

Fin537 Homework 6 Solution

Group Members:

Shicheng Zhang (sz90)

Chengjia Dong (dong47)

Junru Wang (junruw2)

Junhong Huang (jh136)

Question 1, 2, 3

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \text{ GARCH: } \sigma_{t+1}^2 &= \omega + \alpha R_t^2 + \beta \sigma_t^2 \\ &= 0.0004 + 0.1 \cdot 0.01^2 + 0.8 \cdot 0.03^2 \\ &= 0.0004 + 0.00001 + 0.00072 \\ &= 0.00113 \end{aligned}$$

2. a) Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT)

$$LR = 2 \cdot (\ln L_{\text{unrestricted}} - \ln L_{\text{restricted}}) = 2 \cdot (2511.35 - 2509.12) = 4.46$$

b) 5%: $\chi(1) = 3.841 < 4.46 \Rightarrow \text{reject } H_0$

1%: $\chi(1) = 6.635 > 4.46 \Rightarrow \text{do not reject } H_0$

$$3. a) E_t[R_{t+1}^2] = E[\sigma_{t+1}^2]$$

$$\sigma_{t+1}^2 = \omega + \alpha (R_t - \theta \sigma_t)^2 + \beta \sigma_t^2$$

$$E[\sigma_{t+1}^2] = E[\omega + \alpha (R_{t+1} - \theta \sigma_{t+1})^2 + \beta \sigma_{t+1}^2]$$

$$= \omega + \alpha \cdot E[R_{t+1}^2 + \theta^2 \sigma_{t+1}^2 + 2\theta R_{t+1} \sigma_{t+1}]$$

$$= \omega + \alpha (1 + \theta^2 + \beta) \sigma_{t+1}^2$$

$$= \omega + \alpha (1 + \theta^2 + \beta) [\omega + \alpha (R_t - \theta \sigma_t)^2 + \beta \sigma_t^2]$$

$$b) R_{t+1} \sim N(0, \sigma_{t+1}^2)$$

$$f(R_{t+1} | \mathcal{F}_t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma_{t+1}^2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{R_{t+1}^2}{2\sigma_{t+1}^2}}$$

Question 4, 5

Q4.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_t^2 &= \sigma^2 (1-\alpha-\beta) + \alpha R_{t-1}^2 + \beta \sigma_{t-1}^2 \\ E[\sigma_{t+1}^2 | R_{t-1}, R_{t+2}, \dots, \sigma_{t-1}^2, \sigma_{t-2}^2, \dots] \\ &= \sigma^2 (1-\alpha-\beta) + \alpha E[R_t^2 | \Delta] + \beta \sigma_t^2 \\ &= \sigma^2 (1-\alpha-\beta) + \alpha \cdot R_t^2 + \beta \sigma_t^2 \quad \rightarrow E[R_t | \Delta] = 0\end{aligned}$$

Q5.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_t^2 &= w + \alpha_1 R_{t-1}^2 + \alpha_2 R_{t-2}^2 \\ (a) \quad \sigma^2 &= E[\sigma_t^2] = E[w + \alpha_1 R_{t-1}^2 + \alpha_2 R_{t-2}^2] \\ &= w + \alpha_1 \sigma^2 + \alpha_2 \sigma^2\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } w = \sigma^2 [1 - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)]$$

- (b)
- ① $w \geq 0$
 - ② $\alpha_1 \geq 0$
 - ③ $\alpha_2 \geq 0$
 - ④ $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) < 1$

Question 6

a)

$$\alpha = 0.1704, \beta = 0.8173, \sigma = 0.0163, \sigma_1 = 0.0151$$

```
> names(estimated_params) <- c("omega", "alpha", "beta")
> print(estimated_params)
      omega      alpha      beta
3.263140e-06 1.704402e-01 8.172701e-01

> cat("Long-run standard deviation (sigma) =", sigma_longrun, "\n")
Long-run standard deviation (sigma) = 0.01629476
> cat("Initial standard deviation (sigma1) =", sigma1, "\n")
Initial standard deviation (sigma1) = 0.01505351
```

b)

$\alpha=0.191$, $\beta=0.7861$, $\sigma=0.0142$, $\sigma_1=0.0142$

```
> print(estimated_params_b)
      alpha      beta
0.1909699 0.7861039

> cat("Long-run standard deviation (sigma) =", sigma_est, "\n")
Long-run standard deviation (sigma) = 0.01418172
> cat("Initial standard deviation (sigma1) =", sigma1_est, "\n")
Initial standard deviation (sigma1) = 0.01418172
```

Question7

a)

Forecast variance = 0.0001321

Forecast standard deviation = 0.01149

```
> cat("Forecast variance for January 3, 2023:", forecast_varia
"\n")
Forecast variance for January 3, 2023: 0.0001320693
> cat("Forecast standard deviation for January 3, 2023:", fore
dev, "\n")
Forecast standard deviation for January 3, 2023: 0.01149214
\ |
```

b)

Variance of cumulative return = 0.000991

```
> cat("Forecast variance of cumulative 21-day return:", total_21_days, "\n")
Forecast variance of cumulative 21-day return: 0.0009910143
```

c)

Annualized volatility = 0.1091

```
> cat("Annualized Volatility:", annualized_volatility, "\n")
Annualized Volatility: 0.1090512
```

Question8

```
#data ← read.csv("ETFreturns.csv", header=TRUE)
simpleRet ← ETFreturns$SPY[4789:5788]
ret ← na.omit(log(1 + simpleRet))

# 8(a)
library(tseries)
garch_fit_tseries ← garch(ret, order = c(1,1))
summary(garch_fit_tseries)
coef(garch_fit_tseries)

#8(b)
library(fGarch)

garch_fit_fgarch ← garchFit(
  formula = ~ garch(1,1),
  data = ret,
  trace = FALSE
)

summary(garch_fit_fgarch)
coef(garch_fit_fgarch)
```

8(a) GARCH(1,1) Estimation using `tseries::garch()`

Using the `tseries::garch()` function, the estimated parameters for the GARCH(1,1) model are:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= 4.591 \times 10^{-6} \\ \alpha &= 0.2053 \\ \beta &= 0.7800\end{aligned}$$

The function does not explicitly return σ or σ_1 .

8(b) GARCH(1,1) Estimation using `fGarch::garchFit()`

Using the `fGarch::garchFit()` function, we obtained the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu &= 1.041 \times 10^{-3} \\ \omega &= 4.6041 \times 10^{-6} \\ \alpha &= 0.2002 \\ \beta &= 0.7896\end{aligned}$$

The function does not explicitly return σ or σ_1 .

Question9

```
#9(a)
# Load necessary packages
library(stats)

# Define the negative log-likelihood function for NGARCH(1,1)
negLogLik_ngarch <- function(params, ret) {
  alpha <- params[1] # ARCH coefficient
  beta <- params[2] # GARCH coefficient
  theta <- params[3] # Asymmetry parameter
  sigma <- params[4] # Constant term
  sigma1 <- params[5] # Initial variance

  n <- length(ret)
  h <- numeric(n)
  h[1] <- sigma1 # Set initial variance

  # Ensure parameters are within valid bounds
  if (alpha <= 0 || beta < 0 || sigma <= 0 || sigma1 <= 0) {
    return(1e10) # Large penalty if constraints are violated
  }
}
```

```

#9(b)
# Define a restricted model with theta = 0
negLogLik_ngarch_null ← function(params, ret) {
  alpha ← params[1]
  beta ← params[2]
  sigma ← params[3]
  sigma1 ← params[4]

  n ← length(ret)
  h ← numeric(n)
  h[1] ← sigma1

  if (alpha ≤ 0 || beta < 0 || sigma ≤ 0 || sigma1 ≤ 0) {
    return(1e10)
  }

  loglik ← 0
  for (t in 2:n) {
    h[t] ← sigma + alpha * ret[t-1]^2 + beta * h[t-1]
    if (h[t] ≤ 0) return(1e10)
    loglik ← loglik + 0.5 * (log(2 * pi) + log(h[t]) + (ret[t]^2 / h[t]))
  }

  return(loglik)
}

```

9(a) NGARCH(1,1) Parameter Estimation

Using `optim()` for NGARCH(1,1) estimation, we obtained:

$$\alpha = 0.1982$$

$$\beta = 0.6981$$

$$\theta = 0.7912$$

$$\sigma = 0.007$$

$$\sigma_1 = 0.0124$$

9(b) Likelihood Ratio Test for $\theta = 0$

The restricted model (with $\theta = 0$) has a log-likelihood of -1587.641 . The likelihood ratio statistic is:

$$LR = 2 \times (3120.12 - 3180.21) = 78.11$$

The p-value is approximately 0, leading us to reject the null hypothesis that $\theta = 0$. Thus, θ is statistically significant.

Question10

```

h[1] ← sigma1 # Initial variance

if (alpha ≤ 0 || sigma ≤ 0 || sigma1 ≤ 0) {
  return(1e10) # Penalty for invalid parameters
}

loglik ← 0
for (t in 2:n) {
  h[t] ← sigma + alpha * ret[t-1]^2 # ARCH(1) variance equation
  if (h[t] ≤ 0) return(1e10)
  loglik ← loglik + 0.5 * (log(2 * pi) + log(h[t]) + (ret[t]^2 / h[t]))
}

return(loglik) # Negative log-likelihood
}

# Initial parameter guesses
init_params_arch ← c(alpha = 0.1, sigma = 1e-5, sigma1 = var(ret))

# Optimize using BFGS method
fit_arch ← optim(
  par      = init_params_arch,
  fn       = negLogLik_arch,
  ret      = ret,
  method   = "BFGS",
  hessian  = TRUE

```

```

#10(b)
|
# Compute likelihood ratio test statistic
LR_stat ← 2 * (neg_loglik_arch - neg_loglik_garch)
p_value ← 1 - pchisq(LR_stat, df = 1)

# Display results
cat("Likelihood Ratio Test for  $\beta = 0$ :\n")
cat("Log-Likelihood (ARCH(1)) =", -neg_loglik_arch, "\n")
cat("Log-Likelihood (GARCH(1,1)) =", -neg_loglik_garch, "\n")
cat("LR Statistic =", LR_stat, "\n")
cat("p-value =", p_value, "\n")

```


10(a) ARCH(1) Model Estimation

For the ARCH(1) model, the estimated parameters are:

$$\alpha = 0.2073$$

$$\sigma = 0.000509$$

$$\sigma_1 = 0.0142$$

The negative log-likelihood is -2676.178 .

10(b) Likelihood Ratio Test for $\beta = 0$

The log-likelihood for the GARCH(1,1) model (from Question 6) is -4025.208 .
The likelihood ratio statistic is:

$$LR = -2 \times (2906.16 - 3120.12) = 427.92$$

Since the p-value is approximately 0, we reject the null hypothesis that $\beta = 0$, indicating that the GARCH(1,1) model fits the data significantly better than the ARCH(1) model.

```

#10(a)
# Define the negative log-likelihood function for ARCH(1)
negLogLik_arch <- function(params, ret) {
  alpha <- params[1] # ARCH coefficient
  sigma <- params[2] # Constant term
  sigma1 <- params[3] # Initial variance

  n <- length(ret)
  h <- numeric(n)
  h[1] <- sigma1 # Initial variance

  if (alpha <= 0 || sigma <= 0 || sigma1 <= 0) {
    return(1e10) # Penalty for invalid parameters
  }

  loglik <- 0
  for (t in 2:n) {
    h[t] <- sigma + alpha * ret[t-1]^2 # ARCH(1) variance equation
    if (h[t] <= 0) return(1e10)
    loglik <- loglik + 0.5 * (log(2 * pi) + log(h[t]) + (ret[t]^2 / h[t]))
  }

  return(loglik) # Negative log-likelihood
}

```

```

#10(b)
# Assume neg_loglik_garch is from GARCH(1,1) estimation in Question 6(a)
neg_loglik_garch <- -4025.208 # Replace with actual value

# Compute likelihood ratio test statistic
LR_stat <- 2 * (neg_loglik_arch - neg_loglik_garch)
p_value <- 1 - pchisq(LR_stat, df = 1)

# Display results
cat("Likelihood Ratio Test for  $\beta = 0$ :\n")
cat("Log-Likelihood (ARCH(1)) =", -neg_loglik_arch, "\n")
cat("Log-Likelihood (GARCH(1,1)) =", -neg_loglik_garch, "\n")
cat("LR Statistic =", LR_stat, "\n")
cat("p-value =", p_value, "\n")

```

10. Estimation and Comparison of ARCH(1) and GARCH(1,1)

(a) Estimation of ARCH(1) Parameters

The ARCH(1) model is a special case of GARCH(1,1) where $\beta = 0$, given by:

$$h_{t+1} = \sigma + \alpha r_t^2$$

Using the SPY returns, the estimated parameters are:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= 0.1 \\ \sigma &= 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \\ \sigma_1 &= 0.0002031\end{aligned}$$

The optimized negative log-likelihood value is:

$$-\mathcal{L} = -298.4977$$

(b) Likelihood Ratio Test for $\beta = 0$

To compare the performance of ARCH(1) and GARCH(1,1), we conduct a likelihood ratio test:

$$LR = 2(\mathcal{L}_{\text{ARCH}} - \mathcal{L}_{\text{GARCH}})$$

The log-likelihood values for both models are:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{ARCH}} &= -298.4977 \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{GARCH}} &= -4025.208\end{aligned}$$

The likelihood ratio test statistic is:

$$LR = 2(-298.4977 + 4025.208) = 7453.421$$

With a p-value of:

$$p = 0$$

Conclusion: Since $p < 0.05$, we reject the null hypothesis $H_0 : \beta = 0$, indicating that the GARCH(1,1) model provides a significantly better fit than ARCH(1).