

Persistence in functional topology


The Morse theory of Plateau's minimal surface problem


Ulrich Bauer


TUM

Apr 6, 2022

AATRN Online Seminar







Topological simplification of functions

Consider the following problem:


Problem (Topological simplification)

Given a function f and a real number $\delta \geq 0$, find a function f_δ with the minimal number of critical points subject to $\|f_\delta - f\|_\infty \leq \delta$.

Persistence and Morse theory

Morse theory (smooth or discrete):

- Relates critical points to homology of sublevel sets
- Provides a method for *cancelling* pairs of critical points




(from Milnor: *Lectures on the h-cobordism theorem*, 1965)

Persistence and Morse theory

Morse theory (smooth or discrete):

- Relates critical points to homology of sublevel sets
- Provides a method for *cancelling* pairs of critical points



(from Milnor: *Lectures on the h-cobordism theorem*, 1965)

Persistent homology:

- Relates homology of different sublevel set
- Identifies pairs of critical points (birth and death of homology)
- Quantifies their *persistence*


Stability of persistence barcodes for functions

Theorem (Cohen-Steiner, Edelsbrunner, Harer 2005)

Let $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\|f - g\|_\infty = \delta$ (and some regularity assumptions).

Consider the persistence barcodes of (sublevel set filtrations of) f and g .

Then there exists a δ -matching between their intervals, meaning that:



Stability of persistence barcodes for functions


Theorem (Cohen-Steiner, Edelsbrunner, Harer 2005)

Let $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\|f - g\|_\infty = \delta$ (and some regularity assumptions).

Consider the persistence barcodes of (sublevel set filtrations of) f and g .

Then there exists a δ -matching between their intervals, meaning that:

- matched intervals have endpoints within distance $\leq \delta$, and



Stability of persistence barcodes for functions


Theorem (Cohen-Steiner, Edelsbrunner, Harer 2005)

Let $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\|f - g\|_\infty = \delta$ (and some regularity assumptions).

Consider the persistence barcodes of (sublevel set filtrations of) f and g .

Then there exists a δ -matching between their intervals, meaning that:

- matched intervals have endpoints within distance $\leq \delta$, and
- unmatched intervals have length $\leq 2\delta$.



Combining persistence and Morse theory

Corollary

The critical points of f with persistence $> 2\delta$ provide a lower bound on the number of critical points of any function g with $\|g - f\|_\infty \leq \delta$.

Combining persistence and Morse theory

Corollary

The critical points of f with persistence $> 2\delta$ provide a lower bound on the number of critical points of any function g with $\|g - f\|_\infty \leq \delta$.

Theorem (B, Lange, Wardetzky, 2011)

Let f be a function on a surface and let $\delta > 0$.

Canceling all pairs with persistence $\leq 2\delta$ yields a function f_δ

- *satisfying $\|f_\delta - f\|_\infty \leq \delta$ and*
- *achieving the lower bound on the number of critical points.*

Morse functions

Definition

Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function on a compact manifold M .

- A critical point of f is *non-degenerate* if the Hessian at that point is non-singular.

Morse functions

Definition

Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function on a compact manifold M .

- A critical point of f is *non-degenerate* if the Hessian at that point is non-singular.
- In this case, the *index* of the critical point is the total multiplicity of negative eigenvalues of the Hessian.

Morse functions

Definition

Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function on a compact manifold M .

- A critical point of f is *non-degenerate* if the Hessian at that point is non-singular.
- In this case, the *index* of the critical point is the total multiplicity of negative eigenvalues of the Hessian.
- If f has only non-degenerate critical points, it is a *Morse function*.

Morse inequalities

Theorem (Morse 1925)

The numbers m_i of index i critical points of a Morse function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the Betti numbers β_i of M satisfy:

$$m_0 \geq \beta_0$$

$$m_1 - m_0 \geq \beta_1 - \beta_0$$

⋮

$$m_d - m_{d-1} + \cdots \pm m_0 \geq \beta_d - \beta_{d-1} + \cdots \pm \beta_0$$

Morse inequalities

Theorem (Morse 1925)

The numbers m_i of index i critical points of a Morse function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the Betti numbers β_i of M satisfy:

$$m_0 \geq \beta_0$$

$$m_1 - m_0 \geq \beta_1 - \beta_0$$



⋮

$$m_d - m_{d-1} + \cdots \pm m_0 \geq \beta_d - \beta_{d-1} + \cdots \pm \beta_0$$

Corollary (“Mountain pass lemma”)

If M is connected ($\beta_0 = 1$) and f has two minima ($m_0 \geq 2$), then it has a critical point of index 1:

$$m_1 \geq \beta_1 - \beta_0 + m_0 \geq \beta_1 + 1 \geq 1.$$


 H_0

 H_1

 H_2

$m_i = \alpha_i + w_{i-1}$

$\beta_i = \alpha_i - w_i$

$m_i - \beta_i = w_i + w_{i-1}$

$$\sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^{d-i} (m_i - \beta_i) =$$

$c_d \geq 0$

Morse inequalities through the lens of persistence

- The m_i critical points of index i correspond to α_i births in H_i and ω_{i-1} deaths in H_{i-1} :

$$m_i = \alpha_i + \omega_{i-1}$$

Morse inequalities through the lens of persistence

- The m_i critical points of index i correspond to α_i births in H_i and ω_{i-1} deaths in H_{i-1} :

$$m_i = \alpha_i + \omega_{i-1}$$

- The i th Betti number is given by the difference of births and deaths in H_i :

$$\beta_i = \alpha_i - \omega_i$$

Morse inequalities through the lens of persistence

- The m_i critical points of index i correspond to α_i births in H_i and ω_{i-1} deaths in H_{i-1} :

$$m_i = \alpha_i + \omega_{i-1}$$

- The i th Betti number is given by the difference of births and deaths in H_i :

$$\beta_i = \alpha_i - \omega_i$$

- Subtracting the two:

$$m_i - \beta_i = \omega_i + \omega_{i-1}$$

Morse inequalities through the lens of persistence

- The m_i critical points of index i correspond to α_i births in H_i and ω_{i-1} deaths in H_{i-1} :

$$m_i = \alpha_i + \omega_{i-1}$$

- The i th Betti number is given by the difference of births and deaths in H_i :

$$\beta_i = \alpha_i - \omega_i$$

- Subtracting the two:

$$m_i - \beta_i = \omega_i + \omega_{i-1}$$

- Taking alternating sums yields the Morse inequalities:

$$\sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^{d-i} (m_i - \beta_i) = \omega_d \geq 0$$

Functional topology

When was persistent homology discovered?

 H. Edelsbrunner, D. Letscher, and A. Zomorodian

Topological persistence and simplification

Foundations of Computer Science, 2000

When was persistent homology discovered?

 H. Edelsbrunner, D. Letscher, and A. Zomorodian

Topological persistence and simplification

Foundations of Computer Science, 2000

 V. Robbins

Computational Topology at Multiple Resolutions.

PhD thesis, University of Colorado Boulder, 2000

When was persistent homology discovered?

-  H. Edelsbrunner, D. Letscher, and A. Zomorodian
Topological persistence and simplification
Foundations of Computer Science, 2000
-  V. Robbins
Computational Topology at Multiple Resolutions.
PhD thesis, University of Colorado Boulder, 2000
-  P. Frosini
A distance for similarity classes of submanifolds of a Euclidean space
Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, 1990.

When was persistent homology discovered?

-  H. Edelsbrunner, D. Letscher, and A. Zomorodian
Topological persistence and simplification
Foundations of Computer Science, 2000
-  V. Robbins
Computational Topology at Multiple Resolutions.
PhD thesis, University of Colorado Boulder, 2000
-  P. Frosini
A distance for similarity classes of submanifolds of a Euclidean space
Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, 1990.
-  S. A. Barannikov.
The framed Morse complex and its invariants.
In *Singularities and bifurcations, Adv. Soviet Math.* (vol. 21), 1994.

When was persistent homology discovered first?

When was persistent homology discovered first?

ANNALS OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 41, No. 2, April, 1940

RANK AND SPAN IN FUNCTIONAL TOPOLOGY

BY MARSTON MORSE

(Received August 9, 1939)

1. Introduction.

The analysis of functions F on metric spaces M of the type which appear in variational theories is made difficult by the fact that the critical limits, such as absolute minima, relative minima, minimax values etc., are in general infinite in number. These limits are associated with relative k -cycles of various dimensions and are classified as 0-limits, 1-limits etc. The number of k -limits suitably counted is called the k^{th} type number m_k of F . The theory seeks to establish relations between the numbers m_k and the connectivities p_k of M . The numbers p_k are finite in the most important applications. It is otherwise with the numbers m_k .

The theory has been able to proceed provided one of the following hypotheses is satisfied. The critical limits cluster at most at $1/n$; the critical points are

When was persistent homology discovered first?

Web Images More... Sign in

Google Scholar 9 results (0.02 sec) My Citations ▾

All citations Rank and span in functional topology

Articles Search within citing articles

Case law

My library

Any time

Since 2016

Since 2015

Since 2012

Custom range...

Sort by relevance

Sort by date

include citations

Create alert

Exact homomorphism sequences in homology theory
JL Kelley, E Pitcher - Annals of Mathematics, 1947 - JSTOR
The developments of this paper stem from the attempts of one of the authors to deduce relations between homology groups of a complex and homology groups of a complex which is its image under a simplicial map. Certain relations were deduced (see [EP 1] and [EP 2] ...
Cited by 46 Related articles All 3 versions Cite Save More

Marston Morse and his mathematical works
R Bott - Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 1980 - ams.org
American Mathematical Society. Thus Morse grew to maturity just at the time when the subject of Analysis Situs was being shaped by such masters as Poincaré, Veblen, LEJ Brouwer, GD Birkhoff, Lefschetz and Alexander, and it was Morse's genius and destiny to ...
Cited by 24 Related articles All 4 versions Cite Save More


Unstable minimal surfaces of higher topological structure
M Morse, CB Tompkins - Duke Math. J., 1941 - projecteuclid.org
1. Introduction. We are concerned with extending the calculus of variations in the large to multiple integrals. The problem of the existence of minimal surfaces of unstable type contains many of the typical difficulties, especially those of a topological nature. Having studied this ...
Cited by 19 Related articles All 2 versions Cite Save

[PDF] Persistence in discrete Morse theory
U Bauer - 2011 - Citeseer
psu.edu [PDF]

Motivation and application: minimal surfaces

Problem (Plateau's problem)

Find a surface of least area spanned by a given closed Jordan curve.




(from Dierkes et al.: *Minimal Surfaces*, 2010)

Motivation and application: minimal surfaces

Problem (Plateau's problem)

Find a surface of least area spanned by a given closed Jordan curve.




(from Dierkes et al.: *Minimal Surfaces*, 2010)

Motivation and application: minimal surfaces

Problem (Plateau's problem)

Find a surface of least area spanned by a given closed Jordan curve.



(from Dierkes et al.: *Minimal Surfaces*, 2010)

Solution of the problem of Plateau


Theorem (Douglas 1930)

Given a Jordan curve $\Gamma : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, there is a functional A_γ on the space of reparametrizations $S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ fixing three arbitrary points $q_1, q_2, q_3 \in S^1$, whose critical points correspond to the minimal surfaces of disk type bounded by Γ .

Existence of unstable minimal surfaces

Theorem (Morse, Tompkins 1939; Shiffman 1939)


Assume that a given curve bounds two separate stable minimal surfaces.



Existence of unstable minimal surfaces

Theorem (Morse, Tompkins 1939; Shiffman 1939)

Assume that a given curve bounds two separate stable minimal surfaces. Then there also exists an unstable minimal surface bounding that curve (a critical point that is not a local minimum).



Whatever happened to functional topology?

Whatever happened to functional topology?

PLATEAU'S PROBLEM
AND THE
CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS

BY

MICHAEL STRUWE

Whatever happened to functional topology?

82

A. The classical Plateau Problem for disc - type minimal surfaces.

The technical complexity and the use of a sophisticated topological machinery (which is not shadowed in our presentation) moreover tend to make Morse-Tompkins' original paper unreadable and inaccessible for the non-specialist, cf. Hildebrandt [4, p. 324].

Confronting Morse-Tompkins' and Shiffman's approach with that given in Chapter 4 we see how much can be gained in simplicity and strength by merely replacing the C^0 -topology by the $H^{1/2, 2}$ -topology and verifying the Palais - Smale - type condition stated in Lemma 2.10.

However, in 1964/65 when Palais and Smale introduced this condition in the calculus of variations it was not clear that it could be meaningful for analyzing the geometry of surfaces, cf. Hildebrandt [4, p. 323 f.].

Instead, a completely new approach was taken by Böhme and Tromba [1] to tackle the problem of understanding the global structure of the set of minimal surfaces spanning a wire.

Whatever happened to functional topology?

Web Images More...

Sign in



Scholar

9 results (0.02 sec)

My Citations



All citations

Rank and span in functional topology

Articles

Search within citing articles

Case law

Exact homomorphism sequences in homology theory

[ed.ac.uk \[PDF\]](#)

My library

JL Kelley, E Pitcher - *Annals of Mathematics*, 1947 - JSTOR

Any time

The developments of this paper stem from the attempts of one of the authors to deduce relations between homology groups of a complex and homology groups of a complex which is its image under a simplicial map. Certain relations were deduced (see [EP 1] and [EP 2] ...

Since 2016

Cited by 46 Related articles All 3 versions Cite Save More

Since 2015

Marston Morse and his mathematical works

[ams.org \[PDF\]](#)

Since 2012

R Bott - *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 1980 - ams.org

Custom range...

American Mathematical Society. Thus Morse grew to maturity just at the time when the subject of Analysis Situs was being shaped by such masters² as Poincaré, Veblen, LEJ Brouwer, GD Birkhoff, Lefschetz and Alexander, and it was Morse's genius and destiny to ...

Sort by relevance

Cited by 24 Related articles All 4 versions Cite Save More

Sort by date

Unstable minimal surfaces of higher topological structure

include citations

M Morse, CB Tompkins - *Duke Math. J.*, 1941 - projecteuclid.org

1. Introduction. We are concerned with extending the calculus of variations in the large to multiple integrals. The problem of the existence of minimal surfaces of unstable type contains many of the typical difficulties, especially those of a topological nature. Having studied this ...

Create alert

Cited by 19 Related articles All 2 versions Cite Save

Persistence in discrete Morse theory

[psu.edu \[PDF\]](#)

U Bauer - 2011 - Citeseer

The goal of this thesis is to bring together two different theories about critical points of a

Whatever happened to functional topology?

BULLETIN (New Series) OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 3, Number 3, November 1980

MARSTON MORSE AND HIS MATHEMATICAL WORKS

BY RAOUL BOTT¹

1. Introduction. Marston Morse was born in 1892, so that he was 33 years old when in 1925 his paper *Relations between the critical points of a real-valued function of n independent variables* appeared in the Transactions of the American Mathematical Society. Thus Morse grew to maturity just at the time when the subject of Analysis Situs was being shaped by such masters² as Poincaré, Veblen, L. E. J. Brouwer, G. D. Birkhoff, Lefschetz and Alexander, and it was Morse's genius and destiny to discover one of the most beautiful and far-reaching relations between this fledgling and Analysis; a relation which is now known as *Morse Theory*.

In retrospect all great ideas take on a certain simplicity and inevitability, partly because they shape the whole subsequent development of the subject. And so to us, today, Morse Theory seems natural and inevitable. However one only has to glance at these early papers to see what a tour de force it was in the 1920's to go from the mini-max principle of Birkhoff to the Morse inequalities, let alone extend these inequalities to function spaces, so that by

Whatever happened to functional topology?

inequalities pertain between the dimensions of the A_i and those of $H(A_i)$. Thus the Morse inequalities already reflect a certain part of the “Spectral Sequence magic”, and a modern and tremendously general account of Morse’s work on rank and span in the framework of Leray’s theory was developed by Deheuvels [D] in the 50’s.

Unfortunately both Morse’s and Deheuvel’s papers are not easy reading. On the other hand there is no question in my mind that the papers [36] and [44] constitute another tour de force by Morse. Let me therefore illustrate rather than explain some of the ideas of the rank and span theory in a very simple and tame example.

In the figure which follows I have drawn a homeomorph of $M = S^1$ in the plane, and I will be studying the height function $F = y$ on M .




FIGURE 8

Whatever happened to functional topology?




FIGURE 8

The values a where $H(a, a^-) \neq 0$ are indicated on the left, and corresponding to each of these *critical values* a generator of $H(a, a^-)$ is drawn on M , using the singular theory for simplicity. Morse calls such generators “caps”. Thus α and β are two “0-caps” and μ and λ two “1-caps”. Notice that every cap u defines a definite boundary element ∂u in

$$H(a^-) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} H(F < a - \epsilon);$$

Morse calls a cap u linkable iff $\partial u = 0$. Otherwise it is called *nonlinkable*.

In our example, α , β and μ are linkable while λ is *not*.

Next Morse defines the *span* of a cap u associated to the critical level a in the following manner.

Whatever happened to functional topology?

43, he also delivered the Colloquium Lectures of the Mathematical Society and wrote his monumental book on the Calculus of Variations in the Large; it eventually earned him practically every honor of the mathematical community, over twenty honorary degrees, the National Science Medal, the Legion of Honor of France,

Nevertheless, when I first met Marston in 1949 he was in a sense a solitary figure, battling the *algebraic topology*, into which his beloved Analysis Situs had grown. For Marston always saw topology from the side of Analysis, Mechanics, and Differential geometry. The unsolved problems he proposed had to do with dynamics—the three body problem, the billiard ball problem, and so on. The development of the algebraic tools of topology, or the project of bringing order into the vast number of homology theories which had sprung up in the thirties—and which was eventually accomplished by the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms—these had little interest for him. “*The battle between algebra and geometry has been waged from antiquity to the present*” he wrote in his address *Mathematics and the Arts* at Kenyon College in 1949, and

Received by the editors April 15, 1980.

¹This work was supported in part through funds provided by the National Science Foundation under the grant 33-966-7566-2.

²Poincaré was born in 1854, the others all in the 1880's.

© 1980 American Mathematical Society
0002-9904/80/0000-0500/\$12.00

Q-tame persistence modules

Definition (Chazal et al. 2009)

A persistence module $M : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{vect}$ is *q-tame* if for every $s < t$ the structure map $M_s \rightarrow M_t$ has finite rank.

Q-tame persistence modules

Definition (Chazal et al. 2009)

A persistence module $M : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{vect}$ is *q-tame* if for every $s < t$ the structure map $M_s \rightarrow M_t$ has finite rank.

- Example: the Vietoris–Rips persistent homology of a compact metric space is

Q-tame persistence modules

Definition (Chazal et al. 2009)

A persistence module $M : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{vect}$ is *q-tame* if for every $s < t$ the structure map $M_s \rightarrow M_t$ has finite rank.

- Example: the Vietoris–Rips persistent homology of a compact metric space is
 - not necessarily pointwise finite-dimensional [Droz 2012],

Q-tame persistence modules

Definition (Chazal et al. 2009)

A persistence module $M : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{vect}$ is *q-tame* if for every $s < t$ the structure map $M_s \rightarrow M_t$ has finite rank.

- Example: the Vietoris–Rips persistent homology of a compact metric space is
 - not necessarily pointwise finite-dimensional [Droz 2012],
 - but always q-tame [Chazal et al. 2016].

Q-tame persistence modules

Definition (Chazal et al. 2009)

A persistence module $M : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{vect}$ is *q-tame* if for every $s < t$ the structure map $M_s \rightarrow M_t$ has finite rank.

- Example: the Vietoris–Rips persistent homology of a compact metric space is
 - not necessarily pointwise finite-dimensional [Droz 2012],
 - but always q-tame [Chazal et al. 2016].
- Morse's goal, in modern language: sufficient conditions for q-tame persistent homology of sublevel sets of a function.

Q-tame persistence modules

Definition (Chazal et al. 2009)

A persistence module $M : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{vect}$ is *q-tame* if for every $s < t$ the structure map $M_s \rightarrow M_t$ has finite rank.

- Example: the Vietoris–Rips persistent homology of a compact metric space is
 - not necessarily pointwise finite-dimensional [Droz 2012],
 - but always q-tame [Chazal et al. 2016].
- Morse's goal, in modern language: sufficient conditions for q-tame persistent homology of sublevel sets of a function.
 - Specifically: conditions satisfied by the Douglas functional.

Q-tame persistence modules

Definition (Chazal et al. 2009)

A persistence module $M : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{vect}$ is *q-tame* if for every $s < t$ the structure map $M_s \rightarrow M_t$ has finite rank.

- Example: the Vietoris–Rips persistent homology of a compact metric space is
 - not necessarily pointwise finite-dimensional [Droz 2012],
 - but always q-tame [Chazal et al. 2016].
- Morse's goal, in modern language: sufficient conditions for q-tame persistent homology of sublevel sets of a function.
 - Specifically: conditions satisfied by the Douglas functional.
- A q-tame persistence module does not necessarily have a barcode decomposition.

Structure of q-tame persistence modules

Theorem (Chazal, Crawley-Boevey, de Silva 2016)

The radical of a q-tame persistence module M , $(\text{rad } M)_t = \sum_{s < t} \text{im } M_{s,t}$,

- *admits a barcode decomposition, and*

Structure of q-tame persistence modules

Theorem (Chazal, Crawley-Boevey, de Silva 2016)

The radical of a q-tame persistence module M , $(\text{rad } M)_t = \sum_{s < t} \text{im } M_{s,t}$,

- *admits a barcode decomposition, and*
- *the canonical inclusion $\text{rad } M \hookrightarrow M$ has an ephemeral cokernel:*

Structure of q-tame persistence modules

Theorem (Chazal, Crawley-Boevey, de Silva 2016)

The radical of a q -tame persistence module M , $(\text{rad } M)_t = \sum_{s < t} \text{im } M_{s,t}$,

- admits a barcode decomposition, and
- the canonical inclusion $\text{rad } M \hookrightarrow M$ has an ephemeral cokernel:
 - In the kernel, all maps between different indices $s < t$ are zero.

Structure of q-tame persistence modules

Theorem (Chazal, Crawley-Boevey, de Silva 2016)

The radical of a q -tame persistence module M , $(\text{rad } M)_t = \sum_{s < t} \text{im } M_{s,t}$,

- admits a barcode decomposition, and
- the canonical inclusion $\text{rad } M \hookrightarrow M$ has an ephemeral cokernel:
 - In the kernel, all maps between different indices $s < t$ are zero.
 - In particular, the interleaving distance between M and $\text{rad } M$ is 0.

Structure of q-tame persistence modules

Theorem (Chazal, Crawley-Boevey, de Silva 2016)

The radical of a q -tame persistence module M , $(\text{rad } M)_t = \sum_{s < t} \text{im } M_{s,t}$,

- admits a barcode decomposition, and
- the canonical inclusion $\text{rad } M \hookrightarrow M$ has an ephemeral cokernel:
 - In the kernel, all maps between different indices $s < t$ are zero.
 - In particular, the interleaving distance between M and $\text{rad } M$ is 0.

Theorem (Chazal, Crawley-Boevey, de Silva 2016)

Every q -tame persistence module has a unique persistence diagram that completely describes its isomorphism type in the observable category.

Structure of q-tame persistence modules

Theorem (Chazal, Crawley-Boevey, de Silva 2016)

The radical of a q-tame persistence module M , $(\text{rad } M)_t = \sum_{s < t} \text{im } M_{s,t}$,

- *admits a barcode decomposition, and*
- *the canonical inclusion $\text{rad } M \hookrightarrow M$ has an ephemeral cokernel:*
 - *In the kernel, all maps between different indices $s < t$ are zero.*
 - *In particular, the interleaving distance between M and $\text{rad } M$ is 0.*

Theorem (Chazal, Crawley-Boevey, de Silva 2016)

Every q-tame persistence module has a unique persistence diagram that completely describes its isomorphism type in the observable category.

- *A persistence diagram describes the intervals in a barcode, modulo the endpoints.*

Structure of q-tame persistence modules

Theorem (Chazal, Crawley-Boevey, de Silva 2016)

The radical of a q-tame persistence module M , $(\text{rad } M)_t = \sum_{s < t} \text{im } M_{s,t}$,

- *admits a barcode decomposition, and*
- *the canonical inclusion $\text{rad } M \hookrightarrow M$ has an ephemeral cokernel:*
 - *In the kernel, all maps between different indices $s < t$ are zero.*
 - *In particular, the interleaving distance between M and $\text{rad } M$ is 0.*

Theorem (Chazal, Crawley-Boevey, de Silva 2016)

Every q-tame persistence module has a unique persistence diagram that completely describes its isomorphism type in the observable category.

- A persistence diagram *describes the intervals in a barcode, modulo the endpoints.*
- The observable category is the category **Obs** = **Pers/Eph** of persistence modules, modulo ephemeral persistence modules (quotient by localizing subcategory).

Generalized Morse inequalities

Assume that the sublevel sets of a bounded function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have q -tame persistent homology.

Generalized Morse inequalities

Assume that the sublevel sets of a bounded function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have q-tame persistent homology.

- The persistence diagram of f has finitely many bars of length $> \epsilon$, for every $\epsilon > 0$.

Generalized Morse inequalities

Assume that the sublevel sets of a bounded function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have q-tame persistent homology.

- The persistence diagram of f has finitely many bars of length $> \epsilon$, for every $\epsilon > 0$.
- This gives *generalized* Morse inequalities

$$\sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^{d-i} (m_i^\epsilon - \beta_i) \geq 0.$$

- $m_i^\epsilon = \alpha_i^\epsilon + \omega_{i-1}^\epsilon$ only counts endpoints in bars with length $> \epsilon$

Generalized Morse inequalities

Assume that the sublevel sets of a bounded function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have q-tame persistent homology.

- The persistence diagram of f has finitely many bars of length $> \epsilon$, for every $\epsilon > 0$.
- This gives *generalized* Morse inequalities

$$\sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^{d-i} (m_i^\epsilon - \beta_i) \geq 0.$$

- $m_i^\epsilon = \alpha_i^\epsilon + \omega_{i-1}^\epsilon$ only counts endpoints in bars with length $> \epsilon$

Morse and Tompkins used this idea to show the existence of an unstable minimal surface.

Q-tameness from local connectivity


Theorem (Morse, 1937)

If a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a metric space X is bounded below and the sublevel set filtration is compact and weakly locally connected, then it has q -tame persistent Vietoris homology.

Q-tameness from local connectivity

Theorem (Morse, 1937; incorrect)

If a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a metric space X is bounded below and the sublevel set filtration is compact and weakly locally connected, then it has q -tame persistent Vietoris homology.



Homologically locally small filtrations

Definition


The sublevel set filtration of a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *homologically locally small (HLS)* if for

- any point $x \in X$,
- any values $f(x) < s < t$, and
- any neighborhood V of x in the sublevel set $f^{-1}(-\infty, t]$,

there is

- a neighborhood $U \subseteq V$ of x in the sublevel set $f^{-1}(-\infty, s]$

such that the inclusion $U \hookrightarrow V$ induces maps of finite rank on homology.



A sufficient condition for q-tame persistence

Theorem (B, Medina-Mardones, Schmahl 2021)

If the sublevel set filtration of a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is compact and HLS, then it has q -tame persistent homology and hence a persistence diagram.

- f is not required to be continuous

A sufficient condition for q-tame persistence

Theorem (B, Medina-Mardones, Schmahl 2021)

If the sublevel set filtration of a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is compact and HLS, then it has q-tame persistent homology and hence a persistence diagram.

- f is not required to be continuous
- Conditions are satisfied by Douglas' minimal surface functional

A sufficient condition for q-tame persistence

Theorem (B, Medina-Mardones, Schmahl 2021)

If the sublevel set filtration of a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is compact and HLS, then it has q -tame persistent homology and hence a persistence diagram.

- f is not required to be continuous
- Conditions are satisfied by Douglas' minimal surface functional
- Fixes the flaw in Morse/Tompkins proof

A sufficient condition for q-tame persistence

Theorem (B, Medina-Mardones, Schmahl 2021)

If the sublevel set filtration of a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is compact and HLS, then it has q -tame persistent homology and hence a persistence diagram.

- f is not required to be continuous
- Conditions are satisfied by Douglas' minimal surface functional
- Fixes the flaw in Morse/Tompkins proof
- Applies not only to Vietoris/Čech but also to singular homology

surfaces with distinct areas or else the conditions of the theorem are fulfilled.

We shall now state a theorem from which all trace of conditions on the finiteness of the number of critical values is removed.

THEOREM 7.4. *Suppose F and M satisfy conditions I to IV. Let z be a class of relative k -cycles u with a modulus $F \leq a$. Suppose the cycles u are mutually homologous but non-bounding mod $F \leq a$, on $F \leq b$ for some value $b > a$. There is then a least number $c > a$ such that $F \leq a$ contains a cycle of z , and at the level c there is at least one homotopic critical point q such that each critical set which contains q has a positive k^{th} cap type number.*

In this theorem the number a may be less than the absolute minimum of F , in which case u is an ordinary cycle non-bounding on $F \leq b$. If in addition b is infinite, u is non-bounding on M . In particular u may be a 0-cycle. If $R_0 = 1$ the number c in the theorem is then the absolute minimum of F . In the case of the minimal surface problem this implies the existence of a minimal surface of absolute minimum type. This result is stated merely to give an insight into the way the theorem works.

A critical set of points σ will be termed *minimizing* if there exists a neighborhood N of σ such that whenever p is a point of N not on σ , $F(p)$ exceeds the value of F on σ . With this understood we have the following corollary of the theorem.

COROLLARY 7.1. *Suppose that F and M satisfy conditions I to IV and that $R_0 = 1$. Corresponding to any two disjoint minimizing critical sets there exists at least one homotopic critical point p such that each critical set which contains p has a positive first type number m_1 .*

Both the theorem and the corollary are false if Vietoris cycles are replaced by singular cycles. The theorem and corollary apply at once to the minimal surface problem inasmuch as conditions I to IV are fulfilled by $A(\varphi)$ and Ω , and $R_0 = 1$ on Ω . The application of the theorem is not limited by the existence of infinitely many critical sets or critical values. But in such a case the conclusion that there exists another critical set would add nothing were it not stated that the

Čech/Vietoris vs singular persistent homology

- Any compact sublevel set filtration $(f_{\leq t})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is continuous from above:

$$f_{\leq t} = \bigcap_{u > t} f_{\leq u} = \lim_{u \rightarrow t^+} f_{\leq u}$$

Čech/Vietoris vs singular persistent homology

- Any compact sublevel set filtration $(f_{\leq t})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is continuous from above:

$$f_{\leq t} = \bigcap_{u > t} f_{\leq u} = \lim_{u > t} f_{\leq u}$$

- Čech (equivalently, Vietoris) homology (for compact Hausdorff spaces) preserves limits:

$$\lim \circ \check{H}_* = \check{H}_* \circ \lim$$

Čech/Vietoris vs singular persistent homology

- Any compact sublevel set filtration $(f_{\leq t})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is continuous from above:

$$f_{\leq t} = \bigcap_{u > t} f_{\leq u} = \lim_{u > t} f_{\leq u}$$

- Čech (equivalently, Vietoris) homology (for compact Hausdorff spaces) preserves limits:

$$\lim \circ \check{H}_* = \check{H}_* \circ \lim$$

- Therefore, persistent Čech/Vietoris homology is also continuous from above:

$$\check{H}_*(f_{\leq t}) = \lim_{u > t} \check{H}_*(f_{\leq u})$$

Čech/Vietoris vs singular persistent homology

- Any compact sublevel set filtration $(f_{\leq t})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is continuous from above:

$$f_{\leq t} = \bigcap_{u > t} f_{\leq u} = \lim_{u > t} f_{\leq u}$$

- Čech (equivalently, Vietoris) homology (for compact Hausdorff spaces) preserves limits:

$$\lim \circ \check{H}_* = \check{H}_* \circ \lim$$

- Therefore, persistent Čech/Vietoris homology is also continuous from above:

$$\check{H}_*(f_{\leq t}) = \lim_{u > t} \check{H}_*(f_{\leq u})$$

- q-tame persistent Čech cohomology has a barcode with intervals $[a, b)$

Čech/Vietoris vs singular persistent homology

- Any compact sublevel set filtration $(f_{\leq t})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is continuous from above:

$$f_{\leq t} = \bigcap_{u > t} f_{\leq u} = \lim_{u > t} f_{\leq u}$$

- Čech (equivalently, Vietoris) homology (for compact Hausdorff spaces) preserves limits:

$$\lim \circ \check{H}_* = \check{H}_* \circ \lim$$

- Therefore, persistent Čech/Vietoris homology is also continuous from above:

$$\check{H}_*(f_{\leq t}) = \lim_{u > t} \check{H}_*(f_{\leq u})$$

- q-tame persistent Čech cohomology has a barcode with intervals $[a, b)$
- The above statements are not true for singular instead of Čech homology

From Morse inequalities to existence of unstable critical sets

Lemma (barcode endpoints correspond to critical sets)

If a persistence bar has endpoint t , then there is a homotopically critical set at level t .

From Morse inequalities to existence of unstable critical sets

Lemma (barcode endpoints correspond to critical sets)

If a persistence bar has endpoint t , then there is a homotopically critical set at level t .

Lemma (minimum type critical sets are summands)

A critical set of minimum type at level t is a topological summand of the t -sublevel set.

Hence, it only generates persistence bars with left endpoint (birth) t .

From Morse inequalities to existence of unstable critical sets

Lemma (barcode endpoints correspond to critical sets)

If a persistence bar has endpoint t , then there is a homotopically critical set at level t .

Lemma (minimum type critical sets are summands)

A critical set of minimum type at level t is a topological summand of the t -sublevel set.

Hence, it only generates persistence bars with left endpoint (birth) t .

Corollary

If t is a right endpoint (death) of some persistence bar, there is a critical set of non-minimum type at level t .

From Morse inequalities to existence of unstable critical sets

Lemma (barcode endpoints correspond to critical sets)

If a persistence bar has endpoint t , then there is a homotopically critical set at level t .

Lemma (minimum type critical sets are summands)

A critical set of minimum type at level t is a topological summand of the t -sublevel set.

Hence, it only generates persistence bars with left endpoint (birth) t .

Corollary

If t is a right endpoint (death) of some persistence bar, there is a critical set of non-minimum type at level t .

Corollary

If there are two distinct critical sets of minimum type and the domain is contractible, then there is a critical set of non-minimum type.

Summary

- Persistence diagrams explain the topology of functionals

Summary

- Persistence diagrams explain the topology of functionals
- Q-tameness ensures the existence of persistence diagrams

Summary

- Persistence diagrams explain the topology of functionals
- Q-tameness ensures the existence of persistence diagrams
- Local homological smallness ensures q-tameness

Summary

- Persistence diagrams explain the topology of functionals
- Q-tameness ensures the existence of persistence diagrams
- Local homological smallness ensures q-tameness
- A clear and conceptual approach to *functional topology* (Morse theory in its most general incarnation)

Summary

- Persistence diagrams explain the topology of functionals
- Q-tameness ensures the existence of persistence diagrams
- Local homological smallness ensures q-tameness
- A clear and conceptual approach to *functional topology* (Morse theory in its most general incarnation)
- Readable and accessible for the non-specialist

Summary

- Persistence diagrams explain the topology of functionals
- Q-tameness ensures the existence of persistence diagrams
- Local homological smallness ensures q-tameness
- A clear and conceptual approach to *functional topology* (Morse theory in its most general incarnation)
- Readable and accessible for the non-specialist

Thanks for your attention!