

1 Canonical treatment of paramagnetism (6 points)

As a classical model for paramagnetism one can consider a system of N particles with the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = -hM \quad \text{with} \quad M = \mu \cdot \sum_{i=1}^N \cos \theta_i \quad (1)$$

where h is an external homogeneous magnetic field, μ the magnetic moment of a single particle and θ_i the angle between the magnetic field h and the magnetic moment μ of particle i .

1. Use the canonical distribution to calculate the average magnetization, $\langle M \rangle$, as a function of h and temperature T . (3 points)

Partition function:

$$Z = \frac{1}{h^{3N}} \cdot \int d^{3N}r \int d^{3N}p \int d^N \Omega e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}} \quad (2)$$

we are using a discrete model
so we don't need phase
space integrals.

$$= Z_0 \cdot \left(\int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^\pi d\theta \cdot \sin \theta \cdot e^{h\beta\mu \sum_i^N \cos \theta_i} \right) \quad (3)$$

✓ this line
is correct
though.

$$= Z_0 \cdot \left(\int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^\pi d\theta \cdot \sin \theta \cdot e^{h\beta\mu \cos \theta} \right)^N \quad (4)$$

$$= Z_0 \cdot \left(\frac{4\pi \cdot \sinh(\beta\mu h)}{\beta\mu h} \right)^N \quad (5)$$

Free energy:

$$F = -k_B T \ln(Z) \quad (6)$$

$$= -k_B T \cdot (\ln(Z_0) + N \ln(4\pi)) - N k_B T \ln \left(\frac{\sinh(\beta\mu h)}{\beta\mu h} \right) \quad (7)$$

Magnetization:

$$M = - \left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial h} \right|_{T, V, N} = N\mu \cdot \left(\coth(x) - \frac{1}{x} \right) \quad (8)$$

✓


with $x = \beta\mu h$.

9
1 5/6
2 4/6
3 1.5/3
Σ 10.5/12

2. The ratio of which quantities determines the average magnetization? Sketch the functional dependence of the average magnetization on this ratio. (1 point)

The average magnetization is determined by the ratio $\beta\mu h = \mu h/k_B T$.

5/6



3. Discuss the two limiting cases: high temperature/weak field vs. low temperature/strong field. (2 points)

For $T \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $x \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\coth(x) = \frac{e^{2x} - 1}{e^{2x} + 1} \approx \frac{e^{2x}}{e^{2x}} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1/x \rightarrow 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\Rightarrow M \approx N\mu \quad (10)$$

As expected, all spins are aligned to the external magnetic field.

For $T \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $x \rightarrow 0$:

coth x actually diverges as 1/x which is cancelled by -1/x

$$\coth x = \frac{e^{2x} - 1}{e^{2x} + 1} \rightarrow \frac{1 - 1}{1 + 1} = 0 \quad (11)$$

need to use Laurent Series here.

$$\Rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \quad (12)$$

For high temperatures, the mean magnetization is zero, due to the non-uniform distribution of θ_i .

2 One-dimensional lattice gas (6 points)

4/6

Consider a one-dimensional lattice model for a non-ideal gas with N lattice sites and periodic boundary conditions. Each lattice site i is either empty (occupancy $n_i = 0$) or occupied by at most one atom (occupancy $n_i = 1$). There is an attractive energy J between atoms occupying neighbouring sites. The chemical potential of the atoms is μ . The Hamiltonian of this lattice gas is

$$H = -J \cdot \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} n_i n_j - \mu \cdot \sum_i n_i, \quad (13)$$

where $\sum_{\langle ij \rangle}$ is the sum over all pairs of neighbouring sites.

1. Express the partition sum of the one-dimensional lattice gas in terms of the transfer matrix T . Calculate the transfer matrix T and its eigenvalues. (2 points)

The partition sum is given by

$$Z_N = \sum_{\{n_i\}} e^{-\beta H} = \sum_{n_1=0,1} \dots \sum_{n_N=0,1} e^{-\beta H}. \quad (14)$$

Let us rewrite the Hamiltonian in the following manner:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} n_i n_j - \mu \sum_{i=1}^N n_i \\ &= -J \sum_{i=1}^N n_i n_{i+1} - \frac{1}{2} \mu \sum_{i=1}^N (n_i + n_{i+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

This is equivalent since we have periodic boundary conditions ($n_{N+1} = n_1$) and the lattice is one-dimensional. Now, we can define

$$-\beta H = K \sum_{i=1}^N n_i n_{i+1} + \frac{1}{2} L \sum_{i=1}^N (n_i + n_{i+1}), \quad (16)$$

where $K = \beta J$ and $L = \beta \mu$. This allows us to write the argument of the partition sum as

$$e^{-\beta H} = T_{1,2} \cdot T_{2,3} \cdot \dots \cdot T_{N,1}, \quad (17)$$

where

$$T_{i,i+1} = e^{K n_i n_{i+1} + \frac{1}{2} L (n_i + n_{i+1})} \quad (18)$$

is the transfer matrix from lattice site i to site $i+1$. From the fact that there are two possible values for n_i and n_{i+1} (unoccupied or occupied) which are independent of the index i , one can conclude that the 2×2 transfer matrix is independent of the index i . Thus, one can write

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{\frac{1}{2}L} \\ e^{\frac{1}{2}L} & e^{K+L} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

where T_{00} corresponds to $n_i = n_{i+1} = 0$, T_{01} corresponds to $n_i = 0$ and $n_{i+1} = 1$, T_{10} corresponds to $n_i = 1$ and $n_{i+1} = 0$ and T_{11} corresponds to $n_i = n_{i+1} = 1$. Since the transfer matrix is independent of

the index i , one can simplify the partition sum further by defining

$$|n_i = 0\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

$$|n_i = 1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

With this definition it follows that $\sum_{n_i=0,1} |n_i\rangle\langle n_i| = 1$ and

$$Z_N = \sum_{n_1=0,1} \dots \sum_{n_N=0,1} T_{1,2} \cdot T_{2,3} \cdot \dots \cdot T_{N,1} \quad (22)$$

$$= \sum_{n_1=0,1} \dots \sum_{n_N=0,1} \langle n_1|T|n_2\rangle\langle n_2|T|n_3\rangle\dots\langle n_N|T|n_1\rangle \quad (23)$$

$$= \sum_{n_1=0,1} \langle n_1|T^N|n_1\rangle \quad (24)$$

$$= \lambda_1^N(K, L) + \lambda_2^N(K, L), \quad (25)$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are the eigenvalues of T which are given by

$$\lambda_{1/2}(K, L) = \frac{1 + e^{K+L} \pm \sqrt{(1 + e^{K+L})^2 - 4e^L(e^K - 1)}}{2}. \quad (26)$$

2. Find a transformation of the occupancies n_i to map the lattice gas model to the Ising model with spins s_i . (2 points)

A map from the lattice gas model,

$$Z_L(K, L) = \sum_{\{n_i\}} e^{K \sum_i n_i n_{i+1} + L \sum_i n_i}, \quad (27)$$

with occupancies $n_i = 0, 1$ to the Ising model,

$$Z_I(K', L') = \sum_{\{s_i\}} e^{K' \sum_i s_i s_{i+1} + L' \sum_i s_i}, \quad (28)$$

with spins $s_i = \pm 1$ can be given by

$$n_i = \frac{s_i + 1}{2}. \quad (29)$$

Inserting Eq. (29) into Eq. (27) yields

$$Z_L(K, L) = e^{\frac{N}{2}(\frac{K}{2} + L)} \cdot Z_I(K'(K, L), L'(K, L)), \quad (30)$$

with $K'(K, L) = K/4$ and $L'(K, L) = (K + L)/2$. Thus, the lattice gas model and the Ising model are in fact equivalent. Expressing the eigenvalues of the lattice model $\lambda_{1/2}(K, L)$ with the new variables K' and L' ,

$$\lambda_{1/2}(K', L') = e^{L'} \left(\cosh(L') \pm \sqrt{\cosh^2(L') - 2e^{-2K' \sinh(2K')}} \right), \quad (31)$$

and calculating the partition sum of the Ising model,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_I(K', L') &= e^{-N(L'-K')} Z_L(K', L') = e^{-N(L'-K')} (\lambda_1^N(K', L') + \lambda_2^N(K', L')) \\ &= \tilde{\lambda}_1^N(K', L') + \tilde{\lambda}_2^N(K', L'), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

gives in fact the correct eigenvalues of the Ising model:

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{1/2}(K', L') = e^{K'} \left(\cosh(L') \pm \sqrt{\cosh^2(L') - 2e^{-2K' \sinh(2K')}} \right). \quad (33)$$

3. Derive an expression for the average $\langle n_i \rangle$ in the limit of $N \rightarrow \infty$ in terms of the eigenvalues of the transfer matrix. (2 points)

Since $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ the partition sum can be simplified for $N \rightarrow \infty$:

$$Z = \lambda_1^N + \lambda_2^N = \lambda_1^N \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right)^N \right] \rightarrow \lambda_1^N. \quad (34)$$

We see that only λ_1 matters in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we will neglect λ_2 in the following. An expression for $\langle n_i \rangle$ can be given as:

use translational
symmetry:
 $\langle n_i \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \langle \hat{N} \rangle$
↳ can use
derivative of
partition function.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n_i \rangle &= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n_1=0,1} \dots \sum_{n_N=0,1} n_i e^{-\beta H} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n_1=0,1} \dots \sum_{n_N=0,1} n_i T_{1,2} \cdot T_{2,3} \cdot \dots \cdot T_{N,1} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n_1=0,1} \dots \sum_{n_N=0,1} \langle n_1 | T | n_2 \rangle \dots n_i \langle n_i | T | n_{i+1} \rangle \dots \langle n_N | T | n_1 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n_1=0,1} \langle n_1 | T^{i-1} | n_i = 1 \rangle \langle n_i = 1 | T^{N-(i-1)} | n_1 \rangle \\ &\approx \frac{1}{Z} \lambda_1^N \langle n_1 = 0 | n_i = 1 \rangle \langle n_i = 1 | n_1 = 0 \rangle \quad ? \\ &= \langle n_1 = 0 | n_i = 1 \rangle \langle n_i = 1 | n_1 = 0 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Expressing the states in the eigenvector basis of T and calculating the scalar products yields the wanted expression for $\langle n_i \rangle$ in the limit of $N \rightarrow \infty$.

3 Renormalization of the Ising chain (3 points)

In the lecture we have derived the following RG flow equation for the coupling constant K of the Ising chain without magnetic field: the new value K' is given by

$$K'(K) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \cosh(2K). \quad (36)$$

In addition we have derived the absolute increase in free energy per spin arising in each iteration:

$$g(K) = \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 + \frac{1}{4} \ln \cosh(2K) \quad (37)$$

1. Write a short computer program (e.g. in Mathematica or Python) that defines the flow equation $K'(K)$ and the free energy increase $g(K)$ as functions. Start with a coupling constant $K_0 = 1$ and iterate through K_1, K_2, K_3 up to K_4 . Also calculate the corresponding values $g_0 = g(K_0)$ to $g_4 = g(K_4)$. What are the limits for these two series? (1.5 points)

Python functions:

```

1 def K_new(K):
2     return log(cosh(2*K)) / 2
3
4
5 def g(K):
6     return log(2) / 2 + log(cosh(2*K)) / 4


```

Iteration to $i = 4$ yields


	i=0	i=1	i=2	i=3	i=4
K_i	1	0.66	0.35	0.11	0.01
g_i	0.68	0.52	0.4	0.35	0.35

1.5/3

The K_i -series converges to zero for large i , while the g_i -series converges to $\frac{1}{2} \ln 2 \approx 0.35$.



(a) coupling constant K



(b) free energy increase g

2. Use these results to estimate the dimensionless free energy per spin $f = -\beta F/N$ in fourth order (simply cut the appropriate sum after the term with g_4 ; you can also include the next order term, but now by simply using the first term in $g(K)$). Compare to the known exact result for the Ising chain. How good is the numerical agreement? (1.5 points)

sum the series for g :

$$f = g_0 + \frac{1}{2}g_1 + \frac{1}{4}g_2 + \dots$$

compare to exact

result $f = \ln(2 \cosh(K))$

evaluate for $K=1$