# Tracking Provenance In An Entity-Consolidating RESTful Read/Write Web Service For RDF Video Annotations

Thomas Steiner Universitat Politécnica de Catalunya Department LSI 08034 Barcelona, Spain tsteiner@lsi.upc.edu Your Name
Affiliation
Address
Address
you@example.org

Joaquim Gabarró
Universitat Politécnica de
Catalunya
Department LSI
08034 Barcelona, Spain
gabarro@lsi.upc.edu

#### **ABSTRACT**

Using Natural Language Processing or URI Lookup third party Web services, converting legacy unstructured data into Linked Data is a relatively straight-forward task. In this paper we first present an approach to first consolidate entities found by such Web services when being used in parallel, and then describe how one can keep track of provenance at the same time. We have implemented a RESTful Web service for the automatic RDF annotation of YouTube videos, and discuss how in a read/write environment manual changes to automatically generated RDF annotations can be tracked.

#### **Categories and Subject Descriptors**

H.3 [Information Storage and Retrieval]: On-line Information Services

#### **General Terms**

Experimentation

#### **Keywords**

RDF, LOD, Linked Data, Semantic Web, NLP, Video

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

With SemWebVid [?] we introduced a client-side interactive Ajax application for the automatic generation of RDF video annotations. For this paper we have re-implemented and vastly improved the annotation logic on the server-side, resulting in a RESTful read/write-enabled Web service for RDF video annotations. A YouTube video is described by a Google Data Atom feed¹. In order to semantically annotate the various elements of this feed, we concentrated on the following fields (in XPath syntax): title /entry/media:group/media:title, description /entry/media:-group/media:description, tags /entry/media:group/media:-keywords. YouTube offers an automatic audio transcription

service and users can also upload audio transcriptions on their own. This allows for closed captions in several languages (we differentiate between subtitles and closed captions, where subtitles are hard-encoded into the video, and closed captions separate resources). In addition to the previously mentioned elements of the Google Data Atom feed, we thus use closed captions<sup>2</sup> when they are available.

#### 2. WEB SERVICES FOR CONVERTING UN-STRUCTURED DATA INTO LINKED DATA

We differentiate between Natural Language Processing (NLP) Web services and URI Lookup Web services. The NLP Web services that we use for our experiemtns take a text fragment as an input and perform Named Entity Extraction (NER) on it and link extracted entities back into the Linked Open Data cloud<sup>3</sup>. For NLP Web services, we use OpenCalais, Zemanta, and AlchemyAPI<sup>4</sup>. The URI Lookup Web services take a term as an input, and return the set of URIs that most probably represent this term. For URI Lookup Web services, we use Freebase, DBpedia Lookup, Sindice, and Uberblic<sup>5</sup>. For both families of services, we use these services in parallel, aiming for the emergence effect in the sense of Aristotle<sup>6</sup>. In the next section we describe our strategies for entity consolidation.

#### 3. ENTITY CONSOLIDATION

First, we present our approach how to consolidate entities from URI Lookup Web services.

# 3.1 Entitiy Consolidation For URI Lookup Web Services

As as first step we have implemented a wrapper for all four URI Lookup services that aligns the particular service's output to a common output format. This format is the least

<sup>1</sup>E.g., http://gdata.youtube.com/feeds/api/videos/ Rq1dow1vTHY

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mathrm{E.g.},\ \mathrm{http://www.youtube.com/watch\_ajax?action\_get\_caption\_track\_all\&v=Rq1dow1vTHY}$ 

<sup>3</sup>http://lod-cloud.net/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>http://www.opencalais.com/documentation/calais-web-service-api, http://developer.zemanta.com/docs/, http://www.alchemyapi.com/api/entity/

<sup>5</sup>http://wiki.freebase.com/wiki/Search, http: //lookup.dbpedia.org, http://sindice.com/ developers/api#SindicePublicAPI-TermSearch, http://uberblic.org/developers/apis/search/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Aristotle, Metaphysics, Book H 1045a 8-10: "[...] the totality is not, as it were, a mere heap, but the whole is something besides the parts [...]"

common multiple of the information of all feeds. For our experiments we agreed on the JSON format below (the examples below use the term Google Translate to illustrate the approach):

```
Γ
    "name": "Google Translate",
    "uris": [
      "http://dbpedia.org/resource/Google_Translate"
    "provenance": "freebase, uberblic, dbpedia",
    "relevance": 0.75
]
```

The corresponding call to our wrapper API that calls all four Web services in the background is at GET http://localhost: 3000/uri-lookup/combined/Google/%20Translate (the particular results from each service are available at http:// localhost:3000/uri-lookup/{service\_name}/Google%20Translate/for the company Google). While in that particular As can be seen in the example above, already at the lowest data representation level we maintain provenance information (Sindice delivered a different result, and is thus not in the list). In order to agree on a winner entity, a majority-based voting system is used. The problem, however, is that both Freebase and Uberblic return results in their own namespaces (for Google Translate the results are http://freebase.com/en/google\_translate, http:// uberblic.org/resource/67dc7037-6ae9-406c-86ce-997b905badc8# approach: we have a look at each of the extracted entities thing), whereas Sindice and DBpedia Lookup return results from DBpedia (obvious for DBpedia Lookup, and among other results for Sindice). Freebase and Uberblic interlink their results with DBpedia at an owl:sameAs level for Freebase, and by referencing the source (umeta:source\_uri) for Uberblic, so by retrieving the referenced resources in the services' namespaces we can map back to DBpedia URIs and match all four services' results at this level. Each service's result contributes with a relevance of 0.25 to the final result, in the above example when three services agree on the same result, the resulting relevance is thus the sum of the singular relevance scores (0.75 in this case).

#### 3.2 **Entitiy Consolidation For NLP Web Ser-**

In analogy to our approach to URI Lookup entity consolidation, we have implemented a wrapper API for the three NLP services. While the original calls to the particular NLP service are all HTTP POST based, we have implemented the wrapper GET based. The least common multiple of the particular results looks like this (shortened to one entity for the sake of legibility, the original result contained 7 entities, 6 directly relevant, and 1 related, but not directly relevant entity):

```
"name": "Google Translate",
   "relevance": 0.7128319999999999,
    "uris": [
     {
```

```
"uri": "http://dbpedia.org/resource/Google_Translate",
        "provenance": "alchemyapi"
      },
      {
        "uri": "http://rdf.freebase.com/ns/en/google_translate"
        "provenance": "zemanta"
      }
    ],
    "provenance": "alchemyapi,zemanta"
  }
]
```

These results came from a call to our wrapper API at GET

http://localhost:3000/entity-extraction/combined/Google% 20Translate, and as with URI Lookup the particular services' can be obtained at http://localhost:3000/entityextraction/{service\_name}/Google%20Translate. While AlchemyAPI and Zemanta return results from DBpedia and other interlinked LOD cloud resources, OpenCalais returns only results in its own namespace (e.g., http://d.opencalais. com/er/company/ralg-tr1r/ce181d44-1915-3387-83da-0dc4ec01c6da. case retrieving the resource RDF representation and checking for owl:sameAs links to DBpedia is successful, in general we found OpenCalais URIs sometimes point to nonexistant resources, or to not very rich resources like http:// d.opencalais.com/pershash-1/cfcf1aa2-de05-3939-a7d5-10c9c7b3e87b.html for President Barack Obama, where the only information is that Barack Obama is of type person. In order to consolidate extracted entities, we use the following from service one and compare each entity's URIs with each URIs from each extracted entity from service two. To illustrate this, see the example below (shortened for the sake of legibility, the used text fragment contained a reference to the company Google). Results for the text fragment from AlchemyAPI:

```
"name": "google",
  "relevance": 0.496061,
  "uris": [
    {
      "uri": "http://dbpedia.org/resource/Google",
      "provenance": "alchemyapi"
    },
      "uri": "http://rdf.freebase.com/ns/guid.9202a8c04000641f8
      "provenance": "alchemyapi"
    }.
    {
      "uri": "http://cb.semsol.org/company/google.rdf",
      "provenance": "alchemyapi"
  ],
   provenance": "alchemyapi"
Results for the text fragment from Zemanta:
```

{

```
"name": "google inc.",
  "relevance": 0.563132,
  "uris": [
    {
      "uri": "http://rdf.freebase.com/ns/en/google",
      "provenance": "zemanta"
    },
      "uri": "http://dbpedia.org/resource/Google",
      "provenance": "zemanta"
    },
      "uri": "http://cb.semsol.org/company/google#self"
      "provenance": "zemanta"
    }
 ],
  "provenance": "zemanta"
}
```

As can be seen the entity names mismatch (google inc. vs. google), however, going down the list of URIs for the entity, one can note a match via http://dbpedia.org/resource/ Google. In addition to that one can also see two would-be matches (http://cb.semsol.org/company/google.rdf vs. http://cb.semsol.org/company/google#self and http:// rdf.freebase.com/ns/en/google vs. http://rdf.freebase. com/ns/guid.9202a8c04000641f800000000042acea), however, because of the inconsistent use of URIs when there are more than one URI available for the same entity hinders the match from being made. An additional retrieval of the resources would be necessary to detect that in the latter case http:// rdf.freebase.com/ns/guid.9202a8c04000641f800000000042aceplay time. In addition to the temporal dimension, we also redirects to http://rdf.freebase.com/ns/en/google, whereas the first example seems to be broken. The good thing, however, is that as soon as one match has been detected, one can consolidate the entities from both services. Note how the entity names mismatch (google inc. vs. google). The consolidated name is an array of all detected synonymous names. The consolidated relevance is then the average relevance of both services. In contrast to URI Lookup where we had to manually assign a relevance of 0.25 to each result because not all URI Lookup services included the concept of relevance in their results, with NLP services each service includes this concept, so we can directly use it. In our code the consolidated entities from service 1 and 2 are then in turn compared to extracted entities from service 3 and so on, in practice, however, due to the not always given interconnectedness of OpenCalais, there are no matches after having compared Zemanta-extracted entities with AlchemyAPIextrated entities. As above with URI Lookup-detected entity consolidation, also with NLP-detected entity consolidation we maintain provenance information for each URI on the lowest data representation level.

It is to be noted that the results from URI Lookup are a subset of NLP in our case, however, while all URI Lookup services accept one-word arguments (e.g., google), only AlchemyAPI accepts one-word arguments, the two other services accept only non-trivial text fragments (e.g., google is a company founded by larry page works).

#### REPRESENTING VIDEOS IN RDF

In the following we present the particular components of the available video metadata and their representation in RDF.

#### 4.1 **Basic YouTube Metadata**

We decided to use the W3C Ontology for Media Resources[?] as the central vocabulary, mainly because it already has a defined mapping not only for YouTube data, but also for many other existing metadata formats. "The ontology is supposed to foster the interoperability among various kinds of metadata formats currently used to describe media resources on the Web" (sic from the introduction of [?]). From the vocabulary we use the following fields: ma:title, ma:creator, ma: createDate, and ma: description, which, as outlined before, have direct mappings to YouTube data.

#### 4.2 YouTube Tags

In order to represent YouTube tags, or rather, semantically annotated YouTube tags, we use the Common Tag[?] vocabulary. A resource is ctag:tagged with a ctag:Tag, which consists of a textual ctag:label pointing to a resource that specifies what the label ctag:means.

#### **Entities In Video Fragments**

A video fragment is a part of the whole video. In order to address a video fragment, we decided to use Media Fragment URIs[?]. Media Fragment URIs are also supported by the Ontology for Media Annotation in form of ma:fragment. In particular we use the temporal dimension (e.g., http: //example.org/video.webm#t=10,20), which is defined by its start time and its end time relative to the whole vide use the track dimension (e.g., http://example.com/video. webm#track=audiotranscript), which allows for addressing only the closed captions track (with timing information), or even the plaintext audio transcript.

In order to annotate entities in a temporal video fragment, we also use the same concept of Common Tag as outlined in section ??. We thus have (in Turtle syntax, left out prefixes for the sake of brefity):

```
<http://example.org/video.webm#t=10,20> a ma:fragment ;
 ctag:tagged :tag .
:tag a ctagTag ;
 ctag:label "example" ;
 ctag:means <http://example.org/example#> .
```

### 5. TRACKING PROVENANCE WITH MUL-TIPLE DATA SOURCES

As outlined before we use several data sources (Web services) in the background in order to deploy our own video annotation Web service. The simple example fact produced by our service that an ma:fragment is ctag:tagged with a ctagTag with the ctag:label in plaintext form example, which ctag:means an example entity represented by the URI http://example.org/example# might in consequence have been the result of up to in the concrete case seven agreeing or disagreeing Web services. In order to track the contributions of the various sources, we decided to use the Provenance Vocabulary[?] by Hartig and Zhao. Even if the direct requests of our Web service were made against our wrappers (as outlined in sections ?? and ??), we still want to credit back the results to the original calls to the third party Web services. We have two basic cases that affect the RDF that describes the data provenance, requests per HTTP GET and requests per HTTP POST. All URI Lookup services that we use are GET-based, all of our NLP services are POST-based. In order to make statements about a bundle of triples, we can put them in a named graph. We use the TriG[?] syntax. The example from above then looks like this:

```
:G = {
  <http://example.org/video.webm#t=10,20> a ma:fragment ;
    ctag:tagged :tag .
  :tag a ctagTag ;
    ctag:label "example" ;
    ctag:means <http://example.org/example#> .
} .
```

#### **5.1** The Provenance Vocabulary

In the following we outline the required steps in order to make statements about the provenance of a bundle of triples contained in a named graph :G that were generated using several HTTP GET requests to third party Web services. First, we state that :G is both a prv:DataItem and obviously an rdfg:Graph. :G is prv:createdBy the process of a prv:DataCreation. This prv:DataCreation is prv:performedBy a prv:NonHumanActor, a prvTypes:DataCreatingSenediaeont-10-20100608/. to be precise. This service is prv:operatedBy us (http: //tomayac.com/thomas\_steiner.rdf#me. Time is often important for provenance, so the prv:performedAt date of the prv:DataCreation needs to be saved. During the process of the prv:DataCreation there are prv:usedData, which are prv:retrievedBy a prv:DataAcess that is prv:performedAt us (http://tomayac.com/thomas\_steiner.rdf#me. For the prv:DataAccess we prv:accessedService from a prv:DataProvidingService of which we prv:accessedResource at a certain irw:WebResource.:G = { Therefore we prvTypes: exchangedHTTPMessage which is an http:Request using http:httpVersion "1.1" and the http:methodName itag "GET". See Annex ?? for an overview of the necessary

## 5.2 The Need For Providing Provenance Meta-

Hartig et al. mention in [?] some reasons that justify the need for provenance metadata, among those linked dataset replication and distribution on the Web with not necessarily always the same namespaces. Based on the same source data, different copies of a linked dataset can be created with different degrees of interconnectedness by different publishers. We add to this list the automatic conversion of legacy unstructured data to Linked Data with heuristics, where, as in our case, extracted entities while still being consolidated and backed up by different data sources, might still be wrong. Especially with our "mash-up"-like approach it is very desirable to be able to track back to the concrete source where a certain piece of information might have come from.

#### RELATED WORK

#### **CONCLUSION**

provenance RDF.

#### 8. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is partly funded by the EU FP7 I-SEARCH project (project reference 248296).

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Common Tag Specification, retrieved February 7, 2011. http://commontag.org/Specification.
- [2] C. Bizer and R. Cyganiak. The TriG Syntax, July 30,
  - http://www4.wiwiss.fu-berlin.de/bizer/TriG/.
- [3] O. Hartig and J. Zhao. Publishing and consuming provenance metadata on the web of linked data. In Proceedings of The third International Provenance and Annotation Workshop, Troy, NY, USA, 2010.
- [4] O. Hartig and J. Zhao. Provenance Vocabulary Core Ontology Specification, January 25, 2011. http://purl.org/net/provenance/ns.
- [5] T. Steiner. Semwebvid making video a first class semantic web citizen and a first class web bourgeois. In 9th International Semantic Web Conference (ISWC2010), November 2010.
- [6] W3C. Media Fragments URI. W3C Working Draft, December 8, 2010. http://www.w3.org/2008/WebVideo/Fragments/WDmedia-fragments-spec/.
- [7] W3C. Ontology for Media Resource. W3C Working Draft, June 8, 2010. http://www.w3.org/TR/2010/WD-

#### **APPENDIX**

#### A. PROVENANCE RDF OVERVIEW

prv:performedBy [

]

Shortened overview of the provenance RDF in Turtle syntax for a YouTube tag with the label obama and the assigned a certain time, and prv:performedBy an actor and prv:operatedBymeaning http://dbpedia.org/resource/Barack\_Obama (only 'two of the prv:usedData sources are mentioned):

```
<http://gdata.youtube.com/feeds/api/videos/3PuHGKnboNY> ctag:
    a ctag:Tag;
    ctag:label "obama";
    ctag:means <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Barack_Obama> ;
} .
·G
  a prv:DataItem ;
  a rdfg:Graph;
  prv:createdBy [
    a prv:DataCreation;
    prv:performedAt "2011-02-07T12:42:30Z"^^xsd:dateTime ;
    prv:performedBy [
      a prv:NonHumanActor;
      a prvTypes:DataCreatingService ;
      prv:operatedBy <http://tomayac.com/thomas_steiner.rdf#me>
    ];
    prv:usedData [
     prv:retrievedBy [
        a prv:DataAcess;
        prv:performedAt "2011-02-07T12:42:30Z"^^xsd:dateTime ;
```

prv:operatedBy <http://tomayac.com/thomas\_steiner.rdf</pre>

```
prv:accessedService <http://api.freebase.com/api/service/search> ;
                              prv:accessedResource <a href="http://api.freebase.com/api/service/search?format=json&query=obama">prv:accessedResource <a href="http://api.freebase.com/api/service/search?format=json&query=obama">prv:accessedResource</a>
                              prvTypes:exchangedHTTPMessage [
                                      a http:Request ;
                                      http:httpVersion "1.1" ;
                                      http:methodName "GET" ;
                                      http:headers (
                                              Γ
                                                      http:fieldName "Host" ;
                                                      http:fieldValue "api.freebase.com" ;
                                                      http:headerName <http://www.w3.org/2008/http-header#host>;
                                              ]
                                      )
                              ];
                      ];
              ];
               prv:usedData [
                      prv:retrievedBy [
                              a prv:DataAcess ;
                              prv:performedAt "2011-02-07T12:42:30Z"^^xsd:dateTime ;
                              prv:performedBy [
                                     prv:operatedBy <http://tomayac.com/thomas_steiner.rdf#me> .
                              ];
                              prv:accessedService <http://lookup.dbpedia.org/> ;
                              prv:accessedResource <a href="http://lookup.dbpedia.org/api/search.asmx/KeywordSearch?QueryString=obama">prv:accessedResource <a href="http://lookup.dbpedia.org/api/search.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordSearch.asmx/KeywordS
                              prvTypes:exchangedHTTPMessage [
                                      a http:Request ;
                                      http:httpVersion "1.1" ;
                                      http:methodName "GET" ;
                                      http:headers (
                                              [
                                                      http:fieldName "Host" ;
                                                      http:fieldValue "lookup.dbpedia.org" ;
                                                      http:headerName <http://www.w3.org/2008/http-header#host>;
                                      )
                              ];
                      ];
              ];
      ] .
} .
```