# BAD DATA SCIENCE CODING PRACTICES

Vi Ly 7 Jun 2023

# AGENDA

- Why Are Data Scientists Bad Coders?
- Technical Debt
- Bad Coding Practices
- Resources
- Self-Documenting Code
- Python Tricks



#### Josh Wills @josh\_wills



Data Scientist (n.): Person who is better at statistics than any software engineer and better at software engineering than any statistician.








9:55 AM - 3 May 12



- All examples were egregiously committed by a Lead Data Scientist in a single project
- If it can happen to a Lead DS, it can happen to you!



#### WHY ARE DATA SCIENTISTS BAD CODERS?

- Clean code not "sexy"
- Most DS curriculum do not focus on clean code
  - Too much emphasis on what is the newest, most advanced algorithm
  - Too much emphasis on pandas, numpy, sklearn, etc.
    - Not enough emphasis on basic Python data structures (list, tuple, dict, set)
  - Do not cover Space / Time Complexity (Big O Notation)
  - No concept of Technical Debt
  - No coverage of PEP8
  - Too much emphasis on Notebooks
    - Not enough emphasis on using Integrated Development Environment (IDE)
- What changes between school DS vs. real world DS?
  - Individual sport → Team sport

#### TECHNICAL DEBT

- Tech Debt: implied cost of future reworking required when choosing an easy but limited solution instead of a better approach that could take more time (<a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Technical\_debt">https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Technical\_debt</a>)
- Bad code incurs higher tech debt and higher cognitive load
- When do you pay Tech Debt?
  - Someone else on your team takes over your code
    - More time (higher chance for error) is required to understand bad code than good code
  - Someone on another team has to productionize your model
  - You look back at your own code X months later and forgot what it does
  - Data migration
  - Package changes
  - Lots more examples


#### BAD CODING PRACTICES

- DRY Violations
- Version Control by Naming
- Confounding / Similar names
- Inconsistent Naming Convention
- Commenting Out Unused / Dead Code
- Useless Comments
- Using Magic Numbers
- Verbose Conditionals
- Long Functions
- Wildcard Imports
- Mid Code Violations
- Out-of-Order Notebook Cells

# DRY VIOLATIONS

- Don't Repeat Yourself (DRY)
- How does it happen?
  - Copy & Paste code blocks
  - Hard-coding values
- Why is it bad?
  - When change is required
    - Spend time finding all the different instances
    - Update code in multiple places higher chance of missing
- Fix
  - Use functions / classes (Vi's Rule of Thumb 2 Probably, 3 Definitely)
  - Use loops
  - Separate files for functions / classes
  - Use better naming / variables

## VERSION CONTROL BY NAMING



- Why is it bad?
  - Increased cognitive load
  - For someone reading / inheriting your code, they will always think:
    - "Is this the latest version?"
    - "Am I using the right version?"
  - Spend more time searching through all files to ensure there are no other versions

- def train\_test\_split\_v1(\*args, \*\*kwargs):
   ...

  def train\_test\_split\_v2(\*args, \*\*kwargs):
   ...

  def train\_test\_split\_v3(\*args, \*\*kwargs):
   ...
- Fix
  - Use actual version control like Git to track changes
  - If no access to version control:
    - Raise Error / Warning (<a href="https://docs.python.org/3/library/exceptions.html">https://docs.python.org/3/library/exceptions.html</a>)
      - NotImplementedError
      - DeprecationWarning
    - As a last resort: add comment / doc string

# CONFOUNDING SIMILAR NAMES

```
def split_train_test(data, test_size):
    ...

def train_test_splitting(data_indices, test_size, random_state):
    ...

def train_test(df_input, month_train, month_test, features):
    ...
```

- Actual Example
  - All 3 functions in the same file
  - Similar names
  - Similar functionality performs some type of train / test split
- Why is it bad?
  - Reader has more to remember
  - Duplication violates DRY principle
  - Harder to refactor
- Fix
  - Generalize function to handle multiple cases
  - Use better naming convention

## INCONSISTENT NAMING CONVENTION

```
def calculate_total_amount(amts: List[float], discount: float, tax: float) → float:
    total_amnt = sum(amts)
    if discount is not None:
        discounted_amt = (total_amnt * discount)
        total_amnt -= discounted_amt
    return total_amnt * (1 + tax)
```

- How many versions of amount are used?
  - 4 (amount, amts, amt, amnt)
- Other Examples
  - Using different verb tenses: calculate vs calculating
- Why is it bad?
  - Users expect consistent naming convention
  - More cognitive load having to remember multiple conventions
  - Ctrl + F (Find) & Ctrl + R (Replace) become ineffective
    - Must manually find and replace
    - Higher chance something gets missed
- Fix
  - Use consistent naming convention (variables, function / method names, parameters)
  - When working with others, establish convention early on

# COMMENTING OUT DEAD / USELESS CODE

- What is it?
  - You are experimenting and making changes to your code.
  - You comment out old code (so that it may be retrieved in case something goes wrong) during the updates, but never remove the commented code.
- Why is it bad?
  - Comment Hoarder No one ever removes commented code. Comments just stay in perpetuity, or worse, keeps increasing.
    - New people will wonder if they ever need that code
  - More lines of code for people to read
- Fix
  - Delete unused / dead code instead of commenting out
  - Remember: This is why you have version control

## USELESS COMMENTS

- What are useless comments?
  - Commenting every line of code with what the code does
- Why is it bad?
  - Waste time / no added value
  - Cry wolf someone reviewing your code will skip critical comments because they think all comments are useless
  - DRY Violation what happens if your code changes?
    - 2 changes instead of 1 (code and in the comment higher chance for error)
- Fix
  - Delete useless comments
  - Use self-documenting code
  - Comments should describe why (and sometimes how) but not what.

#### USING MAGIC NUMBERS

- What is a Magic Number?
  - A unique value with unexplained meaning or multiple occurrences which could (preferably) be replaced with a named constant
  - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Magic\_number\_(programming)
- Why is it bad?
  - Does not provide context on significance of the number
  - Potential DRY Violation
    - What happens if you have that number hard-coded in multiple places and it changes?
- Fix
  - Use self-documenting code / variable (aka better naming convention)
  - Use comments

#### MAGIC NUMBER EXAMPLE

• In this example, 1944 is the Magic Number

```
def was_olympics_held_bad(year: int) → bool:
    return ((year % 4) = 0) and (year ≠ 1944)

def was_olympic_held_good(year: int) → bool:
    year_olympics_cancelled_worldwar2 = 1944
    return ((year % 4) = 0) and (year ≠ year_olympics_cancelled_worldwar2)
```

```
def was_olympics_held_verbose(year: int) → bool:
   if ((year % 4) = 0) and (year \neq 1944):
        return True
        return False
def was_olympics_held_notverbose(year: int) → bool:
   return ((year % 4) = 0) and (year \neq 1944)
def is_list_empty_verbose(some_list: List) → bool:
    if len(some\ list) = 0:
        return True
        return False
def is_list_empty_better(some_list: List) → bool:
   return len(some_list) = 0
def is_list_empty_bets(some_list: List) → bool:
   return bool(some_list)
```

#### VERBOSE CONDITIONALS

- Why is it bad?
  - More lines of code for reader
- Fix
  - Just use the condition
  - For native Python data structures, use their natural 'truthiness' value

## LONG FUNCTIONS

- "Brevity is the soul of wit" William Shakespeare (Hamlet)
- "Simple is better than complex" Zen of Python
- Why is it bad?
  - Harder to debug
  - Harder to test
  - May need portions of code in other functions (DRY Violation)
  - Much higher cognitive load
    - Red flag: Do you have to put comments to remind you of what the code is doing?
- Fix
  - Break into smaller functions
  - Define large function from smaller functions

# WILDCARD IMPORTS


from sklearn import \*

- Why is it bad?
  - Violates PEP8
  - Naming collision
  - Spend more time tracing object / variable origin
    - Becomes bigger problem when version changes break compatibility
- Fix
  - Follow PEP8
  - Use namespaces

#### MID-CODE VIOLATIONS

- Mid-Code Violations
  - Imports & Function / Class Definitions placed in the middle of code instead of at the beginning
  - Violates PEP 8
- Why is it bad?
  - Tech debt More work to productionize code / notebook
    - Forces others to go through all of code looking for imports / definitions
    - Higher chance something gets missed
- Fix
  - Follow PEP8

## OUT OF ORDER NOTEBOOK CELLS



- Why is it bad?
  - Not reproducible
  - Creates errors when run
  - Code expected to run top to bottom
  - More time to debug
  - More time to reorder
- Fix
  - Use IDE
  - Re-run notebook after completion
    - Should run error-free

#### Python PEP 8

- https://peps.python.org/pep-0008/
- Zen of Python
  - https://peps.python.org/pep-0020/
- Ottinger's Rules for Variable and Class Naming
  - <a href="https://exelearning.org/wiki/OttingersNaming/">https://exelearning.org/wiki/OttingersNaming/</a>
- The Mental Game of Python Raymond Hettinger
  - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UANN2Eu6ZnM
- Refactoring by Martin Fowler
  - <a href="https://martinfowler.com/books/refactoring.html">https://martinfowler.com/books/refactoring.html</a>
- Clean Code by Robert C. Martin
  - <a href="https://learning.oreilly.com/library/view/clean-code-a/9780136083238/">https://learning.oreilly.com/library/view/clean-code-a/9780136083238/</a>
- Fluent Python by Luciano Ramalho
  - <a href="https://learning.oreilly.com/library/view/fluent-python-2nd/9781492056348/">https://learning.oreilly.com/library/view/fluent-python-2nd/9781492056348/</a>

## RESOURCES

#### BAD

```
def do_something(], c, y, h):
    z = y / l

if h or (c ≥ 780) or (z ≥ 10):
    return True

if c ≥ 650:
    return z ≥ 5
else:
    return z ≥ 10
```

BAD

```
def do_something(], c, y, h):

    z = y / l

    if h or (c ≥ 780) or (z ≥ 10):
        return True

    if c ≥ 650:
        return z ≥ 5
    else:
        return z ≥ 10
```

#### **BETTER**

```
Jdef approve_loan(loan_amount, credit_score, yearly_income, has_cosigner):
    excellent_credit_threshold = 780
    good_credit_threshold = 650
    excellent_income_amount_ratio_threshold = 10
    good_income_amount_ratio_threshold = 5
    income_amount_ratio = yearly_income / loan_amount
    if any([
        has_cosigner,
        credit_score ≥ excellent_credit_threshold,
        income_amount_ratio ≥ excellent_income_amount_ratio_threshold
    1):
        return True
        if credit_score ≥ good_credit_threshold:
            return income_amount_ratio ≥ good_income_amount_ratio_threshold
        else:
            return income_amount_ratio ≥ excellent_credit_threshold
```

#### **BETTER**

```
def approve_loan(loan_amount, credit_score, yearly_income, has_cosigner):
    excellent_credit_threshold = 780
    good_credit_threshold = 650
    excellent_income_amount_ratio_threshold = 10
    good_income_amount_ratio_threshold = 5
    income_amount_ratio = yearly_income / loan_amount
    if any([
        has_cosigner,
        credit_score ≥ excellent_credit_threshold,
        income_amount_ratio ≥ excellent_income_amount_ratio_threshold
    1):
        if credit_score ≥ good_credit_threshold:
            return income_amount_ratio ≥ good_income_amount_ratio_threshold
            return income_amount_ratio ≥ excellent_credit_threshold
```

#### EVEN BETTER (Even better with Doc Strings)

```
excellent_credit_threshold = 780
good_credit_threshold = 650
excellent_income_amount_ratio_threshold = 10
good_income_amount_ratio_threshold = 5
\mathsf{Idef} approve_immediately(credit_score: int, income_amount_ratio: float, has_cosigner: bool) 	o bool:
        has_cosigner,
        credit_score ≥ excellent_credit_threshold,
        income_amount_ratio ≥ excellent_income_amount_ratio_threshold
\mathsf{Idef} approve_based_on_ratio(credit_score: int, income_amount_ratio: float) 	o bool:
    if credit_score ≥ good_credit_threshold:
        return income_amount_ratio ≥ good_income_amount_ratio_threshold
        return income_amount_ratio ≥ excellent_credit_threshold
def approve_loan(loan_amount: int, credit_score: int, yearly_income: int, has_cosigner: bool) \rightarrow bool:
    income_amount_ratio = yearly_income / loan_amount
        approve_immediately(credit_score, income_amount_ratio, has_cosigner)
        or approve_based_on_ratio(credit_score, income_amount_ratio)
```

# PYTHON TRICKS

# PYTHON TRICKS

- Number Underscore
- Tuple Unpacking
- Collections
- Set
- Mapping
- Itertools
- Functools
- Type Hints
- Jinja2
- Black