

# Presenter: Sandstrom, Carolyn

Seminar Date: 2014-04-16

## Presenter Scores

Student Survey Data Averages							Faculty Survey Data Averages							Final Scores			
Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Knowledge	Pres. Style	Inst. Materials	Overall Pres.	Clinical Data	Conc.	Q&A	Overall Know.	Prep.	Prof.	Att.	Total
6.8	6.86	6.92	6.91	6.86	6.91	6.95	5.88	6.38	6.5	6.42	5.75	6	5.7	0	0	0	E (46.15)

Presentation Style									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Moderate Pace	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Thorough eye contact/ minimal reliance on notes	13	8	1	0	0	0	0	6.55
3	Displayed professionalism/ poise/ confidence/ lacked distracting mannerisms	15	7	0	0	0	0	0	6.68
4	Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Presentation Style Comments

You were a little nervous at first, but recovered well. I would encourage you to be confident because you are a great presenter.

Great job on pace and presentation level of material

Started off a little nervous but got better as the presentation went on

Very professional, but had some reliance on notes.

Great pace and professionalism. You relied on your slides initially, but stopped eventually.

Sweet style

started out quiet and nervous, but ended on a more confident note.

Great pace but a thing to work on would be confidence and projecting.

some reliance on notes in the beginning and some um's throughout but otherwise great pace.

Seemed a little nervous to start but showed great confidence after settling into the presentation.

Great seminar! YOu relyd on your notes a fair amount in the beginnine but got better towards the end. You also seemed a little nervous but gained confidence at the end

Great pace

Good presentation

good presentation style I liked the look of your slides

Started off pretty quiet and trailed off at the end of sentences. This improved over the course of the seminar, but be confident! You knew the material very well and did a great job.

Carolyn presented very well. She was well prepared. My suggestions for improvement are watch your fillers... when you got nervous, you started adding random information that disrupted the flow. You would also start saying something then trailing off.

Be sure that you don't mumble at the end of your phrases. Sometimes it was very difficult to hear the end of your sentences.

You were a little nervous and pace was a little wobbly at first.

Good pace. You seem to pause a bit at certain parts and it seemed like you were searching for ways to word things. Maybe practice a bit more so less slide/computer reading...

Carolyn sometimes avoided eye contact with audience.

I think the nerves got you at first, but you opened up and read less from the slides as time went on, great job!

You got more confident as you went on, next time just start where you left off and you'll be awesome

## Instructional Materials

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Slides and handout were clear/easy to read	17	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.77
2	Slides and handout are devoid of spelling and grammatical errors	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams (if applicable)	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
4	Cites appropriate references/correct referencing style and emphasizes primary literature	20	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.86

## Instructional Materials Comments

Your handout was refreshing and creative. However, I found your slides a bit bland. Consider dressing up your slides in the future to catch the audience's attention.

I really liked how simple your slides were - very easy to follow

Great tables and graphs

Some of the images and graphics were confusing and not fully explained.

I loved your handout! Professional, yet encouraged reading (right length and depth). Great format of handout!

Handout well done, easy to read

Instructional materials looked great

I would prefer the presentation to match order of the handout.

there were some wordy slides at the beginning, also citations should be on every slide or mentioned that they will follow at the end

I liked how you made the table to compare both studies side by side.

Great slides! easy to read, simple and clear

Clear and active objectives

Good handout

Hand out was a little difficult to follow because it didn't follow your slides

Handout was very clean, easy to read, and nice looking - very professional (I especially liked the header box for each section in handout)

Overall well put together. The handout did not follow the presentation well, and this could improve the presentation.

Your slides were easy to read and you did provide good orientation to your graphs and diagrams

Handout was a little different than the presentation, but this may just be a personal preference thing.

Slides were clear and easy to read and handout was simple and clean. Maybe in the future, indicate which appendix is which (e.g Appendix A, Appendix B and references to where you pulled them).

Slides were awesome! The order of materials was different between slides and handout.

Best handout of the semester! It was clear, attractive, organized, concise, and wasn't a million pages long. Thank you!

Great handout!

## Overall Presentation Content

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Introduction, interest in topic, and outline/objectives described	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Defines purpose/controversy of seminar topic clearly	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
3	Objectives clear and useful for self assessment	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Appropriate background information was provided	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
5	Well organized presentations and smooth transitions (appropriate 'flow')	17	4	1	0	0	0	0	6.73

## Overall Presentation Content Comments

I liked that you picked a topic that is both controversial and applicable on a daily basis in pharmacy practice

Good interest in topic, fow was just a little off

Clear objectives

Great interest in the topic. It is obviously a very controversial issue right now.

Great job with the 2 comparsion tables (1 comparing countries and 1 comparing demographics). Excellence presenting. Clear, concise, understandable.

Interesting topic on a drug we use a lot!

Nice, quantifiable objectives.

Nice quantifiable objectives.

very measureable objectives and clear interest in the topic.

I thought the background info was perfect. A good review but not too much information.

INtroduction was good and short and the objectives were measurable

Excellent reason for controversy

Good background information

great measurable objectives

Good background material provided, I would recommend trying to run your instructional video ahead of time on the projector to make sure it works when up in front of class

The objectives were quantifiable and appropriate. Carolyn struggled with the flow, but this is mostly due to nerves and can be improved with practice. i.e. don't present your conclusions with your results. Present the results with an unbiased opinion then move onto conclusions and what we can draw from

the results.

Your objectives were good you just need to make sure you cover them. Try to be clear and emphasize the objective you are going over on the slide.

Great job on objectives they were measurable and very clear and concise.

Smooth transitions and good introduction to topic, along with controversy.

Great objectives! It may have been interesting to have included a patient case.

I really appreciated your interest in the topic. When you explained it, you stepped away from the podium and spoke clearly and from the heart. It was engaging. Great job!

I liked the interest you had in the topic

## Presentation of Clinical Data

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	NA	Mean
1	Presented concise objectives, methodology and treatment for each study	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
2	Outcome measures were stated and described, and appropriateness was explained	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Presented key trial results with corresponding statistical analysis	20	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
4	Student is able to determine if sample size and power is appropriate (if applicable)	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	6.95
5	Withdrawals and dropouts are accounted for (if applicable)	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	6.95
6	Provided a detailed & thoughtful analysis of study strengths and limitations	18	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.82

## Presentation of Clinical Data Comments

You did well to elaborate on both strengths and weaknesses that were not specifically stated by the authors in their studies.

Thoughtful and detailed

Made the data simple and easy to evaluate

Great job. I thought the trials were appropriate and good reviews of the issue.

I felt your looked at your studies critically. You evaluated their demographics, exclusions,, etc and didn't just regurgitate the results.

Effective analysis of clinical data

would have liked more elaboration of strengths and limitations. Really liked that the clinical data was presented in a simple, concise and straight forward manner. Also liked the inclusion of demographic comparison of the U.S. versus Denmark.

Strengths and limitations of clinical data were addressed.

liked how she talked about the demographics of both groups in the cohort studies

Maybe make the objectives more measurable but otherwise great.

Great job with the studies! There were simply and clear to understand

great discussion on the clinical data

Good presentation of trials

Good job with sorting through the clinical data, you much better than I with those observational studies analysis of these trials was very good. You highlighted limitations very effectively

Overall the material was presented appropriately. I really liked that Carolyn talked specifically about the demographics of the studies.

Your strengths and limitations were well thought out but try to think through if something truly is a limitation. It may just be inherent to a retrospective study design and not necessarily a true limitation.

Perhaps spend a little more of the background discussing the things that will help us understand the outcomes of the studies.

Good analysis of strengths and limitations. Provided explanation of study objectives and treatment. Did not really mention much on outcome measures or statistical analysis in slides although it was included in the handout so maybe touch on it a bit more in the future.

Data was well summarized.

Really appreciated how you presented the clinical data and how you compared the two studies side by side on the table.

Great job discussing stats



## Conclusions

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar	19	2	1	0	0	0	0	6.82
2	Clinical importance and application of the study is discussed	18	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
3	Provided specific recommendations for clinical pharmacy practice	18	4	0	0	0	0	0	6.82
4	Discussed the role of the pharmacist and/or impact to the profession of pharmacy in regards to the use of the treatment	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## Conclusions Comments

Your conclusions were supported by the data presented, and I agreed with your overall conclusions.

Conclusions supported by the data

good conclusion

Good recommendations.

Avoid giving your conclusions ahead of time. You kind of pointed out which way you felt the studies went, so your presentation didn't seem unbiased.

Drew appropriate conclusions based on data

Conclusions were supported by the data. I would have liked a clearer picture of which patients you would or wouldn't recommend the use of azithromycin and what possible alternatives you could recommend.

I think the recommendation could have been more detailed with qualifiers. What patients should one definitely avoid recommending azithromycin?

got ahead of herself at times while presenting the conclusions.

I agreed with the conclusions but I'm wondering what the clinical implications are.

Great conclusions. YOu might spend a little more time talking about the clinical significance of the studies

good conclusion, but could have used a case example

Good conclusions

I think that by adding patinet cases you could have strengthened your conclusion

Conclusions were very representative of the literature presented. You did a good job at elucidating what patients you would be concerned about and who you would discuss this risk with

Carolyn was able to make a solid recommendation based on the information presented and when given a hypothetical situation. Make sure you thoroughly evaluate ALL strengths and limitations.

Your conclusions were strong and supported by the data you presented.

Conclusions were good, maybe give us an example of a specific patient you would use this in or not.

Good discussion of pharmacist role based on study results/conclusions. Conclusion was a bit "soft" so it would have been nice to see more of a solid conclusion. It would also have been good to have a patient case of some sort at the end to tie it all together and so that we can see which patient you would recommend AZT and which you wouldn't.

Role of pharmacist very applicable to practice.

I feel the conclusions lined up with the material presented. It is a very applicable topic and you did a great job at presenting it.

I agreed with you

Question Answer Session									
#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Succinctly, yet thoroughly answered audience questions	19	3	0	0	0	0	0	6.86
2	Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

### Question Answer Session Comments

You fielded questions well, and answered them quickly and succinctly.

Good job answering questions

Answered some hard questions

There were some difficult questions, but she handled them well.

Encourage more audience participation (case, etc.)

Good QandA, able to answer all questions posed and had an ability to think on your feet

You were much more confident in the Q&A part of the presentation. I could tell you understood the material.

Good job answering questions. Need to know key resources for topic.

try to get the audience to participate in the q&a by asking them a questions or even a rhetorical questions etc.

Well prepared to answer questions.

Great job answering questions. You were a little hesitant on some but overall it was great

strong QandA. Some hard questions and you stood strong to answer on evidence

Good job answering questions

You shined in your question protion, you started to speak up and become more aggressive whne you were answeeing questions

Great job answering questions. Knew the material well and showed confidence in answering the questions

Carolyn seems most comfortable when interacting with the audience. She settled into answering questions well and appeared less nervous here. It was evident that she knew her material.

You were able to answer difficult questions well. You did really well on the case that was asked.

You answered questions well and concisely.

Good job answering questions. When asked about patient case, I liked that you took a stance and stuck with it and gave your reasoning.

Good job answering many of the questions.

Your Q&A was awesome! Way to stay confident despite very ambiguous questions from the crowd.

Its almost like you asked Brittany to ask that. great job

## Overall Knowledge Base

#	Question	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	Mean
1	Demonstrated knowledge of subject beyond the facts presented in the seminar	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
2	Student is able to distinguish the difference between clinical and statistical significance	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
3	Student is able to look beyond the author's conclusions and offer insight into the overall study results	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95
4	Student is able to discuss conclusions in the context of previous research and in comparison to current practice/therapy	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	6.91
5	Student is able to think on his/her feet. May theorize if not sure of answer, but identifies answer as such	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.95

## Overall Knowledge Base Comments

Your overall knowledge base on this subject was very apparent. It made it easy for me to follow along and trust in what you were saying.

Strong knowledge base was clearly evident

Demonstrated a full knowledge of the subject

Did very well dealing with the "case-based" question that was posed by a member of the audience. She was not completely sure of the right answer, but used her knowledge to synthesize an answer.

Great thinking on your feet with the impromptu "case" you got from a student. Well handled.

Based on the ability to think about questions and answer appropriately you definitely had a solid knowledge base

Great job answering questions and not getting flustered or losing confidence with more difficult questions/scenarios.

Did a good job dealing with Brittany's mother question???

i thought she understood her topic very well. and knew the ins and outs of the studies etc.

Great overall knowledge base and answered questions very well.

Great job answering the clinical question about the student's mom

clear knowledge base

Great knowledge of the subject

good overall basis

Overall knowledge base was clear, especially how you answered questions.

Overall solid presentation.

Based on your answers to questions it was clear that you knew a lot about the subject. I was impressed with the time that you put into the presentation.

You showed a good knowledge base.

Was able to demonstrate good overall knowledge base and was able to answer audience questions that demonstrated knowledge.

Great job - a little more information about clinical significance would have been helpful.

Great knowledge base that showed through during the Q&A. Great job!

Great Job

## Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar

I like the controversial and very applicable topic.

Simple, easy to read slides and handout

Slides and handout looked great!

Wonderful job. I really liked the background an real world application. It is helpful to know how a subject will I mpact our daily practice.

Simple, concise evaluation of the results. I loved how you trimmed the details down to get us to focus on what was important.

Professional presentation style

Interesting and applicable topic

I liked the topic of prolonged QT regarding a highly used medication. We get asked these questions all the time.

i liked the video example of qt tho make sure it is going to work before hand!

Presented the info in a way that was clear yet simple.

It was really simple and concise which was great

Something I had been curious about but had not closely reviewed

Good seminar

I really liked your slide sets

Important and interesting topic

I really liked the chart of comparisons of baseline characteristics between the studies. It helped the audience determine the difference between the studies and what may have led to differences in results.

I think the subject is very relevant to current clinical practice and I was impressed by the insight you gave on the topic.

Good and relevant topic that helped me think about how i will use this in practice.

I liked that you included the chart at the end that showed us a summary of the two trials. It made it a bit easier to understand and see the outcomes you were trying to demonstrate.

Great job with slide preparation.

What I liked most about your seminar, Carolyn, was how well your handout supplemented your presentation. It really was my favorite handout of the semester.

I like that you described the population demographics! And it turns out it mattered!

### **Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar**

Be confident right from the beginning. You are a great presenter when you get comfortable.

At what point are we concerned about the QT interval (>400msec)? What is the relationship between the timing of the medication and when cardiovascular effects are likely to occur?

A little soft spoken at times through the presentation

More confidence.

Invite more audience participation. We were kind of a dead audience (our fault), but you could have engaged us more.

Pretty stationary, I would recommend moving around a little more to keep the audience engaged

Include a case to illustrate who you would or wouldn't recommend the use of azithromycin

I would work on a way to involve the audience.

refrain from using words such as hope in your seminar it can lower the credibility that you have and confidence

Show more confidence from the beginning to the end of the presentation.

YOU seemed a little hesitant at first and you trailed off at the end of sentences. Be a little more confident! You did an excellent job and you know your stuff so use that confidence

a link to the QTc drugs website and resources, also a thought process of when to consider not using azithromycin with other certain meds, ie dofetilide

nothing

you seemed to not be as confident during your seminar, but you became very strong during your q/a portion

Speaking up and showing confidence. You knew the material very well and did a good job, but showing confidence and speaking up can make a good seminar even better

The chart of baseline characteristics would have been a good addition to the handout.

Work on your confidence. You know what you are talking about but you need to feel that way. Be



confident and clear in your answers and presentation. Don't mumble or seem unsure.

You were a little nervous and your voice would trail off sometimes, practice and hopefully this will go away for the next one.

Maybe in the future, try not to read off the slide or computer as much. There were some parts of the presentation you seemed to pause to try and find the right words to say, which makes it seem you were less prepared.

There may have been more clarity about when to avoid Azithromycin.

My one suggestion for you is to watch the "ummmm" filler word when you were searching for what to say. This is a hard thing to do, and it wasn't really noticeable until I first heard it....and then it was pretty regular once I started listening for it.

Just practice in front of others and you become more comfortable.

## General Comments

Great Job!

Great seminar

I learned a lot and found the information in this seminar to be very useful.

Great job.

Great job overall!

great job

Nice work. The presentation was clear, informative, and something that we may use in our own practice.

great job Carolyn

Cute cute cute picture of your daughter.

Overall great job! At times the seminar seemed a little more conversational, not as professional, which can be a good and bad thing. In my eval I was told to be more professional so next time, maybe run through your seminar and what you are going to say a few more times! But honestly I thought that the conversational style was great and easy going!

yeppers

overall great job and topic

Great job, I really enjoyed your seminar and was impressed with your performance

Great job!

Good job overall

Great Presentation!

Great seminar! What an awesome job.

Great Job!