclusion and how/why you got there.

Firm recommendation made. Clinical importance and very applicable to practice.

Studies backed up the conclusion well. Changed the way I would recommend thromboprophylaxis.

Your conclusion were based on the data presented, but I'm not convinced it was the most appropriate data.

The conclusions you made are well supported by your studies while still taking into account their limitations.

Your conclusions were supported by the data you presented.

Na

NA

I thought that the conclusions she drew were slightly premature based on the small studies that were presented. It's hard to come by large scale research on the topic; I would have said, due to methodoligical flaws and lack of power in many of the studies, more research is required; however, best practices based on the evidence we have available now would lead us to these actions...

Good dosing recommendations-

Great information about how to use this in clinical practice, very applicable to any pharmacists working in a hospital setting

It would have been more meaning full to have either only medicine patients of only surgery patients

Good specific recommendation.

Talk a little bit more about the statistics of each of the studies.

Q	Question Answer Session										
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean		
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7		
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96		

### **Question Answer Session Comments**

The presenter was very confident while answering questions as she knew a lot more about the topic.

I liked that she answered questions throughout.

had opportunities to ask questions throughout lecture.

maybe could have encouraged more audience interaction.

I felt the case was a very good was to increase audience enthusiasm and participation.

Answered questions with great confidence.

She handled her questions with poise and confidence; it was evident that she knew much more than what was presented

You were able to answer all the questions with confidence.

It's obvious you were knowledgeable on the topic and knew the material inside and out.

You never let questions throw you off and you had answers to everyone's questions. Shows how much you prepared for this topic and presentation.

It was helpful to answer questions during the seminar instead of just at the end since there was so much material.

Answered questions well.

You did a good job at providing opportunities to ask questions.

Great answers to our questions.

Na

NA

She displayed grace under pressure during the questioning session.

Good job-

Had time for questions and encouraged participation

Handled some challenging questions well

Brought in other studies to answer questions. Obviously did your research.

Great at answering questions

Overall Knowledge Base										
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean	
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96	
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.91	
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	

# **Overall Knowledge Base Comments**

#### none

Incredible knowledge base even down to some of the minute details and numbers without the use of notes. Impressive!

would like to know more about clinical significance

very well prepared to discuss the topic

I think it was clear Angela knew much more about her topic than what was in her slides and her handout. To answer some of the questions the audience asked she had to draw on personal experience and background articles that were not presented.

The student's was probably confident and able to meet all the criteria because her overall knowledge base was more than sufficient.

Angela is so knowledgeable, and it really showed through in her presentation

You are very knowledgeable and it showed when you explained the results of the studies.

Very prepared and ready for questions. Great job!

Excellent knowledge base and presented everything in a manner that fit the time frame and still made sense!

Was very knowledgeable and well prepared.

I think a discussion on the surrogate endpoint would have helped develop the clinical significance. More discussion on surgical vs medical patients would help put data in context.

I liked how you were able to pull information from you work and other clinical experience. I thought it

was really good that you used so many studies because it felt like we were getting a much broader view of the topic than we would otherwise get.

I think you demonstrated a knowledge beyond that presented in the studies.

Na

NA

Good job in this regard.

I imagine clinical outcomes are impractical with these kind of studies-

It was clear she knew a lot about the topic

Good overall knowledge base

Strong background information. Answered questions well.

It was obvious that you knew a lot about the topic and brought a lot of knowledge to the presentation

# Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Encouraged questions from the audience and had very detailed slides.

I enjoyed her presentation on a topic I hadn't thought much about before. I now have a greater interest in the topic.

Nice overall informative lecture.

this was a very interesting look at bmi as a metric for dosing. I am curious how this would apply to persons of extremely short stature. I once had a patient that was 3'1" with a BMI of 69.

I really liked the case to improve audience participation. I also liked the questions that were asked to not only address the controversy but to bring focus on the cases.

I really liked how the student introduced the seminar with a case and returned to the same case in her conclusion.

I liked that she tackled 5 studies--very impressive!

Good job! You did an excellent job covering five studies while not rushing your presentation. I was also impressed that you did not use any notes.

I liked the graphs summarizing the results of each trial. The slides themselves were well-organized and very thorough.

It was a pleasure to watch you present! You are very confident and a natural at presenting. You were very prepared and your interest in the material showed throughout your presentation.

Was very well prepared. Knew the material well and hardly even needed her slides. Lots of eye contact. Great flow.

Focus on data, abbreviations in handout and graphics on slides were very interesting and cool, interesting picture in presentation

I thought introducing your case early in the presentation worked really well because we were able to keep it in mind throughout the presentation.

I enjoyed the topic very much.

Gave a lot of information

There was a ton of really good information. Felt like the topic was really strong and the presentation had a lot of depth.

The topic was interesting and the presenter seemed very knowledgeable on the topic.

Very applicable topic

Presented a lot of studies- that would be hard to do but she did a really great job

Interesting topic and a good controversy

5 cases in a thorough manner. No small feat!

Amazing seminar! I really liked all the graphs that made it a little easier to understand all the results!

You used a lot of studies nice work.

# Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Improve on slowing down while presenting. Sometimes, pace was very fast and hard to follow through.

I had a little trouble following some of the more wordy slides but the use of the handout in conjunction with the slides kept me on track.

Was a bit fast. Slow down a bit.

Felt very monotone during the slides but could see more of the personality come out during case and Q&A. I would have liked to have seen more of your personality come out during the presentation.

Maybe cut out one or two studies so that you wouldn't be so rushed during your seminar.

It would have been nice if the student could have presented studies that were more robust, but I realize that this was probably not possible.

Honestly, I cannot think of one thing to improve.

Occasionally your slides were a little wordy. Try breaking your slides down to multiple slides or only putting relevant information on the slide. Overall you did an excellent job though.

Discuss clinical and significant differences.

I didn't like the side by side presentation of the last two studies. . . I think it worked for your time restraints. I think this is just personal opinion.

Just a lot of information to take in in the small amount of time. But great job at getting all of it in.

Simplify data, discussion of surrogate endpoints and the limitations of applying this data to medical patients.

The writing on graphs for the studies was a little too small and difficult to read, and it seemed like it still could have fit on the slide if it was larger.

You spoke really fast, I know it was important to get through all of the studies, but it was a little hard to follow at times.

Great job

The pace was a little fast, so slowing down could have been helpful.

I felt that the delivery of the material was slightly monotone. Slower delivery and more animation/voice inflection could add interest and understanding to your presentation.

Be a little more concise with the information/number of studies

No suggestions- great presentation Angela!

Maybe spend a little time explaining what anti Xa levels correspond to in terms of PTT times

N/A

Maybe talk a little more about what AntiXa would tell you clinically in your background. Amazing job though!!

I would have incorporated a road map since there were so many papers it got a little confusing which papers were for what.

### **General Comments**

Overall, excellent and very well-informed presentation.

Excellent overall. I learned a lot.

Keep up the good work.

Great job overall. Short and sweet.

Overall I thought Angela did a great job on a topic that is obviously controversial and applicable to clinical care in hospital settings or long term care facilities. She was very well poised and prepared for her seminar. Great job!! =)

Well done! The student would do well in a residency and academia.

Great job Angela!!! You're done!

This seminar was very informative and well prepared. Good job!

I liked the case at the beginning and end. It helps to tie the information together and solidify the knowledge we all gained.

I am so glad to see you present! You did a great job!

Great presentation. I hope that I will be able to present my seminar even close to as well as you did.

Thank you for an interesting talk on dvt prophylaxis in obese patients.

I liked how all of the bar graphs for all of your studies matched. That seems like it would have taken a long time, but it did make the presentation seem more cohesive.

Overall I think you did a great job.

Great job

NA

Great work!

Thanks Angela

Good job

Great job as per usual!