Presenter: Patton, Jessica

Seminar Date: 2014-04-23

Presenter Scores

					Faculty Survey Data Averages								Final Scores				
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.75	6.87	6.9	6.85	6.88	6.82	6.93	6.13	7	6.9	6.92	7	7	7	0	0	0	E (47.95

Presentation Style											
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean			
1 Moderate Pace	19	5	1	0	0	0	0	6.72			
2 Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	21	3	1	0	0	0	0	6.8			
3 Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	16	7	2	0	0	0	0	6.56			
4 Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92			

Presentation Style Comments

For next time, may be look across the room to make eye contact with all of your audience. Overall good pace.

Seemed a little rushed; post-presentation she did have a lot of ground to cover in the given time.

good pace. good eye contact.

Good pace

You spoke a bit fast and said um a lot. Maybe practice your seminar a bit more in front of an audience so you can time yourself and not be so nervous.

I really appreciated the level of detail in your seminar--it was appropriately deep but not TMI for people just out of therapeutics.

You effectively displayed poise and confidence throughout the entire seminar. The only thing I can think of that you could work on is to say "um" less often during future presentations.

THe only thing--watch your "um"s...otherwise, great job!

very good pace

You did a great job of maintaining eye contact and not relying on your notes. You seemed very confident. Just make sure to watch your "ums."

Great pacing and thorough eye contact with the audience.

Energetic and comedic style. Enjoyable.

Your voice projects well and your style is confident. You could improve by speaking at a more moderate pace.

You have a very high energy presentation style. However, you seemed to use the filler "um" a lot.

Presentation style was slightly fast paced.

Good pace and minimal reliance on notes.

Very good eye contact with audience and minimal reliance on notes. / The pace seemed quite fast, and perhaps nervousness led to some distracting arm motions (these could be toned down a bit).

Jess was poised and confident in her presentation style, and relied on her notes for only portions of the presentation.

Jess, you presented very well, just remember to relax and enjoy it-

Seemed comfortable presenting and interacted with the audience

Good presentation style, good voice throughout seminar

Your presentation style included some distracting mannerism, such as an abundance of "umm" and "so". Work on this next time you practice for seminar. Transitions shouldn't include, "Umm, now we will talk about...." You were very enthusiastic, which helped keep the audience involved in your seminar.

Pace overall was great but at times a little fast. Make sure to make eye contact with the entire room.

Great eye contact and a confident demeanor.

A few "ums" at the begining, but improved through out the presentation. A habit we all have to break. In the future may consider this as you practice or place something on the podium to remind during your seminar.

Ir	nstructional Materials									
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	22	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	20	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.76
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.96
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	23	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.88

Instructional Materials Comments

Make sure to review your slides for any spelling errors before presentation.

The handout was relatively easy to read but there were a lot of lengthy paragraphs and it was a little tough to follow along with the slides.

few grammar errors.

Postherpatic not post-therapeutic.

There was an error in one of your slides for alprazolam dosing (said thee not three). Also citing on slides should be actual references not numbers.

Your slides were great.

The slides and handout were easy to read. There were a few places in the handout where you could have been more concise and maybe more extensive use of bullet points would be helpful in future handouts.

I loved the visual presentation of her slides...she wasn't afraid to deviate from left justification, which I really appreciated.

i would cite per slide instead of the entire powerpoint

Your slides were easy to read and were not too wordy. I liked how you made the key points and results are bold.

Great use of spacing and bullets in the handout. I liked that you referred us to the appendices for further info and clarification.

Question cat!

Your slides were perfect! Loved them.

Your handout was a great source of supplementary information.

The seminarian clearly did much research on the topic given her detailed handout.

I couldn't find any errors or problems in your hand out.

Very beautiful and concise slides. Great work on this! / I noticed at least one spelling error: myoclonic joins (under somatic symptoms). /

Jess's handout was very well organized with labeled appendices and thoroughly referenced primary literature.

The handout has some pages and sections that are long, and it is not very easy to refer to the information in it. I did like Question Cat!

I liked that the handout was more detailed but she didn't spend the time going into too much detail, which was good with so much information

Great job on the slide deck, very visually appealing

Your slides and handout were easy to read. No distracting traits and they weren't overly crowded.

Slides were great! I really liked the layout. The handout was a little wordy so at times I was distracted throughout your seminar.

Slides were a little wordy at times but nice job citing your sources.

Slides were lovely! The formatting was very eary to follow and well organized.

Overall Presentation Content										
# Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean		
1 Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	21	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.84		
2 Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96		
3 Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92		
4 Appropriate background information was provided	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92		
5 Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	22	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.88		

Overall Presentation Content Comments

I liked your objectives were well-stated.

Clear objectives and great presentation setup.

good flow. good statistical analysis

Good flow. Objective information.

Objectives were mainly achieved after intro section. Try to spread it out over entire seminar with greater focus on studies presented.

Really loved your clear statement of the controversy.

I thought the way you introduced the topic, explained your interest, and set up the controversy was very effective because as it captured our attention.

Really humorous introduction, which helped to draw in the audience and set the tone of the seminar

good job providing the appropriate background

Good background information. It was helpful in understanding GAD.

I liked where you put in the controversy in your slides. The background info helped to build up to the controversy.

Transitions and flow may have been slightly jumbled. Still interesting topic!

Your explanation of your interest was slightly funny I guess, but seemed insincere and irreverent to me. I bet you had a more sincere reason for your interest and I would have liked to hear it.

I liked how thorough the information you provided about other treatment options for GAD was.

Objectives were clearly stated and discussed.

I think the interest in your topic was evident. Good job.

Clear objectives, controversy, and flow. / For background information, I would have liked a bit more comment in the presentation about the HAM-A and what it measured, etc. /

Jess provided great background to her topic.

I feel like a little more time could have been spent in the background/controversy, discussing more of the available drug options and their use-

I liked the introduction about why she was interested in the topic

Great job explaining what GAD is and the treatment options available to pharmacists.

Helpful generalized anxiety disorder overview. Thank you!! You had clear objectives and a pleasant flow.

Well organized. Background was thorough. I liked that you went through GAD and its current treatment options.

Controversy was very clear and your transitions were seamless.

The information included in your background section was very applicable to the topic. I liked that you described individual drugs, their evidence in GAD, considerations for use and side effects. Background very helpful in discussion throughout.

Presentation of Clinical Data										
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.92
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	22	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.8
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	22	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.8
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	23	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.84
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	23	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.84
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.92

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Very thoughtful analysis on study strengths and limitations.

Seemed to have extensive knowledge of the data and ability to interpret it.

good details on strength and limitations

Would have like more info as to why statistics appropriate not just what was used.

Felt like studies were presented fairly well. Good job with strengths and conclusions and appropriateness of scales used. Should say seminarian's conclusions not reader's.

I thought your analysis was very thoughtful and very real-world. You were thinking about real-world implications.

I thought you did a good job presenting the data and pointing out the most important points. One thing you could do in the future is provide a bit more background and discussion about outcome measures. You could have discussed the strengths and limitations of the HAM-A score.

Very thorough analysis of her studies, with excellent analysis of strengths and limitations

good job explaining the significance of results

I liked how you explained why the strengths and limitations were listed as such. I'm not sure if Pfizer sponsoring the trials was that big of a limitation. Often drug manufacturers have to sponsor studies in order to get any data on their drug. They did, however, use low doses of comparison drugs which you correctly listed as a limitation.

Good job elaborating on each strength and limitation point and why each were relevant.

Strengths and limitations were well thought out. Gave great detail behind them.

You had very thoughtful things to say about each of your trials. Your review of methodology was easy to follow and presented in a way that made sense.

You did a great job at explaining statistics. // In your next seminar, if dropouts are an issue, consider reasons for dropouts other than adverse effects, because lack of effect or other reasons could be influential.

Clinical data was relevant and current. The seminarian did well in choosing the studies presented.

Your analysis of each study was thorough and helpful.

Good analysis of the study methodologies and strengths and limitations. / A more detailed breakdown of what statistics were used and why would have been very helpful. /

Jess provided information regarding the statistical analyses of her studies.

Good job here, but I wonder about some of the study limitations, such as investigator bias--I think it would have to be apparent that there were some flaw in the design in order to claim the investigator might have biased the study...

Good job just talking about the relevant details of the study without going into too much detail that wasn't necessary

Great job analyzing the studies and interpreting the results.

I liked how your strengths and limitations were opposite of the normal order. It helped keep us on our toes. You could have used more graphs or tables to explain some of the data. Thank you for pointing out dosage ranges. I did not think about that when looking at the trials.

Great discussion of studies. Presented well the primary outcomes.

The trials presented were great but I would like to have seen some head to head comparisions with SSRIs and pregabalin

In the future may consider discussing scales/assessments used in the studies in more depth. It was excellent that you were able to recognize a clinically significant change in HAMD score.

C	conclusions								
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	23	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.88
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	23	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.88
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	23	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.88
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	23	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.88

Conclusions Comments

Well-though role of pharmacist.

Conclusions were appropriate based on the data presented.

good details

With placebo being so high it usually means there is an unknown confounder present in the study.

I liked how you justified why specifically you felt pregabalin could be used and when. I also liked your consideration slides. I also liked that you mentioned other therapies for GAD that were not pharmacotherapeutic.

Very solid, specific conclusions.

I like how you split up your conclusions section into sections including considerations for GAD treatment plans, options for GAD treatment, PGB as adjuvant therapy, counseling points, and future research.

Her conclusions were absolutely grounded in the data that she presented

i really liked the where you took the conclusions / pharmacist role

I thought your recommendations section was very helpful. You were very specific on who you would recommend pregabalin for and even listed a dose.

Your conclusions were thoughtful and looked beyond what was obvious in the study, so great job analyzing study methods and design.

Interesting conclusions. Matched the presented data. Wish there were studies that weren't funded by Pfizer.

I liked that your pharmacist's role section was unique. You clearly put a lot of thought into your content.

Your conclusions section in the handout was very wordy. If that is the only place people look in your handout they want to be able to get a quick summary. Bullet points would likely be useful, especially if you still wanted to have a brief summary of each trial.

The seminarian did a good job in coming up with strong conclusions based on the data.

I think your conclusions were well founded in the evidence.

Good recommendations for the practice of pharmacy. / Some of the wording of the conclusions seemed a bit strong based on the evidence provided (e.g. use of pregabalin as a monotherapy for anxiety when you address in the future research section that this hasn't been looked at yet). /

Jess provided great conclusions regarding her studies and overal seminar.

I liked the inclusion of the counseling points section on the pharmacist role-

Good job discussing the role of the pharmacist

Good job providing a specific recommendation and supporting your decision during the question and answer session.

Your conclusions were sound. I appreciated the treatment plan you presented at the end. Very specific and helpful.

I thought your conclusions were pretty well thought out despite the trials being from pfizer and the doses of the comparative meds not being at their maximum for benefit.

Great recommendations they were strong detailed and supported by the trials presented

Good discussion of pros/cons throughout the seminar, since the evidence is not great. I'm still a little unclear on the duration/place in therapy, as the studies were short and it is likely not common that patients will actually acheive remission, at least through drug therapy alone. I did think it was interesting as a potential bridge until SSRIs reach full effect.

C	Question Answer Session										
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean		
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	20	3	2	0	0	0	0	6.72		
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92		

Question Answer Session Comments

You used a lot of "umm" throughout your presentation and was not able to answer few questions. Make sure to do more research on your topic next time.

Did a great job answering questions.

good answers

More prep for questions.

You did a good job with questions. I thought there was only one question that you kind of floundered on about why placebo lowered HAM-A scores so much.

Great job with questions.

You were very succinct in answering all the questions and provided enough information to be thorough.

Excellent job at handling the questions (especially when she was asked to speculate about the motives of pfizer)

good job encouraging questions and answering questions

You were really knowledgeable on the subject and it showed when you answered questions.

You thoroughly and thoughtfully answered questions, so great job.

Encouraged questions and gave enough time for them to be asked. First question wasn't answered fully.

You tried to encourage questions with slides but I think the speed at which you went through your content was discouraging. Slowing down might help you get more questions.

You did a really good job of explaining what a clinically significant change was when you were asked.

The seminarian struggled with some questions, but overall did a great job.

Great answers to our questions.

Adequate answers were provided to most questions. / She seemed to be a bit fast in trying to close the final Q + A at first.

Jess succinctly and confidently answered questions regarding her seminar.

Question Cat was a nice touch-

Great job answering all the questions without any difficulty

Good job encouraging and answering questions.

You had answers for even more speculative questions.

Great job answering questions.

Good strategy multiple question slides throughout.

In the future consider repeating questions back to the audience. Appropriately encouraged Qs.

Overall Knowledge Base										
#	Question	Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	Mean	
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.92	
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.92	
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	23	1	1	0	0	0	0	6.88	
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96	
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.96	

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

If you are not sure about your answer, try not to make it up. Just mention that you will get back to the audience.

Very thorough answers to questions. Knowledge base appeared extensive for this subject.

obviously knew info and answered questions well

Felt that there was more room for you to come up with your own conclusions and looking beyond authors

I liked how you explained why in the first study how even though the results were statistically significant, they weren't clinically significant.

You did a great job with context and comparison to current practice.

You demonstrated your overall knowledge base throughout the presentation and when asking questions. A bit more discussion about clinical significant might be appropriate in future seminars.

She was able to draw specific outside information while answering all her questions, which showed the depth of her knowledge base

good job thinking on your feet

You knew of new studies and used that knowledge to theorize when asked questions. You were able to look past the author's conclusions and come up with your own. You did an excellent job of looking at the clinical significance by checking HAM scores.

It was obvious how prepared you were and you went into great detail, which speaks to the incredible amount of work and research you did.

Many references. Lots of material to have looked through!

You did a great job answering quesitons. Good job being well read on your subject and sharing your knowledge with us.

I really liked how you included information about future research to expect. That shows that you are thinking about how to fix the limitations of the current data, and it gives us something to look for in our future careers.

Very well researched and presented.

The answers you gave to our answers showed that you are able to think on your feet.

She demonstrated a great amount of knowledge outside of her slide show. I liked how she used the small amount of text on the slides to present a good amount of additional information on the topic. / At least one question seemed like speculation that was not clearly identified as such: the answer started with "the mind is a powerful thing".

Jess was able to provide responses to questions and think on her feet.

Good preparation. Some things like the placebo effect may have been more importantly mentioned in the study analysis-

Clearly knew the topic very well!

Student did a great job looking beyond the author's conclusions and forming her own from the results of the study.

You gave a thorough review of future studies which would help improve our understanding of this area. Very nice!!

I liked that you discussed what further research is necessary. And it was great that you could elaborate further than what is in your seminar

Very knowledgeable and able to answer questions outside the scope of your seminar.

Excellent that you were able to recognize clinical significance and think on your feet.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

Slides were very well-organized and easy to follow.

I thought the power point was very aesthetically pleasing and well done. The food was terrific and the environment was nice.

good topic

I really found the topic interesting

I liked that slides and handout were easy to read and not too wordy.

I really loved your specific, real-world conclusions.

I liked how you stayed calm and confident throughout the seminar.

I really liked the "question cat" slides:)

i really liked the details of where to take this information

I really liked your slides. They were very clear and easy to read. I liked that you were so knowledgeable on the subject.

I liked that you put in primary results after primary outcomes and secondary results after presenting secondary outcomes.

Interesting topic. I always love psychiatric topics. Very interesting that Lyrica can be used for anxiety as well.

Slides and handout were really nice.

You used your time well to cover so much information in a lot of detail.

Did well in coming up with strong conclusions based on the clinical data.

Great topic.

Great slides, good knowledge of the topic, little reliance on notes.

I enjoyed the images and diagrams used in the seminar (the cute question cat).

I liked the relevance to practice of the topic--a good lesson in GAD therapeutics-

Jess made the presentation interesting to the audience and was interactive with the audience.

Great slide deck.

You gave a great review of GAD and presented information about the studies which I would not have though of. Very nice!!!

I liked that you gave us a potential treatment option for something that is a common disorder.

I really like the clean slides and the cat/pizza slides.

Great flow, slides were excellent. Well done.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

Try to avoid using lots of "umm" and be prepared to answer audience questions.

The pace was a little hurried due to the amount of information she was trying to present, but I would have liked it to have been a little less hurried.

nothing comes to mind

Not sure if I'm sold on the recommendation based on data.

Maybe proofread your slides and handouts for errors like thee instead of three. Also pregabalin is for postherpetic neuralgia, not post therapeutic pain.

Honestly can't think of anything!

You could have discussed the limitations and strengths of HAM-A as an outcome measure.

Watch your verbal "um"s for next time

i would maybe include what you disagreed about the conclusions as well

You seemed really confident. Just watch your "ums" because it gets a little distracting.

Maybe shorten the conclusion section a tad in the handout.

Could have answered the questions more fully.

Speak more slowly and avoid minimizing the importance of treating psychological illness, whatever age group and gender the patients may be.

Work on removing filler words like "um" when you present.

Could have answered some audience questions with more detailed information

The evidence was good, but a little weak, which isn't really your fault, but hopefully stronger studies can be found for other topics.

If she had come out from the podium to speak, that would have helped.

Jess was very confident and obviously prepared, for the future she could maybe try to focus on pauses rather than "um," athought this was very minimal and not distracting to me.

More of the current practice could have been discussed, to help put things in perspective-

Started off a little fast but it slowed down with time- overall great job

Incorporate some audience interaction pieces into your presentation to get them involved in your seminar.

A lot of "umm" and "so" when you were presented to the point that it distracted me from the content of your seminar.

Try to make eye contact with the entire room. Handout was very thorough but maybe a little too much information.

Perhaps look at some SSRI trials next time.

A few "ums" to work on for next time. Other than that, great energy throughout.

General Comments

Good presentation.

Overall it was very informative and educational seminar. Well done!

good job.

Way to finish out the semester.

Overall good job on your first seminar. Next time maybe practice a bit more so you're not too nervous and don't rush. Also proofread your slides and handout one last time for errors.

Great job, Jess. Loved your topic choice.

Congratulations on a very successful seminar. It seemed like you were interested in your topic - I think that makes a big difference.

Great job!!!

great job on your seminar!

Overall you did a great job. I thought your recommendations for the pharmacist were really helpful.

I really liked your overall comparisons of pregabalin to each current treatment at the end. It helped to bring it all together.

Great seminar Jes! Well done! Question cat!

Great job. Good luck next year. I'm sure you will do fantastic!

When stating that the drug company funded a study that doesn't make it clear whether there actually was bias introduced or not. In a lot of studies it will say what the company's role was--whether they had complete control over the study, provided study drug, were involved in writing the manuscript, decided whether to submit for publishing, or other influence--and that information can help us better understand if the company was able to bias the study.

Overall very well done.

Great seminar overall, I think you did a wonderful job.

Excellent work! Don't get down about any nit-picky comments, we have to provide critical comments and it's all in the name of helping you improve.

Great job Jess! The presentation slides and handout were very well prepared.

Nice job Jess, have fun with your next one!

Great job overall

If you work on the transitions and filler words, you will have an excellent seminar next semester.

Overall great job!

Fantastic job it was a pleasure to observe your seminar.

Thanks for the pie pizza, veggies and soda! Great job!!!!!