

Presenter: Cordova, Manuel

Seminar Date: 2013-12-03

Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.85	6.77	6.92	6.95	6.88	7	6.97	6.63	6.38	6.6	6.67	6.5	7	7	0	0	0	E (47.43)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	14	6	1	0	0	0	0	6.62
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Presentation Style Comments

You were very professional when presenting

nice work on presentation and connecting with the audience. good pace

The pace could have been a little faster.

I really liked how he did not stand behind the podium and walked around the room relying less on his notes.

At times the pace was a little bit slower than I would have preferred, beyond that he did a great job on these aspects

Great job, went a little over but it was good

Manuel brought good energy and interaction to his seminar.

Manuel did a fantastic job presenting! He was very confident and professional.

Great energy, great connection with the audience

Good pace and confidence in presentation.

Manuel did a very good job presenting his seminar; it was clear he was much more comfortable presenting this year. His background information, though interesting, went a little long.

He was very professional and presented the material at an appropriate level for the audience without rushing through the material. He did seem to go a little slow at times though.

The seminarian did a great job at engaging the audience. There were a few times when the pace could have been a little faster.

Pace a tiny bit slow, but overall presentation was professional.

Manuel had wonderful "stage presence" as he walked in front of the room throughout his presentation. This helped maintain audience attention and was refreshing!

I liked your movement it helped me pay attention to you.

Good pace for the presentation, but kept pacing and fidgeting back and forth that was kind of distracting

Great presentation style. Seminarian did a great job involving the audience.

Did a great job looking at the audience and not at your slides.

Good pacing. Minimal reliance on notes. Slight distractions, but did not interfere with the overall presentation.

Manuel connects his presentation with audience very well, has maintained eye contact with audience during his presentation.

Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	16	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.76
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	13	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.62
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	19	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.86

Instructional Materials Comments

Good graphics and you provided orientation to all of your diagrams

I really like the regular use of pictures/graphs throughout the slides. I think that helped keep me engaged and attentive

Look at the formatting of your handout.

I really liked the charts and graphs and pictures he used in his presentation. However, in the second study, in the methods section it was stated that it was a double-blinded placebo controlled trial; however, in the limitations, it said it was a non-blinded study.

At times it seemed that the presentation did not follow the slides exactly and I found myself trying to figure out where we were at times.

Some of the images were missing references

A couple pages in the handout were stapled backwards.

Manuel's slides and handout were very clear and easy to read. He had one page in his handout that was flipped, but it was easy to identify and still follow along with his lecture.

Great presentation style, easy to read fonts, and easy to follow

Some problems with handout pages in incorrect order which made it difficult to follow and a typo with risk factors handout says 25 years old and during presentation 35 years was said.

Manuel really did a lot of research on the topic. I enjoyed the picture of the various versions of inositol. Although it kind of gave me nightmares back to organic chemistry and med chem.

I liked his slides and how he used them as reference points rather than slides to read. He did a great job talking about his slides and adding more to them verbally throughout his presentation rather than trying to cram too much information on each slide.

The seminarian should try to improve the quality of the pictures.

There were some grammar issues.

Manuel's slides were well organized, and employed great visuals that guided the eye to the area of the slide of most importance.

Of all the presentations this year you have most effectively used pictures of multiple types.

His slides and handouts were easy to understand and well organized

Slides and handout were very informative and easy to read

Don't forget to talk about the axes on your charts when presenting

Slides and handout were easy to read. Slight errors in handout. Great orientation to graphs and pictures.

At the beginning of his presentation, there are some information on a few slides hard to be seen from the back.

Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Appropriate background information was provided	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.81
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Overall Presentation Content Comments

I didn't really see what the controversy is because the product is not used in the united states

nice job

Good presentation style and the subject was interesting. How did you hear about this supplement?

Everything about the background information, controversy, purpose and introduction was great; however, the background was a little long and I believe it could have been much easier to follow if it would have been a little shorter.

I really liked his background information and his personal interest. He pointed to where he was from and how some groups suffer more from gestational diabetes and seemed to really have a personal stake in the subject.

Great job with interest in topic and background information

Introduction with call back to the importance of folate supplementation highlighted the relevancy of the topic.

Manuel did a great job explaining the importance and controversy of his topic. I really like that he tied in current news to draw in the audience.

Objectives were clear, great flow.

Good background information and I liked how you brought in a current event to relate why this in an important issue.

He was very fun to watch present. He was animated and excited to give his presentation.

I liked his humorous transition slides.

The seminarian did a great job with introducing the topic and covering the objectives.

Too much time was spent on the background.

I appreciated Manuel's description of his interest in the topic he chose, and agree that it is a very timely and interesting one! The objectives were perfectly described and addressed, and the transitions were smooth.

I felt flow could be improved during the background. It was almost as if we were jumping around

He provided us with a very thorough background on the topic that was helpful, as well as listing high-risk populations

Objectives were clearly stated.

I thought it was helpful how you included current therapy for gestational diabetes and how they are not that effective so we could compare and contrast the myo-inositol supplementation.

Clearly discussed interest and controversy. Great background information.

Manuel gives some basic biochemistry introduction, overall is well organized presentation

Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

Good analysis and your interpretation of the strengths and weaknesses

nothing to improve on in this area

Good interpretation of the data and how it will affect the outcomes of the study.

His knowledge on the sample size and power was good and the outcomes measured were well presented.

He was able to not only point out the strengths and weaknesses of the individual studies, but he was able to incorporate the three together into the bigger picture which I liked.

Great job with data analysis

Nice job highlighting strengths and weaknesses especially in relation to recruitment criteria.

Manuel did a good job evaluating the strengths and limitations of his studies and explaining them to the audience.

Thorough detail on the analysis of the strength and limitation

Good job presenting the studies and focusing on the strengths and limitations of the studies and why they may or may not apply because of lack of including higher risk patients.

He presented appropriate studies to support his conclusions.

He was detailed and thoughtful in his analysis of the strengths and limitations. He was also concise rather than cramming too much information into his seminar.

The seminarian was very thorough and concise when presenting the studies.

Study data was well presented.

Manuel provided appropriate analysis of each study used in his seminar, and correctly identified the strengths and limitations that were of most importance to the audience and demographic we expect to interact with.

great explanation of exclusion and limitations of studies.

Did a very good job analyzing the studies and how applicable they are to real world situations

Seminarian provided detailed statistical analysis and results were clearly presented.

thought it was good how you specifically talked about the significant results and spent more time on them. It might be helpful to explain the statistical tests that were performed on the data and whether or not it was appropriate. Slides were good and easy to follow but maybe decrease the amount of words on your slides

Great analysis of the articles. Thoughtful analysis and discussion of strengths and limitations.

Clinical data from two studies provides very strong support about his position.

Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	18	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.81
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Conclusions Comments

Clinical importance wasn't really discussed besides to say "more data is needed"

good job drawing conclusions from the study. These were smaller studies, so being able to weigh that against the results in order to draw conclusions can sometimes be tricky. Good work

Good job at pharmacist role and pointing out that it may be a supplement that we see in the future.

I liked his recommendations and they were all supported by data he presented in the seminar. His recommendations on the role of the pharmacist was thorough and good.

Here my favorite part was how he spoke to not only what the studies found, but went above that with his recommendations and also spoke to the issues with natural products/supplements and the inconsistency that is out there, as well as the availability of the product.

Would have liked more solid recommendations

Data led to conclusions but sample size of both studies was small.

He did a good job explaining the role of the pharmacist with regards to his topic.

Great talk on the application of inositol, but I wonder if our local pharmacy here in the US will carry this product.

I like how you had your own conclusions and critically evaluated the studies.

Not only did he share his conclusions, but he allowed the audience to come to their own conclusions about the topic he presented.

He did a good job answering questions about applicability of his results in pharmacy practice. I liked how he took a stand based on the evidence from his studies rather than just discouraging this product because it is an herbal substance.

The seminarian's did a great job of using the data collected and coming up with his own conclusion.

Conclusions were substantiated and I'm really glad that he took into consideration the availability of this product in the US.

Manuel's conclusions were well formulated, and encouraged further research and development of inositol in the US to better determine the benefit to patients.

Great conclusions, I just wanted a little more about how I could apply this.

His conclusions were good but maybe a little more specific may be helpful

Conclusion was well thought out and supported by the clinical evidence

good job. maybe be more specific in your conclusion

Conclusions were drawn from the available data.

Conclusions are clear and informative.

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Question Answer Session Comments

Great audience interaction

good job on answering questions. That can be difficult because you never know what is going to be thrown at you.

Good job at thinking on your feet.

He participated the audience and asked questions from the audience. He had a great energy throughout the presentation.

He often encouraged questions from the audience and spoke to them outside of the presentation and questions to keep which I thought really helped to keep his audience involved.

Great job with questions and getting audience to participate

Manuel did a good job handling questions.

Manuel did a fantastic job answering audience questions. He seemed very knowledgeable about his subject and very prepared!

I like the way you kept the audience involved throughout the presentation.

Great job with question and answer section.

He interacted with the audience, and encouraged their questions.

He encouraged questions throughout and provided appropriate answers to questions.

The seminarian encouraged question and participation throughout.

Questions were answered well and interaction with the audience was great.

Manuel did a great job answering questions, and demonstrated his thorough preparation in his responses.

Great answers to questions and keeping students involved.

Encouraged questions and answered questions adequately

Seminarian did a great job answering and encouraging questions.

great job

Thoroughly answered questions without much hesitation.

Although there is not too many questions during the presentation, Manuel encourages questions and is able to interact with audience.

Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

Overall Knowledge Base Comments

you drew your own conclusion from the data

myo-inositol is, in my opinion, a somewhat obscure topic, but it appeared that Manuel knew it quite well as evidenced by his ability to answer questions.

Good overall knowledge of diabetes which is something we all need to know about.

He knew a lot about the background and clinical and statistical significance of data. His knowledge on the subject he presented was great; however, the background was kind of long and it could have been shorter.

Again I will point back to the recommendations he made that I had mentioned earlier. I thought it was great that while he concluded that inositol does show to have some evidence pointing positive outcomes he looked at the bigger picture of the availability and quality of the products and would not give a full recommendation as these products are notorious for having concerns with their use

Not sure the articles were strong enough to make recommendations off of them

good knowledge base of the subject matter.

He did a good job drawing appropriate conclusions from his data.

You did a great job in the question section. I like your facts about the safety and efficacy

Strong overall knowledge base and great job critically evaluating the studies and coming up with your own conclusions and whether or not the results will relate to our population that is at risk for gestational diabetes.

Manuel quickly came up with answers to the inquiries of the class. He also was able to discuss his conclusions in the context of the research and the overall applicability to someone in the United States

trying to obtain this supplement.

He demonstrated the ability to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results.

The seminar demonstrated thorough knowledge of the topic.

It was clear he was knowledgeable.

Manuel was confident in his understanding of the topic, and correctly explained the controversy of his topic. My only thoughts for improvement would be the addition of other fetal risks with uncontrolled gestational diabetes, such as physical and developmental delays, and diabetes after birth.

I could tell you care about this topic and saw that you were very knowledgeable.

Through his ability to answer the various questions demonstrated a strong overall knowledge base

Seminar demonstrated tremendous knowledge of gestational diabetes and myoinositol

Knew the topic well and answered questions thoughtfully

Clear demonstration of knowledge. Presenter was able to relate information applicable to pharmaceutical/clinical practice.

Manuel provides his understanding and interpretations on the topic; furthermore, he warns the reliability and quality of online products.

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I liked your presentation style a lot. Seminar is a boring class and you got the audience to participate in the discussion. I also thought your jokes were funny. Great!

I really like the regular use of pictures and animation on the slides. That did well to keep my attention on a topic that didn't seem as relevant to me as some other topics.

Good flow and presentation style and good subject.

I liked his energy throughout the presentation. I liked his graphs and charts and how he circled out the important concepts in the charts and graphs that were pertinent for the students to know. I liked how he involved the audience and asked questions on the subject. His background story was great.

I enjoyed this presentation. He showed real interest in the subject and made recommendations that did not blindly follow the study results. He concluded that there may be benefit but until there is an available high quality product it would be best not to fully recommend it. He also spoke in regards to the different ethnic groups and populations in the studies that were underrepresented which I thought added more credibility to the presentation.

Good job, very confident

Good energy and interaction with the audience.

This was a very interesting topic! Manuel did great at keeping the audience engaged and was actually fun to listen to.

Great energy, great connection with the audience

I really liked how you focused on the strengths and limitations of the studies especially since it was for a dietary supplement and those studies are usually less strong.

I liked his energy throughout the presentation.

I liked his energy. He seemed excited about the topic which made more interesting for all of us.

The seminarian's presentation style of great. He encouraged audience participation and didn't stand in one spot.

He is one of the only presenters who has moved beyond the podium and it was a refreshing change.

I really enjoyed the topic, and thought how wonderful if more research could be conducted in this area to further the successful treatment of gestational diabetes.

Again great use of graphics

I liked the way his handout was organized, making it easy to follow along and read

Great job engaging the audience.

You seemed very confident and did a great job keeping eye contact with the audience and only glancing at your slides

Great display of the slides and handouts. They looked quite professional.

Manuel provides two articles with strong supports to his position.

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar

I didn't like that you referenced a cancer study when talking about the safety of the supplement for pregnancy. It would have been better if you'd used Reprotox database or called the pregnancy risk line to see about the safety data in pregnancy

cant really think of anything

A quicker pace and look over the handout to make sure the format is good.

I believe having a shorter background information would have been better and that is the area that can be improved. Also, it would have been better to have more clear pictures in the back of the handout in the appendix section.

I did find myself at times flipping through the pages to figure out where we were as sometimes it seemed that the presentation and handout were not on the same page, no pun intended, which I think could have been improved upon.

Handout had some flipped pages and some pictures were out of order. Try not to make any last minute changesGood

A couple parts of the background didn't flow and got into too much detail.

His seminar was a little long. He probably could have shortened it up just a little bit to fit it in the 30 minutes.

More pictures

Look over the handout to make sure all the pages are in the correct order and that there are no typos.

Sometimes he would get caught up in the details, and I think that detracted from his presentation.

He could have sped up the pace a little. It seemed a little slow at times.

The seminar could have improved the handout to make sure it is complete and legible.

I would suggest having someone look over the slide set and handout with a focus on grammar. It's difficult with English as a second language, but it important in a professional setting to use proper

grammar.

I think Manuel did an amazing job. His pace, poise and knowledge base were all great. More background on fetal risks would be interesting and lend more urgency to the importance of treatment.

work on smoother transitions

More specific answer on our role as pharmacists and maybe how much this supplement may cost

Appendix pictures in handout were a little blurry, fix for future seminars.

When you list your limitations, list the from biggest to smallest limitation.

Maybe spend less time on the background, as it took the majority of the seminar time.

He could make word font larger so that everyone can see them from the back.

General Comments

Congratulations on your last seminar!

great job presenting a topic that was much less known than regular topic discussions

Overall good job I really liked the topic, it was interesting and applicable.

Overall great presentation and great information and great energy in presenting the subject.

I enjoyed the presentation and his enthusiasm, he seemed to have carefully contemplated the results and knew the material. I also enjoyed his pictures as they were able to get a laugh out of the audience. He had humor built into the presentation which seemed natural, but it not only made it enjoyable, but also added to the content of the presentation.

Good job!

Well done.

Manuel seemed very prepared for his seminar. It was clear that he practiced his presentation several times! Great job!

Great job

Overall good seminar and strong overall knowledge base with good critical analysis of the studies.

Great work!

Overall, this was a great seminar!

Overall, the seminarian demonstrated thorough knowledge of the topic.

Good job overall. It was interactive and well done.

Great job overall, excellent preparation and confidence demonstrated throughout.

I really enjoyed this seminar and hope to see further studies and the use of myo-inositol in the future to help patients.

Overall good presentation that provided helpful information to our future practice if this product becomes more widely available

Great job!

Don't stand behind the podium. You were great about not looking at your slides when you weren't behind the podium but whenever you got behind it you looked at the computer screen almost the whole time you were behind it.

Overall, a great presentation. I have learned a lot.

Overall, I enjoy this presentation and have learned a few things from his interesting presentation!