

# Functional Analysis

## Tutorial 2

### Contents

1	Assignments	1
2	Q&A	4

### 1 Assignments

**Problem 1.1.** Show that in the space  $s$ , we have  $x_n \rightarrow x$  if and only if  $\xi_j^{(n)} \rightarrow \xi_j$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $x_n = (\xi_j^{(n)})$  and  $x = (\xi_j)$ . Prove that  $s$  is complete.

*Solution.* The space  $s$  is the space of all real (or complex) sequences  $x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$  equipped with the metric

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{|\xi_j - \eta_j|}{1 + |\xi_j - \eta_j|}.$$

Let  $x_n = (\xi_j^{(n)})_{j=1}^{\infty}$  and  $x = (\xi_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ .

**Part 1:**  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $s$  iff  $\xi_j^{(n)} \rightarrow \xi_j$  for each  $j$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ . For a fixed  $j$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2^j} \frac{|\xi_j^{(n)} - \xi_j|}{1 + |\xi_j^{(n)} - \xi_j|} \leq d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0,$$

hence  $\frac{|\xi_j^{(n)} - \xi_j|}{1 + |\xi_j^{(n)} - \xi_j|} \rightarrow 0$ . Since the map  $t \mapsto \frac{t}{1+t}$  is increasing for  $t \geq 0$ , this implies  $|\xi_j^{(n)} - \xi_j| \rightarrow 0$ , so  $\xi_j^{(n)} \rightarrow \xi_j$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $\xi_j^{(n)} \rightarrow \xi_j$  for each  $j$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $N$  such that  $\sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , pick  $M_j$  such that for all  $n \geq M_j$ ,

$$|\xi_j^{(n)} - \xi_j| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Set  $M = \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} M_j$ . For  $n \geq M$  and  $j \leq N$ ,

$$\frac{|\xi_j^{(n)} - \xi_j|}{1 + |\xi_j^{(n)} - \xi_j|} \leq |\xi_j^{(n)} - \xi_j| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{|\xi_j^{(n)} - \xi_j|}{1 + |\xi_j^{(n)} - \xi_j|} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{2^j} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

For  $j > N$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2^j} \frac{|\xi_j^{(n)} - \xi_j|}{1 + |\xi_j^{(n)} - \xi_j|} \leq \frac{1}{2^j},$$

so

$$\sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{|\xi_j^{(n)} - \xi_j|}{1 + |\xi_j^{(n)} - \xi_j|} \leq \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Adding the two parts, for all  $n \geq M$ ,  $d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$ . Hence  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $s$ .

**Part 2:**  $s$  is complete.

Let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be Cauchy in  $s$ . For each fixed  $j$ , the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2^j} \frac{|\xi_j^{(n)} - \xi_j^{(m)}|}{1 + |\xi_j^{(n)} - \xi_j^{(m)}|} \leq d(x_n, x_m)$$

shows that  $(\xi_j^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy in  $\mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ), hence convergent. Therefore  $s$  is complete.  $\square$

**Problem 1.2.** The distance from a point  $x$  to a non-empty subset  $B$  of  $(X, d)$  is defined to be

$$D(x, B) = \inf\{d(x, b) : b \in B\}.$$

Show that for any  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$D(x, B) - D(y, B) \leq d(x, y).$$

*Proof.* For any  $b \in B$ , by the triangle inequality,

$$d(x, b) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, b).$$

Taking the infimum over all  $b \in B$  on the right side, we get

$$d(x, b) \leq d(x, y) + D(y, B).$$

Taking the infimum over all  $b \in B$  on the left side, we have

$$D(x, B) \leq d(x, y) + D(y, B).$$

Rearranging gives

$$D(x, B) - D(y, B) \leq d(x, y).$$

$\square$

**Problem 1.3.** The distance between two non-empty subsets  $A, B$  of  $(X, d)$  is defined to be

$$D(A, B) = \inf\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

Show that  $D$  does not define a metric on the power set of  $X$  (the set of all subsets of  $X$ ).

*Proof.* Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}$  with the usual metric  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ . Let  $A = [0, 1]$  and  $B = [1, 2]$ . Then

$$D(A, B) = \inf\{|a - b| : a \in [0, 1], b \in [1, 2]\} = 0,$$

since we can take  $a = 1$  and  $b = 1$ . However,  $A \neq B$ . Thus,  $D(A, B) = 0$  does not imply  $A = B$ , violating the identity of indiscernibles property of a metric. Therefore,  $D$  does not define a metric on the power set of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Problem 1.4.** Show that the image of an open set under a continuous function is not necessarily open.

*Proof.* Consider the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(x) = x^2$ . The set  $U = (-1, 1)$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}$ . However, the image of  $U$  under  $f$  is

$$f(U) = \{x^2 : x \in (-1, 1)\} = [0, 1),$$

which is not open in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus, the image of an open set under a continuous function need not be open.  $\square$

**Problem 1.5.** Show that any nonempty set  $A \subset (X, d)$  is open if and only if it is a union of open balls.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $A$  is open. For each  $x \in A$ , there exists  $\varepsilon_x > 0$  such that the open ball  $B(x, \varepsilon_x) \subset A$ . Thus,

$$A = \bigcup_{x \in A} B(x, \varepsilon_x).$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $A$  is a union of open balls. Each open ball is open, and a union of open sets is open. Therefore,  $A$  is open.  $\square$

**Problem 1.6.** Show that a compact subset of a metric space is closed and bounded. Is the converse true?

*Proof.* Consider the space  $X = \ell^\infty$ , and a subset  $\{e_n\}$  where  $e_n$  is the sequence with 1 in the  $n$ -th position and 0 elsewhere. This set is closed and bounded but not compact, as it has no convergent subsequence. Thus, the converse is not true.  $\square$

**Problem 1.7.** If  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in a metric space  $(X, d)$  and has a convergent subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  converging to  $x \in X$ , show that the original sequence  $(x_n)$  converges to  $x$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy, there exists  $N_1$  such that for all  $m, n \geq N_1$ ,  $d(x_n, x_m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Since  $(x_{n_k})$  converges to  $x$ , there exists  $N_2$  such that for all  $k \geq N_2$ ,  $d(x_{n_k}, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Let  $N = \max(N_1, n_{N_2})$ . For any  $n \geq N$ , choose  $k$  such that  $n_k \geq N$ . Then,

$$d(x_n, x) \leq d(x_n, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{n_k}, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Thus,  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . □

## 2 Q&A

**Proposition 2.1.** In a metric space, a subset is compact if and only if it is sequentially compact.

*Proof. (Compact  $\implies$  Sequentially Compact):* Let  $K \subset X$  be a compact subset of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Consider any sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $K$ . We will show that there exists a subsequence of  $(x_n)$  that converges to a point in  $K$ .

Since  $K$  is compact, for each integer  $m \geq 1$ , we can cover  $K$  with finitely many open balls of radius  $\frac{1}{m}$ . By the pigeonhole principle, there exists at least one ball that contains infinitely many terms of the sequence  $(x_n)$ . We can select a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  that lies entirely within this ball. Repeating this process for each  $m$ , we obtain a nested sequence of balls with radii tending to zero. The intersection of these balls contains exactly one point, say  $x \in K$ . The subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  converges to  $x$ .

**(Sequentially Compact  $\implies$  Compact):** Let  $K \subset X$  be a sequentially compact subset of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . We will show that every open cover of  $K$  has a finite subcover.

*Proof.* The implication “compact  $\implies$  sequentially compact” holds in any metric space (and indeed in any first-countable space). We prove the nontrivial direction:

**Sequentially compact  $\implies$  compact.**

**Lemma 2.1 (Sequentially compact  $\implies$  totally bounded).** If  $K$  is sequentially compact, then  $K$  is totally bounded.

*Proof.* Suppose  $K$  is not totally bounded. Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that no finite collection of  $\varepsilon$ -balls covers  $K$ . Construct a sequence  $(x_n)$  inductively: pick  $x_1 \in K$ ; having chosen  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , pick

$$x_{n+1} \in K \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(x_i, \varepsilon).$$

By construction,  $d(x_i, x_j) \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $i \neq j$ . This sequence has no Cauchy subsequence, hence no convergent subsequence, contradicting sequential compactness. Therefore  $K$  is totally bounded.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2** (Sequentially compact  $\implies$  complete). If  $K$  is sequentially compact, then  $K$  is complete (as a metric subspace).

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $K$ . By sequential compactness, it has a convergent subsequence  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x \in K$ . A Cauchy sequence with a convergent subsequence converges to the same limit, so  $x_n \rightarrow x \in K$ . Hence  $K$  is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3** (Totally bounded and complete  $\implies$  compact). In a metric space, if  $K$  is totally bounded and complete, then  $K$  is compact.

*Proof.* Let  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be an open cover of  $K$ . First we show the existence of a Lebesgue number: there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that every subset of  $K$  with diameter less than  $\delta$  is contained in some  $U_\alpha$ .

If not, then for each  $n$  there exists  $C_n \subset K$  with  $\text{diam}(C_n) < \frac{1}{n}$  not contained in any  $U_\alpha$ . Pick  $x_n \in C_n$ . By sequential compactness (which follows from total boundedness and completeness, but here we already have it from hypothesis), some subsequence  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x \in K$ . Choose  $\alpha$  with  $x \in U_\alpha$ , and choose  $r > 0$  such that  $B(x, r) \subset U_\alpha$ . For large  $k$ ,  $\text{diam}(C_{n_k}) < \frac{1}{n_k} < r/2$  and  $d(x_{n_k}, x) < r/2$ , hence  $C_{n_k} \subset B(x, r) \subset U_\alpha$ , contradiction. So a Lebesgue number  $\delta > 0$  exists.

Now, total boundedness gives a finite  $\frac{\delta}{3}$ -net  $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \subset K$ . Each ball  $B(y_i, \delta/3)$  has diameter  $< \delta$ , hence lies in some  $U_{\alpha_i}$ . Since the balls cover  $K$ , the sets  $U_{\alpha_1}, \dots, U_{\alpha_m}$  form a finite subcover. Hence  $K$  is compact.  $\square$

By the three lemmas, if  $K$  is sequentially compact, then it is totally bounded and complete, hence compact.  $\square$

Therefore, every open cover of  $K$  must have a finite subcover, and thus  $K$  is compact.  $\square$